## THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility for the Construction of a New High Voltage Transmission Line in New Hampshire

Docket No. 2015-06

## GRAFTON COUNTY COMMISSIONER'S NOTICE OF CROSS-EXAMINATION (ROUND 1)

The Grafton County Commissioners hereby submit the following list notifying the Subcommittee of the Site Evaluation Committee its notice of cross-examination for Round 1 in accordance with the Order dated September 12, 2017 ("Order"). By submitting this list, the Grafton County Commissioners do not waive any procedural due process arguments, the right to seek a rehearing and/or to otherwise seek review of the Order in accordance with the law. The Grafton County Commissioners further do not waive the right to add and/or or remove the areas of questions referenced in the foregoing list to the extent there are developments in the record as of the date of the filing of this notice and/or it is determined during preparation or the examination of witnesses that additional areas of questions are necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts.

WITNESS PANEL	ADVERSE/ NON-ADVERSE	AREA OF QUESTIONS AND OFFER OF PROOF
		Grafton County Commissioners
TBD	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Construction Disruption - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April
		17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the towns about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns including scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency
		with master plan, property issues and discussion of new utility corridor.  Local Economic Benefits: Questions about trial testimony of Northern Pass

		witnesses and other witnesses relative to local economic benefits (including potential direct jobs) in region.
		Historic Resources - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , historic and orderly development witnesses), and public comments relative to historic resources, including but not limited to potential underground graves in road.
		Views of municipalities in this group regarding the project to date.
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
		MUNICIPAL GROUP 1 -SOUTH
Bethlehem Cassandra Laleme Cheryl K. Jensen	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Transition Station – Questions about current status of the location of the transition station in Bethlehem, as well as questions about trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses relative to the transition station.  Construction Disruption - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and

whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.

Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, (*i.e.*, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.

Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).

Local Economic Benefits - Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, property taxes and property values witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.

Historic Resources –Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, historic and orderly development witnesses), relative to historic resources.

Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.

Easton Robert Thibault Jim Collier Kris Pastoriza	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Impacts in private property in community, specific examples  Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.  Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial
		testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development and construction witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.  Construction Design - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).
		Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands) - Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern

		Pass witnesses (i.e., environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.  Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Franconia Eric Meth	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Construction Disruption: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses) relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan

		and specific/general property issues).
		Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Sugar Hill Margaret Connors	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:
Transact Comions		Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development wintesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan

		and specific/general property issues).
		and specific/general property issues).
		Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Plymouth	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:
Sharon Penney		Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development wintesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan

		and specific/general property issues).  Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the
		filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Bristol Nicholas Coates	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Construction Disruption – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether MOUs could

address and satisfy those concerns.  Construction Design/Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (i.e., construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).  Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the
Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (i.e., construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).  Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the
filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.

Concord	Non-Adverse	Topics include:
Beth Fenstermacher	Non-Adverse	Construction Disruptions – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality
Edward Roberge		and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and
Heather Shank		orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions.
Gail Matson and		
Candace Bouchard		Public Outreach and Communications By Applicants to Date: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental
Jan McClure and		testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass
Kristine Tardiff		witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction l and orderly development witnesses), relative to public outreach and communications and response to redirect exhibits submitted
Rick Van De Poll		by Northern Pass.
Peter Scott		Main Street Construction Challenges— Questions about testimony by Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses), during hearing that this project is analogous to Main Street Construction, and overview of public relations and public hearings performed by the City of Concord to avoid disruptions.
		Raised by Local Residents –Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony by Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by property owners and residents about to the proposed project.
		Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master

Plans, including updates to Open Space Master Plan – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, orderly development, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations and master plans.

Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues): Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, construction aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the City of Concord witnesses about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, general community attractiveness and specific/general property issues).

Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands, Karner Blue Butterfly, Other Natural Resources): Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.

Local Economic Benefits – Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, property taxes and property value witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.

Historic Resources – Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (*i.e.*, historic and orderly development witnesses) relative to historic resources.

60 Regional Drive – Mitigation Parcel: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass

		witnesses (i.e., property taxes, orderly development and environmental witnesses), relative to the use of 60 Regional Drive as a mitigation parcel.  Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
George Sansoucy	Non-Adverse	Topics Include:  Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.  Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial
		testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could

_				
		address and satisfy those concerns.		
		Construction Design - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).		
		Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands) - Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.		
		Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.		
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.		
SOCIETY FOR THE PROTECTION OF NH FORESTS				
Jane Difley Will Abbott	Non-Adverse	Public Outreach and Communications: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses ( <i>i.e.</i> , construction I and orderly development witnesses), relative to public outreach and communications and response to redirect exhibits submitted by Northern Pass, as well as discussions by SPNHF with public.		

		Conserved Lands – Questions about the permanently conserved lands held by SPNHF and other conserved lands that would experience adverse impacts, including on natural resources and aesthetics.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Donald Bilodeau Diane Bilodeau Dawn Bilodeau Scribner Dana Bilodeau	Non-Adverse	Questions about visual impact concerns and aesthetics.  Questions about donation of a conservation easement to the Forest Society for the purpose of trying to prevent the Northern Pass.  Questions about impacts to use and enjoyment of property and economic impacts to property owners, including seven acres of Christmas trees.  The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Raymond Lobdell	Non-Adverse	Natural Resources: Questions about the proposed 192-mile transmission line project with respect to wetlands and associated environmental impacts.

		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.
Harry Dodson	Non-Adverse	Visual Impacts: Questions about analysis of aesthetic impacts, including discussion of supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses.
Dean Wilber  Kelly O'Brien Normandeau	Non-Adverse	Construction Disruptions – Questions about economic, environmental and construction impacts on maple operations and potential water contamination. Operation Disruptions: Economic, environmental and construction impacts on equestrian business, property value, aesthetics, health and safety, water contamination, wildlife, complete burial
Lore Moran Dodge and Lisa Moran		Construction Design: Questions about loss of enjoyment, aesthetics and property value.
John Conklin		Property Values and Property Taxes: Questions about loss of enjoyment and visual impact
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct

		examinations.	
APPALACHIAN MOUNTAIN CLUB, CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION, AND AMMONOOSUC CONSERVATION TRUST (NGOS)			
Dr. David Publicover	Non-Adverse	Questions about the impacts of the proposed new right-of-way corridor in Coos County to rare natural communities, rare plants and habitat fragmentation.	
Chris Thayer		Questions about outdoor-oriented visitor expectations of New Hampshire's landscape and scenic resources relative to the impacts of the proposed Northern Pass Transmission project.	
Dr. Kenneth Kimball Larry Garland		Questions about the analysis of the visual assessment (aesthetics) conducted by Northern Pass and supplements to the analysis Harry Dodson, as well as concerned about impacts open spaces whether best practical measures to minimize project impacts are being applied.	
		Questions about their review of public comments received by the NH Site Evaluation Committee Public Comments.	
		The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.	
NEPGA			
William S. Fowler	Non-Adverse	Economic Benefits – Questions about the projected capacity and market savings described in the public testimony of Julia Frayer and the report issued by London Economics International related to the construction of the Northern Pass	

Transmission Line, including but not limited to supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses.

The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct

Respectfully submitted,

examinations.

By and through its attorneys,

## **GRAFTON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Dated: October 2, 2017

By: /s/ Lara J Saffo

Lara J Saffo, Esq., Bar #9683 Grafton County Attorney 3785 Dartmouth College Hwy

North Haverhill, New Hampshire 03774

Telephone: (603) 787-6968 Lsaffo@co.grafton.nh.us

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this date, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named on the Service List of this docket.

Dated: October 2, 2017 By: /s/ Lara Saffo

Lara Saffo, Esq., Bar #9683