

4/27/2010

Thomas S. Burack, Chairman  
NH Site Evaluation Committee  
NH DES  
29 Hazen Drive  
Concord, NH 03302

Laidlaw Berlin Biopower  
Sec. Docket No. 2009-02

Dear Chairman Burack,

I have decided to appeal the NH SEC decision to deny my intervention within the Laidlaw Berlin Biopower application to the Supreme Court. I enclose yet another connection to Laidlaw known as Polyeko Systems. This note, which refers to a complaint registered against Laidlaw before the Security Exchange Commission according to the owner's son just last week, is just another complaint in a long chain of complaints I have brought to you pertaining to Laidlaw recently and after your denial of my intervention request. Though I respect your decision not to allow my intervention, I disagree with the idea that I will not be directly impacted by this company as I have a real estate business within close proximity to this site and have owned, marketed, sold and manage properties within close proximity of the Burgess mill site for over 24 years. Not only can I see huge impacts to value within Berlin's tax base, but I seriously question the ethics and ability behind a company that has not once produced an operating biomass plant within its tenure and produces a note to me from a partner as follows: I certify that I have received the statement below in it's entirety from Patrick Jaszewski, who is the company that Laidlaw was associated with, and then did a reverse merger. Mr. Jaszewski has provided his permission to me to share this with you.

Respectfully,

Jonathan Edwards

from Mr. Jazewski

"Thanks for sending me a copy of the letter to T.S. Burack. Now let me tell you who I am.

I was a director and owner of Polyeko Systems. My father was CEO. At the time of the proposed reverse merger with Laidlaw I was going thru cancer treatment for stage 4 lymphoma and my mother was dying of renal cancer, and did prior to the reverse merger (4/26/2002) We were looking to find a company that would still give value to our existing stockholders since I was out of the loop and my father was dealing with mom. We stopped our biz overseas and had a clean reporting public shell trading on the otcbb. Under the merger agreement was a subordinated promissory note for the majority block of stock that Bartoszek was to pay for, this gave him control. He never paid, as of this date he owes roughly 210,000.00. we have tried repeatedly to collect with no avail, so my father filed a complaint with the SEC last week. My father has been a stockbroker since 1964 and is well attuned to the complexities of the market. Our opinion is that Bartoszek is a crook and in essence defrauded us and our stockholders.

Please keep in touch.

Patrick Jaszewski"