

#### Results you can rely on

10 Maxwell Road Suite 200 Clifton Park, NY 12065

518.348.1190 PHONE 518.348.1194 FAX

www.TRCsolutions.com

June 14, 2012

<u>Via Email</u>

Mr. Craig D. Rennie, CWS, CWB Land Resource Specialist NH Department of Environmental Services Water Division 29 Hazen Drive, PO Box 95 Concord, NH 03302-0095

Dear Mr. Rennie:

The attached documents respond to your letter dated May 18, 2012 to TRC's Joshua Brown and to Mr. Rene Pelletier's May 23, 2012 letter to Mr. Thomas S. Burack, Chairman of the NH Energy Facilities Site Evaluation Committee, requesting additional information to support the Antrim Wind Energy's application for an Alteration of Terrain Permit, Wetland Permit, Subsurface System Permit, and the 401 Water Quality Certificate for the Antrim Wind Park.

Please don't hesitate to call if you have any questions. I can be reached at (518) 688-3145.

Sincerely,

Rick Chan.

Rick Chase Senior Project Manager

c: Jack Kenworthy, Antrim Wind Energy Joshua Brown, TRC Service List

#### Antrim Wind Energy, LLC Site Evaluation Committee No. 2012-001

Request No.:	NHDES-01	Date of Request:	May 18, 2012	
Requested By:	Craig D. Rennie	Reply Date:	June 14, 2012	
Subject:	Alteration of Terrain Permit Application #120131-015	Witness: Patric	k M. Martin, PE	

#### **REQUEST:**

- 1. Please provide a pre and post-development drainage analysis that evaluates the project's effect on the defined study points and watersheds as shown in the pre and post-development watershed plans. The pre and post-development analysis must also include the node listing summaries for the 2, 10 and 50-year storm events which totals of all nodes used in the analysis for total flow, areas, volumes, avg. depths, etc.
- 2. Please submit a curve number summary for the pre-development and the post-development reports (i.e. for each analysis, summarize the total area used for each curve number). *Please note that HydroCAD 8.0 automatically generates this report for you.*
- 3. The project narrative and summary should include total flows and volumes for the 2, 10 and 50-year storm at each design point (for the pre and post-development analysis) in order to show that the project meets the Channel Protection Requirements of Rule Env-Wq 1507.05, and the Peak Runoff Control Requirements of Env-Wq 1507.06.
- 4. Sheet flow lengths in the Time of Concentration (Tc) calculations should be limited to 100'. NRCS made an official investigation back in 2001 and have changed their WinTR55 program to limit sheet flow lengths to 100'. They state in the FAQ:

Q: Is there any way to increase the sheet flow length beyond 100 feet? A: No. After much discussion and research, the development team felt that sheet flow greater than 100' was very unusual in natural watersheds. For more information on the subject read W.H Merkel's "Sheet Flow References" as posted in Technical References and H&H Papers on Various Topics, in the USDA-NRCS National Water and Climate Center website.

5. Please provide Stormwater Treatment BMP worksheets for each of the proposed treatment devices to ensure they meet the applicable design criteria of NH Administrative Rule Env-Wq 1508.03 through 1508.09. BMP worksheets can be found on our website at: <a href="http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/aot/index.htm">http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/aot/index.htm</a>.

- 6. Please provide the Groundwater Recharge BMP worksheet for the total project to ensure that the recharge requirements of Env-Wq 1507.04.
- 7. Provide additional documentation from the Natural Heritage Bureau and the NH Fish & Game Dept. to show how the project will minimize potential impacts to exemplary natural communities and species of concern.
- 8. Please provide rip-rap sizing calculations for the project.
- 9. In order to meet the program requirements, please include the following construction monitoring notes on the plans:
  - a) The permittee shall employ the services of an environmental monitor ("Monitor"). The Monitor shall be a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control or a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of New Hampshire and shall be employed to inspect the site from the start of alteration of terrain activities until the alteration of terrain activities are completed and the site is considered stable.
  - b) During this period, the Monitor shall inspect the subject site at least once a week, and if possible, during any ½ inch or greater rain event (i.e. ½ inch of precipitation or more within a 24 hour period). If unable to be present during such a storm, the Monitor shall inspect the site within 24 hours of this event.
  - c) The inspections shall be for the purposes of determining compliance with the permit. The Monitor shall submit a written report to the Department within 24 hours of the inspections. The reports shall describe, at a minimum, whether the project is being constructed in accordance with the approved sequence, shall identify any deviation from the conditions of this permit and the approved plans, and identify any other noted deficiencies.
  - d) The Monitor shall provide technical assistance and recommendations to the Contractor on the appropriate Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Controls required to meet the requirements of RSA 485-A:17 and all applicable DES permit conditions.
  - e) Within 24 hours of each inspection, the Monitor shall submit a report to DES via email (to Craig Rennie at: craig.rennie@des.nh.gov and to Jennifer Drociak at: jennifer.drociak@des.nh.gov)

#### **RESPONSE:**

- 1. A pre- and post-development drainage analysis is provided as requested. See Attachment A for the HydroCAD reports.
- 2. A curve number summary for the pre-development and post-development reports is provided as requested. See Attachment A for the HydroCAD reports.
- 3. Section 4.0 of the Stormwater Management Narrative describes the applicable regulatory requirements for this project. Subsection 4.1.1 explains that the project will result in a relatively small amount of new impervious area compared to the total size of the predominantly undeveloped watersheds. When this issue was discussed during the pre-

application meeting held with NHDES, it was concluded that a runoff curve number comparison between the pre-and post-development conditions would be an acceptable substitute for a formal stormwater runoff analysis. The study demonstrated that the project would result in insignificant changes in the total contributing area of the subcatchments, and that neither the composite curve numbers nor the times of concentration would be altered in any of the subcatchments. Based on these facts, the study concluded that the project would not result in a significant change in the volumes or peak rates of runoff from the site. Therefore, channel protection and peak runoff control requirements would be satisfied and no additional measures would be required.

As requested, pre- and post-development drainage analyses were performed for the watersheds which will be impacted by the project. See Attachment A for the HydroCAD reports. The results are summarized in the following tables:

	Stormwater Runoff Summary Table						
Analysis	Baramotor	2-Year Event					
Point	Farameter	Pre	Post	Diff.	%		
SP-1	Area (ac)	1664.68	1663.54	-1.14	-0.07%		
	Flow (cfs)	279.18	278.99	-0.19	-0.07%		
	Vol. (ac-ft)	67.79	67.75	-0.05	-0.07%		
SP-2	Area (ac)	595.44	595.43	-0.01	0.00%		
	Flow (cfs)	139.11	139.10	-0.01	-0.01%		
	Vol. (ac-ft)	26.12	26.12	0.00	0.00%		
SP-3	Area (ac)	1997.73	1998.42	0.69	0.03%		
	Flow (cfs)	193.34	193.40	0.06	0.03%		
	Vol. (ac-ft)	69.54	69.56	0.02	0.03%		
SP-4	Area (ac)	715.95	716.41	0.46	0.06%		
	Flow (cfs)	140.48	140.57	0.09	0.06%		
	Vol. (ac-ft)	29.16	29.18	0.02	0.07%		

 Table 1: Section 1507.05 – Channel Protection

Table 2:	Section	1507.06 -	Peak	Runoff	Control
----------	---------	-----------	------	--------	---------

	Stormwater Runoff Summary Table						
Analysis	Storm Peak Rate of Runoff (cfs)						
Point	Event	Pre	Post	Diff.	%		
SP-1	Area (ac)	1664.68	1663.54	-1.14	-0.07%		
	10-year	877.70	877.10	-0.60	-0.07%		
	50-year	1622.52	1621.41	-1.11	-0.07%		
SP-2	Area (ac)	595.44	595.43	-0.01	0.00%		
	10-year	416.29	416.28	-0.01	0.00%		
	50-year	754.35	754.34	-0.01	0.00%		
SP-3	Area (ac)	1997.73	1998.42	0.69	0.03%		
	10-year	655.39	655.61	0.22	0.03%		
	50-year	1263.86	1264.30	0.44	0.03%		
SP-4	Area (ac)	715.95	716.41	0.46	0.06%		
	10-year	441.76	442.04	0.28	0.06%		
	50-year	813.70	814.22	0.52	0.06%		

The results of this analysis demonstrate the validity of the curve number comparison study; the project will not result in a significant change in the volumes or peak rates of runoff from the site. Therefore, channel protection and peak runoff control requirements are satisfied and no additional measures are required.

- 4. Sheet flow lengths for the Time of Concentration calculations have been revised as requested. See Attachment B for the calculation sheets.
- 5. As requested, Stormwater Treatment BMP worksheets (obtained from the NHDES website) for each of the proposed treatment devices are provided. See Attachment C for the worksheets.
- 6. Chapter 2, Section 3 of the "New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 2" states that the Groundwater Recharge Volume (GRV) is calculated by the equation:

$$GRV = (Ai)^*(Rd)$$

where "Ai" is the Effective Impervious Area and "Rd" is the groundwater recharge depth. In addition, Chapter 5, Section 2 of the "New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 1" defines Effective Impervious Area as "the portion of the total impervious cover that is directly connected to the storm drain network."

The stormwater management system proposed for this project is designed to convert concentrated flows to sheet flow and release them overland. No direct connections to a storm drain network are proposed, so the effective impervious area (Ai) and therefore GRV are zero.

7. Project design focused on avoiding or minimizing impacts to natural resources to the extent practical. Consultations with Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) and NH Fish & Game Dept. (NHFG) have not indicated concerns over impacts to either exemplary natural communities or species of concern to date.

Initial consultation with NHB did not indicate the presence of known exemplary natural communities or species of concern within the project area. See the attached memos (Attachment D) from NHB. That consultation, however, did identify the potential for certain communities and species to be found in the project area. Subsequent field surveys for natural communities and rare plants did not detect any exemplary natural communities on the site and no rare plants were observed. Survey reports that support this finding were shared with NHB. NHB staff also visited the site on December 13, 2011, and during this site visit concurred with the applicant that no exemplary natural communities or rare plants are found in the project area. To date we have not received written confirmation from NHB.

Initial consultation with NHFG also did not indicate the presence of species of concern in the project area. Avian and bat study scopes and survey protocols were sent to NHFG on March 17, 2011. An inter-agency meeting to review the proposed study scopes and survey protocols was held April 6, 2011. NHFG was invited, however, they did not attend this

meeting and did not offer any comments, written or otherwise. Comments on the survey protocols made by USFWS were addressed and revised protocols were sent to agencies May 23, 2011. No comments were received from NHFG. Surveys were performed during the spring, summer and fall of 2011, and a study results summary report was sent to the agencies, including NHFG, on November 22, 2011. NHFG did not raise any issues regarding species of concern at that time. During a subsequent site visit with NHFG on December 12, 2011, no issues regarding species of concern were raised. To date we have not received written confirmation from NHFG.

- 8. Riprap sizing calculations for this project can be found in "APPENDIX C Conveyance and Stabilization Calculations" of the Stormwater Management Narrative.
- 9. As requested, the construction monitoring notes have been added to Sheet G-2 "PROJECT NOTES, LEGEND, AND ABBREVIATIONS" of the Permit Plan Set.

# ATTACHMENT A HydroCAD Report



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
16.165	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
142.523	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
936.453	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
33.407	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
3,340.589	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (1, 2, 3, 4)
109.373	71	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C (1, 2, 3, 4)
3.284	76	Gravel roads, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
225.592	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (1, 2, 3, 4)
139.499	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D (1, 2, 3, 4)
6.847	85	Gravel roads, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
12.892	89	Gravel roads, HSG C (1, 2, 3)
1.950	91	Gravel roads, HSG D (1, 2, 3)
3.281	98	Paved roads (1)
1.946	98	Roofs (1, 2, 3)
4,973.801		TOTAL AREA

Watershed Model - Pre	Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"
Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp	Printed 6/1/2012
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solut	ions LLC Page 3
Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.0	01 hrs, 3601 points x 3

lime span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3	
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS	
Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method	

Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,664.684 ac 0.24% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.49" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=279.18 cfs 67.794 af
Subcatchment2:	Runoff Area=595.437 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.53" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=139.11 cfs 26.117 af
Subcatchment3:	Runoff Area=1,997.728 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.42" Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=193.34 cfs 69.540 af
Subcatchment4:	Runoff Area=715.952 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.49" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=140.48 cfs 29.157 af
Reach SP-1: Study Point	Inflow=279.18 cfs 67.794 af Outflow=279.18 cfs 67.794 af
Reach SP-2: Study Point	Inflow=139.11 cfs 26.117 af Outflow=139.11 cfs 26.117 af
Reach SP-3: Study Point	Inflow=193.34 cfs 69.540 af Outflow=193.34 cfs 69.540 af
Reach SP-4: Study Point	Inflow=140.48 cfs 29.157 af Outflow=140.48 cfs 29.157 af

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.801 ac Runoff Volume = 192.607 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.46" 99.89% Pervious = 4,968.574 ac 0.11% Impervious = 5.227 ac

## **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 279.18 cfs @ 13.04 hrs, Volume= 67.794 af, Depth= 0.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

	Area (a	ac) (	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.78	88	98	Roof	S			
	1.8	37	76	Grav	el roads, H	ISG A		
	2.68	85	85	Grav	el roads, H	ISG B		
	1.7	53	89	Grav	el roads, H	ISG C		
	1.5	75	91	Grav	el roads, H	ISG D		
*	3.28	81	98	Pave	ed roads			
	12.8	31	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
	13.79	99	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
	37.42	23	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
	6.5	36	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G D	
	66.5	13	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
	152.40	63	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
	1,282.4	11	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
	80.78	89	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
	1,664.68	84	67	Weig	phted Aver	age		
	1,660.6	15		99.7	6% Pervio	us Area		
	4.00	69		0.24	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc l	_ength		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	62.8						Direct Entry, See spreadsheet	
	62.8						Direct Entry, See spreadsheet	

Subcatchment 1:



## **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 139.11 cfs @ 12.72 hrs, Volume= 26.117 af, Depth= 0.53"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

	Area (ad	C) CN	l Des	cription			
*	0.24	2 98	B Roo	fs			
	0.89	4 76	6 Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG A		
	0.61	4 85	5 Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG B		
	2.06	8 89	9 Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG C		
	0.31	8 9'	l Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG D		
	1.77	0 30	) Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
	6.37	2 58	3 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
	7.92	6 7 <sup>^</sup>	l Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
	44.21	4 78	3 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G D	
	28.54	8 30	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG A		
	27.10	1 55	5 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B		
	430.27	7 70	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG C		
	45.09	3 77	7 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG D		
	595.43	7 68	3 Wei	ghted Aver	age		
	595.19	5	99.9	6% Pervio	us Area		
	0.24	2	0.04	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc Lo	ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	42.9					Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

Printed 6/1/2012 Page 7

Subcatchment 2:



## **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 193.34 cfs @ 13.74 hrs, Volume= 69.540 af, Depth= 0.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
* 0.	916	98	Roof	s			
0.	304	76	Grav	vel roads, H	ISG A		
3.	3.282 85 Gravel roads, HSG B						
9.	071	89					
0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D							
1.	389	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
13.	053	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
53.	756	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
86.	160	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	ig d	
42.	100	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
606.	658	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
1,103.	235	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
77.	747	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
1,997.	728	65	Weig	phted Avera	age		
1,996.	812		99.9	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	916		0.05	% Impervio	ous Area		
Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
104.8						Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 3:** 



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 140.48 cfs @ 12.82 hrs, Volume= 29.157 af, Depth= 0.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

 Area (ac)	CN	Description
0.249	76	Gravel roads, HSG A
0.266	85	Gravel roads, HSG B
0.175	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A
0.183	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B
10.268	71	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C
2.589	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D
5.362	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
150.231	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
524.666	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
21.963	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
715.952	67	Weighted Average
715.952		100.00% Pervious Area
Tc Leng	gth -	Slope Velocity Capacity Description
 (min) (fee	et)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)

48.3

Direct Entry, See spreadsheet

#### Subcatchment 4:



# Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	=	1,664.684 ac,	0.24% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.49"	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=		279.18 cfs @	13.04 hrs, Volume	= 67.794 af	
Outflov	v =		279.18 cfs @	13.04 hrs, Volume	= 67.794 af, At	ten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-1: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	595.437 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Inf	low Depth = 0.53"	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	139.11 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume=	26.117 af	
Outflow	v =	139.11 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume=	26.117 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

# Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow .	Area =	1,997.728 ac,	0.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = $0.4$	2" for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	193.34 cfs @	13.74 hrs, Volume	= 69.540 af	
Outflov	v =	193.34 cfs @	13.74 hrs, Volume	= 69.540 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-3: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	715.952 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Infle	ow Depth = $0.49$ "	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	140.48 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume=	29.157 af	
Outflow	/ =	140.48 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume=	29.157 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-4: Study Point**

Watershed Model - Pre	Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"
Prepared by TRC Environmental Co	Printed 6/1/2012
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 Hydro	CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 15
Time span=0.0 Runoff Reach routing by Dyn-Sto	0-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3 by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,664.684 ac 0.24% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.27" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=877.70 cfs 176.138 af
Subcatchment 2:	Runoff Area=595.437 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.33" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=416.29 cfs 66.162 af
Subcatchment3:	Runoff Area=1,997.728 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.15"

Subcatchment 4: Runoff Area=715.952 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.27" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=441.76 cfs 75.754 af

Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=655.39 cfs 190.857 af

Inflow=877.70 cfs 176.138 af **Reach SP-1: Study Point** Outflow=877.70 cfs 176.138 af Inflow=416.29 cfs 66.162 af **Reach SP-2: Study Point** Outflow=416.29 cfs 66.162 af Inflow=655.39 cfs 190.857 af **Reach SP-3: Study Point** Outflow=655.39 cfs 190.857 af Inflow=441.76 cfs 75.754 af **Reach SP-4: Study Point** Outflow=441.76 cfs 75.754 af

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.801 ac Runoff Volume = 508.910 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.23" 99.89% Pervious = 4,968.574 ac 0.11% Impervious = 5.227 ac

## **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 877.70 cfs @ 12.91 hrs, Volume= 176.138 af, Depth= 1.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

_

Subcatchment 1:



## **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 416.29 cfs @ 12.63 hrs, Volume= 66.162 af, Depth= 1.33"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

	Area (a	ic) (	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.24	42	98	Roof	s			
	0.89	94	76	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG A		
	0.61	14	85	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG B		
	2.06	68	89	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG C		
	0.31	18	91	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG D		
	1.77	70	30	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG A	
	6.37	72	58	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG B	
	7.92	26	71	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG C	
	44.2′	14	78	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG D	
	28.54	48	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
	27.10	01	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
	430.27	77	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
	45.09	93	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
	595.43	37	68	Weig	phted Aver	age		
	595.19	95		99.9	6% Pervio	us Area		
	0.24	42		0.04	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc L	_ength		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	42.9						Direct Entry	r, See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 2:** 



## **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 655.39 cfs @ 13.51 hrs, Volume= 190.857 af, Depth= 1.15"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

Area	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription			
* 0.	916	98	Roof	s			
0.	304	76	Grav	el roads, H	ISG A		
3.	282	85	Grav	el roads, F	ISG B		
9.	071	89	Grav	el roads, F	ISG C		
0.	057	91	Grav	el roads, F	ISG D		
1.	389	30	Mead	dow, non-g	razed, HS	G A	
13.	053	58	Mead	dow, non-g	razed, HS	G B	
53.	756	71	Mead	dow, non-g	razed, HS	GC	
86.	160	78	Mead	dow, non-g	razed, HS	G D	
42.	100	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
606.	658	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
1,103.	235	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
77.	747	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
1,997.	728	65	Weig	hted Avera	age		
1,996.	812		99.95	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	916		0.059	% Impervio	ous Area		
Тс	Length	S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
104.8						Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 3:** 



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 441.76 cfs @ 12.72 hrs, Volume= 75.754 af, Depth= 1.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descriptior	า				
	0.249	76	Gravel roa	ds, HSG A				
	0.266	85	Gravel roa	ds, HSG B				
	0.175	30	Meadow, r	on-grazed, l	HSG A			
	0.183	58	Meadow, r	non-grazed, I	HSG B			
	10.268	71	Meadow, r	non-grazed, I	HSG C			
	2.589	78	Meadow, r	non-grazed, I	HSG D			
	5.362	30	Woods, Go	ood, HSG A				
	150.231	55	Woods, Go	ood, HSG B				
	524.666	70	Woods, Go	bod, HSG C				
	21.963	77	Woods, Go	ood, HSG D				
	715.952	67	Weighted /	Average				
	715.952		100.00% F	Pervious Area	а			
	Tc Ler	ngth	Slope Velo	city Capaci	ty Des	cription		
_	(min) (f	eet)	(ft/ft) (ft/s	ec) (cf	s)			
	48.3				Dire	ct Entry,	See spreadsheet	

#### Subcatchment 4:



# Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow .	Area =	1,664.684 ac,	0.24% Impervious, In	flow Depth = 1.27"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	877.70 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	176.138 af	
Outflov	v =	877.70 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	176.138 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-1: Study Point**

# Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	595.437 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Ir	nflow Depth = 1.33"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	416.29 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	66.162 af	
Outflow	/ =	416.29 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	66.162 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	1,997.728 ac,	0.05% Impervious, Inflow	<i>w</i> Depth = 1.15"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	655.39 cfs @	13.51 hrs, Volume=	190.857 af	
Outflov	v =	655.39 cfs @	13.51 hrs, Volume=	190.857 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-3: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	715.952 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1.27"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	441.76 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume	= 75.754 af	
Outflow	v =	441.76 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume	= 75.754 af, At	ten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-4: Study Point**

Watershed Model - Pre Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCA	Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"Printed 6/1/2012AD Software Solutions LLCPage 27
Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-In	36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3 SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS d method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,664.684 ac 0.24% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.23" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=1,622.52 cfs 309.683 af
Subcatchment2:	Runoff Area=595.437 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.32" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=754.35 cfs 115.004 af
Subcatchment3:	Runoff Area=1,997.728 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.06" Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=1,263.86 cfs 343.756 af
Subcatchment4:	Runoff Area=715.952 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.23" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=813.70 cfs 133.190 af
Reach SP-1: Study Point	Inflow=1,622.52 cfs 309.683 af Outflow=1,622.52 cfs 309.683 af

Reach SP-2: Study Point

Reach SP-3: Study Point

Reach SP-4: Study Point

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.801 ac Runoff Volume = 901.633 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.18" 99.89% Pervious = 4,968.574 ac 0.11% Impervious = 5.227 ac

Inflow=754.35 cfs 115.004 af Outflow=754.35 cfs 115.004 af

Inflow=1,263.86 cfs 343.756 af

Inflow=813.70 cfs 133.190 af

Outflow=813.70 cfs 133.190 af

Outflow=1,263.86 cfs 343.756 af

#### **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 1,622.52 cfs @ 12.91 hrs, Volume= 309.683 af, Depth= 2.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

Subcatchment 1:


#### **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 754.35 cfs @ 12.63 hrs, Volume= 115.004 af, Depth= 2.32"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (ac	;) CN	Des	cription					
*	0.242	2 98	B Roo	fs					
	0.894	4 76	6 Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG A				
	0.614	4 85	5 Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG B				
	2.06	8 89	Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG C				
	0.318	8 9´	Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG D				
	1.77	0 30	) Mea	adow, non-grazed, HSG A					
	6.372	2 58	3 Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG B					
	7.92	6 7´	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC			
	44.214	4 78	3 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GD			
	28.548	8 30	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG A				
	27.10 <sup>-</sup>	1 55	5 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B				
	430.27	7 70	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG C				
	45.093	3 77	7 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG D				
	595.43	7 68	3 Wei	ghted Aver	age				
	595.19	5	99.9	6% Pervio	us Area				
	0.242	2	0.04	% Impervi	ous Area				
	Tc Le	ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	42.9					Direct Entry,	See spreadsheet		

**Subcatchment 2:** 



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 1,263.86 cfs @ 13.40 hrs, Volume= 343.756 af, Depth= 2.06"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

<ul> <li>* 0.916 98 Roofs</li> <li>0.304 76 Gravel roads, HSG A</li> <li>3.282 85 Gravel roads, HSG B</li> <li>9.071 89 Gravel roads, HSG C</li> <li>0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D</li> <li>1.389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A</li> </ul>						
0.304 76 Gravel roads, HSG A 3.282 85 Gravel roads, HSG B 9.071 89 Gravel roads, HSG C 0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D 1.389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A						
<ul> <li>3.282 85 Gravel roads, HSG B</li> <li>9.071 89 Gravel roads, HSG C</li> <li>0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D</li> <li>1.389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A</li> </ul>						
9.071 89 Gravel roads, HSG C 0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D 1.389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A						
0.057 91 Gravel roads, HSG D 1.389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A						
1 389 30 Meadow pop-grazed HSG A						
13.053 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B						
53.756 71 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C	71 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C					
86.160 78 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D						
42.100 30 Woods, Good, HSG A						
606.658 55 Woods, Good, HSG B						
1,103.235 70 Woods, Good, HSG C						
77.747 77 Woods, Good, HSG D						
1,997.728 65 Weighted Average						
1,996.812 99.95% Pervious Area						
0.916 0.05% Impervious Area						
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description						
(min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)						
104.8     Direct Entry, See spreadsheet						

**Subcatchment 3:** 



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 813.70 cfs @ 12.71 hrs, Volume= 133.190 af, Depth= 2.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

 Area (ac)	) CN	Desc	cription					
 0.249	9 76	Grav	/el roads, ł	HSG A				
0.266	6 85	Grav	vel roads, ł	ISG B				
0.175	5 30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A			
0.183	3 58	Mea	adow, non-grazed, HSG B					
10.268	3 71	Mea	eadow, non-grazed, HSG C					
2.589	9 78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G D			
5.362	2 30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A				
150.231	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B				
524.666	6 70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C				
 21.963	3 77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D				
715.952	2 67	Weig	phted Aver	age				
715.952	2	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area				
Tc Le	ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
 (min) (	feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	•			
 48.3					Direct Entry,	See spreadsheet		

#### Subcatchment 4:



## Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow A	rea =	1,664.684 ac,	0.24% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.23"	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	1,622.52 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	309.683 af	
Outflow	=	1,622.52 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	309.683 af, Att	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## Reach SP-1: Study Point

#### Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	595.437 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Inflow	w Depth = $2.32$ "	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	754.35 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	115.004 af	
Outflow	v =	754.35 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	115.004 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

#### Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	1,997.728 ac,	0.05% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.06	6" for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	1,263.86 cfs @	13.40 hrs, Volume=	343.756 af	
Outflow	/ =	1,263.86 cfs @	13.40 hrs, Volume=	343.756 af, A	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# Reach SP-3: Study Point

## Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	715.952 ac,	0.00% Impervious, In	flow Depth = 2.23"	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	813.70 cfs @	12.71 hrs, Volume=	133.190 af	
Outflov	v =	813.70 cfs @	12.71 hrs, Volume=	133.190 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



#### **Reach SP-4: Study Point**



## Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
16.165	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
142.523	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
936.453	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
33.407	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
3,286.618	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (1, 2, 3, 4)
152.642	71	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C (1, 2, 3, 4)
3.284	76	Gravel roads, HSG A (1, 2, 3, 4)
221.980	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (1, 2, 3, 4)
142.563	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D (1, 2, 3, 4)
6.847	85	Gravel roads, HSG B (1, 2, 3, 4)
23.507	89	Gravel roads, HSG C (1, 2, 3, 4)
2.496	91	Gravel roads, HSG D (1, 2, 3)
3.281	98	Paved roads (1)
2.032	98	Roofs (1, 2, 3)
4,973.798		TOTAL AREA

Watershed Model - Post	Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"
Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp	Printed 6/1/2012
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Soluti	ons LLC Page 3
Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs. dt=0.0	1 hrs $3601$ points x 3

l ime span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3	
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS	
Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method	

Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,663.541 ac 0.25% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.49" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=278.99 cfs 67.747 af
Subcatchment 2:	Runoff Area=595.428 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.53" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=139.10 cfs 26.116 af
Subcatchment 3:	Runoff Area=1,998.418 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.42" Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=193.40 cfs 69.564 af
Subcatchment4:	Runoff Area=716.411 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.49" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=140.57 cfs 29.176 af
Reach SP-1: Study Point	Inflow=278.99 cfs 67.747 af Outflow=278.99 cfs 67.747 af
Reach SP-2: Study Point	Inflow=139.10 cfs 26.116 af Outflow=139.10 cfs 26.116 af
Reach SP-3: Study Point	Inflow=193.40 cfs 69.564 af Outflow=193.40 cfs 69.564 af
Reach SP-4: Study Point	Inflow=140.57 cfs 29.176 af Outflow=140.57 cfs 29.176 af

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.798 ac Runoff Volume = 192.603 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.46" 99.89% Pervious = 4,968.485 ac 0.11% Impervious = 5.313 ac

#### **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 278.99 cfs @ 13.04 hrs, Volume= 67.747 af, Depth= 0.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

_	Area (a	c) CI	V Des	cription		
*	0.87	4 98	8 Roo	fs		
	1.83	57 70	6 Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG A	
	2.68	5 8	5 Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG B	
	9.84	4 8	9 Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG C	
	1.68	9 9	1 Grav	vel roads, ł	HSG D	
*	3.28	1 9	B Pav	ed roads		
	12.83	31 30	) Mea	idow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG A
	13.79	9 5	8 Mea	idow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG B
	64.48	5 7	1 Mea	idow, non- <u>(</u>	grazed, HS	SG C
	6.74	7 73	8 Mea	idow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG D
	66.51	3 3	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG A	
	152.46	3 5	5 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
	1,246.01	9 7	) Woo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
_	80.47	<u>4 7</u>	7 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG D	
	1,663.54	·1 6 <sup>·</sup>	7 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
	1,659.38	6	99.7	'5% Pervio	us Area	
	4.15	5	0.25	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc L	ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	62.8					Direct Entry, See spreadsheet

Subcatchment 1:



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 139.10 cfs @ 12.72 hrs, Volume= 26.116 af, Depth= 0.53"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

	Area (a	ac) (	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.2	42	98	Roof	s			
	0.8	94	76	Grav	el roads, l	HSG A		
	0.6	14	85	Grav	el roads, l	HSG B		
	2.2	81	89	Grav	el roads, l	HSG C		
	0.3	18	91	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG D		
	1.7	70	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG A	
	6.3	72	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG B	
	8.6	92	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	SG C	
	44.9	96	78	Mea	dow, non- <u>(</u>	grazed, HS	SG D	
	28.5	48	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
	27.1	01	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
	429.5	54	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
	44.0	46	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
	595.4	28	68	Weig	phted Aver	age		
	595.1	86		99.9	6% Pervio	us Area		
0.242				0.04	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc I	Length	ì	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	(min)	(feet)	)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	42.9						Direct Entry,	See spreadsheet

Subcatchment 2:



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 193.40 cfs @ 13.74 hrs, Volume= 69.564 af, Depth= 0.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
* 0.	916	98	Roof	s			
0.	304	76	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG A		
3.	282	85	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG B		
11.	345	89	Grav	el roads, H	ISG C		
0.	489	91	Grav	el roads, H	ISG D		
1.	389	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
13.	053	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
68.	410	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
88.	224	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GD	
42.	100	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
606.	658	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
1,086.	743	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
75.	505	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
1,998.	418	65	Weig	phted Avera	age		
1,997.	502		99.9	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	916		0.05	% Impervio	ous Area		
Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
104.8						Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

Subcatchment 3:



Printed 6/1/2012

Page 9

#### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 140.57 cfs @ 12.82 hrs, Volume= 29.176 af, Depth= 0.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Event Rainfall=2.80"

 Area (ac)	CN	Description				
0.249	76	Gravel roads	, HSG A			
0.266	85	Gravel roads	, HSG B			
0.037	89	Gravel roads	s, HSG C			
0.175	30	Meadow, no	n-grazed, HS	G A		
0.183	58	Meadow, no	n-grazed, HS	G B		
11.055	71	Meadow, no	n-grazed, HS	GC		
2.596	78	Meadow, no	n-grazed, HS	G D		
5.362	30	Woods, Goo	d, HSG A			
150.231	55	Woods, Goo	d, HSG B			
524.302	70	Woods, Goo	d, HSG C			
 21.955	77	Woods, Goo	d, HSG D			
716.411	67	Weighted Av	erage			
716.411		100.00% Pe	rvious Area			
Tc Leng	gth	Slope Velocit	y Capacity	Description		
 (min) (fee	et)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec	;) (cfs)			
48.3				Direct Entry, See spreads	neet	

#### Subcatchment 4:



## Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow /	Area :	=	1,663.541 ac,	0.25% Impervious, In	flow Depth = 0.49"	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	=	278.99 cfs @	13.04 hrs, Volume=	67.747 af	
Outflow	v =	=	278.99 cfs @	13.04 hrs, Volume=	67.747 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# **Reach SP-1: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow A	rea =	595.428 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Inflo	w Depth = 0.53"	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	139.10 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume=	26.116 af	
Outflow	=	139.10 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume=	26.116 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

#### Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	=	1,998.418 ac,	0.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0	0.42	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=		193.40 cfs @	13.74 hrs, Volume	= 69.564 a	ıf	
Outflow	v =		193.40 cfs @	13.74 hrs, Volume	= 69.564 a	ıf, A	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-3: Study Point**

#### Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	716.411 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Ir	nflow Depth = 0.49"	for 2-Year Event event
Inflow	=	140.57 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume=	29.176 af	
Outflow	v =	140.57 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume=	29.176 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-4: Study Point**

Watershed Model - Post Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCA	Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"Printed 6/1/2012D Software Solutions LLCPage 15
Time span=0.00-3 Runoff by Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Inc	36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3 SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS d method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,663.541 ac 0.25% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.27" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=877.10 cfs 176.017 af
Subcatchment2:	Runoff Area=595.428 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.33" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=416.28 cfs 66.161 af
Subcatchment3:	Runoff Area=1,998.418 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.15" Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=655.61 cfs 190.923 af
Subcatchment4:	Runoff Area=716.411 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.27" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=442.04 cfs 75.802 af

Reach SP-1: Study Point	Inflow=877.10 cfs 176.017 af Outflow=877.10 cfs 176.017 af
Reach SP-2: Study Point	Inflow=416.28 cfs 66.161 af Outflow=416.28 cfs 66.161 af
Reach SP-3: Study Point	Inflow=655.61 cfs 190.923 af Outflow=655.61 cfs 190.923 af
Reach SP-4: Study Point	Inflow=442.04 cfs 75.802 af Outflow=442.04 cfs 75.802 af

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.798 acRunoff Volume = 508.903 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.23"99.89% Pervious = 4,968.485 ac0.11% Impervious = 5.313 ac

#### **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 877.10 cfs @ 12.91 hrs, Volume= 176.017 af, Depth= 1.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

Alea (ac)		Descrip	lion		
0.874	98	Roofs			
1.837	76	Gravel r	roads, F	ISG A	
2.685	85	Gravel r	roads, F	ISG B	
9.844	89	Gravel r	roads, H	ISG C	
1.689	91	Gravel r	roads, H	ISG D	
3.281	98	Paved r	oads		
12.831	30	Meadow	v, non-g	grazed, HS	ISG A
13.799	58	Meadow	v, non-g	grazed, HS	ISG B
64.485	71	Meadow	v, non-g	grazed, HS	ISG C
6.747	78	Meadow	v, non-g	grazed, HS	ISG D
66.513	30	Woods,	Good,	HSG A	
152.463	55	Woods,	Good,	HSG B	
1,246.019	70	Woods,	Good,	HSG C	
80.474	77	Woods,	Good,	HSG D	
1,663.541	67	Weighte	ed Aver	age	
1,659.386		99.75%	Pervio	us Area	
4.155		0.25% li	mpervio	ous Area	
Tc Leng	gth	Slope Ve	elocity	Capacity	y Description
min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft) (f	ft/sec)	(cfs)	
62.8					Direct Entry, See spreadsheet
1	0.874 1.837 2.685 9.844 1.689 3.281 12.831 13.799 64.485 6.747 66.513 152.463 1,246.019 80.474 ,663.541 ,659.386 4.155 Tc Lengmin) (fe 62.8	0.874 98 1.837 76 2.685 85 9.844 89 1.689 91 3.281 98 12.831 30 13.799 58 64.485 71 6.747 78 66.513 30 152.463 55 1,246.019 70 80.474 77 1,663.541 67 1,659.386 4.155 Tc Length min) (feet) 62.8	0.874         98         Roofs           1.837         76         Gravel I           2.685         85         Gravel I           9.844         89         Gravel I           1.689         91         Gravel I           3.281         98         Paved r           12.831         30         Meadow           13.799         58         Meadow           64.485         71         Meadow           65.513         30         Woods,           152.463         55         Woods,           1,246.019         70         Woods,           80.474         77         Woods,           4.155         0.25% I         I           Tc         Length         Slope         Verificant           62.8         Slope         Verificant         I	0.874         98         Roofs           1.837         76         Gravel roads, H           2.685         85         Gravel roads, H           9.844         89         Gravel roads, H           1.689         91         Gravel roads           1.2.831         30         Meadow, non-g           13.799         58         Meadow, non-g           64.485         71         Meadow, non-g           65.513         30         Woods, Good,           152.463         55         Woods, Good,           1,246.019         70         Woods, Good,           1,663.541         67         Weighted Avera           ,659.386         99.75% Pervice           4.155         0.25% Impervice           Tc         Length         Slope           Velocity         min)         (feet)         (ft/ft)	0.87498Roofs0.87498Roofs1.83776Gravel roads, HSG A2.68585Gravel roads, HSG C1.68991Gravel roads, HSG D3.28198Paved roads12.83130Meadow, non-grazed, H13.79958Meadow, non-grazed, H64.48571Meadow, non-grazed, H65.51330Woods, Good, HSG A152.46355Woods, Good, HSG B1,246.01970Woods, Good, HSG C80.47477Woods, Good, HSG D1,663.54167Weighted Average1,659.38699.75% Pervious Area4.1550.25% Impervious AreaTcLengthSlopeVelocityGaacittMinite(ft/ft)(ft/sec)(feet)(ft/ft)(ft/sec)(cfs62.862.862.8

Subcatchment 1:



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 416.28 cfs @ 12.63 hrs, Volume= 66.161 af, Depth= 1.33"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

	Area (a	ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.2	42	98	Roof	s			
	0.8	94	76	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG A		
	0.6	14	85	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG B		
	2.2	81	89	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG C		
	0.3	18	91	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG D		
	1.7	70	30	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG A	
	6.3	72	58	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG B	
	8.6	92	71	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG C	
	44.9	96	78	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG D	
	28.5	48	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
	27.1	01	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
	429.5	54	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
	44.0	46	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
	595.4	28	68	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
	595.1	86		99.9	6% Pervio	us Area		
0.242				0.04	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
_	(min)	(feet	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	42.9						Direct Entry,	See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 2:** 



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 655.61 cfs @ 13.51 hrs, Volume= 190.923 af, Depth= 1.15"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
* 0.	916	98	Roof	s			
0.3	304	76	Grav	vel roads, H	ISG A		
3.	282	85	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG B		
11.	345	89	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG C		
0.4	489	91	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG D		
1.	389	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
13.	053	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
68. <sup>,</sup>	410	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
88.	224	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	ig d	
42.	100	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
606.	658	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
1,086.	743	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
75.	505	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
1,998.4	418	65	Weig	phted Avera	age		
1,997.	502		99.9	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	916		0.05	% Impervio	ous Area		
Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
104.8						Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

Subcatchment 3:



#### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 442.04 cfs @ 12.72 hrs, Volume= 75.802 af, Depth= 1.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Event Rainfall=4.20"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description	
	0.249	76	Gravel roads, HSG A	_
	0.266	85	Gravel roads, HSG B	
	0.037	89	Gravel roads, HSG C	
	0.175	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A	
	0.183	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B	
	11.055	71	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C	
	2.596	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D	
	5.362	30	Woods, Good, HSG A	
	150.231	55	Woods, Good, HSG B	
	524.302	70	Woods, Good, HSG C	
_	21.955	77	Woods, Good, HSG D	
	716.411	67	Weighted Average	
	716.411		100.00% Pervious Area	
	Tc Leng	gth	Slope Velocity Capacity Description	
_	(min) (fee	et)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	_
	48.3		Direct Entry, See spreadsbeet	

#### Subcatchment 4:



## Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	1,663.541 ac,	0.25% Impervious, Inflow	/ Depth = 1.27"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	877.10 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	176.017 af	
Outflov	v =	877.10 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	176.017 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



# Reach SP-1: Study Point

## Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	595.428 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Ir	nflow Depth = 1.33"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	416.28 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	66.161 af	
Outflow	/ =	416.28 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	66.161 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	1,998.418 ac,	0.05% Impervious, Inf	flow Depth = 1.15"	for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	655.61 cfs @	13.51 hrs, Volume=	190.923 af	
Outflov	v =	655.61 cfs @	13.51 hrs, Volume=	190.923 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-3: Study Point**

## Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow /	Area =	716.411 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1.2	7" for 10-Year Event event
Inflow	=	442.04 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume:	= 75.802 af	
Outflov	v =	442.04 cfs @	12.72 hrs, Volume	= 75.802 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



## **Reach SP-4: Study Point**

Watershed Model - Post	Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"
Prepared by TRC Environmental Co	rp Printed 6/1/2012
HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 Hydro	CAD Software Solutions LLC Page 27
Time span=0.0 Runoff Reach routing by Dyn-Stor	00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points x 3 by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS -Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment1:	Runoff Area=1,663.541 ac 0.25% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.23" Tc=62.8 min CN=67 Runoff=1,621.41 cfs 309.471 af
Subcatchment 2:	Runoff Area=595.428 ac 0.04% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.32" Tc=42.9 min CN=68 Runoff=754.34 cfs 115.002 af
Subcatchment3:	Runoff Area=1,998.418 ac 0.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.06" Tc=104.8 min CN=65 Runoff=1,264.30 cfs 343.875 af
Subcatchment4:	Runoff Area=716.411 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.23" Tc=48.3 min CN=67 Runoff=814.22 cfs 133.275 af
Reach SP-1: Study Point	Inflow=1,621.41 cfs 309.471 af Outflow=1,621.41 cfs 309.471 af
Reach SP-2: Study Point	Inflow=754.34 cfs 115.002 af Outflow=754.34 cfs 115.002 af
Reach SP-3: Study Point	Inflow=1,264.30 cfs 343.875 af Outflow=1,264.30 cfs 343.875 af
Reach SP-4: Study Point	Inflow=814.22 cfs 133.275 af

Inflow=814.22 cfs 133.275 af Outflow=814.22 cfs 133.275 af

Total Runoff Area = 4,973.798 acRunoff Volume = 901.623 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.18"99.89% Pervious = 4,968.485 ac0.11% Impervious = 5.313 ac
### **Summary for Subcatchment 1:**

Runoff = 1,621.41 cfs @ 12.91 hrs, Volume= 309.471 af, Depth= 2.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description
*	0.874	. 98	Roofs
	1.837	76	Gravel roads, HSG A
	2.685	85	Gravel roads, HSG B
	9.844	- 89	Gravel roads, HSG C
	1.689	91	Gravel roads, HSG D
*	3.281	98	Paved roads
	12.831	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A
	13.799	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B
	64.485	5 71	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG C
	6.747	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D
	66.513	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
	152.463	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
	1,246.019	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
	80.474	. 77	Woods, Good, HSG D
	1,663.541	67	Weighted Average
	1,659.386	5	99.75% Pervious Area
	4.155	5	0.25% Impervious Area
	Tc Le	ngth	Slope Velocity Capacity Description
	(min) (i	feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
	62.8		Direct Entry, See spreadsheet

Subcatchment 1:



### **Summary for Subcatchment 2:**

Runoff = 754.34 cfs @ 12.63 hrs, Volume= 115.002 af, Depth= 2.32"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (a	ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.2	42	98	Roof	s			
	0.8	94	76	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG A		
	0.6	14	85	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG B		
	2.2	81	89	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG C		
	0.3	18	91	Grav	vel roads, l	HSG D		
	1.7	70	30	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG A	
	6.3	72	58	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG B	
	8.6	92	71	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG C	
	44.9	96	78	Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	SG D	
	28.5	48	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
	27.1	01	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
	429.5	54	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
	44.0	46	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
	595.4	28	68	Weig	ghted Aver	age		
	595.1	86		99.9	6% Pervio	us Area		
	0.2	42		0.04	% Impervi	ous Area		
	Tc	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
_	(min)	(feet	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	42.9						Direct Entry,	See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 2:** 



### **Summary for Subcatchment 3:**

Runoff = 1,264.30 cfs @ 13.40 hrs, Volume= 343.875 af, Depth= 2.06"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
* 0.	916	98	Roof	s			
0.3	304	76	Grav	vel roads, H	ISG A		
3.	282	85	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG B		
11.	345	89	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG C		
0.4	489	91	Grav	el roads, <del>l</del>	ISG D		
1.	389	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A	
13.	053	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B	
68. <sup>,</sup>	410	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC	
88.	224	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	ig d	
42.	100	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
606.	658	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B		
1,086.	743	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C		
75.	505	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D		
1,998.4	418	65	Weig	phted Avera	age		
1,997.	502		99.9	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	916		0.05	% Impervio	ous Area		
Тс	Lengt	h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
104.8						Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet

**Subcatchment 3:** 



### **Summary for Subcatchment 4:**

Runoff = 814.22 cfs @ 12.71 hrs, Volume= 133.275 af, Depth= 2.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-Year Event Rainfall=5.60"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription				
	0.249	76	Grav	/el roads, l	ISG A			
	0.266	85	Grav	/el roads, l	ISG B			
	0.037	89	Grav	/el roads, l	ISG C			
	0.175	30	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G A		
	0.183	58	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B		
	11.055	71	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GC		
	2.596	78	Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G D		
	5.362	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A			
	150.231	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B			
	524.302	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C			
_	21.955	77	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG D			
	716.411	67	Weig	ghted Aver	age			
	716.411		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area			
	Tc Leng	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
_	(min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	48.3					Direct Entry, S	See spreadsheet	

Direct Entry, See spreadshe

#### Subcatchment 4:



### Summary for Reach SP-1: Study Point

Inflow A	rea =	1,663.541 ac,	0.25% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.23"	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	1,621.41 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	309.471 af	
Outflow		1,621.41 cfs @	12.91 hrs, Volume=	309.471 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



### **Reach SP-1: Study Point**

### Summary for Reach SP-2: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	595.428 ac,	0.04% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.32"	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	754.34 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	115.002 af	
Outflow	/ =	754.34 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	115.002 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



### **Reach SP-2: Study Point**

### Summary for Reach SP-3: Study Point

Inflow A	rea =	1,998.418 ac,	0.05% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = $2.06"$	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	1,264.30 cfs @	13.40 hrs, Volume=	343.875 af	
Outflow	=	1,264.30 cfs @	13.40 hrs, Volume=	343.875 af, Att	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



### **Reach SP-3: Study Point**

### Summary for Reach SP-4: Study Point

Inflow A	Area =	716.411 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 2.23"	for 50-Year Event event
Inflow	=	814.22 cfs @	12.71 hrs, Volume=	133.275 af	
Outflow	/ =	814.22 cfs @	12.71 hrs, Volume=	133.275 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3



### **Reach SP-4: Study Point**

# ATTACHMENT B

Time of Concentration Calculations

#### PROJECT: Eolian Renewable Energy LLC Antrim Wind Project Proj. No.: 186317.0000.0000

Calculated By: Checked By: Date: PMM PGT

P

-	Time of Concentration Summary	

#### Time of Concentration Equations:

1. Where T <sub>t</sub> := $\frac{0.007 \cdot (1)}{P_2^{0.5}}$	$\frac{\text{N-L})^{0.8}}{5 \cdot \text{s}^{0.4}} \qquad \text{from SCS TR-55.}$	For Sheet Flow (300 feet or less)
2. Where $V = 20.3282 \cdot $	- S from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Shallow Concentrated Flow (Paved surfaces)
3. Where T t = $\frac{L}{3600 \cdot V}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	Travel time equation
4. Where $v = 16.1345 $	s from the SCS Upland Method <i>Channel Flow Chart</i>	For Shallow Concentrated Flow (Unpaved surfaces)
5. Where: $v = 7 VS$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Shallow Concentrated Flow (Short Grass Pasture)
6. Where: $v = 5 VS$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Shallow Concentrated Flow (Woodland)
7. Where $\mathbf{v} := 12 \cdot \sqrt{s}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Channel Flow - Waterways and Swamps, No Channels
8. Where $v := 15 \cdot \sqrt{s}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Channel Flow - Grassed Waterways and Roadside Ditches
9. Where $v := 21 \cdot \sqrt{s}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Channel Flow - Small Tributary & Swamp w/Channels
10. Where $V = 35 \sqrt{S}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Channel Flow - Large Tributary
11. Where $v = 60 \sqrt{s}$	from the SCS Upland Method Channel Flow Chart	For Channel Flow - Main River
12. Where $V = \frac{1.49 \cdot R^{.6}}{N}$	$67 \sqrt{S}$	For Channel Flow - Culvert Flow

13. Where  $P_2 = 2$ -Year, 24 Hour Rainfall (in) (Antrim, NH: P2= 2.8 inches)

#### Mannings Roughness Coefficients Table

Surface Description	n - value
Smooth surfaces	0.011
Crush Stone/Substation Yards	0.025
Fallow	0.050
Cultivated: Residue<=20%	0.060
Cultivated: Residue>=20%	0.170
Grass: Short	0.150
Grass: Dense	0.240
Grass: Bermuda	0.410
Range	0.130
Woods: Light underbrush	0.400
Woods: Dense underbrush	0.800

PROJECT: Proj. No.:	Eolian Renewable Energy LLCCalculateAntrim Wind ProjectChecked186317.0000.0000Date:1W, Dr. and DeatDate:							ed By: I By:	PMM PGT November 10, 2011
Watershed:	1W - Pre	and Post		kehoot	SCS Motho	de	Revised		June 1, 2012
	Sea 1	Seq 2	Seq 3	Seq 4	Seq 5	Sea 6	Sea 7	Sea 8	
SHEET FLOW								9-	
Manning's No.	0.4								
Length, ft	100								
P2, IN Slope ft/ft	2.8								
$T_{t}^{1}$ hr	0.265								0.2652
SHALLOW CONCE	NTRATED	FLOW							
Paved	1			1	[	1	1	[	
Length, ft Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Unpaved				1					
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> hr									0.0000
Short Grass Pastur	e								0.0000
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>4</sup> , ft/sec									0.0000
It, nr Woodland									0.0000
Length, ft		60	1545						
Slope, ft/ft		0.083	0.291						
Velocity <sup>5</sup> , ft/sec		1.4431	2.6972						
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> , hr		0.012	0.159						0.1707
CHANNEL FLOW	Ins No Cl	hannels							
Length, ft	1001	anneis							
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>6</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr		<b>D</b> <sup>11</sup>							0.0000
Grassed Waterways	S/Roadsid	e Ditches					[		
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>7</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
Small Tributary & S	wamp w/0	Channels			1	1	1	1	
Length, ft				1930	1245				
Siope, π/π Velocitv <sup>8</sup> , ft/sec				0.036	0.072				
T <sub>t</sub> , hr				0.135	0.061				0.1959
Large Tributary				1					
Length, ft						8425			
Slope, ft/ft						0.026			
Velocity <sup>-</sup> , ft/sec T. hr						5.644			0.4147
Culvert						0.415			0.4147
Diameter, ft									
Area, ft <sup>2</sup>									
Wetted Perimeter, ft									
Hydraulic Radius, R, ft									
Siope, π/π Manning's No									
Velocity <sup>11</sup> , ft/sec									
Length, L, ft									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
								HR	1.046
								Min	62 79

PROJECT: Proj. No.:	Eolian Renewable Energy LLCCalculatAntrim Wind ProjectChecked186317.0000.0000Date:						ated By: PMM ad By: PGT November 10, 201		
Watershed:	2W - Pre	and Post	:				Revised		June 1, 2012
Time of Concentra	<mark>ation De</mark>	terminat	<mark>ion Wor</mark>	ksheet, S	SCS Metho	ds			
	Seg 1	Seg 2	Seg 3	Seg 4	Seg 5	Seg 6	Seg 7	Seg 8	
SHEET FLOW	0.1						1		
Manning's No.	0.4								
P2 in	2.8								
Slope, ft/ft	0.1								
T <sup>1</sup> hr	0.201								0.2010
SHALLOW CONCEN	ITRATED	FLOW						1	
Paved									
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Unpaved		T		<b></b>			-		
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
I <sub>t</sub> , nr	<u> </u>								0.0000
Short Grass Pasture	<u>}</u>								
Length, ft									
Slope, π/π									
T <sup>3</sup> hr									0.0000
Woodland	<u></u>								0.0000
Length ft	1		2810						
Slone ft/ft			0.093						
Velocitv <sup>5</sup> . ft/sec			1.5207						
T <sup>3</sup> hr			0.513						0.5133
CHANNEL FLOW		lesson '							
Waterways & Swam	ps, No Cł	nannels							
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>6</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Grassed Waterways	/Roadsid	e Ditches					1		
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity', ft/sec									
l <sub>t</sub> , hr						<u> </u>			0.0000
Small Tributary & S	wamp w/g	Inanneis				T	1		
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
T. hr									0.0000
Large Tributary	<u></u>								0.0000
Length ft	1					1			
Slone ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>8</sup> ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
Culvert		<u></u>		<u> </u>					
Diameter, ft									
Area, ft <sup>2</sup>									
Wetted Perimeter, ft									
Hydraulic Radius, R, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Manning's No.									
Velocity <sup>11</sup> , ft/sec									
Length, L, ft									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
								HR	0.714
								Min	42.86

PROJECT: Proi. No.:	Eolian Renewable Energy LLCCalculated By:Antrim Wind ProjectChecked By:186317.0000.0000Date:							ed By: I By:	PMM PGT November 10. 2011
Watershed:	3W - Pre	and Post	1				Revised		June 1, 2012
Time of Concentr	ation De	terminat	<mark>ion Wor</mark>	ksheet,	SCS Metho	ds			
	Seg 1	Seg 2	Seg 3	Seg 4	Seg 5	Seg 6	Seg 7	Seg 8	
SHEET FLOW	0.4					1		1	
Manning's No.	0.4								
P2 in	2.8								
Slope, ft/ft	0.02								
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>1</sup> hr	0.383								0.3826
SHALLOW CONCEN	TRATED	FLOW							
Paved	T			i			T	0	
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>-</sup> , ft/sec									0.0000
									0.0000
Length ft	1					1	1	1	
Slope ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Short Grass Pasture	e								
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>4</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
Woodland	1								
Length, ft		865	630						
Slope, ft/ft		0.050	0.087						
T <sup>3</sup> br		0.215	0 110						0 3336
		0.215	0.115						0.0000
Waterways & Swam	ps, No Cl	hannels							
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>6</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> °, hr									0.0000
Grassed Waterways	/Roadsid	e Ditches		1		1	1	1	
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
T, hr									0.0000
Small Tributary & S	wamp w/0	Channels							0.0000
Length, ft				4925					
Slope, ft/ft				0.004					
Velocity <sup>8</sup> , ft/sec				1.328					
T <sub>t</sub> , hr				1.030					1.0300
Large Tributary	T	n		i -		u.	T	Ú.	
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity°, ft/sec									0.0000
L <sub>b</sub> III									0.0000
Diameter ft									
Area, ft <sup>2</sup>									
Wetted Perimeter, ft									
Hydraulic Radius, R, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Manning's No.									
Velocity <sup>11</sup> , ft/sec									
Length, L, ft									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
								HR	1.746
								Min	104 77

PROJECT: Proj. No.:	Eolian Renewable Energy LLC Calculated   Antrim Wind Project Checked E   186317.0000.0000 Date:   W Bro and Poot							ed By: I By:	PMM PGT November 10, 2011
Watersned:	4W - Pre	and Post	ion Wor	kehoot	SCS Motho	de	Revised	:	June 1, 2012
	Seg 1	Seq 2	Seq 3	Seq 4	Sea 5	Sea 6	Seq 7	Sea 8	
SHEET FLOW								9-	
Manning's No.	0.4								
Length, ft	100								
P2, in	2.8								
Slope, ft/ft	0.03								0.3353
SHALLOW CONCEN		FLOW							0.3233
Paved									
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>ť,</sub> hr									0.0000
Unpaved		1					[	1	
Slope ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>2</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Short Grass Pasture	e								
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity <sup>*</sup> , ft/sec									0.0000
Noodland									0.0000
Length ft		930	525						
Slope, ft/ft		0.070	0.21						
Velocity <sup>5</sup> , ft/sec		1.3229	2.2913						
T <sup>3</sup> , hr		0.195	0.064						0.2589
CHANNEL FLOW	N 01								
Waterways & Swam	ps, No Cr	nannels		1			1	1	
Lengin, it									
Velocity <sup>6</sup> , ft/sec									
T <sub>t</sub> <sup>3</sup> , hr									0.0000
Grassed Waterways	/Roadsid	e Ditches							
Length, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
Velocity', ft/sec									0.0000
Small Tributary & St	wamp w/	Channole							0.0000
Length ft		Jilanneis		3700	1250				
Slope, ft/ft				0.114	0.048				
Velocity <sup>8</sup> , ft/sec				7.090	4.601				
T <sub>t</sub> , hr				0.145	0.075				0.2204
Large Tributary	1	1	1	1	Γ	1	1	1	
Length, ft									
Slope, tt/tt									
T, hr									0.0000
Culvert									0.0000
Diameter, ft									
Area, ft <sup>2</sup>									
Wetted Perimeter, ft									
Hydraulic Radius, R, ft									
Slope, ft/ft									
wanning's No.									
Length I ft									
T <sub>t</sub> , hr									0.0000
				•				HR	0.805
								Min	49.29

# ATTACHMENT C

### **Stormwater Treatment BMP Worksheets**

Туре

#### **B-1 - Ditch Turnout Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

No		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Yes		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	11.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE	•	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Yes	•	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
82	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HSC	J)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	$A_{MIN}$ = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Yes	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
2	0.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Yes			Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	20.0	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
10	),063	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good			Slope check	← ≤ 15%
	151	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
	22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
	-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
	173	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

#### Designer's Notes:

Due to site restrictions, a buffer length of 173' is not available for a 20' level spreader. For a 30' level spreader and an equivalent buffer area: Buffer length = (20' \* 173') / 30' = 115'

As designed: Level spreader length = 30 feet Buffer length = 115 feet

Туре

#### **B-2 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	10.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
80	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	15.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

#### **B-3 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	10.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
80	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	15.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

#### **B-4 - Ditch Turnout Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

No		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)
Yes		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil
	-	%B	
	100.0	%С	
	-	%D	
	15.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Yes		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
90	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	$A_{MIN}$ = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Yes	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
2	0.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
20.0	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
No	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
11,920	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
179	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
209	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

#### Designer's Notes:

Due to site restrictions, a buffer length of 209' is not available for a 20' level spreader. For a 35' level spreader and an equivalent buffer area: Buffer length = (20' \* 209') / 35' = 120'

As designed: Level spreader length = 35 feet Buffer length = 120 feet

Туре

#### **B-5 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	12.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
84	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
Yes		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Site restrictions require that part of the work area at WTG-1 is graded to this buffer. Threfore the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-6 - Ditch Turnout Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

No		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Yes		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	11.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Yes		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
82	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Yes	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulde	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
20.	0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
20.0	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
No	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
16,850	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
253	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
275	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

#### Designer's Notes:

Due to site restrictions, a buffer length of 275' is not available for a 20' level spreader. For a 30' level spreader and an equivalent buffer area: Buffer length = (20' \* 275') / 30' = 185'

As designed: Level spreader length = 30 feet Buffer length = 185 feet

Туре

#### **B-7 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	22.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
44	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
104	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
Yes	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	:)? ← no
Yes	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	<b>←</b> yes
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
44	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

The uphill swale discharges under the road and into this buffer, and the buffer slope exceeds 20%. Therefore, the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-8 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	-	%C		
	100.0	%D		
	16.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
142	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
32	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
174	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulde	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
32	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

The uphill swale discharges under the road and into this buffer, and the buffer slope exceeds 20%. Therefore, the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-9 - Ditch Turnout Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

No		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Yes		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	9.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Yes	•	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
18	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
78	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	$A_{MIN}$ = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Yes	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulde	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
20.	0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Yes	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
20.0	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
No	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
16,823	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
252	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
18	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
270	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

#### Designer's Notes:

Due to site restrictions, a buffer length of 270' is not available for a 20' level spreader. For a 40' level spreader and an equivalent buffer area: Buffer length = (20' \* 270') / 40' = 135'

As designed: Level spreader length = 40 feet Buffer length = 135 feet
Туре

#### **B-10 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	8.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
16	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
76	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
Yes		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	ſ)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	÷	$\geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
16	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Site restrictions require that part of the work area at WTG-4 is graded to this buffer. Threfore the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-11 - Ditch Turnout Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

No		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Yes		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	11.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE	•	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Yes	•	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
82	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Yes		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Yes	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
2	0.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Yes		_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	20.0	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
	9,650	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good			Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
	145	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
	22	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
	-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
	167	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

#### Designer's Notes:

Due to site restrictions, a buffer length of 167' is not available for a 20' level spreader. For a 25' level spreader and an equivalent buffer area: Buffer length = (20' \* 167') / 25' = 135'

As designed: Level spreader length = 25 feet Buffer length = 135 feet

Туре

#### **B-12 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	15.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
90	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HSC	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length^4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

## WTG-5A - Small Pervious Area Buffer

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	100.0	%М	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	l
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	3.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.19	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.08	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
40.9	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE	•	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν	•	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
6	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
96	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
6	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 120 feet is provided.

Туре

#### WTG-5B - Small Pervious Area Buffer

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).
	100.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil
	-	%B	
	100.0	%С	
	-	%D	
	6.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.08	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.07	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
82.9	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \leq 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \leq 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
12	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
102	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
12	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 110 feet is provided.

Туре

#### **B-13 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
		%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	25.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
110	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
No	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
Yes	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 20%
20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 75 feet is provided due to the steepness of the slope.

Туре

#### **B-14 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
		%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	12.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
84	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
Yes		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	-	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? ← no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 75 feet is provided due to the steepness of the slope.

Туре

#### **B-15 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
		%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	15.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
90	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HSC	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

#### **B-16 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?	)
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D so	il
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	25.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
110	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
Yes	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	)?	← no
Yes	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
15.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

The uphill swale discharges under the road and into this buffer, and the buffer slope exceeds 20%. Therefore, the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-17 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
		%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	25.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
110	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
Yes	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	:)? ← no
Yes	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	<b>←</b> yes
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

The uphill swale discharges under the road and into this buffer, and the buffer slope exceeds 20%. Therefore, the buffer length has been increased to 75'.

Туре

#### **B-18 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%М	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) <u>in buffer</u> (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	20.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
100	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
Yes		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	15.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

#### **B-19 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	)
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	il
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	20.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
100	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	10.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

## **B-20 - Small Pervious Area Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	64.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	36.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	9.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.67	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.14	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
21.4	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE	-	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
18	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
11	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
89	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
20.	.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
18	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
11	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Site constraints limit the length of this buffer to 50 feet.

Туре

**WTG-8 - Small Pervious Area Buffer** Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	100.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	12.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.56	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.14	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
25.6	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE	-	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν	-	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
114	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
20.	.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulded	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
24	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
54	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Site constraints limit the length of this buffer to 50 feet.

Туре

#### **B-21 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).
	100.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil
	-	%B	
	100.0	%С	
	-	%D	
	30.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \leq 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \leq 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
60	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
150	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
No	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	)?	← no
No	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>≤</u> 20%
20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
75.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
60	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
90	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 75 feet is provided due to the steepness of the slope.

**WTG-9 - Small Pervious Area Buffer** Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	100.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	2.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.26	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.13	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
51.1	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE	•	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν	•	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
4	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
94	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

#### If a Developed Area Buffer with a Level Spreader is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	$A_{MIN}$ = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Туре

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>
	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer	
20.	.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )	
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← ≤15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
4	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 120 feet is provided.

Туре

#### **B-22 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating? $\leftarrow$ ye	es
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spread	ader?)
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	ŕ
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be	D soil
	-	%B		
	100.0	%С		
	-	%D		
	25.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq$	15%

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
		$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	← yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
110	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no	
Yes	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>	1
Yes	Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	← yes	
Too Steep		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%	
20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 fe	et
1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{MIN}$	

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
50	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

Туре

#### **B-23 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	-	%М	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	10.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \leq 15\%$	

## If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	-	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
80	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \geq A_{MIN}$

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	←	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	10.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	÷	$\geq L_{\rm MIN}$

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	← <u>&lt;</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
20	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:
## **BUFFER DESIGN CRITERIA (Env-Wq 1508.08)**

Туре

#### **B-24 - Roadway Buffer**

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	100.0	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
		%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	20.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer		
	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
-	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
FALSE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \le 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \le 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE		Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν		Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
100	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

#### If a Developed Area Buffer with a Level Spreader is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Too Steep		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_{\rm B} = {\rm buffer \ length}^4$	
	sf	$A_{\rm B}$ = buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

#### If a Roadway Buffer is proposed:

Ν		Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	÷	no
No		Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)?	← no
Yes		Yes/No	Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?	←	yes
Good			Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	←	<u>&lt;</u> 20%
	20.0	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	←	0 - 20 feet
	1.0	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
	50.0		Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	50.0	feet	Buffer flow path	←	$\geq$ L <sub>MIN</sub>

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	r)? 🗲 no
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Too Steep		Slope check	← <u>&lt;</u> 15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
40	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

## **BUFFER DESIGN CRITERIA (Env-Wq 1508.08)**

Туре

#### WTG-10 - Small Pervious Area Buffer

Enter the type of buffer (e.g., residential buffer) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable

Yes		Yes/No	Is the buffer adjacent to the area that you are treating?	
Yes		Yes/No	Does the runoff enter the buffer as sheet flow (naturally or with a level spreader?)	
Ν		Yes/No	Has a level spreader been provided?	
	-	%F	% Forest (F) cover in the buffer (remaining assumed to be meadow (M)).	
	100.0	%M	% Meadow cover in the buffer	
	-	%A	Hydrologic soil group (HSG) in buffer (%A, %B, %C). Remaining assumed to be D soil	
	-	%B		
	100.0	%C		
	-	%D		
	2.0	%	Buffer Slope $\leftarrow \le 15\%$	

#### If a Residential or Small Pervious Area buffer is proposed:

No	Yes/No	Is the runoff from a single family or duplex residential lot?	← yes
70.0	_	$L_{FP}$ = maximum flow path to the buffer	
0.22	ac	A = area draining to the buffer	
0.14	ac	$A_{IMP}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer	
65.5	%	I = percent impervious area draining to the buffer	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 10%
TRUE		Option A check: $A_{IMP} \leq 1$ ac & $L_{FP} \leq 100'$	$\leftarrow$ yes for
FALSE	-	Option B check: I $\leq 10\%$ & L <sub>FP</sub> $\leq 150'$	A or B
Ν	-	Level Spreader proposed? (Sheet flow without the aid of a LS)	← no
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
60	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
4	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
94	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>1</sup>	

#### If a Developed Area Buffer with a Level Spreader is proposed:

Ν		Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	ac	A = Area draining to the buffer $^{2}$	
	ac	$A_{I}$ = impervious area draining to the buffer <sup>2</sup>	
-	%	Percent impervious of the area that is draining to the buffer	
Good		Slope check	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 15%
-	sf	Buffer base area due to soil type in the buffer (weighted based on HS	G)
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to impervious cover draining to buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
-	sf	Buffer area adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
-	sf	A <sub>MIN</sub> = Minimum buffer area required	
	ft	$L_{LS} = \underline{\text{total}}$ length of level spreader(s) provided <sup>3</sup>	
	ft	$L_B = buffer length 4$	
	sf	$A_B =$ buffer area provided	$\leftarrow \ge A_{MIN}$

#### If a Roadway Buffer is proposed:

Ν	Yes/No	LS proposed? Roadway/shoulder must sheet directly to the buffer.	← no	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & should	er)? <b>← no</b>	
	Yes/No Is the road parallel to the contours of the buffer slope?			
Good		Natural slope check <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> ≤ 20%	
	feet	How much embankment slope counts toward the buffer? <sup>6</sup>	← 0 - 20 feet	
	Lane(s)	Number of travel lanes draining to the buffer		
20.	.0	Minimum buffer flow path (L <sub>MIN</sub> )		
	feet	Buffer flow path	$\leftarrow \geq L_{\rm MIN}$	

#### If a Ditch Turn Out Buffer is proposed:

Ν	_	Level Spreader proposed?	← yes
	feet	Level Spreader Length <sup>7</sup>	
	Yes/No	Do any other areas drain to the buffer (other than roadway & shoulder	)? <b>← no</b>
	sf	Drainage Area to the ditch	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 6000 sf
Good		Slope check	← ≤15%
-	feet	Buffer base length due to soil type (weighted based on HSG)	
4	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to steepness of buffer	
30	feet	Buffer length adjustment due to percent of meadow in buffer	
50	feet	Minimum buffer length required <sup>8</sup>	

1. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 45', whichever is greater.

2. If a detention structure is used upstream of the level spreader, the drainage area draining to the buffer shall considered equal to 1 acre of impervious area for every 1 cfs of peak 2-year, 24-hr outflow from the detention structure.

3. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them.

Example:  $A_{MIN} = 6,000$  sf with a 100' buffer available. Therefore the LS lengths must total 60 feet (6,000 sf/ 100'); however LS lengths must be between 20' and 50' so one 60' long level spreader is not permitted. The design would have two LS, each 30'. As long as a collection basin is provided to evenly distribute the flow to the two level spreaders.

4. Minimum buffer length 50 feet.

5. If the slope is man-made, it must be 15% or flatter.

6. 20' (max) of the roadway embankment slope may count towards the buffer length if it is 3:1 or flatter.

7. Minimum level spreader length is 20 feet and maximum is 50 feet. You may use multiple level spreaders if the stormwater is evenly distributed to them. For example, you may have a total length of 100 feet for the level spreaders as long as you have two 50' level spreaders.

8. Minimum buffer length is the total of the above three cells OR 50', whichever is greater.

Designer's Notes:

A buffer length of 120 feet is provided.

Node Name:		SW-1					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No	Yes/No	the system lined?					
0.41	ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.16	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.38	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.39	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)					
0.16	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A					
584	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.39	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
92	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )				
0.90	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.180	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
630	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II at	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II and 4-III				
0.16	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
150.00	feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	st pit				
1,206.50	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008	ft/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
2.2	inches	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table) <sup>4</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
0.64	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.14	feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.16	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-1%	-	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> +/- 10%				
10	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min				
1,206.92	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event					
1,208.00	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-1: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.206.50	0.00	0.00	1.207.02	0.52	1.23	1.207.54	0.76	4.81
1.206.51	0.04	0.00	1.207.03	0.52	1.27	1.207.55	0.76	4.90
1,206,52	0.07	0.00	1.207.04	0.53	1.32	1.207.56	0.76	5.00
1,206.53	0.09	0.01	1.207.05	0.53	1.37	1.207.57	0.77	5.10
1.206.54	0.11	0.01	1.207.06	0.54	1.41	1.207.58	0.77	5.20
1.206.55	0.13	0.02	1.207.07	0.54	1.46	1.207.59	0.78	5.30
1.206.56	0.15	0.03	1.207.08	0.55	1.51	1.207.60	0.78	5.40
1,206.57	0.16	0.04	1,207.09	0.55	1.56	1,207.61	0.78	5.50
1,206.58	0.17	0.05	1,207.10	0.56	1.61	1,207.62	0.79	5.61
1,206.59	0.19	0.06	1,207.11	0.56	1.66	1,207.63	0.79	5.71
1,206.60	0.20	0.07	1,207.12	0.57	1.72	1,207.64	0.79	5.82
1,206.61	0.21	0.08	1,207.13	0.57	1.77	1,207.65	0.80	5.92
1,206.62	0.22	0.09	1,207.14	0.58	1.83	1,207.66	0.80	6.03
1,206.63	0.23	0.10	1,207.15	0.58	1.88	1,207.67	0.81	6.14
1,206.64	0.25	0.12	1,207.16	0.59	1.94	1,207.68	0.81	6.25
1,206.65	0.26	0.13	1,207.17	0.59	2.00	1,207.69	0.81	6.36
1,206.66	0.27	0.15	1,207.18	0.60	2.05	1,207.70	0.82	6.47
1,206.67	0.28	0.16	1,207.19	0.60	2.11	1,207.71	0.82	6.59
1,206.68	0.29	0.18	1,207.20	0.61	2.17	1,207.72	0.82	6.70
1,206.69	0.29	0.20	1,207.21	0.61	2.23	1,207.73	0.83	6.82
1,206.70	0.30	0.22	1,207.22	0.62	2.30	1,207.74	0.83	6.94
1,206.71	0.31	0.24	1,207.23	0.62	2.36	1,207.75	0.84	7.06
1,206.72	0.32	0.26	1,207.24	0.63	2.42	1,207.76	0.84	7.17
1,206.73	0.33	0.28	1,207.25	0.63	2.49	1,207.77	0.84	7.30
1,206.74	0.34	0.30	1,207.26	0.64	2.55	1,207.78	0.85	7.42
1,206.75	0.34	0.32	1,207.27	0.64	2.62	1,207.79	0.85	7.54
1,206.76	0.35	0.35	1,207.28	0.65	2.69	1,207.80	0.85	7.67
1,206.77	0.36	0.37	1,207.29	0.65	2.76	1,207.81	0.86	7.79
1,206.78	0.37	0.40	1,207.30	0.65	2.83	1,207.82	0.86	7.92
1,206.79	0.37	0.42	1,207.31	0.66	2.90	1,207.83	0.87	8.05
1,206.80	0.38	0.45	1,207.32	0.66	2.97	1,207.84	0.87	8.18
1,206.81	0.39	0.47	1,207.33	0.67	3.04	1,207.85	0.87	8.31
1,206.82	0.40	0.50	1,207.34	0.67	3.12	1,207.86	0.88	8.44
1,206.83	0.40	0.53	1,207.35	0.68	3.19	1,207.87	0.88	8.57
1,206.84	0.41	0.56	1,207.36	0.68	3.27	1,207.88	0.88	8.70
1,206.85	0.42	0.59	1,207.37	0.69	3.34	1,207.89	0.89	8.84
1,200.00	0.42	0.62	1,207.38	0.69	3.42	1,207.90	0.09	0.90
1,200.07	0.43	0.00	1,207.39	0.09	3.30	1,207.91	0.09	9.11
1,200.00	0.44	0.09		0.70	3.38	1,207.92	0.90	9.20
1,200.09	0.44	0.72	1,207.41	0.70	3.00	1,207.93	0.90	9.39
1,200.90	0.45	0.75	1,207.42	0.71	3.74	1 207.94	0.90	9.04
1,200.91	0.40	0.75	1 207.43	0.71	3.03	1 207.95	0.91	9.00
1,200.92	0.40	0.02	1 207.44	0.71	4 00	1 207.90	0.91	9.02
1 206 94	0.47	0.00	1 207.45	0.72	4.00	1 207.97	0.52	10.11
1 206 95	0.47	0.00	1 207 47	0.72	4.00	1 207 99	0.02	10.11
1 206 96	0.40	0.04	1 207 48	0.70	4.17	1 208 00	0.02	10.20
1 206 97	0.40	1 02	1 207 49	0.70	4.20	1,200.00	0.00	10.41
1.206.98	0.50	1.06	1,207.50	0.74	4.44			
1.206.99	0.50	1.10	1,207.51	0.74	4.53			
1,207.00	0.51	1.14	1,207.52	0.75	4.62	1		
1,207.01	0.51	1.18	1,207.53	0.75	4.71			

Node Name:		SW-2					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?					
0.27	ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.13	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.49	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.49	unitless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)					
0.13	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A					
473	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.49	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
94	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )				
0.67	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.135	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
640	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II a	nd 4-III				
0.13	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
130.00	feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of test	st pit				
1,354.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.005	ft/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505				
2.2	inches	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)4	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
0.64	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.14	feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.13	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5} \leftarrow WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-1%		Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
11	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	<b>←</b> ≥ 10 min				
1,354.38	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event					
1,355.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-2: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.354.00	0.00	0.00	1.354.52	0.42	1.00	1.355.04	0.62	3.92
1.354.01	0.03	0.00	1.354.53	0.43	1.04	1.355.05	0.62	4.00
1.354.02	0.06	0.00	1.354.54	0.43	1.07	1.355.06	0.62	4.07
1.354.03	0.08	0.01	1.354.55	0.43	1.11	1.355.07	0.63	4.15
1.354.04	0.09	0.01	1.354.56	0.44	1.15	1.355.08	0.63	4.24
1.354.05	0.11	0.02	1.354.57	0.44	1.19	1.355.09	0.63	4.32
1.354.06	0.12	0.02	1.354.58	0.45	1.23	1.355.10	0.63	4.40
1.354.07	0.13	0.03	1.354.59	0.45	1.27	1.355.11	0.64	4.48
1,354.08	0.14	0.04	1,354.60	0.46	1.31	1.355.12	0.64	4.57
1,354.09	0.15	0.05	1,354.61	0.46	1.36	1,355.13	0.64	4.65
1,354.10	0.16	0.05	1,354.62	0.46	1.40	1,355.14	0.65	4.74
1,354.11	0.17	0.06	1,354.63	0.47	1.44	1,355.15	0.65	4.83
1,354.12	0.18	0.07	1,354.64	0.47	1.49	1,355.16	0.65	4.91
1,354.13	0.19	0.08	1,354.65	0.48	1.53	1,355.17	0.66	5.00
1,354.14	0.20	0.10	1,354.66	0.48	1.58	1,355.18	0.66	5.09
1,354.15	0.21	0.11	1,354.67	0.48	1.63	1,355.19	0.66	5.18
1,354.16	0.22	0.12	1,354.68	0.49	1.67	1,355.20	0.67	5.27
1,354.17	0.22	0.13	1,354.69	0.49	1.72	1,355.21	0.67	5.37
1,354.18	0.23	0.15	1,354.70	0.50	1.77	1,355.22	0.67	5.46
1,354.19	0.24	0.16	1,354.71	0.50	1.82	1,355.23	0.68	5.56
1,354.20	0.25	0.18	1,354.72	0.50	1.87	1,355.24	0.68	5.65
1,354.21	0.25	0.19	1,354.73	0.51	1.92	1,355.25	0.68	5.75
1,354.22	0.26	0.21	1,354.74	0.51	1.97	1,355.26	0.68	5.84
1,354.23	0.27	0.23	1,354.75	0.51	2.03	1,355.27	0.69	5.94
1,354.24	0.27	0.24	1,354.76	0.52	2.08	1,355.28	0.69	6.04
1,354.25	0.28	0.26	1,354.77	0.52	2.14	1,355.29	0.69	6.14
1,354.26	0.29	0.28	1,354.78	0.53	2.19	1,355.30	0.70	6.24
1,354.27	0.29	0.30	1,354.79	0.53	2.25	1,355.31	0.70	6.35
1,354.28	0.30	0.32	1,354.80	0.53	2.30	1,355.32	0.70	6.45
1,354.29	0.31	0.34	1,354.81	0.54	2.36	1,355.33	0.71	6.55
1,354.30	0.31	0.36	1,354.82	0.54	2.42	1,355.34	0.71	6.66
1,354.31	0.32	0.39	1,354.83	0.54	2.48	1,355.35	0.71	6.77
1,354.32	0.32	0.41	1,354.84	0.55	2.54		0.71	0.87
1,354.33	0.33	0.43	1,354.85	0.55	2.60		0.72	0.98
1,004.04	0.33	0.40	1,304.80	0.55	2.00	1,300.38	0.72	7.09
1,354.35	0.34	0.46	1,004.07	0.50	2.72	1,355.39	0.72	7.20
1 254.30	0.04	0.51	1,004.00	0.50	2.75	1,355.40	0.73	7.0
1 354 38	0.00	0.55	1 35/ 00	0.57	2.00	1 355 42	0.73	7.42
1 354 30	0.00	0.50	1 35/ 01	0.57	2.92	1 355 /3	0.73	7.54
1 354 40	0.00	0.55	1,004.01	0.57	2.50	1,355.40	0.73	7.03
1,354.41	0.37	0.64	1 354 93	0.58	3.12	1 355 45	0.74	7.88
1 354 42	0.38	0.67	1 354 94	0.58	3 19	1,000.40	0.74	8.00
1 354 43	0.38	0.07	1 354 95	0.59	3.26	1,000.40	0.75	8 12
1 354 44	0.38	0.73	1 354 96	0.59	3.33	1 355 48	0.75	8 24
1.354.45	0.39	0.76	1.354.97	0.59	3.40	1.355.49	0.75	8.36
1.354.46	0.39	0.79	1.354.98	0.60	3.47	1.355.50	0.75	8.48
1.354.47	0.40	0.83	1.354.99	0.60	3.54			
1,354.48	0.40	0.86	1,355.00	0.60	3.61			
1,354.49	0.41	0.89	1,355.01	0.61	3.69			
1,354.50	0.41	0.93	1,355.02	0.61	3.76			
1,354.51	0.42	0.96	1,355.03	0.61	3.84			

Node Nam	e:	SW-3	
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable	
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?	
0.31	ac	A = Area draining to the practice	
0.07	ac	$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice	
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$	
0.24	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	
0.26	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)	
0.08	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A	
294	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".	
0.26	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5
88	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )
1.32	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10	
0.264	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. $Ia = 0.2S$	
620	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II at	nd 4-III
0.08	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac
130.00	feet	$L = swale length^{-1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	st pit
1,758.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1
0.010	ft/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505
1.3	inches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15	
0.37	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)	
3.70	feet	Check wetted perimeter	
0.08	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$	
-1%		Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> +/- 10%
10	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min
1,758.34	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	
1,759.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale	
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

#### Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-3: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity	Discharge	Elevation	Velocity	Discharge
1 758 00			1 758 52	0.52	1 23	1 759 04	0.75	4.80
1 758 01	0.00	0.00	1 758 53	0.52	1.20	1 759 05	0.76	4.00
1 758 02	0.04	0.00	1 758 54	0.52	1.32	1,759.06	0.76	4.00
1 758 03	0.07	0.00	1 758 55	0.53	1 36	1 759 07	0.70	5.09
1 758 04	0.00	0.01	1 758 56	0.50	1.00	1 759 08	0.77	5 10
1 758 05	0.11	0.01	1 758 57	0.54	1.46	1 750.00	0.77	5 20
1 758 06	0.10	0.02	1 758 58	0.54	1.40	1 759 10	0.78	5.39
1 758 07	0.16	0.00	1 758 59	0.55	1.56	1 759 11	0.78	5 49
1 758 08	0.10	0.04	1 758 60	0.56	1.00	1 759 12	0.70	5.60
1 758 09	0.19	0.00	1 758 61	0.56	1.66	1 759 13	0.70	5 70
1 758 10	0.10	0.00	1 758 62	0.57	1.00	1 759 14	0.70	5.81
1 758 11	0.21	0.08	1 758 63	0.57	1 77	1 759 15	0.80	5.91
1 758 12	0.21	0.00	1 758 64	0.58	1.82	1 759 16	0.00	6.02
1 758 13	0.23	0.00	1 758 65	0.58	1.88	1 759 17	0.80	6.13
1.758.14	0.25	0.12	1,758.66	0.59	1.94	1,759,18	0.81	6.24
1 758 15	0.26	0.12	1 758 67	0.59	1.99	1 759 19	0.81	6.35
1.758.16	0.27	0.15	1,758.68	0.60	2.05	1,759,20	0.82	6.46
1.758.17	0.28	0.16	1,758.69	0.60	2.11	1,759,21	0.82	6.58
1.758.18	0.28	0.18	1,758,70	0.61	2.17	1,759,22	0.82	6.69
1.758.19	0.29	0.20	1,758,71	0.61	2.23	1,759.23	0.83	6.81
1.758.20	0.30	0.22	1,758,72	0.62	2.29	1,759,24	0.83	6.93
1.758.21	0.31	0.24	1,758,73	0.62	2.36	1.759.25	0.83	7.04
1.758.22	0.32	0.26	1.758.74	0.63	2.42	1.759.26	0.84	7.16
1.758.23	0.33	0.28	1.758.75	0.63	2.49	1.759.27	0.84	7.28
1.758.24	0.34	0.30	1.758.76	0.64	2.55	1.759.28	0.85	7.41
1.758.25	0.34	0.32	1.758.77	0.64	2.62	1.759.29	0.85	7.53
1.758.26	0.35	0.35	1.758.78	0.64	2.69	1.759.30	0.85	7.65
1.758.27	0.36	0.37	1.758.79	0.65	2.75	1.759.31	0.86	7.78
1.758.28	0.37	0.39	1.758.80	0.65	2.82	1.759.32	0.86	7.91
1.758.29	0.37	0.42	1.758.81	0.66	2.89	1.759.33	0.86	8.03
1.758.30	0.38	0.45	1.758.82	0.66	2.97	1.759.34	0.87	8.16
1.758.31	0.39	0.47	1.758.83	0.67	3.04	1.759.35	0.87	8.29
1,758.32	0.40	0.50	1,758.84	0.67	3.11	1,759.36	0.87	8.42
1,758.33	0.40	0.53	1,758.85	0.68	3.19	1,759.37	0.88	8.56
1,758.34	0.41	0.56	1,758.86	0.68	3.26	1,759.38	0.88	8.69
1,758.35	0.42	0.59	1,758.87	0.68	3.34	1,759.39	0.89	8.83
1,758.36	0.42	0.62	1,758.88	0.69	3.42	1,759.40	0.89	8.96
1,758.37	0.43	0.65	1,758.89	0.69	3.50	1,759.41	0.89	9.10
1,758.38	0.44	0.68	1,758.90	0.70	3.58	1,759.42	0.90	9.24
1,758.39	0.44	0.72	1,758.91	0.70	3.66	1,759.43	0.90	9.38
1,758.40	0.45	0.75	1,758.92	0.71	3.74	1,759.44	0.90	9.52
1,758.41	0.45	0.79	1,758.93	0.71	3.82	1,759.45	0.91	9.66
1,758.42	0.46	0.82	1,758.94	0.71	3.91	1,759.46	0.91	9.81
1,758.43	0.47	0.86	1,758.95	0.72	3.99	1,759.47	0.91	9.95
1,758.44	0.47	0.90	1,758.96	0.72	4.08	1,759.48	0.92	10.10
1,758.45	0.48	0.94	1,758.97	0.73	4.16	1,759.49	0.92	10.25
1,758.46	0.48	0.97	1,758.98	0.73	4.25	1,759.50	0.92	10.40
1,758.47	0.49	1.01	1,758.99	0.73	4.34			
1,758.48	0.49	1.05	1,759.00	0.74	4.43			
1,758.49	0.50	1.10	1,759.01	0.74	4.52			
1,758.50	0.51	1.14	1,759.02	0.75	4.61			
1,758.51	0.51	1.18	1,759.03	0.75	4.71			

Node Nam	e:	SW-4	
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable	
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?	
0.25	ac	A = Area draining to the practice	
0.09	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice	
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$	
0.34	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	
0.36	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)	
0.09	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A	
326	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1"$ .	
0.36	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5
91	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )
0.99	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10	
0.198	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S	
625	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II a	nd 4-III
0.09	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac
130.00	feet	$L = swale length^{-1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	st pit
1,628.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>≥</u> 3:1
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1
0.008	ft/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505
1.6	inches	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table) <sup>4</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15	
0.44	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)	
3.82	feet	Check wetted perimeter	
0.09	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$	
2%		Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> +/- 10%
11	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min
1,628.37	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	
1,629.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale	
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-4: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.628.00	0.00	0.00	1.628.52	0.47	1.11	1.629.04	0.69	4.37
1.628.01	0.04	0.00	1.628.53	0.47	1.16	1.629.05	0.69	4.45
1,628.02	0.06	0.00	1.628.54	0.48	1.20	1.629.06	0.69	4.54
1,628.03	0.09	0.01	1.628.55	0.48	1.24	1,629.07	0.70	4.63
1.628.04	0.10	0.01	1.628.56	0.49	1.28	1.629.08	0.70	4.72
1.628.05	0.12	0.02	1.628.57	0.49	1.33	1.629.09	0.70	4.81
1.628.06	0.13	0.03	1,628.58	0.50	1.37	1.629.10	0.71	4,90
1,628.07	0.15	0.03	1,628.59	0.50	1.42	1.629.11	0.71	4.99
1.628.08	0.16	0.04	1,628,60	0.51	1.46	1.629.12	0.71	5.09
1,628.09	0.17	0.05	1,628.61	0.51	1.51	1,629.13	0.72	5.18
1,628.10	0.18	0.06	1,628.62	0.52	1.56	1,629.14	0.72	5.28
1,628.11	0.19	0.07	1,628.63	0.52	1.61	1,629.15	0.72	5.38
1,628.12	0.20	0.08	1,628.64	0.53	1.66	1,629.16	0.73	5.47
1,628.13	0.21	0.09	1,628.65	0.53	1.71	1,629.17	0.73	5.57
1,628.14	0.22	0.11	1,628.66	0.54	1.76	1,629.18	0.74	5.67
1,628.15	0.23	0.12	1,628.67	0.54	1.81	1,629.19	0.74	5.78
1,628.16	0.24	0.13	1,628.68	0.54	1.86	1,629.20	0.74	5.88
1,628.17	0.25	0.15	1,628.69	0.55	1.92	1,629.21	0.75	5.98
1,628.18	0.26	0.16	1,628.70	0.55	1.97	1,629.22	0.75	6.09
1,628.19	0.27	0.18	1,628.71	0.56	2.03	1,629.23	0.75	6.19
1,628.20	0.28	0.20	1,628.72	0.56	2.08	1,629.24	0.76	6.30
1,628.21	0.28	0.22	1,628.73	0.57	2.14	1,629.25	0.76	6.40
1,628.22	0.29	0.23	1,628.74	0.57	2.20	1,629.26	0.76	6.51
1,628.23	0.30	0.25	1,628.75	0.57	2.26	1,629.27	0.77	6.62
1,628.24	0.31	0.27	1,628.76	0.58	2.32	1,629.28	0.77	6.73
1,628.25	0.31	0.29	1,628.77	0.58	2.38	1,629.29	0.77	6.85
1,628.26	0.32	0.31	1,628.78	0.59	2.44	1,629.30	0.78	6.96
1,628.27	0.33	0.34	1,628.79	0.59	2.50	1,629.31	0.78	7.07
1,628.28	0.33	0.36	1,628.80	0.59	2.57	1,629.32	0.78	7.19
1,628.29	0.34	0.38	1,628.81	0.60	2.63	1,629.33	0.79	7.30
1,628.30	0.35	0.41	1,628.82	0.60	2.70	1,629.34	0.79	7.42
1,628.31	0.35	0.43	1,628.83	0.61	2.76	1,629.35	0.79	7.54
1,628.32	0.36	0.46	1,628.84	0.61	2.83	1,629.36	0.80	7.66
1,628.33	0.37	0.48	1,628.85	0.61	2.90	1,629.37	0.80	7.78
1,628.34	0.37	0.51	1,628.86	0.62	2.97	1,629.38	0.80	7.90
1,628.35	0.38	0.54	1,628.87	0.62	3.04	1,629.39	0.81	8.02
1,628.36	0.38	0.56	1,628.88	0.63	3.11	1,629.40	0.81	8.15
1,628.37	0.39	0.59	1,628.89	0.63	3.18	1,629.41	0.81	8.27
1,628.38	0.40	0.62	1,628.90	0.63	3.25	1,629.42	0.81	8.40
1,628.39	0.40	0.65	1,628.91	0.64	3.32	1,629.43	0.82	8.53
1,628.40	0.41	0.68	1,628.92	0.64	3.40	1,629.44	0.82	8.66
1,628.41	0.41	0.72	1,628.93	0.65	3.47	1,629.45	0.82	8.79
1,628.42	0.42	0.75	1,628.94	0.65	3.55	1,629.46	0.83	8.92
1,628.43	0.42	0.78	1,628.95	0.65	3.63	1,629.47	0.83	9.05
1,628.44	0.43	0.82	1,628.96	0.66	3.71	1,629.48	0.83	9.18
1,628.45	0.43	0.85	1,628.97	0.66	3.78	1,629.49	0.84	9.32
1,028.40	0.44	0.89	1,628.98	0.00	3.86	1,629.50	0.84	9.45
1,020.4/	0.44	0.92	1,028.99	0.0/	3.90			
1,020.40	0.45	1.90	1,029.00	0.0/	4.03			
1 600 50	0.40	1.00	1,029.01	0.07	4.11			
1 629 51	0.40	1.04	1 620.02	0.00	4.19			
1,020.01	0.47	1.07	1,029.00	0.00	4.20			
			1			1		

Node Name:	SW-5					
	Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicab	le				
Yes Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-V	Wq 1508.07(b)?				
No Yes/No	b Is the system lined?					
0.40 ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.12 ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 minutes	s $T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.30 decima	I I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	1				
0.32 unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)					
0.13 ac-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A					
461 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1 inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.32 inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
90 unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 -	$+ 1.25*Q*P]^{0.5}$				
1.11 inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.222 inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
625 cfs/mi <sup>2</sup>	/in qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-1	II and 4-III				
0.12 cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" mu	ltiply by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
120.00 feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00 feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of	test pit				
1,682.50 feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.005 ft/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
2.0 inches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 4"				
0.15 unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.60  \text{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.08 feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.12 cfs	$WQF_{check}$ . $\leftarrow WQF_{check} = WQF_{check}$	QF				
-6%	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
10 minutes	s HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	<b>←</b> ≥ 10 min				
1,682.94 ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event					
1,684.00 ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-5: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1,682.50	0.00	0.00	1,683.02	0.42	1.00	1,683.54	0.62	3.92
1,682.51	0.03	0.00	1,683.03	0.43	1.04	1,683.55	0.62	4.00
1,682.52	0.06	0.00	1,683.04	0.43	1.07	1,683.56	0.62	4.07
1,682.53	0.08	0.01	1,683.05	0.43	1.11	1,683.57	0.63	4.15
1.682.54	0.09	0.01	1,683.06	0.44	1.15	1,683.58	0.63	4.24
1,682.55	0.11	0.02	1.683.07	0.44	1.19	1.683.59	0.63	4.32
1.682.56	0.12	0.02	1.683.08	0.45	1.23	1.683.60	0.63	4.40
1,682.57	0.13	0.03	1.683.09	0.45	1.27	1.683.61	0.64	4.48
1.682.58	0.14	0.04	1.683.10	0.46	1.31	1.683.62	0.64	4.57
1.682.59	0.15	0.05	1.683.11	0.46	1.36	1.683.63	0.64	4.65
1.682.60	0.16	0.05	1.683.12	0.46	1.40	1.683.64	0.65	4.74
1.682.61	0.17	0.06	1.683.13	0.47	1.44	1.683.65	0.65	4.83
1.682.62	0.18	0.07	1.683.14	0.47	1.49	1.683.66	0.65	4.91
1.682.63	0.19	0.08	1.683.15	0.48	1.53	1.683.67	0.66	5.00
1.682.64	0.20	0.10	1,683,16	0.48	1.58	1.683.68	0.66	5.09
1.682.65	0.21	0.11	1.683.17	0.48	1.63	1.683.69	0.66	5.18
1.682.66	0.22	0.12	1.683.18	0.49	1.67	1.683.70	0.67	5.27
1.682.67	0.22	0.13	1,683,19	0.49	1.72	1.683.71	0.67	5.37
1.682.68	0.23	0.15	1.683.20	0.50	1.77	1.683.72	0.67	5.46
1.682.69	0.24	0.16	1.683.21	0.50	1.82	1.683.73	0.68	5.56
1.682.70	0.25	0.18	1.683.22	0.50	1.87	1.683.74	0.68	5.65
1.682.71	0.25	0.19	1.683.23	0.51	1.92	1.683.75	0.68	5.75
1.682.72	0.26	0.21	1.683.24	0.51	1.97	1.683.76	0.68	5.84
1.682.73	0.27	0.23	1.683.25	0.51	2.03	1.683.77	0.69	5.94
1,682,74	0.27	0.24	1.683.26	0.52	2.08	1,683,78	0.69	6.04
1.682.75	0.28	0.26	1.683.27	0.52	2.14	1.683.79	0.69	6.14
1,682.76	0.29	0.28	1,683,28	0.53	2.19	1,683,80	0.70	6.24
1.682.77	0.29	0.30	1.683.29	0.53	2.25	1.683.81	0.70	6.35
1.682.78	0.30	0.32	1.683.30	0.53	2.30	1.683.82	0.70	6.45
1.682.79	0.31	0.34	1.683.31	0.54	2.36	1,683,83	0.71	6.55
1.682.80	0.31	0.36	1.683.32	0.54	2.42	1.683.84	0.71	6.66
1,682.81	0.32	0.39	1.683.33	0.54	2.48	1.683.85	0.71	6.77
1.682.82	0.32	0.41	1.683.34	0.55	2.54	1.683.86	0.71	6.87
1.682.83	0.33	0.43	1.683.35	0.55	2.60	1.683.87	0.72	6.98
1.682.84	0.33	0.46	1.683.36	0.55	2.66	1.683.88	0.72	7.09
1.682.85	0.34	0.48	1.683.37	0.56	2.72	1.683.89	0.72	7.20
1.682.86	0.34	0.51	1,683.38	0.56	2.79	1.683.90	0.73	7.31
1.682.87	0.35	0.53	1.683.39	0.57	2.85	1.683.91	0.73	7.42
1,682.88	0.36	0.56	1.683.40	0.57	2.92	1.683.92	0.73	7.54
1.682.89	0.36	0.59	1.683.41	0.57	2.98	1.683.93	0.73	7.65
1,682.90	0.37	0.61	1.683.42	0.58	3.05	1.683.94	0.74	7.77
1,682.91	0.37	0.64	1,683.43	0.58	3.12	1,683.95	0.74	7.88
1,682.92	0.38	0.67	1,683,44	0.58	3.19	1,683.96	0.74	8.00
1,682.93	0.38	0.70	1,683.45	0.59	3.26	1,683.97	0.75	8.12
1,682.94	0.38	0.73	1,683.46	0.59	3.33	1,683.98	0.75	8.24
1,682.95	0.39	0.76	1,683.47	0.59	3.40	1,683.99	0.75	8.36
1,682.96	0.39	0.79	1,683.48	0.60	3.47	1,684.00	0.75	8.48
1,682.97	0.40	0.83	1,683.49	0.60	3.54			
1,682.98	0.40	0.86	1,683.50	0.60	3.61			
1,682.99	0.41	0.89	1,683.51	0.61	3.69			
1,683.00	0.41	0.93	1,683.52	0.61	3.76			
1,683.01	0.42	0.96	1,683.53	0.61	3.84			

Node Name:	SW-6					
	Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applic	able				
Yes Yes/N	No Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env	v-Wq 1508.07(b)?				
No Yes/N	No Is the system lined?					
0.59 ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.09 ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 minu	tes $T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.16 decin	nal I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal for	rm				
0.19 unitle	Rv = Runoff coefficient = $0.05 + (0.9 \text{ x I})$					
0.11 ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A					
408 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1 inche	s $P = amount of rainfall.$ For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.19 inche	s $Q =$ water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	2				
86 unitle	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. $CN = \frac{1000}{(10+5P+10Q-10)}$	$(2^{2} + 1.25 * Q * P]^{0.5})$				
1.67 inche	s S = potential maximum retention. S = $(1000/CN) - 10$					
0.334 inche	Ia = initial abstraction. $Ia = 0.2S$					
610 cfs/m	$\frac{d^2}{dn}$ qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4	4-II and 4-III				
0.11 cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" r	nultiply by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
120.00 feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
4.00 feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. c	of test pit				
1,579.50 feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1	$SS_{RIGHT}$ = right Side slope	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008 ft/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
1.4 inche	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table) <sup>4</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15 unitle	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.52 \text{ ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.76 feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.10 cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow WQF_{check} = V$	WQF				
-4%	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
10 minu	tes HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← > 10 min				
1,579.92 ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,581.00 ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Yes/N	No 10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-6: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1,579.50	0.00	0.00	1,580.02	0.53	1.55	1,580.54	0.78	5.78
1,579.51	0.04	0.00	1,580.03	0.54	1.60	1,580.55	0.78	5.89
1,579.52	0.07	0.01	1,580.04	0.55	1.66	1,580.56	0.79	6.00
1,579.53	0.09	0.01	1,580.05	0.55	1.71	1,580.57	0.79	6.12
1,579.54	0.11	0.02	1,580.06	0.56	1.77	1,580.58	0.80	6.23
1,579.55	0.13	0.03	1,580.07	0.56	1.83	1,580.59	0.80	6.35
1,579.56	0.15	0.04	1,580.08	0.57	1.89	1,580.60	0.80	6.46
1,579.57	0.16	0.05	1,580.09	0.57	1.95	1,580.61	0.81	6.58
1,579.58	0.18	0.06	1,580.10	0.58	2.01	1,580.62	0.81	6.70
1,579.59	0.19	0.07	1,580.11	0.58	2.08	1,580.63	0.82	6.82
1,579.60	0.20	0.09	1,580.12	0.59	2.14	1,580.64	0.82	6.94
1,579.61	0.22	0.10	1,580.13	0.59	2.20	1,580.65	0.82	7.06
1,579.62	0.23	0.12	1,580.14	0.60	2.27	1,580.66	0.83	7.19
1,579.63	0.24	0.14	1,580.15	0.60	2.34	1,580.67	0.83	7.31
1,579.64	0.25	0.15	1,580.16	0.61	2.40	1,580.68	0.84	7.44
1,579.65	0.26	0.17	1,580.17	0.61	2.47	1,580.69	0.84	7.57
1,579.66	0.27	0.19	1,580.18	0.62	2.54	1,580.70	0.84	7.70
1,579.67	0.28	0.22	1,580.19	0.62	2.61	1,580.71	0.85	7.83
1,579.68	0.29	0.24	1,580.20	0.63	2.69	1,580.72	0.85	7.96
1,579.69	0.30	0.26	1,580.21	0.63	2.76	1,580.73	0.86	8.09
1,579.70	0.31	0.28	1,580.22	0.64	2.83	1,580.74	0.86	8.22
1,579.71	0.32	0.31	1,580.23	0.64	2.91	1,580.75	0.86	8.36
1,579.72	0.33	0.34	1,580.24	0.65	2.99	1,580.76	0.87	8.49
1,579.73	0.34	0.36	1,580.25	0.65	3.06	1,580.77	0.87	8.63
1,579.74	0.34	0.39	1,580.26	0.66	3.14	1,580.78	0.87	8.77
1,579.75	0.35	0.42	1,580.27	0.66	3.22	1,580.79	0.88	8.91
1,579.76	0.36	0.45	1,580.28	0.67	3.30	1,580.80	0.88	9.05
1,579.77	0.37	0.48	1,580.29	0.67	3.38	1,580.81	0.89	9.20
1,579.78	0.38	0.51	1,580.30	0.68	3.46	1,580.82	0.89	9.34
1,579.79	0.38	0.54	1,580.31	0.68	3.55	1,580.83	0.89	9.49
1,579.80	0.39	0.58	1,580.32	0.69	3.63	1,580.84	0.90	9.63
1,579.81	0.40	0.61	1,580.33	0.69	3.72	1,580.85	0.90	9.78
1,579.82	0.41	0.65	1,580.34	0.69	3.81	1,580.86	0.90	9.93
1,579.83	0.41	0.68	1,580.35	0.70	3.89	1,580.87	0.91	10.08
1,579.84	0.42	0.72	1,580.36	0.70	3.98	1,580.88	0.91	10.23
1,579.85	0.43	0.76	1,580.37	0.71	4.07	1,580.89	0.91	10.39
1,579.80	0.44	0.80	1,580.38	0.71	4.10		0.92	10.54
1,579.67	0.44	0.04	1,580.39	0.72	4.20		0.92	10.70
1,579.00	0.40	0.00		0.72	4.30	1,500.92	0.93	10.00
1,579.69	0.40	0.92	1,500.41	0.73	4.40		0.93	11.01
1,579.90	0.40	1.90	1,500.42	0.73	4.54	1,560.94	0.93	11.17
1,579.91	0.47	1.00	1,500.43	0.73	4.04	1,560.95	0.94	11.00
1 570 02	0.47	1.00	1 590 45	0.74	4.74		0.94	11.45
1 579 94	0.40	1.03	1 580 46	0.74	4.04	1,500.97	0.04	11.00
1 579 95	0.40	1.14	1 580 47	0.75	5.04	1 580 99	0.00	11.02
1 579 96	0.40	1.10	1 580 48	0.76	5 14	1 581 00	0.00	12 16
1 579 97	0.51	1 29	1 580 49	0.76	5.24	1,001.00	0.00	12.10
1.579.98	0.51	1.33	1,580.50	0.76	5.35			
1,579,99	0.52	1.39	1.580.51	0.77	5.45			
1,580.00	0.52	1.44	1,580.52	0.77	5.56			
1,580.01	0.53	1.49	1,580.53	0.78	5.67			
,						1		

Node Name:		SW-7					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes Yes	es/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No Ye	es/No	Is the system lined?					
0.25 ac	2	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.06 ac	2	$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 mi	inutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.25 de	ecimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.28 un	nitless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)					
0.07 ac	e-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A					
255 cf		WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1 inc	ches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.28 inc	ches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
<u>89</u> un	nitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.2])	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )				
1.27 inc	ches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.253 inc	ches	Ia = initial abstraction. $Ia = 0.2S$					
615 cfs	fs/mi²/in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II ar	nd 4-III				
0.07 cfs	ŝ	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiple	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
120.00 fee	et	$L = swale length^{1}$	<b>←</b> ≥ 100'				
3.00 fee	et	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
fee	et	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	t pit				
1,503.00 fee	et	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1		$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1		$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>≥</u> 3:1				
0.008 ft/	/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
1.3 inc	ches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15 un	nitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.37 \text{ ft}^2$	2	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
3.70 fee	et	Check wetted perimeter					
0.07 cfs	Ŝ	$WQF_{check}^{5} \leftarrow WQF_{check} = WQF$					
0%		Percent difference between WQF check and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
11 mi	inutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	<b>←</b> > 10 min				
1,503.33 ft		Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,540.50 ft		Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Ye	es/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-7: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.503.00	0.00	0.00	1.503.52	0.45	1.06	1.504.04	0.65	4.16
1.503.01	0.03	0.00	1.503.53	0.45	1.10	1.504.05	0.66	4.24
1.503.02	0.06	0.00	1.503.54	0.46	1.14	1.504.06	0.66	4.33
1.503.03	0.08	0.01	1.503.55	0.46	1.18	1.504.07	0.66	4.41
1.503.04	0.10	0.01	1.503.56	0.47	1.22	1.504.08	0.67	4.50
1.503.05	0.11	0.02	1.503.57	0.47	1.26	1.504.09	0.67	4.58
1.503.06	0.13	0.02	1.503.58	0.48	1.31	1,504,10	0.67	4.67
1,503.07	0.14	0.03	1,503,59	0.48	1.35	1.504.11	0.68	4.76
1,503.08	0.15	0.04	1,503.60	0.48	1.39	1,504.12	0.68	4.85
1,503.09	0.16	0.05	1,503.61	0.49	1.44	1,504.13	0.68	4.94
1,503.10	0.17	0.06	1,503.62	0.49	1.49	1,504.14	0.69	5.03
1,503.11	0.18	0.07	1,503.63	0.50	1.53	1,504.15	0.69	5.12
1,503.12	0.19	0.08	1,503.64	0.50	1.58	1,504.16	0.69	5.22
1,503.13	0.20	0.09	1,503.65	0.51	1.63	1,504.17	0.70	5.31
1,503.14	0.21	0.10	1,503.66	0.51	1.68	1,504.18	0.70	5.41
1,503.15	0.22	0.11	1,503.67	0.51	1.73	1,504.19	0.70	5.50
1,503.16	0.23	0.13	1,503.68	0.52	1.78	1,504.20	0.71	5.60
1,503.17	0.24	0.14	1,503.69	0.52	1.83	1,504.21	0.71	5.70
1,503.18	0.25	0.16	1,503.70	0.53	1.88	1,504.22	0.71	5.80
1,503.19	0.25	0.17	1,503.71	0.53	1.93	1,504.23	0.72	5.90
1,503.20	0.26	0.19	1,503.72	0.53	1.99	1,504.24	0.72	6.00
1,503.21	0.27	0.21	1,503.73	0.54	2.04	1,504.25	0.72	6.10
1,503.22	0.28	0.22	1,503.74	0.54	2.10	1,504.26	0.73	6.20
1,503.23	0.28	0.24	1,503.75	0.55	2.15	1,504.27	0.73	6.31
1,503.24	0.29	0.26	1,503.76	0.55	2.21	1,504.28	0.73	6.41
1,503.25	0.30	0.28	1,503.77	0.55	2.27	1,504.29	0.74	6.52
1,503.26	0.30	0.30	1,503.78	0.56	2.33	1,504.30	0.74	6.63
1,503.27	0.31	0.32	1,503.79	0.56	2.39	1,504.31	0.74	6.74
1,503.28	0.32	0.34	1,503.80	0.57	2.45	1,504.32	0.75	6.85
1,503.29	0.32	0.36	1,503.81	0.57	2.51	1,504.33	0.75	6.96
1,503.30	0.33	0.39	1,503.82	0.57	2.57	1,504.34	0.75	7.07
1,503.31	0.34	0.41	1,503.83	0.58	2.63	1,504.35	0.75	7.18
1,503.32	0.34	0.43	1,503.84	0.58	2.70	1,504.36	0.76	7.30
1,503.33	0.30	0.40		0.59	2.76	1,504.37	0.76	7.41
1,503.34	0.35	0.48		0.59	2.83	1,504.38	0.70	7.53
1,503.35	0.30	0.51	1,503.07	0.59	2.09	1,504.39	0.77	7.04
1,503.30	0.37	0.54	1,503.00	0.00	2.90	1,504.40	0.77	7.70
1,503.37	0.37	0.50	1,503.09	0.00	3.03	1,504.41	0.77	7.00
1,505.50	0.00	0.59	1,503.90	0.00	3.10	1,504.42	0.78	9.00
1,503.09	0.00	0.02	1 503.91	0.01	3.04	1,504.43	0.78	8.25
1,503.40	0.00	0.00		0.01	3.24	1 504.44	0.70	8 37
1 503 42	0.00	0.00	1 503 94	0.62	3.38	1 504 46	0.79	8 49
1 503 43	0.40	0.74	1,503,95	0.62	3.46	1 504 47	0.70	8 62
1 503 44	0.41	0.78	1,503,96	0.63	3.53	1 504 48	0.79	8 75
1 503.45	0.41	0.81	1 503 97	0.63	3.61	1 504 49	0.80	8.87
1.503.46	0.42	0.84	1.503.98	0.63	3.68	1.504.50	0.80	9.00
1.503.47	0.42	0.88	1,503.99	0.64	3.76	.,	0.00	0.00
1,503.48	0.43	0.91	1,504.00	0.64	3.84			
1,503.49	0.43	0.95	1,504.01	0.64	3.92	1		
1,503.50	0.44	0.99	1,504.02	0.65	4.00			
1,503.51	0.44	1.02	1,504.03	0.65	4.08			
-								

Node Nam	e:	<u>SW-8</u>					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?					
0.37	ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.12	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.32	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.34	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)					
0.13	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A					
455	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.34	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
91	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )				
1.04	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.208	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
625	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II at	nd 4-III				
0.12	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
135.00	feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	<b>←</b> ≥100'				
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	t pit				
1,471.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008	ft/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
1.8	inches	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table) <sup>4</sup>	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
0.52	$ft^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
3.95	feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.11	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-7%	-	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> +/- 10%				
10	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min				
1,471.40	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event					
1,472.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-8: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1 471 00	0.00		1 471 52	0.48	1 13	1 472 04	0.70	4.43
1 471 01	0.00	0.00	1,471,53	0.48	1.17	1,472.05	0.70	4.52
1 471 02	0.07	0.00	1 471 54	0.40	1 21	1 472 06	0.70	4.61
1 471 03	0.07	0.01	1 471 55	0.49	1.26	1 472 07	0.71	4 70
1 471 04	0.00	0.01	1 471 56	0.50	1.20	1 472 08	0.71	4 79
1 471 05	0.10	0.01	1 471 57	0.50	1.35	1 472 09	0.71	4 88
1 471 06	0.12	0.02	1 471 58	0.50	1.00	1 472 10	0.71	4.00
1 471 07	0.10	0.00	1 471 59	0.51	1 44	1 472 11	0.72	5.07
1 471 08	0.16	0.00	1 471 60	0.52	1 48	1 472 12	0.72	5 16
1 471 09	0.10	0.04	1 471 61	0.52	1.53	1 472 13	0.72	5.26
1 471 10	0.17	0.00	1 471 62	0.52	1.58	1 472 14	0.73	5.36
1 471 11	0.10	0.00	1 471 63	0.52	1.63	1 472 15	0.70	5 45
1 /71 12	0.20	0.07	1 471 64	0.53	1.60	1 472 16	0.74	5 55
1 471 13	0.21	0.00	1 471 65	0.50	1.00	1 472 17	0.74	5.65
1 171 11	0.22	0.10	1 471 66	0.54	1.70	1 472 18	0.74	5 76
1 471 15	0.20	0.12	1 471 67	0.54	1.70	1 472 19	0.75	5.86
1,471.15	0.24	0.12	1 /71 69	0.55	1.04	1 172 20	0.75	5.00
1,471.10	0.24	0.14	1 /71 60	0.55	1.05	1 1 1 7 2 2 1	0.75	6.07
1,471.17	0.25	0.13	1 471 70	0.50	2 00	1 472 22	0.76	6.17
1 /71 10	0.20	0.17	1 /71 71	0.50	2.00	1 172 23	0.70	6.28
1,471.19	0.27	0.18	1,471.71	0.50	2.00	1 472 24	0.70	6.20
1 /71 21	0.20	0.20	1 /71 72	0.57	2.11	1,472.24	0.77	6.50
1 /71 00	0.29	0.22	1 471 74	0.57	2.17	1 472 26	0.77	6.61
1,471.22	0.29	0.24	1 /71 75	0.50	2.20	1 472.20	0.77	6.72
1 471 04	0.30	0.20	1 471 76	0.50	2.23	1 472 22	0.78	6.93
1,471.24	0.31	0.20	1 /71 77	0.59	2.00	1 472 20	0.70	6.04
1,471.20	0.32	0.30	1,471.77	0.59	2.41	1,472.29	0.70	7.06
1 471 27	0.32	0.32	1,471.70	0.59	2.40	1 472.00	0.79	7.00
1 /71 00	0.33	0.34	1 /71 80	0.00	2.04	1 472.31	0.79	7.17
1 /71 20	0.34	0.00	1 /71 91	0.00	2.00	1 172 33	0.75	7.25
1 / 71 30	0.00	0.00	1 /71 82	0.01	2.07	1 472 34	0.00	7.53
1 /71 31	0.00	0.41	1 171 83	0.01	2.74	1 472 35	0.00	7.55
1 /71 32	0.36	0.46	1 471 84	0.67	2.00	1 472 36	0.00	7.00
1 /71 33	0.00	0.40	1 471 85	0.62	2.07	1 472 37	0.01	7.89
1 471 34	0.38	0.40	1 471 86	0.62	3.01	1 472 38	0.81	8.01
1 471 35	0.38	0.52	1 471 87	0.63	3.08	1 472 39	0.82	8 14
1 471 36	0.00	0.57	1 471 88	0.63	3 15	1 472 40	0.02	8 27
1 471 37	0.00	0.60	1 471 89	0.60	3.22	1 472 41	0.82	8.39
1 471 38	0.40	0.63	1 471 90	0.64	3.30	1 472 42	0.83	8.52
1 471 39	0.41	0.66	1 471 91	0.65	3.37	1 472 43	0.83	8 65
1 471 40	0.41	0.69	1 471 92	0.65	3 45	1 472 44	0.83	8.78
1 471 41	0.42	0.73	1 471 93	0.65	3.52	1 472 45	0.84	8.91
1 471 42	0.42	0.76	1 471 94	0.66	3.60	1 472 46	0.84	9.04
1.471.43	0.43	0.79	1.471.95	0.66	3.68	1,472,47	0.84	9.18
1 471 44	0.44	0.83	1 471 96	0.67	3.76	1 472 48	0.85	9.31
1.471.45	0.44	0.86	1.471.97	0.67	3.84	1.472.49	0.85	9.45
1.471.46	0.45	0.90	1.471.98	0.67	3.92	1.472.50	0.85	9.59
1.471.47	0.45	0.94	1.471.99	0.68	4.00	.,	0.00	0.50
1.471.48	0.46	0.97	1.472.00	0.68	4.09			
1.471.49	0.46	1.01	1.472.01	0.68	4.17			
1.471.50	0.47	1.05	1.472.02	0.69	4.25			
1,471.51	0.47	1.09	1.472.03	0.69	4.34			
.,								

Node Name:	<u>SW-9</u>					
	Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable	ble				
Yes Yes/N	b Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-V	Wq 1508.07(b)?				
No Yes/N	o Is the system lined?					
0.18 ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.06 ac	$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 minute	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.35 decima	al I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	1				
0.36 unitles	Rv = Runoff coefficient = $0.05 + (0.9 \text{ x I})$					
0.07 ac-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A					
242 cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1 inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.36 inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
91 unitles	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = $1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q^2 + 10Q))$	$+ 1.25*Q*P]^{0.5}$				
0.97 inches	S = potential maximum retention. $S = (1000/CN) - 10$					
0.195 inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = $0.2S$					
625 cfs/mi	$^{2}/in$ qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-1	II and 4-III				
0.07 cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" mu	ultiply by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
120.00 feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00 feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of	test pit				
1,521.00 feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008 ft/ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
1.3 inches	d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)4	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15 unitles	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.37 \text{ ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
3.70 feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.07 cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WC$	QF				
3%	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
11 minute	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min				
1,521.28 ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,522.50 ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Yes/N	$\overline{0}$ 10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-9: Swale

Elevation Velo	ocity Discharge sec) (cfs)	Elevatior (feet	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.521.00 (	0.00 0.00	1.521.52	0.45	1.06	1.522.04	0.65	4.16
1.521.01 (	0.03 0.00	1.521.53	3 0.45	1.10	1.522.05	0.66	4.24
1.521.02 (	0.06 0.00	1.521.54	0.46	1.14	1,522,06	0.66	4.33
1.521.03 (	0.08 0.01	1.521.55	5 0.46	1.18	1.522.07	0.66	4.41
1.521.04 (	0.10 0.01	1.521.56	6 0.47	1.22	1.522.08	0.67	4.50
1.521.05 (	0.11 0.02	1.521.57	7 0.47	1.26	1.522.09	0.67	4.58
1.521.06 (	0.13 0.02	1.521.58	3 0.48	1.31	1.522.10	0.67	4.67
1.521.07 (	0.14 0.03	1.521.59	0.48	1.35	1.522.11	0.68	4.76
1.521.08 (	0.15 0.04	1.521.60	0.48	1.39	1.522.12	0.68	4.85
1,521.09 (	0.16 0.05	1,521.61	0.49	1.44	1,522.13	0.68	4.94
1,521.10	0.17 0.06	1,521.62	2 0.49	1.49	1,522.14	0.69	5.03
1,521.11	0.18 0.07	1,521.63	3 0.50	1.53	1,522.15	0.69	5.12
1,521.12	0.19 0.08	1,521.64	4 0.50	1.58	1,522.16	0.69	5.22
1,521.13	0.20 0.09	1,521.68	5 0.51	1.63	1,522.17	0.70	5.31
1,521.14	0.21 0.10	1,521.66	6 0.51	1.68	1,522.18	0.70	5.41
1,521.15	0.22 0.11	1,521.67	7 0.51	1.73	1,522.19	0.70	5.50
1,521.16	0.23 0.13	1,521.68	3 0.52	1.78	1,522.20	0.71	5.60
1,521.17	0.24 0.14	1,521.69	9 0.52	1.83	1,522.21	0.71	5.70
1,521.18	0.25 0.16	1,521.70	0.53	1.88	1,522.22	0.71	5.80
1,521.19	0.25 0.17	1,521.7	1 0.53	1.93	1,522.23	0.72	5.90
1,521.20	0.26 0.19	1,521.72	2 0.53	1.99	1,522.24	0.72	6.00
1,521.21	0.27 0.21	1,521.73	3 0.54	2.04	1,522.25	0.72	6.10
1,521.22	0.28 0.22	1,521.74	4 0.54	2.10	1,522.26	0.73	6.20
1,521.23	0.28 0.24	1,521.7	5 0.55	2.15	1,522.27	0.73	6.31
1,521.24	0.29 0.26	1,521.70	6 0.55	2.21	1,522.28	0.73	6.41
1,521.25	0.30 0.28	1,521.7	7 0.55	2.27	1,522.29	0.74	6.52
1,521.26	0.30 0.30	1,521.78	8 0.56	2.33	1,522.30	0.74	6.63
1,521.27	0.31 0.32	1,521.7	9 0.56	2.39	1,522.31	0.74	6.74
1,521.28	0.32 0.34	1,521.8	0.57	2.45	1,522.32	0.75	6.85
1,521.29	0.32 0.36	i   1,521.8 <sup>-</sup>	1 0.57	2.51	1,522.33	0.75	6.96
1,521.30	0.33 0.39	1,521.8	2 0.57	2.57	1,522.34	0.75	7.07
1,521.31	0.34 0.4	1,521.8	3 0.58	2.63	1,522.35	0.75	7.18
1,521.32	0.34 0.43	1,521.8	4 0.58	2.70	1,522.36	0.76	7.30
1,521.33	0.35 0.46	1,521.8	5 0.59	2.76	1,522.37	0.76	7.41
1,521.34	0.35 0.48	1,521.8	6 0.59	2.83	1,522.38	0.76	7.53
1,521.35	0.36 0.5	1,521.8	7 0.59	2.89	1,522.39	0.77	7.64
1,521.36	0.37 0.54	1,521.8	8 0.60	2.96	1,522.40	0.77	7.76
1,521.37	0.37 0.50	1,521.8	9 0.60	3.03	1,522.41	0.77	7.88
1,521.38	0.38 0.5	1,521.9	0 0.60	3.10	1,522.42	2 0.78	8.00
1,521.39	0.38 0.02		1 0.01	3.17	1,522.40	0.78	8.12
1,521.40	0.39 0.00	0   1,521.9	2 0.01	3.24	1,522.44		0.20
1,021.41	0.39 0.00	1,521.9		0.01	1,022.40	0.79	0.37
1,521.42	0.40 0.7	1,521.9	4 0.02 5 0.62	0.00	1,522.40	0.79	0.49
1,521.45	0.40 0.7	1 521.9	5 0.02 6 0.62	3.40	1,522.47	0.75	9.02
1,521.44	0.41 0.76		0 0.03 7 0.63	3.55	1 522.40	0.79	8.87
1,521.46	0.42 0.8	1 521.0	/ 0.00 8 0.63	3.68	1 522 50	0.00	9.07
1 521 47	0.42 0.8	1 521.9	0.00 0 0.64	3.76	1,522.50	0.00	5.00
1 521 48	043 0.0	1 522 0	0.04 0 0.64	3.84			
1.521.49	0.43 0.9	1 522 0	1 0.04	3 92			
1.521.50	0.44 0.9	1 522 0	2 0.65	4 00			
1.521.51	0.44 1.0	1.522.0	0.65	4.08			
.,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_ 0.00				
		,			*		

Node Nam	e:	SW-10	
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable	
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?	
0.29	ac	A = Area draining to the practice	
0.11	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice	
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$	
0.37	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	
0.39	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)	
0.11	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A	
413	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".	
0.39	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5
92	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )
0.91	inches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10	
0.182	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S	
625	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II at	nd 4-III
0.11	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac
135.00	feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	<b>←</b> ≥100'
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	st pit
1,571.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1
0.008	ft/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505
1.8	inches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15	
0.52	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)	
3.95	feet	Check wetted perimeter	
0.11	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$	
3%	-	Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	<b>←</b> +/- 10%
10	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min
1,571.36	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	
1,572.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale	
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-10: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.571.00	0.00	0.00	1.571.52	0.48	1.13	1.572.04	0.70	4.43
1.571.01	0.04	0.00	1.571.53	0.48	1.17	1.572.05	0.70	4.52
1.571.02	0.07	0.00	1.571.54	0.49	1.21	1.572.06	0.70	4.61
1.571.03	0.09	0.01	1.571.55	0.49	1.26	1.572.07	0.71	4.70
1.571.04	0.10	0.01	1.571.56	0.50	1.30	1.572.08	0.71	4.79
1.571.05	0.12	0.02	1.571.57	0.50	1.35	1.572.09	0.71	4.88
1.571.06	0.13	0.03	1.571.58	0.51	1.39	1.572.10	0.72	4.97
1.571.07	0.15	0.03	1.571.59	0.51	1.44	1.572.11	0.72	5.07
1.571.08	0.16	0.04	1.571.60	0.52	1.48	1,572,12	0.72	5.16
1,571.09	0.17	0.05	1,571.61	0.52	1.53	1,572.13	0.73	5.26
1,571.10	0.18	0.06	1,571.62	0.52	1.58	1,572.14	0.73	5.36
1,571.11	0.20	0.07	1,571.63	0.53	1.63	1,572.15	0.74	5.45
1,571.12	0.21	0.08	1,571.64	0.53	1.68	1,572.16	0.74	5.55
1,571.13	0.22	0.10	1,571.65	0.54	1.73	1,572.17	0.74	5.65
1,571.14	0.23	0.11	1,571.66	0.54	1.78	1,572.18	0.75	5.76
1,571.15	0.24	0.12	1,571.67	0.55	1.84	1,572.19	0.75	5.86
1,571.16	0.24	0.14	1,571.68	0.55	1.89	1,572.20	0.75	5.96
1,571.17	0.25	0.15	1,571.69	0.56	1.95	1,572.21	0.76	6.07
1,571.18	0.26	0.17	1,571.70	0.56	2.00	1,572.22	0.76	6.17
1,571.19	0.27	0.18	1,571.71	0.56	2.06	1,572.23	0.76	6.28
1,571.20	0.28	0.20	1,571.72	0.57	2.11	1,572.24	0.77	6.39
1,571.21	0.29	0.22	1,571.73	0.57	2.17	1,572.25	0.77	6.50
1,571.22	0.29	0.24	1,571.74	0.58	2.23	1,572.26	0.77	6.61
1,571.23	0.30	0.26	1,571.75	0.58	2.29	1,572.27	0.78	6.72
1,571.24	0.31	0.28	1,571.76	0.59	2.35	1,572.28	0.78	6.83
1,571.25	0.32	0.30	1,571.77	0.59	2.41	1,572.29	0.78	6.94
1,571.26	0.32	0.32	1,5/1./8	0.59	2.48	1,572.30	0.79	7.06
1,5/1.2/	0.33	0.34	1,571.79	0.60	2.54	1,572.31	0.79	7.17
1,571.28	0.34	0.30	1,571.80	0.00	2.60	1,572.32	0.79	7.29
1,571.29	0.35	0.39	1,571.01	0.01	2.07	1,572.33	0.00	7.41
1,571.50	0.00	0.41	1,571.02	0.01	2.74	1,572.34	0.00	7.55
1,571.31	0.30	0.44	1,571.05	0.01	2.00	1,572.55	0.00	7.05
1,571.32	0.30	0.40	1 571 85	0.02	2.07	1 572.30	0.01	7 89
1 571 34	0.07	0.40	1 571 86	0.02	3.01	1,572.38	0.01	8.01
1 571 35	0.00	0.52	1 571 87	0.00	3.08	1 572 39	0.01	8 14
1,571.36	0.39	0.57	1 571 88	0.63	3 15	1,572.00	0.82	8 27
1.571.37	0.40	0.60	1,571,89	0.64	3.22	1,572,41	0.82	8.39
1.571.38	0.40	0.63	1.571.90	0.64	3.30	1.572.42	0.83	8.52
1.571.39	0.41	0.66	1.571.91	0.65	3.37	1.572.43	0.83	8.65
1.571.40	0.41	0.69	1.571.92	0.65	3.45	1,572.44	0.83	8.78
1,571.41	0.42	0.73	1.571.93	0.65	3.52	1,572.45	0.84	8.91
1,571.42	0.42	0.76	1,571.94	0.66	3.60	1,572.46	0.84	9.04
1,571.43	0.43	0.79	1,571.95	0.66	3.68	1,572.47	0.84	9.18
1,571.44	0.44	0.83	1,571.96	0.67	3.76	1,572.48	0.85	9.31
1,571.45	0.44	0.86	1,571.97	0.67	3.84	1,572.49	0.85	9.45
1,571.46	0.45	0.90	1,571.98	0.67	3.92	1,572.50	0.85	9.59
1,571.47	0.45	0.94	1,571.99	0.68	4.00			
1,571.48	0.46	0.97	1,572.00	0.68	4.09			
1,571.49	0.46	1.01	1,572.01	0.68	4.17	1		
1,571.50	0.47	1.05	1,572.02	0.69	4.25			
1,571.51	0.47	1.09	1,572.03	0.69	4.34			

Node Nam	e:	<u>SW-11</u>					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes	Yes/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No	Yes/No	Is the system lined?					
0.18	ac	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.13	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0	minutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.73	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.70	unitless	Rv = Runoff coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9 x I)					
0.13	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A					
468	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1	inches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1''$ .					
0.70	inches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
97	unitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.	25*Q*P] <sup>0.3</sup> )				
0.31	inches	S = potential maximum retention. $S = (1000/CN) - 10$					
0.062	inches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
650	cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II a	nd 4-III				
0.13	cfs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multip	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
125.00	feet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00	feet	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	st pit				
1,688.00	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0	:1	$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0	:1	$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.005	ft/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505				
2.2	inches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15	unitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
0.64	$ft^2$	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.14	feet	Check wetted perimeter					
0.13	cfs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow$ $WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-2%	-	Percent difference between WQF $_{check}$ and WQF $^{5}$	← +/- 10%				
10	minutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	← <u>&gt;</u> 10 min				
1,688.36	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,689.50	ft	Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES	Yes/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-11: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.688.00	0.00	0.00	1.688.52	0.37	0.87	1,689.04	0.54	3.41
1,688.01	0.03	0.00	1,688.53	0.37	0.90	1,689.05	0.54	3.48
1,688.02	0.05	0.00	1,688.54	0.37	0.93	1,689.06	0.54	3.55
1,688.03	0.07	0.01	1,688.55	0.38	0.97	1,689.07	0.54	3.62
1,688.04	0.08	0.01	1,688.56	0.38	1.00	1,689.08	0.55	3.69
1,688.05	0.09	0.01	1,688.57	0.39	1.04	1,689.09	0.55	3.76
1.688.06	0.10	0.02	1.688.58	0.39	1.07	1.689.10	0.55	3.83
1,688.07	0.11	0.03	1,688.59	0.39	1.11	1.689.11	0.56	3.90
1,688.08	0.12	0.03	1,688.60	0.40	1.14	1,689.12	0.56	3.97
1,688.09	0.13	0.04	1,688.61	0.40	1.18	1,689.13	0.56	4.05
1,688.10	0.14	0.05	1,688.62	0.40	1.22	1,689.14	0.56	4.12
1,688.11	0.15	0.06	1,688.63	0.41	1.26	1,689.15	0.57	4.20
1,688.12	0.16	0.06	1,688.64	0.41	1.29	1,689.16	0.57	4.28
1,688.13	0.17	0.07	1,688.65	0.41	1.33	1,689.17	0.57	4.35
1,688.14	0.17	0.08	1,688.66	0.42	1.37	1,689.18	0.57	4.43
1,688.15	0.18	0.09	1,688.67	0.42	1.41	1,689.19	0.58	4.51
1,688.16	0.19	0.11	1,688.68	0.42	1.46	1,689.20	0.58	4.59
1,688.17	0.20	0.12	1,688.69	0.43	1.50	1,689.21	0.58	4.67
1,688.18	0.20	0.13	1,688.70	0.43	1.54	1,689.22	0.58	4.75
1,688.19	0.21	0.14	1,688.71	0.43	1.58	1,689.23	0.59	4.83
1,688.20	0.21	0.15	1,688.72	0.44	1.63	1,689.24	0.59	4.92
1,688.21	0.22	0.17	1,688.73	0.44	1.67	1,689.25	0.59	5.00
1,688.22	0.23	0.18	1,688.74	0.44	1.72	1,689.26	0.60	5.09
1,688.23	0.23	0.20	1,688.75	0.45	1.76	1,689.27	0.60	5.17
1,688.24	0.24	0.21	1,688.76	0.45	1.81	1,689.28	0.60	5.26
1,688.25	0.24	0.23	1,688.77	0.45	1.86	1,689.29	0.60	5.35
1,688.26	0.25	0.25	1,688.78	0.46	1.91	1,689.30	0.61	5.43
1,688.27	0.26	0.26	1,688.79	0.46	1.96	1,689.31	0.61	5.52
1,688.28	0.26	0.28	1,688.80	0.46	2.00	1,689.32	0.61	5.61
1,688.29	0.27	0.30	1,688.81	0.47	2.05	1,689.33	0.61	5.70
1,688.30	0.27	0.32	1,688.82	0.47	2.11	1,689.34	0.62	5.80
1,688.31	0.28	0.34	1,688.83	0.47	2.16	1,689.35	0.62	5.89
1,688.32	0.28	0.36	1,688.84	0.48	2.21	1,689.36	0.62	5.98
1,688.33	0.29	0.38	1,688.85	0.48	2.26	1,689.37	0.62	6.08
1,688.34	0.29	0.40	1,688.86	0.48	2.32	1,689.38	0.63	6.17
1,688.35	0.30	0.42	1,688.87	0.49	2.37	1,689.39	0.63	6.27
1,688.36	0.30	0.44	1,688.88	0.49	2.43	1,689.40	0.63	6.36
1,688.37	0.30	0.46	1,688.89	0.49	2.48	1,689.41	0.63	6.46
1,688.38	0.31	0.49	1,688.90	0.49	2.54	1,689.42	0.64	6.56
1,688.39	0.31	0.51	1,688.91	0.50	2.60	1,689.43	0.64	6.66
1,688.40	0.32	0.53	1,688.92	0.50	2.65	1,689.44	0.64	6.76
1,688.41	0.32	0.56	1,688.93	0.50	2.71	1,689.45	0.64	6.86
1,688.42	0.33	0.58	1,688.94	0.51	2.77	1,689.46	0.65	6.96
1,688.43	0.33	0.61	1,688.95	0.51	2.83	1,689.47	0.65	7.07
1,688.44	0.33	0.64	1,688.96	0.51	2.89	1,689.48	0.65	7.17
1,688.45	0.34	0.66	1,688.97	0.52	2.96	1,689.49	0.65	7.28
1,688.46	0.34	0.69	1,688.98	0.52	3.02	1,689.50	0.66	7.38
1,688.47	0.35	0.72	1,688.99	0.52	3.08			
1,688.48	0.35	0.75	1,689.00	0.52	3.15	1		
1,688.49	0.36	0.78	1,689.01	0.53	3.21	ļ		
1,688.50	0.36	0.81	1,689.02	0.53	3.28			
1,688.51	0.36	0.84	1,689.03	0.53	3.34			
			1					

Node Name:		<u>SW-12</u>					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes Ye	es/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No Ye	es/No	Is the system lined?					
0.51 ac		A = Area draining to the practice					
0.16 ac		$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 mi	inutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.30 dec	cimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.32 uni	itless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)					
0.17 ac-	-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A					
603 cf		WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
1 inc	ches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1"$ .					
0.32 inc	ches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
<u>90</u> uni	itless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.2))	25*Q*P] <sup>0.5</sup> )				
<u>1.10</u> inc	ches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.220 inc	ches	Ia = initial abstraction. Ia = 0.2S					
625 cfs	s/mi²/in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II ar	nd 4-III				
0.16 cfs	S	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiple	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
150.00 fee	et	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00 fee	et	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
fee	et	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	t pit				
1,787.00 fee	et	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1		$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1		$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008 ft/f	ft	S = slope of swale in decimal form3	← 0.00505				
2.2 inc	ches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 4"				
0.15 uni	itless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.64 \text{ ft}^2$	1	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
4.14 fee	et	Check wetted perimeter					
0.16 cfs	s	$WQF_{check}^{5} \leftarrow WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-3%		Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
10 mi	inutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	<b>←</b> > 10 min				
1,787.46 ft		Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,788.50 ft		Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Ye	es/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-12: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.787.00	0.00	0.00	1.787.52	0.52	1.23	1.788.04	0.76	4.81
1.787.01	0.04	0.00	1.787.53	0.52	1.27	1.788.05	0.76	4.90
1.787.02	0.07	0.00	1.787.54	0.53	1.32	1,788.06	0.76	5.00
1.787.03	0.09	0.01	1.787.55	0.53	1.37	1.788.07	0.77	5.10
1.787.04	0.11	0.01	1.787.56	0.54	1.41	1.788.08	0.77	5.20
1.787.05	0.13	0.02	1.787.57	0.54	1.46	1.788.09	0.78	5.30
1.787.06	0.15	0.03	1.787.58	0.55	1.51	1.788.10	0.78	5.40
1.787.07	0.16	0.04	1.787.59	0.55	1.56	1.788.11	0.78	5.50
1.787.08	0.17	0.05	1.787.60	0.56	1.61	1.788.12	0.79	5.61
1.787.09	0.19	0.06	1.787.61	0.56	1.66	1.788.13	0.79	5.71
1,787.10	0.20	0.07	1.787.62	0.57	1.72	1,788.14	0.79	5.82
1,787.11	0.21	0.08	1,787.63	0.57	1.77	1,788.15	0.80	5.92
1,787.12	0.22	0.09	1,787.64	0.58	1.83	1,788.16	0.80	6.03
1,787.13	0.23	0.10	1,787.65	0.58	1.88	1,788.17	0.81	6.14
1,787.14	0.25	0.12	1,787.66	0.59	1.94	1,788.18	0.81	6.25
1,787.15	0.26	0.13	1,787.67	0.59	2.00	1,788.19	0.81	6.36
1,787.16	0.27	0.15	1,787.68	0.60	2.05	1,788.20	0.82	6.47
1,787.17	0.28	0.16	1,787.69	0.60	2.11	1,788.21	0.82	6.59
1,787.18	0.29	0.18	1,787.70	0.61	2.17	1,788.22	0.82	6.70
1,787.19	0.29	0.20	1,787.71	0.61	2.23	1,788.23	0.83	6.82
1,787.20	0.30	0.22	1,787.72	0.62	2.30	1,788.24	0.83	6.94
1,787.21	0.31	0.24	1,787.73	0.62	2.36	1,788.25	0.84	7.06
1,787.22	0.32	0.26	1,787.74	0.63	2.42	1,788.26	0.84	7.17
1,787.23	0.33	0.28	1,787.75	0.63	2.49	1,788.27	0.84	7.30
1,787.24	0.34	0.30	1,787.76	0.64	2.55	1,788.28	0.85	7.42
1,787.25	0.34	0.32	1,787.77	0.64	2.62	1,788.29	0.85	7.54
1,787.26	0.35	0.35	1,787.78	0.65	2.69	1,788.30	0.85	7.67
1,787.27	0.36	0.37	1,787.79	0.65	2.76	1,788.31	0.86	7.79
1,787.28	0.37	0.40	1,787.80	0.65	2.83	1,788.32	0.86	7.92
1,787.29	0.37	0.42	1,787.81	0.66	2.90	1,788.33	0.87	8.05
1,787.30	0.38	0.45	1,787.82	0.66	2.97	1,788.34	0.87	8.18
1,787.31	0.39	0.47	1,787.83	0.67	3.04	1,788.35	0.87	8.31
1,787.32	0.40	0.50	1,787.84	0.67	3.12	1,788.36	0.88	8.44
1,787.33	0.40	0.53	1,787.85	0.68	3.19	1,788.37	0.88	8.57
1,787.34	0.41	0.56	1,787.86	0.68	3.27	1,788.38	0.88	8.70
1,787.35	0.42	0.59	1,787.87	0.69	3.34	1,788.39	0.89	8.84
1,787.36	0.42	0.62	1,787.88	0.69	3.42	1,788.40	0.89	8.98
1,787.37	0.43	0.65		- 0.69	3.50	1,788.41	0.89	9.11
1,787.38	0.44	0.69	1,787.90	0.70	3.58	1,788.42	0.90	9.25
1,787.39	0.44	0.72		0.70	3.66	1,788.43	0.90	9.39
1,787.40	0.45	0.75		0.71	3.74	1,788.44	0.90	9.54
1,707.41	0.45	0.79	1,707.93	0.71	3.03		0.91	9.08
1,707.42	0.40	0.02	1,707.94	0.71	3.91	1,700.40	0.91	9.02
1 707.43	0.47	0.00	1,707.90	0.72	4.00	1,700.47	0.92	9.97
1,707.44	0.47	0.90	1 797 07	0.72	4.00	1,700.40	0.92	10.11
1 787 46	0.40	0.34	1 787 08	0.73	4.17	1 788 50	0.52	10.20
1 787 47	0.40	1 02	1 787 99	0.73	4.20	1,700.50	0.50	10.41
1 787 48	0.43	1.02	1 788 00	0.74	4 44	1		
1.787 49	0.50	1 10	1,788.01	0.74	4 53			
1.787.50	0.51	1.14	1,788.02	0.75	4.62			
1,787.51	0.51	1.18	1.788.03	0.75	4.71			
.,								

Node Name:		<u>SW-13</u>					
		Enter the node name in the drainage analysis (e.g., reach TS 5), if applicable					
Yes Yes	es/No	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined swales outlined in Env-Wq	1508.07(b)?				
No Ye	es/No	Is the system lined?					
0.27 ac	2	A = Area draining to the practice					
0.09 ac	2	$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice					
6.0 m	inutes	$T_c = Time of Concentration$					
0.34 de	ecimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form					
0.35 un	nitless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)					
0.10 ac	c-in	WQV=1" x Rv x A					
350 cf	Î	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")					
<u> </u>	iches	P = amount of rainfall. For WQF in NH, $P = 1$ ".					
0.35 in	iches	Q = water quality depth. $Q = WQV/A$	0.5				
91 un	nitless	CN = unit peak discharge curve number. CN = 1000/(10+5P+10Q-10*[Q2 + 1.3])	25*Q*P] <sup>0.5</sup> )				
<u>1.01</u> in	iches	S = potential maximum retention. S = (1000/CN) - 10					
0.202 in	iches	Ia = initial abstraction. $Ia = 0.2S$					
625 cf	fs/mi²/in	qu = unit peak discharge. Obtain this value from TR-55 exhibits 4-II ar	nd 4-III				
0.09 cf	fs	WQF = $q_u x$ WQV. Conversion: to convert "cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> /in * ac-in" to "cfs" multiplied	ly by 1mi <sup>2</sup> /640ac				
125.00 fe	eet	$L = swale length^{1}$	← <u>&gt;</u> 100'				
3.00 fe	et	$w = bottom of the swale width^2$	$\leftarrow$ 0 - 8 feet <sup>2</sup>				
fe	eet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT. If none found, use the lowest elev. of tes	t pit				
1,849.00 fe	eet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice	$\leftarrow \geq E_{SHWT}$				
3.0 :1		$SS_{RIGHT} = right Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
3.0 :1		$SS_{LEFT} = left Side slope$	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 3:1				
0.008 ft/	/ft	$S = slope of swale in decimal form^3$	← 0.00505				
1.6 in	ches	$d = flow depth in swale at WQF (attach stage-discharge table)^4$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 4"				
0.15 un	nitless	d must be $< 4$ ", therefore Manning's n = 0.15					
$0.44 \text{ ft}^2$	2	Cross-sectional area check (assume trapezoidal channel)					
3.82 fe	et	Check wetted perimeter					
0.09 cf	fs	$WQF_{check}^{5}$ $\leftarrow WQF_{check} = WQF$					
-5%		Percent difference between WQF <sub>check</sub> and WQF <sup>5</sup>	← +/- 10%				
10 m	inutes	HRT = hydraulic residence time during the WQF	<b>←</b> ≥ 10 min				
1,849.35 ft		Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event	—				
1,850.50 ft		Elevation of the top of the swale					
YES Ye	es/No	10 peak elevation $\leq$ the top of swale	← yes				

1. Any portion of the swale that is in a roadside ditch shall not count towards the swale length.

2. Widths up to 16' allowed if a dividing berm or structure is used such that neither width is more than 8'.

3. If > 0.02 (2%) then check dams are required. No additional detention time is credited for check dams.

4. If a detention structure is used immediately upstream of the swale, the flow depth in the swale shall be no greater than 4" during the peak of the 2-yr storm, 24-hour storm event.

Prepared by TRC Environmental Corp HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 01824 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Stage-Discharge for Reach SW-13: Swale

Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)	Elevation (feet)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Discharge (cfs)
1.849.00	0.00	0.00	1.849.52	0.46	1.10	1.850.04	0.67	4.30
1.849.01	0.04	0.00	1.849.53	0.47	1.14	1.850.05	0.68	4.38
1.849.02	0.06	0.00	1.849.54	0.47	1.18	1.850.06	0.68	4.47
1.849.03	0.08	0.01	1.849.55	0.48	1.22	1.850.07	0.69	4.55
1.849.04	0.10	0.01	1.849.56	0.48	1.26	1.850.08	0.69	4.64
1,849.05	0.12	0.02	1.849.57	0.49	1.31	1,850.09	0.69	4.73
1,849.06	0.13	0.02	1.849.58	0.49	1.35	1.850.10	0.70	4.82
1,849.07	0.14	0.03	1.849.59	0.50	1.39	1.850.11	0.70	4.91
1,849,08	0.16	0.04	1.849.60	0.50	1.44	1.850.12	0.70	5.01
1.849.09	0.17	0.05	1.849.61	0.50	1.49	1.850.13	0.71	5.10
1.849.10	0.18	0.06	1.849.62	0.51	1.53	1.850.14	0.71	5.19
1.849.11	0.19	0.07	1.849.63	0.51	1.58	1.850.15	0.71	5.29
1.849.12	0.20	0.08	1.849.64	0.52	1.63	1.850.16	0.72	5.39
1.849.13	0.21	0.09	1.849.65	0.52	1.68	1.850.17	0.72	5.48
1,849,14	0.22	0.11	1.849.66	0.53	1.73	1,850,18	0.72	5.58
1.849.15	0.23	0.12	1.849.67	0.53	1.78	1.850.19	0.73	5.68
1,849,16	0.24	0.13	1,849.68	0.54	1.83	1.850.20	0.73	5.78
1.849.17	0.25	0.15	1.849.69	0.54	1.89	1.850.21	0.73	5.88
1.849.18	0.25	0.16	1,849,70	0.54	1.94	1,850.22	0.74	5.99
1,849.19	0.26	0.18	1,849.71	0.55	2.00	1,850.23	0.74	6.09
1,849.20	0.27	0.20	1,849.72	0.55	2.05	1,850.24	0.74	6.20
1.849.21	0.28	0.21	1.849.73	0.56	2.11	1.850.25	0.75	6.30
1.849.22	0.29	0.23	1.849.74	0.56	2.16	1,850.26	0.75	6.41
1.849.23	0.29	0.25	1.849.75	0.56	2.22	1.850.27	0.75	6.52
1,849.24	0.30	0.27	1,849.76	0.57	2.28	1.850.28	0.76	6.62
1,849.25	0.31	0.29	1,849.77	0.57	2.34	1,850.29	0.76	6.73
1,849.26	0.31	0.31	1,849.78	0.58	2.40	1,850.30	0.76	6.85
1,849.27	0.32	0.33	1,849.79	0.58	2.46	1,850.31	0.77	6.96
1,849.28	0.33	0.35	1,849.80	0.58	2.53	1,850.32	0.77	7.07
1,849.29	0.33	0.38	1,849.81	0.59	2.59	1,850.33	0.77	7.19
1,849.30	0.34	0.40	1,849.82	0.59	2.65	1,850.34	0.78	7.30
1,849.31	0.35	0.42	1,849.83	0.60	2.72	1,850.35	0.78	7.42
1,849.32	0.35	0.45	1,849.84	0.60	2.78	1,850.36	0.78	7.54
1,849.33	0.36	0.47	1,849.85	0.60	2.85	1,850.37	0.79	7.65
1,849.34	0.37	0.50	1,849.86	0.61	2.92	1,850.38	0.79	7.77
1,849.35	0.37	0.53	1,849.87	0.61	2.99	1,850.39	0.79	7.89
1,849.36	0.38	0.55	1,849.88	0.62	3.06	1,850.40	0.80	8.02
1,849.37	0.38	0.58	1,849.89	0.62	3.13	1,850.41	0.80	8.14
1,849.38	0.39	0.61	1,849.90	0.62	3.20	1,850.42	0.80	8.26
1,849.39	0.39	0.64	1,849.91	0.63	3.27	1,850.43	0.80	8.39
1,849.40	0.40	0.67	1,849.92	0.63	3.34	1,850.44	0.81	8.52
1,849.41	0.41	0.70	1,849.93	0.63	3.42	1,850.45	0.81	8.64
1,849.42	0.41	0.74	1,849.94	0.64	3.49	1,850.46	0.81	8.77
1,849.43	0.42	0.77	1,849.95	0.64	3.57	1,850.47	0.82	8.90
1,849.44	0.42	0.80	1,849.96	0.65	3.65	1,850.48	0.82	9.03
1,849.45	0.43	0.84	1,849.97	0.65	3.72	1,850.49	0.82	9.17
1,849.46	0.43	0.87	1,849.98	0.65	3.80	1,850.50	0.83	9.30
1,849.47	0.44	0.91	1,849.99	0.66	3.88			
1,849.48	0.44	0.94	1,850.00	0.66	3.96			
1,849.49	0.45	0.98	1,850.01	0.66	4.04			
1,849.50	0.45	1.02	1,850.02	0.67	4.13			
1,649.51	0.46	00.1	1,850.03	0.07	4.21			
			I			1		

# FILTRATION PRACTICE DESIGN CRITERIA (Env-Wq 1508.06)

FILTRATION PRACTICE DESIGN CRITERIA (EIIV-WQ 1500.00)													
Type/Node Name:	:		I	Bio-Retention Area #1									
Enter the ty	pe of filtratic	n practice /	(e.g., bic	pretention sy	ystem) and	the no	de name	in the (	draina	.ge an	alysis,	if ap <sub>l</sub>	plicable
3.7		<u> </u>	1.1								1 5 0 0	0.60	

Yes		Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined systems outlined in Env-W	q 1508.06(b)?
1.01	ac	A = Area draining to the practice1	
0.90	ac	$A_I$ = Impervious area draining to the practice	
0.89	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	
0.85	unitless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)	
0.86	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A	
3,107	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
777	cf	25% x WQV (check calc for sediment forebay volume)	
2,330	cf	75% x WQV (check calc for surface sand filter volume)	
No	one	Method of Pretreatment? (not required for clean or roof runoff)	
-	cf	$V_{SED}$ = sediment forebay volume, if used for pretreatment	$\leftarrow \geq 25\% WQV$
2,735	sf	$A_{SA}$ = surface area of the practice	
2.0	iph	$I_{DESIGN} = design infiltration rate2$	
Yes	Yes/No	If $I_{DESIGN}$ is < 0.50 iph, has an underdrain been provided?	
-	hours	$T_{DRAIN} = drain time = V_{PP} / (A_{SA} * I_{DESIGN})$	<b>←</b> <u>&lt;</u> 72-hrs
1,115.75	feet	$E_{FC}$ = elevation of the bottom of the filter course material	
1,114.92	feet	$E_{UD}$ = invert elevation of the underdrain (UD), if applicable	
1,114.33	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice (i.e., bottom of the stone)	e reservoir).
1,114.00	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	of the test pit)
1,114.00	feet	$E_{ROCK}$ = elevation of bedrock (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	n of the test pit)
0.83	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to }UD}$ = depth to UD from the bottom of the filter course <sup>3</sup>	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
1.75	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to ROCK}} = \text{depth to bedrock from the bottom of the filter course}^3$	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
1.75	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to SHWT}} = \text{depth to SHWT from the bottom of the filter course}^3$	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
0.33	feet	$D_{BTM \text{ to } SHWT}$ = depth to SHWT from the bottom of the practice <sup>3</sup>	<b>←</b> ≥ 2'
	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event (infiltration can be used in a	nalysis)
1,118.00	ft	Elevation of the top of the practice	
-		10 peak elevation $\leq$ Elevation of the top of the practice	← yes
If a surface	e sand filte	r is proposed:	
YES	ac	Drainage Area check.	<b>←</b> < 10 ac
	cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	← ≥75%WQV
	inches	$D_{FC} = $ filter course thickness	← 18"
Sheet		Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
	Yes/No	Access grate provided?	<b>←</b> yes
		The filter shall not be covered in grass. What is covering the filter?	
If an under	rground sa	nd filter is proposed:	
YES	ac	Drainage Area check.	← < 10 ac
	cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	← ≥75%WQV
	inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 24''
Sheet		Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
	Yes/No	Access grate provided?	← yes

#### If a bioretention area is proposed:

YES ac	Drainage Area no larger than 5 ac?	← yes
3,172 cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	$\leftarrow \geq WQV$
18.0 inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 18"
Sheet C-23	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
3.0 :1	Pond side slopes	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 2:1
Sheet C-23	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the planting plans and surface	cover
If porous pavement i	s proposed:	
	Type of pavement proposed (concrete? Asphalt? Pavers? Etc)	
sf	$A_{SA}$ = surface area of the pervious pavement	
- :1	ratio of the contributing area to the pervious surface area	← 5:1
inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 12"
Sheet	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course spec.	← 304.1 sand

1. If the practice is a tree box filter, the drainage area shall be < 0.1 acre

2. Rate of the limiting layer (either the filter course or the underlying soil). See Vol. 2 of the NH Stormwater Manual, Ch. 2-4, for guidance on determining the infiltration rate.

3. If not within a GPA or WSIPA: SHWT/Bedrock must be at least 1 foot below the filter course material (or an underdrain must drain the SHWT to at least one foot below the filter course material). If within a GPA or WSIPA: SHWT must be at least two feet below the bottom of the practice OR the filter course material must be at least twice as thick as required and the SHWT must be at least one foot below the filter course material.

4. Volume without depending on infiltration. The storage above the filter media shall not include the volume above the outlet structure, if any.

5. The volume includes the storage above the filter but below the invert of the outlet structure (if any), the filter media voids, and the pretreatment area.

Designer's Notes:

Due to site (space) constraints, the bio-retention basin was not designed to detain the 10-year event.

## FILTRATION PRACTICE DESIGN CRITERIA (Env-Wa 1508.06)

	monthatement pusition entitlinin (Line wird 1500.00)
Type/Node Name:	Bio-Retention Area #2
Enter the typ	e of filtration practice (e.g., bioretention system) and the node name in the drainage analysis, if applicable
V	
Yes	Have you reviewed the restrictions on unlined systems outlined in Env-Wq 1508.06(b)?
0.99 ac	A = Area draining to the practice1

Yes/No

Access grate provided?

0.99	ac	A – Alea draining to the practice	
0.72	ac	$A_{I}$ = Impervious area draining to the practice	
0.73	decimal	I = percent impervious area draining to the practice, in decimal form	
0.70	unitless	Rv = Runoff  coefficient = 0.05 + (0.9  x I)	
0.69	ac-in	WQV= 1" x Rv x A	
2,515	cf	WQV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	
629	cf	25% x WQV (check calc for sediment forebay volume)	
1,886	cf	75% x WQV (check calc for surface sand filter volume)	
No	one	Method of Pretreatment? (not required for clean or roof runoff)	
-	cf	$V_{SED}$ = sediment forebay volume, if used for pretreatment	$\leftarrow \geq 25\% WQV$
2,050	sf	$A_{SA}$ = surface area of the practice	
2.0	iph	$I_{DESIGN} = design infiltration rate^2$	
Yes	Yes/No	If $I_{DESIGN}$ is < 0.50 iph, has an underdrain been provided?	
-	hours	$T_{DRAIN} = drain time = V_{PP} / (A_{SA} * I_{DESIGN})$	<b>←</b> <u>≤</u> 72-hrs
1,110.75	feet	$E_{FC}$ = elevation of the bottom of the filter course material	
1,109.92	feet	$E_{UD}$ = invert elevation of the underdrain (UD), if applicable	
1,109.33	feet	$E_{BTM}$ = elevation of the bottom of the practice (i.e., bottom of the stone)	e reservoir).
1,108.00	feet	$E_{SHWT}$ = elevation of SHWT (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	of the test pit)
1,108.00	feet	$E_{ROCK}$ = elevation of bedrock (if none found, enter the lowest elevation	n of the test pit)
0.83	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to } UD}$ = depth to UD from the bottom of the filter course <sup>3</sup>	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
2.75	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to ROCK}} = \text{depth to bedrock from the bottom of the filter course}^3$	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
2.75	feet	$D_{FC \text{ to SHWT}} = \text{depth to SHWT from the bottom of the filter course}^3$	<b>←</b> ≥ 1'
1.33	feet	$D_{\text{BTM to SHWT}}$ = depth to SHWT from the bottom of the practice <sup>3</sup>	<b>←</b> ≥ 2'
	ft	Peak elevation of the 10-year storm event (infiltration can be used in a	nalysis)
1,113.00	ft	Elevation of the top of the practice	•
-		10 peak elevation $\leq$ Elevation of the top of the practice	<b>←</b> yes
If a surfac	e sand filte	r is proposed:	
YES	ac	Drainage Area check.	<b>←</b> < 10 ac
	cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	<b>←</b> ≥ 75%WQV
	inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 18"
Sheet		Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
	Yes/No	Access grate provided?	← yes
		The filter shall not be covered in grass. What is covering the filter?	
If an unde	rground sa	nd filter is proposed:	
YES	ac	Drainage Area check.	<b>←</b> < 10 ac
	cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	$\leftarrow \geq 75\% WQV$
	inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 24''
Sheet	-	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	

← yes

#### If a bioretention area is proposed:

YES ac	Drainage Area no larger than 5 ac?	← yes
2,523 cf	V = volume of storage <sup>4, 5</sup> (attach a stage-storage table)	$\leftarrow \geq WQV$
18.0 inches	$D_{FC}$ = filter course thickness	← 18"
Sheet C-23	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course specification	
3.0 :1	Pond side slopes	<b>←</b> <u>&gt;</u> 2:1
Sheet C-23	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the planting plans and surface	cover
If porous pavement is	s proposed:	
	Type of pavement proposed (concrete? Asphalt? Pavers? Etc)	
sf	$A_{SA}$ = surface area of the pervious pavement	
- :1	ratio of the contributing area to the pervious surface area	← 5:1
inches	$D_{FC} =$ filter course thickness	← 12"
Sheet	Note what sheet in the plan set contains the filter course spec.	← 304.1 sand

1. If the practice is a tree box filter, the drainage area shall be < 0.1 acre

2. Rate of the limiting layer (either the filter course or the underlying soil). See Vol. 2 of the NH Stormwater Manual, Ch. 2-4, for guidance on determining the infiltration rate.

3. If not within a GPA or WSIPA: SHWT/Bedrock must be at least 1 foot below the filter course material (or an underdrain must drain the SHWT to at least one foot below the filter course material). If within a GPA or WSIPA: SHWT must be at least two feet below the bottom of the practice OR the filter course material must be at least twice as thick as required and the SHWT must be at least one foot below the filter course material.

4. Volume without depending on infiltration. The storage above the filter media shall not include the volume above the outlet structure, if any.

5. The volume includes the storage above the filter but below the invert of the outlet structure (if any), the filter media voids, and the pretreatment area.

Designer's Notes:

Due to site (space) constraints, the bio-retention basin was not designed to detain the 10-year event.

## Groundwater Recharge Volume (GRV) Calculation

	ac	Area of HSG A soil that was replaced by impervious cover	0.40"
	ac	Area of HSG B soil that was replaced by impervious cover	0.25"
	ac	Area of HSG C soil that was replaced by impervious cover	0.10"
	ac	Area of HSG D soil or impervious cover that was replaced by impervious cover	0.0"
-	inches	Rd = weighted groundwater recharge depth	
	ac-in	GRV = AI * Rd	
	cf	GRV conversion (ac-in x 43,560 sf/ac x 1ft/12")	

# Provide calculations below showing that the project meets the groundwater recharge requirements (Env-Wq 1507.04):

Chapter 2 Section 3 of the "New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 2" states that the Groundwater Recharge Volume (GRV) is calculated by the equation: GRV = (Ai)(Rd) where Ai is the Effective Impervious Area and Rd is the groundwater recharge depth. In addition, Chapter 5 Section 2 of the "New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 1" defines Effective Impervious Area as "the portion of the total impervious cover that is directly connected to the storm drain network."

The stormwater management system proposed for this project is designed to convert concentrated flows to sheet flow and release it overland. No direct connections to a storm drain network are proposed, therefore the effective impervious area and GRV are zero.

# ATTACHMENT D

## Natural Heritage Bureau Memoranda
#### Memo



To: James Kenworthy, Eolian Renewable Energy, LLC 55 Fleet St. Portsmouth, NH 03801

From: Melissa Coppola, NH Natural Heritage Bureau

- **Date:** 3/22/2010 (valid for one year from this date)
- Re: Review by NH Natural Heritage Bureau NHB File ID: NHB10-0644 Project type: Roads, Driveways, Bridges: Road construction, etc.

Town: Antrim Location: Tax Maps: 212-030, 212-027, 212-034, 211-004, 235-014

cc: Kim Tuttle

As requested, I have searched our database for records of rare species and exemplary natural communities, with the following results.

Comments: NHB has concerns about potential impacts to the exemplary natural community. Please send detailed site plans to <u>mcoppola@dred.state.nh.us</u> for further review.

Natural Community	State <sup>1</sup>	Federal	Notes
Inland Atlantic white cedar swamp	7	Ţ	Changes to the hydrology of the wetland are the greatest threat facing the cedar swamp. Damming which causes pooling for extended periods can flood and drown existing trees, and drainage that results in lower water levels can lead to invasion by other species that can out compete and eventually eliminate Atlantic white cedar trees. Increased nutrient input from stormwater runoff could also deleteriously impact this acidic, low-nutrient plant community.
Vertebrate species	State <sup>1</sup>	Federal	Notes
Wood Turtle (Glyptemys insculpta)	SC		Contact the NH Fish & Game Dept (see below).

<sup>1</sup>Codes: "E" = Endangered, "T" = Threatened, "--" = an exemplary natural community, or a rare species tracked by NH Natural Heritage that has not yet been added to the official state list. An asterisk (\*) indicates that the most recent report for that occurrence was more than 20 years ago.

Contact for all animal reviews: Kim Tuttle, NH F&G, (603) 271-6544.

A negative result (no record in our database) does not mean that a sensitive species is not present. Our data can only tell you of known occurrences, based on information gathered by qualified biologists and reported to our office. However, many areas have never been surveyed, or have only been surveyed for certain species. For some purposes, including legal requirements for state wetland permits, the fact that no species of concern are known to be present is sufficient. However, an on-site survey would provide better information on what species and communities are indeed present.



#### NEW HAMPSHIRE NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

DRED - Division of Forests & Lands PO Box 1856 -- 172 Pembroke Road, Concord, NH 03302-1856 (603) 271-2214

To:	Josh Brown, TRC Solutions
From:	Melissa Coppola, NHB-Environmental Information Specialist
Date:	August 3, 2011
Subject:	Antrim Rare Plant Surveys

Thanks for sending the natural community mapping for the proposed Antrim Wind Site. We recommend that you reconsider the classification of the area currently mapped as *high elevation spruce fir forest*. This community is known to occur from 2500 to 4000 feet elevation, well above the elevation of this site.

Based on the information provided we suggest targeting the following rare plant species in the communities listed below.

- rich red oak rocky woods

   sickle-pod (Boechera canadensis)
   smooth rock cress (Boechera laevigata)
   Carolina cranesbill (Geranium carolinianum)
   climbing fumitory (Adlumia fungosa)
- *hemlock beech oak pine forest* small whorled pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*)
  - *red oak pine rocky ridge* Douglas' knotweed (*Polygonum douglasii*) Smooth sandwort (*Minuartia glabra*)
- *red spruce swamp* green adder's mouth (*Malaxis unifolia*)

Should you have any further questions, contact me at 603-271-2215 ext. 323 or at <u>Melissa.Coppola@dred.state.nh.us</u>.

### NHB10-0644



#### NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

Known locations of rare species and exemplary natural communities

Note: Mapped locations are not always exact. Occurrences that are not in the vicinity of the project are not shown.



## New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau - Community Record

## Inland Atlantic white cedar swamp

Legal Status		Conservation Status				
Federal: Not State: Not	listed listed	Global: Not ranked (need more information) State: Critically imperiled due to rarity or vulnerabili	ty			
Description a	t this Lo	ocation				
Conservation Rank:Excellent quality, condition and lanscape context ('A' on a scale of A-D).Comments on Rank:This site is probably the best, largest and most viable remaining cedar swamp in the part of the state. It should remain among the highest conservation priorities in the						
Detailed Desc	ription:	2006: Community observed and photographed. 2004: Community observed and photographed. 1993: <i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i> (Atlantic white cedar) is the dominant with both <i>Acer rubrum</i> (red maple) and <i>Picea rubens</i> (red spruce) present in abundance <i>Picea mariana</i> (black spruce) is scattered and less abundant. Occasionally, <i>Pinus stroit</i> (white pine) and <i>Betula alleghaniensis</i> (yellow birch) are also found. Dominant shrub species are <i>Gaylussacia baccata</i> (black huckleberry), <i>Nemopanthus mucronatus</i> (mout holly), <i>Ilex laevigata</i> (smooth winterberry), and <i>Kalmia angustifolia</i> (sheep laurel). Co boreal components present are <i>Chamaedaphne calyculata</i> (leather-leaf), <i>Gaultheria hispidula</i> (creeping snowberry), and <i>Ledum groenlandicum</i> (Labrador-tea). The herba layer is fairly abundant, although richness is somewhat limited. <i>Osmunda cinnamomete</i> (cinnamon fern), <i>Aralia nudicaulis</i> (wild sarsaparilla), <i>Maianthemum canadense</i> (Cammayflower), <i>Sarracenia purpurea</i> (pitcher-plant) and <i>Carex trisperma</i> (three-seeded s are commonly present. Sphagnum species are abundant. 1990: Has <i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i> (Atlantic white cedar) to 14 inches dbh and a few larger individuals, abundant areas away from streams. <i>Picea mariana</i> (black spruce), <i>Picea rubens</i> (red spruce), <i>Albalsamea</i> (balsam fir), and <i>Acer rubrum</i> (red maple) also occur. Lesser amounts of <i>Piestrobus</i> (white pine).	tree ye. bus ntain ommon ceous a ada ada aedge) t in bies nus			
General Area:		1993: Soil type is a mucky peat, with the peat deposits averaging <1 meter. The soil is permanently saturated with a couple of obvious watercourses present. The pH of the groundwater is quite acidic with a range of 3.8-4.0. 1990: Purest and largest cedar arou open black spruce bog (90 percent, 10-14 inches average range). Other areas 50-80 pe Basin is surrounded by gradually sloping uplands which are punctuated by a number of cliffs. 1961 (Baldwin): a fairly large boggy swamp with <i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i> (Atlawhite cedar). Contains 6 stands of cedar.	und rcent. of small antic			
General Comm	ments:	1997: New community boundaries mapped based on 1993 field work. 1990: Encroach urban development.	hing			
Management Comments:						
Location						

Managed E	By: Loverens Mill Preserve		
County:	Hillsborough	USGS quad(s):	Stoddard (4307211)
Town(s):	Antrim	Lat, Long:	430433N, 0720142W
Size:	51.3 acres	Elevation:	1080 feet
Precision:	Within (but not necessarily restricted	d to) the area indic	cated on the map.
Directions:	From Hillsboro, take Rte. 9 south ca	. 5 miles south to	Holmes Hill Road. Turn right (north) onto
	Holmes Hill. Park on the right imme	diately after cross	sing the bridge over the river, at the TNC
	preserve sign kiosk. After ca. 900 fe	et there will be a g	gravel road on the left. This is the trailhead.
	Take the marked trail on this road, u	p past the old mill	I, and look for a turnoff to the right. Proceed
	down this trail (N-NW). The cedar s	wamp is at the bo	ttom of the basin, to the north.

Survey Site Name: Loverens Mill Cedar Swamp

#### Dates documented

First reported: 1961

Last reported: 2006-06-13

Kimball, Ben, et al. 2006. Field visit to Loverens Mill Cedar Swamp Preserve on June 13.

Sperduto, D. & N. Ritter. 1994. Altantic White Cedar Wetlands of New Hampshire. Environmental Protection Agency, Boston, MA.

## New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau - Animal Record

#### Wood Turtle (*Glyptemys insculpta*)

Legal Status	Conservation Status						
Federal: Not listed State: SC	Global:Apparently secure but with cause for concernState:Rare or uncommon						
Description at this Location							
Conservation Rank: Not ranked Comments on Rank:							
Detailed Description: 2008: Area 11603: 1 adult se seen.2002: Area 12069: 1 ob	en.2006: Area 11693: 1 adult seen.2005: Area 12135: 1 adult served.						
General Area: 2005: Area 12135: Crossing Area 12069: Near cedar swar	highway towards North Branch of Contoocook River.2002: np.						
General Comments: Management Comments:							
Location							
Survey Site Name:Loverens MillManaged By:The Nature Conservancy #2							
County: Hillsborough	USGS quad(s): Stoddard (4307211)						
Town(s): Antrim Size: 84.4 acres	Lat, Long: Elevation:						
Precision: Within (but not necessarily restricted to) the area indicated on the map.							
Directions: 2008: Area 11603: TNC property at a near trail to cedar swamp.	Loverens Mill Road.2002: Area 12069: Loverens Mill property						
Dates documented							
First reported: 2002-07-28	Last reported: 2008-06-01						

## ATTACHMENT E

**Revised Sheet G-2** 

# GENERAL NOTES

- 1. 2 FOOT CONTOURS DEVELOPED FROM AERIAL SURVEY BY JAMES W SEWALL CO, 2011.
- 2. PLANIMETRIC AND TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ARE SHOWN IN NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE PLANE, US-FEET, NAD 83. VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD 1988 US-FEET. SEE DRAWING G-3 FOR PROJECT BENCHMARKS. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION COORDINATES FOR ALL IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED TO THE CONTRACTOR BY THE ENGINEER IN ELECTRONIC FORMAT AFTER NH DES APPROVALS.
- 3. NATURAL RESOURCE DATA, INCLUDING WETLAND DELINEATION BOUNDARIES AND OTHER SENSITIVE **RESOURCES PERFORMED BY TRC. 2011.**

## CLEARING AND STOCKPILING OPERATIONS

- 1. INSTALL PERIMETER EROSION CONTROL MEASURES PRIOR TO SOIL DISTURBANCE.
- 2. EQUIPMENT LAYDOWN AREA AND THE SUBSTATION AREA: CLEAR TIMBER AND BRUSH WITHIN LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE, GRUBBING SHALL BE PERFORMED AFTER ESTABLISHMENT AND STABILIZATION OF TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT DRAINAGE COURSES BUT JUST PRIOR TO PRELIMINARY GRADING; STUMPS SHALL BE GROUND TO GRADE OR REMOVED AND GROUND ON-SITE TO GENERATE EROSION CONTROL MIX (ECM).
- 3. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, BUT NO LATER THAN 45 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTURBANCE. WHERE FEASIBLE, CONTRACTOR OPERATIONS SHALL MAINTAIN THE NATURAL COVER MATERIAL OR USE NATURAL VEGETATIVE BUFFER STRIPS TO AID IN SEDIMENT RETENTION, AND TO REDUCE THE POTENTIAL OF SOIL EROSION
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF DISTURBANCE AT ANY ONE TIME BY STAGING CONSTRUCTION AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL FOR EFFICIENT CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. THE UNSTABILIZED DISTURBED AREA SHALL NOT EXCEED 5 ACRES UNLESS THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ARE MET:
  - SUBMIT DOCUMENTATION THAT THE REQUIRED AREAS OF CUTS AND FILLS ARE SUCH THAT AN AREA OF DISTURBANCE OF 5 ACRES OR LESS WOULD UNREASONABLY LIMIT THE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE
- SUBMIT A CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE PLAN, DEVELOPED BY A QUALIFIED ENGINEER OR A
- CPESC SPECIALIST: AND EMPLOY AN ENVIRONMENTAL MONITOR DURING CONSTRUCTION
- 5. AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABLE IF ONE OF THE FOLLOWING HAS OCCURRED:
  - BASE COURSE GRAVELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
  - A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATED GROWTH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. • A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF NON-EROSIVE MATERIAL SUCH AS STONE OR RIPRAP HAS
  - BEEN INSTALLED. OR, EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS OR EROSION CONTROL MIX HAS BEEN PROPERLY
  - INSTALLED.
  - EXPOSED LEDGE SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABLE.
- ACCESS ROADS, WTG ASSEMBLY AREAS, AND RIDGE ROADS: IN FILL AREAS LESS THAN 5 FEET, CLEAR TIMBER AND BRUSH AND GRUB AS DESCRIBED IN 2 ABOVE. IN FILL AREAS EXCEEDING 5 FEET, GRUBBING AND STUMP REMOVAL IS NOT REQUIRED.
- STRIPPED TOPSOIL SHALL BE STOCKPILED ON-SITE WITHIN DISTURBED AREAS FOR USE IN STABILIZING ACCESS ROAD DITCHES AND FOR FINAL STABILIZATION OF ROAD SHOULDERS, WTG ASSEMBLY AREAS, LAYDOWN AREAS AND SLOPES. AN EROSION CONTROL BARRIER SHALL BE INSTALLED AROUND SOIL STOCKPILES THAT ARE EXPECTED TO REMAIN UNDISTURBED FOR MORE THAN 48 HOURS, OR PRIOR TO A STORM EVENT. THAT BARRIERS SHALL BE ADEQUATELY LOCATED AND REINFORCED TO PREVENT COLLAPSE DURING A STORM EVENT AND THE POTENTIAL SLUMPING OF THE PILE. IF NO ACTIVITY IS SCHEDULED WITHIN 30 DAYS, APPLY HAY AND/OR STRAW MULCH AS SPECIFIED HEREIN, UNLESS DIRECTED OTHERWISE. 4 INCHES OF ECM MAY ALSO BE USED. HAY/STRAW MULCH MAY ALSO BE SUPPLEMENTED BY TEMPORARY SEEDING WITH ANNUAL RYEGRASS AS SPECIFIED HEREIN FOR AREAS WHERE ADDITIONAL ACTIVITY IS NOT EXPECTED FOR SEVERAL MORE WEEKS. APPLY ANCHORED MULCH OR SUPPLEMENTAL SEEDING DURING WINTER CONSTRUCTION.
- 8. STOCKPILE GENERATED ECM ON-SITE WITHIN DISTURBED AREAS.
- 9. REMOVE EXCESS SPOILS FROM SITE THAT WILL NOT BE USED FOR THE FINAL DESIGN AND STABILIZATION.

## CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS ROADS, ASSEMBLY AREAS, RIDGE ROADS & SUBSTATION

- PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE SURVEY CREWS TO ACCURATELY 1 LOCATE ALL IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING ROADWAY CENTERLINES AND LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STAKING AND MARKING AT LOCATIONS WHERE STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE INSTALLED.
- 2. DUE TO DIFFERING SITE CONDITIONS, MINOR HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ADJUSTMENTS, WITHIN PERMIT CONSTRAINTS, MAY BE NECESSARY FOR PROPER CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS. ALL ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.

# CONSTRUCTION OF PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT <u>SYSTEMS</u>

- 1. GRADING TO BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMITTED PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN.
- 2. ONCE FINAL GRADES ARE ACHIEVED, EXPOSED SOIL SURROUNDING THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AS DESCRIBED HEREIN.

## CRANE PAD CONSTRUCTION

- 1. FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION OF THE WTG ASSEMBLY AREA SUBGRADES, BRING CRANE PADS TO COMPACT MATERIAL AS NECESSARY TO THE LIMITS DEPICTED ON CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. MINOR VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ADJUSTMENTS, WITHIN PERMIT CONSTRAINTS, MAY BE NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC SITE CONDITIONS. ALL ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- 2. PORTIONS OF THE WTG ASSEMBLY AREA WITHIN A 50-FOOT RADIUS OF THE TURBINE FOUR CORNERS OF THE WTG LAYDOWN AREA.

## CLEAN-UP & FINAL STABILIZATION

- 1. AT STREAM CROSSINGS, COMPLETE FINAL RESTORATION (FINISH GRADE, SEED AND MULCH) OF DIRECTED OTHERWISE. ALL OTHER AREAS OF EXPOSED SOIL SHALL BE PERMANENTLY RE-VEGETATED OR OTHERWISE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED WITHIN 7 DAYS OF FINAL GRADING.
- CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND OTHER MATERIALS.
- ROADS.

## CONSTRUCTION MONITORING

- AND IF POSSIBLE, DURING ANY 1/2-INCH OR GREATER RAIN EVENT (I.E. 1/2-INCH OF A STORM, THE MONITOR SHALL INSPECT THE SITE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THIS EVENT.
- 3. THE INSPECTIONS SHALL BE FOR THE PURPOSES OF DETERMINING COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERMIT. THE MONITOR SHALL SUBMIT A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE DEPARTMENT WITHIN 24 ANY DEVIATION FROM THE CONDITIONS OF THIS PERMIT AND THE APPROVED PLANS, AND IDENTIFY ANY OTHER NOTED DEFICIENCIES.
- 4. THE MONITOR SHALL PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PERMIT CONDITIONS.
- 5. WITHIN 24 HOURS OF EACH INSPECTION, THE MONITOR SHALL SUBMIT A REPORT TO DES VIA EMAIL (TO CRAIG RENNIE AT: craig.rennie@des.nh.gov AND TO JENNIFER DROCIAK AT: jennifer.drociak@des.nh.gov).

FINISH GRADE WITH 4-INCH MINUS CRUSHED STONE. AREAS TO BE REVEGETATED (ASSEMBLY AREAS, ETC.) MAY BE BROUGHT TO FINISH GRADE WITH SUBGRADE MATERIAL. SPREAD AND

GENERATOR AND THE CRANE PAD SHALL REMAIN AS A PERMANENT DISTURBANCE. ALL OTHER AREAS WITHIN THE WTG ASSEMBLY AREA SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AS DESCRIBED HEREIN. PRIOR TO PERMANENT STABILIZATION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERMANENTLY PIN THE

ALL AREAS WITHIN 100 FEET OF THE WATERBODY WITHIN 48 HOURS OF FINAL GRADING, UNLESS

2. UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, ALL WORK AREAS SHALL BE CLEARED OF

3. SPECIFIC CLEAN-UP REQUIREMENTS TO INVOLVE: REMOVAL OF ALL TEMPORARY WORK TRAILERS; REMOVAL OF MATERIAL & EQUIPMENT; DISPOSAL OF ALL RUBBISH RESULTING FROM CLEARING, CONSTRUCTION, & INSTALLATION; ROUGH GRADING & STABILIZATION OF EMBANKMENTS MADE FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES; FILLING OF ANY EXCAVATIONS; & REPAIRING RUTS IN ACCESS

# WINTER CONSTRUCTION NOTES

FOR WORK PROPOSED DURING THE WINTER SEASON (TYPICALLY NOVEMBER 1 - APRIL 15), THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING PRACTICES:

- A PLAN AND SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE PERMITTEE FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO ANY WORK BEING DONE.
- LIMIT THE TOTAL AREA OF EXPOSED SOIL TO THAT IN WHICH EARTH WORK CAN BE COMPLETED WITHIN 15 DAYS AND MULCHED WITHIN ONE DAY PRIOR TO A SNOW EVENT.
- EXPOSED SOIL MAY BE LEFT BARE FOR NO MORE THAN 15 DAYS. 3.
- MULCH ALL EXPOSED SOIL WHERE NO ACTIVITY IS SCHEDULED WITHIN 7 DAYS AND PRIOR TO 4. A FORECASTED SNOW EVENT OF MORE THAN 1 INCH.
- WHERE PRACTICABLE, MULCH SHOULD BE APPLIED AT THE END OF EACH DAY'S WORK FOR AREAS THAT ARE FINAL GRADED. OTHERWISE, MULCH THE FOLLOWING DAY.
- DO NOT APPLY MULCH OVER MORE THAN 1 INCH OF SNOW.
- HAY OR STRAW MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED AT 140 LBS/1000 S.F. (APPROX., 4 BALES) AND SO THAT THE GROUND SURFACE IS NOT VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.
- ECM IS THE PREFERRED MULCHING MATERIAL AND SHALL BE APPLIED AT A MINIMUM 4 INCH THICKNESS, WITH HIGHER AMOUNTS AS DESCRIBED HEREIN.
- ECM IS THE PREFERRED EROSION CONTROL BARRIER. IF ECM IS NOT AVAILABLE, INSTALLATION OF SILT FENCE ON FROZEN GROUND MAY BE MODIFIED FROM ILLUSTRATIONS AND DETAIL DRAWINGS TO SUBSTITUTE SIX INCHES OF SUITABLE NON-ORGANIC MATERIAL OVER THE BOTTOM OF THE SILT FENCE IN LIEU OF TRENCHING AND BACKFILLING FABRIC.
- A DOUBLE ROW OF EROSION CONTROL BARRIER WILL BE USED WHERE REQUIRED WITHIN 100 10 FEET OF WETLANDS AND WATER BODIES.
- INSPECTION OF EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AND ANY NEEDED REPAIR/REPLACEMENT OF 11. WHICH SHALL OCCUR EACH DAY.
- 12. ALL PROPOSED VEGETATED AREAS THAT DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15, SHALL BE STABILIZED BY SEEDING AND INSTALLING EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1. AND SEEDING AND PLACING 3 TO 4 TONS/ACRE OF MULCH, SECURED WITH ANCHOR NETTING, ELSEWHERE. THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS OR MULCH AND NETTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER ACCUMULATED SNOW OR ON FROZEN GROUND AND SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ADVANCE OF THAW OR SPRING MELT EVENTS.
- 13. ALL DITCHES OR SWALES WHICH DO NOT EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85% VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER OCTOBER 15, SHALL BE STABILIZED TEMPORARILY WITH STONE OR EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS APPROPRIATE FOR THE DESIGN FLOW CONDITIONS.
- 14. AFTER NOVEMBER 15, INCOMPLETE ROAD, SUBSTATION, OR TURBINE PAD AREAS, WHERE WORK HAS STOPPED FOR THE WINTER SEASON, SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF CRUSHED GRAVEL PER NHDOT ITEM 304.3.
- PERMANENT SEEDING IS NOT REQUIRED DURING THE WINTER SEASON; HOWEVER, IF DONE, THE 15. CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR DORMANT SEEDING. THE PERMANENT SEED MIX SHALL BE APPLIED AT THREE TIMES THE STANDARD RATE AND MULCHED. RE-VEGETATION SUCCESS MUST BE INSPECTED BY THE CONTRACTOR IN THE FOLLOWING SPRING (AFTER APRIL 15) AND RE-SEEDED AS NECESSARY IF VEGETATIVE COVER IS LESS THAN 75 PERCENT. ACCEPTANCE OF DORMANT SEEDING AS SUCCESSFUL WILL NOT OCCUR UNTIL AFTER JUNE 1 OF THE FOLLOWING SPRING.

1. THE PERMITTEE SHALL EMPLOY THE SERVICES OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL MONITTOR ("MONITOR") THE MONITOR SHALL BE A CERTIFIED PROFESSIONAL IN EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL OR A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SHALL BE EMPLOYED TO INSPECT THE SITE FROM THE START OF ALTERATION OF TERRAIN ACTIVITIES UNTIL THE ALTERATION OF TERRAIN ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS CONSIDERED STABLE.

2. DURING THIS PERIOD, THE MONITOR SHALL INSPECT THE SUBJECT SITE AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK. PRECIPITATION OR MORE WITHIN A 24 HOUR PERIOD). IF UNABLE TO BE PRESENT DURING SUCH

HOURS OF THE INSPECTIONS. THE REPORTS SHALL, AT A MINIMUM, DESCRIBE WHETHER THE PROJECT IS BEING CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED SEQUENCE, IDENTIFY

CONTRACTOR ON THE APPROPRIATE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS REQUIRED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF RSA 485-A:17 AND ALL APPLICABLE DES

						- ///							
	NO.	REVISION	DATE	BY	СК	P.E. STAMPED BY	P.E. No.	CLIENT APPROVAL	TRC/PMM DESIGNED	PROJEC	T NOTES, LEGENI	) AND ABBREVIATIO	ONS
								APPROVED BY	<u>TRC/KAV</u> drawn <u>TRC/DTB</u> checked		antrim wind e antrim wi	NERGY, LLC NDPARK	
								COMPANY	APPROVED	ANTRIM		NEW HA	MPSHIRE
	CR	EVISED PER DES REVIEW COMMENTS	6/5/12	PMM	<u> </u>	DTB	8105		REVIEWED	ATDO	249 WESTERN AVENUE		REV.
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	BIS	SSUED FOR PERMITTING	1/20/12	PMM	PGT	DTB	8105	DATE		RCIRC	AUGUSTA, ME 04330	G-2	В
	A IS	SSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	12/19/11	PMM	PGT		Tricky - Machine Brieger			SCALE: AS NOTED	DATE: 11-8-11		

# CIVIL ABBREVIATIONS

AR

CB

CO

CY

DR

EL

FM

INF

INV

MH

MW

OD

PC

PS

PT

RD

SQ

UD

UG

VC

AND Ø, DIA DIAMETER NUMBER #. NO APP'D APPROVED TANGENT RIGID STRUCTURE BLDG BUILDING CATCH BASIN CEN CENTER CUBIC FEET PER SECOND CFS CAST IRON CENTERLINE CL, Ç CORRUGATED METAL PIPE CMP CLEANOUT CONC CONCRETE COR CORNER CUBIC YARD DEMO DEMOLITION DER DEAD END RIGID STRUCTURE DMH DRAIN MANHOLE DUCTILE IRON DRAIN DWG DRAWING ECB EROSION CONTROL BERM ECM EROSION CONTROL MIX ELEVATION EMH ELECTRIC MANHOLE FORCE MAIN FEET GAS HDPE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE HYD HYDRANT INCH INFLUENT INVERT LINEAR FEET POUNDS LBS MAX MAXIMUM MANHOLE MINIMUM MIN MONITORING WELL NORTH NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983 NAD83 NAVD88 NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM 1988 N/A NOT AVAILABLE/APPLICABLE NTS NOT TO SCALE OUTSIDE DIAMETER PERFORATED CLAY PSF POUNDS PER SQUARE FOOT PS POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH PRIMARY SLUDGE POINT OF TANGENCY POLYVINYL CHLORIDE PVC R, RAD RADIUS RCP REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE ROOF DRAIN REQ'D REQUIRED SLOPE, SEWER STORM DRAIN SQUARE FEET SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE SMH SQUARE STA STATION T. XFMR TRANSFORMER T/FNDN TOP OF FOUNDATION TBM TEMPORARY BENCH MARK THK THICKNESS TOS TOP OF STRUCTURE TYP TYPICAL UNDERDRAIN UNDERGROUND UGE UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR UTM VITRIFIED CLAY WITH POTABLE WATER WIND TURBINE GENERATOR WTG

FXISTING	LEGEND	PROPOSED
		<u></u>
	PROPERTY LINE	punning og at kantingstad da at En Cuntern
	CENTERLINE	
- Income (1997)	EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
	EDGE OF GRAVEL	
— — — 1050 — — —	CONTOUR	(1050)
	BUILDING	
	STONEWALL	
$\longrightarrow$	TREELINE	$\longrightarrow$
	CHAIN LINK FENCE	0
-======	CULVERT	
	UNDERGROUND FIBER	UGF
	UNDERGROUND 34kV COLLECTOR	UGE
	OVERHEAD 34kV COLLECTOR	OHEOHE
	OVERHEAD TRANSMISSION	
Ø	UTILITY POLE	۲
$\bigtriangleup$	SURVEY CONTROL POINT	
x 1225.5	SPOT ELEVATION	1112.50
	STREAM	
علله علله علله	AN-31 WETLANDS	
2000000	VERNAL POOL	
$\implies$	DRAINAGE FLOW	$\Rightarrow$
	SIGN	
	PLUNGE POOL	
	PERMANENT CHECK DAM	2222
	EROSION CONTROL BARRIER	ECB
	LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE	
050-050-050-050-050.		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
100000000000000000		19979949494949494949494949494949494
	PERMEABLE BASE	
	ROAD CONSTRUCTION	
	BUFFER	
	TURBINE LOCATION	WTG-3

#### Antrim Wind Energy, LLC Site Evaluation Committee No. 2012-001

Request No.:	NHDES-02	Date of Request:	May 23, 2012
Requested By:	Rene Pelletier, PG	Reply Date:	June 14, 2012
Subject:	Subsurface Systems Bureau Individual Sewage Disposal System (ISDS) Application	Witness: David	Pettit

#### **REQUEST:**

1. Please address section c (depth and types of cover) in the enviro-septic manual (2003 edition) to address vehicular traffic requirements for the proposed field.

#### **RESPONSE:**

- 1. Section (c) (depths and types of cover) of the 2003 Enviro-septic Manual requires a minimum of 18 inches of cover, and appropriate venting for the proposed field (detailed in section J of the manual). The plans for the proposed septic design address these requirements. Full-size plans were sent to the Subsurface Systems Bureau on June 4, 2012 containing the following information.
  - Detail D-2 on the proposed plan shows a cover of 34" over the field, and Note 6 of Detail D-2 specifies "Final cover thickness shall exceed 18 inches".
  - Detail D-1 indicates a 3-foot vent on the end of the proposed field, and this is also shown in the plan view.