

Dear Ms. Monroe, Chairman Scott & Antrim Committee Members,

Prior to election day in Vermont there were two wind related items on the ballot:

1} The Governor's race.....won resoundingly by the candidate who prominently vowed to stop industrial wind.

2} Votes in two towns whose residents were offered what many sources referred to as bribes by a wind developer who apparently preferred to call them incentives.

As was the case with the Governor's race.....industrial wind was resoundingly rejected in those two towns in spite of what many called bribes offered to all the residents to vote to approve the plant and the ensuing payments.

As a smattering of letters of support for the proposed Antrim Industrial Wind Plant surface, a small fraction of the letters that are opposed as I read the docket, do we know with clarity what, if any, financial ties may or may not exist between the wind developer and the individuals and/or entities endorsing this industrial plant?

As you know, "Good Neighbor Agreements" are, as I understand it, quite common between some wind developers and select residents who agree to be compensated.

With regard to the proposed Antrim plant, do you know whether or not the individuals and/or entities who are supporting the plant are being compensated for their support? Given what recently occurred in Vermont and the evident existence of "Good Neighbor Agreements" here in NH.....I think the SEC should have full and complete transparency into any/all payments Antrim Wind may or may not have made, or will make, to those who have endorsed their proposed industrial complex in Antrim.

I trust you agree. Thanks for your consideration.

Larry Goodman