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[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Applicant's Exhibit 92. And this is the third page of the PDF, which does not have a number on it. It's Attachment A. And this lists -- well, it states that it's all the aerial crossings of state highways in this project. And it looks like there are seven; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Looks like seven, yes.
Q. And am I correct that these aerial crossings over state highways require a license or other permit from DOT?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And has that been granted at this point, or is it still pending?
A. (Plante) I believe that it's still pending. We've had some recent correspondence back and forth regarding these crossings.
Q. Okay. So we're still waiting on that, but it's in the works.

There was some testimony earlier about how the road crossings are accomplished. And one thing that's unclear to me is whether the conductors are actually laid across the road or if they are aerial at all times.
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A. (Plante) They are aerial at all times, yes. We don't lay them across the road and lift them up. We place them in rollers that are mounted at the upper levels of the structures, initially with the rope that is placed through those rollers, and then subsequently are conductors are pulled in with the ropes, at all times under tension so they are off the traveled way. As I mentioned earlier, we do provide protective measures in the event that something does go wrong.
Q. Thank you. And to accomplish that, I assume there are construction equipment, whether it's bucket trucks or others, that are in the edges of the roadway?
A. (Plante) That's correct. Sometimes we use vehicles, sometimes we use wood pole structures that are temporarily placed there.
Q. Okay. So while that process is going on -Ms. Frazier, I think this goes to you -- am I correct that this -- what I'm showing you is a portion of Applicant's Exhibit 93, and it's PDF Page 29. Am I correct that this is the
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traffic control plan or scheme that's proposed for that type of road crossing?
A. (Frazier) Correct. Yes. So we don't plan to actually stop traffic. But if the line were to lose tension, this is set up, and the flaggers are there ready if needed.
Q. Okay. So, for safety purposes, the flaggers are there, but traffic would be able to keep going through --
A. (Frazier) Correct. Yup.
Q. -- during installation? And that is not the case, I believe, for the Spaulding Turnpike crossing; is that correct? If we go back two pages up to 27 in Applicant's 93, you have the traffic control plan for the Spaulding Turnpike; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Yup. So this is what we call "rolling closure." So we would slow traffic along the interstate while we are pulling that into tension for a brief, couple-minute period, and then let traffic go through again once it's solidified.
A. (Plante) So let me clarify just a little bit there. For this particular location, since
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the distance is so great, we will need to pass at road level with the rope. So we'll have workers from both ends, or both sides, approach the middle during the time that traffic is being slowed by the rolling roadblock. They'll join the ropes in the middle, and then immediately the cables or ropes are pulled into tension and raised above the traffic. And assuming that it all takes place during the window that's created by the rolling closure, the troopers would then just speed up and traffic would continue. If for some reason the ropes are still within the traveled way, they would stop until our workers secured the rope and got it up out of the way.
Q. And a rough estimate on the time that process would take for this particular crossing, Spaulding Turnpike?
A. (Plante) Just a few minutes.
Q. And so the goal is for traffic not to actually come to a halt, but just to slow down.
A. (Plante) That's the goal. Correct.
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Q. Okay. And for the state aerial crossings, I understand that there is an exception request that's been submitted to DOT for I believe the Route 4 crossing; is that correct?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. And if we turn to Applicant's Exhibit 140, which is Mr. Bowes' and Mr. Plant's combined supplemental testimony, one of the attachments to that is the request to DOT; is that correct? It appears at Page 88 of the PDF.
A. (Bowes) That is correct.
Q. Okay. That request is dated July 27 th of this year. Has there been any response from DOT at this point?
A. (Bowes) Yes, we -- want to clarify?
Q. And what was the response from DOT?
A. (Frazier) This is for the -- okay. Yeah, the DOT accepted the condition.
Q. And just high level, this request is an exception from the Utility Accommodation Manual, which is a set of regulations for utilities crossing state highways or state roads. And this had to do with a setback
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from existing highway structures?
A. (Plante) Correct.
A. (Bowes) Correct. So there was an existing Utility Accommodation Manual to which the project was designed to. It was since updated. So we looked at what had changed throughout the entire manual and how that would apply to the project. We identified a single location where we couldn't -- we didn't have the existing rights and constraints with the railroad to set back from this bridge. So it's really a question for future bridge replacement or maintenance. And we would accommodate the DOT's wishes at that time either with additional land rights or with additional temporary structures, whatever was needed for them to accomplish their work. But as of today, we only have -we don't have the full 50 feet. I think it's 24 feet, the distance away from the bridge above.
Q. Thank you. Just to look at the map, this is Applicant's Exhibit 148, which is an environmental map. And this is Map 2. And
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am I correct that this exception applies to the Structure 107-9 that is on the south side of Route 4 there?
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. And so the bridge -- the highway structure at issue is the bridge over the railroad tracks there?
A. (Bowes) Correct.
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And you said that that exception request had been granted by DOT. Do you recall what date?
A. (Frazier) So they sent an e-mail implying that they will grant it when they issue their final conditions.
Q. Okay. So we don't have an actual grant in hand, but indication that it's coming.
A. (Frazier) Correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

All right. In addition to state roads, there are municipal roads that are being crossed; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
A. (Plante) Yes.
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Q. And those are shown in Applicant's Exhibit 93 at Page 3 of the PDF, what you're seeing on the screen?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And as far as traffic control, it would be the same approach as for everything other than Spaulding Turnpike; is that fair?
A. (Frazier) Yes. Correct. For all the overhead aerial.
Q. Correct. Yes, specific to aerial crossings.
A. (Frazier) Yeah.
Q. Associated with those crossings, how much -is it anticipated that in any of those crossings there will be a work zone within the right-of-way of the road, in terms of guard structures or otherwise?
A. (Plante) For the overhead crossings, yes, the guard structures would potentially be within the road right-of-way, depending on what the slopes are on one side or the other, but certainly out of the traveled way.
Q. Okay. So, no traffic control or flagging is necessary, or anticipated to be necessary for those work areas?
\{SEC 2015-04\} [Day 3 Afternoon ONLY] \{09-17-18\}
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Plante) It would be case by case. If it were close enough to the traveled way, then certainly we would have traffic control in place. We would try to get them far enough back to avoid that. If it was bucket trucks, for instance, those would likely be close enough to the traveled way that they would need traffic control.
Q. Would you anticipate at any time a lane restriction during that aerial crossing maneuver?
A. (Plante) Typically, no.
Q. All right. And in addition to the aerial, there are also some underground crossings in municipal highways. The next Exhibit 93 appears to list just two, Gundalow Landing and Nimble Hill Road; is that correct? Or is that updated at this point?
A. (Plante) I think we're missing the Main Street crossing.
Q. That was going to be my question, whether that constituted an underground crossing or something else because it's pipe jacking.
A. (Plante) I think we considered it an
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underground crossing.
Q. Okay. And am I also correct that when Gundalow Landing is referenced here, that includes crossing of Little Bay Road?
A. (Frazier) Yes, but it should have been more clear. It should actually say "Little Bay Road."
Q. So, four underground municipal road crossings, including Main Street in Durham. Sounds like that's the consensus?
A. (Plante) Yeah.
Q. Okay. I want to take a look at the particulars of the underground through Durham. So, in Applicant's Exhibit 149, which are the engineering design drawings -let me back up for a second.

Am I correct that Applicant's
Exhibit 148 for environmental drawings and 149 for the engineering drawings, those are the most current sets of plans that we have for this project?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Because there are some other ones that are older --
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A. (Plante) They're dated July 27, 2018.
Q. Okay. Make sure we're using the most accurate pieces of -- all right.

So, starting at Page 18 of that Applicant's Exhibit 149 is the detail for the underground portions of Durham. And we start on PDF Page 19, which I think has -- I can't read it from here.

All right. And so I'd like to walk through this briefly to understand the different pieces of these engineering drawings. We'll try to zoom in a little bit so it's easier to see. All right. So, starting on the left of the page, this would be the transition structure from the overhead portion of the Project coming down from Madbury, going underground at --
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. -- at the Durham location in the A Lot parking lot?
A. (Plante) Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And then entering -- coming off the riser structure, the cable goes down into a trench; is that correct?
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A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. And the trench is shown down here as -- well, maybe you can explain. This designation on the bottom that says "10-foot radius," what is that referencing?
A. (Plante) Yeah, so this portion of the drawing is what we call the "profile version," so it shows it vertically or vertical plane. The 10-foot radius is the reference to a bending radius for the cables so that it's not too tight, such that it would damage the insulation.
Q. Okay. So that's the curve of the cable coming down off the riser into the ground?
A. (Plante) And into the trench, yes.
Q. And how deep is the trench through here?
A. (Plante) Through here, it's 3-foot 5 inches wide by 5-foot deep.
Q. Five-foot deep you said? Okay. I see a note on here that the duct bank minimum cover is 30 inches.
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. So 5 feet is the 30 -inch cover plus the height of the duct bank itself?
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A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And shown here are a couple of what I assume are the water pipes referenced.
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And it says "size and depth unknown." Can you explain where the information comes from to identify that there is a pipe here and why's there's no greater specificity to their location?
A. (Plante) I can't explain exactly where it came from, but it's probably from the Dig Safe process that we had initially undertaken so we know where it is. We just don't know what the depth is because we didn't expose it.
Q. And I assume, based on this, that the intent is to go underneath those pipes?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. And the trench is just dug by excavation -by excavator?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And is there shoring that goes into the trench to maintain its opening during installation?
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A. (Plante) Depending whether it's sloped back or not, it may or may not require excavation bracing. And that's all dictated by OSHA criteria.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And then in this case, this Main Street crossing is proposed to be done by pipe jacking; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. Well, $I$ won't try to characterize it. I'll let you do it. Can you explain how pipe jacking differs from other types of drilling that is used to install cables underneath other structures?
A. (Plante) Yeah, I'll try to give a CliffsNote version.

So, in this location we're proposing jack and bore method, where we would be creating a pit on the north side of Main Street to be designated as the jacking pit, and the pit on the south side, which is a little bit smaller, to be designated as the receiving pit. We propose to use a 42-inch-diameter casing, which would be delivered to the site in 10- or 20-foot
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lengths. I can't remember which. And those are placed in position for the alignment for the tunnel, if you will, and pressed in. And the inside of that casing is then reamed out using mechanical methods. As the spoils are removed, the casing gets pushed further in. And the cycle repeats until it gets through to the other side. As the casing gets near the entrance, another piece of casing is brought in, connected, and the process continues.
Q. And I think this morning you testified that there's a cutting head or some cutting edge used for this, proposed in this location as opposed to a ram?
A. (Plante) Yes. Yes, like a drill.
Q. Okay. So that the front edge of the pipe casing is sharp and used to cut through the ground? Can you explain how that operates?
A. (Plante) No. I think it's the cutting heads that go inside it that actually create the void for the casing to go to get pressed into.
Q. Okay. And to force the casing through, is it
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just a physical force?
A. (Plante) Hydraulic jack.
Q. Is that a noisy process?
A. (Plante) No.
Q. How does the hydraulic jack -- is it just pushing continuously?
A. (Plante) Yeah, pushing with hydraulic pressure. So there's a pump that would create the hydraulic pressure.
Q. And is there much vibration impact from that type of construction?
A. (Plante) Not from that portion. The actual mechanical removal of the material that's inside the bore could potentially have some minor vibrations, yes.
Q. And I believe you testified earlier today that the south side, so the receiving pit, anticipates some blasting to --
A. (Plante) Yes. Not so much for the pit, but for the cable trench that proceeds south from the pit, because there is exposed ledge there on the surface.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And this pipe jacking process for this
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location, $I$ believe it's in testimony somewhere, that that's a one- to two-month process?
A. (Plante) Yes. We're working with a constraint with the University to get it done between the time frame between Commencement and freshman move-in day of the following academic year. And our contractors feel that they can accomplish that.
Q. All right. Thank you.

And in the trench there is a duct bank proposed to be placed in the bottom of the trench; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And is this one of the details that shows how that is constructed?
A. (Plante) It is.
Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly, these are spacers that hold the different cables into configuration, and they're placed periodically through the duct -- through the trench to hold everything in place?
A. (Plante) That is correct.
Q. And it looks like they are about two and a
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half feet tall?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And there are three cables being placed in this duct bank; is that correct?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. How large are those cables for the trenching -- the trenched, underground portion?
A. (Plante) Hold on one second.
A. (Bowes) You mean mechanically large?
Q. Yes.
A. (Bowes) About 5 inches in diameter.
Q. And these are 8-inch HDPE conduits that fit inside; is that correct?
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. All right. So, going a little bit farther south, there are a number of stream crossings throughout the project, some of which are in the underground portion. And one example of that is the College Brook crossing here in Durham; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Okay. In order to go underneath -- well, let me ask a different way.
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For most stream crossings that are on the underground portion, does the cable go underneath the stream or just through the stream? How is that set up?
A. (Plante) Under.
Q. Underneath. And to install it, does the stream have to be diverted in all cases, or is there another approach?
A. (Plante) I think it shows in this drawing here a little bit our proposal there to temporarily at least allow for the passage of the stream flow during construction of the trench.
Q. Okay.
A. (Plante) We're not proposing to detour, for instance, the alignment of the stream during construction.
Q. All right. Could you explain that a little more?
A. (Plante) Proposing to provide provisions to allow for the stream to continue in its current alignment, $I$ guess, for lack of a better term.
Q. Okay. And is that through a conduit or
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artificial --
A. (Plante) Yeah, a conduit or culvert.
Q. And then underneath which you placed the cable?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. On the other side of Newington, you've got some additional undergrounding sections, one of which goes underneath a portion of Gundalow Landing; is that correct?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. So it crosses Gundalow Landing in two places, and that's also by trench burial?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And then again crosses Little Bay Road as it comes out of Gundalow Landing?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And for each of those, I assume the process is simply to excavate a trench and put the cable in and re-cover the trench.

Will there be plating in place temporarily after the trench and cables are installed?
A. (Plante) So the process would be done half of
a lane at a time to allow for traffic to
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pass. The initial construction with trenching would be to install the duct bank, not the cable. So we would get half of the duct bank done and get that backfilled and then do the other half and get that backfilled. We would provide plating or whatever was necessary to maintain traffic. And it's open over night, and we can certainly plate that.
Q. The goal being to maintain one lane open at all times --
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. -- at least for Little Bay Road. Gundalow may be a little different. In Gundalow, I believe --
A. (Bowes) Yeah, to be clear, Gundalow will still be available to all the residents all the time.
Q. So, Gundalow you have different traffic controls proposed to maintain access. But you do have to close down at least some areas for some of the construction; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Yes.
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Q. Okay. And I put on the screen Applicant's Exhibit 93, PDF Page 31. It's the beginning, I think, of the traffic control planning for the Gundalow Landing section. And it shows on the first page -- well, it shows through several pages a phased approach. Is that a fair representation?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. Okay. And so Phase 1, looks like you have one lane closed on the west side of Gundalow Landing?
A. (Frazier) Yup.
Q. All right. And then Phase 2 appears to close the entire southeast side of the loop; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) So, Phase 1 and 2 are both shown on the one you have up.
Q. Okay.
A. (Frazier) It's just each side of that crossing portion. And then Phase 3 I think is what you mean when you say closing the entire southeast part of the loop.
Q. All right. Yes. So this is now Phase 3. And during that Phase 3, the proposal is just
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to route people around the loop to provide access is; that fair?
A. (Frazier) Yup.
Q. About how long is it anticipated that that detour will be in place?
A. (Plante) Good question. I'll have to dig that out of the project schedule. I don't know if the project schedule has enough detail for that.
A. (Bowes) Yeah. So, in general, it's not broken out in the project schedule yet. Figure a hundred feet per day. This is just several hundred feet. I would say one to two weeks this activity will take place.
Q. Okay. And this section, if $I$ pulled it up, I believe the proposal is not to follow precisely through the road the entire length, but to come in and out of it?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Is it anticipated that that area will be closed for the entire period of trenching through that section, or could it be open for some portions?
A. (Plante) Could you restate the question and
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help me with where you're at, where you are in the drawing?
Q. Sure. So the proposed closure of Gundalow Landing stretches from the bottom of the loop here on the left side of the page up to the main entrance part. But the cable -- the trench only appears to come into the roadway at the northwest -- sorry -- northeast side of that loop. Is it anticipated that that stretch will be closed for the entire trenching of that area for safety purposes or otherwise?
A. (Bowes) I would say we would prefer to do that just to keep the vehicles there as well. We're not moving vehicles on and off this section of road. Obviously we'll work with the towns, as far as their requirements go. But it's probably best to get in and out as quickly as possible, and that would be leaving the vehicles and conduit in place to move through this very quickly.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And so as the Project progresses out, there's another lane closure, but not a
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complete closure of the sort of neck of Gundalow Landing; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Correct.
Q. And then crossing Little Bay Road.

We talked a little bit earlier that you'd do one half of the road first to keep one lane open and then the other half.
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. Is that generally -- and that's all shown in those pages in Applicant's 93.

In terms of the crossing of Little Bay
Road, can you give an estimate of the amount of time that will take and whether there will be traffic control?
A. (Plante) Yeah, obviously it's a two-stage process. We'll probably be a couple days to a week in each direction.
Q. Okay. So could be as much as two weeks.
A. (Plante) Could be two weeks.
Q. So, for the folks living in Gundalow Landing, they can expect some kind of traffic control for a period of several weeks?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. More than a month or two or --
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A. (Plante) Probably more than a month, but probably not more than two.
Q. Thank you.

And then, again, moving along to the east, there's a crossing proposed at Nimble Hill Road as well; correct?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And for this, it appears there's a proposed detour to close a portion of Old Post Road?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. Okay. So the detour would be to have people drive around Fox Point Road and Nimble Hill Road; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And again at this crossing, are we looking similarly at a week or two for that closure to be in place?
A. (Plante) Yes, that's correct.
Q. And Ms. Frazier, you prepared a traffic analysis; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And as part of that analysis, you were looking at potential delays that would be caused from construction through these
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various portions of the project, representative?
A. (Frazier) Yes, I did two locations. Little Bay Road and Gundalow Landing, what we just looked at, was one of them.
Q. Generally speaking, you performed traffic counts to develop an understanding of the current amount of traffic at each of those locations --
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. -- and then estimated -- well, did you estimate or measure the queue lengths and delays at the current, existing conditions?
A. (Frazier) So we ran the analysis with the existing traffic counts and conditions, and then we calibrated it to what we saw when we were actually up there counting.
Q. And for Little Bay and Gundalow Landing, you designated the current, existing conditions as a free-flowing condition.
A. (Frazier) Yes, based on the highway capacity level of service definitions, yes.
Q. And based on this table, which is in Applicant's Exhibit 141, which is your
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supplemental testimony -- and this is at PDF Page 13; it's an attachment to your supplemental testimony -- it looks like you've estimated a delay as people are leaving Gundalow Landing at the stop sign waiting to turn left or right?
A. (Frazier) Yes. So, existing conditions today, this would be the average time a vehicle could be expected to wait. And it's during the AM peak hour, 2.3 seconds, and 2.7 seconds during $P M$ peak hour.
Q. And then you conducted an analysis of what it would look like during construction; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And that's shown on the same page at Table 4?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And to generalize greatly, it increased the delays and the queuing length, but not so much as to downgrade the intersection from the free-flowing intersection?
A. (Frazier) Correct.
Q. And is it -- well, my understanding of what your testimony is, is that this is a
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representative example of all of the different municipal road crossings.
A. (Frazier) The underground crossings where a flagger will control alternating traffic.
Q. So your testimony is you don't anticipate any greater or disproportionately greater delays at other underground road crossings.
A. (Frazier) Correct.
Q. As part of your analysis, did you factor in construction vehicles, such as flatbed trucks bringing in structure pieces?
A. (Frazier) Yes, we did add in the estimated number of construction vehicles arriving. In the westbound overhead right-of-way, the ROW in Table 4, those are all construction vehicles actually coming off the overhead right-of-way and turning into, with traffic, using the flagger.
Q. What type of truck did you use in the modeling?
A. (Frazier) So the analysis only has three options for me: A passenger vehicle, a dump truck or school bus-size, and tractor-trailer trucks. So I believe we used all
\{SEC 2015-04\} [Day 3 Afternoon ONLY] \{09-17-18\}
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
tractor-trailer trucks to be conservative.
Q. Okay. And drill rigs and other heavier equipment that might be accessing the right-of-way through there, the access points, you say that's a similar amount of delay, or could it be a little greater than a tractor-trailer truck?
A. (Frazier) More like a dump truck. So it would probably be a little less.
Q. So you believe these estimates are the most conservative?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And you did an analysis as well for the proposed laydown area?
A. (Frazier) Correct.
Q. And that's accessing in and out of the laydown area onto Route 4 in Lee; is that correct?
A. (Frazier) We had Route 125 but --
Q. Sorry. Yes, Route 125. And the results of that were similar, that there would not be a significant impact?
A. (Frazier) Actual impacts to Route 125 were not measurable. It's the traffic actually
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using the laydown area will have to stop and wait for the through traffic on Route 125.
Q. That's shown on Page 14 of Applicant's 141, Table 6?
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. Are there any other locations of the project that, in your opinion, deviate from those two examples, in terms of traffic flows caused by the Project?
A. (Frazier) I would say the detour for Nimble Hill Road. But it's not something that we can analyze so much as measure the worst-case length of the detour and try to understand the number of people affected.
Q. Okay. Thank you. In your testimony, you referenced, on Page 3, Line 8, that you anticipated a final decision from DOT -- wait a second -- yeah, final decision from DOT by the end of August. From your answer earlier, I assume that hasn't happened yet?
A. (Frazier) No, but I think we're close.
Q. So, maybe in the next month?
A. (Frazier) I hope so.
Q. Mr. Bowes, I believe it's your testimony
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which is Applicant's 140, I believe at Page 9. You testified that part of the Application -- or part of the Applicant's request here is for permission through the Site Evaluation Committee certificate to construct portions of this project within the municipally-maintained roads; is that correct?
A. (Bowes) That is correct.
Q. And so you're asking the SEC rather than the towns for that permission.
A. (Bowes) Correct. We believe that's within their jurisdiction to do that.
Q. And we don't need to get into the legality. I just wanted to make sure I understood what the request was.

In engaging with the towns through the MOU process, am I correct that that is not attempted to address any municipal approval of construction within municipally-controlled roads?
A. (Bowes) Correct. We believe the SEC should have jurisdiction for that. That said, we have met with all four towns and have MOUs
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either in draft form or final form. With Madbury and Portsmouth, no need for an MOU to deal with the use of local roads.
Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. We had some testimony, $I$ guess in August, about the designations of the structures. And $I$ just want to go over it real quick one more time. There are two different structure numbers shown on these environmental maps for each structure. One is the original
designation -- I believe that's the sort of darker, black-outlined numbers -- and then the yellow-outlined numbers represent the current designation for the Project for each structure?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And that's due to changes that were made during the process of this proceeding -or this Application?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. (Plante) And there is a table in front of the supplement that does a cross-reference.
Q. That's where $I$ was going next. So, on
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Applicant's 149, at the beginning there's this table that -- I can blow it up so you'll be able to read -- shows the two different numbers and the cross-reference table, essentially?
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And in the current, updated design drawings -- so this is Applicant's 149 -- the structure numbers shown are the construction numbers; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Yes --
Q. So the only --
A. (Plante) -- because the permitting structure numbers are no longer representative of the design because some numbers have been eliminated.
Q. Okay. So the only place that the permitting numbers appear is in the environmental maps where they show both numbers?
A. (Plante) I think that's correct.
Q. And on the environmental maps, if we were --
A. (Plante) Excuse me. They may also be referenced in the permit applications that are part of the filing.
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Q. Thank you for that clarification.

In the environmental maps, there's no indication of structure heights; is that correct?
A. (Plante) On the environmental maps, that's correct.
Q. So in order to determine the height of a particular structure, you'd have to go to the design drawing maps and make sure you're cross-referencing the correct numbered structure --
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. -- and that's where you find that information. And structure heights are listed down here on the bottom half of the pages, at the top of each structure. Is that --
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Okay. In discovery, you produced a list of all the structures with the proposed structure height. Do you recognize that?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Just for reference, this is found in Counsel for the Public Exhibit 7. It's in response
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to Counsel for the Public Data Request 1, and it has a listing of each structure and the proposed height.

Am I correct that these are the permitting numbers and not the construction numbers? I believe these were produced in 2016. You can see the name of the files has a date of 2016.
A. (Plante) Yeah, if it was produced in 2016, it's probably permitting numbers versus the current structure numbers.
Q. Thank you. So, using this, we'd have to use the cross-reference table in Applicant's Exhibit 149 to translate to the correct construction numbers for each structure?
A. (Plante) Yeah, I think so. I mean, I'd have to look at it close to verify that, but I believe that's the case.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

On the engineering design drawings, the top half of the drawing shows the mapped location of each of the structures; is that fair?
A. (Plante) Yes. That represents the plan view
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of the corridor and the structure locations identified, as well as other features.
Q. And then at the bottom it shows the profile view of both the elevation of the land and the height of the towers and conductors?
A. (Plante) Yes, it does.
Q. And the red lines are the actual conductors that are energized?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And the structure types for each of these structures are listed in a chart at the back of the table -- of the exhibit; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And in order to correlate those, you have to look at the designation of each structure here? For example, Structure 4 got a designation of ST-1. Is that the correct --
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And then you'd return to the back of the exhibit, starting on Page 54, and find the corresponding structure type?
A. (Plante) That's how you would do it, yes.
Q. Am I correct that the transition riser
\{SEC 2015-04\} [Day 3 Afternoon ONLY] \{09-17-18\}
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
monopoles that's been sort of a new addition to the Project are not shown in these drawings? I didn't see it, but I could be wrong.
A. (Plante) Yeah, I think you're correct. I think they're not shown here.
Q. Do we have in the record somewhere an engineer-designed drawing for those structures at this point?
A. (Plante) I'm not sure if it's in the record. We have it. I'm not certain if it's actually already in the record.
Q. Is that something that would typically be updated and presented to the SEC at some point during the proceedings?
A. (Plante) It certainly can be.
Q. Okay.
A. (Plante) In fact, the drawings that get issued for construction would have that as part of the final construction set.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And in terms of structure heights, the current distribution line that's in place along the corridor, am I correct that the
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existing heights are roughly 38 to 43 feet?
A. (Plante) That's correct. There could be some variations where we cross over Madbury Road and Route 4. However, the great majority are in the 38- to 43-foot range.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I wanted to take a quick look at Map 11 in Applicant's Exhibit 148 and specifically look at Structure 53. Do you see that? I can make it bigger.
A. (Plante) Yeah, I see it.
Q. It appears that that structure is surrounded by stone walls, at least on three sides?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. To construct a structure that's in that kind of location, what construction technique do you use in order to access the pole and to erect it without interfering with the stone walls?
A. (Plante) So this is a direct-embed structure. So the access here would be not necessarily directly on top of it. We would have the drilling equipment be able to reach inside the boundary of the wall, if you will, to
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excavate the hole. And then setting the structure inside it also is reaching over. So we wouldn't necessarily need to be removing the stone wall to do the construction. And I believe we agreed that we would use mats to protect the walls in this location.
Q. Okay. But your assumption is that you wouldn't need to actually bring equipment in to those walls; you could reach over the walls to do the installation?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And would it be correct to say there are a number of places along the Project that have somewhat unique challenges like that, that you would have to overcome for stone walls or other objects that are in the right-of-way?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. But you believe you can accomplish all those as set forth in the plans?
A. (Plante) We do.
Q. On these environmental maps there's the access roads shown with the dotted red line; is that correct?
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A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And there are some that come from off the corridor, but most of the access roads are within the corridor; is that fair?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Can you give us a sense of how much of the within-corridor access roads are existing today and how much are going to have to be created?
A. (Plante) I'd have to make a guess on that. So... 50 percent.
Q. Fair to say that there's not currently an access road that's useable by construction vehicles along the entire length of the right-of-way?
A. (Plante) Not along the entire length, no.
Q. But there are segments where you can use --
A. (Plante) Yes. And we have been maintaining this for decades for veg management and normal line maintenance.
Q. So in some areas you'll be creating essentially a new access road within the right-of-way and other areas you may be upgrading what's there.
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A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. And that would be by laying gravel or other --
A. (Plante) Yeah, laying gravel, laying mats, or perhaps none of the above because the existing conditions are suitable.
Q. And to the extent you are laying gravel or upgrading to create access roads within the right-of-way, is the proposal to remove all those at the end of construction, or only in certain locations?
A. (Plante) Only in certain locations. We prefer to leave the hard-bottom access that gets created and placed, to the extent that's agreeable to everyone. We would certainly restore, loam, seed and allow the area to vegetate. However, our preference is to leave the gravels in place.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. (Plante) Obviously, that doesn't apply to wetland areas.
Q. Right. In the underground sections of the Project -- for example, I'm showing you Applicant's 148, Map 25, which shows the part
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of the Frink Farm underground section and the Hannah Lane underground section. The environmental maps show two different access roads paralleling each other. Is that what's intended, or is that just a strange issue on the maps?
A. (Plante) I'm not sure if that's what's intended or if that's a typo.
Q. Because it appears in the other underground sections as well as two parallel red lines.
A. (Plante) Yeah, I'm not sure if it's shown that way to depict width of the cable installation. I'd have to consult with our mapping folks.
Q. Sitting here today, do you have any reason to believe that you would need two parallel access roads for the underground section?
A. (Plante) No.
Q. With regard to tree trimming and vegetative clearing, on the environmental maps it's shown in a light green stippling; is that correct?
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Where I think it says "tree clearance"
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specifically in the key, does that include cutting vegetation that's shrubby or lower-level vegetation?
A. (Plante) No. In general, our objective is to remove the tall-growing vegetation that could become a problem for the security of our electric infrastructure and allow the scrub-shrub-type growth to persist.
Q. Along the edges of the right-of-way where clearing is proposed, are the trees proposed to be limbed kind of straight up from the edge of the right-of-way?
A. (Plante) Generally that's the method that's employed, yes.
Q. So, any branch that's extending into the right-of-way potentially is going to be trimmed back.
A. (Plante) Potentially, yes.
Q. All right. I'd like to get back to our most favorite subject, which is the Little Bay crossing. There was some discussion earlier about the cable, charted cable corridor across Little Bay. And what I'm showing you is a map that's attached to Mr. Wall's
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amended prefiled testimony, which is Applicant's 73. It appears at PDF Page 28. And am I correct that these dotted lines show the roughly thousand-foot-wide cable area that's been designated within Little Bay?
A. (Wall) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And do I understand correctly that the underground -- or sorry -the submarine portion of the Project is proposed to be installed entirely within that charted area?
A. (Wall) Correct.
Q. Can you, Mr. Wall, or anyone else, tell me when this cable area was created?
A. (Bowes) So the records that we've been able to find date back to some predecessor companies. We believe it was created around 1902, with installation in 1906. The actual records when the thousand-foot was created are less certain. So we're not quite sure what permitting was done in 1902 for this, if there even was permitting. We do know the first legal requirements the State of New Hampshire had for crossing either state lands
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or state waters didn't occur until 1921. So that's the information we have.
Q. Okay. And this chart, I believe, well, may not say it on the chart, but $I$ think in the testimony it's referenced as a NOAA chart; is that correct?
A. (Wall) That's correct.
Q. The National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Association. So that's a federal agency as opposed to a state agency.
A. (Wall) Correct.
Q. Do we have an understanding of what rights exist for using this cable area under either federal or state law?
A. (Dodeman) There's no rights associated with it. This is effectively a charted notice to mariners that there's cables in the area. So that would be like a no anchor zone, so people know.
A. (Bowes) For this project, we have New Hampshire PUC approval for a license to use this corridor.
Q. Right. And that license is limited to the proposed location of this project and not a
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general license for use of the entire cable corridor; is that fair?
A. (Bowes) So I would agree with that, the way you stated it.
Q. Okay. Now, this map isn't perhaps the best, but it does show what I believe to be some water or channel depths. Am I correct that these numbers that are shown in the center of the channel represent rough depths?
A. (Dodeman) They're depths in feet, and they're usually in reference to low low water or astronomical low water.
Q. When you say "low low water," could you explain what that is?
A. (Dodeman) In an area with essentially two high tides and two low tides a day, low low water is the lowest of the low tides that occur in a day during a semidiurnal tide.
Q. Conversely, the highest high water would be the highest of the high tides in a day?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you. So I think we established before that there are these intertidal zones or either side of Little Bay that are very
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shallow; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And the intertidal zone on the west side is much larger in extent than on the east side.
A. (Dodeman) That's also correct.
Q. And we have in the record from discovery some environmental maps that have been updated to show the depth of water at mean low low water, and those appear in Counsel for the Public Exhibit 7, at PDF Pages 111, I think for three or four pages. And just for additional reference there, Bates Stamp CFP00367 through 369.

Am I correct, based on these maps, that the depth in the tidal flats at low low water is less than a foot?
A. (Dodeman) I think that's a safe assessment.
Q. And then that is true, it appears, based on these maps, out a fairly significant distance from the west shore, and then it drops down into the channel. Is that --
A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. And on the east shore it comes up pretty quickly, and then you've got a relatively
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small area of less than one foot mean low low water depth.
A. (Dodeman) Also correct.
Q. And I think we've seen some photos through this process that show at low tide significant extents of the tidal flats are essentially mud with some pockets of water. Is that a fair representation?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. Okay. So that's low low water. Do you have an estimation of the depth of water at high tide? I think there's a chart that might help. So, in Applicant's Exhibit 125, PDF Page 43, there's a chart of, I guess, the tidal cycle.
A. (Dodeman) Yeah, that's the tidal range. So it would go anywhere from plus four down to minus three. So you'd see a tidal range of probably six, six and a half feet.
Q. And when it says minus three, what's the elevation of zero represent?
A. (Dodeman) Elevation of zero, I believe that may represent slack tide, or the time in between tides.
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Q. So, minus three is not three feet below the ground, it's three feet below some water level.
A. (Dodeman) Below slack tide.
Q. Okay. So if we know that in the intertidal zone at low tide we're essentially at zero, at high tide we could be somewhere in the 6to 7-foot depth, is that reasonable?
A. (Dodeman) Not -- depends how far up shore you're talking about. I mean, obviously it goes up to the land level very quickly. But on the west side it's very shallow for quite a ways, and on the east side it's pretty shallow for quite a ways.
Q. Do you have a sense of how deep the water is in the work area that's proposed within the tidal or intertidal zones at high tide?
A. (Dodeman) At high tide, I think we may have been basing things on probably an average tidal height 4 or 5 feet.
Q. And for the hand jetting that's going to be conducted by divers, am I correct that in order to do hand jetting, first of all, you need to be in water?
\{SEC 2015-04\} [Day 3 Afternoon ONLY] \{09-17-18\}
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Dodeman) Actually, you don't necessarily need to be in the water. We can have divers doing hand jetting in knee-deep water. It just depends on the pump, where the pump is getting its water source from.
Q. So you need to have access to water, but it doesn't have to be in the exact location.
A. (Dodeman) Correct. We can run hoses out into the bay to pull water.
Q. Is it anticipated that the diver burial that's proposed may involve divers who are not submerged for some portion of the work?
A. (Dodeman) Yeah, you will see divers walking up and down the beach that are not submerged.
Q. And I believe the proposal, if I remember correctly, is four hours to either side of the high tide?
A. (Dodeman) I believe that's correct. Yeah, they're limited to the current associated with the tide. To be safe, the divers can't be getting, you know, blown off the work area. So I think we approximated four hours.
Q. In the shallow areas of the western
intertidal zone, is there any reason that the
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divers couldn't operate at lower tide, I mean, basically walk around with the hand jet?
A. (Dodeman) Yeah. I mean, hopefully the mechanical excavation limits how much diver burial there is. So the excavators can, "on the dry," as we call it. When the tide is out, the excavators are going to do the best they can do to create the trench, and the divers will only have to fill in the gap between where the plow starts and where the land trench ends.
Q. So let's take a look at that. I'm looking at Applicant's 148, Map 20, PDF Page 21. And this is the western shore land -- or shore of Little Bay where the Project is proposed to enter Little Bay. And you're just talking about trenching "in the dry" to the extent possible.
A. (Dodeman) To the extent possible. Correct.
Q. It appears on this map that that's not a great distance. I would say maybe 60 or 75 feet, based on the scale.
A. (Dodeman) I think that's about right, yeah.
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Q. Okay. And if I understand what you're saying, that's based -- or that is conducted by an excavator coming onto the shore and reaching as far as it can. Or is there timber matting or other proposals to use to get farther out?
A. (Dodeman) I'd have to check my older documentation or the plan. There may have been a mention of some mattresses somewhere or timber mats. But I think the excavators may actually just run out onto the tidal flats, too. I'd have to defer that to our environmental group.
Q. Okay. So when it's referenced to be working "in the dry," that means at low tide when the water is out, not necessarily staying out of the tidal flat itself?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. Okay. Once the trench is dug into the tidal flat, the tides are going to come back in; correct?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. Is something done to maintain the trench as
an open trench during higher water?
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A. (Dodeman) Not necessarily. There is going to be a certain amount of accretion that occurs on a tidal cycle, but the trench stays relatively open. It may fill back slowly, but the operators maintain that trench every day that they need to, to make sure it's at the proper depth before the next cable comes in to be landed.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

So the time between digging that trench on the edge of the shore and the actual jet plow and connecting of the cables, if I understand correctly, that's going to be over a number of weeks?
A. (Dodeman) Again, the trench will be maintained literally up until the barge shows up on site. There will still be excavators on site trying to keep the trench open. The idea is to minimize diver burial where you can.
Q. Right. Now, also shown on these maps is a barge laydown area?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And there's two -- let's see if it's better
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on this. So, on Map 21, the barge laydown is further out in the tidal flat, and on Map 20 there's a barge laydown area in the diver burial section. Do I understand correctly that that is a location where there are two different barges, but the barge will sit -it will float at high tide, but it will actually sit on the bay floor at low tides?
A. (Dodeman) The barge will not be sitting on the bay floor directly. The barge will be brought into as shallow an area as it can safely be floating. These barges are not designed to touch bottom.
Q. Okay. There was reference in some of the earlier Application materials to the barge actually sitting on the bay floor.
A. (Dodeman) During the very beginning phases of the Project, when Caldwell Marine was involved, there was a difference in engineering procedures. The Caldwell plan which was put together by Troy Godfrey originally actually had the nose of the barge, you know, the shallow end of the barge, possibly touching, where the Durocher,
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or Kokosing plan, they would rather not have the barge touching the bottom at all.
Q. So, for diver burial, they bring the barge in at high tide and the divers do their work, and when the tide starts to come down, they remove the barge?
A. (Dodeman) Well, no. The diver burial is going to occur with a different vessel. This barge laydown area we're seeing is just in terms of cable-laying operations and plowing operations. Divers will be working inside of a silt fence that's created around them with a smaller dive boat. And that can be something as small as a 26-foot skiff, flat-bottom skiff.
Q. And that silt fence is this red line with the Xs as shown on this --
A. (Bowes) I actually think it's orange.
A. (Dodeman) Yes. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay.
A. (Dodeman) So if the divers are working off of the barge, the silt fence will go right out around the end of the barge. If they're working on smaller vessels or doing just some
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dive work from land, et cetera, they will be inside of the silt curtain closer in shore.
Q. But if I understand what you just said a minute ago, the barge laydown area is not actually a laydown, it's just a work area at this point.
A. (Dodeman) It's a work area. And I believe the reason we call it a "laydown area" is because it may be holding station there when it's not moving. When they're doing the plow launching preparations, et cetera, it will be sitting there on anchors.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And for the second barge laydown area that's shown, my understanding was originally that that was for the jet plow operation. But it sounds like the jet plow barge may move all the way in to the diver burial area?
A. (Dodeman) As close as it can to shore, yes.
Q. Is this barge laydown area -- what does that represent?
A. (Dodeman) To be perfectly honest with you, I've lost track of what that represents because that's an area where we should be
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moving.
Q. Okay. With regard to the jet plow operation, how much water depth does it require for a jet plow to operate?
A. The jet plow itself can operate almost in the dry. Again, they're limited to the water intake for the pump that feeds water through the plow. Those hoses can only be extended for probably a maximum of 150 feet comfortably. So, again, you're taking -- you need water under the barge to get water to the plow. The plow itself, we've actually done projects where the plow was launched way up shore and towed out on a winch while operating.
Q. Is that an option in this case?
A. (Dodeman) It's not an option in this case because it's too far in shore away from the landing. And it's impossible to set the barge up on the ledge on land because the elevation changes are so great so quickly.
Q. So one of the big factors is getting the barge in as close as you can to shore --
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
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Q. -- and then you can leave the jet plow some distance behind the barge, but not far enough to get to shore.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. Conversely, how close can the jet plow be to the barge?
A. (Dodeman) The jet plow can operate literally, directly, probably within 20 feet of the back of the barge. But during recovery times, the plow can be recovered directly to the barge, which means it can be working literally just under the stern of the barge.
Q. So when you reach the eastern shore landing, again you're limited as to how far the barge can go towards shore --
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. -- and then the plow can come essentially up to the back of the barge.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. In terms of actual plowing operation -- I'll pull up the diagram.

All right. So I'm showing you a photograph from Mr. Wall's testimony, Applicant's Exhibit 73, PDF Page 45. This is
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a photograph of a jet sled; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And is this exact model going to be used, or is it just a representative model?
A. (Dodeman) This is the exact model.
Q. Okay. If we go back up a page, sort of a technical diagram I'll say, this kind of the arm of the plow is what cuts down into the sediment and lays the cable?
A. (Wall) Yes, that's called the "stinger."
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And if I understand how this operates correctly, when you're starting the operation, you lower the stinger to the desired depth into the sediment and then start pulling the plow?
A. (Dodeman) So before you lower the stinger or the plow blade into the sediment, you have to turn on the water pumps because the leading edge has nozzles, water nozzles, that are high-volume-designed water nozzles. For you to rotate into the bottom, you need to turn the bottom into essentially a fluid. You demulsify the bottom, if you will.
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Q. And so once you've done that, you're able to drop the stinger into the sediment.
A. (Dodeman) That's correct. As you rotate the stinger into the sea floor, you have to keep moving while you're doing it. So as you're moving forward, it takes about a hundred feet, according to the Durocher calculations, to rotate it to full depth.
Q. Okay. And then once you're down into the full depth, what is the operation if you run into something that's either heavier sediment that are causing it to slow down or ledge?
A. (Dodeman) If you run into ledge, we operate on, it's called a "reasonable endeavors basis." If you run into ledge and you're sitting there for long enough that you're no longer getting any forward movement, then you start rotating the plow blade up out of it until you're over the ledge. Worst-case scenario is you have exposed ledge where you actually have to raise the blade all the way and the cable to the surface. And in those areas, then we do supplemental protection.
Q. Okay. And so it sounds like there could be
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instances where the plow slows or even stops temporarily while you're raising the stinger or the blade up to get past an obstruction?
A. (Dodeman) That certainly can happen.
Q. And am I correct that the advance rate of the plow varies depending on the substrate?
A. (Dodeman) It does. In a worst-case scenario, we typically look at 150 meters per hour.
Q. Feet. I think feet is --
A. (Dodeman) Excuse me. It's 150 feet per hour.
Q. And that's an average.
A. (Dodeman) That's an average. Typically we can move faster, which is ideal for everyone. But in a worst-case scenario, we limit it to probably 150 feet per hour. If we fall below that progress rate, that's when we start discussing raising the movement rate and rotating the blade up.
Q. Now, as part of the process of crossing the bay, the barge needs to be stabilized to pull the plow; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) The barge is motivated by an anchor system.
Q. And am I correct that the anchor system has
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to be moved periodically as the barge progresses across the bay?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct, and that's done with a support tug boat that's in the area at all times.
Q. During that process, is the plow stopped?
A. (Dodeman) The plow does hold station during anchor moves, yes.
Q. When the plow is stationary, does the jetting need to continue, or is turned off?
A. (Dodeman) When the plow is stationary, the jet pump or pumps are turned way down, but you still keep positive pressure on the nozzles so they're just idling.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

In order to advance as far as possible into the eastern shore, is the goal to arrive at high tide?
A. (Dodeman) That is the goal. But if we arrive below that tidal range, then we do have to hold station until we get more water under the barge.
Q. So if you got there early, you would wait for the tide cycle to come up.
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A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. And similar to the repositioning of the anchor cables, I assume that the plow jetting would be reduced to a minimum while you're waiting; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

When the trenching is being done on the shores -- sorry. When the trenching is being done on the shores, is the trench -- I guess the question is how wide is the trench. I understand it starts out with, I assume, the same width as the regular underground portion of the cable, which is I think three and a half feet wide. But then it's going to have to spread out as the cables move to their eventual 30-foot separation in the bay. So, on the shore trenching portion, is this trench getting wider as you move away from the shore?
A. (Dodeman) It actually fans out once you hit the water -- or excuse me -- once you hit somewhere on the tidal flat. We're allowed to move the cables close together in a single
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trench up until that point.
Q. Okay. When you say "at the tidal flat," when you hit sort of the -- I guess we're talking doing this at low tide, so at the mean low low water level?
A. (Dodeman) Maybe a little bit seaward of that. If you look at the drawing, you're actually looking at the land profile. And they start to fan out while you're still on the tidal flat, right on the edge.
Q. In this area at Station 398+34.99?
A. (Dodeman) I believe I'm looking at Station $397+00$ is where they really start fanning out significantly.
Q. Okay. So right in at the shore.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. But it looks like they don't get too wide until farther out, close to Station 399.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. So as drawn here, there's sort of two different inflexion points?
A. (Dodeman) Yes. And it's really the width associated -- where they start getting really wide, that's where we start being able to
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launch the plow comfortably because the plow -- the danger is plowing in an area where you've already laid one cable is hitting a cable that you just laid with the second cable. So that's why we have to have the distance. That's one of the construction reasons why we have to have the distance between the cables.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

In terms of diver burial, do I understand correctly that the trenches are wider for diver burial than for jet plowing?
A. (Dodeman) No, that's not necessarily the way it works. So when a diver is burying with a jet nozzle, it's literally a brass nozzle with a backward-facing jet so that -- it's a balanced nozzle. So there's water going into the bottom and there's water coming back out, which is where the turbidity comes from. When they're doing that kind of trench, that nozzle is actually being jammed into the bottom in and around that cable and it's being worked. So you never really see a trench in the diver burial area where you
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have tidal flow because it just kind of collapses. You're just turning the bottom into a fluid, and the cable sinks into that fluid.
Q. So just like jet plowing, you're laying the cable at the same time you're fluidizing the bed.
A. (Dodeman) Yeah. When you're jet plowing, it's simultaneous. When the divers are working, the cable's in place sitting on the bottom, and divers come and start working that cable in with the jet nozzle.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

That process I believe is expected to take about a month for hand jetting, is that correct, on the western side?
A. (Dodeman) I believe that's what we have in the schedule. It is a slow process.
Q. Is there any cable protection in place while the cable is laying on the floor during hand jetting?
A. (Dodeman) No. The cable itself has armor wires around it that provide some protection. And those armor wires are fine to protect the
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cable while there's work crews in the area acting as guard boats.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And for this project, the proposal is to
use concrete mattresses where you can't
achieve a 42-inch burial?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct.
Q. And that 42-inch burial is dictated by the National Electric Safety Code?
A. (Dodeman) That's also correct.
Q. And am I correct that concrete mattresses is
one form of supplemental protection that's going to be used?
A. (Dodeman) That's a widely recognized
supplemental form of protection that covers
you for NERC code -- or N-E -- National
Electrical Safety Code.
Q. But it's not the only protective measure that's possible; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) It's not the only measure that's possible. In this case, it's the only measure that's possible that allows us to maintain ampacity.
Q. I'll come back to that in a minute. First I
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want to talk about the mattresses specifically.

These are 8-foot-by-20-foot mattresses of concrete --
A. (Dodeman) About 9 inches --
Q. -- 9 inches tall. And they weigh roughly 8,000 pounds?
A. (Dodeman) I believe that's correct as well.
Q. Okay. As shown on this drawing, and based on the testimony, I believe the proposal is to lay a single mattress on top of each cable?
A. (Dodeman) There are several mattresses that are slightly overlapped over each other.
Q. So at the beginning of this section going into the bay, the cables are closer together. And I think you just said the mattresses will overlap to some extent?
A. (Dodeman) Yes.
Q. So, if each are 9 inches tall, they'll be essentially 18 inches?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And then as far as the cable itself, I believe the cable's around 5 inches in diameter?
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A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And I think there's a drawing.
A. (Dodeman) There is a minimum spacing that we use, too, inside that trench.
Q. The mattresses go on top of the cable. And I believe there's a drawing showing sandbags placed to either side of the cable to protect it from the mattress. Is that to create a space?
(Pause in proceedings)
Q. This is Applicant's 149, and it's PDF Page 32. And in the bottom right-hand corner there's a detailed cross-section for the concrete mattresses. It appears to show the cable in the center with the sandbags to either side underneath the mattress?
A. (Dodeman) That's what is depicted in the drawing, yes. I'm not sure if sandbags are planned to actually be used or if that's just an area where the bottom is non-conformal. I'm not sure if we're going to use sandbags along every part of the route that has mattresses.
Q. Okay. Is there a need for some cushioning
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for the cable so that an 8,000-pound mattress doesn't hurt it?
A. (Dodeman) No. No, these mattresses are designed for this type of cable.
Q. But will there at least be a small bulge in the mattress where the cable is --
A. (Dodeman) Certainly, unless the cable is hopefully partially buried at least. Ideally you want the mattresses to sit very flat on the bottom.
Q. And so, then, do I take it that the goal would be to bury the cable as far as you can and then place a mattress over it if it didn't achieve the 42-inch depth?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct. So what we do when we're laying -- when you're laying a cable through a jet plow, the jet plow is very heavily instrumented. So we have a very good idea of where the cable's final burial depth is. So we keep a log of that during the whole entire cable lay until we stop. We analyze the log and then look at the burial depths that were achieved, and that's when we can go back and see where we need to put
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mattresses where we didn't achieve the 42-inch coverage.
Q. And is there similar data logging for hand jetting, or is that --
A. Hand jetting is typically just verified with a diver's probe, which is just literally a gauge that they push into the bottom and touch the cable with it.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

So as we sit today, we don't know exactly how deep we'll be able to get the cable in any particular location. So that means we also don't know exactly where concrete mattresses will be required or what sort of configuration they may be; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) That is correct. So the mattress numbers and lineal-feet coverage shown in the drawings is what we have evaluated as a realistic scenario, but definitely based on conservative estimates.
Q. And that conservative estimate has changed, though, during the course of this project to date. I believe it was originally estimated
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at the 5,000 square-foot range and bumped up to 8,000 and change?
A. (Dodeman) That's correct. And I know there's a difference in numbers between one or two of these reports that have been submitted.
A. (Bowes) On that note, there was I believe a typographical error in the first DES response to the Company. I think the number's been fairly consistent, around 8600. We brought that to their attention, and they subsequently corrected the data error they had.
Q. And that's part of the recent filing by DES in response to the April 27th letter?
A. (Bowes) Correct. So I think the Company's position has been unchanged, but we did go through a process with the DES to make sure it was consistent with what we originally filed.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

As part of the Project, there was a survey, a marine survey report done by Durocher in 2017. Do you recall that?
A. (Dodeman) Yes. I believe they subcontracted
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that out to OSI.
Q. And this appears in Applicant's 125, starting at PDF Page 15. And based on the text, it appears that this was conducted using a steel rod and/or a water jet probe to test depths that could be achievable?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And I think I understand that this was done across the entire channel in samples, not every location.
A. (Bowes) I think there were a dozen locations or so. I think that's what's shown here.
Q. We could take a quick look. The first probe logs I believe are shown in these red -- the locations I believe are shown in the red designations, and they appear to cross the entire bay as we scroll through these maps, which is PDF Pages 19 through 21. And then there's subsequent, more detailed testing along each shore, $I$ believe, which is shown on the second set of logs. Well, we'll get to that in a second.

So, starting on Page 24 of this exhibit, there are -- this is a survey report I guess
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we'll call it. If I understand correctly, this is showing the depths for each of the probes and indicating in color coding where there were issues. So green was, great, we could get to 8 feet; orange, yellowish-orange, was 4 feet or less; and red was little or no penetration. And it appears in this first set of logs that there are only a couple of trouble spots, one being at Station 429+71, and another being at Station $437+13$.

Do I understand correctly that those two locations are shown on the environmental maps as these small concrete mattresses proposed in the mid-channel? Probably easier to see on the other map.
A. (Dodeman) I think that's correct. I'm not sure if those mattress locations are based on original, older survey data or the Durocher data.
Q. So, for example, Applicant's Exhibit 149, PDF Page 28, there's a mattress shown at Station 437, which I believe corresponds to this NPL-10 location in the probe logs, which is
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also Station 437?
A. (Dodeman) There was -- I misspoke before when I said Durocher subcontracted OSI. There was an OSI survey done, which is a remote survey which is done with side-scan sonar, sub-bottom profiler and magnetometer. That is called a "remote sensing survey." And you get some idea of how tough the bottom is using that equipment.

The probe survey is an actual invasive survey where Durocher went in, stuck a diver in the water with either a jet lance or piece of rebar, half-inch rebar, to see how deep they can stab it in the bottom.

The drawings that you're referencing now, I'm not sure if these mattresses, potential mattress locations, were based on the probe survey or the OSI survey.
Q. Thank you.

And in terms of this particular location in the Durocher survey -- again, it's Applicant's 125, PDF Page 26 -- this one appears to be a jet probe. And you just used the term "jet lance"?
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A. (Dodeman) Same, same.
Q. It's essentially just a hand jet?
A. (Dodeman) It's essentially a piece of pipe with a hose at the end of it, and a diver stabs it into the bottom. And they run water through it for the same exact reason, to fluidize the bottom to see how deep they can get it.
Q. Is the jet probe or lance going to give you a more accurate representation of how the jet plow might do in that location than rebar?
A. (Dodeman) It may. However, due to the lack of volume of water in a jet lance, you don't know. A jet lance can stop on a cobblestone if it's 3 feet down, where a jet plow uses a much higher volume of water and the cobblestones would tend to fall out.
Q. Would it be accurate to say that it's likely that the jet plow would be able to achieve more depth than the jet probe?
A. (Dodeman) Yes.
Q. So these would be conservative --
A. (Dodeman) Yes. Everything that we're doing in terms of coming up with where mattresses
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have to be put, or may likely be put, was the result of doing the study of permanent impacts, which is significant. Obviously it's a huge portion of what the DES is concerned with is permanent impacts. In light of that, that's why we have to be so conservative with the mattress numbers.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Moving further down this exhibit, this probe report, there's a second set of probes that were done in the shores. So this, I believe, on PDF Page 29 of Exhibit 125, shows the west probe logs. So that would be the western tidal flats. And based on the station numbers, these are fairly close together; is that correct?
A. (Dodeman) Yes, they are.
Q. What's the distance between 396 and 397?
A. (Dodeman) Some of them are 5 feet, some are 10 feet.
Q. These are all feet, the plus --
A. (Dodeman) Yes.
Q. And the penetration depth shown in the column fourth from the right, it appears that you
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don't achieve 42 inches until approximately, well, until exactly Station 397+81.

Is that the basis -- would it be reasonable to say that these probe logs support the extent of concrete mattresses shown in the plans?
A. (Dodeman) Yes, certainly they support that.
Q. And these probe logs go on for a few pages. There's a log for the north, the center and the south cable location.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. And then the same thing on the eastern side, northeast, center east, southeast.

And these were done in 2017, so these are relatively recent?
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. So, looking at the northwest cable location, if there's 6 inches of penetration depth basically at the shoreline, is it your anticipation that the cable would be able to be buried at least 6 inches there?
A. (Dodeman) My anticipation is that an excavator, when it shows up on site, is going to be able to get deeper than that. Again,
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when they're talking about using a probe here, this is literally a piece of rebar with a guy pushing on it. So, hopefully knowing that cables have been buried on this shoreline before, hopefully some bigger excavation machinery can do better than that.
Q. Forgot about the trench. Sorry.

Do you know how far out you're
anticipating trenching?
A. (Dodeman) I can't say for sure. I don't know what's represented on the drawings. But the idea is to get the excavators to do their job as far out as they can in the dry while working low tides.
Q. Okay. So if the tide line is at $396+80$, if you were able to get out 45 feet, you'd be out to probe Location 5, 50 feet, 6.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. So it's possible that the trenching could get almost all the way out to where the 42 -inch burial is indicated as possible here?
A. (Dodeman) Correct. And that's our hope as well.
A. (Bowes) I think in one of the previous charts
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we showed an estimate, your question, about 60 feet or so. So we'd certainly hope to get, you know, all the way out to 74 feet.
Q. So if you were able to do that, would that eliminate the need for concrete mattresses on this one cable on the western shore?
A. (Dodeman) Yes, certainly on the one cable, but hopefully for all three. But the goal is, if 42 inches is achieved over the top of the cable, which means we have to dig a little bit deeper because the burial depth of 42 inches is at the top of the cable, which is about 5 inches around, so we have to get a little deeper than that.
Q. Earlier you made a comment about alternative means of supplemental protection being not feasible. In this exhibit, actually, there's a discussion on Page 7, PDF Page 7, which is actually Page 3 of the report, about alternatives, and that included trenching further into the tidal flats, which sounds like you go as far as you can.
A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. Blasting and split pipes are discussed.
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A. (Dodeman) Correct.
Q. I'm not going to go into blasting because it seems like an inappropriate thing to do in the bay. But in terms of split pipes, according to the testimony here, they require a 1-foot burial.
A. (Dodeman) That's correct. But I could explain very quickly why split pipes can't be used on this system.
Q. Right. You mentioned earlier that ampacity would be a concern.
A. (Dodeman) Yeah. Any metallic ring structure around this type of cable causes a loss of ampacity. You cannot put any metallic ring structure around any of the cables.
Q. And why wasn't ampacity one of the reasons that were given for rejecting split pipes in this report, which is the Little Bay Impact Assessment report? It wasn't your report but --
A. (Dodeman) Probably because it was put together by environmental people and not construction people. Short answer.
Q. Fair enough. I can ask the environmental
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people.
A. (Bowes) Yeah, I would say, to add to that, is it has been used successfully on Eversource projects in the past. It's certainly something to consider. When we ran the calculations as an option, it was our preferred option, but unfortunately we just can't seem to make the math work in this case. This is a very high-capacity cable in comparison to the ones where we used split pipe before. About three or four times the ampacity is needed for the circuit than what we've done in the past. It's really just unfortunately a mathematical, ampacity limitation. Obviously, it would be easier to accomplish, much less hand jetting as well, but it just isn't available to us.
Q. Thank you.

In terms of excavating further into the bay to try to get past the shallow penetration areas, what are the limitations from a construction feasibility standpoint for doing that?
A. (Dodeman) We are not allowed to take an
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excavator into the water. On other projects in other states I've seen excavators working with the tracks underwater. Literally, the cap is the only thing sticking out. Here we're not allowed to do that.
Q. And is that based on DES regulations?
A. (Dodeman) My understanding of the DES regulations, correct.
Q. Is there any alternative for essentially a barged excavator, putting that onto a floating platform?
A. (Dodeman) Again, we're in an area where even a small construction barge with an excavator on it is probably going to draw somewhere between 4 and 6 feet of water. So that puts us out of reach.
Q. And are there larger excavators with a larger reach that could be -- have you looked at that alternative?
A. (Dodeman) I think we're going to be using as large of an excavator as we can get into the work area.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

There was some reference in some of the
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early Application materials about the cable giving off heat. And my understanding is that if it's buried at 42 inches, it's not going to be an issue. But if it's unable to be buried at a sufficient depth, perhaps as little as 6 inches near shore, will the cable heat up the sediment or heat up the water? Is that something that's been looked at?
A. (Bowes) It's certainly not going to cause difficulty for the cable itself. The area directly around the cable, there'd probably be some nominal amount of heating, probably very similar to what you'd see with a cable underground cable on land. I mean, there's minimal impacts that usually dissipate within a couple feet. In this case, you have water as a source to sink the heat away. It's probably going to be less of an impact than it would be on land.
Q. And there's no suggestion to using any kind of thermal backfill to protect it.
A. (Bowes) Not in -- no.
Q. Not in the sea.
A. (Bowes) No.
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Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. (Bowes) Just to be clear, it's normally when you go deeper on land is when you have the thermal constraint, not close to the surface.
Q. Right. We had a little bit of testimony earlier this morning about the manhole or splice vault that's proposed on the eastern shore. And am I correct that this is 32 feet long and 10 feet wide?
A. (Dodeman) Yeah. I believe there's a drawing that's been submitted for that. I believe that's correct, 35 by 10.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And those drawings appear, I believe, in Applicant's Exhibit 149, at PDF Pages 33 and 34.

Installation of that -- and I think you
testified earlier that it was 12 feet deep that is buried. Installation requires a fairly large crane to drop that in?
A. (Bowes) Yes. I think we're looking at multiple pieces for this vault as well to limit the need for a very, very large crane.
Q. Roughly how long does it take to install?
A. (Bowes) I would say I would plan on an
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evolution of mobilizing equipment on Monday, begin excavating. Probably by midweek you'll be bringing in pieces of the vault, probably be backfilling on that Saturday. So, probably about a week. I mean, obviously if we get into ledge or rock it could extend it a few days. But one to two weeks probably is typical.
Q. Fairly involved process for that piece.
A. (Bowes) Yes. I would say so, yeah.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I want to turn briefly to the HDD review that was done. And I'll summarize.

You did two different analyses, one for crossing of the entire bay in a single bore and then another analysis looking at a shore landing approach on both shores where you would HDD drill out into the deeper part of the bay and then emerge into a jet plow. Is that roughly a fair summary?
A. (Strater) We did.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And the conclusion was essentially it would be much more expensive. Both scenarios
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were much more expensive and had significantly more land-side impacts, is that fair?
A. (Strater) Among other things. Definitely more land-side impacts, more expensive, more time-consuming, more construction risks.
Q. And one of the reasons that the shore landing approach was both expensive and had land-side impacts was the distance that was proposed?
A. (Strater) The distance and the subsurface conditions, both of them would involve drilling in rock.
Q. And through the tech sessions, I believe I understand that to do a shorter HDD was not deemed feasible because you couldn't get equipment into the shallow tidal areas; is that correct?
A. (Strater) One of the limiting factors controlling the geometry was the location of the exit point, which would be controlled by where we could get a barge -- the point being that you need sufficient depth of water for that barge to be accessible by boat so that you're not stranding the construction crew in
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the event of an accident. You need to be able to evacuate.
Q. And is it correct that the difference between the jet plow barge which will operate in the shallow waters and the construction barge is that the jet plow barge could get out of the area for low tides?
A. (Strater) I can speak to the construction barge for directional drilling. It needs to be -- sorry. If we're assuming a barge with legs or with spuds, lower to the bottom, they need to be stable. You don't want that in the intertidal zone. You don't want the water moving, to be eroding beneath the legs of the barge, if you will. So you want it to be fairly static.
Q. Okay.
A. You also don't want it -- for the sake of any fixed point -- at the end of the drill, you don't want that barge to move at all once they start connecting to it.
Q. Okay. And so for those reasons you propose shore landing approaches that went all the way past the intertidal areas into the deeper
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water.
A. (Strater) Correct. I believe we had a minimum water depth of about 10,10 to 12 feet, for that purpose.
Q. Okay. And I believe, though you modeled doing both shore landings, you could do one or the other by themselves; correct?
A. (Strater) Yes.
Q. And that's all summarized in the report that was filed as Appendix 133.
A. (Strater) Yes.
Q. And at this point the Applicant's proposal remains to do the jet plowing approach and not to consider HDD; correct?
A. (Bowes) Yes, it does. We really looked at -Nick mentioned some of the factors. It's really based upon the construction risk, and was it a project that we could be comfortably permitting, siting and then going forward and constructing. We're just very concerned that we would not be successful with HDD operations and it would lead us back to, you know, where we are, or some other alternative that couldn't be supported.
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We also had some permitting concerns. The Army Corps did not support it. So, though not necessarily for this project, it certainly sets the stage with us going head to head with an agency that we would prefer not to unless there's good reason to. We already had the permit from DES.

And Nick mentioned the final thing, that obviously the cost was significantly more than the total project cost for this. It more than doubled the total project cost, which would be an impact to ratepayers.

MR. IACOPINO: You said Appendix 133.
You meant Exhibit 133?
MR. ASLIN: Yes. Sorry. Applicant's 133.
A. (Bowes) So the final factor being cost and the concern about how those costs would be allocated.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I want to turn briefly to the issue of property rights, and more specifically to those portions of the Project where new rights have been acquired or under contract.
\{SEC 2015-04\} [Day 3 Afternoon ONLY] \{09-17-18\}
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

If I understand you correctly, there are maybe a half-dozen parts of the Project that required some acquisition of new rights, and I want to kind of walk through it to understand where those are.

If I have it correct, the first area, starting from the west in Madbury, the Applicants obtained an additional easement area parallel to their current right-of-way along the railroad section in Durham?
A. (Bowes) That is correct.
Q. And that's a 25-foot-wide additional easement?
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. Is that easement already purchased or is that under contract?
A. (Plante) It's already purchased.
A. (Bowes) We believe that first segment has already been acquired. It may also have been a purchase of a property there, too.
A. (Plante) Yes.
Q. Oh, in Madbury you mean?
A. (Bowes) Correct.
Q. So, to that point, the Madbury property that
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was purchased, is that this parcel shown on Applicant's Exhibit 148, Map 1, Parcel 104, labeled "Public Service Company of New Hampshire"?
A. (Plante) Yes.
A. (Bowes) Yes, that's the one.
Q. Moving to Map 2, can you give me an approximate location of where the 25 -foot additional easement starts?
A. (Plante) Starts at the south side of Route 4 and continues all the way down to the UNH residential area.
Q. Okay.
A. (Bowes) That section is also identified on the construction map, the plan profile.
Q. Oh, as an additional right-of-way?
A. (Bowes) Yeah, it shows it.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And Mr. Plante, you said that that's been acquired. Do you know approximately when that was acquired?
A. (Plante) I don't have the date off the top of my head.
Q. Was it since the Application was filed or
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before the Application?
A. (Plante) I think before.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I believe the next section would be the underground rights through UNH campus that starts on Page 5 of the environmental maps. Am I correct that those are new rights acquired by the Applicant or are under contract to be acquired?
A. (Bowes) I believe the latter, under contract to be acquired.
Q. And that extends all the way from the $A$ lot area where it transitions to underground, through Map 5 and Map 6 to where it comes back above ground?
A. (Bowes) In essence, where the transmission is -- sorry -- transition structure is.
Q. Okay. And you said that is under contract. It has not actually been acquired at this point.
A. (Bowes) Correct.
Q. Is that stretch of the Project currently a PSNH overhead right-of-way, or is it just a UNH distribution line there?
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A. (Plante) It's a Eversource overhead corridor, and there is some UNH electric facility within there as well.
Q. So it's both.

I believe, if I am correct, the next new rights that were acquired were at the shore of Little Bay on the Durham side where you acquired the Getchell property?
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And since you own it, you don't need any additional underground rights; correct?
A. (Bowes) We would ultimately place an easement on that property for underground and overhead rights.
Q. So that's on Map 20. And then the next section would be on the other side of Little Bay. We had some testimony about this earlier, on Map 22, you have a newer easement through the Beswick property at Gundalow Landing.
A. (Bowes) It's actually an option for an easement, but yes.
Q. Okay. And then, in addition to that, $I$ believe the testimony is that you acquired
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some additional rights from other landowners within Gundalow Landing to extend the underground section off of the roadway and through private property?
A. (Bowes) Correct. All the way out to the road, to the cross street.
Q. And those rights are also options or --
A. (Bowes) Those are option agreements.
Q. Okay. And then across Little Bay Road to avoid the Flynn Pit vernal pool, I believe there was an exchange of easements with the Town; is that correct?
A. (Bowes) I believe that's correct, yes.
Q. And so am I correct that the original easement ran more or less straight across what's depicted as NW-4, that wetland area, to the pole that's to be removed?
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. And is the exchange essentially that you're relinquishing your easement rights through that section to the Town and obtaining the new proposed easement area?
A. (Bowes) That is the agreement, yes.
Q. And is that option agreement, or has that
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been acquired at that point?
A. (Bowes) I believe it's an option agreement at this point.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then I think the last one would be the underground section through Frink Farm and Hannah Lane, which is on Maps 25 and 26. And those are new underground easement rights that either have been acquired or are under option?
A. (Bowes) Under option as well.
Q. And I believe Ms. Frink made the point earlier that the overhead rights over the Frink Farm property would be released, but that the overhead rights over Hannah Lane are to remain?
A. (Bowes) And I actually had a homework assignment to read in the response to that. So thank you for giving me the opportunity.
Q. Go ahead.
A. (Bowes) At this point, PSNH is not going to relinquish the overhead rights for this portion along Hannah Lane. We don't have any plans to use them, but we're going to retain
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those for the time being. That's the overhead rights.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Are there any other new easement rights that were needed for this project that you're aware of?
A. (Bowes) Yes, there are.
Q. What were those?
A. (Bowes) The last property where we enter Portsmouth substation, with the sale of the generation plants, $I$ believe we also have some new easement area right adjacent to the substation for those structures that exit the substation.
Q. Has that been acquired or is it an option?
A. (Bowes) I believe it's been acquired.
Q. Okay. So, looking at the whole project, it is largely within existing overhead right-of-way. There are some sections that are now going underground, which are new rights. And there's at least the one section through Gundalow Landing and around the Flynn Pit through new easements. Is that a fair summary?
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A. (Bowes) As well as I think purchasing the other two properties.
Q. When $I$ said "new easements," that meant a new right-of-way, physically different from the original right-of-way.
A. (Bowes) Yes, I think that's accurate.
Q. All right. Thank you. I want to touch on environmental monitors.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr. Aslin?

MR. ASLIN: Maybe like five more minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: That was my question. Thank you.

MR. ASLIN: Maybe ten. Sorry.
BY MR. ASLIN:
Q. In terms of environmental monitors, I understand that DES is requiring that the environmental monitors for the Little Bay crossing be independent monitors that are approved by DES. And I believe your testimony or, rather, one of the reports states that the other environmental monitors for the land portions of the Project will be
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independent, meaning not part of the Company; is that correct?
A. (Plante) That's correct, not part of the Company; however, part of the Project team.
Q. So, retained by Eversource.
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And for those not -- for those environmental monitors outside of Little Bay, will they report directly to the construction manager or someone else on the team?
A. (Plante) Yes, to the construction manager. Ultimately their reports would go directly to DES as well at the end of each week.
Q. Okay. And do you have at this time an estimate of how many environmental monitors will be needed?
A. (Plante) Not exactly. It would vary, depending on the amount of work sites that are open at any given time.
Q. Okay.
A. (Plante) So we have the potential of working all four towns at the same time, all four towns and the bay crossing, potentially. So what we typically do is we flex the size of
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our field monitoring resources based on the amount of effort that's being undertaken at any given time. And that's not to say we'll have an environmental monitoring team with every person who's out on the Project at any given time. They have the ability to cover during the work week all of the activities that are being undertaken.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Will the same firm or subcontractor be responsible for both environmental monitoring and historical resources monitoring?
A. (Plante) It's possible if that consultant has the specific background to do that. We haven't selected those people yet.
Q. At what point in the Project would you typically select environmental monitors?
A. (Plante) Shortly before construction.
Q. And they get trained through --
A. (Plante) It's probably a pretty safe bet that Normandeau will be heavily involved in the field-compliance monitoring, so the training would be self-administered.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
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Last area of discussion briefly is decommissioning. We had some testimony earlier that the Project's sort of paper lifetime is 40 years. But I believe there's also testimony stating that the Company has no plan to retire the Project in the future. Can you reconcile those two statements?
A. (Bowes) So each facility that we build, we plan on a life of 40 years. In my three-plus decades with the Company, I'm not sure I can think of a transmission line we've retired without its rebuild or replacement. It's a very rare occurrence. It's certainly possible. And at that point we'd could go through a process with the regulators at that time, siting and environmental. We'd remove the facilities per the existing regulations. I know New Hampshire has some new regulations for below-grade and above-grade removal. If those were still in effect, we would go forward and execute those. I think we've had some discussion around what does that mean. It means down to 48 inches we'd remove if it was concrete. Vaults, for example, would
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either be cut and left; duct banks would probably be left; cable would be removed; the amount of overhead on the right-of-way would be completely removed. But the chances of that happening in even 40 years I think are relatively small.
Q. So when you say a 40-year lifetime or life span for the Project, that's for the components of the Project?
A. (Bowes) Correct, for the physical assets. And we'd look at probably some major maintenance on the overhead system at 20 to 30 years, some of the hardware and some of the insulators. If the underground system is properly operated, we could get twice the 40-year life out of it. So that would be our desire because that would be the least readily available replacement item, working in Little Bay again.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And given that this is a reliability project, are you able to decommission it without going through some sort of FERC
approval process or with the ISO?
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A. (Bowes) It's clearly with ISO-New England. And no, we're not just able to retire something. We would have to show that there's no adverse impact to do it, and they would have to support that.
Q. Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have. Thank you all.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Okay.
Let's take a 10-minute break. When we come back, we'll have the questions from the Committee and redirect from the Applicant and then see where we are with time. Thank you. (Brief recess was taken at 3:49 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 4:04 p.m.)

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Okay. We're going to resume. And procedurally, we're going to have questions from the Committee and then have redirect by the Applicant. And then, depending where we are with time, if it doesn't take us all that long, we probably won't do Mr. Andrew because he's got a fair amount of time allotted to him, but we may be able to squeeze in Mr. Cullen. So we're just going to have to see where we are after we're finished
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with the Committee questions and redirect. I think it's safe to say we will not get to Mr. Andrew today.

WITNESS BOWES: I also have a couple corrections to make.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: GO ahead.

WITNESS BOWES: In the last set of questions from the Counsel for the Public, I believe we made two errors. One was the discussion of 150 feet or meters. It should be meters is the minimum speed. The second was around the easements, the overhead easements at UNH. We have an option agreement on those easements. We don't have the easements as of today.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Thank you.

Mr. Aslin, do you have any questions concerning that?

MR. ASLIN: No, that's fine. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Okay.
Questions from the Committee. Who would like to
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start?
QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHMIDT:
Q. Good afternoon. I've got a few questions.

Regarding the stone walls, I know some of them were most likely property lines. And I need -- I want to get a clear understanding. Will they be -- on those, will they be re-established under the direction of a licensed land surveyor so that property lines are re-established? What's your plan on that?
A. (Plante) For the walls that are being impacted, there are property lines. And there are a couple of them we have agreements with the underlying property owners to make those modifications. And yes, we will use the direction from a licensed land surveyor to make sure that they're back in the appropriate locations.
Q. Thank you.

Regarding the traffic analysis, I
noticed you used the Highway Capacity Manual. Was there any reason that you chose that over some of the simulation software that might be
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more appropriate?
A. (Frazier) No, sir. I actually used the Synchro SimTraffic software. And we may have actually used Vissim. It's all just based on the Highway Capacity Manual.
Q. So you did compare it to the Synchro? Is that what you said?
A. (Frazier) Yes, that's what we did our analysis with.
Q. Thank you.

And on the right-of-ways, I noticed you've got that table where you have the types identified. But have you determined the widths of all of them? Specifically I'm starting with the easement right-of-ways where you're crossing, some of them going underground versus aerial.
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Plante) I'm not quite sure what the question is and whether it's directed toward traffic control or --
Q. I'm sorry. No, it's more directed probably to you, Mr. Plante.

Regarding the types of right-of-way, I
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know you have controlled access, you have a couple of limited access, you have easement right-of-ways. On the easement right-of-ways, have you determined what width are publicly controlled?
A. (Plante) I believe all of those widths are surveyed widths that are on our plans. I don't know individually what width each of those is off the top of my head. I'd probably be able to get that from our surveyors.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

On that same line, it looked from your engineering plans that you may have had some sliver widening areas for your detours. I wasn't a hundred percent sure, though. Do you have -- when you're necking it down to one lane, are you widening that pavement at all?
A. (Frazier) No, that's not our intention.
Q. So there's one intersection in particular, I think it's Gundalow Road -- or Little Bay Road, where your work area is actually outside the pavement area. I was just
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wondering what you've done to address the -if any of that is on private property? And I'm sorry I don't have the exhibit number.
A. (Bowes) We'll look at the map for it.
A. (Frazier) In the meantime, I'm sorry. I misspoke. We didn't use Synchro. We used Vissim, which is similar. It just tends to work for stop control, where Synchro works better for signal control.
Q. All right. Thank you.
(Panel members reviewing documents.)
A. (Bowes) Yeah, so as we exit Gundalow Landing to the cross streets on Little Bay, we are on private property at that location. And your question is -- does that answer it or --
Q. Well, I didn't hear that as one of the areas that you had acquired rights to.
A. (Bowes) We have an option to acquire the underground rights from that landowner.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then along Gundalow Landing Road, there was a comment made where you go in and out of the roadway. I'm just curious how far outside of the roadway portion is your
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maximum offset.
(Mr. Bowes reviews document.)
A. (Bowes) Yeah, I would say it's right at the $Y$ as you enter the circle, and it looks like it's about 20 feet.
Q. And you've secured those rights as well or --
A. (Bowes) Yes, we have rights from that landowner as well.
Q. Thank you.

In areas like Nimble Hill Road, are those crossings, are they all going to be encased? I know you're going -- you're encasing 155, I believe it is -- 155A. Excuse me. But when you're going underneath even the town roads, are you encasing those?
A. (Plante) Yeah, there will be a conduit and duct bank. So it'll be concrete duct bank encasing the plastic conduit.
Q. So the whole duct bank will go under the road.
A. (Plante) Correct.
Q. And as far as the crossing, I believe it was under Route 4 you said there was going to be a 42-inch casing?
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A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Can you --
A. (Plante) Well, under Main Street.
Q. Main Street. Excuse me.
A. (Plante) Yeah.
Q. Can you explain to me how that will be filled? It looks like at your cross-section you just have on top of each other two eight-inch conduits. I'm just curious what the rest of that void will contain.
A. (Bowes) There are four, as you mentioned, 8-inch conduits for the transmission circuit; three to be used, one spare. There are two distribution conduits being placed for UNH's future use, and there are at least two communication conduits that are 4-inch conduits. The two for UNH are 6-inch conduits. So there's a bundle of four transmission, two distribution, two communication conduits, and one smaller conduit for a grounding system, and a second, smaller conduit -- these are both 1-inch conduits -- for temperature monitoring. So it's a fairly large bundle with all of those
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conduits together.
Q. It sounded excessive, but maybe not.
A. (Bowes) The real issue is because it is so difficult to go under a highway, we want to make sure that there's capability in the future for both UNH and for Eversource.
Q. Yeah, very good. Thank you.

And when we were talking about ledge removal, did I understand you correctly that there won't be any blasting, they'll all be by alternative methods of removal?
A. (Plante) With the exception of the cable trench south of Main Street, it's our intention to do all of the ledge removal via mechanical methods. We can't really rule out the possibility of needing to use blasting. However, in previous projects, you know, these same types of questions came up, and we represented that core boring was our method of choice. And in that particular project, we were able to achieve all of the ledge removal using core-boring methods. So, you know, we're not finding the need to do any blasting. And that's our intention here as
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well.
Q. And just how would you feel if there was a condition placed on removal of the mattresses would be evaluated when you took the line out of service?
A. (Bowes) That would be fine.
Q. That's all I have.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Thank you.

Ms. Duprey.
MS. DUPREY: Thank you.
QUESTIONS BY MS. DUPREY:
Q. Moving to the concrete mattresses, can you direct me to some place in the record where there's an image from the manufacturer or somewhere that I can see what this might look like? Sorry. I just figured you could do this faster than I can.
A. (Bowes) So, on July 2nd, 2018 -- it's under the Application section for this project that's called "Applicant's Supplemental Testimony and Information Pertaining to HDD Studies." And there's three sets of joint testimony. Then the last is actually the
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horizontal directional drilling and jet plow comparison. That's a report. And Appendix A of that report, which begins on Page 54, shows both the concrete mattress in picture form -- it's actually being installed, lifted, and then followed by several pages of discussion of how it's installed, some specification figures and the methodology to do the installation.
Q. And if I look at that more closely, which we're just trying to pull it up now, but $I$ will look at it more closely, will we be able to understand the interlocking that we were talking about earlier? Because I don't understand that, and I don't understand if it builds sequentially. Are the mattresses -is the top layer of them essentially at the same level, or is it going up 9 inches with each interlocking? I don't understand how the interlocking happens.
A. (Bowes) Maybe I can have Mark explain that -Q. Thank you.
A. (Bowes) -- 'cause the pictures in here just show a single mattress.
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Q. Okay.
A. (Bowes) They don't show how it would actually be installed.

MR. IACOPINO: Do you have a page
number for that?
WITNESS BOWES: Yeah, it begins on
Page 54 of the report, or Appendix A.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: That's Exhibit 133.
MR. ASLIN: It's PDF Page 62, if that's helpful.

BY MS. DUPREY (CONT'D):
Q. Just before you say that, I'm looking at this picture of it. So it looks like it's bending.
A. (Dodeman) Yes, it's an articulated concrete mattress.
Q. Okay. So I don't know what that means.
A. (Dodeman) So it's 9 -- or excuse me -- 8-foot by 20-foot by 9 inches high. It's actually made of concrete biscuits that are woven together with --
Q. Each biscuit is that size, 8 by 20 feet by --
A. (Dodeman) No, no, no. A biscuit is, you know, Frisbie size.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
Q. Ah, okay.
A. (Dodeman) They're locked together with, I believe, some sort of nylon or plastic rope, and it makes the whole mattress articulate; so that way when you lay it over a cable or something that you want to cover on the sea floor, it conforms to the bottom.

In terms of the overlapping that $I$ was talking about, when you lay a mattress down on the bottom, I think we used something like a 20 -percent overlap. So when you put the next mattress down, you put the end of the new mattress over the end of the last mattress, and that's just so they sort of lock each other in place.
Q. And is that happening both horizontally as well as vertically to the shore line, that laying on top of --
A. (Dodeman) End to end. It is, yes.
Q. End to end. So I guess my question is what starts as perhaps 9 inches --
A. (Dodeman) Can be 18. Correct.
Q. Can be 18. Why couldn't it be 48, depending on -- or whatever four times nine is --
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

36 inches, depending on how many rows out it is into the --
A. (Bowes) Yeah, so there's a 20-percent overlap. So if you figure it's 4 feet wide, the first foot of that would overlap with the next one.
Q. Okay. So we start at 9 inches --
A. (Bowes) So, for a foot there'd be an overlap of 18 , then you go back to just 9 inches. And then a third cable, there'd be a foot overlap of 18 , back to 9 inches. Then end to end at 20 feet, there would be two to three feet of overlap to the next end-to-end segment. So they do kind of overlay themselves, but they don't build up. It's not like a set of stairs.
Q. Okay. Good.
A. (Bowes) It's only two stairs high, maximum.
Q. So the highest it would be above the floor of the bay would be?
A. (Bowes) Eighteen inches --
Q. Eighteen inches --
A. -- less what it would settle in.
Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Can I ask a follow-up question on that same subject?

Is the top surface, then, of the articulated mattress -- so that also -- it's rough? It's not a smooth surface --

WITNESS DODEMAN: Correct.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: -- as it contours to the bay.

WITNESS BOWES: Correct. It's going to collect everything that the bottom does. Actually, it's probably going to be a better collector. So it's going to get filled up with the same mud and sediment that's there fairly quickly.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Seems as though it would be a better surface for organisms to attach to or things to grow than if it was smooth.

WITNESS BOWES: Again, that's probably better for the environmental panel --

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: It's an environmental question.

WITNESS BOWES: But obviously concrete is oftentimes used to create artificial reefs.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

So there is an attachment, adhesion capability that concrete offers that other materials don't.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Thank you.

Mr. Way.
MR. WAY: Follow-up for me as well. So, in terms of the biscuits that you talked about, you mentioned they're held together with like a nylon or plastic rope. But these things are meant to have a pretty long life span. Is that material sufficient enough to keep it in place and last with the concrete?

WITNESS DODEMAN: Yes. According to the manufacturer, they will last the life of the system. So they are designed for long-term use, which is one of the reasons why the Army Corps uses them so frequently in their projects as well.

MR. WAY: All right. Thank you.
MS. DUPREY: Thank you.
BY MS. DUPREY (cont'd):
Q. So I just want to ask some summary questions to be sure I understood your testimony.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Am I right in understanding that it is not definite that you're going to need to use concrete mattresses, or is it now definite that you will need to use them?
A. (Dodeman) I have to say that in some of the areas, after reviewing the survey data, it is very likely that there will be some concrete mattresses used. However, when creating the numbers for permanent impact, we were forced to use conservative numbers.
Q. Can you just, when you say "conservative" --
A. (Dodeman) Conservative as in --
Q. -- meaning fewer or more?
A. (Dodeman) -- hopefully we have designed the system with a lot more than we will need -Q. Thank you.
A. (Dodeman) -- and hopefully that number goes down.
Q. Because people use "conservative" differently. Thank you for that.

And when you said -- I think you might have testified earlier that the area where you might not need them was the western side? Is that so, or did I misunderstand you?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Let's talk in terms of Durham and Newington.
A. (Bowes) Yeah, so we were certainly talking about the western side. And we thought we could get the mechanical excavator out to a good portion of where we've identified with the probe we couldn't get 42 inches. So that was clearly a discussion we had with Counsel for the Public, that we were very hopeful that we could go further out into the bay with the excavator and get those locations to avoid having to do locations on the west side.
Q. That's the Durham side.
A. (Bowes) The Durham side, yes.

As far as the Newington side, I'm not sure we had any more conversation about that, based upon the probe information.
A. (Dodeman) That's correct. I mean, for the time being, I have to assume -- I can't just be hopeful. I have to assume we're going to have a certain amount of mattresses on both sides.
Q. So I had some questions about the visibility of them, particularly from houses along the
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
shoreline. So let's talk about the Newington side since there's been more of a discussion on that side. And these may be more appropriate for Mr . Raphael, and you can just say so.

Do we know from whose homes -- I mean inside the house, not standing out on whatever, the lawn or whatever area outside the house -- from inside the home, do we know who will have visibility of these concrete mattresses, to the extent of what your conservative view of the situation is?
A. (Bowes) I think, based on discussions this morning, the Crowley property will probably have visual sight of these at low tide.
Q. And you think they're the only ones who will?
A. (Bowes) I don't know of others beyond that. Beswicks obviously will have sight from their property -- from their home. I can't say --
Q. Okay. So the Crowleys will. And then my next question is --
A. (Bowes) Want me to do the Durham side as well?
Q. Sure. Yes. Thank you.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) We've got indications that Vivian Miller, which is the property beyond the Getchell property, would have visual impacts, at least from her dock, possibly from the inside of her home as well.
Q. Thank you.

I'm wondering what the height of the water gets to when the tide is in. How many feet are we talking?
A. (Bowes) So is it in relation to will these be covered at high tide?
Q. Yes, but not only that. But yes. I mean, I'm assuming they're going to be covered at high tide. But I'm wondering how much coverage there's going to be.
A. (Dodeman) Well, a tide is not a fixed thing.
Q. Correct.
A. (Dodeman) So it changes all the time. And depending on where we are in the lunar cycle, it changes day to day as well. So, with any luck, we will -- you know, ideally we want to be able to excavate. So if we see them -and I doubt we'd be able to see them at high tide. But again, that's a loose term, "high
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
tide."
Q. Okay. So I'm just trying to get a sense of the impact on the folks who might be able to see it from their home. And so we have -and I don't live on the ocean -- two high tides and two low tides in the day, generally speaking?
A. (Dodeman) Generally speaking, yeah.
Q. So, twice a day, parts of the day these would be visible for a couple hours.
A. (Dodeman) Potentially. The potential is there, certainly.
Q. But not all the time, in all likelihood.
A. (Dodeman) Not all the time, in all
likelihood.
Q. Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mind if Mr. Way goes? Mr. Way.

MR. WAY: You mentioned that there would be markings in the charts for these mattresses. In other words, there would be notification --

WITNESS DODEMAN: Once the as-builts
for this system are created, Eversource would
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
have to submit the as-builts to NOAA. So NOAA would have to mark where these cables are in the charts. I'm sure we can do something -- or Eversource can do something, I should say, during the submittal of those as-builts to note that there are concrete mattresses along the route.

WITNESS BOWES: And just to confirm that, we do that for all of our projects. We do it as part of the Project close-out, to provide the as-builts and make sure they are properly charted onto the navigation charts.

MR. WAY: And that's not an immediate thing. So what I hear you saying is that, in the interim, you would be having some sort of marker there?

WITNESS BOWES: Yes, certainly on a temporary basis. And we would obviously work with the DES to do that. But that would be appropriate. If it's a new condition that we've created, we want to mark it for a period of time at least.

MR. WAY: And typically, are there long-term markers of any type that go over a
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
mattress, or is there any need that you found? I'm thinking about that area where it might be 18 inches that was brought up earlier.

WITNESS DODEMAN: Typically not. But, again, the charting is critical. I mean, to have a boat in New Hampshire, you have to have a Safe Boater Card, which means you understand you're responsible for your vessel. However, during the Project we are going to be issuing notice to mariners. So this will be sort of publicized in boating communities. Certainly, all vessels are supposed to know to listen to notice to mariners and to read the notices to mariners to be a boat operator. But, you know, typically on land, there would be a sign that this is a cable crossing or no anchor area. That may be done. But for the actual mattresses, where they are, their final condition, that would be noted on the charts. So, typically you don't want to put anything in the water, buoying things off, because that tends to be more of a hassle than good. So if it's charted, that typically is what's used as a safety measure.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

MR. WAY: And in your experience -- I'm not a boat owner. But in your experience, do people actually look at the charts, read the charts? Or have you had experiences where people, even though they should be -- and you're absolutely right, they have the safe card, they should be having the training, best laid plans -- do you ever have problems with that? WITNESS DODEMAN: I have certainly had experiences where $I$ can't believe someone's operating a vessel.

MR. WAY: That's what I'm talking about.

WITNESS DODEMAN: However, I can't speak to the responsibility of individual boaters. It's understood that to be a boater, you're supposed to know how to be a boater. That's what the NASBLA program, NASBLA, is for.

MR. WAY: Fair enough.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: While we're on the subject of mattresses, what color do they typically come in, and are you willing to have the concrete tinted so it would match the color of the mud flats or the area that
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
they're in?
WITNESS BOWES: So the typical color is the color, you know, dry concrete. So it's a light, white-gray color. And yes, we looked into the fact that we can have them tinted to look that dark brown-green-gray color initially, so there would be no -- so the visual impact would be minimized from the beginning. So there would be no change in that. It will happen relatively quickly as well. So you're buying a couple months, less than a season probably, of full adhesion of other things to it. But still, I think it would be helpful, and it's something we're willing to do.

BY MS. DUPREY (CONT'D):
Q. I believe that there are photo simulations in Exhibit C of Mr. Raphael's prefiled testimony at Applicant's Exhibit 142 that show the concrete mattresses if you were boating out in the waters. And I'm wondering who developed those photo simulations. Did LandWorks do it, or did Eversource do the photo simulations?
A. (Bowes) I believe LandWorks did that with
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
specifications that we provided.
Q. Okay. And you may not know the answer to this, but $I$ couldn't find it in the record. Are the photo simulations from the shore, or are they only from out in the middle of the water?
A. (Bowes) I believe they're only from the resource -- so, from the water to the shore.
Q. Okay. I'm wondering -MS. DUPREY: And I'm new here. So if

I'm asking anything inappropriate, Attorney Needleman, feel free to jump to your feet.
Q. Have you had any -- has Eversource had any meetings with the Crowleys, the Crowley Trust folks?
A. (Bowes) Yes, we have.
Q. And have you actually entered into any negotiations with them? I'm not asking about the specificity. I'm just wondering --
A. (Bowes) Yes.

MS. DUPREY: And again, feel free to
say "Don't answer that question."
Q. Have they been offered any money?
A. (Bowes) I believe our discussions have not
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
included any financials at this point. But they've talked about some landscaping options, and they're still ongoing.
Q. Thank you.

MS. DUPREY: Okay. I want to switch for a moment to the Frink property and the transition structure, unless there's anyone else who wants to join in right now on other questions on the concrete mattresses.

MR. IACOPINO: Can I ask one question?
I have one question about the concrete mattresses -- actually, it's two.

Has Eversource used them before?
WITNESS BOWES: Not in this form. I know we've used split pipe and we've used physical protection, but not this type of concrete mattress, that I'm aware of.

MR. IACOPINO: And there was a question before about whether there's any thermal conductivity for the concrete mattresses -- in other words, whether they're going to sort of spread the heat from the cable underneath. Are you at all concerned about that, either from the Company's viewpoint or from the cable
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
manufacturer's viewpoint?
WITNESS DODEMAN: We actually had our engineer, when we were going over the different methods of protection, let us know that the mattresses are the only thing that won't affect the thermal properties of the cable. So they're designed not to affect the cable or create a hot spot.

MR. IACOPINO: And has Eversource, best of your knowledge, had any different experience?

WITNESS BOWES: No, we have not. If you think about it, we actually put the on-land underground conduits into concrete. So in some ways it's an added benefit, not a detriment.

MR. IACOPINO: Thank You.
BY MS. DUPREY (CONT'D) :
Q. So, switching to the --

MS. DUPREY: Oh, oh, sorry. Sorry.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY:
Director Muzzey.
DIR. MUZZEY: Regarding the mattresses, we talked about the views from adjacent properties. Is there any concern -- and I'm sorry if this came up this morning when $I$ wasn't
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
here -- that they become navigational hazards as well? Boaters, you know, with a certain type of habit assume that there's a certain depth to the water at certain times in the tide. Any concern along those lines?

WITNESS DODEMAN: There's absolutely concern along those lines. Again, these are going to be charted. So the mattress locations will be charted on the NOAA charts. But yes, it is a concern. We are changing where these mattresses are. If the mattresses don't sink all the way down into the soupy, soft bottom, you're creating a difference in charted burial depth -- or charted depth, I should say. So, yes, there is a concern. That's something we would have to notify NOAA about, and they would alter charts.

WITNESS BOWES: And also we were just talking just before your question about the temporary marking, if the New Hampshire DES would allow that. So maybe for a season we mark them as well.

DIR. MUZZEY: Do we have a sense of how often NOAA updates its charts, and do we know if
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
a season would be sufficient, or is longer needed?

WITNESS DODEMAN: I use a charting program that every single time $I$ turn it on, my entire chart collection is updated. And NOAA issues chart corrections very often, but not on any fixed schedule. It's when they have changes to a chart. So it's difficult to say. The Little Bay chart we used, and I think everyone on the panel has now seen that in several different displays during the hearings and the technical hearings, that chart picture has not changed very much in the last four years, for instance. But we know when we give them a new cable route and where these mattresses eventually end up, we know that the chart corrections will happen pretty quickly, and it's usually in a matter of months. DIR. MUZZEY: Okay. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr. Way. MR. WAY: One last follow-up on that. If I'm a boat owner, how do $I$ know that there's been a chart update? WITNESS DODEMAN: If you're a boat
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
owner, if you're a responsible boat owner, you look at the charts for any area you are navigating. Technically, you're supposed to know where you're going with the boat, so you're supposed to have charts. Especially people like sailboaters, people who are very concerned about the draft, which was brought up earlier today, if someone has a sailboat. Sailboat owners are supposed to have charts of all the areas they're navigating to, especially as you make an approach to shore. If you have a sailboat, you're supposed to know that if you have a keel underneath you and you draw a certain amount of depth, you leave yourself a safety margin, typically. So if you're a boater, you're supposed to look at your charts. It's part of being a responsible boater.

MR. WAY: So if I'm a boater, like
Director Muzzey was saying, if I'm a boater, I do this all the time, $I$ come back every summer or something like that, is there anything that would -- and I feel like I know the area like the back of my hand -- is there anything to let me know there's been some changes or --
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

WITNESS DODEMAN: There is. During the project period, there is an issuance by the Coast Guard, which the installer sets up with the Coast Guard. It's a process called "notification to mariners." During the construction period, that notification to mariners is announced over the VHF system, which every boat has to have on it. It's announced during the entire construction period. So if you are a regular boater in an area, and you're within a -- operating correctly with a VHF onboard, then you're supposed to be listening to the notice to mariners, because it's typically notified on Channel 16, which is the standard monitoring channel for VHF on a boat.

MR. WAY: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr.
Fitzgerald.
MR. FITZGERALD: Yeah, just to follow-up on these. I think I just heard that it's possible that there could be a several-month period in which the maps are down, but there's -- and the charts haven't been updated yet. And I also thought that I heard on
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
the one side, that putting something, some kind of buoy or warning or whatever was discouraged. But I thought that I heard Mr. Bowes say that that was a possibility. Would it seem -- it seems to me that, in that period of time, interim period of time when nobody -- you know, when those charts are not updated, that some type of above-surface warning or something that there's a new structure here would be appropriate.

WITNESS BOWES: That's what I was agreeing with. I thought it was a good idea. Again, it'd be subject to New Hampshire DES allowing us to do that. But it would seem reasonable to me until the charts were updated, and possibly even for a period of time after that.

WITNESS DODEMAN: If I can add just one more thing. There is a navigable -- or there is a charted waterway here that boaters technically are supposed to be using as they cruise through Little Bay in the deepest part of the channel, which is why this is charted. So if people start going outside of these channels to go into
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
shallow waters, they are doing so very much at their own risk. In terms of leaving marker buoys, I'm a big fan of that. I would look to do that. I would love to say the bottom is -you know, shallow bottom area warning, keep out, et cetera. However, the rules of leaving buoys, unattended buoys or marker buoys, are pretty onerous. These have to be maintained. You know, you have to get approval from -- what's the --

WItNeSS WALL: It's called ATON, Aids to Navigation. It's a Coast Guard rule. WITNESS DODEMAN: So you can't just willy-nilly put in buoys that aren't -- that don't go through a process of approval by the Coast Guard. So, that being said, we rely on the charting method because people are going outside of the regularly traveled navigation channel to go into the shallows, which is done at their own risk.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Director Muzzey.

DIR. MUZZEY: Is the channel marked by buoys in this location?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

WITNESS DODEMAN: I have to look at the chart. But I think there is -- actually, there might be a red and green buoy set on the northern part of the channel. But I would have to have the chart in front of me to -DIR. MUZZEY: Yeah, we saw this afternoon, but none of us --

WITNESS DODEMAN: Yeah, because we were all looking at the thousand-foot corridor, which is what we focus on for this project. DIR. MUZZEY: Right.

WITNESS DODEMAN: But there are certainly aids to navigation as you make the approach into the bay. But I'd have to look for the charted buoy numbers.

DIR. MUZZEY: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr.
Schulock.
MR. SCHULOCK: Are you aware that when the Public Utilities Commission grants a license to cross public water, it has to determine whether the rights granted under the license can be exercised without substantially affecting the public rights in the waters? Well, I guess my
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
question is: Do you know whether Eversource provided the Public Utilities Commission with information about using these concrete mattresses close to the shore where it would reduce the draft for boating?

WITNESS BOWES: I don't know if it was in our Application. We can certainly check. MR. SCHULOCK: And then the purpose of the mattresses, as $I$ understand it, is to protect the cable.

WITNESS BOWES: That's correct. MR. SCHULOCK: And protecting the cable is a requirement of the National Electric Safety Code.

WITNESS BOWES: That is correct also. MR. SCHULOCK: And this is your only alternative for doing that, as $I$ understand it, in that area.

WITNESS BOWES: That is also correct.
MR. SCHULOCK: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: MS.
Duprey.
BY MS. DUPREY (CONT'D):
Q. I'm not going to go to the Frink Farm yet
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
because I started thinking about something else, and that is the Gosling Road discussion that we had the other day, and also the suite of products -- or suite of projects that this is a part of, which we had discussion on a couple weeks ago. And I want to confirm just a couple points.

I'm right in understanding that the individual projects that have already been accomplished can stand on their own, have value on their own, but they're supercharged, in effect, by this one being constructed; is that correct?
A. (Bowes) This is a key component to complete that family of projects, yes.
Q. But they still have independent value; yes?
A. (Bowes) Yes, they do.
Q. And if it's appropriate to tell me, how much did the rest of those projects together all cost, ballpark?
A. (Bowes) Approximately $\$ 50$ million, $I$ believe.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And in preparing for today -- and I'm sorry. I left my notes at home by mistake
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
this morning $--I$ remember reading about the Gosling Road alternative, that in fact, since ISO has determined that this project will be a project that is approving and setting forward in motion, that in fact other things since its approval by ISO have been also set in motion and that at this point Gosling Road really isn't an alternative, in the sense that other things have started happening in other parts of the network, if you will, that actually preclude what would be, in effect, wasted projects that already occurred or under construction or whatever were there would be some reversion to the Gosling Road alternative. Did $I$ understand that correctly?
A. (Bowes) I think you have, yes. ISO evaluated alternatives. No non-transmission alternatives came forward after their solicitation for them. We looked at a couple different transmission alternatives, families of them. ISO selected the Seacoast Reliability Project, as well as that whole family of projects. They did not support the
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Gosling Road. It did solve the electrical needs, but it also did more things that weren't needed at the time. And now, as you say, things have changed with load forecasts, so that year of need for Gosling Road is now well past the 10 -year. It was already and it is much more expensive than this project.
Q. That wasn't exactly where I was going, although that's useful to know.

My specific recollection was that ISO has since approved other projects that rely on this project, as opposed to Gosling Road, which would undercut, if you will, those other projects were somehow or other this to be switched from here to Gosling Road.
A. (Bowes) Yeah, this is definitely a question that Mr. Andrew can get more in depth with -Q. Okay. Maybe Mr. --
A. (Bowes) I will say that once you have done a planning study -- in this case, the Vermont and New Hampshire planning study, and it's not just the Seacoast Project, but there are 40 or 50 other projects in both states -that now becomes the baseline that they plan

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
future things for. So you're absolutely right. What you heard was accurate. That becomes foundational to all the other studies that they do subsequently. And also other generation, you know, renewable projects that want to come forward, they have to fit into the assumption that the Seacoast Reliability Project will be built.
Q. Thank you.

And my last area of inquiry, which is back now to the Frink Farm and the transition structure there. Again, you all may not be the right people, but since this is the end of your day, I want to be sure that I'm asking the right folks in case that you are. From where -- by whom will the transition structure be seen? For instance, will it be seen from inside the Frink home? What roadways that people are driving down might the transition structure be seen? Is that something that you know? And if it is, can you tell me?
A. (Bowes) So we have provided -- this is the one right on the Frink-Pickering border?

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
Q. Yeah.
A. (Bowes) So, yes, we've done a photo simulation. So, looking across the field where the existing distribution line is, on the horizon there's an elevation. At that point there will be visibility of that transition structure.
Q. From where?
A. (Bowes) From --
Q. From a road?
A. (Bowes) -- Nimble Hill Road is where that's taken from. So it is quite a distance. And it's probably a less visual impact than the distribution line is today because that is much closer.
Q. And will it be visible from the home on the property?
A. (Bowes) I don't know. I don't know.
Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr. Way?
Or who would like to go next?
MR. WAY: Sure.
QUESTIONS BY MR. WAY:
Q. I've actually had a lot of my questions
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
answered between the last set of questions and the Counsel for the Public discussion. I had a lot of questions on the underwater portion, so I think I'm all set. But I'm going to go in a different direction.

Ms. Frazier, in looking at your supplemental, I noticed at the end that you had a series of recommendations.
A. (Frazier) Yes.
Q. And were those recommendations that you wanted us to consider on our end, or were those recommendations that you wanted Eversource to consider, or both of us?
A. (Frazier) I suppose both.
Q. Both of us.
A. (Frazier) Sure.
Q. Mr. Bowes, did you look at those recommendations that were made by Ms. Frazier?
A. (Bowes) I'm not sure that $I$ did. So before $I$ commit to them, I better.
(Mr. Bowes reviews document.)
Q. I believe they're on Page 3 of her
supplemental, exhibit number... I'm actually
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
using paper here.
MR. ASLIN: It's Exhibit 141.
BY MR. WAY:
Q. I think it's Exhibit 141.

MR. FITZGERALD: Page 3.
A. (Bowes) Yes, I have seen these recommendations.
Q. Are those acceptable to you?
A. (Bowes) Yes, they are.
Q. Very good.

Ms. Frazier, you talked about possibly choosing construction -- or at least impacting construction with UNH graduation. Are there other events that happen after graduation? Because I think that's the major construction is after graduation. Are there other events that you might have to consider?
A. (Frazier) None that $I$ know of or that have come up in discussions with UNH, no.
Q. So that was my next question. You've had the discussions with UNH about other activities that occur after that?
A. (Frazier) I haven't personally, but Eversource has, yes.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
Q. Eversource has?
A. (Bowes) Yeah, we've had many meetings with the University of New Hampshire.
Q. Okay. So in terms of events that might have traffic-related issues, the one that rises out of that is primarily just graduation?
A. (Bowes) I think it's beyond just the traffic as well. They want to make sure the campus looks its best during that time frame. So they'd like us to start the construction after that and then try to complete it, I think mostly for employee and public safety, to make sure we're finished with the underground construction on the campus before students return in the fall. It seems to be logical to us, and I can certainly appreciate their requirements for that.
Q. And Ms. Frazier, I notice you said in one of your recommendations about working it out a little bit with the mall, in terms of some specific activities there. Do you have any updates on that situation? How is that discussion going?
A. (Frazier) No updates. I don't think there
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
are any outstanding concerns.
A. (Bowes) So $I$ would say the mall has been $a$ little bit of a challenge for us. We continue to outreach to them, and they also have some on-site lighting that we're trying to deal with as well, making sure the correct clearances are there, as well as making sure we don't interrupt any underground circuits. We'll continue that outreach and work through the issues. But it hasn't been as cooperative a relationship as UNH has.
Q. And so when you said it hasn't been productive, are they just not returning your calls, or is it --
A. (Bowes) It's been a challenge to get meetings together and to have the right people at those meetings. We won't stop, though. We will be successful with it.
Q. All right. Very good. Bear with me one moment.

Refresh my memory, Mr. Bowes. When you were talking about the option at UNH versus -- the option easement at UNH versus an existing easement, what's the difference?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Refresh my memory.
A. (Bowes) So the difference is we would actually put a restriction on that deeded property, and we would have that and be able to show it to you. The option agreement is, if this project is approved and goes forward, we'll execute an easement with the University of New Hampshire with these conditions. So, one is a kind of contract to have an easement and the other is actually the deed restriction itself.
Q. All right.

MR. WAY: I'm all set. Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr.
Iacopino.
QUESTIONS BY MR. IACOPINO:
Q. Just to follow up on that quickly, are any of the options for the easements that you mentioned, are any of them in danger of expiring in any short period of time?
A. (Bowes) I think they are good through the end of this year. And I think many of them have already been renewed to reflect so many days after the SEC rules. I think we still have
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
one or two that are outstanding. But if the schedule holds, that should not be a problem. But we'll continue to work on those to change the language to be contingent upon your approval, plus a period of time after to execute them.
Q. And I assume that all of the easements that you've negotiated, and all the options for the easements, are all in perpetuity. None of them are time-limited; is that correct -in other words, the easement's only good for 40 years or 50 years?
A. (Bowes) I believe they're all permanent easements.
Q. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Ms.
Muzzey.
QUESTIONS BY DIR. MUZZEY:
Q. Back in, I think it was back in August when we were here last, there was some discussion of removing portions of the older cable that now goes under Little Bay. There's also a cable that seems to be leading to one of the marine cable houses on the Durham side. Just
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
in some of the photographs in Applicant Exhibit 106, I'm wondering -- I believe that's the cable house that's slated to be moved and rebuilt. Are there any concerns regarding the cable in that location, whether it's hazardous, whether it would interfere with the possibility of moving that building and rebuilding it?
A. (Bowes) I would say I don't have any concerns with the relocation of the cable house with regard to those existing cables. As you said, they are visible from the surface. You can walk down them. I think they should be removed as part of that cable house relocation, at least the ones that are right there near the shore line. And we will plan to do that. As far as disposal, again, we deal with lead sheath cables every single day. So it's a very common conductor on our system, so we have the proper disposal methods to deal with that.
Q. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Can I
ask a follow-up? Do you mind me jumping in?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

With the old cables, I understand they'll be cut into sections, those that are coming out, cut into sections and moved. Do you anticipate any adverse impacts to the environment from that? Will there be debris or extra sediment or metals being dispersed? Any impacts to the environment from cutting the cables and removing them?

WITNESS BOWES: I would say that there will be minimal impacts. And again, it's a trade-off between the temporary impacts of the removal, which there will be some sediment, versus the permanent impacts as they continue to deteriorate. There is a balance there. The DES has weighed that balance and decided that remove the cables where you need to, but leave the other cables in place. So...

BY DIR. MUZZEY (CONT'D) :
A. So, thinking again on the Little Bay crossing, there was some discussion that, in the future, if this project receives its certificate and is constructed, there's a chance that the cable may need to be repaired for some reason, some sort of failure in the
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
submerged portion of the Project. And there was some discussion that the cable would be pulled up, spliced with new cable and then dropped back in and covered up again. I'm just wondering, how is the cable retrieved. And the trench column that that cable comes out of, do you assume that it will remain in place, or will more jet plow technology be needed to re-establish it? If you could just walk through that process in a little more detail, I'd appreciate it.
A. (Dodeman) All right. So what I would
consider the unlikely event of a fault on the consider the unlikely event of a fault on the submarine cable, there's methods to locate the fault, both from the substations. And there's toning and there's all sorts of remote sensing ways to find the fault accurately.

Eversource at that point would have to mobilize a repair barge with a dive team. Divers would have to go down and pinpoint the fault. They can do that, again, remotely with using like 25-hertz tone location.

Divers have a probe that they can actually
C20
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
> find a fault very accurately with that. Once that fault is located, divers would then be used to get that cable exposed. If it's under an area that has mattresses on it, for instance, the divers would have to remove the mattresses, move them off to the side. If not, the divers would use some sort of jetting probe to get that cable liberated from the bottom. They would then have to cut the cable at the fault or near the fault. Typically when you have a cable fault, due to insurance requirements, we have to save the portion of cable that's broken. The insurance companies need to know what happened.

> That cable would then be -- one end would be capped and left on the bottom so that salt water doesn't intrude into the cable any further than it needs to. One end would be brought to the surface. A section of cable would then be spliced onto the end you have on the surface. The barge would move forward to the other end, at the end of where the damaged cable is. That end would
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
be brought up to the surface. A final splice would be done. The cable would then be lowered. It's called a "final bite" or "repair bite." It would be lowered to the bottom. And then divers would either have to put mattresses on it or do diver jetting to get it buried again.

The reason I think this cable is unlikely to break is that the number one cause of cable failures by far is external aggression, and that's usually due to heavy fishing or heavy construction or anchoring activity, heavy anchoring activity. These cables are robust enough, and a buried cable is protected enough, that it's very unlikely to happen for that reason inside of Little Bay.
Q. And would that process then need to go through a comparable environmental review as your project is doing now?
A. (Bowes) Yes, we would have to go to New Hampshire DES for a permit to do that.
Q. Thank you.

We also talked about the FERC process to
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
determine whether localized project costs can be recovered. And if I'm remembering this correctly, it's ISO who determines which are regional costs and which are localized costs?
A. (Bowes) That is correct.
Q. So once ISO has decided which are regional and which are localized, is it FERC that then considers -- FERC is the entity that decides whether or not they can be recovered, the localized costs can be recovered?
A. (Bowes) In effect, I would say yes. I can explain the process in a little bit more detail with an example from Connecticut. In one of our projects in Connecticut, there was a considerable amount of siting opposition, which ultimately led to a settlement with some towns. And the Connecticut Siting Council approved that, which included a couple sections of underground in the project. ISO looked at that project and said, we had approved an all-overhead project, as the Seacoast was an all-overhead project, and we are determining that there is an incremental cost here that

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
should not be part of the regional network service tariff and not be borne by all the New England customers. It's a local siting decision, therefore you need to find recovery of these costs elsewhere. We worked with, in this case, the Connecticut Public Utilities Commission, got their input into it, and they asked us to spread the costs across all of Connecticut ratepayers, not just Eversource ratepayers. So that included the municipals, and it included another investor-owned utility in the state, United Illuminating Company. We went forward and did that petition to FERC. FERC approved that, and now that is part of the Local Network Service tariff for customers in Connecticut. To the best of my knowledge, that process has never been exercised in New Hampshire. So, today there are no localized parts of a Regional Network Service project. There are localized, or Local Network Service costs, however.
Q. Okay. And having gone through that process, do you know whether FERC considers the
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
opinions of other entities, whether it's communities, other ratepayers, that type of thing, in making its decision? Does it have set criteria? How does FERC come to that type of decision?
A. (Bowes) So there's clearly -- it's another process like a rate case or a hearing. There is the opportunity to intervene. There's an opportunity for both witnesses and testimony in that process. So it's a docket similar to a regulatory docket. They do take input from stakeholders. But ultimately their determination is based upon were the costs prudently incurred by the utility. And if prudence is not an issue, and typically it's not in a siting project, then they decide in favor of the company's filing. There may be changes to that filing. But ultimately, to the best of my knowledge, they've always approved the company's proposal for localizing costs. They certainly have in all the cases Eversource has brought forward.
Q. And just a few minutes ago, I think someone asked a question about the 2012 Solution

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Study Report. Is that the New
Hampshire-Vermont report you were talking about a few minutes ago?
A. (Bowes) Yes, it is.
Q. And that was the first report that identified thermal and voltage criteria violations in the Seacoast Area?
A. (Bowes) That is correct.
Q. How often are those types of solution studies done, and has ISO done one since for the seacoast?
A. (Bowes) Again, $I$ know Bob Andrew is very familiar with this topic.
Q. Okay. That's fine. I can certainly wait.
A. (Bowes) I believe there's another one that's about to be issued. I believe it's a 2017 report. And that will probably be issued later this year, or possibly even early next year. It's definitely a periodic process.

We also file an annual report with the
New Hampshire PUC, which is a 10-year look-ahead of projects in New Hampshire.
Q. Okay. I can ask him about that as well. I know the day is getting late.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

My final question is in regard to timber mats. They've been suggested a number of times as a way to protect stone walls in a number of different locations. And I'm just wondering, is this a common process that Eversource uses?
A. (Bowes) It's a very common process. I think we've created an industry here in New Hampshire with the amount of timber mats that we use. So we do a lot of work on rights-of-way. Many in New Hampshire are very wet as well, so we're using timber mats on a daily basis.
Q. And does Eversource do any type of monitoring or checking post-construction to see whether or not the timber mats provide sufficient protection for the stone wall?
A. (Bowes) Yes, we do. Most of the permit requirements require us to go back and do a post-construction analysis to ensure that the soil erosion and wetland impacts are as we described them to be.
Q. But not just in wetland locations, but also in stone wall locations?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) Good question. I don't know if we've actually looked at stone walls.
A. (Plante) Not yet. Hasn't been an issue until recently.
Q. Would it potentially be agreeable to put some sort of condition, if this project does receive a certificate, that there would be a post-construction analysis of the success of the timber matting to protect stone walls?
A. (Bowes) Yeah, I believe that would be certainly acceptable to the Company.
Q. So, although you used this before, you don't really have any available before and after photos of other locations.
A. (Bowes) I know there's at least one from MVRP, but $I$ think it's fairly minimal. So I think that's a condition we could accept.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

DIR. MUZZEY: That's all I have. PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr.

Fitzgerald.
QUESTIONS BY MR. FITZGERALD:
Q. Good afternoon. I'll be very short here.

I'd like to follow up on some of the
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
questions and your responses relative to the property right-of-way this morning.

Attorney Richardson referenced Appendix 166, which is the NHDES wetlands final study. And bear in mind, I'm in the air program, I'm not a wetlands person. He referenced Page 20, Item $10(f)$. "Impacts to estuarine wetlands are restricted to an existing cable crossing corridor which has been utilized in the past and contains de-energized cables that are obsolete." I wanted to get a little more detail as to what your understanding of the term "estuarine wetlands" means; and second, what your understanding of that "existing cable crossing corridor."

I guess my first question would be: Was the decision to not go directly over to the old cable house that you'd shown the dock and so on, was that an original route that was proposed, or was that a subsequent change after the initial proposal?
A. (Dodeman) I can say, having been at Caldwell Marine on the bidding team during the first
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
round of this project, originally the plan was to look at landing on the -- and this is on the eastern shore -- look at landing at the original landing by the cable house. The older cables that go in to that route are much smaller, much more pliable and much lighter. The newer cables, the 115 kV cables we're talking about which were permitted for this project, are much bigger, much harder to handle, and much more difficult to possibly think about putting up that scarp face on the eastern landing. It would create a lot of engineering problems.

By the time this project went out for its second round of bidding, that is when we started looking at alternate landings because the landing on the eastern shore where that original cable house is, is so difficult that nobody wanted to entertain it.
Q. Okay. So, in looking at that condition, 10 $(F)$, is it your understanding that the current path is within what DES refers to as an "existing cable crossing corridor," which has been utilized in the past? I believe
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
there was some testimony this morning about a thousand-foot-wide corridor that encompassed everything. So --
A. (Bowes) That's what I believe they're describing here is that thousand-foot corridor and not the specific route that the previous set of cables used.
Q. Okay. Is there something -- I assume that DES, in their response in this final decision, based that on something, some information that Eversource provided to them that would lead them to include this Paragraph F.
A. (Bowes) I would say certainly there was information not only in this Application, but in previous applications to the New Hampshire DES, about removing the old cables. Approximately 20 years ago there was a proposal when we started to go forward with those removals, and it ended up being stopped because of an inability to remove them in that area. So, yeah, we clearly provided information to them. And I think they know a lot about these cables as well.

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
Q. So if $I$ refer to Exhibit 106, Applicant's Exhibit 106, the cable removal plan, PDF Page 4, which is a map, 1 think, if I remember, there was testimony a couple weeks ago that showed the existing cable corridor and the squiggly lines being the older cables. Is all of this, the blue and the yellow, within what you consider to be that thousand-foot existing corridor?
A. (Dodeman) If you can give me a moment, I have to look at that chart.
(Mr. Dodeman reviews document.)
A. (Dodeman) I don't see a scale with regard to that photo, so I'm just wondering where that 1,000 feet would be.
A. (Bowes) So all of these cables, both the old cables and the proposed new cables, are within that thousand-foot corridor, and they still remain there. You can see the one at the top of the page is migrating quite a bit away from its original path, but it's still within the thousand-foot --
Q. Including the entrance, the land arrival on the eastern shore?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. Okay. Going back to Appendix 166 , Page 20, and the next page, Page 21 I think it is, you were asked about Item No. 25 at the bottom of the page that says, "All work is within the Applicant's existing ROW which convey the right to construct and replace transmission lines in support of the reliability... The majority of the wetland impacts are temporary and restored," et cetera. But that first line, could you clarify your understanding of that again?
A. (Bowes) As $I$ said before, $I$ think $I$ would have used a more complete description, saying that there are sections of underground that are possibly different than the existing right-of-way, that there was an amendment to our original application.
Q. Okay.
A. (Bowes) Now, granted, most of that is still within the general area of the right-of-way, but there's clearly a different alignment than was there originally, both the onshore in Newington through Gundalow Bay, now it's
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
an underground, and then obviously right at the transition station in Newington as well, the transition structure at the pit area. So there's been some realignments of the right-of-way in several locations, I would agree.
Q. Okay. So would you suggest this needs to be modified somehow? Have you responded to this document at all?
A. (Bowes) The one from February? I believe we have.
Q. And in your response, did you suggest revising these items?
A. (Bowes) I don't believe this was part of the response we provided. But we could certainly do that.
Q. And last, I can't remember who it was that raised an issue last time we were here indicating that in order to have this right-of-way under Great Bay, that there had to be not only PUC approval, but there had to be Governor and Executive Council approval as well. Is that your understanding? And are you seeking that or -- where does that stand?

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) So the simple answer is it's not my understanding. And we have done research on this. The first legislation I mentioned before, earlier today, was the New Hampshire statute for public water crossing by the PUC and notification to the Attorney General. That statute went on the books in 1921. In 1923, it added the lands of New Hampshire. And that's the first reference to empowering the Governor and the Executive Council. In 1929, an amendment was done to require the petition be filed at the Public Service Commission, predecessor to what is now the New Hampshire PUC. In 1951, all of those statutes were re-enacted and became the public utilities statutes and under the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission. There has never been a requirement for the deed of easement in any water crossing statute in the state of New Hampshire. In 2013, the deed requirement for state-owned land, which there has been a requirement, was rescinded. In 2016, we filed our Application, both with the SEC and
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
also with the New Hampshire PUC. In March of 2017, the New Hampshire PUC issued its order in Docket No. DE 16-441 granting the license to PSNH, and its order became effective on April 10 of 2017. So we have all of the rights we need to cross Little Bay, and they do not require the Governor or Executive Council to act.
Q. Is that documented somewhere in the Application, that sequence of statutes and so on?

DIR. MUZZEY: I think that was a new request for information that he's providing to us right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: I think it's now part of the record.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
BY MR. FITZGERALD (cont'd) :
Q. Can you be specific as to what the governing statute is right now?
A. (Bowes) That $I$ could not tell you. But I bet I could get that for you, yes.
Q. I would appreciate it.
A. (Bowes) I think part of the PUC Application
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
will have that information in there also.
MR. FITZGERALD: Am I allowed to ask a question to Counsel for the Public?

MR. IACOPINO: What kind of question?
MR. FITZGERALD: I just wanted to ask if he agreed with that statutory declaration or if he's even looked into it.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Why don't you ask the question.

MR. FITZGERALD: Do you agree with that statutory declaration, or have you even looked into it?

MR. ASLIN: I would say I have not done a full analysis of the requirements for rights in state waters. There are -- I think Mr. Bowes gave, you know, without checking, a fairly accurate description of the PUC process for approval of utility crossings of state waters. I also believe that it was Mr. Irwin who raised the issue a couple weeks ago --

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
MR. ASLIN: -- and he may have been referencing other approvals that are required, not necessarily for utility crossings, but for
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
structures being placed in state waters, which would be a DES statutory thing, which I have not analyzed at this point.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Thank you.
I'm all set.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Okay. I
have a couple questions.
QUESTIONS BY PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY:
Q. You indicated there would be at least one location where there will be some blasting. In that location, and if there's any others, do you do pre- and post-blasting surveys -(Court Reporter interrupts.)
Q. Do you do pre- and post-blasting surveys and agree to mediate any damage that may occur?
A. (Plante) Yes, we do.
Q. In the testimony, it was indicated that the final engineering drawings are about 90 percent complete. Do you anticipate any non-minor changes to those drawings?
A. (Plante) No, we don't. The only changes that we would really anticipate are changes that are the outcome of this process. If, for instance, you asked us to move Structure 14
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

50 feet to the west, then we might evaluate that and do that.
Q. Thank you.

Am I correct that all of the tower poles that will be used in this project are monopoles and are self-weathering steel?
A. (Plante) No. We have a combination of monopole structures and multipole structures. There are some areas where we have two-pole, H-frame structures, and these are things that we've negotiated with landowner groups to help mitigate the visual concerns. And we've also adopted some galvanized steel structures in the area of the football stadium at UNH.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I had forgotten about that. But there aren't any lattice structures?
A. (Plante) No, there are not.
Q. And any of the towers, are they required by the FAA for any nighttime lighting?
A. (Plante) No, they're not.
Q. When clearing a right-of-way, you talked about the vegetative cutting of tree limbs. If a homeowner approached you and asked for
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
the tree to be taken down or trimmed a little differently, is that something you'd be willing to work with the homeowner on?
A. (Plante) We absolutely do. We do anyways.
Q. Concerning the underground, I think most of my questions have been answered.

I understand the water source for the jet plow is the bay itself, the water of the bay. Are there some sort of filters on that? Or how do like the minnows and other organisms not get sucked in and plowed out?
A. (Dodeman) We've actually received, and it's in the DES requirements, that we can't have a mesh size of less than two -- sorry -- it can't be bigger than 2 inches. So the actual intake that goes into the pumps, which is a pipe that goes over the side of the barge, has a box that is essentially a mesh box, and it has a 2-inch maximum hole size all around it. So it's sort of a filter.
Q. So DES has approved that 2-inch size?
A. (Dodeman) Yes, they have.
Q. Do you anticipate that the crossing of Little Bay will interfere with the Crowley or
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Beswick access to the bay for their boating purposes on either a temporary or permanent basis?
A. (Dodeman) Possibly on a temporary basis. But our crews know to escort people around especially danger areas. But we would -- any impact would be temporary. Now, we're not anticipating having to tell people, no, you can't put your boat in today because we're here. But if we do, we'll try to give them a heads-up or a notice. And if we can escort them around to make sure they're safe to do a launch, then we'll do so.
Q. And you'll have someone on site to be able to do that should they wish to access the bay?
A. (Dodeman) We will have plenty of people on site.
Q. Concerning roads and traffic, Ms. Frazier, there were four locations off of Route 16, Spaulding Turnpike, where Eversource proposed access roads by Gosling Road in Portsmouth. And I was just concerned because it seems -I know that area very well. Cars are traveling at a pretty high rate of speed, and
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
there's a lot of lane switching going on there. And then I'm envisioning four access roads coming off of that with trucks. How is that going to be kept safe for motorists?
A. (Frazier) So DOT had the same comment. And I think we have just recently revised the access roads to limit the access directly off the Spaulding Turnpike. I don't know if we have the most recent version of the permits.
Q. So there'll be fewer access roads?
A. (Frazier) Yes. Yup.
Q. Do you know how many there will be?
A. (Frazier) I want to say we got it down to one.
Q. So it'll be one of those engineering drawing changes that we were talking about. Okay. Some residents, typically those from Durham, have raised concerns about losing access to their property. Will access be eliminated or restricted for any period of time for any residents or businesses during construction?
A. (Frazier) No.
Q. There will be --
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Frazier) Oh, good point. Sorry. UNH, I think there's one building with a driveway that we would need to use alternative access. But they would have access.
Q. They'll have access, but alternate access. Okay.
A. (Bowes) We have been having ongoing discussions with UNH about that specific topic, so it's not a surprise to them.
Q. And are there no road closures, or there's one road closure by Nimble Hill?
A. (Frazier) So, technically two road closures. Nimble Hill, just because that intersection is so narrow, we have to cross it halfway quickly. And the other one is kind of half of Gundalow Landing, where you'll have to go around the loop the other way.
Q. So those would be closed for a period of time, but people will always have a detour route to access their homes?
A. (Frazier) Yes. Absolutely. Yup.
Q. And as I recall, those detours are not long.
A. (Frazier) No, not now.
Q. Certainly the Gundalow Landing is not.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Frazier) Yeah, I think the --
Q. And Nimble Hill is less than a mile or so?
A. (Frazier) Right around a mile, maybe a little more.
Q. Thank you. I have nothing else.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: DO YOu, Attorney Iacopino?

QUESTIONS BY MR. IACOPINO:
Q. I have one construction safety question. In Exhibit 125, the Durocher report, you have this caution in there that the information contained in this report must not be interpreted as burial depth. Burial depths are provided from plow telemetry data during burial operations and spot-checked real-time using divers.

Do you have divers in the water the entire time that you're jet plowing?
A. (Dodeman) No, we do not. Divers go in, in the case that the plow hits an obstruction. Sometimes we'll have them go in and look at the plow and find out what's happening. But it's actually not common to have divers in the water, certainly not while you're
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
operating the jet plow.
Q. But are they used to spot-check the depths, or is that sort of they're in the water and they do that as part of being in the water?
A. (Dodeman) If they're in the water, they go check things. In the shallow water areas where the plow is not working, that's where we have the divers checking the depths using a graded rod or a graded jet lance.
Q. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Mr. Way. MR. WAY: One last question, I promise. BY MR. WAY (CONT'D):
Q. With regards to the markings that we talked about earlier, Mr. Wall, I'm sensitive to what you were saying about maintaining these markings long term.

But one of the things I'm wondering, and Mr. Bowes, you mentioned that during the construction period you might be willing -or you would consider putting markings in place, obviously in lieu of the charts being updated. But also knowing I think what Mr. Dodeman was saying, an aggressive external
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
force can be the biggest danger to these types of activities, is it in your best interest to maybe -- or for us to have a condition that might say for a set period of time, could be a year, a season, something, that we could talk about that it might be good to have a marking in place until you have the education reinforced?
A. (Bowes) So I think we would have no issue with a condition like that. I think it would have to be worded such that it was subject to approval of the U.S. Coast Guard.
Q. Right. Not in perpetuity --
A. (Bowes) Right.
Q. -- but something for a reasonable period of time.
A. (Bowes) Because they may have other requirements or restrictions that wouldn't allow us to do that.
Q. Very good. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Any
other questions from the Committee or counsel?
[No verbal response] PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Attorney
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

Needleman.
And for procedural purposes, I think it's safe to say that we will not be getting to Mr. Andrew or Mr. Cullen today. We'll finish after redirect.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you. I'll try to be brief.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. Mr. Bowes, I want to pull up Exhibit 196, which is a new exhibit. Mr. Patch and various other parties during the course of their questioning at points suggested or implied that it might be possible that this project is not needed anymore because so much time has passed since ISO first looked at it.
(The document, as described, was herewith marked as Applicant's Exhibit 196 for identification.)

Does this -- first of all, can you tell us what this exhibit is?
A. (Bowes) Yeah. So ISO-New England updates its project lists several times per year. And this is the June 2018 ISO-New England Project
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

List Update.
Q. And does this exhibit shed any light on that question?
A. (Bowes) Yes. If you look at, you know, again, on the left-hand side, it be the second, third and fourth projects. Those are the termination at Madbury Substation, the termination at Portsmouth Substation. And then the fourth line is the new Madbury-Portsmouth overhead submarine cable circuit, or the Project we call the Seacoast Reliability Project. They're both still in the plan stage. They both -- or all three have an in-service date of December of next year. And ISO still expects us to be building these projects.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And Dawn, if you move further over so that the next columns are visible.

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. Those are the planned columns above; is that correct?
A. (Bowes) That is correct.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And if you move up a
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
little bit, Dawn, there's a June 18 Status column.

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. Is that right, the green one?
A. (Bowes) So you can see that they have continued to list them as a "planned" project as of June of this year.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Next topic. I want to introduce Exhibit 197. Per the discussion we had last time, this is the August 14 th version of the draft MOU that Eversource and Durham have at this point.
(The document, as described, was herewith marked as Applicant's Exhibit 197 for identification.)

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. First of all, Mr. Bowes, do you know if Eversource has provided comments to Durham on this MOU?
A. (Bowes) Yes, we have. We actually talked at the last hearing about I had the latest version in front of me. This is an earlier version, but it's the one we agreed to file. We do have some comments back to Durham and
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
to UNH. There's a corresponding one to UNH on some of the conditions that we mutually wanted to have in a MOU.
Q. And so is it your understanding, at this point in time, that the ball is in their court with respect to responding to Eversource's comments?
A. (Bowes) To the best of my knowledge, as of last Friday, yes, that's true.
Q. Could you briefly summarize for the Committee what you believe the outstanding issues are to get this document finalized.
A. (Bowes) I think there's two major ones. There was a request to be able to shut down our use of local roads at any time. We'd like to work through that in a more collaborative basis.

And the other one was going through a town permitting process for use of the local roads. And again, we think that's the -- the SEC has jurisdiction in that area. And again, we're very willing to work with the Town of Durham to have a condition similar to what we have with Newington.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
Q. Next topic. When Mr. Ratigan, on behalf of the Town of Newington, was questioning you, he asked you at one point about a provision of the Newington Master Plan, and in particular about a provision requiring transmission lines in a portion of town to be buried. Do you recall that?
A. (Bowes) Yes, I do.
Q. And at the time he asked you those questions, you said you were not familiar with that provision; is that right?
A. (Bowes) Yes.
Q. Have you since had the opportunity to look at that provision?
A. (Bowes) Yes, I have.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And so I want to pull
up, if we could, Dawn, Newington Exhibit 1-4, which is Mr. Hebert's exhibit. And in particular, it's the Utility Easement section. BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. And if we go to the bottom of this, Mr . Bowes, is this the section right at the bottom, I think the last sentence, that Mr .

Ratigan was referring to?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
(Mr. Bowes reviews document.)
A. (Bowes) Yes, $I$ believe it is.
Q. This is a sentence that talks about a utility transmission infrastructure being buried in a residential district; is that right?
A. (Bowes) Yes.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Now, Dawn, if you could pull up our new Exhibit 198, please.
(The document, as described, was herewith marked as Applicant's Exhibit 198 for identification.)

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. This is Newington's response to a data request that Eversource served on them. And the request was to identify when the master plan provision was adopted. Based on this response, what is your understanding, Mr. Bowes, of when that provision we just looked at was adopted?

MR. RICHARDSON: Madam Chair, I apologize for interrupting, but I'm trying to find these exhibits. Were they e-mailed? The last one $I$ see is $I$ got e-mailed 195 today. Don't know where 198 is.
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: I think 198 is a new exhibit?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: These are new exhibits. PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: They're new exhibits.

MR. RICHARDSON: So we just -- I haven't seen them before.

MR. PATCH: They haven't been provided to us.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: You have copies for --

MR. NEEDLEMAN: They'll be circulated.
MR. IACOPINO: You've probably seen this one before. It appears to be a data request that you should have probably received during discovery.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: It's their answer.
MR. RATIGAN: Ms. Chair --
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Attorney Ratigan.

MR. RATIGAN: I'm curious. This panel is going to conclude today. For the witnesses -- for the attorneys who haven't seen these exhibits, how are they to prepare?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
(Presiding Officer Weathersby and SEC Counsel discuss off the record.)

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: So these issues were raised in cross-examination. They're proper within the scope of the redirect, and Attorney Needleman in entitled to present exhibits to elicit testimony from his panel.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you.
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. So, Mr. Bowes, my question again: Based on Newington's response to the data request, what is your understanding of when that master plan provision that we just looked at was adopted?
A. (Bowes) So the revision was adopted February 23rd, 2015.
Q. And based on your understanding, when was this project first introduced to the Town of Newington?
A. (Bowes) I believe we started discussions in late 2013.
Q. So, based on that time line, is it correct, then, that Newington adopted that amendment requiring the underground approximately 14
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
months after Eversource introduced the Project to them?
A. (Bowes) I think that's correct, yes.
Q. Is there anything --

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Dawn, if we can go back
to the amendment for a moment.
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. Is there any language in that amendment that suggests to you that the amendment was made with this particular project in mind?
(Mr. Bowes reviews document.)
A. (Bowes) Sure. The first paragraph at the top of the page here is talking about... no, it's the second paragraph. The proposed installation of electric transmission line between Gundalow Landing, Frink Farm and Hannah Lane, it's trying to limit the structure heights as well. So...
Q. During the course of looking at documents associated with this issue, did you also have the chance to review the master plan section -- the Utility Easement section of the master plan that was in effect when you first began your discussions with Newington?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) Yes, I did.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Dawn, can we put up Exhibit 199, please.
(The document, as described, was herewith marked as Applicant's Exhibit 199 for identification.)

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. And is this that document?
A. (Bowes) Yes, it is.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And Dawn, if you could go to the Utility Easement section of that plan and highlight that.

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN :
Q. And during the course of your review of that, did you notice any sections in there that required transmission lines to be underground?
A. (Bowes) There were none.
Q. Next topic, picking up on the issue that Mr .

Fitzgerald raised a few minutes ago pertaining to that CLF letter that was introduced. Did you have a chance in preparing today to look at Applicant's Exhibit 187, which is the PUC records with
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
respect crossings in this docket?
A. (Bowes) Yes, I did.
Q. And Mr. Fitzgerald I think asked about the relevant statute. Is the statute contained in those documents?
A. (Bowes) Yes, it is.
Q. Is it RSA 371:17?
A. (Bowes) Yes, it is.
Q. And did you also review the final PUC order that was contained in those documents?
A. (Bowes) I did.
Q. To the best of your understanding, did Conservation Law Foundation, or any parties here, participate in that PUC crossing docket?
A. (Bowes) They did not.
Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, did those issues that Conservation Law Foundation raised here with respect to the crossing get raised in that docket?
A. (Bowes) No.
Q. Do you know if any parties, including

Conservation Law Foundation, appealed that PUC order?

| A. | (Bowes) Yes, I did. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q. | And Mr. Fitzgerald I think asked about the |
|  | relevant statute. Is the statute contained |
|  | in those documents? |
| A. | (Bowes) Yes, it is. |
| $Q$. | Is it RSA 371:17? |
| A. | (Bowes) Yes, it is. |
| 2. | And did you also review the final PUC order |
|  | that was contained in those documents? |
| A. | (Bowes) I did. |
| Q | To the best of your understanding, did |
|  | Conservation Law Foundation, or any parties |
|  | here, participate in that PUC crossing |
|  | docket? |
| A. | (Bowes) They did not. |
| 2 | So, to the best of your knowledge, did those |
|  | issues that Conservation Law Foundation |
|  | raised here with respect to the crossing get |
|  | raised in that docket? |
| A. | (Bowes) No. |
| 2. | Do you know if any parties, including |
|  | Conservation Law Foundation, appealed that |
|  | PUC order? |

[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
A. (Bowes) There's been no appeal taken.
Q. Mr. Wall, just one question for you. And I'll come back to you, Mr. Bowes.

You'll recall that when Mr. Patch was questioning you, he stated -- or there was a question about the time that each jet plow run would take. And I think in response to his question you said that each jet plow run would take one to two days; is that right?
A. (Wall) Correct.
Q. In answering that question, were you including mobilization and demobilization time in your estimate?
A. (Wall) Yes, that did include mobe and de-mobe.
Q. So I just want to sharpen that a bit. If you were to take out mobilization and demobilization time and focus only on the actual jet plow run itself, what would be that estimated time?
A. (Wall) Approximately 10 hours.
Q. Mr. Plante, earlier today Ms. Frink asked you about soil compaction on her property. And you made reference to an agreement, but you
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
couldn't recall at the time where that agreement was in the record; is that right?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Do you now know -- can you now identify for the Committee where that agreement is and what it is?
A. (Plante) I still don't have it right in front of me.
Q. If I told you that it was Applicant's Exhibit 145, Attachment B, Appendix A, would that ring a bell?
A. (Plante) It does ring a bell.
Q. And that is a Soil and Groundwater Management Plan that Eversource and the Frinks, and I believe Rockingham County Conservation District, agreed upon; correct?
A. (Plante) That's correct.
Q. Was that the document you had in mind --
A. (Plante) Yes, it is.
Q. -- when you were talking with Mrs. Frink?

And then Exhibit 195, which was
introduced earlier today --
MR. NEEDLEMAN: If you could put that
up on the screen, Dawn, and maybe focus in on
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
that toward the middle.
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q. So this is the document that we agreed to produce earlier that focuses on the Crowley property. And Mr. Bowes, I just wanted you to take one minute to explain to the Committee in particular what the significance of this is in relation to the questions you were answering.
A. (Bowes) So this shows a blow-up of the area that we had a lot of discussion about this morning between the Beswick property and the Crowley property. It's based upon the existing subdivision documents for property lines. It's based upon the new easement option we have with the Beswicks and overlays, the engineering documents, in a precise manner where they would be located in Little Bay and as they come out of the Little Bay. And this is why I was able to say with some assurance this morning they will be 12 feet away from the Crowley property. This was developed in the last couple weeks because of the discussion the attorney had
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
with Mr. Quinlan. And we wanted to make sure we were able to respond in an affirmative manner and lay out exact locations of the various property lines, as well as our electric facilities that have been proposed for this project.
Q. Mr. Bowes, just one final question. I can't possibly avoid the need to use concrete mattresses, and you described all of the issues associated with that. And one of the things you mentioned was an increase in costs if you were to do that sort of trenching and blasting in the rock. But you never said what you thought the increase in cost might be. Do you have any estimate of that for the Committee?
A. (Bowes) Yeah, so the costs are fairly extensive. They would require us to do an excavation, approximately 100 days in duration, with the largest part being on the west side of the bay. The costs would be an incremental $\$ 3-$ to $\$ 5$ million over thepossibly avoid the need to use concretemattresses, and you described all of theissues associated with that. And one of thethings you mentioned was an increase in costsif you were to do that sort of trenching andblasting in the rock. But you never saidwhat you thought the increase in cost mightbe. Do you have any estimate of that for theCommittee?
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
existing proposal we have with the concrete mattresses.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you. Nothing more, Madam Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day and dismiss the construction panel.

MR. RATIGAN: Excuse me, Madam Chair.
PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: Yes, Attorney Ratigan.

MR. RATIGAN: Do I have an opportunity to re-cross if there were issues that were raised and documents that were raised that we haven't had an opportunity to see?

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: So all the documents were raised in response to cross-examination.

MR. RATIGAN: But they don't tell the whole story.

PRESIDING OFFICER WEATHERSBY: When your witnesses are on, when your witnesses are there, you can ask them about it.

MR. RATIGAN: Thank you.
(Hearing adjourned at 5:52 p.m.)
[FRAZIER, STRATER, PLANTE, BOWES, DODEMAN, WALL]
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| 179:10 | 120:24;136:4;164:3; | 11:6;88:3 | 48:17;177:1 | 29:15 |
| thermal (5) | 184:23 | tractor-trailer (3) | trimming (1) | type (13) |
| 89:21;90:4;134:19; | tinted (2) | 33:23;34:1,7 | 47:19 | 7:2;20:11;33:19 |
| 135:6;163:6 | 131:23;132: | trade-off (1) | troopers (1) | 41:22;75:4;86:13; |
| thinking (3) | today (20) | 156:11 | 8:11 | 129:24;134:16; |
| 130:2;144:1 | 10:18;20:16;32:8; | traffic (33) | trouble | 136:2;140:8;162:2,5; |
| 156:19 | 45:8;47:15;76:10; | 7:1,4,8,15,18,21 | 79:9 | 164:14 |
| third (3) | 109:3,16;138:7; | 8:5,9,12,21;12:5,22 | Troy (1) | types (7) |
| 5:2;121:10;185:6 | 144:23;148:14; | $13: 3,8 ; 24: 24 ; 25: 7$ | 59:21 | 18:11;41:10; |
| though (7) | 161:18;172:4;178:9; | 19;26:3;29:14,21; | truck (4) | 111:13,24;116:18; |
| 76:23;94:5;95:3 | 184:4;189:23; | 30:19;31:6,8,15;33:4, | 33:19,23;34:7,8 | 163:9;183:2 |
| 112:16;122:16; | 190:22;193:23; | 17;34:24;35:2,8; | trucks (6) | typical (2) |
| 131:5;152:17 | 195:22;196:22 | 110:21;111:20; | 6:15;13:5;33:10, | 91:8;132:2 |
| thought (5) | together (11) | 151:7;178:18 | 24;34:1;179:3 | Typically (18) |
| 125:3;139:24 | 59:21;68:24;73: | traffic-related (1) | true (2) | 13:12;42:13;66:8, |
| 140:3,12;198:16 | 82:16;86:22;116:1; | 151:5 | 52:18;187:9 | 12;76:5;104:24; |
| thousand-foot (6) | 119:21;120:2;123:9; | trained (1) | Trust (1) | 105:17;129:23; |
| 49:19;142:9;168:5; | 144:19;152:16 | 105:19 | 133:14 | 130:4,15,20,23; |
| 169:9,18,22 | told (1) | training (2) | try (9) | 131:22;138:15; |


| 139:13;158:11; | $87: 7,14$ UNH (18) | $43: 17 ; 44: 6 ; 45: 17$ | $90: 7,21 ; 91: 3$ | $111: 4 ; 113: 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162:15;179:17 | UNH (18) | 50:21;51:1;57:5; | Vaults (1) | visual (5) |
| typo (1) | 97:11;98:5,24; | 72:5;74:4,21;101:24; | 106:24 | 126:15;127:3; |
| 47:8 | 99:2;109:14;115:17; | 110:16;113:6; | veg (1) | 132:7;148:13;176:12 |
| typographical (1) | 116:6;150:13,19,21; | 115:15;116:16; | 45:19 | Vivian (1) |
| 77:7 | 152:11,22,23;176:14; | 123:16;124:2,4,10, | vegetate (1) | 127:1 |
|  | 180:1,8;187:1,1 | 19;137:3;158:7; | 46:17 | void (2) |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | UNH's (1) | 164:10;180:3; | vegetation (3) | 19:22;115:10 |
|  | 115 | 187:15,19;198:1 | 48:2,3,5 | voltage (1) |
| ultimately (5) | unique (1) | useable (1) | vegetative (2) | 163:6 |
| 99:12;104:12; | 44:15 | 45:13 | 47:19;176:23 | volume (2) |
| 160:16;162:12,18 | United (1) | used (30) | vehicle (2) | 81:13,16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { unable (1) } \\ 80 \cdot 4 \end{gathered}$ | 161:12 University (3) | $18: 12 ; 19: 14,18$ | 32:9;33:22 vehicles (8) | W |
| 89:4 | University (3) | 33:24;64:3;72:13 | vehicles (8) | W |
| 141:7 | unknown (1) | 87:3,10;110:22; | $33: 10,13,16 ; 45: 14$ | wait (5) |
| unchanged (1) | 17:5 | 111:2,4;113:6; | verbal (1) | 32:9;35:2,17; |
| 77:16 | unless (3) | 115:13;120:10; | 183:23 | 67:23;163:14 |
| unclear (1) | 75:7;95:6;134:7 | 122:24;124:8; | verified (1) | waiting (3) |
| 5:22 | unlikely (3) | 130:23;134:13,15,15; | 76:5 | 5:18;32:6;68:5 |
| under (25) | 157:13;159:9,15 | 137:9;158:3;165:12; | verify (1) | walk (5) |
| 6:8;23:5;50:13; | up (59) | 168:7;170:14;176:5; | 40:17 | 15:9;56:2;96:4; |
| 62:11;63:12;67:21; | 6:3;7:5,14;8:12,16; | 182:2 | Vermont (1) | 155:13;157:10 |
| 95:24;96:16;98:8,10, | 14:16;23:4;26:17; | useful (1) | 146:20 | walking (1) |
| 18;101:9,11;110:8; | 27:15;28:5;31:17; | 146:9 | vernal (1) | 55:13 |
| 114:19,23;115:3; | 38:2;48:11;52:23; | uses (3) | 100:10 | wall (16) |
| 116:4;117:19; | 54:9,11;55:14;58:16, | 81:15;123:18; | version (6) | 43:24;44:4;49:6, |
| 142:22;145:13; | 17;62:14,20;63:17, | 164:6 | 16:7;18:15;179:9; | 12,13;50:7,11;64:10; |
| 154:22;158:4; | 21;64:6;65:18;66:3, | using (19) | 186:10,22,23 | 141:11;164:17,24; |
| 171:20;172:16 | 18;67:24;69:1;77:1; | 15:2;19:5;33:18; | versus (5) | 182:15;195:2,10,14, |
| undercut (1) | 81:24;83:23;89:7,7; | 35:1;40:12;50:13; | 40:10;111:17; | 21 |
| 146:13 | 116:18;118:11,18; | 78:4;80:9;84:1; | 152:23,23;156:13 | walls (11) |
| underground (40) | 121:15;122:12; | 88:20;89:20;116:22; | vertical (1) | 43:13,19;44:6,10, |
| 13:14,22;14:1,8, | 130:3;135:24; | 140:21;143:3;150:1; | 16:8 | 11,16;110:4,12; |
| 13;15:6,17;22:7,19; | 137:16;138:7;139:3; | 157:23;164:12; | vertically (2) | 164:3;165:2,9 |
| 23:2;33:3,7;46:22; | 150:19;153:17; | 181:16;182:8 | 16:8;120:17 | Wall's (2) |
| 47:1,2,9,17;49:8; | 157:3,4;159:1; | usually (4) | vessel (3) | 48:24;63:23 |
| 68:13;89:14;98:5,13; | 165:24;167:11; | 51:11;89:15; | 60:8;130:8;131:11 | wants (1) |
| 99:11,13;100:3; | 168:20;184:10; | 137:18;159:11 | vessels (2) | 134:8 |
| 101:6,8;102:20; | 185:24;188:17; | utilities (5) | 60:24;130:12 | warning (3) |
| 107:14;111:17; | 189:8;193:2,19; | 9:23;142:20;143:2; | VHF (3) | 140:2,8;141:5 |
| 113:19;135:13; | 196:24 | 161:6;172:16 | 139:7,11,15 | wasted (1) |
| 151:14;152:8; | update (2) | Utility (10) | via (1) | 145:12 |
| 160:20;170:15; | 137:23;185:1 | 9:21;10:4;161:12; | 116:14 | water (67) |
| 171:1;177:5;191:24; | updated (10) | 162:14;174:18,24; | vibration (1) | 17:3;51:7,11,12,13, |
| 193:17 | $10: 6 ; 13: 18 ; 38: 7$ $42 \cdot 14 \cdot 52 \cdot 7 \cdot 137$ | 188:19;189:3; | 20:1 | 17,19;52:8,9,15;53:2, |
| undergrounding (1) $24: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:14;52:7;137:5; } \\ & \text { 139:24;140:7,15; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192: 22 ; 19 \\ & \text { ilized (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { vibrations } \\ 20: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,10,11 ; 54: 2,15,24 ; \\ & 55: 2,3,5,6,9 ; 57: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| underlying (1) | 182:23 | 166:10;167:24 | view (3) | 24;62:3,6,7,11,11; |
| 110:15 | updates (4) |  | 40:24;41:4;126:12 | 64:19,20,21;67:21; |
| underneath (11) | 136:24;151:22,24; | V | viewpoint (2) | 68:22;69:5;70:17,18; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17: 17 ; 18: 12 ; 22: 23 ; \\ & 23 \cdot 36 \cdot 24 \cdot 38 \cdot 74 \cdot 16 . \end{aligned}$ | 184:22 |  | $134: 24 ; 135: 1$ | $78: 5 ; 80: 12 ; 81: 5,13,$ |
| $114: 14 ; 134: 22$ | - ${ }_{\text {45:24; }}$ | $144: 11,16$ | views (1) 135:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 ; 88: 1,1,1 ; 89: 7,16 ; \\ & 92: 22 ; 93: 14 ; 94: 1,3 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 138:13 | upon (7) | variations (1) | violations (1) | 127:8;130:21;133:6, |
| understood (3) | 94:17;125:17; | 43:3 | 163:6 | 8;136:4;142:21; |
| 36:15;123:24; | 154:4;162:13; | varies (1) | visibility (3) | 158:18;172:5,20; |
| 131:16 | 196:16;197:13,15 | 66:6 | 125:23;126:10; | 177:7,8;181:17,24; |
| undertaken (3) | upper (1) | various (3) | 148:6 | 182:3,4,5,6 |
| 17:12;105:2,8 | 6:4 | 31:1;184:12;198:4 | visible (4) | waters (8) |
| underwater (2) | use (32) | vary (1) | 128:10;148:16; | 50:1;93:5;132:20; |
| 88:3;149:3 | 6:17,18;18:22; | 104:17 | 155:12;185:19 | 141:1;142:24; |
| unfortunately (2) | 33:19;37:3;40:12; | vault (3) | Vissim (2) | 174:15,18;175:1 |


| waterway (1) | wetlands (4) | 174:16 |  | 43:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140:20 | 166:4,6,8,14 | WITNESS (35) | Y | 111 (1) |
| way (47) | what's (13) | 109:4,8;119:6; |  | 52:10 |
| 6:9;8:14,16;12:21; | 45:24;47:4,7; | 122:6,9,19,23; | year (10) | 115kV (1) |
| 13:2,7;22:24;47:12 | 53:20;78:12;82:18 | 123:14;128:23; | $9: 14 ; 21: 8 ; 146: 5$ | 167:7 |
| 51:3;61:18;62:13; | 84:11;100:16; | 129:8,17;130:4; | $53: 22 ; 163: 18,19$ | 12 (3) |
| 65:21;67:12;70:13 | 110:10;130:23; | 131:9,14;132:2; | 183:5;184:23; | 90:17;94:4;197:22 |
| 84:20;85:3;93:24; | 141:9;152:24;181:22 | 134:14;135:2,11 | 185:15;186:7 | 125 (9) |
| 97:11;98:12;100:5; | white-gray (1) | 136:6,18;137:3,2 | years (8) | $34: 19,20,23 ; 35: 2$ |
| 120:5;123:5,6,20; | 132:4 | 139:1;140:11,18; | $106: 4,9 ; 107: 5,1$ | 53:13;78:2;80:22; |
| 128:18,18,19;129:13, | whole (6) | 141:11,13;142:1,8, | 7:13;154:12,12; | 82:12;181:10 |
| 23;131:1,12,19; | 75:21;102:17 | 12;143:6,11,15,19; | 168:18 | 13 (1) |
| 136:12;137:20,21 | 114:19;120:4; | 156:9 | yellow (1) | 32:2 |
| 138:18;139:16; | 145:23;199:19 | witnesses (4) | $169: 8$ | 133 (5) |
| 148:20,22,23;150 | who's (1) | 162:9;190:23 | yellowish-orange (1) | 94:10;95:13,14,16; |
| 153:13;164:3; | 105:5 | 199:21,21 | 79:6 | 119:8 |
| 180:17;182:11,12,13 | whose (1) | wondering (11) | yellow-outlined (1) | 14 (3) |
| ways (4) | 126:6 | 113:1;127:7,14 | 37:13 | 35:3;175:24; |
| 54:13,14;135 | why's (1) | 132:20;133:9,19 | Yup (6) | 191:24 |
| 157:17 | 17:8 | 155:2;157:5;164: | 7:10,17;26:1 | 1-4 (1) |
| WEATHERSBY (44) | wide (7) | 169:14;182:18 | 27:3;179:11;180:21 | 188:17 |
| 103:9,13;108:8,15; | 16:18;68:11,15 | wood (1) |  | 140 (2) |
| 109:6,17,23;117:8; | 69:17,24;90:9;121:4 | 6:18 | $\mathbf{Z}$ | 9:6;36:1 |
| 122:1,7,15,21;123:3; | widely (1) | worded (1) |  | 41 (4) |
| 128:17;131:20; | 72:14 | 183:11 |  | 31:24;35:3;150:2,4 |
| 135:19;137:20; | widening (2) | words (3) | $53: 21,22 ; 54: 6$ | 142 (1) |
| 139:17;141:21; | 112:15,18 | 128:21;134:21; | zone (6) | 132:18 |
| 142:17;143:21; | wider (2) | 154:11 | $12: 14 ; 50: 18 ; 52: 3$ | 145 (1) |
| 148:20;153:14; | 68:19;70 | work ( | $54: 6 ; 55: 24 ; 93: 13$ | 196:10 |
| 154:16;155:23; | width (5) | 10:18;12:14,24 | zones (2) | 148 (6) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 165: 20 ; 173: 15 ; \\ & 174 \cdot 8 \cdot 175 \cdot 68 \cdot \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 12 ; 68: 13 ; 69: 22 \\ & 110 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $28: 16 ; 54: 16 ; 55:$ | 51:23;54:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 23 ; 14: 18 ; 43: 8 ; \\ & 46: 24 ; 56: 14 ; 97: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 182:11;183:21,24; | widths (3) | 72:1;87:8;88:22; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \operatorname{zoom}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 15: 12 \end{array}$ | $149 \text { (9) }$ |
| 190:1,4,10,19;191:1, | 111:14;112:6, | 104:18;105:7; |  | 14:14,19;15: |
| 3;199:5,9,15,20 | willing (5) | 112:23;113:8; | 1 | 8:1,8;40:14;74:11; |
| week (5) | 131:22;132:14; | 129:18;152:9;154:3; |  | 79:21;90:14 |
| 29:17;30:16;9 | 177:3;182:20;187:22 | 164:10;170:5;177:3; |  | 14th (1) |
| 104:13;105:7 | willy-nilly | 187:16, | $26: 9,16 ; 40: 1 ; 97: 2$ | 186:10 |
| weeks (10) | 141:14 | worked (2) | $1,000 \text { (1) }$ | $15 \text { (1) }$ |
| 27:14;29:18,19, | winch | 70:23;161: | 169:1 | 78:3 |
| 58:14;91:7;144:6; | 62:1 | workers (2) | $10(8)$ | $150 \text { (5) }$ |
| 169:4;174:20;197:23 | window | 8:3,15 | $82: 20 ; 90: 9,12 ;$ | 62:9;66:8,10,15; |
| weigh (1) | $8: 10$ wires | working (14) | 94:3,3;167:20;173:5; | 109:11 |
| 73:6 | wires (2) | 21:4;57:14;60:11, | $195: 21$ | 155 (1) |
| weighed (1) | 71:23,24 | 21,24;63:11;71:10, | 10-(1) | 114:13 |
| 156:15 | wish (1) | 11;84:14;88:2; | 18:2 | 155A (1) |
| weren't (1) | 178:15 | 104:21;107:18; | 100 (1) | 114:13 |
| 146:3 | wishes (1) | 151:19;182:7 | 198:2 | 16 (2) |
| west (9) | 10:14 | works (3) | 104 (1) | 139:14;178:19 |
| 26:10;52:3,20; | within (25) | 5:19;70:14;113:8 | 97:2 | 16-441 (1) |
| 54:12;82:13;96:7; | 8:14;12:14,18; | worst-case (4) | 106 (3) | 173:3 |
| 125:11;176:1;198:23 | 36:6,12,20;45: | 35:12;65:19;66:7, | 155:2;169:1,2 | 166 (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { westbound (1) } \\ & 33: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:8;49:5,10;54:16 } \\ & \text { 63:8;89:15;99:3; } \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 107-9 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 166:2 } \\ & 18(8) \end{aligned}$ |
| western (7) | 100:2;102:18; | 119:2 |  | 15:4;73:20;120:22, |
| 55:23;56:15;71:16; | 139:11;167:22; | wrong (2) | 166:7 | 23;121:9,11;130:3; |
| 82:14;85:6;124:23; | 169:8,18,22;170:5, | 6:12;42:4 | 10-foot | 186:1 |
| 125:3 |  | X | 16: | 187 (1) |
| 164:12 | 45:7 |  | $108$ | 19 (2) |
| wetland (5) | without (5) | Xs (1) | -year | 15:7;78:18 |
| 46:21;100:16; | 43:18;106:12 | 60:17 | 146:6;163:21 | 1902 (2) |
| 164:21,23;170:9 | 107:23;142:23; |  | 11 (1) | 49:18,21 |
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| 1906 (1) | 191:16 | 82:18 | 77:1 | 9 (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:18 | 24 (2) | 396+80 (1) | 5:52 (1) | 36:2;73:5,6,19; |
| 1921 (2) | 10:20;78:23 | 84:15 | 199:24 | 118:18;119:18,19; |
| 50:1;172:7 | 25 (3) | 397 (1) | 50 (6) | 120:21;121:7,9,11 |
| 1923 (1) | 46:24;101:7;170:4 | 82:18 | 10:19;45:11;84:17; | 90 (1) |
| 172:8 | 25-foot (1) | 397+00 (1) | 146:23;154:12;176:1 | 175:19 |
| 1929 (1) | 97:8 | 69:13 | 53 (1) | 92 (1) |
| 172:11 | 25-foot-wide (1) | 397+81 (1) | 43:9 | 5:1 |
| 195 (2) | 96:12 | 83:2 | 54 (3) | 93 (6) |
| 189:23;196:21 | 25-hertz (1) | 398+34.99 (1) | 41:21;118:3;119:7 | 6:23;7:14;12:1; |
| 1951 (1) | 157:23 | 69:11 | 5-foot (1) | 13:15;26:2;29:10 |
| 172:14 | 26 (2) | 399 (1) | 16:18 |  |
| 196 (2) | 80:22;101:7 | 69:18 |  |  |
| 184:10,19 | 26-foot (1) | 3-foot (1) | 6 |  |
| 197 (2) | 60:14 | 16:17 |  |  |
| 186:9,15 | 27 (2) |  | 6 (7) |  |
| 198 (4) | 7:14;15:1 | 4 | 35:4;83:18,21; |  |
| 189:8,11,24;190:2 | 27th (2) |  | 84:17;88:15;89:6; |  |
| 199 (2) | 9:13;77:14 | 4 (14) | 98:14 |  |
| 193:3,6 | 28 (2) | 9:4;11:3;32:16; | 6- (1) |  |
| 1-foot (1) | 49:2;79:22 | 33:15;34:17;41:17; | 54:7 |  |
| 86:6 | 29 (2) | 43:4;54:20;79:6; | 60 (2) |  |
| 1-inch (1) | 6:24;82:12 | 88:15;97:10;114:23; | 56:22;85:2 |  |
| 115:22 | 2-inch (2) | 121:4;169:3 | 62 (1) |  |
| 2 | 177:19,21 | 4:04 (1) | 119:9 |  |
|  | 117:19 | 40 (5) | 115:17 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2(5) \\ & \quad 10: 24 ; 26: 13,16 ; \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  | 7 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:24;26:13,16; } \\ & 97: 7 ; 177: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 40-year (2) | 7 |  |
| 2.3 (1) | 3 (9) | 107:7,16 | 7 (4) |  |
| 32:10 | 12:2;26:20,23,24; | 42 (5) | 39:24;52:10;85:18, |  |
| 2.7 (1) | 35:16;81:15;85:19; | 83:1;85:9,12;89:3; | 18 |  |
| 32:10 | 149:23;150:5 | 125:6 | 73 (2) |  |
| 20 (11) | 3:49 (1) | 429+71 (1) | 49:2;63:24 |  |
| 56:14;59:2;63:8; | 108:13 | 79:10 | 74 (1) |  |
| 99:15;107:12;114:5; | 30 (2) | 42-inch (6) | 85:3 |  |
| 119:22;121:12; | 16:21;107:13 | 72:6,8;75:14;76:2; | 75 (1) |  |
| 166:7;168:18;170:2 | 30-foot (1) | 84:20;114:24 | 56:23 |  |
| 2012 (1) | 68:17 | 42-inch-diameter (1) | 7-foot (1) |  |
| 162:24 | 30-inch (1) | 18:23 | 54:8 |  |
| 2013 (2) | 16:23 | 43 (2) |  |  |
| 172:21;191:21 | 31 (1) | 43:1;53:14 | 8 |  |
| 2015 (1) | 26:2 | 437 (2) |  |  |
| 191:16 | 32 (2) | 79:23;80:1 | 8 (3) |  |
| 2016 (4) | 74:12;90:8 | 437+13 (1) | 35:16;79:5;119:22 |  |
| 40:7,8,9;172:23 | 33 (1) | 79:11 | 8,000 (2) |  |
| 2017 (5) | 90:15 | 43-foot (1) | 73:7;77:2 |  |
| 77:23;83:14; | 34 (1) | 43:5 | 8,000-pound (1) |  |
| 163:16;173:2,5 | 90:15 | 45 (2) | 75:1 |  |
| 2018 (3) | 35 (1) | 63:24;84:16 | 8600 (1) |  |
| 15:1;117:19; | 90:12 | 48 (2) | 77:9 |  |
| 184:24 | 36 (1) | 106:23;120:23 | 88 (1) |  |
| 20-foot (2) | 121:1 | 4-inch (1) | 9:10 |  |
| 18:24;119:19 | 369 (1) | 115:16 | 8-foot (1) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-percent (2) } \\ \text { 120:11;121:3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52: 13 \\ \mathbf{3 7 1 : 1 7}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 119:18 } \\ \text { 8-foot-by-20-foot (1) } \end{array}$ |  |
| 21 (4) | 194:7 |  | $73: 3$ |  |
| $56: 14 ; 59: 1 ; 78: 18 ;$ $170 \cdot 3$ | 38 (1) | 5 (10) ${ }^{16 \cdot 17}$ 23.22.12. | 8-inch (2) |  |
| 170:3 | 43:1 | 16:17,23;22:12; | 22:13;115:12 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { (1) } \\ & 99: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 38-(1) | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 20 ; 73: 23 ; 82: 19 ; \\ & 84: 17 ; 85: 13 ; 98: 6,14 \end{aligned}$ | 9 |  |
| 23rd (1) | 396 (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 84: 17 ; 8 \\ \mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{array}$ | 9 |  |

