STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

DOCKET NO. 2015-01

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PHILIP R. SHERMAN, P. E.

June 26, 2015

- 1 Q. Please state your name and address.
- 2 A. My name is Philip R. Sherman and I live at 444 Wilmot Center Road, Elkins, NH 03233-
- 3 0216.
- 4 Q. How are you employed?
- 5 A. I am a consulting fire protection engineer
- 6 Q. What is your educational background and qualifications.
- 7 A. I have an Associate in Science degree from Thames Valley State Technical College in
- 8 Norwich, CT, a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering from the University of New Haven, in
- 9 West Haven, CT and a Master of Science in Fire Protection Engineering from Worcester
- 10 Polytechnic Institute in Worcester MA.
- 11 Q Have you been retained by SEA-3, Inc.?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What did SEA-3, Inc. ask you to do?
- 14 A. Visit the site, generate an initial National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 58 fire
- safety analysis, attend meetings, review design documents for compliance with analysis.
- 16 Q. What is your understanding of the proposed expansion?

- 1 A. My understanding of the expansion is that it involves installing additional railroad
- 2 sidings, fixed tanks and ancillary equipment for the propane transfer operation.
- 3 Q. What is a fire safety analysis?
- 4 A. As used in this context, the fire safety analysis for this project consists of a review of
- 5 proposed conditions against the level of fire safety set forth in the applicable code.
- 6 Q. Can you describe the types of information contained in a fire safety analysis?
- 7 A. A summary of the requirements for a fire safety analysis is as follows:
- Planning for inadvertent LP-Gas release, fire and security breach which is to be
- 9 coordinated with local emergency response agencies
- Planning is to consider safety of emergency response personnel, workers, and the public.
- Evaluate total product control system by taking into consideration:
- o Effectiveness of product control measures
- o Analysis of local conditions of hazard within the site
- o Exposure to or from other properties, population density, and congestion within
- 15 the site
- o Probable effectiveness of fire department
- o Consideration for the adequate application of water or other means to control
- 18 leakage
- Providing special protection if there is a hazard to adjacent structures.
- 20 Q. What standards do you follow when you prepare a fire safety analysis?
- 21 A. The New Hampshire State Fire Code, which adopts by reference NFPA Standard 58,
- 22 Liquified Petroleum Gas Code, 2011 edition, and the Fire Safety Analysis Manual for LP-Gas

- 1 Storage Facilities (FSA Manual), developed by the NFPA and the National Propane Gas
- 2 Association.
- 3 Q. Are there any other recognized standards that you could have followed in preparing
- 4 your analysis?
- 5 A. No, the New Hampshire State Fire Code requires that I generate the fire safety analysis
- 6 specified by NFPA 58. There are no other standards permitted for this analysis.
- 7 Q. Did you visit the site of the proposed expansion?
- 8 A. Yes, on October 11, 2013.
- 9 Q. Did you discuss SEA-3's proposed improvements with any government officials in
- 10 the course of preparing your fire safety analysis?
- 11 A. Yes, I had various discussions with the Newington Fire Department and the New
- 12 Hampshire State Fire Marshal's Office.
- 13 Q. Did you prepare a fire safety analysis for SEA-3's proposed improvements?
- 14 A. Yes, dated November 15, 2013 and a revised version dated March 20, 2014.
- 15 Q. I've provided you with a document marked PRS Exhibit 1, can you identify this
- 16 document?
- 17 A. Yes, it's a copy of my fire safety analysis dated November 15, 2013, that I prepared for
- 18 SEA-3.
- 19 Q. I've provided you with a document marked PRS Exhibit 2, can you identify this
- 20 document?
- 21 A. Yes, it's a copy of my revised fire safety analysis dated March 20, 2014.
- 22 Q. What is the the difference between PRS Exhibit 1 and PRS Exhibit 2?

- 1 A. In PRS Exhibit2, the March 20, 2014 Analysis, I clarified that the second access road
- would be added and I also included an updated site plan.
- 3 Q. Based on the information that you reviewed what was your conclusion with respect
- 4 to whether the proposed improvements comply with NFPA 58?
- 5 A. My conclusion was that the preliminary design for the proposed plant expansion is in
- 6 accordance with NFPA 58, 2011 edition, subject to the comments in the preliminary analysis.
- 7 Q. What comments are you referring to?
- 8 A. The preliminary analysis reviews information presently available, and assumes that the
- 9 details of the final design will be in accordance with the requirements of the code, but this cannot
- 10 be reviewed until the final design proceeds.
- 11 Q. When the final design of the facility is completed will SEA-3 need to obtain any
- 12 permits or approvals from the Town of Newington or the State of New Hampshire to
- demonstrate that its final design complies with NFPA 58?
- 14 A. Yes, once the final design of the facility is completed a review of the design documents
- will be conducted against NFPA 58, and the analysis finalized. The finalized analysis will then
- 16 be submitted in connection with the necessary permit applications. My involvement relates
- primarily to the approval of local fire and building authorities.
- 18 Q. Your fire safety analysis was dated March 20, 2014, prior to the Newington
- 19 Planning Board's vote to approve SEA-3'S application for sit plan approval?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you since had an opportunity to review SEA-3's approved site plan since you
- signed your fire safety analysis dated March 20, 2014?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Was there anything shown on the approved site plan that would cause you to change
- 2 the conclusions stated in your March 20, 2014, fire safety analysis?
- 3 A. No.

Exhibit List

Exhibit PRS 1 - Fire Safety Analysis Dated November 15, 2013

Exhibit PRS 2 - Fire Safety Analysis Dated March 20, 2014