STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

BEFORE THE SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Docket No. SEC 2015-02

<u>APPLICATION OF ANTRIM WIND ENERGY, LLC</u> <u>FOR A CERTIFICATE OF SITE AND FACILITY</u>

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MATTHEW MAGNUSSON ON BEHALF OF ANTRIM WIND ENERGY, LLC

September 10, 2015

Prefiled Testimony of Matthew Magnusson Docket 2015-02 Application of Antrim Wind Energy, LLC September 10, 2015 Page **1** of **9**

1

Qualifications of Matthew Magnusson

2 Q. Please state your name, title and business address. 3 A: My name is Matthew Magnusson. I am owner of Seacoast Economics. My 4 business address is PO Box 302, Hampton Falls, NH 03844. 5 Q. Please describe the services provided by Seacoast Economics. 6 Seacoast Economics provides project-based economic analysis and report A: 7 authorship consulting services. The firm has typically focused on analysis and evaluation of NH-8 based projects or policies. Seacoast Economics has provided economic analysis for several NH-9 based clients including the New Hampshire Port Authority, Department of Health and Human 10 Services, the Community College System of New Hampshire, and the NH Community 11 Development Finance Authority. 12 Q. Briefly summarize your educational background and work experience. I am a graduate of the University of New Hampshire's Whittemore School of 13 A: 14 Business and Economics with a Masters of Business Administration. I have performed economic 15 research on each of the three commercial wind farms currently operating in New Hampshire as 16 well as the previously-proposed 30 MW wind farm by Antrim Wind Energy, LLC. I have also 17 performed economic analyses on energy policy in the state of New Hampshire, including the NH 18 Renewable Portfolio Standard, the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, the NH Greenhouse Gas 19 Emissions Reduction Fund, and the NH Better Buildings program. 20 Additional detail regarding my education, background and experience is contained in my 21 curriculum vitae which is attached hereto as Attachment MM-1.

22

-2-

1

Q. Have you ever testified before the New Hampshire Site Evaluation

2 Committee ("SEC")?

A. Yes. I presented testimony regarding the potential effect of the Antrim Wind
Project on regional employment, economics, and property values in connection with Antrim
Wind Energy, LLC's ("AWE") application for a certificate of site and facility in Docket 201201.

- 7 **Purpose of Testimony**
- 8

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

9 **A.** The purpose of this testimony is to provide information on the anticipated 10 economic impacts of the proposed Project upon the region in which the Project is proposed to be 11 located. More specifically, my testimony focuses on the impacts that the Project is anticipated to 12 have upon the region's economy, employment, and property values.

13

Q. Are you familiar with the Project proposed by AWE in this matter?

14 A. Yes. In connection with the application that was the subject of Docket 2012-01, 15 AWE retained Professor Ross Gittell and me, then both at the University of New Hampshire, to 16 assess the potential effects of the Project upon the region's economy, employment, and property 17 values. I assisted in the preparation of Professor Gittell's economic impact analysis of the 18 Project in Docket 2012-01, and ultimately adopted the Professor's testimony when he accepted 19 new employment during the course of the Docket. During the course of my current engagement, 20 I have been provided with further information about the proposed Project and therefore am 21 familiar with it.

22

-3-

Q. Are you also familiar with the finding of the New Hampshire Site Evaluation 1 2 Committee (SEC) with respect to the economic impacts of the Project in Docket 2012-01? 3 A. The SEC considered the testimony and studies that Professor Gittell and I 4 submitted in the broader context of its deliberations regarding the orderly development of the 5 region. After considering our submissions in combination with other evidence, the SEC 6 concluded that the Project would not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the 7 region. 8 Q. In your opinion, have circumstances relative to the Project changed in a way 9 that would affect the SEC's finding on these issues in Docket 2012-01? 10 No. For the reasons summarized below and described more fully in the updated A.

studies attached as Appendices 14A and 14B to the Application, my findings with respect to the

property values have not changed. In my opinion, the Project will have both positive short and

long term impacts on the regional economy and employment, and will not affect local or regional

economic impacts of the Project and the potential effect of the Project on local and regional

16

11

12

13

14

15

Economic and Employment Impacts

property values or tourism.

Q. Please describe the methodology that you employed to assess the potential
effects of the Project on regional employment and economic activity.

A. I reviewed the economic impact analysis "Economic Impact of the Proposed
Antrim 30 MW Wind Power Project in Antrim, New Hampshire" ("EIS") that Professor Ross
Gittell and I performed in 2012. The purpose was to review and update the EIS as necessary. I
then conducted a literature review of studies that have examined economic impacts of wind
power projects, including studies submitted as part of Wild Meadows Docket No. 2013-02 and

-4-

1	other economic studies performed since the date of the EIS. I also obtained updated financial and
2	construction information on the Project provided by Antrim Wind Energy, LLC and its
3	construction partner Reed & Reed, Inc.
4	The updated EIS considers the net direct, indirect and induced economic impacts of the
5	Project on the local area economy, defined in this case as Cheshire, Hillsborough, Merrimack,
6	Rockingham and Sullivan counties. The update includes evaluation of the local area economic
7	impacts during the construction phase and the on-going operations phase. Economic factors
8	incorporated into economic modeling include employment, local capital expenditures, tax
9	revenue, local material and supplies purchases, landowner payments and the broader economic
10	"multiplier" impacts of the Project.
11	I performed the economic modeling for the initial EIS using the MIG IMPLAN and JEDI

12 economic models, and I am familiar with the application of these models to wind power projects. 13 Based on the literature review and the information provided to me in connection with the Project, 14 I determined that the methodology used in the EIS remains valid and appropriate for use in an 15 update. Both the JEDI model and the IMPLAN model are widely used and cited economic 16 input-output models that are frequently applied to economic impact analysis of wind power 17 projects. I updated the MIG IMPLAN model to use the latest economic data available (2013), 18 and utilized the latest version of the JEDI Land-based Wind Model. I then performed the 19 economic analysis using these updated models with the latest financial and construction data 20 provided on the Project.

The updated economic impact analysis also considers the Project in the context of the broader regional electricity market as well as any potential impacts the Project might have on residential property values or tourism.

-5-

Prefiled Testimony of Matthew Magnusson Docket 2015-02 Application of Antrim Wind Energy, LLC September 10, 2015 Page 5 of 9

1

Q. Please summarize the results of the updated economic analysis.

2 A. The results of the economic analysis are incorporated into a written update to the 3 EIS, included in Appendix 14A to the Application. The results of my updated analysis indicate 4 that the Project is expected to bring \$53.4 million in increased economic activity to Cheshire, 5 Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rockingham and Sullivan counties in NH over the next twenty years. 6 The construction phase of the Project is the time period when there will be the greatest 7 economic activity and benefits for these counties. During the construction phase, the Project is 8 expected to contribute \$11.6 million in economic activity and generate 25 full-time equivalent 9 (FTE) construction-related jobs and support an additional 59 FTE jobs paying a total of \$5.9 10 million in wages and earnings in the local area economy. In the on-going operating phase after 11 construction, the economic and jobs impact of the wind power project is reduced but still 12 positive. The project is expected to contribute \$2.2 million annually to the local economy, as 13 well as create an estimated 4 FTE new jobs for employees of Antrim Wind and support an 14 additional 8 FTE jobs in the local area. Antrim Wind has signed an annual Payment In Lieu of 15 Taxes (PILOT) agreement with the Town of Antrim in the amount of \$11,250 per MW for the 16 first post-construction year, escalating at 2.5% per year during the 20 year operating term. This 17 agreement results in a total of approximately \$8.4 million being paid to the Town of Antrim in 18 PILOT payments during construction and the first 20 years of operations. 19 As discussed below, the Project is not expected to affect local or regional property values.

Moreover, there is no evidence indicating that a relationship exists between wind power projects and tourism in the region, and as such I do not anticipate that the Project will have any effect upon local or regional tourism.

-6-

Q. 1 Based on your analysis, what are your conclusions regarding the economic 2 effects of the Project? 3 A. For the reasons discussed above and in the updated EIS, it is my opinion that the 4 Project will have a positive economic impact on Cheshire, Hillsborough, Merrimack, 5 Rockingham and Sullivan counties in NH, with the greatest positive impact during the 6 construction phase of the Project but continuing thereafter for the operational life of the Project. 7 I do not expect the Project to have any effect upon local or regional property values or tourism. 8 **Property Values** 9 Q. Please summarize the analysis that you performed regarding the Project's 10 anticipated impacts on area property values. 11 A. My analysis incorporates and updates the study entitled *Impact of the Lempster* 12 Wind Power Project on Local Residential Property Values ("Study") that Professor Gittell and I 13 submitted in Docket 2012-01. The Study as initially prepared included an in-depth review of six 14 studies that performed statistical analysis to examine the relationship between wind power

15 projects and residential property values. These studies did not find a wide-spread, consistent

16 change in property values associated with wind power projects, though none of the studies

17 included NH wind power projects. To validate the studies' findings in New Hampshire,

18 Professor Gittell and I reviewed 2,593 arms-length, single-family home sales transactions from

19 January 2005 through November 2011 for all of the towns and cities located in Sullivan County.

20 Eighty-eight of those sales transactions occurred in the towns immediately surrounding the

- 21 Lempster Wind Power Project after it was constructed. Utilizing GIS mapping software and a
- 22 visual inspection of each property sold, we determined the distance of the property to the nearest
- 23 turbine of the Lempster Wind Power Project and whether the property had a view of the turbine.

-7-

1	Utilizing two statistical tests, simple linear regression and ANOVA, we concluded that there was
2	no relationship between the proximity of a property to a wind turbine or views of wind turbines
3	and the selling prices of properties.
4	In the update to the Study, I reviewed a total of twenty studies, including ones that were
5	performed after the Study that statistically examined the relationship between wind power
6	projects and residential real estate values using observations of property transactions. I also
7	repeated the statistical tests on the Lempster property transaction data set and obtained identical
8	statistical results. The purpose of replication was to ensure that the results were repeatable and
9	still supported the original findings in the Study.
10	Additionally, I conducted a study of the impact of the proposed Wild Meadows Wind
11	Farm on local residential property values ("Wild Meadows Study") in December 2013. That
12	study reviewed 382 single family home property transactions (occurring between January 2008
13	and July 2013), including 132 post-construction transactions around both the Lempster Wind
14	Power Project and the Groton Wind Farm located in Grafton County, NH. The results from the
15	Wild Meadows Study are discussed in the updated study.
16	The Wild Meadows Study combined with the Study provide almost five years of real
17	estate transactions in the communities surrounding the Lempster Wind Power Project This
18	period of time was sufficient to observe any potential post-construction impacts on residential
19	property values surrounding the Lempster Wind Power Project.
20	Q. What were the conclusions of the original property values study?
21	A. The Study concluded that there was no evidence that the Project has had a

22 consistent, statistically-significant impact on property values within the Lempster region. This

23 finding was based not only reviewing property transactions surrounding the Lempster Wind

-8-

Power Plant, but also the findings of the six other studies referenced in the Study that found no
 conclusive evidence of wide spread, statistically-significant changes in property values resulting
 from wind power projects.

4

Q. Have those conclusions changed as a result of your updated analysis?

5 A. No. A complete report of my updated study is contained in Appendix _____ to the 6 Application. The updated study confirms that single family homes surrounding the Lempster 7 Wind Power Project have not experienced an overall change in their assessed values, nor have 8 they been observed to sell for a value that was consistently different from their expected market 9 value. There has been no overall change observed in the residential real estate market value 10 surrounding the Lempster Wind Power Project that differs from the trends of the overall area real 11 estate market. This observation holds true even when considering the types of residential 12 properties that would be expected to be the most impacted by the presence of a wind power 13 project, including properties that are in close proximity to a turbine and/or that have direct views 14 of one or more turbines.

15 The updated study supports the original conclusion that the Lempster Wind Power 16 Project has not had any consistent, statistically-significant impact on property values. The 17 experiences of other New England states are consistent with this finding. Studies reviewing wind power projects in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont have not found evidence of a 18 19 consistent difference in property values associated with the presence of wind power projects. 20 Furthermore, the findings from this update study agree with the substantial body of evidence 21 from international, national, and regional studies that also have not found evidence of systematic, 22 wide-spread changes in property values associated with wind power projects.

-9-

1	Therefore, it is my opinion that there will not be a decrease in the value of the overall
2	residential market around the proposed Antrim Wind Energy Project, including those properties
3	that would be in close proximity to a turbine and/or that would have direct views of one or more
4	turbines, if the Project is developed.
5	Q. In your opinion, taking into consideration potential economic, employment
6	and property value impacts, will the Project unduly interfere with the orderly development
7	of the region?
8	A. No. In my opinion, for the reasons set forth above and contained within
9	Appendices 14A and 14B to the Application, I continue to believe that the Project will have a
10	positive impact upon the local economy and employment, and will not adversely affect property
11	values. Therefore, the Project will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the
12	region.
13	Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed testimony?
14	A. Yes.

Matthew Magnusson

24 Dunn's Bridge Lane Dover, NH 03820 603-285-5735 magnusson3@gmail.com

Experience:

2012 - 2015 KPItrac, LLC d.b.a Seacoast Economics, Hampton Falls, NH

Owner

Provide data collection, analysis, presentations and report authoring on project-based energy and economic research.

- 2015 Economic Impact of the Proposed 28.8 MW Antrim Wind Power Project in • Antrim, New Hampshire Sponsor: Antrim Wind Energy, LLC
- 2014 Impact of the Lempster Wind Power Project on Local Residential Property Values • Update Sponsor: Antrim Wind Energy, LLC
- 2014– New Hampshire Cleantech 2014 Market Report • Sponsor: New Hampshire Cleantech Council
- 2014– New Hampshire Medicaid Program Enrollment Forecast SFY 2014-2016 • Sponsor: New Hampshire Department of Health & Human Services
- 2013 The Impact of the Wild Meadows Wind Farm on Local Residential Property Values Sponsor: Iberdrola Renewables, LLC

- 2013 An Evaluation of the NH BetterBuildings Program • Sponsor: NH Community Finance Development Authority
- 2012– Climate Impacts on the Winter Tourism Economy in the United States Sponsor: Natural Resources Defense Council, Protect Our Winters
- 2012 The Economic Impact of the Piscataqua River and the Ports of Portsmouth and • Newington

Sponsor: Piscataqua River Economic Development Committee

2012 - Economic Impact of the Proposed Antrim 30 MW Wind Power Project in Antrim, • **New Hampshire**

Sponsor: Antrim Wind Energy, LLC

2012 - Impact of the Lempster Wind Power Project on Local Residential Property Values

Sponsor: Antrim Wind Energy, LLC

Community College System of New Hampshire, Portsmouth, NH 2012 – Present

Grant Researcher & Analyst

Responsible for establishing reporting systems, ensuring compliance with U.S. Dept. of Labor performance reporting, and evaluating grant performance across 8 different consortium campuses for \$19.1 million Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training Grant awarded to NH Community College System. Designed, programmed, and released ASP.net based reporting system that was recognized by the Dept. of Labor. Reported student outcomes from Oracle and Cognos.

Matthew Magnusson

24 Dunn's Bridge Lane Dover, NH 03820 603-285-5735 magnusson3@gmail.com

2005–2013 University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH

Research Associate (Most recent official position: Project Director II) Provide project leadership, data collection, analysis, presentations and report authorship on project-based grant-funded research.

Summary of Work

- 2013– New Hampshire Medicaid Program Enrollment Forecast Sponsor: New Hampshire Department of Health & Human Services
- 2012 Energy & Economic Impacts of the NH Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund

Sponsor: New Hampshire Public Utility Commission

- **2012– "The Sustainable Business Case Book"**, co-author with Professor Ross Gittell and Professor Michael Merenda textbook published by Flat World Knowledge
- 2010 The Economic Impact of the Local Sea Food Industry in New Hampshire Opportunity for Sustainability Sponsor: University of New Hampshire Cooperative Extension
- 2010 New Hampshire Medicaid Program Enrollment Forecast SFY 2011-2013 Update *Sponsor:* New Hampshire Department of Health & Human Services
- 2010 The Economic Impact of Local Food Systems in New Hampshire Current Status and Prospects for Growth Sponsor: University Office of Sustainability, NH Charitable Foundation
- 2010 Economic Impact of the Proposed Groton Wind 50 MW Wind Power Project in Groton, New Hampshire Sponsor: Groton Wind LLC
- **2009** "Sustainability and Business" Chapter in "Exploring Business" textbook published by Flat World Knowledge
- 2009 Economic Impact of Granite Reliable Power Wind Power Project in Coos County, New Hampshire Sponsor: Granite Reliable Power LLC
- 2009– Economic & Greenhouse Gas Impacts of the New 2009 Fuel Economy (CAFE) Standards in New England Sponsor: Carbon Solutions New England
- 2009– New Hampshire's Green Economy and Industries: Current employment and future opportunities
 Second Packing Research Computer (DEDC), U.S. Dart of Computer Computer (DEDC), U

Sponsor: Rockingham Economic Development Committee (REDC), U.S. Dept. of Commerce-Economic Development Administration

Matthew Magnusson 24 Dunn's Bridge Lane

Dover, NH 03820 603- 285-5735 magnusson3@gmail.com

	 2009 – Economic Analysis of Policies Proposed by the NH Climate Change Policy Task Force for the Governor's NH Climate Change Action Plan Sponsor: New Hampshire Charitable Foundation 2008 - Economic Impacts of Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative on New Hampshire Sponsor: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, The Energy Foundation 2007 - Economic Impacts of a State Renewable Portfolio Standard in New Hampshire Sponsor: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services 2006 - Economic Modeling of Low Sulfur Heating Oil in the Northeast Sponsor: Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management (NESCAUM)
2012	University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH <i>Adjunct Lecturer</i> Taught senior-level undergraduate Sustainable Business Models course. Instruction included grading, and course development.
2005–2012	University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH Lead Recitation Instructor Lead classroom instruction for the Introduction to Business course. Instruction included grading, leading classroom discussion and course development.
2000–2008	University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH <i>Information Technologist III</i> Project manager for UNH Information Technology projects including management reporting and ERP system. Responsible for employee training of new IT related systems. Web application and database development of in-house applications for undergraduate student admissions.
Education:	

2005 Whittemore School of Business and Economics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH Masters Degree in Business Administration

1997University of New Hampshire, Durham, NHBachelor of Science Degree in Kinesiology

Technical Skills:

- Data extraction, manipulation, integration, query development and reporting of complex data sets from government and proprietary sources
- Application and programming experience includes: IMPLAN 3.0, SQL Server, Oracle, JavaScript, HTML 5.0, C#, ASP.NET MVC, Windows Azure, Excel, PowerQuery, PowerPivot, DAX, MDX, R, Python

L. LITERATURE CITED

- Antrim, Town of. 2010. Antrim Master Plan. Accessed online December 2014 at: http://www.antrimnh.org/Pages/AntrimNH_Planning/Master_plan_update
- Antrim, Town of. 2011. Natural Resources. Town website accessed December 2014 at: http://www.antrimnh.org/Pages/AntrimNH_About/NaturalResources
- APLIC and USFWS. 2005. Avian Protection Plan Guidelines (APP). April 2005. Accessed online December 2014 at: <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/APP/AVIAN%20PROTECTIO</u> <u>N%20PLAN%20FINAL%204%2019%2005.pdf</u>
- de Lucas, M., Janss, G.F.E., Whitfield, D.P. & Ferrer, M. 2008. Collision fatality of raptors in wind farms does not depend on raptor abundance. Journal of Applied Ecology, 45, 1695–1703.
- Ferrer. M., M. de Lucas, G.F.E. Janss, E. Casado, A.R. Munoz, M.J. Bechard and C.P. Calabuig. 2011. Weak relationship between risk assessment studies and recorded mortality in wind farms. Journal of Applied Ecology. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02054.x. Article first published online: 1 SEP 2011. Accessed online December 2014 at: <u>http://www.cb.iee.unibe.ch/content/e7117/e7118/e8764/e9889/e9893/Ferrer_JAppEco2_011.pdf</u>
- GE Energy. 2010. Final Report: New England Wind Integration Study. Prepared by: GE Energy Applications and Systems Engineering, EnerNex Corporation, and AWS Truepower for ISO New England. December 5, 2010. Accessed online March 2015 at: <u>http://www.uwig.org/newis_report.pdf</u>
- James, F. C. and H. H. Shugart, Jr. 1970. A Quantitative Method of Habitat Description. Audubon Field Notes 24: 727-736.
- MASS AREA (Massachusetts Affordable Reliable Electricity Alliance). 2008.
- New Hampshire Audubon. 2010. Status of Breeding Bald Eagles in New Hampshire in 2010. Unpublished report prepared by Christian J. Martin, New Hampshire Audubon Senior Biologist, for New Hampshire Fish and Game Department, Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program. November 1, 2010.
- New Hampshire Audubon. 2011. New Hampshire's Oldest Known Eagle Dies. New Hampshire Audubon Website article Posted on November 16, 2011.
- NREL. 2011. Eastern Wind Integration and Transmission Study. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Subcontract Report: NREL/SR-5500-47078. Accessed online March 2015 at: <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/47078.pdf</u>
- Schneider, J., T. Dutzik, and R. Sargent. 2013. Wind Energy for a Cleaner America II: Wind Energy's Growing Benefits for Our Environment and Health. Environment New Hampshire Research & Policy Center. Accessed online March 2015 at: <u>http://www.environmentnewhampshire.org/sites/environment/files/reports/NH WindEner</u> <u>gy_print%20112013.pdf</u>

- Sharp, L., C. Herrmann, R. Friedel, and K. Kosciuch. 2011. Pre- and post-construction monitoring of bald eagle use at the Pillar Mountain Wind Project, Kodiak, Alaska, 2007-2011. PowerPoint report prepared by TetraTechfor NWCC/AWWI webinar, October 31, 2011.
- Sperduto, D.D. and William F. Nichols. 2011. Natural Communities of New Hampshire. 2nd Ed. NH Natural Heritage Bureau, Concord, NH. Pub. UNH Cooperative Extension, Durham, NH.
- USGS 2009. Breeding Bird Census. Accessed online November 2014 at: http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/birds/bbc.html
- VINS. 2005. Mountain Bird Watch Protocol. Unpublished technical report of the Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences.
- Whittam, B. and M. Ball. 2002. Developing a Protocol for Monitoring the Bicknell's Thrush (Catharus bicknelli) and Other High Elevation Bird Species in Atlantic Canada. Unpublished report by Bird Studies Canada. Accessed online, December 2014 at: <u>http://www.bsc-eoc.org/download/bithreport.pdf</u>.
- Whittam, B. and M. Ball. 2003. High Elevation Landbird Program: 2002 Report. Bird Studies Canada, February 2003.