

MEMORANDUM

APPLICATION OF NORTHERN PASS NORTHERN PASS TRANSMISSION, LLC
and PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
d/b/a EVERSOURCE ENERGY
Docket No. 2015-06

TO: All Parties

FROM: Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator

DATE: March 9, 2017

RE: Technical Session Data Requests

Technical sessions were held on March 6 and 7, 2017, in the above referenced docket. The purpose of the technical sessions is for the parties to inquire of the witnesses for the Intervenor and Counsel for the Public as to their expertise, opinions, and pre-filed testimony. The parties that were present during all or part of the technical sessions are listed on the sign-in sheet that is attached to this memorandum.

At the conclusion of the technical sessions, the following data requests remain outstanding:

March 6-Dummer, Stark, and Northumberland Abutters-Joshua Olson

1. Provide information regarding the real estate in Holden, MA that lost 30-40% of its value due to its proximity to power lines.

March 6-NEPGA-William Fowler

2. Provide any written agreements that contain the scope of engagement between Mr. Fowler and NEPGA.
3. Provide any comments that Mr. Fowler received directly from NEPGA members on his draft pre-filed testimony.
4. Provide the calculation used to determine the \$15.75 million penalty number provided on page 18, line 11 of the pre-filed testimony.

March 6-NEPGA-James Ginnetti-CONFIDENTIAL SESSION

1. No Data Requests

March 7-Counsel for the Public-Thomas Kavet and Nicolas Rockler – Kavet, Rockler & Assoc.

1. Provide a copy of the final report that Kavet-Rockler produced for TDI on the Clean Power Link Project in Vermont. Provide the timeframe that was studied. Point to where in the report the positive and negative externalities are provided.
2. Provide an explanation of how you would analyze the emissions impact in the REMI PI+ model.
3. Provide all work papers related to conversions that were made for each table in the reports or identify where the information is contained in the data responses.
4. Detail the sources in Table 10 and the change in employment variable that was used.
5. Provide information compiled regarding the number of employees at the generators referenced in Table 10.
6. Provide the work papers, or point to where the information was contained in the answer to the data responses, for the calculations used in the change in employment numbers in Table 10.
7. Provide the composition of assumptions (or point to where the information was contained in the answer to the data responses) regarding the added mothball and retirements that were not included in Brattle's numbers.
8. Please confirm if you used the LEI data to run just the construction period when you ran the LEI inputs.
9. Provide the name of the DRA report and how and where the effective tax rate of 2.19665% (cell U147) was derived.
10. Provide the calculations applied and the source for cell S146 (2.28189%).
11. Provide the basis for the calculation in cell U157 (-819).
12. Provide information as to how facility retirements were considered, and the impacts on employment and reductions in employment, in your report for TDI on the impacts of the Clean Power Link project.
13. Provide information regarding the discount rate used in net present value of the various components in examining the economic discount rate and confirm if it is in real or nominal figures. If real, please provide the nominal equivalent. Provide evidence that formed the basis that 3% discount rate is reasonable.
14. Provide a live version of Table 24 and Table 25 in excel.
15. Provide the basis for the electricity market effects (40 jobs) during the construction period in Table 24.
16. Provide the basis for the operations and maintenance number of 2 jobs represented during the construction period in Table 24.
17. If already provided, then point to where the calculations behind Table 19 can be found.
18. Confirm the number used in the aggregated impact analysis used in the construction disruption impact and where the number came from (referenced in data response #10).
19. Provide where in the response to data requests you provided the notes of the conversation with Ms. DeSouza (referenced on Page 65 of the report).
20. Provide the distances in the 6 view categories in Table 16.
21. Produce Table 5 that is referenced on Page 57 of the report.
22. Provide a copy of the English translated study that was done on property values in Quebec.

23. Identify the studies referred to on Page 59 that support the statement that the impact on property values could range from 15-34%.

The foregoing shall be provided to all of the parties on or before March 20, 2017. Copies shall not be provided to the Committee. To the extent that there is an objection to providing the above referenced material, the requesting party must file a Motion to Compel with the Committee.

Any questions regarding this memorandum or the data requests made at the technical session should be directed to Pamela Monroe, Administrator.

March 9, 2017



Pamela G. Monroe
Administrator
Site Evaluation Committee
(603) 271-2435
pamela.monroe@sec.nh.gov

NORTHERN PASS TECHNICAL SESSION
SIGN-IN SHEET
3/6/17

<u>NAME</u>	<u>REPRESENTING</u>
Alan R. Baker	J. Olson
Steven Whitly	Muni Group
Lynda Bloom	George Sansbury
Amy Monzelli	Muni Groups PELL
Jeanne Monzelli	Forestry Society
Jason Reimer	Deerfield Abutts
Melissa Birchard	SPNHF
Max Stamp	CLF (NGO Intervenor)
Jim Monahan	PRIAC
	NEPGA

NORTHERN PASS TECHNICAL SESSION
SIGN-IN SHEET

3/7/17

<u>NAME</u>	<u>REPRESENTING</u>
Jeanne Menard	Deerfield Abutters
Lynnda Bloom	George Sansbury ^{Muni Groups} PELL
Madehya Tomlinson	Deerfield Non Abutters
Tom Faulkes	South Main Nn - Abutters
Will Abbott	SPNHF
Jason Reimers	BCM/SPNHF
Danette Pack	Concord
Shawn Whitty	Muni Groups
Eric Maher	City of Berlin
ERICK BERGLUND	DEERFIELD ABUTTERS
Alan Roff	IBEW