Steven E. Patnaude, LCR 12 Keneval Avenue Boscawen, NH 03303

Telephone: 603-796-2596 Email: **spatnaude@tds.net**

December 14, 2015

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator N.H. Site Evaluation Committee 21 South Fruit Street Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429

RE: Replacement Transcript - SEC 2015-02 Antrim Wind (11-18-15)

Ms. Monroe:

It has come to my attention that the Transcript of the meeting of the SEC Subcommittee in SEC 2015-02 held on November 18, 2015 had an incorrect Caption on the first page (cover page) of the transcript. Therefore, after consultation with you, I am submitting this *replacement transcript* of the November 18, 2015 meeting with the appropriate caption. Please note that there are no other changes, substantive or otherwise, to this replacement transcript other than the caption.

I apologize for any inconvenience that this may have caused. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven E. Patnaude, LCR

1	STATE OF 1	NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	SITE EVALUA	TION COMMITTEE
3	November 10 2015 1.01 m m	
4	November 18, 2015 - 1:01 p.m Public Utilities Commission	
5	21 South Fruit Street Suite Concord, New Hampshire	10
6		
7		DOCKET NO. 2015-02
8	App.	RIM WIND ENERGY, LLC: lication of Antrim Wind
9	of a	rgy, LLC for a Certificate Site and Facility.
10	to	eting of Subcommittee members determine whether the
11	suf	lication as filed contains ficient information to carry
12	out	the purposes of RSA 162-H.)
13		
14		
15		
16	PRESENT FOR SUBCOMMITTEE:	SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE:
17		Public Utilities Commission
18	(Presiding as Presiding Officer)	
19	Cmsr. Jeffrey Rose	Dept. of Resources & Economic Development
20	-	Div. of Historical Resources
21	Michael Ladam, Designee Patricia Weathersby	Public Utilities Commission Public Member
22		
23	COURT REPORTER: Steve:	n E. Patnaude, LCR No. 052
24		

```
1
 2
 3
     ALSO PRESENT FOR THE
     SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE:
 4
     Counsel to the Committee:
                                   Michael J. Iacopino, Esq.
 5
                                   (Brennan, Caron, Lenehan &
                                   Iacopino)
 6
 7
     SEC Administrator:
                                   Pamela G. Monroe
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS BY:	
5	Commissioner Scott	5
6	Mr. Iacopino	7
7		
8	* * *	
9		
10	MOTION BY MS. WEATHERSBY to accept the Application as complete and authorize counsel	8
11	to prepare a written order finding the application to be complete	
12	SECOND BY MR. LADAM	9
13	VOTE ON THE MOTION	9
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

{SEC 2015-02} [Public meeting] {11-18-15}

1 PROCEEDING

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good morning,
everybody. Just for the audience, my guess is a lot of
people are here actually for the rulemaking meeting, and
that will happen after this meeting, which is on the
Application of Antrim Wind Energy, LLC. Good afternoon.
My name is Robert Scott. I'm a Public Utilities
Commissioner here for New Hampshire. I have been
designated as the Presiding Officer of this Subcommittee.
This Subcommittee sits on Docket Number 2015-02, the
Application of Antrim Wind Energy, LLC, for a Certificate
of Site and Facility to site and construct and operate an
electrical generation facility consisting of nine direct
drive wind turbines and associated civil and electrical
infrastructure. The entire project will be located in the
northwestern portion of the Town of Antrim.

At this point, I'd like to allow the members of the Subcommittee to introduce themselves. I will note for the record that Eugene Forbes, the DES designee, and Roger Hawk, our public member for the Committee, were not able to attend, but they are on the Subcommittee.

Commissioner Rose.

COMMISSIONER ROSE: Good afternoon.

{SEC 2015-02} [Public meeting] {11-18-15}

```
1
       Jeff Rose, Commissioner of the Department of Resources and
 2
       Economic Development.
 3
                         DR. BOISVERT: Richard Boisvert, Deputy
 4
       State Historic Preservation Office, Division of Historical
 5
       Resources.
 6
                         MR. LADAM: Michael Ladam, Director of
 7
       Regulatory Innovation and Strategy at the Public Utilities
 8
       Commission.
 9
                         MS. WEATHERSBY: Patricia Weathersby,
10
       public member.
11
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. Seeing
12
       we have a quorum, we will proceed.
13
                         In Docket Number 2014-05, the Site
14
       Evaluation Committee issued an order asserting
15
       jurisdiction over the project as proposed by Antrim Wind
16
       Energy, LLC. In that order, Antrim wind was required to
17
       file a complete application on or before January 24th,
18
       2016. Antrim Wind, LLC filed the Application in this
19
       docket on October 2nd, 2015. And, on October 20th, 2015,
       pursuant to RSA 162-H:4-a, the Chairman of the Committee
20
21
       appointed this Subcommittee.
22
                         The purpose of our meeting here today is
23
       to determine whether the Application as filed contains
```

sufficient information to carry out the purposes of RSA

24

162-Н.

Copies of the Application were forwarded to state agencies with permitting and regulatory authority. To date, we have received responses from the Department of Transportation, the Division of Historical Resources, the Natural Heritage Bureau of the Department of Resources and Economic Development, and the Department of Environmental Services, the Water Division in particular. And, of late, we recently received a letter also from the Fire Marshal's also. Thank you.

At this point, it appears that each state agency has conducted a preliminary review and has advised the Subcommittee that the Application is complete from the perspective of the agency.

In addition to the responses from various state agencies, the Subcommittee is obliged to perform its own review of the Application to "ascertain if the application contains sufficient information to carry out the purposes of 162-H."

The authority for our proceeding today is RSA 162-H:4 and 162-H:7. As mentioned, we've received preliminary reviews through correspondence from, again, the Division of Historical Resources, the Department of Environmental Services, the Department of Transportation,

the Fire Marshal's Office, and the Department of Resources and Economic Development.

I'd also like to note that the Counsel for the Committee, Attorney Iacopino, to my right, has provided the Subcommittee with a 108 element checklist that goes over both what's required to be contained within the application by statute and what's required under the current administrative rules. I'd like to give Attorney Iacopino, as counsel for the Committee, an opportunity to comment on that checklist in this case.

MR. IACOPINO: Most of you, well, actually, maybe not, some of you have seen before the checklist that we use. It is a compilation of the requirements that are contained in RSA 162-H and in our current administrative rules, and is something that I use in order to go through the Subcommittee — to go through the application to determine if all of the components that are required for an application are present. And, I've provided that, that checklist to you. It appears, from my review of the Application at least, that all of those components are present. We also — I also look at, and I'm sure you all look at, the Application on a substantive basis, to make sure that, you know, if something is said to be in there or, if it's in the Application, it's not

```
1
       written in Latin or something like that. And, based upon
 2
       that review, I've provided a checklist for you all to make
 3
       your own independent determination as to whether or not
       this Application contains sufficient information to carry
 4
 5
       out the purposes of your enabling statute.
 6
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you, Attorney
 7
       Iacopino.
 8
                         I'll now open the floor to Committee
 9
       discussion of whether the Application contains sufficient
10
       information for us to carry out the purposes of 162-H.
11
       Are there any comments from the members of the Committee?
12
                         [No verbal response]
13
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Hearing none, I
14
       would entertain a motion. Would anybody like to make a
15
      motion?
16
                         MS. WEATHERSBY: Mr. Chairman, I've
17
       reviewed the Application, including its attachments, and
18
       I've reviewed the correspondence from the various state
       agencies, including the Division of Historical Resources,
19
20
       Department of Environmental Services, Department of
21
       Transportation, the Natural Heritage Bureau of the
22
       Department of Resources and Economic Development, and the
23
       letter from the Fire Marshal. All of these agencies
24
       reported that the Application appears now to be complete
```

```
for their review. Based upon my own independent review, I
 1
       believe the Application comports with all of the
 2
 3
       requirements contained in RSA 162-H for an application
 4
       such as this, and also complies with our current
 5
       administrative rules.
 6
                         Therefore, I move that we accept the
 7
       Application as complete and authorize counsel to prepare a
 8
       written order finding the Application to be complete.
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Do I have a second?
 9
10
                         MR. LADAM: I so second.
11
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. Any
12
       discussion?
13
                         [No verbal response]
14
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. We'll move
15
       forward with a vote. All in favor, please say "aye"?
16
                         [Multiple members indicating "aye".]
17
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Any opposed?
18
                         [No verbal response]
19
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. Then, the
20
       Application is accepted as complete. Thank you for the
21
       Committee.
22
                         Moving forward, I believe there will
23
       be -- a procedural schedule will be developed, and that
24
       will include, for those who have done this before, public
```

```
hearings in the community, as well as a site visit, as we
 1
 2
       move forward.
 3
                         Any questions from the Committee?
 4
                         [No verbal response]
 5
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Hearing none, this
       concludes this meeting of the Site Evaluation Committee on
 6
 7
       Docket Number 2015-02. Thank you.
 8
                          (Whereupon this meeting of the
                         Subcommittee in SEC Docket 2015-02 was
 9
10
                         adjourned at 1:08 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```