

Fri 10/25/2013

larrygoodman4@gmail.com

Dear Director Hatfield,

In the event you had not already seen the article cited here, I wanted to bring it to your attention as a worthwhile reference for the valuable work OEP is doing on SB-99 and SB-191.

By cc'ing Tim Drew and Jane Murray, I am requesting this article and this note be filed in the Iberdrola "Wild Meadows" SEC file at the point Iberdrola submits their application to fulfill the PPA they may have with MA.

Thank you again for the valuable and timely work OEP is doing.

Sincerely,

Larry Goodman
Hebron NH

Dear Chairman Borden & STE Committee Members,

Please read this brief article from the Hartford Courant.

http://www.courant.com/business/hc-renewable-energy-approval-20131024_0,2700919.story

Astounding isn't it?

CT and MA are approving PPA's for power that will never even get to their states.

So, NH and ME are being asked to "host" hundreds of fifty and sixty story industrial turbines for, according to this article, absolutely nothing. Remarkably, as the article points out, no one modeled the economic {ratepayer} impact of these industrial wind PPA's before approving them.

Please let me know if I am missing something?

Isn't it time to focus not only on the SEC siting process but also on the underlying cost/benefit models associated with industrial wind? Wind is not renewable.....it is redundant.

Please ask ISO-NE exactly how much base load energy wind "displaces?"

We know it doesn't "replace" any base load power; and I respectfully ask anyone who supports industrial wind to define the cost/benefit metrics around "displacement", the nebulous term wind developers have coined, as it appears increasingly evident, to obscure the economic and environmental truth. Please also ask ISO-NE about specifics on transmission as well.

If this article doesn't whet your interest on that subject, I'd be surprised.

Thank you again for your ongoing time and focus on energy related matters.

Sincerely,

Larry Goodman
Hebron, NH