

COMMENT CARD

NH Site Evaluation Committee, Docket No. 2015-06
Public Information Session, RSA 162-H:10, I-a

**RE: Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission LLC
& Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy
for a Certificate of Site and Facility**

LOCATION (circle one): Franklin (Jan. 11); Londonderry (Jan. 13); Laconia (Jan. 14);
Whitefield (Jan. 20); Lincoln (Jan. 21)

FIRST NAME: Natalie **LAST NAME** Feldsone

STREET ADDRESS: 9 Hemlock Way

TOWN: Durham **STATE** NH **ZIP** 03824

EMAIL ADDRESS: NAY53@WILDCATS.UNH.EDU

If you wish to provide written comments for the record, please provide your comments below:

It is unclear as to whether the applicants are considering population growth into their figures. Population growth is exponential and the dynamics could drastically change during the course of the proposed project and could affect the total savings and benefits figures they are presenting. It is also unclear as to the longterm viability of the hydrosorce in relation to population growth.

NH Site Evaluation Committee, Docket No. 2015-06
Public Information Session, RSA 162-H:10, I-a

LOCATION (circle one): Franklin (Jan. 11); Londonderry (Jan. 13); Laconia (Jan. 14); Whitefield (Jan. 20); Lincoln (Jan. 21)

EMAIL ADDRESS: law woodard@comcast.net

If you wish to provide written comments for the record, please provide your comments below:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

To: New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee

AT: January 13, 2016 Public Hearing – Londonderry High School, 295 Mammoth Road, Londonderry

Subj: Public Health and Safety

Name: Lynn A. Woodard



13 Edward Dr., Concord, NH 03301

I am in opposition to the proposed Northern Pass/EverSource submittal for the Issuance of a Certificate by the SEC for the construction of aboveground towers and power lines for the following reason:

The submitted proposal does not properly address health and safety issues for New Hampshire citizens that abut the established right-of-way (ROW). Residential homes and private property will be in the immediate fall zone if a tower were to collapse.

Title XII Public Safety and Welfare, Chapter 162-H:16 IV(c) Findings and Certificate Issuance, states that "Before a Certificate can be issued the committee shall find that: The site and facility will not have an unreasonable adverse effect on aesthetics, historic sites, air and water quality, the natural environment, and public health and safety".

It is my contention the intent in establishing the width of the original ROWs was to account for any failure of the distribution poles to safely land within the ROW. If the proposed structures are allowed this safety zone will no longer exist. For example:

The proposal requires existing distribution poles and lines (approx. 43 foot towers) to be relocated to the western edge of the ROW and installed on 80 to 125 foot monopoles. The proposed transmission lines can then be installed on "H" structures (85 to 125 feet or higher) in the center of the ROW.

I have walked much of the ROW in the City of Concord and have found residential homes will adversely affected if these structures are allowed to be constructed. In some cases homes are located directly in the fall zone. Just imagine a 125 foot tower carrying high voltage power lines located within say 50 feet or less of your property line. The structure would crush the home, not to mention the danger due to the electric current carried by the distribution or transmission lines. It is prudent to plan for the worse and hope for the best. Let's not wait for a disaster to happen.

It is the NH SEC's duty to consider the public health and safety of the citizens of New Hampshire. Let's make sure they do their job and require these proposed lines to be buried.