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PROCEEDINGS
MR. IACOPINO: Good evening,
folks. We're here for the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee Public Information Session in Docket No. 2015-05, the Joint Application of New England Power Company and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource Energy, for a Certificate of Site and Facility for the Merrimack Valley Reliability Project. My name is Michael Iacopino. I have been appointed to administer this proceeding tonight. I am the outside counsel to the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee. To my right is Pamela Monroe, our brand new administrator for the Site Evaluation Committee.

The agenda for tonight is going like this: We're going to start off with a presentation from me, on behalf of the Committee, to tell everybody what the Committee does and how we do it. That presentation will be followed by a presentation from the Applicants, and then we will take questions. Everybody should be
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aware that if you have questions, there are comment cards or question sheets that you can get at the back table. If you write out your questions, we will keep them together by categories, and we will -- once we have them at the front table, we'll ask the representatives from the Company or myself or Pam to answer your questions, depending upon what they pertain to, whether they pertain to how the Committee operates or whether they pertain to specifics about the Project. Once we have gone through all the questions that are asked, we'll open the floor. There is a microphone in front of me. We'll open the floor to anybody who has or wishes to make public comment on the proposed project. Please remember we're keeping a record. We have a court reporter here. If you have the opportunity to speak, please speak slowly. When you identify yourself, please spell your name so that we can get it all in the record. And also, for the record to be complete, I'm going to give a brief description of the project and the process.
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On August 5th, 2015, New
England Power, doing business as National Grid, and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource Energy, filed a Joint Application for Certificate of Site and Facility with the Site Evaluation Committee. The application seeks the issuance of a Certificate of Site and Facility for a new 345 kV electric transmission line which will be constructed in an existing, developed transmission line corridor between New England Power Company's Tewksbury 22A Substation, in Tewksbury, Massachusetts, and Public Service Company of New Hampshire's Scobie Pond 345 kV substation in Londonderry, New Hampshire. This corridor traverses the towns of Pelham and Hudson in Hillsborough County, and Windham and Londonderry in Rockingham County. On October 5th, 2015, the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee found that the application that was filed by the two applicants contained sufficient information for the Committee to carry out
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}
the purposes of R.S.A. 162-H. And they accepted the application, and that's why we're here tonight to begin the public information process.

Also present with us here tonight is Counsel for the Public from the Attorney General's Office, Christopher Aslin. His role is to represent the public in these proceedings. And I'll defer to him to make a little -- to introduce himself and give you the background of how he operates once we get into the presentation here.

So what I'm going to do is, I do have a PowerPoint here, and we're going to -- I don't have a clicker, so I'm going to speak from the here. But basically I'm going to go through this PowerPoint to help you all understand exactly what the Site Evaluation Committee does. R.S.A. 162-H is the statute which creates and enables the Site Evaluation Committee. The purpose of R.S.A. 162 is to basically do a balancing act, to balance the benefit and impacts of energy facilities -which transmission lines are considered to be
an energy facility -- to balance those benefits and impacts on these very important criteria that the Legislature has identified: The general welfare of the population, private property, the location and growth of industry, economic growth, the environment, historic sites, aesthetics, air and water quality, natural resources, and public health and safety. Another purpose of the statute is to avoid undue delay in the construction of new facilities. Another purpose of the statute is to provide full and complete disclosure, transparency, so that the public knows what is going to be -- what is proposed to be constructed and what the impacts and benefits of the facility are. And finally, to ensure that the construction, operation and siting of energy facilities is treated as an aspect of land-use planning in all concepts involving environmental, technical issues, economic issues, that they all get resolved in an integrated fashion. In essence, the Site Evaluation Committee is a statewide planning board for energy
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facilities. And, in fact, the Site Evaluation Committee's process does integrate a number of different permitting processes, and it preempts local ordinances and authority. In other words, the planning board or zoning board in any particular town, although the Site Evaluation Committee listens to what their input may be, the Site Evaluation Committee has the final authority on approving the site and construction and operation of an energy facility. Some people have referred to the process as the "supermarket" theory or "one-stop shopping," because what happens is when an Applicant files for a Certificate of Site and Facility, the idea behind the Site Evaluation Committee is they go to one place for the purpose of getting all the approvals that they need. The Site Evaluation Committee is made up of three PUC commissioners, the Commissioner of DES, Commissioner of the Department of Transportation, the Commissioner of the Department of Resources and Economic Development, the Cultural Resources

Commissioner, or the Director of the Division of Historical Resource -- and usually it's the director that is involved -- and two public members, one of whom must be an attorney and one of whom must have expertise. In fact, one of our public members is seated in the audience, Roger Hawk. I would appreciate if nobody tried to ask him any questions because he's not permitted to have ex parte communications with people who may have an interest in the application. So that's what the Site Evaluation Committee membership is made up of statutorily. Oh, and there is an alternate public member, too. In the event the one of our public members cannot participate, we have an alternate member who is then charged with participating. The Committee today for the Merrimack Valley -- I'm sorry. The Committee consists today of these folks, and those are the same offices that I've already discussed. Marty Honigberg is the Chair of the PUC. Tom Burack is the DES Commissioner. And these are the other people who hold
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}
offices that make them members of the Site Evaluation Committee. And there is a copy, if anybody wants a copy of this PowerPoint, there's a printed copy on the table in the back.

The Subcommittee that is going to decide whether to grant or deny the application in this particular docket is made up of folks who have been appointed by members of the Committee. First of all, the Site Evaluation Committee can operate in subcommittees. Anytime that an application is filed, the Chairman of the Committee has the authority to appoint a subcommittee. For purposes of an application, that subcommittee must consist of at least seven members. Each one of the members, who is a state commissioner or state office holder who sits on the Site Evaluation Committee, does also have the discretion to appoint a senior administrator from their agency to sit in their place. And that's been done in a couple of our seats for this particular application. And on the Merrimack Valley
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Reliability Project Subcommittee, Anne Ross, who's general counsel -- that's the top-dog lawyer at the Public Utilities Commission -will sit as the chairman of our subcommittee, and she was appointed by the Chairman Honigberg to take that position. Commissioner Bailey from the Public Utilities Commission will be on the subcommittee;

Commissioner Rose from DRED will be on the subcommittee; Commissioner Burack from the Department of Environmental Services appointed Michelle Roberge, who is the SIP administrator. And I forget what SIP stands for.

MS. MONROE: State
Implementation Plan.
MR. IACOPINO: State
Implementation Plan administrator.
MS. MONROE: She's in the Air
Resources Division.
MR. IACOPINO: So she will be sitting for Commissioner Burack.

Richard Boisvert, who is our state archeologist and has sat on a number of
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Site Evaluation Committee dockets in the past, will be sitting for the Division of Historical Resources for Elizabeth Muzzey. And then our two public members, Mr. Hawke and Patricia Weathersbee, will serve on this subcommittee as well.

We also have a statutorily appointed Counsel for the Public. Anytime an application is filed and accepted by the Site Evaluation Committee, the Attorney General is required to appoint a senior attorney general to serve as Counsel for the Public. Not to the public, for the public. Counsel for the Public. I got it wrong there. And his or her job is to represent the public in seeking to protect the quality of the environment and to assure an adequate supply of energy.

Counsel for the Public becomes a party to the proceedings, just like the Applicants are parties to the proceeding. He or she can participate to the full extent allowed by law in the proceedings.

Mr. Aslin, I'm going to ask
you to introduce yourself. And if you want
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to say a few words about -- actually, you might want to come up to the mic. Want to say a few words about who you are, where you come from and how people can get in touch with you?

MR. ASLIN: Good evening. So as you heard, I'm Chris Aslin from the Attorney General's Office. I'm an assistant attorney general. I've been appointed as Counsel for the Public in this proceeding. And as you see, the statute defines my role as representing the interests of the environment and seeking to assure an adequate supply of energy. So it's a dual role there. Not for or against projects, but to make them as the best they can be, and if need be, change them or interpose them if we feel that that project does not meet the standards for the state. My role is to represent the public as a whole, not any individual person's interests. So if you have particular interests that are specific to you as an individual and you wish to participate in the process to bring that interest forward, you might perhaps consider
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being an intervenor and having your own counsel. But as far as the public interest as a whole, that is my role to represent as an independent party in this docket. I'm not connected with the Applicant or with the Committee. And I am available to hear any concerns that any member of the public has at any time. I can give you my contact information after the meeting if you're interested. And it's also available through the docket, where my appearance has been entered in the docket in this proceeding. So I'm happy to speak to anyone and hear your concerns. And other than that, $I$ will be participating in the docket as we go forward. MR. IACOPINO: Thanks, Chris. Okay. So now, the next slide is the one that really lays out the process for you. And it's green and white. It's in the printed-out materials in the back as well so you can take it home. But I'm going to go over this one in a little bit of detail. The Site Evaluation Committee is very, very much time- and deadline-based.
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There are a number of things that have to happen. Quite frankly, this slide and this chart I actually have pinned up next to my desk because it's very helpful to know what's coming next and what has to occur in any one of these dockets. Some of you may have attended a meeting very much like this before the application was filed with the Site Evaluation Committee. That's called the Pre-Application Public Information Session. And all applicants that seek to build a energy facility or transmission line have to have such a public information session in each county in which the facility is going to exist. And that has to occur at least 30 days before they file with the Committee. That was done in this case. Once an application is filed, the chairman of the Committee forwards that application to each state agency that would normally have jurisdiction on the project. So, for instance, if it wasn't an energy facility, but let's say a Wal*Mart, okay, the

Department of Environmental Services might
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have permits that they have to grant. Well, same thing applies here. So what happens is, Chairman Honigberg, in this particular case, forwarded the application to a number of state agencies that would otherwise have jurisdiction. Most of those agencies are within the Department of Environmental Services, but they also include the Department of Transportation and the Division of Historical Resources. And the idea is he's supposed to do that right away so that the state agencies that have the expertise can weigh in on whether or not an application is complete. There's also a preliminary review that's conducted by the Committee itself, and we did do that on October 5th at a public meeting, and we determined on October 5th that the application was complete. The time line is we're supposed to do that within 60 days of filing, and I believe that we did do that in this particular case. The chairman can designate a subcommittee. That was done in this case. And he can do that anytime once an
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application is accepted. And then this is where we are today.

After the application has been filed and accepted, there has to be one public information session in each county within 45 days after the acceptance of the application. There's our hearing today, and then we have one next week in Hillsborough County, in Pelham. And the purpose of today's public information session is so that you hear not only from the Applicant, which you will in a moment, but also from representatives from the Committee, myself and Ms. Monroe, and, of course, Counsel for the Public as well.

Within 90 days after
acceptance, the Committee itself, or the Subcommittee in this case, has to hold a hearing, where the Committee members are actually present. And that will be done -it hasn't been scheduled yet in this particular docket, but it will be scheduled. And that's a joint hearing. There will also be representatives of other state agencies
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}
who will be present for that hearing.
Within 150 days after the Committee has accepted an application, state agencies have to submit what we call "draft reports." Basically, they're reports that say we would accept this, we don't accept this, or we need these conditions, or we need more information. And they have to propose final conditions and decisions for the Site Evaluation Committee within 240 days after the acceptance of the application.

So, all time frames began on October 5th with the acceptance of the application. After 240 days have gone by and we have the agency final decisions, the Committee undertakes what we call adjudicative hearings, just like in court, like what you see on TV. There actually will be the parties to the case -- we'll probably use the hearing room at the Public Utilities Commission. It's the one that works the best. And the parties will be there. There will be witnesses on the witness stand; they'll be cross-examined. There may be
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opening and closing statements. And the Committee will then deliberate and decide whether or not to grant the application or to deny the application. That decision granting or denying the application has to be complete within 365 days from the acceptance of the application. If you look at that, that means that really a lot of the hard work that the Committee members have to undertake is right in here, between Day 240 and Day 365. If any of you have ever seen one of the decisions that generally come out of the Site Evaluation Committee when an application is either accepted -- either granted or -- the certificate is either granted or denied, you'll know that they are very lengthy orders. They often exceed a hundred pages. They have numerous attachments to them, including things like air permits with conditions, wetlands permits, things like that. It is quite an undertaking, and it's got to be done in a relatively compressed period of time. So, those are the time frames, and
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that's the process that the Site Evaluation Committee will use as we go through determining whether to grant or deny the certificate in this particular docket.

The state agencies -- there are state agencies involved in our process, particularly those that would otherwise grant permits. As I said before, one of the things that you can compare it to is a large development in your town. Usually an applicant who wants to build a large development in any city or town will have to go to various state agencies and get various permits. That's all done in the Site Evaluation Committee, under the umbrella of the Committee. And the state agencies have these duties and obligations, and basically it is -- they can determine whether or not there's enough information provided. They can review the application and provide what we call "draft permit terms or conditions," in other words, suggestions for the Committee, as to what the Committee should require. And that's, as I said before,
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that's done within 150 days of the acceptance of the application. So that gives everybody, the Applicant and anybody else interested in the project, the information that they need to know as to what might be required of the Applicant. The state agencies will identify issues of concern with respect to the application, or they could notify the Committee, Hey, we don't have any problem with this particular application. If there are issues of concern, state agencies get to present their own witnesses and have them appear before the Committee to provide their input and also to answer any questions from other parties. They can be cross-examined like any other witness. And one new thing in the statute that's only come into effect in the last year is that, if the Committee intends to impose some certificate conditions that are different than a particular state agency has required, we have to give the state agency the opportunity to come in and advocate for essentially what their view on that is, and to advise us as to whether or
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not those conditions that the Site Evaluation Committee is suggesting, different than what the said agency wanted, are in conformity with the law.

What you have here is you have a situation where you have a pretty broad state agency broadly constructed, and they're using the expertise of the various state agencies in order to make this very important decision on whether or not an energy facility should be allowed in any particular place.

There's a lot of stuff that goes into an application before it's even filed with the Committee. I'm sure the Applicant may talk more about this. But there's all of these various considerations that any Applicant is going to have before they come to the Committee. One is, can the grid take the power that we're proposing to generate, or should -- is our transmission facility necessary for reliability? That's all done through the ISO-New England, which is the Independent System Operator. In all of our cases, we see environmental and resource
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studies about what impact will any particular aspect of the project have on the environment, fish and wildlife. Applicants will engage in pre-permitting meetings with the various agencies, both federal and state, to find out in advance what kind of problems are we going to have if we cite a facility in a particular area. They should meet with the regional planning commission and with the towns or cities obviously where they intend to construct the facility. If they're -- the companies in this particular case are transmission companies. But if they were power generators, obviously you'd want them to meet with the transmission company beforehand to make sure that they can carry the load. They negotiate power purchase agreements. They have to get financing. They have to determine if they're eligible for tax credits. There's a lot of stuff that goes into an application before it even gets to the Site Evaluation Committee. And then the Site Evaluation Committee's role is to determine if, in balancing the impacts and
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benefits, a particular application should be granted or denied.

This is the application in this particular case. As you can see, it's pretty large. I believe it's five volumes. And that's about an average-size application that comes before the Site Evaluation Committee. An application must have certain requirements. It must contain certain information, must have enough information to satisfy the state agencies, and it has to have all of the forms that you would normally fill out. In addition, the Applicant also has to pay all the fees, so that if there is a wetlands permit, they have to pay the fee for the wetlands permit. So they don't avoid the costs by coming to the Site Evaluation Committee. And these are in the handout. I'm not going to go through each and every one of them. But it's a fairly comprehensive requirement of what must be included in an application. That five-volume photograph that $I$ have there contains all this stuff and more.
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The last one is to provide such additional information as the Committee may require to carry out the purposes of the statute. Well, we do have regulations that are in addition to the statute, and those regulations have additional requirements that the applicants must fulfill in their application.

There are a number of ways for public participation. First of all, as you know, Counsel for the Public, I think that's his correct telephone number up there, or at least it'll get you to the switchboard and you can track Mr. Aslin down. In addition, there's the prefiling public information sessions, one of which we're having today. I'm sorry. The prefiling ones, those were held previously. The post-filing public hearing sessions, which we're having today and we'll have another one next week in Pelham. There will be that post-filing joint public hearing in each county. We'll have two of those, one in Rockingham and one in Hillsborough County. Again, at each one of
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}
these hearings, the public gets to participate. You get to participate by asking questions. You get to participate by giving public comment.

Cities and towns can request additional informational meetings to be held, and we have done that on the Committee. And finally, we take written public comment at any time while the case is still pending. So, anytime that you wish to provide a written comment, you just simply go on our web site. And you'll get the address where you can send written public comment to. You know what I just realized? I forgot to put the web site up here.

Finally, the way that some people decide they want to intervene, the way they want to participate in the adjudicative proceedings, is to actually intervene. That means you become a party, just like Mr. Aslin is a party, just like New England Power and Public Service are parties to the proceeding. If you become a party to the proceeding by intervention, you can call your own
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witnesses. You can cross-examine the witnesses for the other folks. However, in order to intervene, you have to satisfy the -- well, in this case, it would be Ms. Ross -- that you have rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests that may be affected by the proceeding and that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings, will not be impaired by your participation. Basically what that means is that you have some interest above and beyond what a member of the general public would have and you can participate without bogging things down, basically. So those are the ways that the public can participate in our proceedings.

Ultimately, the Committee will make a determination as to whether the application and the evidence provided in support of the that application satisfies the statutory requirements of Section 16 of our statute, which means that the Committee will determine whether or not the Applicants have sufficient
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managerial, financial and technical capability; whether or not, taking into account consideration municipal and regional planning commissions and boards and bodies, whether or not there will be any undue interference on the development of the region. And the Committee will determine whether or not there are any unreasonable adverse impacts on the environment, wildlife, aesthetics, historic sites, public health and safety; and finally, whether or not the granting of the certificate in any particular case is consistent with the public interest. That's the criteria that the Committee uses. It is a lengthy -- it is a somewhat lengthy process. But when you consider what the Committee is charged with doing, it is a very important process. And hopefully a year from today the Applicant in this case will know what the outcome of this particular case is, as well everybody else.

If anybody ever has any questions, you can always give me a call. The web site for the Site Evaluation Committee is
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www.nhsec.nh.gov. I'm sorry. I should have put it up there. I should have put it on the handout. It will be on the handout in Pelham.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Repeat that, please.

MR. IACOPINO: Www.nhsec.nh.gov.
So, with that, the next part of the night is going to be a presentation to be put on by the Applicant. Anybody who has any questions that are developing in your mind that you wish to ask either of the Committee or of the Applicant, please write them down and hand them to the folks in the back. They will get them up to the table so that they can be answered. What we're going to try to do is categorize them so that if there's three people asking the same question, they only need to answer it one time. And we'll do that after the presentation by the Applicant. And then, after we've gone through all the questions, anybody who wishes to make any public comments about the proposed project or the
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process will be able to come up to the microphone. There is a sign-in sheet in the back so that we can get your name right, and then we'll have you come up and make whatever public comment you would like to make.

All right. So I guess at this point, if we could have the representatives of the Applicant up here. And I have to give you this microphone.

MR. JIOTTIS: Good evening.
I'm Jim Jiottis. I'm the transmission manager for New Hampshire Eversource. With me tonight is Bryan Hudock. Bryan is the project manager for this project from National Grid.

I'd like to run through a quick little PowerPoint describing the project with a little bit of background. Some of it will be repetitive to what Mike talked about it. Some of it might be a little bit new.

First off, $I$ just want to re-emphasize our commitment to working with the public. Open forms of communication, we really want to get the public's input. We
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really do value the public's input. We have been -- this is our second information session, as Mike talked about. We've also been meeting with a lot of folks along the project route. We want to continue that project through the whole application. We want to hear from folks. We want to hear their suggestions, their comments, their concerns. At the end of this PowerPoint, there is a web site, another web site to go to, to get ahold of folks. There's some phone numbers so you can get ahold of folks. There's people here you can see after the meeting to talk to.

So, real quickly, MVRP, it's
an acronym for Merrimack Valley Reliability Project. This is the project we're talking about. The project is constructing a new transmission line, $345 \mathrm{kV}, 345,000$ volts. It starts at Scobie Pond Substation in Derry [sic], New Hampshire, runs down to a National Grid substation in Tewksbury, Massachusetts. The line is being constructed in existing rights-of-ways. Some of the rights-of-ways
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are National Grid, some of them are Eversource. They've been in use as right-of-ways, some of them dating back from the 1930s to as recent as, say the 1960s. They've been around for a while, they're already in use. If you look at these right-of-ways today, you'd see a number of lines in there. When we talk about a transmission line, it's -- I want to separate it from the lines you see on the street. The lines that feed your house are distribution lines. The transmission lines that we're planning to build are designed to move power from one point to another, large amounts of power; so, in other words, this line will be built to bring power from Massachusetts to New Hampshire and from New Hampshire to Massachusetts. Really, no stops in between. It's kind of an express route. You might want to think of it in terms of, say, you know, I-93 between New Hampshire and Massachusetts. It's moving a lot of, in our case, electricity either north/south or south to north.
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Just going over the route of the project, a little more description of it and a little bit of the background, why the project's needed.

I don't think it's a surprise to anybody. If you look around Southern New Hampshire, Northeastern Massachusetts, this whole area is growing. We're coming back from the recession. Things are picking up. We're seeing a lot of jobs opening up. We're seeing companies moving in. With any kind of growth comes the need for infrastructure to support that growth. And that's really what this project is all about is to support what's going on here.

How we come up with project is that ISO-New England -- and Mike referenced them in his presentation -- they're in charge of looking at the entire transmission system in New England. They're responsible for the operation and the planning of that system. So they're always looking out and saying, okay, more load comes in, what happens? If new generation comes in, what happens? And
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then they look at the pessimistic side of it; you know, what happens if I lose this line? What happens if this generator can't start today? They're charged with taking all this information and making sure the lights stay on, no matter what happens. So the way this project came about is it looked at those criteria. They looked at load growth. They looked at taking lines out of service. And the conclusion was that the way we sit today, we don't have enough transmission capacity to ensure that the lights stay on for all the different scenarios that may happen. And that's really what's driving this project. It's all about reliability. This isn't -it's a need that's today. If things were to go south, were to go terribly wrong, without this line we could end up with problems on our system. We could end up with overloads, we could end up with power outages for folks. And it could be severe. It's not just the outage in your back yard. This would be an outage over a larger area. So when ISO, when they came up with this, they identified this
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problem, they go out to the various stakeholders involved and say, okay, come up with a solution. In this case, National Grid and Eversource worked together to come up with a solution to say here's how we want to fix the problem. We want to build a transmission line, and we want to do it in an existing right-of-way. We want to do it with as much -- as minimal impact -(Court Reporter interrupts.)

MR. JIOTTIS: Anyway, ISO-New England came to National Grid and Eversource, and we proposed a solution that we felt was going to have minimal impact but maximum benefit; in this case, it was a new transmission line. And ISO looked at a couple other solutions out there, and they decided that our proposal was the best proposal, the most effective to address the problems.

So, a little description about
the line. As I mentioned before, it runs from Scobie Pond, our substation at Scobie Pond, which is in Derry [sic], New Hampshire, down to Tewksbury. It uses an existing
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right-of-way. This slide gives you a little bit of a breakdown of the miles per town. It impacts four towns in New Hampshire.

A little bit about the investment. It's not an inexpensive project, by any stretch. We're talking a $\$ 123$ million investment, and that's spread over the four towns.

It's roughly 24-1/2 miles, including some of the sections down in Massachusetts. We're planning to start construction in 2016. You'll notice the asterisks on that. That's all dependent on this process that we're in now. If it goes according to plan, as Mike talked about, that 365 days, we'll be able to start in '16. If something hiccups in that, the start of construction will be pushed out. We're looking to have it in service by 2017. Again, that's dependent on when we start. The benefits to this, as I discussed earlier, it's really about reliability. It's about strengthening the transmission system. The transmission system
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is the backbone for everything we have in New England. That's what gets power from Point A to Point B. That's what allows generators in New Hampshire to supply their customers, whether they're New Hampshire or whether they're in Massachusetts. This project is all about strengthening that, looking at, well, you know, if we have a bad day and a line comes out of service, we still keep the lights on, that type of scenario.

Also, in addition to the
reliability benefit, it provides some ancillary benefits. What really stands out is that $\$ 82$ million investment in New Hampshire. That's an investment into the communities where the line's going to pass through. It also creates jobs, both construction jobs, temporary jobs, and also the support crews for that. We have crews that will come in to build the line. They're going to need places to stay, places to eat, all those types of things.

The final slide here is really
just a review, again, of some of the items
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}

Mike talked about. It shows you the timetable. It shows you where we are today. I guess the key takeaway from this slide, though, are those green arrows, where we're looking for public input, opportunities for public input. As I mentioned earlier, those are form of public input. We're always available by a phone call, by e-mail. If you have a specific question, get in touch with us and we can answer your question. This is our web site for the project. You can go online and you can submit questions there. You can read about the project there. There's more information. There's links to get additional information. It's just another opportunity. With that, I guess we'll go on to the next phase.

MR. IACOPINO: Do we have any written questions?

COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE: We don't have any back here. I know there are a couple people who had taken forms to fill out questions, but I have not gotten anything back to me.
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MR. IACOPINO: Does anybody have any written questions they want to submit? We can take -- all right. Why don't we just bring them right up here to the table. (Pause in proceedings)
MR. IACOPINO: Okay. The first question is: What is the schedule for a community applying to be an intervenor in the docket?
There is a procedural order -do you have that?
MS. MONROE: Yes, I do. Yes. It's November 13th.
MR. IACOPINO: November 13th, 2015 is the deadline for the filing of interventions for everybody, whether it's a community, an individual who believes that they have a particular interest that is implicated.
The second question we have is: Are there quantitative measures justifying the project?
And I'll turn that question over to you all because that's about your project.
\{SEC 2015-05\} [PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION] \{10-29-15\}

MR. JIOTTIS: Sure. I'll take that. Again, my name is Jim Jiottis.

I guess quantitative measures,
I guess I'm not totally sure exactly what you're looking for. But I'll start heading down the road, and let me know if I'm on track or off track.

When we looked at this, we
looked at how much power can flow across our system. In basic terms, there's only a set number of lines that go from northern New England to southern New England. They all cross New Hampshire and go south in Massachusetts. Those lines, if you added up their capacity, they have a capacity of X-value. Say, for example, it's 1,000. What we look at, okay, if I need 1,000 in Massachusetts or I need a 1,000 in New Hampshire, if I start taking those lines out -- say there's five lines, each one of those lines is 200 -- if I take those lines out, I no longer have that 1,000 capacity. I've got to make that up somewhere. So the load might only be 500, so I can take out a
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couple lines and it'll be okay. But I can't take that next line out. And that's really the quantitative analysis behind this, is that we looked at how much was on either side, and if we start taking lines out, there's some point where you just don't have enough to be able to ensure power can move back and forth. I don't know if that's --

MR. IACOPINO: Sure. Go ahead.
Just come up to the mic, though, so that people can hear you. I guess in terms of -(Court Reporter interrupts.)

MR. IACOPINO: Tell us your name, please, and spell your last name.

MR. KOVACS: My name is Mark
Kovacs, K-O-V-A-C-S. I was really asking questions about has someone done a probability analysis, in terms of failures that have occurred in the New England area and why this particular route would be subjected to higher failure rates or could not handle the routine failures. I guess, so a more probabilistic question.

MR. JIOTTIS: Sure. Actually,
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our ISO-New England folks really don't use a probabilistic model. They look at if it did happen. A lot of these items we talk about, using multiple transmission lines, are low probability. But the problem is that they're high impact if they do happen. Generally, our transmission system is a very robust system. Even during some of the ice storms, our transmission system really remains intact.

But that's not to say -- something could happen, something catastrophic. And, you know, when we have an ice storm, it's awful for the individual customer. I mean, we've all been through it. But when you start losing transmission lines or transmission systems, you lose large areas. So if you think about, you know, if you were to lose power to your house, you could go to a hotel because there's a hotel somewhere to go to. If we were to have a large transmission problem, there may not be that hotel to go to because that hotel may not have the power. In this case, they really don't -- it's not a probable. It's not what are the odds of it
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happening. We're just being conservative. As utility engineers, we tend to be that way. We look at, well, this could happen. It used to be that those things never happened. But I think if you look in the newspapers recently and watch the news, they have happened in other parts of the country. Luckily for us, you know, we think it's because of the job we do. But stuff happens. If you look around, this happened in California. If you remember back in 2005, we lost a large chunk of the Northeast and things fell apart. So, you know, they're probably low-probability items, but when they happen, they're high-impact items. So I'm not sure if that's -MR. KOVACS: Okay. MR. IACOPINO: Do we have any other questions? Yes, sir.

MR. THOMAS: Hi, I'm
Representative Doug Thomas from Londonderry. I just have a question. I understand that this is a reliability project. If memory serves me correctly, New Hampshire's portion to pay for this project runs about 9 percent
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maybe, based on its power. So, have you determined yet how much of that 9 percent will be passed on to the customers, in terms of commercial, residential and town type of cost-per-kilowatt basis?

MR. HUDDOCK: So, that's
correct. My name's Bryan Hudock, from National Grid. And as you were saying, the way that transmission funding works, it goes through a pool that's regionally funded. So this project, even though it's constructed in New Hampshire and in Massachusetts, it actually comes out of a regional pool, where ratepayers all the way from Rhode Island, Massachusetts and New Hampshire, the entire region pays for it. And as you mentioned, New Hampshire's portion is approximately 9 percent of the regional pool right now. So when we did the calculations, it is an item that shows up on everyone's bill in New England, as far as the transmission portion of that. And this project, while it's big, 'cause it's spread out over such a large area, has a very significant effect on the overall bills. So
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we calculated it would be a couple dollars per ratepayer annually is what we're expecting.

MR. THOMAS: Can I follow up?
MR. IACOPINO: Sure.
MR. THOMAS: As a ratepayer, do you mean there's different rates for commercial, residential, and I believe town as well --

MR. HUDDOCK: Right. So that calculation was based on more your typical residential ratepayer, as far as that goes.

MR. THOMAS: And that $\$ 2$ figure
was like the total for the entire year?
MR. HUDDOCK: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. IACOPINO: Do we have any
other questions, or does --
MS. HUARD: I want to speak.
MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Well,
that's what I was going to move on to. Does anybody wish to make any public comment?

Okay. Did you sign in?
MS. HUARD: I did.
MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Why don't
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you come up. And please make sure you tell us who you are and spell your last name.

MS. HUARD: My name is Peggy
Huard. It's H-U-A-R-D. I wanted to draw your attention -- I've made several comments to the docket. But I wanted to highlight a few of my points tonight, not only for the Committee, the Applicant, but also for the audience.

First and foremost, this row is in competition for a high-pressure, fast-moving, natural gas pipeline project. They're proposing to put their 30-inch pipeline in the same row that you're talking about putting this fifth transmission line. I want to emphasize that a transmission line is not a single wire. It is a series of the same transmission towers we see now next to four already overbuilt transmission row. There are two other alternatives that are not going to potentially undergo this pipeline project. Your reason for considering our row was cost. You don't seem to be factoring in a lot of costs, such as the consecutive construction between your construction and
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the pipeline construction. If you could explain how the pipeline is going to coordinate with your project, the fifth transmission line and/or the already four existing transmission lines. These transmission lines and towers are long-range, high-voltage transmission lines. My understanding is we have some that come all the way from Canada, or are affiliated with the Canadian hydropower, and go all the way down to Massachusetts, to some place that will lower the voltage and then come back up to us and everybody else.

So I guess with that, I'd like to know, Scobie Pond and Tewksbury are two substations. To my knowledge, substations don't generate power. So, what power plant is going to feed this wire? Existing ones? Are we moving power from other lines to this line? Or is it going to be coordinated with one of several new proposed power plants such, as the Salem Harbor natural gas power plant?

It is claimed that the need
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comes from an evaluation made by ISO-New England. I've looked at the daily reports on ISO-New England's web site, and I'm having a hard time, because they take off -- the grid is supposed to generate 30,000 , I'm going to say I think it's megawatts --

MR. IACOPINO: Megawatts.
MS. HUARD: -- and they're
taking off half, maybe 10,000 of that before they import from other places. Maybe I'm missing something. I want to know why aren't they using these 10,000 that our grid is able to produce, and how is that affecting -- how does that pertain to this project?

I have a question on the engineering drawings and bring it to your attention and ask you guys. I took a picture of it. What is the area, the horizontal width that your towers will take up? And now a new road will have to be made because your existing driveway that you use to drive your trucks up to take care of the trees and the power lines will now be gone from this new transmission line. They'll have to put in a
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new road with it, $I$ would imagine.
On the engineering drawing,
there is a scale. There's a horizontal scale that shows two blocks to be 200 feet and the vertical scale to be 40 feet. Then, when you look at the drawing of the transmission towers that are going to go into the row, one of the -- I live on David Drive, by the way, the demarcation where the -- the point of demarcation. So one side has a tower that looks like it's going to be 200 feet, which can't be, but it's taking up two blocks. And a 200-feet tower would be outrageous, or you're coming in with something astronomical. And the other one looks like it's supposed to be 100 feet. So I need some clarification for that scale.

And I'll close with this:
Instead of new lines, a new transmission or power generation, maybe we should look at ISO-New England and their ability to manage our electricity. Thank you.

MR. IACOPINO: Is there anybody else that wanted to make any public comment?
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(No verbal response)
MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Well,
hearing none --
MR. JIOTTIS: Could I just make one clarification on a statement $I$ made?

MR. IACOPINO: Sure.
MR. JIOTTIS: Earlier, when I said that Scobie Pond was in Derry, New Hampshire, it's actually in Londonderry. It straddles the town line. But the portion that this is going to be connected to is on the Londonderry side. So $I$ just wanted to make that clear. Thanks.

MR. IACOPINO: Anybody else wish to make a comment, ask a question of either the Committee or the Applicant?
(No verbal response)
MR. IACOPINO: Well, hearing none, we can all go watch the Patriots.

MS. MONROE: Thank you all for coming.

MR. IACOPINO: Thank you.
(Whereupon the Public Information
Session was adjourned at 7:05 p.m.)
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| $40: 21$ | links (1) | 29:1 | 14:2,24;16:24; | necessary (1) |
|  | 39:14 listens (1) | Mark (1) $42: 15$ | $22: 5 ; 31: 19 ; 33: 19$ | $23: 21$ |
| K | listens (1) | 42:15 | $41: 24$ | need (13) |
|  | 9:8 litte (10) | Marty (1) | Mike (5) | $9: 18 ; 14: 16 ; 19: 6,7$ |
| keep (2) $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 38 \cdot 9$ | little (10) <br> $7 \cdot 10 \cdot 15 \cdot 22 \cdot 31 \cdot 16$ | $10: 22$ | $31: 18 ; 32: 3 ; 34: 17$; $37 \cdot 15 \cdot 39 \cdot 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 4 ; 30: 19 ; 34: 12 \\ & 35: 16 ; 38: 21 ; 41: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:4;38:9 | 7:10;15:22;31:16, | Massachusetts (13) | 37:15;39:1 | 35:16;38:21;41:17, |
| $5: 17$ | $37: 1,4$ | $18,22 ; 34: 7 ; 37: 11$ | mines $37: 2,9$ | needed (1) |
| key (1) | live (1) | 38:6;41:14,18;45:12, | million (2) | 34:4 |
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| negotiate (1) | 6:20;17:16,18; | 26:3;34:22;35:9; | passed (1) | places (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:17 | 19:12 | 36:1,17;37:18;38:9, | 45:3 | 38:21,21;49:10 |
| New (48) | odds (1) | 13;39:22;41:20,22, | past (1) | Plan (3) |
| 4:3,6,7,13,15;6:1,3, | 43:24 | 24;42:2,5;45:13,23 | 13:2 | 12:16,18;37:15 |
| 9,12,15,16,20;8:11; | off (5) | outage (2) | Patricia (1) | planning (8) |
| 22:16;27:21;31:12, | 4:18;31:21;41:7; | 35:22,23 | 13:5 | 8:19,24;9:5;24:9; |
| 20;32:18,21;33:17, | 49:4,9 | outages (1) | Pause (1) | 29:4;33:13;34:21; |
| 17,21;34:6,20,24; | Office (3) | 35:20 | 40:5 | 37:11 |
| 36:15,23;37:3;38:1,4, | 7:7;11:18;14:8 | outcome (1) | pay (3) | plant (2) |
| 5,14;41:11,12,13,18; | offices (2) | 29:20 | 25:14,15;44:24 | 48:17,23 |
| 42:19;44:23;45:12, | 10:21;11:1 | outrageous (1) | pays (1) | plants (1) |
| 15,16,20;48:21; | often (1) | 50:13 | 45:16 | 48:21 |
| 49:20,23;50:1,19,19 | 20:17 | outside (1) | Peggy (1) | Please (7) |
| news (1) | once (5) | 4:13 | 47:3 | 5:17,19,20;30:6, |
| 44:6 | 5:5,11;7:11;16:17; | over (6) | Pelham (4) | $13 ; 42: 14 ; 47: 1$ |
| newspapers (1) | 17:24 | 15:22;34:1;35:23; | 6:17;18:9;26:21; | point (6) |
| 44:5 | one (30) | 37:7;40:23;45:23 | 30:4 | 31:7;33:14;38:2,3; |
| next (9) | 9:17;10:4,5,6,15; | overall (1) | pending (1) | 42:6;50:9 |
| $15: 17 ; 16: 3,5 ; 18: 8$ | 11:17;15:18,22;16:5; | 45:24 | 27:9 | points (1) |
| $26: 20 ; 30: 8 ; 39: 17$ | 18:4,8;19:21;20:11; | overbuilt (1) | people (9) | $47: 7$ |
| 42:2;47:17 | 21:8;22:16;23:18; | 47:18 | 9:11;10:10,24; | Pond (5) |
| night (1) | 25:20;26:1,16,20,23, | overloads ( | 14:4;27:16;30:18; | 6:15;32:20;36:22, |
| 30:9 | 23,24;30:19;33:14; | 35:19 | 32:13;39:22;42:11 | $23 ; 48: 15$ |
| nobody (1) | 41:20;48:21;50:7,10, | own (3) | per (2) | pool (3) |
| 10:8 | 15 | 15:1;22:12;27:24 | 37:2;46:1 | 45:10,13,18 |
| normally (2) | ones (2) |  | percent (3) | population (1) |
| 16:20;25:12 | 26:17;48:18 | P | 44:24;45:2,17 | 8:4 |
| north (1) | one-stop (1) |  | perhaps (1) | portion (3) |
| 33:24 | 9:13 | pages (1) | 14:24 | 44:23;45:17,21 |
| north/south (1) | online (1) | 20:17 | period (1) | position (1) |
| 33:23 | 39:12 | Pam (1) | 20:23 | 12:6 |
| Northeast (1) | only (6) | 5:8 | permit (3) | post-filing (2) |
| 44:12 | 18:11;22:17;30:19; | Pamela (1) | 21:21;25:15,16 | 26:18,21 |
| Northeastern (1) | 41:10,24;47:7 | 4:14 | permits (5) | potentially (1) |
| $34: 7$ | open (3) | part (1) | 17:1;20:19,20; | 47:20 |
| northern (1) | 5:13,14;31:23 | 30:8 | 21:8,14 | Power (24) |
| 41:11 | opening (2) | parte (1) | permitted (1) | 4:6;6:2,12;23:19 |
| notice (1) | 20:1;34:10 | 10:10 | 10:9 | $24: 14,17 ; 27: 21$ |
| 37:12 | operate (1) | participate (9) | permitting (1) | $33: 13,15,16 ; 35: 20$ |
| notify (1) | 11:11 | 10:16;13:21;14:23; | 9:3 | $38: 2 ; 41: 9 ; 42: 7$ |
| 22:8 | operates (2) | $27: 2,2,3,18 ; 28: 14,16$ | person's (1) | 43:18,22;45:1;48:17, |
| November (2) | 5:10;7:11 | participating (2) | 14:20 | 17,19,21,22;49:23; |
| 40:13,14 | operation (3) | 10:18;15:15 | pertain (4) | 50:20 |
| number (8) | 8:17;9:11;34:21 | participation (2) | 5:9,9,11;49:1 | PowerPoint (5) |
| 9:3;12:24;16:1; | Operator (1) | 26:10;28:11 | pessimistic (1) | 7:14,17;11:3; |
| 17:4;26:9,12;33:7; | 23:23 | particular (20) | 35:1 | 31:16;32:9 |
| 41:11 | opportunities (1) | 9:6;11:8,23;14:21; | phase (1) | Pre-Application (1) |
| numbers (1) | 39:5 | 17:3,22;18:22;21:4; | 39:17 | $16: 10$ |
| $32: 12$ | opportunity (3) | 22:10,20;23:11;24:1, | phone (2) | preempts (1) |
| numerous (1) | 5:19;22:22;39:16 | 8,12;25:1,4;29:12,20; | 32:12;39:8 | 9:4 prefing (2) |
| 20:18 | order (3) | $40: 18 ; 42: 20$ | photograph (1) | prefiling (2) |
| 0 | 23:9;28:3;40:10 | particularly (1) 21:7 | $25: 22$ | $26: 15,17$ |
|  | 28:9 | parties (5 | 34:9 | 17:14 |
| obligations (1) | orders (1) | 13:20;19:19,22 | picture (1) | pre-permitting (1) |
| 21:17 | 20:17 | 22:15;27:22 | 49:17 | 24:4 |
| obviously (2) | ordinances (1) | parts (1) | pinned (1) | present (4) |
| 24:10,14 | 9:4 | 44:7 | 16:3 | 7:5;18:20;19:1; |
| occur (2) | otherwise (2) | party (5) | pipeline (5) | 22:12 |
| 16:5,15 | 17:5;21:7 | 13:18;15:4;27:20, | 47:11,13,20;48:1,2 | presentation (7) |
| occurred (1) | out (22) | 21,23 | place (4) | 4:19,22,23;7:12; |
| 42:19 | $5: 3 ; 6: 24 ; 15: 18$ | pass (1) | $9: 17 ; 11: 22 ; 23: 11$ | 30:9,21;34:18 |
| October (4) | 20:12;24:6;25:13; | 38:16 | $48: 11$ | pretty (2) |
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| 23:6;25:4 | 5:16;8:14;30:24; | rates (2) | 14:12 | route (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| previously (1) | 36:13;48:21 | 42:21;46:6 | request (1) | 32:5;33:19;34:1; |
| 26:18 | proposing (2) | read (1) | 27:5 | 42:20 |
| printed (1) | 23:19;47:12 | 39:13 | require (2) | routine (1) |
| 11:4 | protect (1) | real (1) | 21:24;26:3 | 42:21 |
| printed-out (1) | 13:16 | 32:15 | required (3) | row (5) |
| 15:20 | provide (5) | realized (1) | 13:11;22:5,21 | 47:9,13,18,21;50:7 |
| private (1) | 8:12;21:20;22:13; | 27:14 | requirement (1) | RSA (3) |
| 8:5 | 26:1;27:10 | really (15) | 25:21 | 7:1,19,21 |
| privileges (1) | provided (2) | 15:18;20:8;31:24; | requirements (3) | run (1) |
| 28:6 | 21:19;28:20 | 32:1;33:18;34:13; | 25:9;26:6;28:22 | 31:15 |
| probabilistic (2) | provides (1) | 35:14;37:22;38:13, | residential (3) | runs (3) |
| $42: 22 ; 43: 2$ | 38:12 | 23;42:2,16;43:1,9,23 | 45:4;46:7,11 | 32:21;36:21;44:24 |
| probability (2) | Public (57) | reason (1) | resolved (1) |  |
| 42:17;43:5 | 4:4,7;5:16;6:3,14; | 47:21 | 8:22 | S |
| probable (1) | 7:3,6,8;8:8,13;10:4,6, | recent (1) | Resource (2) |  |
| $43: 24$ | 15,16;12:3,7;13:4,8, | 33:4 | 10:2;23:24 | safety (2) |
| probably (2) | 12,13,13,14,15,18; | recently (1) | resources (6) | 8:9;29:11 |
| 19:19;44:13 | 14:10,19;15:2,7; | 44:5 | 8:8;9:23,24;12:20; | Salem (1) |
| problem (5) | 16:10,13;17:17;18:5, | recession (1) | 13:3;17:10 | 48:22 |
| 22:9;36:1,6;43:5, | 10,15;19:20;26:9,11, | 34:9 | respect (1) | same (5) |
| 21 | 15,18,22;27:1,4,8,13, | record (3) | 22:7 | 10:21;17:2;30:18; |
| problems (3) | 21;28:13,16;29:10, | 5:17,21,22 | responsible (1) | 47:13,17 |
| 24:6;35:18;36:19 | 13;30:23;31:5,23; | re-emphasize (1) | 34:20 | sat (1) |
| procedural (1) | $39: 5,6,7 ; 46: 21 ; 50: 24$ | $31: 22$ | review (3) | $12: 24$ |
| $40: 10$ | public's (2) | referenced (1) | $17: 15 ; 21: 20 ; 38: 24$ | satisfies (1) |
| proceeding (7) | 31:24;32:1 | 34:17 | Rhode (1) | 28:21 |
| 4:12;13:20;14:10; | PUC (2) | referred (1) | 45:14 | satisfy (2) |
| 15:12;27:22,23;28:8 | 9:20;10:23 | 9:12 | Richard (1) | 25:11;28:3 |
| proceedings (7) | purchase (1) | region (2) | 12:23 | saying (2) |
| 7:9;13:19,22; | 24:17 | 29:7;45:16 | right (9) | $34: 22 ; 45: 8$ |
| 27:18;28:10,17;40:5 | purpose (5) | regional (4) | 4:14;17:11;20:9; | scale (4) |
| process (12) | $7: 21 ; 8: 9,11 ; 9: 17$ | $24: 9 ; 29: 3 ; 45: 13,18$ | $31: 3,6 ; 40: 3,4 ; 45: 18$ | 50:3,3,5,17 |
| 5:24;7:4;9:2,12; | 18:9 | regionally (1) | 46:9 | scenario (1) |
| 14:23;15:18;21:1,6; | purposes (3) | 45:10 | right-of-way (2) | 38:10 |
| 29:16,18;31:1;37:14 | 7:1;11:15;26:3 | regulations (2) | 36:8;37:1 | scenarios (1) |
| processes (1) | pushed (1) | 26:4,6 | right-of-ways (2) | 35:13 |
| 9:3 | 37:18 | relatively (1) | 33:3,7 | schedule (1) |
| produce (1) | put (6) | 20:22 | rights (1) | 40:7 |
| 49:13 | 27:14;30:2,2,10; | Reliability (8) | 28:5 | scheduled (2) |
| Project (37) | $47: 12 ; 49: 24$ | 4:10;12:1;23:21; | rights-of-ways (2) | 18:21,22 |
| $4: 10 ; 5: 11,16,24$ | putting (1) | 32:16;35:15;37:23; | 32:24,24 | Scobie (5) |
| $12: 1 ; 14: 17 ; 16: 21$ | 47:14 | 38:12;44:22 | road (3) | 6:15;32:20;36:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 4 ; 24: 2 ; 30: 24 \\ & 31: 13,14,17 ; 32: 5,6 \end{aligned}$ | Q | $\begin{gathered} \text { remains (1) } \\ 43: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:6;49:20;50:1 } \\ & \text { Roberge (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 ; 48: 15 \\ & \text { seated (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17,17,18;34:2,14,16; |  | remember (2) | 12:12 | 10:6 |
| 35:7,14;37:5;38:6; | quality (2) | 5:17;44:10 | robust (1) | seats (1) |
| 39:11,13;40:22,24; | 8:8;13:16 | Repeat (1) | 43:7 | 11:23 |
| 44:22,24;45:11,22; | quantitative (3) | 30:5 | Rockingham (2) | second (2) |
| 47:11,21;48:3;49:14 | 40:21;41:3;42:3 | repetitive (1) | 6:19;26:23 | 32:2;40:20 |
| projects (1) | quick (1) | 31:18 | Roger (1) | Section (1) |
| 14:15 | 31:16 | reporter (3) | 10:7 | 28:22 |
| project's (1) | quickly (1) | 5:18;36:10;42:12 | role (6) | sections (1) |
| 34:4 | $32: 15$ | reports (3) | 7:8,14:11,14,19; | 37:10 |
| prompt (1) | Quite (2) | 19:4,5;49:2 | 15:3;24:23 | seeing (2) |
| 28:9 | 16:2;20:21 | represent (4) | room (1) | 34:10,11 |
| property (1) |  | 7:8;13:15;14:19; | $19: 20$ Rose (1) | seek (1) |
| 8:5 | R | 15:3 | Rose (1) | 16:11 |
| proposal (2) |  | REPRESENTATIVE (2) | 12:9 | seeking (2) |
| $36: 18,18$ | ratepayer (3) | 39:20;44:20 | Ross (2) | 13:15;14:13 |
| propose (1) | 46:2,5,11 | representatives (4) | 12:1;28:5 | seeks (1) |
| 19:8 | ratepayers (1) | 5:7;18:13,24;31:7 | roughly (1) | 6:8 |
| proposed (5) | 45:14 | representing (1) | 37:9 | seem (1) |
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| 47:22 | 17;27:12,15;29:23, | 5,13,16;22:6,11,20, | 38:19 | time- (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| send (1) | 24;32:10,10;39:11; | 2;23:6,8;24:5;25:11 | supposed (4) | 15:24 |
| 27:13 | 49:3 | statements (1) | 17:11,19;49:5; | timetable (1) |
| senior (2) | sites (2) | 20:1 | 50:15 | 39:2 |
| 11:20;13: | 8:7;29 | statewid | sure (10) | today (12) |
| separate (1) | siting (1) | 8:24 | 23:14;24:16;35:5; | 10:18,20;18:2,7; |
| 33:9 | 8:18 | statute | :1,4;42:9,24; | 26:16,19;29:19;33:7 |
| series (1) | sits (1) | :19;8:9,12;14:11 | :15;46:4;47:1 | 35:4,10,16;39:2 |
| 47:16 | 11:18 | 22:17;26:4,5;28:22 | surprise (1) | today's (1) |
| serve (2) | sitting | statutorily (2) | 34:5 | 18:10 |
| 13:5,12 | 12:22;1 | 10:14;13:7 | switchboard | together (2) |
| serves (1) | situation | statutory (1) | 26:13 | 5:4;36:4 |
| 44:23 | 23:6 | 28:21 | System (10) | Tom (1) |
| Service | slide (5) | stay (3) | 23:23;34:19,21; | 10:23 |
| $4: 7 ; 6: 3,14 ; 27: 22$ | 15:17;16:2;37: | 35:5,12;38:2 | 35:19;37:24,24; | tonight (6) |
| 35:9;37:19;38:9 | 38:23;39:3 | still (2) | $41: 10 ; 43: 7,7,9$ | 4:12,17;7:3, |
| Services (3) | slowly (1) | 27:9;38 | systems (1) | 31:12;47:7 |
| $12: 11 ; 16: 24 ; 17:$ |  | stops (1) | 43:16 | took (1) |
| Session (6) | solution | 33:18 |  | 49:17 |
| 4:5;16:10,13;1 | 36:3,5,13 | stor | T | top-dog (1) |
| 10;32:3 | solution | sto |  | 12:2 |
| sessions (2) | 36:17 | storms | table (5) | total (1) |
| 26:16,19 | someone | 3:8 | 3,6;11:4;30:1 | 46:13 |
| set (1) | 42:17 | street | 40:4 | totally (1) |
| 41:10 | somew | 33:10 | takeaway (1) | 41:4 |
| seven (1) | 29 | strengthening (2) | 39:3 | touch (2) |
| 11:16 | somewhe | 37:23;38:7 | talk (4) | 14:4;39:9 |
| several (2) | 41:23;43 | stretch | 23:14;32:14;33: | tower (2) |
| 47:5;48:21 | sorry (3) | 37:6 | 43:3 | 50:10,13 |
| severe (1) | 10:19;26 | studies | talked (4) | towers (4) |
| 35:21 | south (3) | 24:1 | 31:19;32:3;37:1 | $47: 17 ; 48: 6$ |
| sheet (1) | 33:23;35:17;41:13 | stuff (4) | 39:1 | $50: 7$ |
| 31:2 | Southern (2) | 23:12;24:20;25:23; | talking (3) | town (6) |
| sheets | 34:6;41:1 | 44:9 | 32:17;37:6;47:13 | 9:6;21:10 |
| 5:2 | speak (5) | Subcommittee (10) | $\boldsymbol{t a x}(1)$ | 45:4;46:7 |
| shoppin | 5:19,19;7:1 | 11:6,14,15;12:1,4, | 24:20 | towns (5) |
| 9:13 | 15:13;46:18 | 8,10;13:6;17:23; | technical (2) | 6:17;24 |
| shows (4) | specific (2) | 18:18 | 8:20;29:1 | 37:3,8 |
| 39:1,2;45:19;50:4 | 14:22;39:9 | subcommittees (1) | telephone (1) | track (3) |
| side (3) | specifics (1) | 11:12 | $26: 12$ | $26: 14 ; 41: 7,7$ |
| 35:1;42:5;50:10 | 5:11 | subjected | temporary (1) | transmission (36) |
| sign (1) | spell (3) | :20 | 38:18 | 6:10,11;7:24; |
| 46:22 | 5:20;42 | submit | tend (1) | 16:12;23:20;24 |
| significant | spread (2) | 19:4;39:12; | 44:2 | 15;31:11;32:19;33:9 |
| 45:24 | 37:7;45 | substantial (1) | terms (6) | 12;34:19;35:11;36:7, |
| sign-in (1) | stakeholders | 28:6 | 21:21;33:20;41:10; | 16;37:24,24;43:4,7,9, |
| 31:2 | 36:2 | Substation (5) | 42:11,18;45:3 | 15,15,20;45:9,21; |
| simply (1) | stand (1) | 6:13,15;32:20,22; | terribly (1) | 47:14,15,17,18;48:4 |
| 27:11 | 19:23 | 36:22 | 35:17 | 5,6,7;49:24;50:6,19 |
| single (1) | standard | substations | Tewksbury (5) | transparency (1) |
| 47:16 | 14:18 | 48:16,16 | 6:13,13;32:22 | 8:13 |
| SIP (2) | stands | sufficient (2) | 36:24;48:15 | Transportation (2) |
| 12:12,1 | 12:13;38 | 6:23;28:24 | Thanks (1) | 9:22;17:9 |
| sit (3) | start (10) | suggesting (1) | 15:16 | traverses (1) |
| 11:21;12:4;35:10 | 4:18;35:3;37:11 | 23:2 | theory | 6:17 |
| Site (43) | 16,17,20;41:5,19 | suggestions (2) | 9:13 | treated (1) |
| 4:3,9,13,16;6:6, | 42:5;43:14 | 21:22;32:8 | THOMAS (6) | 8:18 |
| 21;7:18,20;8:23;9:1, | starts (1) | supermarket | 44:19,20;46:3,5,12, | trees (1) |
| 7,8,10,15,16,19; | 32:20 | 9:13 | 15 | 49:22 |
| 10:12;11:1,11,19; | state (23) | supply (3) | though (3) | tried (1) |
| 13:1,9;15:23;16:8; | $11: 17,18 ; 12: 15,$ | $13: 17 ; 14: 13 ; 38: 4$ | 39:4;42:10;4 | 10:8 |
| 19:9;20:12;21:1,14; | 24;14:18;16:20;17:5, | support (4) | three (2) | trucks (1) |
| 23:1;24:22,23;25:7, | 12;18:24;19:3;21:5, | 28:20;34:13,14; | 9:20;30:18 | 49:22 |


| try (1) | 44:2 | wildlife (2) | 49:9,12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:17 |  | 24:3;29:9 | $100 \text { (1) }$ | 5 |
| turn (1) | V | Windham (1) | $50: 16$ |  |
| 40:23 |  | 6:18 | 13th (2) | 500 (1) |
| TV (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valley (4) } \\ & 4: 10 ; 10: 19 ; 11: 24 ; \\ & 32: 16 \\ & \text { value }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wire (2) } \\ & 47: 16 ; 48: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40: 13,14 \\ \mathbf{1 5 0 ( 2 )} \end{gathered}$ | 41:24 |
| 19:18 |  |  |  | 5th (5) |
| two (8) |  | ```wish (4) 14:22;27:10;30:12; 46:21 wishes (2)``` | $19: 2 ; 22: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 1,20 ; 17: 16,18 \\ & 19: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:23;10:3;13:4; |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16(2) \\ & 28: 22 ; 37: 16 \\ & 162(1) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $50: 4,12$ | various (6) |  |  | 6 |
| type (2) | 21:13,13;23:8,16; | 5:15;30:23 | $\begin{gathered} 7: 21 \\ \text { 162-H (2) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 38:10;45:4 | 24:5;36:1 | within (8) |  | 60 (1) |
| types (1) | vertical (1) | 17:7,20;18:6,16; | 7:1,19 | 17:20 |
| 38:22 <br> typical (1) | $\begin{gathered} 50: 5 \\ \text { view (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:2,10;20:6;22:1 } \\ & \text { without (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1930s }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 33: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 9 |
| 46:10 | 22:23 | 28:14;35:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1960s }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 33: 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| U | voltage (1) | witness (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9}(\mathbf{3}) \\ & 44: 24 ; 45: 2,17 \\ & \mathbf{9 0}(\mathbf{1}) \\ & 18: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | volts (1) | witnesses (4) | 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ultimately (1) } \\ \text { 28:18 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32: 19 \\ \text { volumes (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:23;22:12;28:1,2 } \\ & \text { words (5) } \end{aligned}$ | 200 (3) |  |
| umbrella (1) | 25:5 | 9:5;14:1,3;21:22; | 41:21;50:4,11 |  |
| $21: 15$ under (1) | W | $33: 15$ work (1) | 2005 (1) |  |
| 21:15 |  | 20:8 | 200-feet (1) |  |
| undergo (1) | Wal*Mart (1) | worked (1) | 50:13 |  |
| 47:20 | 16:23 | 36:4 | 2015 (3) |  |
| undertake (1) | wants (2) | working (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:1,20;40:15 } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 0 5 ( \mathbf { 1 } )} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 20:9 | 11:3;21:11 | 31:22 |  |  |
| undertakes (1) | watch (1) | works (2) | 4:5 |  |
| 19:16 | 44:6 | 19:21;45:9 |  |  |
| undertaking (1) | water (1) | write (2) | 37:12 |  |
| 20:21 | 8:7 | 5:3;30:13 | 2017 (1) |  |
| undue (2) | way (10) | written (5) |  |  |
| 8:10;29:5 | 27:16,17;35:6,10; | 27:8,11,13;39:19; | $22 \mathrm{~A}(1)$ |  |
| unreasonable (1) | 44:2;45:9,14;48:9, | 40:2 | 6:13 |  |
| 29:8 | 10;50:8 | wrong (2) | 240 (3) |  |
| up (32) | ways (2) | 13:14;35:17 | 19:10,14;20:10 |  |
| 9:19;10:13;11:9; | 26:9;28:16 | wwwnhsecnhgov (2) | 24-1/2 (1) |  |
| 14:2;16:3;26:12; | ```13:5 web (7) 27:12,15;29:23; 32:10,10;39:11;49:3``` | 30:1,7 | 37:9 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27: 15 ; 30: 2,15 ; 31: 1,4, \\ & 8 ; 34: 9,10,16 ; 35: 18, \end{aligned}$ |  | X | 3 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19,20,24 ; 36: 2,4 ; 40: 4 \\ & 41: 14,23 ; 42: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 41:14,23;42:10; 45:20;46:3;47:1; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { week (2) } \\ & \text { 18:8;26:20 } \\ & \text { weigh (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $41: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { (1) } \\ & 16: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 48:12;49:19,22; |  |  | 30,000 (1) |  |
| 50:12 |  | Y | 49:5 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { upon }(1) \\ 5: 8 \end{gathered}$ | weigh (1) 17:13 | yard (1) | 30-inch (1) |  |
| use (6) | 8:4 | 35:22 | 345,000 (1) |  |
| 19:19;21:2;33:2,6; | wetlands (3) | year (3) | 32:19 |  |
| 43:1;49:21 | 20:20;25:15,16 | 22:18;29:18;46:13 | 345kV (3) |  |
| used (1) | what's (3) |  | 6:9,15;32:19 |  |
| 44:3 | 16:4;34:15;35:14 | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \text { (3) } \\ & 20: 6,10 ; 37: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| uses (2) | white (1) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:14;36:24 } \\ & \text { using (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15: 19 \\ \text { whole (4) } \end{gathered}$ | zoning (1) | 4 |  |
| 23:7;43:4;49:12 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { whole (4) } \\ 14: 19 ; 15: 3 ; 32: 6 ; \\ 34: 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| usually (2) |  | 1 |  |  |
| 10:2;21:10 | who's (1) |  | 50:5 |  |
| Utilities (3) | 12:2 | 1,000 (4) | 45 (1) |  |
| 12:3,7;19:20 | width (1) | 41:16,17,18,22 | 18:6 |  |
| utility (1) | 49:19 | 10,000 (2) |  |  |

