1

1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE
3	March 14 2010 10 00 a m
4	March 11, 2010 - 10:08 a.m. Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street
5	Sui te 10 Concord, New Hampshire
6	oonser a, new nampenin e
7	In re: SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE: SEC DOCKET NO. 2009-02:
8	Application of Laidlaw Berlin BioPower, LLC, for a Certificate
9	of Site and Facility for a
10 11	70 MW Biomass Fueled Energy Facility in Berlin, Coos County, New Hampshire. (Prehearing conference)
12	(Frenearing contenence)
	PRESENT: SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE:
13	Thomas S. Burack, Cmsr. Dept. of Environmental Services (Chairman of SEC - Presiding)
14 15	Michael Harrington Public Utilities Commission
15	
16 17	* * *
17	^ ^
18	Council for the Committee. Michael I Iogonina For
19	Counsel for the Committee: Michael J. Lacopino, Esq.
20	
21	
22	
23	COURT REPORTER: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	
	2
1	
2	ALSO PRESENT:
3	Reptg. Laidlaw Berlin BioPower, LLC:
4	Barry Needleman, Esq. (McLane Graf) Cathryn Vaughn, Esq. (McLane Graf) Ray Kusche Page 1

_	SEC-0311. txt
5 6 7	Reptg. Counsel for the Public: Allen Brooks, Esq. Assistant Atty. General New Hampshire Dept. of Justice
8	Jonathan Edwards, pro se
9	Reptg. Wagner Forest Management:
10	Tom Colgan, President
11	Reptg. North American Dismantling Group:
12	Jack Crisp, Esq. (Wiggin & Nourie) Tracy Uhrin, Esq. (Wiggin & Nourie)
13	Reptg. Clean Power Development: James T. Rodier, Esq.
14	Reptg. the City of Berlin, N.H.:
15	Jonathan Peress, Esq. (Downs)
16	
17	OTHER APPEARANCES:
18	Thomas McCue, Esq. (Berlin City Council)
19	Ralph Perron (Forest Service)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	(SEC 2000 02) [Dwohoowing conformation (02 11 10)
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}
	3
1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Good morning. My name
3	is Tom Burack. I serve as Commissioner of the Department
4	of Environmental Services, and, pursuant to RSA 162-H, I
5	also serve as Chair of the New Hampshire Site Evaluation
6	Committee. I am going to call to order this morning's
7	prehearing conference regarding Application of Laidlaw
8	Berlin BioPower, LLC, for a Certificate of Site and
9	Facility for a 70 megawatt biomass fueled energy facility

- in Berlin, Coos County, New Hampshire. Seated to my right
 is Michael Lacopino, who has been designated as Legal
 counsel to the Committee for purposes of consideration of
 this Application, and to my Left is Mike Harrington, who
 is a Staff engineer with the Public Utilities Commission
 and has been designated to serve as one of the members of
 the Subcommittee that will consider this Application.

 I'm going to provide a background and
 - I'm going to provide a background and description of this docket matter, and then we will take appearances and discuss in more detail setting out a schedule and other provisions relating to how this particular matter will proceed before the Subcommittee of the Committee.
- On December 16, 2009, Laidlaw Berlin
 BioPower, LLC, known as the "Applicant", filed an

 {SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}

18

19

20

21

22

4

- 1 Application for a Site -- I'm sorry, for a Certificate of
- 2 Site and Facility, known as the "Application". The
- 3 Applicant petitions the Site Evaluation Committee, or the
- 4 "Committee", for a Certificate of Site and Facility, which
- 5 we'll refer to as the "Certificate", in order to site,
- 6 construct and operate a renewable energy facility, which
- 7 we'll call a "Facility", in Berlin, Coos County, New
- 8 Hampshire. The facility is proposed to be located on the
- 9 northern side of Community Street, Coos Street, and
- 10 Hutchins Street, in Berlin, and we'll refer to that area
- 11 as the "Site". This location formally was the site of the
- 12 Fraser Paper -- I'm sorry, the Fraser Pulp Mill, and was
- also sometimes referred to as the "Burgess Mill". The
- 14 site has existing structures thereon.

The Applicant proposes to convert and upgrade the site and to develop a biomass fueled energy generating facility nominally capable of generating 70 megawatts of electric power. The Facility, as proposed, will use whole tree wood chips and other low grade clean wood as fuel. The Applicant proposes to convert an existing boiler, manufactured by Babcock and Wilcox, at the Site to a bubbling fluidized bed boiler that will use whole tree wood chips as its primary fuel, and ultra low sulfur diesel fuel as auxillary fuel. In addition to the {SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}

 boiler, the facility will consist of a steam turbine generator, wood handling system, ash handling system, storm water management systems, two recirculating water systems for steam generation and cooling, air pollution control systems, including a fluid -- I'm sorry, including a flue gas recirculation system, an upgraded electrostatic precipitator, and a selective catalytic reduction system. The Applicant also proposes to renovate an existing 50,000 gallon ultra low sulfur diesel fuel tank to store ultra low sulfur diesel fuel. In addition, the Applicant seeks to construct a new switchyard adjacent to the turbine building, and consisting of a step-up transformer and single breaker.

The new switchyard is proposed to be connected to the existing East Side Substation 300 operated by Public Service Company of New Hampshire, otherwise known as "PSNH". A new 115 kilovolt transmission line will be installed. Portions of the transmission line will run both underground and overhead.

The underground portion of the transmission line will be installed inside an existing 18 inch diameter fiberglass reinforced pipe formally used to transport pulp from the Site to Fraser's Paper Mill in Gorham, Coos County, New Hampshire. The underground portion of the transmission

{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}

6

1 line will leave the Site near the intersection of Coos and 2 Community Street and generally follow the route of the

3 former rail bed from the south end of the Site to the

4 north end of Shelby Street. The underground portion of

5 the transmission line will then travel within an existing

6 easement along Shelby Street and Devent Street. The

7 transmission line will then transition to overhead

8 conductors from the east side of Devent Street and

9 terminate at the PSNH East Side Substation 300. The

10 underground portion of the transmission line is proposed

to run for an estimated length of 3,200 feet and the

overhead portion of the transmission line is estimated to

13 be 800 feet.

14 Initially, the Application was rejected

as incomplete, because the Applicant had supplied

16 insufficient information to the Water Division of the

17 Department of Environmental Services, known as "DES".

18 However, the Applicant corrected those deficiencies, and,

19 after hearing from the Water Division and other state

agencies with permitting authority, I accepted the

21 Application as being administratively complete on

January 26, 2010. I determined that the Application

23 contained sufficient information to carry out the purposes

24 of RSA 162-H.

1	Upon acceptance of the Application, we	
2	scheduled this prehearing conference for today, as well as	
3	a site visit and public informational hearing for March	
4	16, 2010, in Berlin, Coos County, New Hampshire.	
5	The purpose of this prehearing	
6	conference is to set a procedural schedule and to	
7	determine if there are any issues that can be simplified	
8	and to identify those issues that may be more complicated	
9	than they may seem.	
10	So, I would like to start now by taking	
11	appearances, first from the Applicant, and then Counsel	
12	for the Public, and then other parties who are here, some	
13	of whom have, I suspect, filed motions or requests to	
14	intervene in this matter. And, again, for purposes of	
15	today's discussion, we're going to hear input from all	
16	parties, but please understand that, although we're going	
17	to give everyone an opportunity to participate in the	
18	discussion here shortly, that does not necessarily mean	
19	that we have determined that all parties are, in fact,	
20	entitled to be intervenors in this matter. We will	
21	address that in a written order at a later time.	
22	But let us start by taking appearances,	
23	first, with counsel for the Applicant.	
24	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, Barry	
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}	
	8	
1	Needleman, from the McLane law firm, representing the	
2	Applicant, Laidlaw. With me is Kate Vaughn, also from the	
3	McLane law firm, and Ray Kusche, who is Vice President of Page 6	

4	Development & Operations for Laidlaw.			
5	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you.			
6	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.			
7	Allen Brooks, for Counsel for the Public, and also Peter			
8	Roth, who is not here, will be assisting me as Counsel fo			
9	the Public in this matter.			
10	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you very much.			
11	Why don't we just go around the room to behind Attorney			
12	Brooks and let's just introduce ourselves please.			
13	MR. EDWARDS: Jon Edwards, from Berlin,			
14	New Hampshire, representing myself as a citizen of Berlin			
15	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you.			
16	MR. McCUE: Attorney Tom McCue. I'm			
17	with the Berlin City Council. I'm just here observing			
18	today.			
19	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you.			
20	MR. COLGAN: Tom Colgan. I'm President			
21	of Wagner Forest Management, a party that has petitioned			
22	to be an intervenor.			
23	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you.			
24	MR. CRISP: Jack Crisp and Tracy Uhrin,			
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}			
	9			
1	we're with Wiggin & Nourie, and we represent the abutting			
2	landowner, North American Dismantling Corporation.			
3	MR. IACOPINO: I'm sorry. What was the			
4	name of the landowner?			
5	MR. CRISP: North American Dismantling Corporation.			
7	MR. I ACOPI NO: Thank you.			
8	MR. PERRON: Ralph Perron, Forest Page 7			

9	Service, just listening.		
10	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you.		
11	MR. RODIER: Jim Rodier, representing		
12	Clean Power Development.		
13	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you. Mr.		
14	Peress.		
15	MR. PERESS: Jonathan Peress, with the		
16	law firm of Downs, Rachlin & Martin, representing the City		
17	of Berlin.		
18	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Thank you very much.		
19	Okay. I'm going to start this conference now by reviewing		
20	all of the outstanding requests or motions, in order to		
21	make sure that everyone is aware of what is outstanding at		
22	this time. And, certainly, if anyone is aware of any		
23	other motions that they believe have been filed, I'd		
24	certainly appreciate it if they would let us know.		
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}		
	10		
1	The first pending matter that we have is		
2	the Applicant's Motion for Protective Order and		
3	Confidential Treatment of, excuse me, Appendix Q to the		
4	Application that was filed on the 23rd of December of		
5	2009. Excuse me. The next item is a Petition to		
6	Intervene filed by Wagner Forest Management that was filed		
7	on February 15th of 2010, and a response, in the form of		
8	an objection, from the Applicant was filed on		
9	February 24th of 2010. We have received a Petition to		
10	Intervene from the City of Berlin, that was filed on		
11	February 16 of 2010. We received a response from the		
12	Applicant to that on February 24th of 2010. We received a		
13	Petition to Intervene from Clean Power Development on Page 8		

14	February 19 of 2010. The Applicant filed a response in	
15	the form of an objection on February 26, 2010. And, Clean	
16	Power Development filed a response to that objection on	
17	March 3rd of 2010. On February 22nd of 2010, we received	
18	a Petition to Intervene from Jonathan Edwards. The	
19	Applicant filed an objection on February 26, 2010.	
20	Mr. Edwards filed a response to that objection on	
21	February 27, 2010. We received a Petition to Intervene	
22	from the Coos County Commissioners on February 25, 2010,	
23	and a response from the Applicant on February 26, 2010.	
24	We received a Petition to Intervene from the New Hampshire	
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}	
	11	
1	Sierra Club on March 4, 2010, and a response from the	
2	Applicant, in the form of a, essentially, a consent or no	
3	objection to limited intervention, consistent with certain	
4	provisions set forth in that Petition to Intervene, and	
5	that was filed on March 9 of 2010.	
6	In addition, we have received this	
7	morning, from a Max Makaitis, some materials regarding a	
8	group that I believe goes by the name of the "Community	
9	EFSEC Committee" or "Community EFSEC Advisory Committee".	
10	There have certainly been other communications or letters	
11	that have been received by the Committee regarding this	
12	matter, and all of these communications have been posted	
13	or will be posted on our website as part of the record in	
14	this matter.	
15	So, those are all of the pending motions	
16	that or requests that we are aware of at this time.	
17	Are any of the parties here today aware of any other	
18	motions or requests that have been filed with the Page 9	

19	Committee relating to this matter at this time?			
20	(No verbal response)			
21	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Okay. Thank you. On			
22	March 5 of 2010, we received a letter from the Attorney			
23	General designating Allen Brooks as Counsel for the Public			
24	in this matter. As we have heard, he will be assisted in			
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}			
	12			
1	this matter in that representation by Peter Roth of the			
2	Attorney General's Office. Counsel for the Public is a			
3	statutory party in this proceeding. So, Mr. Brooks, do			
4	you wish to offer any oral responses here today to any of			
5	these motions or do you want to file those in writing			
6	following today?			
7	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.			
8	We actually don't take a position with respect to the			
9	Motions to Intervene. And, we assent to the Motion for			
10	the Protective Order, subject to future modification upon			
11	agreement of the parties.			
12	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Very well. Thank you.			
13	So, you have nothing further that you need to file with us			
14	at this time that you're aware of?			
15	MR. ROTH: No.			
16	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Okay. Thank you.			
17	Because this is a renewable energy facility, we are			
18	required to issue a decision either granting or denying a			
19	Certificate of Site and Facility within 240 days. This			
20	means that we will have to maintain a tight schedule.			
21	And, although RSA 162-H:6-a suggests that adjudicatory			
22	hearings commence after the state agencies have rendered			
23	final decisions on the permit applications that they must Page 10			

review as part of the process for our issuance of a

24

	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}
	13
1	Certificate of Site and Facility, it is my hope that we
2	can actually start the adjudicatory hearings before the
3	deadline for state agency permitting decisions. And, of
4	course, we will provide for hearings thereafter so that
5	those decisions can be fully vetted.
6	I will need to leave here myself today
7	by 11:00, but it's my intention to designate our counsel,
8	Mike lacopino, to preside over the balance of this
9	prehearing conference to discuss the timeframes and other
10	procedural issues, such as a discovery schedule, technical
11	sessions, number of witnesses, and any other issues that
12	may arise. Mr. lacopino will then prepare a written
13	report of this conference that will become part of the
14	record. Please understand that Mr. lacopino will not be
15	able to set actual dates for hearings, as we will need to
16	canvas the Subcommittee members for availability.
17	Clearly, we are going into the summer season with this
18	proceeding, and that's going to, I think, require a high
19	level of understanding and cooperation among all of us, to
20	make sure that we can accomplish all that we need to
21	accomplish.
22	I would also ask you to be advised that
23	Mr. lacopino is directed, as I mentioned before, to listen
24	to all of the potential parties as part of this

14

1 conference, but that does not mean that all potential

{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}

2	SEC-0311.txt parties will necessarily be admitted as intervenors. That
3	decision, as I said, will be issued in writing. And, I
4	just want to make sure that everyone understands that as
5	we go into today's discussion.
6	Before I turn the meeting over to
7	Mr. Lacopino, do any of the parties present here today
8	have any issues that they would like to address
9	specifically with me?
10	(No verbal response)
11	CHAIRMAN BURACK: Very well. Seeing
12	none at this point, again, I thank you all very much.
13	And, as I say, I'm going to be here and will participate
14	in the conversation, but I think this will be most
15	efficient from this point forward if we ask Attorney
16	lacopino to lead this discussion. And, are we going to do
17	this on the record or are we going to take this discussion
18	off the record, Mike?
19	MR. IACOPINO: I think there might be
20	some give-and-take that might be hard for the reporter to
21	get. So, what I would ask if Steve could stay here, if we
22	could try to discuss it informally, and then, if we come
23	to any conclusions as a group, put it on the record, or,
24	if we come to any disagreements, we can put those on the
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-10}
	15
1	record as well.
2	MR. PATNAUDE: Yes.
3	(Whereupon an off-the-record discussion
4	ensued at 10:24 a.m., and the prehearing
5	conference was subsequently adjourned at

11: 30.)

6

7	SEC-0311. txt	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	{SEC 2009-02} [Prehearing conference] {03-11-	10}