

To the Members of the NH Site Evaluation Committee,

I think the following email from Laidlaw Energy's Mike Bartoszek within the following post needs to be brought to the attention of the NHSEC and the rate payers of this state. This email from Mike Bartoszek to an investor appeared on a Laidlaw investment site known as LLEG IHUB this morning.

"Posted by: BigBucksFl Member Level Date: Thursday, August 19, 2010 12:53:53 AM
In reply to: None Post # of 69391 Send a link via email Share on Facebook Tweet this post
Letter from Mike

From: Mike <mbb@laidlawenergy.com>
To: "bigbucksfl@juno.com" <bigbucksfl@juno.com>Cc:
Bcc:
Sent: Wed, Aug 18, 2010 12:45 PM|

Thanks for your email. We have not spoken publicly about this because the agreement we have executed contains strict confidentiality language and due to the pending hearing before the NHSEC. I expect to provide an interview with the Berlin Daily Sun that will explain things better and issue a release after the deal has closed. Obviously we feel this is a good deal for the company or we wouldn't have done the deal. Successful completion of this deal gives us a profitable track record to which we can point in the future and positions us for future growth. Thank you for your strong support. I really appreciate it.

Regards,

Mike

SORRY I didn't get this posted earlier but I don't usually check my e-mail till late. I like the sound of this as I suspect the insiders that have been buying up shares do also. Kinda sounds like a cash and stock deal. "Profitable track record" sounds like cash while "positions us for future growth" sounds like a stock transaction. Lets watch for the interview with the Berlin Daily Sun for more clues. Till then, buying more and holding strong.

GO LLEG !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc. (LLEG) Stock Trading Info:"

As a citizen of Berlin where this company is thinking of starting a biomass facility, it is becoming apparent that no one really knows who the players are anymore. We are now in a position of evaluating testimony of LLC's no longer in the picture that have been replaced by LLC's and members who now have different roles. Logically we now need to hear from the new people in the driver's seat to obtain accurate testimony directly from them in their new representative positions. This application process certainly seems to need revision with new testimony being taken of those from Homeland Renewable Energy, and those within NewCo that may very well qualify to be inclusive under the laws of regulation based on their roles within the power industry past and present. Wouldn't these changes be valid reason to obtain further testimony before the state and public to clearly know exactly who we're dealing with and whether or not this supposed deal of switching hats is consummated or not?

Mike Bartoszek has changed his testimony to state that he has sold 100% of Lleg's interest in Berlin Laidlaw Biopower to NewCo. This email states that "Obviously we feel this is a good deal for the company or we wouldn't have done the deal." (inferring that Laidlaw has done the deal which corresponds with his testimony that LLEG has sold 100% of LLEG interest in Laidlaw Berlin Biopower to NewCo. This email then states "Successful completion of this deal gives us a profitable track record to which we can point in the future and positions us for future growth." which leads one to believe that the deal isn't complete and is contradictory to the new testimony Mike Bartoszek has provided to the NHSEC. We need to know who's on first. We also need to know that NewCo, who harbors members who work very

intricately with PSNH and Northeast utilities, are working within the laws of regulated utilities and it is certainly becoming apparent that red flags potentially are rising and politics is at play.

Owners of the Burgess mill site have pieced out the sale of the north country by selling the valuable assets of our mills held in recent years by companies who's intent has been to profit from our resources to the detriment of our area resources. It began with contamination of the area's soil, air and water but provided tremendous amounts of jobs. The sales of these mills in recent years stripped these mills values by separating the mills from their forests and their hydro's to keep the valuable timber stands and hydro values and let the mills and their employees die a long death. We are now under the contemplation of two biomass companies that collectively can conquer forest sustainability and the very stability of the state's fragile wood commodity businesses teetering for survival on the cost of wood.

We need to evaluate the costs of allowing power houses to move NH in the startling direction it appears to be moving in under the guise of renewable energy. These biomass facilities need to be appropriately sized to sustainability of the forest and to allow for existing wood commodity businesses to continue.

The bully approach of PSNH to defy the north country by not treating both biomass companies fairly in order to offer competitive driven rates to its rate payers is out of order and can devastate the fragile make up the area forest and its businesses. Recently the NH fish and game has launched a petition for northern NH over grave concerns regarding liquidation harvesting that has dramatically impacted rivers through erosion and wildlife habitats due to this same practice that through legislation in Maine has been stopped. Some of these same Maine harvesters have moved to northern NH and could care less about their practices as I've witnessed and heard witness from loggers and retired loggers. Huge land trusts are currently reality and under development in order to protect these practices from occurring in the future. We are being warned by these folks, not through bias, but factual data. We need renewable energy, and at the same time, we need to make sure when it relates to the forest we spread these plants out responsibly.

Most of the land in close proximity to Berlin has been home to liquidation harvesting and is home to a variety of wood commodity businesses. We need to embrace these businesses to move into the future and reverse deforestation, and the plan for two biomass proposals in Berlin NH is one too many. The one in the middle of the city brings into question, not only forest sustainability, but quality of life to NH's northernmost city that is trying desperately to redefine itself away from the industrial nature of having a 300 foot stack in the middle of its city.

As co-owner of one of the oldest real estate firms in the city, I can attest that Berlin is poised with great potential without a massive boiler as a center piece to the city, but absolutely at a major turning point in its potential if this boiler were ever to be constructed. Our economy doesn't need and shouldn't have a massive boiler project deterring the current prospects for success this city is currently in the midst of. As we are beginning to see professionals coming with the federal prison and Noble wind project to live in the Berlin area, we are concerned about the impact such a massive boiler would have on people wanting to locate to Berlin. This Laidlaw/NewCo/PSNH/PJPD Holding/LLEG project is too large and too detrimental to the area.

Respectfully,

Jonathan Edwards