

July 3, 2015

Re: Docket 2014-05, Petition for Jurisdiction on Antrim Wind Energy, LLC

Dear Chairman Honigberg:

I am writing in regard to the Jurisdictional petition before the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee under Docket 2014-05.

It is my understanding that the project proposed by Antrim Wind is below the threshold of 30 megawatts, and thus is not automatically accepted by the Committee.

The legislature has reviewed RSA 162H several times since 2007 and opted not to redefine the limit. To claim, as Antrim Wind does, that the Town of Antrim, lacks the core competency and legislative mandate to review the project is contrary to what the legislature believes. Further, Antrim Wind's claims are inconsistent with testimony filed by Antrim's own planning board under the prior docket.

The SEC record for the prior docket is extensive and still the Committee found the application lacking. Antrim Wind asserts in its petition that the project is the same as that already reviewed (Petition for jurisdiction - "...this is in most key aspects the same project that the Committee considered in Docket 2012-01"). If the Committee were to proceed with reviewing the full project again, there is no reason to assume a different outcome.

I urge you to vote no on the jurisdiction question. The SEC's time is too valuable to spend appeasing developers who rely on continuous litigation as a means of getting what they want.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Herman
31 Pleasant View Rd.
Bradford, NH 03221