1	STATE OF	NEW HAMPSHIRE								
2	SITE EVALU	ATION COMMITTEE								
3	June 13, 2016 - 10:05 a. Public Utilities Commiss	sion NHPUC JUL05'16 PM12:19								
4	21 South Fruit Street S Concord, New Hampshire	Suite 10								
5	concord, New Hampshire	{Morning Session ONLY}								
6	19.573 PO 81 9 Val 28.84									
7		OCKET NO. 2015-05								
8	SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE: Joint Application of New England									
9	Power Company d/b/a National Grid and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of									
10										
11	Site and Facility. [Adjudicative Hearing]									
12										
13	PRESENT: SI	TTE EVALUATION COMMITTEE:								
14	F. Anne Ross, Esq.	Public Utilities Commission								
15	(Presiding as Presiding	Officer)								
16	Cmsr. Kathryn Bailey Cmsr. Jeffrey Rose	Public Utilities Commission Dept. of Resources and								
17	Dr. Richard Boisvert	Economic Development Dept. of Cultural Resources								
18	Michele Roberge	Division of Historical Res. Dept. of Environmental Serv.								
	Patricia Weathersby Rachel Whitaker	Public Member								
19	Racher whitaker	Alternate Public Member								
20	Also Present for the SE	C:								
21		copino, Esq. (Brennan								
22	Pamela G. Mon:	roe, SEC Administrator								
23	COURT REPORTER: Ste	ven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52								
		3 (1 47 01 27 3 3								



```
1
 2
    OTHER APPEARANCES:
 3
    FOR THE APPLICANTS:
    Reptg. Eversource Energy:
 4
    Barry Needleman, Esq. (McLane Middleton)
    Adam Dumville, Esq. (McLane Middleton)
 5
    Christopher Allwarden, Esq. (Eversource)
 6
 7
    Reptg. National Grid:
 8
    Mark Rielly, Esq. (National Grid)
9
10
    COUNSEL FOR THE PUBLIC:
11
    Christopher G. Aslin, Esq.
    Assistant Attorney General
12
    N.H. Department of Justice
13
14
    INTERVENOR:
15
    Margaret Huard, pro se
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	
2	INDEX
3	PAGE NO.
4	PUBLIC STATEMENT BY:
5	Debora Covino 12
6	
7	ISSUE: Motion pending to reconsider 14 decision on the Motion to Compel
8	production of some documents
9	ISSUE: Motion to Strike (by the Applicants) 15
10	
11	WITNESS PANEL: DAVID L. PLANTE BRYAN HUDOCK
12	JESSICA T. FARRELL GARRETT E. LUSZCZKI
13	MARK D. SUENNEN
14	Direct examination by Mr. Needleman 18
15	Cross-examination by Mr. Aslin 21
16	Cross-examination by Ms. Huard 32
17	Redirect examination by Mr. Needleman 109
18	QUESTIONS FROM SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS & SEC COUNSEL BY:
19	Ms. Weathersby 98, 107
20	
21	
22	Dir. Roberge 105
23	Mr. Iacopino 106
24	

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] $\{06-13-16\}$

1		EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.	
3	App 1	Original Application for a <i>premarked</i> Certificate of Site & Facility	
4 5	App 2	Joint Testimony of John Martin <i>premarked</i> and Robert Andrew	
6	App 3	Testimony of Brian McNeill premarked	
7	App 4	Joint Testimony of Emilie premarked O'Neil and James Vancho	
8 9	App 5	Joint Testimony of Bryan premarked Hudock and David Plante	
10	App 6	Joint Testimony of Jessica premarked Farrell and Garrett Luszczki	
11	App 7	Testimony of Mark Suennen premarked	
12 13	App 8	Testimony of John Hecklau premarked	
14	App 9	Testimony of Sherrie Trefry premarked	
15	App 10	Testimony of Darrell Oakley premarked	
16	App 11	Testimony of Steve Olausen premarked	
17	App 12	Testimony of Dianna Doucette premarked	
18	App 13	Testimony of William Bailey premarked	
19	App 14	Testimony of Gary Johnson premarked	
20	App 15	Testimony of Robert Varney premarked	
21	App 16	Testimony of Alfred Morrissey premarked	
22	App 17	Testimony of Lisa Shapiro premarked	
23	App 18	Testimony of James Chalmers premarked	
24			

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] $\{06-13-16\}$

1		E X H I B I T S (continued)	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	App 19	Supplement No. 1	premarked
4	App 20	Supplement No. 2	premarked
5	App 21	Supplement No. 3	premarked
6	App 22	Letter to SEC re: Additional Tax Cards (05-27-16)	premarked
7	App 23	Stipulated Facts and Requested Findings of Joint Applicants	premarked
9	App 24	Substitution of Economic Impact of the Merrimack Valley Reliability Project (May 2016)	premarked
11	App 25	Peggy Huard Technical Session Transcript Public/Redacted Session (05-05-16)	premarked
13	App 26	Peggy Huard Technical Session Transcript Confidential & Proprietary Session (05-05-16)	premarked
15 16	App 27	Peggy Huard Medical Records (Fire Dept. Report)	premarked
17 18	App 28	Letter from National Grid Atty. Christopher Aronson to Randy Knepper, P.E. at	premarked
19	- 00	NHPUC (03-21-16)	
20	App 29	Letter from Eversource Atty. Christopher Allwarden to Michael Iacopino, re:	premarked
21		Peggy Huard Complaints (03-23-16)	
22	App 30	Data Request Response from Peggy Huard	premarked
24			

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

1			EXHIBITS (continued)	
2	EXHIBIT	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	CFP-1		Attachment A, Response to CP 1-7 Proposed 345 kV	premarked
4			3124 Line Structure Height Information (7 Pages)	
5	Huard	1	BS for NEU (ES) FS	premarked
6	Haara	_	12-31-14 and 12-31-13	premarked
7	Huard	2	Notes RE STD and LTD from 13/14 FS for Eversource	premarked
8 9	Huard	3	BS for NG FS 03-31-14 and 03-31-13	premarked
10	Huard	4	LTD detail for NG at 03-31-14 and 03-31-13	premarked
11	Huard	5	BS for NEP FS 03-31-14 and 03-31-13	premarked
13	Huard	6	LTD detail for NEP at 03-31-14 and 03-31-13	premarked
14 15	Huard	7	1969 Easement - PSNH/Drawing for David Drive	premarked
16 17	Huard	8	1929 Grafton Easement RE David Drive (NG/NEP)	premarked
18	Huard	9	Deed for Savoie Property	premarked
19	Huard	10	Wikipedia Article - Weathering Steel	premarked
20	Huard	11	Steel Construction Information on Weathering Steel	premarked
21	Huard	12	Applicant Map from VIA of Visual Study Area	premarked
23	Huard	13	Applicant Drawing of demarcation point w/heights	premarked

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] $\{06-13-16\}$

1			EXHIBITS (continued)	
2	EXHIBIT	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	Huard	14	Robinson Pond Watershed Map from NHDES	premarked
4	Huard	1 ⊑		n roma rika d
5	пиаги	13	Map of Storm Drains from David Drive to Robinson Pond	premarked
6	Huard	16	Page 4 of Applicants' Appendix AD	premarked
7	Huard	17	GIS Map showing inter-	premarked
8			connectivity of Beaver Brook	
9	Huard	18	Diagram of photosynthesis	premarked
10	Huard	19	Table of Typical Sound Levels from Construction Equipment	premarked
11	Huard	20	Impacts of Noise on Wildlife from National Park Service	premarked
13	Huard	21	NG Info for Landowners Along Our Transmission Lines	premarked
14	Huard	22	Table showing the effects of electric shock on the human body	premarked
16 17	Huard	23	Table 13 of Application - Electric-Field Levels	premarked
18	Huard	24	Picture of warning sign on pole	premarked
19	Huard	25	GIS Map-Robinson Pond Area, Hudson, NH	premarked
21	Huard	26	GIS Map-David Drive, Hudson, NH	premarked
22	Huard	27	GIS Map-Kienia Road/cul-de-sac portion Lenny Lane, Hudson, NH	premarked
2.4				

1			EXHIBITS (continued)	
2	EXHIBIT	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	Huard	28	GIS Map-Lenny Lane Upper	premarked
4	Huard	29	GIS Map-24 David Drive, Hudson, NH	premarked
5	Huard	30	GIS Map-25 David Drive,	premarked
6	11001201		Hudson, NH	r I omor I i o o
7	Huard	31	GIS Map-64 Kienia Drive, Hudson, NH	premarked
8	Huard	32	GIS Map-Boyd Road, Hudson, NH	premarked
9	Huard	33	GIS Map-Wiley Hill Estate	premarked
10			Area, Londonderry, NH	-
11	Huard	34	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 64 Demarcation	premarked
12 13	Huard	35	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	premarked
13	Huard	36	Page 65 David Drive/Lenny Lane Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	nremarked
15	iidala	30	Page 66 Lenny Lane/Kienia Road	premarked
16	Huard	37	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 67 Kienia Rd/Howard Brook	premarked
17	Huard	38	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 68 Howard Brook/Breakneck	
18			Road	
19	Huard	39	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 69 Breakneck/Boyd,	premarked
20			Hudson, NH	
21	Huard	40	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 59 Mammoth Road @ Giance	premarked
22			Road, Windham, NH	
23	Huard	41	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 62 Bockes Rd/Hopkins Dr	premarked
24				

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

1			EXHIBITS (continued)	
2	EXHIBIT	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	Huard	42	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 62 Route 102,	premarked
4			Londonderry, NH	
5	Huard	43	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 77 Jason Drive,	premarked
6			Londonderry, NH	
7	Huard	44	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O Page 82 Londonderry, NH	premarked
8	Huard	45	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	premarked
9			Page 85 Mayflower Drive, Londonderry, NH	
10	Huard	46	-	premarked
11			Page 86 Chestnut Hill/Hickory Hill Drive, Londonderry, NH	premarnea
12	Huard	47	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	premarked
13			Page 87 High Range Road, Londonderry, NH	-
14	Huard	48	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	premarked
15			Page 91 Mammoth Road, Londonderry, NH	
16	Huard	49	Applicant AOT Maps-Appendix O	premarked
17			Page 97 I-93, Londonderry, NH	
18	Huard	50	Bonneville Power Admin. Booklet on Living and Working	premarked
19			Safely Around HVPLs	
20	Huard	51	Temporary Road Closing Traffic Control - Typical, Applicants'	premarked
21			Appendix AH	
22				
23				
24			PROCEEDING	

1 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Good 2 morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Anne 3 Ross. I'll be serving as the Hearings Officer 4 today. We are opening the final hearings in 5 the Site Evaluation Committee Docket Number 2015-05, which is referred to as the "Merrimack 6 7 Valley Reliability Project". 8 We're going to begin this morning by 9 taking appearances, and then I believe there is 10 one individual who wishes to make a public 11 comment. Following that, I will deal with some 12 pending motions. And, then, we will begin the 13 witnesses in the order that has been agreed to 14 with the Parties. 15 So, let's begin then. Would the 16 Committee members like to introduce themselves 17 individually to the hearing room? Thank you. 18 CMSR. ROSE: Good morning. 19 I serve as the Commissioner of the 20 Department of Resources and Economic 21 Development. 22 MS. ROBERGE: Michelle Roberge, with 23 the New Hampshire Department of Environmental

24

Services.

```
1
                   DR. BOISVERT: Richard Boisvert, with
 2
         the New Hampshire Division of Historical
 3
         Resources and Deputy State Historic
         Preservation Officer.
 4
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Kate Bailey, with the
 6
         Public Utilities Commission.
 7
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Anne Ross.
         I just introduced myself.
 8
9
                   MS. WEATHERSBY: Patricia Weathersby,
10
         public member.
11
                   MS. WHITAKER: Rachel Whitaker,
12
         public member.
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And with
13
14
         that, could we begin taking appearances.
15
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: Good morning, Madam
16
         Chair. Barry Needleman, from McLane Middleton,
17
         representing the Joint Applicants. Next to me
18
         is Adam Dumville, also from McLane Middleton.
19
         And, since they don't have microphones, next to
20
         Adam is Mark Rielly, in-house counsel at
21
         National Grid, and next to Mark is Chris
22
         Allwarden, in-house counsel at Eversource.
23
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Thank you.
24
                   MR. ASLIN: And good morning.
```

```
1
         Assistant Attorney General Chris Aslin as
         Counsel for the Public.
 2
 3
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And I did
 4
         neglect to introduce the gentleman to my right,
 5
         Mike Iacopino, who is Counsel to the Site
         Evaluation Committee.
 6
 7
                   MR. IACOPINO: Good morning.
 8
                   MS. HUARD: I'm Peggy Huard, an
9
         intervenor representing myself pro se.
10
                   MS. MARTIN: Thank you.
11
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: All right.
12
         We do have, I believe, a member of the public
         who wishes to make a statement. Would that
13
14
         individual like to identify themselves?
15
                   MS. HUARD: She's right here.
16
                   MS. COVINO: Hi. My name is Debbie
17
         Covino.
                  I live on Lenny Lane, in Hudson, New
18
         Hampshire. I have three condexes on Lenny
19
         Lane. I live at 15B Lenny Lane, and I rent out
20
         17A and B. Taking down the trees on my
21
         property will take away the peace and quiet.
22
         There will be no trees left to deflect any of
23
         the noise made by people going about their
24
         daily lives. We'll be left looking at ugly
```

poles, ugly power lines. It will be depressing. The houses will be hotter in the summer, colder in the winter, with no trees to block us from the elements. The trees protect us from the electrical field and they filter air from the pollutants.

Aside from not looking nice, we're already dealing with getting shocks while moving about in the neighborhood. My dog was cringing while being petted in a neighbor's yard, at number 4. And my friend said it looked — it felt like he was touching the tips of needles and you could see blue sparks. I just can't imagine if that had been a baby.

I am a single woman and these condexes are my means of support for my retirement. The appeal to renters will go down if they have to look at that scene. I may not be able to get the same income for these units, and that will affect my supporting myself in four years.

I know the power lines are there to stay, but to increase the power, when people are already afraid of being shocked if walking

```
1
         with a cane, umbrella, or sitting in their car
 2
         while under certain spots is no way to have to
 3
         live. Our homes and our neighborhood should
 4
         not be detrimental to our health, happiness or
 5
         wellbeing. These trees we have help with these
 6
         issues. So, every single tree that is taken
 7
         away matters. The trees are important. And
         the residents along the rows are important and
 8
9
         matter.
10
                   It's not right. It's not fair.
                                                     And
11
         it's not legal. Thank you.
12
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Thank you
13
         for your statement.
14
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Could you tell me what
15
         town that's in please?
16
                   MS. COVINO: Hudson, New Hampshire.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                  Thank you.
18
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Turning now
19
         to the pending motions, I believe that
20
         Ms. Huard has a motion pending for us to
21
         reconsider the decision on the Motion to Compel
22
         some production of some documents. Is that
23
         correct?
24
                   MS. HUARD:
                               That is correct.
```

1 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And it's my 2 understanding that your concern was that there 3 was a reference in the order to "third parties" that wasn't limited, in terms of your 4 5 communications. Is that also correct? MS. HUARD: 6 That is my major concern. 7 I have further concerns, but that is my major concern. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And, so, I'm 9 10 going to rule from the Bench today on that 11 pending motion, and not to reverse the 12 decision, but to clarify the decision, to 13 request that you produce the third party 14 communications to the police department, the 15 fired, and to other town officials. 16 And, with that clarification in mind, 17 are you willing to produce those 18 communications? 19 MS. HUARD: No, I'm not. 20 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Okay. 21 I will deal with the Motion to Strike that was 22 filed by the Applicant as a result of your 23 failure to produce the information that would 24 corroborate your statements about the

```
January -- is it 2016 incident?
 1
                   MS. HUARD: Correct.
 2
 3
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Have I got
         the right year? Okay. There have been
 4
 5
         situations in the past where failure to produce
 6
         evidence has resulted in testimony being
 7
         struck. In this case, because the applicant is
         pro se, I am going to rule against the Motion
 8
9
         to Strike. So, it will be denied.
10
                   But I will remind the applicant that,
11
         having failed to produce corroborative
12
         evidence, the credibility of your testimony
13
         will be impacted, and each of the Board members
14
         will consider that as part of their decision in
15
         the hearing.
16
                   MS. HUARD: May I speak to that?
17
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Yes, you
18
         may.
19
                   MS. HUARD: Did you receive my
20
         objection today, indicating that I have made my
21
         testimony under oath and in accordance with the
22
         rules outlined at the -- for the NH SEC?
23
         supposed to be deemed to be truthful. And, the
24
         fact that I don't agree with what has been
```

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

```
1
         requested should not preclude me from making
 2
         those statements.
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: You're
 3
 4
         certainly welcome to make statements under
 5
         oath, and that is not the test when we weigh
         testimony. It isn't a test of truthfulness,
 6
 7
         it's a test of the strength of the evidence in
         the overall case.
 8
                   MS. HUARD: So, then, you're actually
9
10
         insulting me by doubting whether I'm truthful,
         simply because I won't provide the document?
11
12
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I think I
13
         just clarified, that it is not a test --
14
                   MS. HUARD: I don't think you did.
15
         Thank you.
16
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: -- of
17
         truthfulness. It is a test of the strength of
18
         the evidence.
19
                   Okay. Having disposed of the two
         pending motions, are there any other procedural
20
21
         issues that Parties wish to raise before we
22
         begin the hearing?
23
                         [No verbal response.]
24
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS:
                                             Hearing
```

```
1
         none, I would ask that we call our first
 2
         witnesses.
 3
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you, Madam
 4
         Chair. I'll ask our Technical panel to please
 5
         come up to the witness stand.
 6
                         (Whereupon David L.Plante,
 7
                         Bryan Hudock, Jessica T.
                         Farrell, Garrett E. Luszczki,
 8
9
                         and Mark D. Suennen were duly
10
                         sworn by the Court Reporter.)
                   DAVID L. PLANTE, SWORN
11
12
                     BRYAN HUDOCK, SWORN
                  JESSICA T. FARRELL, SWORN
13
14
                  GARRETT E. LUSZCZKI, SWORN
15
                   MARK D. SUENNEN, SWORN
16
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
18
    Q.
         And what I'd like to do is try to get these
19
         witnesses introduced as efficiently as
20
         possible. So, let me start by asking
21
         Mr. Suennen, and then working your way down the
22
         panel.
23
              Could you please state your name for the
24
         record, slowly, and briefly describe your
```

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1 business position.
```

9

10

- A. (Suennen) Sure. My name is Mark Suennen. I am

 a Project Manager and Senior Traffic Engineer

 for VHB. And I'm representing the Applicants

 in traffic management and NHDOT permitting.
- A. (Hudock) My name is Bryan Hudock -- my name is

 Bryan Hudock. I work for National Grid as the

 Lead Project Manager on this Project.
 - A. (Plante) And my name is David Plante. I'm the Manager of Project Management for Eversource, in New Hampshire.
- 12 A. (Farrell) My name is Jessica Farrell. I am the
 13 Lead Transmission Engineer for National Grid on
 14 this Project.
- 15 A. (Luszczki) My name is Garrett Luszczki. I work

 16 for TRC Engineers. I am the Design Engineer

 17 for Eversource.
- Q. And, starting with Mr. Suennen, you have submitted prefiled testimony in this docket.

 You have that testimony in front of you. Do you have any changes you need to make to that testimony?
- A. (Suennen) Just one, one amendment. Subsequent to submitting the testimony, I have also

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
```

- received a Professional Engineering license in
 the State of Vermont.
- Q. And, Mr. Hudock and Mr. Plante, you submitted joint testimony here. Do you have any changes you need to make to that testimony?
- 6 A. (Hudock) No.
- 7 A. (Plante) No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. And, finally, Ms. Farrell and Mr.
 Luszczki, you have also submitted joint
 testimony here. Do you have any changes you'd
 like to make to that testimony?
- 12 A. (Farrell) I do not.
- 13 A. (Luszczki) I have one change. I am now a

 14 Registered Professional Engineer in the State

 15 of Maine and New Hampshire.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And, so, with that, what I would ask each of you to do is to affirm that the testimony you've submitted is true and accurate and that you adopt it here today, is that correct? And let's go down the line.
- 21 A. (Suennen) Correct, as amended.
- 22 A. (Hudock) It is correct.
- 23 A. (Plante) It is correct.
- 24 A. (Farrell) It is correct.

A. (Luszczki) Correct, as amended.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Okay. Thank you.

Witnesses are now available for

4 cross-examination.

MR. ASLIN: Good morning. I have a few questions that I will address to the whole panel, but some of them may be more appropriate to individuals. So, I'll let individuals answer, and if anyone else wants to jump in, that would be fine.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ASLIN:

- Q. I first wanted to ask, and this is probably a question for Mr. Hudock and Mr. Plante, in regards to the tree clearing on the property -- or, in the right-of-way, rather, in your testimony, you gave sort of a general description of the tree clearing area, but no detail as to the size of the clearing. I was wondering if you could provide an estimate of the acreage or the square footage of the cleared area that's proposed?
- A. (Plante) Yes. For the Eversource portion of the Project, which is Segments 3 and 4 as

defined in the Application, the total area of clearing is approximated at 71 acres.

- A. (Hudock) For the National Grid portion of the Project, the clearing is limited to sideline trimming and clearing. So, I don't have an exact number, but it's very limited in nature.
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Plante, the 71 acres, if I recall correctly, a portion of that is along the -- I guess the southern side of that right-of-way or maybe the western side of the right-of-way, and the other portion is through the center of the right-of-way in Segment 4, is that correct?
- 14 A. (Plante) In Segment 3, the right-of-way
 15 clearing is on the easterly side, --
- 16 Q. Easterly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. (Plante) -- for about 4 miles. And Segment 4,

 for about 6 miles, is in kind of the center,

 it's a strip down the middle of the existing

 right-of-way.
- Q. And do you have an estimation of the breakdown of the size of those two different clearing areas?
- 24 A. (Plante) Hmm. I'm not sure if I have it broken

down quite like that. I could probably
guesstimate that it's probably 75 percent on
the southerly Segment 3 piece, and 25 percent
on the Segment 4 northerly section. Though, I
could certainly come up with a calculation to
verify that.

- Q. The estimation should be sufficient. Thank you. And, just to clarify, Segment 3 is the side clearing and Segment 4 is the center clearing?
- 11 A. (Plante) Correct.

- Q. Thank you. There's also been some discussion in this case about decommissioning, and I believe, as the two Project Managers, there was -- at least referenced it. Internal personnel would be the ones who would perform a decommissioning plan, if and when such a plan were developed in detail. Would that be, Mr. Hudock, Mr. Plante, yourselves that would perform that or would others on your staff?
- A. (Hudock) I would say, generally,

 decommissioning plans, if they're implemented,

 will be treated like similar to any other

 projects, in terms of the staffing and

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1
         resourcing for that, that effort. Where, you
 2
         know, if it's sufficient complexity and
 3
         resource or dollar amount that's estimated,
         then it would go through the normal procedures,
 4
 5
         just like this one, as far as the same
 6
         personnel would be assigned, a project manager,
 7
         an engineer, construction resources, and so on.
         (Plante) And, similar for Eversource, depending
 8
    Α.
9
         on the magnitude of the decommissioning effort
10
         and the other workload going on in the Company,
11
         we would determine the appropriate internal
12
         project team, and, if necessary, apply the use
13
         of a design consultant to complete the plan.
14
         Thank you. I'd like to -- I don't know if you
15
         have a copy of Exhibit CFP-1 up there? And, if
16
         not, I'll bring one over.
17
              Seeing confusion, I'll bring up some
18
         copies.
                         (Atty. Aslin distributing
19
20
                         documents to the witnesses.)
21
                   MR. ASLIN: And I believe the
22
         Subcommittee members have a copy of what's been
23
         marked as "Exhibit CFP-1".
24
    BY MR. ASLIN:
```

```
Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
      [WITNESSES:
 1
    Q.
         Ms. Farrell and Mr. Luszczki, I believe you
 2
         were the ones who prepared this information, is
 3
         that correct?
 4
         (Farrell) That is correct.
    Α.
 5
    Q.
         Could you give a brief description of what this
 6
         document -- or, the information that's shown on
 7
         the document?
         (Farrell) So, the information presented on the
 8
9
         document was prepared in response to an
10
         information request for, essentially, the
11
         height above existing structures that a
12
         proposed structure would be. So, the first
13
         part of the table captures the height of the
14
         New England Power structures in comparison to
15
         the height of the tallest --
16
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Could you
17
         try to move the microphone very close to
18
         your -- uncomfortably close.
19
                   WITNESS FARRELL: Is that better?
```

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Yes.

WITNESS FARRELL: Okay. I apologize.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Even closer.

It doesn't pick up well.

20

21

22

23

24 WITNESS FARRELL: Okay.

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

1 CMSR. BAILEY: Is it on?

2 WITNESS FARRELL: Closer. It is.

3 The light is red. Okay.

4 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Yes. Right

5 there.

WITNESS FARRELL: Sorry.

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Farrell) So, the first portion of the response captures, basically, the structure number for the proposed 3124 line; the type of structure, in the second column; the length of the proposed pole; the height above which -- above grade that that pole will project, as a number of these structures are direct embed, the height of the pole itself is not necessarily the height above grade. As an example, so, for Structure 66, our typical practice is to embed an H-frame structure 10 percent of the pole height, plus two feet, in order to establish a good foundation. So, that's why you see the difference in numbers there.

The next column over is the "Tallest Nearest Existing Structure". There you have noted the circuit that it belongs to and the

structure number associated. The "Height of that Tallest Nearest Existing Structure", and then the delta between the proposed structure and the tallest nearest existing structure.

- Q. And, when -- in the column second from the right, where you have the "Height of Tallest Nearest Existing Structure", I'm presuming that is the height above grade?
- 9 A. (Farrell) That is correct.

5

6

7

- 10 Q. Thank you. And, then, I believe you just
 11 described what's on Page 1, and that's for the
 12 3124 line, and that continues on through
 13 Page 3, is that correct?
- 14 A. (Farrell) That is correct.
- 15 Q. And Page 4 is then a different line?
- 16 Α. (Farrell) Yes. That is for the relocated 115kV 17 Y151 line. The information provided there is 18 similar, in terms of content. With the 19 exception being that, for single pole 20 direct-embed structures, our standard is to 21 embed the pole 10 percent plus four feet, 22 rather than 10 percent plus two feet. So, if 23 you're looking to compare the proposed pole 24 length to the proposed structure height above

grade, that's where you would see a difference.

- Q. Thank you. And, then, moving on to Page 6 and 7, I believe that's back to the 3124 line, but on the Eversource portion of the Project?
- 5 A. (Luszczki) That's correct.

- Q. And, in the second column from the right, where
 you have the "Tallest Nearest Existing

 Structure", are you able to tell us which line
 those structures are on?
 - A. (Luszczki) Yes. All of these, in the second column from the right, belong to the parallel line 326. It's a 345 kV line that parallels the proposed line for the entire length for the Eversource portion.
 - Q. Thank you. And, so, just perusing the far right column on each of the pages, would you agree that the proposed 3124 line, well, we'll focus on that one first, is anywhere from equivalent in height to upwards of 40, I believe the tallest one is, at very end, 50 feet in height greater than the nearest existing structure?
 - A. (Farrell) That is correct. With respect to the New England Power portion of the right-of-way,

the nearest adjacent circuits are lower

voltage. Lower voltage structures tend to not

be as tall, because the required conductor

clearance from ground isn't as high, and that

is part of the reason you see that increased

height.

- Q. Thank you. And, then, similarly, with the Y151 line, we also see a height differential of anywhere from looks like 3 feet to approximately 30 feet. Would you agree with that?
- A. (Farrell) That is -- that's generally correct.

 In this instance, the driver for the difference in height is more due to the configuration of the structure. The adjacent structures are horizontally configured, whereas the relocated Y151 is a single pole delta configured structure, which is narrower in width, but necessarily taller, in order to maintain the appropriate spacing between the electrified phases.
- Q. Thank you. What I'd like to do is just walk through one example visually, so that we are all clear on what this chart is showing. And I

1 don't know if you have up there what I think is 2 the easiest way -- place to look is the 3 wildlife habitat land cover maps, which are 4 part of Supplement 3. 5 If you could turn to Page 8 of 16 is --6 [Court reporter interruption.] 7 MR. ASLIN: I'm sorry. BY MR. ASLIN: 8 Page 8 of 16 is going to be where I'm directing 9 Q. 10 us. So, on this particular set of maps, I know

us. So, on this particular set of maps, I know it's not the engineering design maps, but -- I'll wait till you get there. And this is in the Eversource portion of the Project. I'll just pause, in case any of the Subcommittee members need a minute to find where I'm going. This was in Supplement 3, if that's helpful.

Okay. And, so, on this map, which is not an engineering plan map, but shows the layout of the Project, would you agree that the yellow line is the 3124 line that we're discussing, the proposed new line?

A. (Luszczki) That's correct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And that the small yellow boxes with the black center are the proposed new towers along that

WITNESSES: Dlanto.Hudogk.Earnoll.Juggggki.Suonnon

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
 1
         line?
 2
         (Luszczki) They are the proposed pole
 3
         locations, correct.
 4
                   MR. IACOPINO: Which page of the
 5
         exhibit are you on?
 6
                   MR. ASLIN: I'm on Page 8 of 16.
 7
    BY MR. ASLIN:
         And the next numbers next to each of the small
 8
9
         yellow pole placements are the pole numbers
10
         that correspond to Column 1, on Exhibit CFP-1,
11
         is that correct?
12
         (Luszczki) That's correct.
    Α.
13
         Okay. And, then, the red lines are the
    Q.
14
         existing power lines that are in the
15
         right-of-way?
16
    Α.
         (Luszczki) That's correct.
17
         So, I'd like to just take an example of Pole or
    Q.
18
         Structure Number 204, which is on Page 6 of 7
19
         of the Exhibit CFP-1. And, according to the
20
         exhibit, it shows the proposed structure height
21
         above grade is "88 feet", as compared to the
22
         nearest structures of "70 to 80 feet". Could
23
         you tell me which those two nearest structures
```

are that are shown on the exhibit?

```
A. (Luszczki) For Structure 204 on the proposed
line, the nearest structure would be Structure
84, on the line 326, which is the adjacent
line.
```

- Q. And, on the exhibit, you actually showed two different nearest existing structures. Would that be Structures 84 and 85?
- 8 A. (Luszczki) That's correct.
- 9 Q. And, in this instance, there's a height
 10 differential of 20 feet and 30 feet for those
 11 two structures, is that correct?
- 12 A. (Luszczki) Correct.
- Q. And, lastly, this is an area of the proposed

 3124 line that is within the tree clearing

 area, and my guess this would be Segment 3, is

 that correct?
- 17 A. (Luszczki) That's correct.
- MR. ASLIN: Thank you. I do not have any further questions for this panel.
- MS. HUARD: Good morning.
- 21 BY MS. HUARD:
- Q. Mr. Hudock, you're the Lead Project Manager for National Grid, correct?
- 24 A. (Hudock) That is correct.

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

- Q. And, Mr. Plante, you are the Lead Project
 Manager for PSNH, is that correct?
 - A. (Plante) That's correct.

- Q. So, Mr. Hudock, your oversight is the very top level, is that correct?
- 6 (Hudock) In terms of this Project, I have Α. 7 delegation authority from the executives at the Company to responsibly deliver this Project. 8 9 So, I have the direct responsibility for the 10 Project. That being said, ultimately, there's 11 levels of supervision above me within the 12 Company that would also, you know, manage my 13 own role in the Project.
- Q. And does this role provide oversight for your consultants and contractors?
- 16 A. (Hudock) Yes.
- 17 Q. In addition to consultants and contractors,
 18 does National Grid employ a team of
 19 professionals?
- 20 A. (Hudock) Yes. We use both internal and
 21 external professionals on our project teams.
- 22 Q. And you oversee these employees as well?
- 23 A. (Hudock) The internal employees are assigned to teams, to carry out their role in the Project,

Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen] [WITNESSES:

1 and I oversee that. I would say, though, that 2 I don't have direct hiring or firing authority 3 over them, for their assignments on the Project 4 We work in a matrixed organization, team. 5 where there's also separate management of 6 various departments of whose members will 7 participate on a project team.

Are you required to have any level of Q. understanding and expertise in the areas that you oversee?

8

9

10

11

- (Hudock) I think it depends. I think, Α. 12 generally, as a project manager, you need to 13 have understanding of what the team members are 14 doing. I would definitely say that my depth of 15 understanding is not nearly as detailed as many 16 of the team members who are the subject matter 17 experts for those areas. For example, for 18 design or for environmental, you know, I do 19 understand a lot of the concepts. However, 20 ultimately, you know, the team members are 21 going to bring their own expertise to the 22 table, which is why we employ, you know, a team 23 of experts.
 - Thank you. And, Mr. Plante, your oversight, is Q.

1 that at the very top level of management?

- A. (Plante) It is not at the very top level of
 management. Very similar to the organization
 at National Grid. I am the -- I oversee the
 project management organization, and have
 project managers who report to me, and I report
 up through directors and officers.
 - Q. And does your role provide oversight for your consultants and contractors?

8

9

- 10 A. (Plante) In a general fashion, yes. We do have

 11 subject matter experts for the various aspects

 12 of the Project, who provide much more specific

 13 quidance to our consultants and other experts.
- 14 Q. And does PSNH employ a team of professionals as well?
- 16 A. (Plante) We do. Some internal, some external.
- 17 Q. And do you oversee these employees as well?
- A. (Plante) I oversee the Project Manager who
 manages the Project. However, the other
 members of the Project team are part of our
 matrixed organization, and they have their own
 reporting structure. So, they come to the
 Project team from other parts of the Company.
 - Q. And do you feel that you have an understanding

and expertise in the areas that you oversee?

Project.

- A. (Plante) I have a general understanding of most aspects of the Project. My training is in -I'm a civil engineer, and I have structural experience and line design experience.
 However, I'm not a transmission planning expert. I'm not an environmental expert, per se. Though, I do have experience and general awareness in many of those aspects of the
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Hudock and Mr. Plante, in your joint prefiled testimony, you indicated that "Safety is the highest priority of the Project team", and that you have plans to "reach out to neighbors to inform them of upcoming construction activity to ensure their safety." As the next few questions are actually proposed to both of you, so, I guess one of you you can answer back and forth. What method at this point do you have that you intend on communicating with these neighbors?
- A. (Plante) Our outreach teams jointly, Eversource and National Grid, have prepared a pre-construction outreach plan that is still in

1 draft form, however, it's very far along, that 2 includes various levels of stakeholder 3 outreach, including meetings with town and state-elected officials, potentially some 4 5 community working groups, if the need arises. 6 Outreach to the business community to ensure 7 continuity of business throughout the duration of our construction effort. Using forms of 8 9 communication, such as print ads, door hangers, 10 e-mails, and all the way down to door-to-door 11 outreach, throughout the process of the Project. 12

And we'll also be updating our website.

There's a Project website that I'm sure you're aware of.

You want to add to that?

- 17 A. (Hudock) No.
- 18 Q. Mr. Hudock.

13

14

15

16

22

23

- 19 A. (Hudock) I don't have anything to add. That
 20 he's covered most of it, as far as this joint
 21 outreach effort.
 - Q. And, if you were to engage in door-to-door direct contact, would that be limited to homeowners directly abutting the ROW or would

1 you expand to the neighborhood?

- A. (Plante) I think that would have to be taken on a case-by-case basis, depending on the interest in the neighborhood. It's awful hard to say where that might end. You know, it could go one neighbor from the right-of-way, two neighbors, five neighbors, ten neighbors, depending on the density of each neighborhood.
- 9 Q. So, you're willing to blindside the rest of the
 10 neighborhood with traffic issues that they will
 11 not even be aware of until you're there?
- 12 A. (Plante) I guess I take issue with the term
 13 "blindside", but our process --
- 14 Q. You will surprise -- you will surprise them?
- 15 A. (Plante) -- throughout the Project has been to
 16 be transparent with the communities that we're
 17 proposing to do our Project work in.
- 18 A. (Hudock) I think the other thing I would add

 19 is, you're focusing on one aspect of the

 20 outreach, which is going to be the door-to-door

 21 canvassing.
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23 A. (Hudock) Typically, you know, we develop a

24 universe of door-to-door canvassing that would

```
include a certain area outside the

right-of-way. But, obviously, that's going to

be limited. But, beyond that, there's, as Dave

mentioned, a variety of other outreach going on

or will be going on, from website, phone,

public information meetings, --
```

7 Q. Uh-huh.

8

9

10

- A. (Hudock) -- community meetings, press releases.

 So, the communication is designed to reach, you know, every interested party in as efficient way as possible.
- 12 Q. And the website and e-mails, someone would have
 13 to be actual -- well, the website, they would
 14 have to be looking for it, is that correct?
- 15 A. (Hudock) Yes. They would have to actively go find the website.
- Q. Do you -- are either of you in charge of the communication of safety outside of the construction or is it just merely the construction?
- 21 A. (Plante) Could you clarify the question please?
- 22 Q. Sure.
- 23 A. (Plante) I'm not quite sure what you're looking for.

- Q. Is your oversight of safety just limited to this construction or do you have a role in informing the neighbors or the abutters about safety issues on a regular basis?
- A. (Plante) As part of the Project Management organization at Eversource, my role is more focused on issues specifically linked to Project work, not just MVRP, but other projects. The day-to-day operational safety is handled by other aspects or other parts of the Company.
- 12 A. (Hudock) It is the same for me, too.
- Q. So, then, after the Project is over, the safety issues will basically be in the hands of somebody else, another team?
- 16 A. (Plante) I guess that's fair to say, yes.
 - Q. Ms. Farrell, in your prefiled testimony, you had indicated that the transmission towers and poles to be used for the MVRP will have a "self-weathering finish". Do you recall that you also indicated that an "oxidized patina will be formed on the surface of the steel, which protects the steel surface from additional oxidation and the atmosphere in

1 general"?

9

10

- 2 A. (Farrell) That is correct.
- Q. Would you agree that there's only one patented self-weathering steel that's known under the trademark "COR-TEN steel"?
- A. (Farrell) I believe there are alternate options
 to COR-TEN available in the industry, and it's
 not a -- it is not a proprietary material.
 - Q. Do you know whether the self-weathering steel that will be for the -- for the transmission towers and poles are COR-TEN steel?
- A. (Farrell) We specified the steel associated
 with the towers by its ASTM mark, not
 necessarily the proprietary name COR-TEN. So,
 as long as it's in conformance with the
 appropriate ASTM standard, that is the steel
 that will be utilized.
- Q. And did you have -- or, did you participate in the selection of these transmission poles and towers to be made of self-weathering steel?

 Did you select that material?
- 22 A. (Farrell) The material was specified based on the ASTM standard.
- 24 Q. Okay.

```
A. (Farrell) I did not personally engage in the selection of the exact steel associated with the Project.
```

- Q. So, could you clarify "ASTM", what that stands for?
- 6 A. (Farrell) The "American Society of Testing and Materials".
- 8 Q. So, the ASTM has approved that self-weathering 9 steel be used for high voltage -- I mean, yes, 10 for high voltage transmission towers?
- 11 A. (Farrell) The ASTM has a specification that

 12 outlines the criteria that the steel must meet

 13 in order to be -- in order to be specified for

 14 the Project. So, it's more of a standards.
- 15 Q. And they have accepted that this material can be used for transmission towers?
- 17 A. (Farrell) That's --
- 18 Q. They have authorized --
- 19 A. (Farrell) That's not a decision --
- 20 Q. Not a decision.
- 21 A. (Farrell) -- that ASTM makes.
- Q. Has your Company accepted that self-weathering steel is acceptable for the use with

24 transmission towers?

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

A. (Farrell) Yes.

- Q. And did you participate in the acceptance
 process, or saying "This is okay. We're going
 to use self-weathering steel for our
 transmission towers"?
 - A. (Farrell) I didn't personally participate in the development of the specification. That is a general company specification associated with our steel poles. But, for this particular Project, that was a decision I was involved in, yes.
 - Q. And who, in your Company, would have made the general decision to accept self-weathering steel as a material to be used with transmission towers?
 - A. (Farrell) The Director of Transmission

 Engineering holds responsibility for all of the specifications, guidelines, and procedures associated with the Transmission Engineering Department, and that's where that specification comes from.
 - Q. And, Mr. Luszczki, along the same lines, in your Company, were you involved with the acceptance of utilizing the self-weathering

1 steel as material for transmission towers?

- A. (Luszczki) As the Design Engineer, I don't

 personally make that decision. It's a decision

 that's made within the Eversource company.
- Q. And at what level -- and at what level position would that have been?
- 7 A. (Plante) I'd like to help out with this one, if you don't mind.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh.
- 10 (Plante) As with National Grid, the selection Α. 11 of material types and approval of material 12 types for the various components of our 13 transmission system falls upon the Director of 14 Transmission Engineering. And, in the instance 15 of the use of self-weathering steel, that 16 decision was made probably decades ago, as it's 17 been one of the standards that we've used for 18 many, many years. Not exclusively, however, 19 it's something that's been very common. And, 20 recently, it's become much more common.
- 21 Q. You say that "it's been used for decades"?
- 22 A. (Plante) True.
- Q. Yet, are you aware that in the -- according to the demarcation, it has about ten poles,

there's not a single one that is of
self-weathering steel?

- A. (Plante) Well, for the Eversource portion of the Project, the only line that we have there is a wood pole --
- 6 Q. Uh-huh.

- A. (Plante) -- line. The National Grid portion is different, and they have different reasons for why the materials that are there were used.

 Historically, at Eversource, or PSNH, our use of self-weathering steel goes back at least to the '80s. It predates my tenure here with the Company.
 - A. (Farrell) From a National Grid perspective, the towers at the point of demarcation date to the late 1920s. And self-weathering steel was not a material option at that juncture.
 - Q. I'll start with Ms. Luszczki -- I'm sorry,

 Ms. Farrell. Are you aware of any limitations

 and disadvantages of self-weathering steel?
 - A. (Farrell) In terms of the application of self-weathering steel, I am aware that it does not do well in salt-rich environments. There have been instances, such as guardrails

46

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

adjacent to roadways, where corrosion has been

2 enhanced due to de-icing, also along seacoast

3 areas. And there are different alloys, I

4 believe, that can be used to mitigate that.

5 Those are the two instances that I am aware of.

Q. How about you, Mr. Luszczki?

6

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 A. (Luszczki) Provided it's used in the proper locations and areas for its use, keeping in mind some of its limitations.
- 10 Q. Do you know what those limitations are?
- 11 A. (Luszczki) Similar to Ms. Farrell, I am aware

 12 of some instances where it's proven

 13 ineffective. Some of that has been when it was

 14 painted, some of that is, as she mentioned, in

 15 salt-rich environments.
- Q. And, Mr. Plante, do you have anything to add about the limitations or disadvantages of self-weathering steel?
 - A. (Plante) What I can add is that, in order to effectively utilize self-weathering steel in structural applications, care is required in detailing the connections and whatnot to prevent ponding of water, which could be detrimental to the lifespan of the steel. So,

```
properly designed and detailed, it's a very effective construction material.
```

- Q. Mr. Hudock, do you have anything to add?
- 4 A. (Hudock) No.
- Q. At this time, I'd like to refer to Exhibit 10 and 11, if I may?

7 MR. IACOPINO: Whose? Yours? 8 MS. HUARD: Mine. I'm sorry. My

9 own.

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. IACOPINO: For the members of the Committee, that should be in the book, that black book that we gave you that starts off with Huard exhibits. It should be tabbed.

14 BY MS. HUARD:

- Q. I'd like to start with Page 1 of Exhibit 11.

 And you can see the highlighted sentence indicating that this process only occurs "in suitable environments". And do all four of you actually agree with that? I think you've said that a suitable environment is required?
- 21 A. (Plante) Would you like --
- 22 Q. And on Page 2 -- I'm sorry?
- 23 A. (Plante) Were you looking for a response?
- Q. Oh. Do you agree with that statement?

```
1 A. (Plante) Yes.
```

- 2 Q. "Suitable environment". Ms. Farrell?
- 3 A. (Farrell) Yes.
- 4 Q. Mr. Luszczki?
- 5 A. (Luszczki) Yes.
- 6 Q. Mr. Hudock?
- 7 A. (Hudock) Yes.
- 8 Q. And, on Page 2 of Exhibit 11, lines 3 and 4,
- 9 the highlighted paragraph indicates that the
- 10 "patina only develops under alternative wetting
- and drying conditions". Mr. Luszczki, do you
- 12 have any knowledge as to whether this is
- 13 accurate?
- 14 A. (Luszczki) That is correct. The patina
- develops over time.
- 16 Q. That it has to be an "alternative wetting and
- drying condition"?
- 18 A. (Luszczki) Yes.
- 19 Q. And, Ms. Farrell, do you agree with that?
- 20 A. (Farrell) Yes.
- 21 Q. Mr. Plante?
- 22 A. (Plante) Yes.
- 23 Q. Mr. Hudock?
- 24 A. (Hudock) Yes.

MITTHECCEC. Dlanta Hudaah Eannall Inggali Cuannan

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
 1
    Q.
         And, on Page 5 of Exhibit 11, under the section
 2
         "Continuously wet/dry conditions", do you see
 3
         that section?
 4
         (Plante) Is it the fifth page of this handout
    Α.
 5
         or --
 6
         Lines 1 and 2.
    Q.
 7
                   MR. IACOPINO: Which page, Ms. Huard?
                   MS. HUARD: I'm sorry, not page in
 8
9
         here, but the pages are down the bottom of the
10
         exhibit.
11
    BY MS. HUARD:
12
         So, do you see the section titled "Continuously
    Q.
13
         wet/dry conditions" -- "wet/dry conditions"?
14
         (Plante) Yes.
15
         And --
    Q.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Excuse me a sec.
                   MS. HUARD: Okay.
17
18
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Huard, up here at
19
         the Bench. I'm looking at your exhibit, and it
20
         says "Continuously wet/damp conditions", is
21
         that -- am I on the wrong page, because pages
         aren't numbered?
22
                   MS. HUARD: It should be "5" down the
23
```

It's Exhibit 11.

24

bottom.

```
1
                    CMSR. BAILEY: I'm in Exhibit 11.
 2
         have no page numbers at all. So, is it the
         fifth page in the exhibit?
 3
                   MS. HUARD: No. It's not the fifth
 4
 5
         page in the exhibit. It would have -- there it
 6
         says -- may I approach?
 7
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Is that the page?
 8
                    MS. HUARD: Yes. It says "damp".
9
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. "Damp". Thank
10
         you.
11
    BY MS. HUARD:
12
         On lines 1 and 2, do you see where it says --
    Q.
13
         would indicate that "Alternative wet/dry cycles
14
         are required for the adherent patina to form."
         Do you see that, Mr. Luszczki?
15
16
    Α.
         (Luszczki) Yes.
17
         And, Ms. Farrell?
    Q.
18
    Α.
         (Farrell) Yes.
19
         And, Mr. Plante?
    Q.
20
         (Plante) Yes.
21
         Mr. Hudock?
    Q.
22
         (Hudock) Yes.
23
         And do each of you -- do you agree with that,
24
         Mr. Luszczki?
```

```
1 A. (Luszczki) Yes. That is how the patina forms.
```

- 2 Q. Ms. Farrell?
- 3 A. (Farrell) Yes.
- 4 Q. Mr. Plante?
- 5 A. (Plante) Yes.
- 6 Q. Mr. Hudock?
- 7 A. (Hudock) Yes.
- 8 Q. And can you see lines 2 and 3 on that same page
- 9 where it states that under "continuously wet or
- dry conditions, a corrosion" -- "continuously
- 11 wet or dry conditions, a corrosion rate similar
- to ordinary structured [sic] steel must be
- expected." I guess that was in the same
- sentence. Do you see it and do you agree with
- it? Mr. Luszczki?
- 16 A. (Luszczki) Yes.
- 17 Q. Ms. Farrell?
- 18 A. (Farrell) Yes.
- 19 Q. Mr. Plante?
- 20 A. (Plante) Yes.
- 21 Q. Mr. Hudock?
- 22 A. (Hudock) Yes.
- 23 Q. And, on line 4, where it indicates rust patina
- 24 may not form in situations where "weathering

WITNECCEC. Dlanta Hudaak Eannall Luggarki Cuannan

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
 1
         steel will be submerged in water".
         Mr. Luszczki?
 2
 3
         (Luszczki) Yes.
    Α.
         Ms. Farrell?
 4
    Q.
 5
         (Farrell) Yes.
 6
         (Plante) Yes.
    Α.
 7
         Mr. Hudock?
    0.
         (Hudock) Yes.
8
    Α.
9
         And, also on line 4, where it says the rust
10
         patina may not form in situations where
11
         weathering steel will be "buried in soil".
12
         Mr. Luszczki?
         (Luszczki) Yes. It does not form when it's
13
14
         buried in the soil. Yes.
15
         Ms. Farrell?
    Q.
16
    Α.
         (Farrell) That is correct.
17
         Mr. Plante?
    Q.
18
    Α.
         (Plante) Yes.
19
         Mr. Hudock?
    Q.
20
         (Hudock) Yes.
21
         And, also on line 4, it indicates the rust
    Q.
22
         patina may not form in situations where
23
         weathering steel will be "covered in
```

vegetation". Mr. Luszczki?

```
1
    Α.
          (Luszczki) Yes.
         (Farrell) Yes.
 2
    Α.
         Mr. Plante?
 3
    Q.
         (Plante) Yes.
 4
    Α.
 5
    Q.
         Mr. Hudock?
 6
         (Hudock) Yes.
    Α.
 7
         And, then, on Exhibit 10, Page 4, under
          "Disadvantages", you see I put some numbers,
 8
         line 2 indicates that "weathering steel is not
9
10
         rustproof in itself."
11
                    MR. NEEDLEMAN: Madam Chair, before
12
         they answer, should I be noting objections to
         these exhibits at this point or do you want to
13
14
         take those at the end?
15
                         (Presiding Officer Rose and
16
                         Atty. Iacopino conferring.)
17
                    MS. HUARD: Is that a formal
18
         objection? Oh.
19
                    PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I think I --
20
         sorry. I think I would like to hear the
21
         objection now, just so I understand what your
22
         concerns are as we're listening to the
23
         testimony.
24
                                    Okay. Well, having
                    MR. NEEDLEMAN:
```

heard Ms. Huard's questions with respect to

Exhibit 11, I have a relevance objection. I

don't understand how anything she asked is

related to the issues in this case.

And, before she asks questions on

Exhibit 10, that's a Wikipedia article. And I

have an objection to any use of Wikipedia

articles. I think they're inherently

unreasonable. They can change from day to day,

and we have no idea what the source of the

information is.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Is there any response to the objection?

MS. HUARD: As far as the relevance, you're going to be putting in a considerable amount of rust, self-weathering steel poles into our neighborhood that there are none already. And I've read of these disadvantages and these limitations. We're also within a wetland, a watershed. We are in a watershed for our local pond. And these do apply. I'd like to determine whether they're actually true or not.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: It sounds to

me as if the information would be relevant, if there is weathered steel being used in the Project. Your other -- the other aspect of your objection goes to the weight of the evidence itself.

And, so, I'm going to ask if this weathering steel is being used in the construction, towers in this Project?

WITNESS LUSZCZKI: Yes, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And, in that case, I'm going to overrule the objection as to relevance, and we will deal with the weight of it later.

BY MS. HUARD:

Q. And, going back to Exhibit 10, Page 4, under the heading titled "Disadvantages". Line two -- it's not "line 2" on everyone's page, I guess I put some numbers for the witnesses to look at. There is a line that states -- I'm sorry, lines 2 and 3, "If water is allowed to accumulate in pockets, those" --

MR. IACOPINO: Ms. Huard, can you stop for a minute? Just the exhibits that we have --

```
1
                   MS. HUARD: Uh-huh.
                   MR. IACOPINO: -- are numbered "1 out
 2
 3
         of 5", "2 out of 5", the pages in Exhibit 10,
         "4 out of 5". We don't have a page numbered
 4
 5
         "3". So, I want to make sure that we're --
                   MS. HUARD: Well, there's a heading
 6
         "Disadvantages". I gave my only copy to the
 7
         witnesses.
 8
                   MR. IACOPINO: Okay. So, on the --
9
10
         at least on the electronic exhibit we have,
         that's "4 out of 5".
11
12
                   MS. HUARD: Okay.
13
                   MR. IACOPINO: Just so that the
14
         Committee knows --
15
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: The third
16
         page.
17
                   MR. IACOPINO: It's the third page,
         but it's labeled as "Page 4 out of 5".
18
19
                   MS. HUARD: Okay.
20
                   MR. IACOPINO: I'm sorry to interrupt
21
         you. Please.
22
                   MS. HUARD: That's okay.
23
    BY MS. HUARD:
24
        So, there's a line that indicates that, "if
```

water is allowed to accumulate in pockets,

those areas will experience higher corrosion

rates", further indicating that a "provision

for drainage must be made." So, the same

thing. Do you see that comment and do you

agree with it?

- A. (Luszczki) I know nowadays, rather than drainage in some applications, a lot of the material manufacturers are going to sealed members, so as that water cannot infiltrate, rather than drainage at some locations. But, generally, yes.
- 13 Q. Ms. Farrell, do you have anything to add?

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

- 14 A. (Farrell) Generally, yes. And I will go back
 15 to the point that Mr. Plante made about
 16 detailing the connections to avoid ponding, so
 17 that that does not become an issue.
- 18 Q. So, if ponding were to be an issue in that
 19 area, would you have some concerns?
 - A. (Farrell) This would be with respect to the fabrication detail associated with the connections on the steel poles. And a strong QA/QC practice and review of steel pole -
 [Court reporter interruption.]

```
CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
```

- A. (Farrell) -- a strong QA/QC practice and making sure that the steel poles are detailed, so that ponding is avoided, is our mitigation measure for that instance.
- 6 BY MS. HUARD:

1

2

3

4

- Q. And, Mr. Plante, do you have anything to add?

 To whether you agree with the statement?
- 9 A. (Plante) No. I have nothing to add.
- 10 Q. Mr. Hudock?
- 11 A. (Hudock) No, I have nothing to add.
- 12 Q. I don't know what number, what page number this
- is, but there's a statement that says
- "Run-off", it's under "Run-off", there's a
- whole section under "Run-off". And it states
- that "Run-off from the steelwork during the
- initial years, as patina develops, will
- 18 | continue corrosion" -- "will contain corrosion
- 19 products, which can stain some substructures
- and paving slabs." Do you see that? I'm sorry
- 21 that's back on Exhibit 11. So, do you see
- 22 that?
- MR. IACOPINO: It's the bottom of the
- fourth page.

1 BY MS. HUARD:

- Q. The bottom of the fourth page. So, my question would be, do you see that statement and do you agree with that statement?
- 5 A. (Plante) Which statement? Which lines are you referring to?
- 7 Q. Under "Run-off", where it states that "during
 8 the initial years, as patina develops, it will
 9 contain corrosion products, which can stain
 10 substructures and paving slabs."
- 11 A. (Plante) I would agree with that.
- 12 Q. Mr. Luszczki?
- A. (Luszczki) I would agree with that, if the material was built prior to the patina being formed.
- 16 Q. Prior to what?
- 17 (Luszczki) I guess where I'm struggling with Α. 18 this is, these disadvantages that you pointed 19 out are if the patina is not allowed to form before it's installed. In the construction of 20 21 transmission lines, these structures sit at a 22 wareyard for several months awaiting 23 construction where the patina forms. The 24 patina has already formed when their placed

1 into the ground.

8

9

10

And I guess some of these applications

here, on the "Disadvantages" in the Wikipedia

article, I guess all of them are from the '70s.

And, at the bottom, it says "This problem has

been reduced in newer formulations of weathering steel."

- Q. Have you seeing any of the weathering steel poles that you've already put in to the Town of Hudson? Mr. Luszczki?
- 11 A. (Luszczki) Specifically? No.
- 12 Q. So, after -- you're claiming that the patina
 13 process, the curing process stops at a certain
 14 point, and is that correct?
- 15 A. (Luszczki) Yes. Once the protective layer forms.
- 17 Q. Ms. Farrell, do you have anything to add to that?
- 19 A. (Farrell) No.
- 20 Q. And, Mr. Hudock?
- 21 A. (Hudock) No.
- Q. And there's a line that claims that the risk of this run-off, this corrosive material in the run-off "is highest during the early months" --

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

1 well, we'll scratch that.

So, you're claiming that the -- going back to Mr. Luszczki's comment, you're claiming that, once the patina forms, it will no longer rust. So, therefore, there should not be a concern, in your opinion, to be placed in wetlands?

- A. (Luszczki) I'm not an environmental expert.
- Q. Okay. I think it's more of a material issue, though. You commented on the properties of the material. You're claiming that the patina has formed, contrary to what I've read, you're claiming that the patina is formed and it will stop curing at the factory. By the time it reaches the ROW, it will have already formed and will no longer continue to rust. That's what you claim. So, my question is, based on material, you feel that self-weathering steel is safe for a watershed and a wetland area?
- A. (Farrell) With regards to the direct-embed structures, those structures are supplied with what we call a "corrosion collar". It's a quarter-inch thick additional layer of steel that gets applied where the -- essentially,

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

where the ground line of the structure is located. For National Grid, it extends 18 inches above, and, for this particular Project, 36 inches below the anticipated ground line. The sacrificial steel is there for the purpose, in case there is advance corrosion, there is steel for it to go through.

In addition to that, all of the direct embed structures are coated with a mastic coating, which prevents against additional corrosion at the ground line of the steel pole structure. That mastic coating is applied not only to the corrosion collar, but to all of the steel below the surface there.

- Q. I'm all set with that subject, but I have some more questions. Mr. Luszczki, you've indicated that one of the reasons that the preferred route for the Project was selected was that the Applicant believes that "it's "not required to purchase any additional land rights". Do you recall making that statement?
- A. (Luszczki) In the prefiled testimony, yes.
- Q. In the prefiled testimony, yes. And is it your belief, Mr. Luszczki, that PSNH currently has

63

- all of these land property rights to construct,
- operate, and maintain the Project in the form
- of fee-owned parcels and easements?
- 4 A. (Luszczki) Yes.
- 5 Q. And have you included evidence of these
- 6 property rights in any of the exhibits provided
- 7 with the Application?
- 8 A. (Luszczki) I guess, I'm the line engineer, I'm
- 9 not so much property.
- 10 Q. Let me rephrase that. Have you seen evidence
- of these property rights in any of the exhibits
- 12 provided with the Application?
- 13 A. (Luszczki) In my design, I'm provided the
- extent of the right-of-way.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. (Luszczki) The boundaries of which I maintain
- and stay within.
- 18 Q. So, you were merely told that you had the
- 19 rights, is that correct?
- 20 A. (Luszczki) Correct.
- 21 Q. So, you never actually saw evidence that you
- have the rights?
- 23 A. (Luszczki) As the design engineer, I'm provided
- information from the client. We work with what

we're provided.

- 2 A. (Plante) Excuse me, can I chime in here?
- 3 Q. Sure. Go ahead.
- 4 A. (Plante) Would that be helpful?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. (Plante) We have a Real Estate Department at
- 7 Eversource that does a thorough examination on
- 8 all the property rights, throughout the
- 9 corridor, prior to the release of that corridor
- 10 to the design entity, which, in this case,
- 11 happens to be Garrett. And that Real Estate
- Department has certified to us that we have all
- of the rights, either fee rights or easement
- 14 rights, to construct the Project as designed.
- 15 Q. And who would that have been? Who made that?
- 16 A. (Plante) Who, in our Real Estate Department?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. (Plante) Terri Feuersanger is the Manager of
- that department. She has folks who work for
- her and do deed research and whatnot.
- 21 Q. So, that person is not part of the witness
- 22 panel?
- 23 A. (Plante) That's correct.
- 24 Q. So, if this department were incorrect or had

made an error, you would have no way of knowing
that?

- A. (Plante) I have opportunities to review their work.
- 5 Q. And have you --

3

4

21

22

23

- A. (Plante) I can't say that I went through and looked at every single easement along the way.
- 8 Q. But you have looked at some of the easements?
 9 I mean, you've looked at sufficient enough
 10 easements to believe that you have the rights?
- 11 A. (Plante) Yes. I've looked at a sampling of the easements.
- Q. And do you have an understanding of the various components of easements?
- 15 A. (Plante) I believe I do.
- Q. So, to your knowledge, is an easement property
 owned by the Applicant or does the Applicant
 merely have an interest in the property that
 allows the holder to use the property for a
 specific agreed-upon purpose?
 - A. (Plante) That's generally correct. We have a perpetual right and easement to use a certain piece of each property, sometimes it's the whole property, sometimes it's less, for the

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
 1
         right to construct, own, operate, maintain
 2
         transmission lines.
 3
         And that comes with a specific agreed-upon
    Q.
 4
         purpose, correct?
         (Plante) I would say, yes.
 5
 6
         This is Exhibit 7. I'm not sure how easily you
    Q.
 7
         can read that.
 8
                         [Ms. Huard distributing
9
                         documents to the witnesses.]
10
                    WITNESS PLANTE: Not with my glasses
11
         on.
12
    BY MS. HUARD:
13
         Do you recognize this document as a utility
14
         easement, Mr. Plante?
15
         (Plante) I do.
    Α.
16
         And what year was this utility easement
17
         allegedly formed in?
18
    Α.
         (Plante) 1969.
19
    Q.
         Do you see the clause that shows the agreed
20
         upon purpose? It is -- it begins with "RIGHT
21
         and EASEMENT"?
22
         (Plante) I do.
    Α.
```

And I'd like to read that into the record.

states "RIGHT and EASEMENT to construct,

Ιt

23

```
1
         repair, rebuild, operate, patrol, remove
 2
         overhead and underground lines consisting of
 3
         wires, cables, ducts, manholes, poles and
 4
         towers together with foundations, crossarms,
 5
         braces, anchors, guys, grounds and other
 6
         equipment, for transmitting electric current
         and/or intelligence over and under and across a
 7
         strip of land in the Town of Hudson, County of
 8
9
         Hillsborough, in the State of New Hampshire,
10
         bounded and described as follows: " Do you
11
         agree that this is what is stated in this
12
         clause?
13
         (Plante) Yes.
    Α.
14
         And would you agree that this is the agreed
15
         upon purpose of this easement?
16
                    MR. NEEDLEMAN:
                                    I'm going to object,
17
         to the extent that it calls for a legal
18
         conclusion.
19
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Objection is
20
         sustained.
21
    BY MS. HUARD:
22
         For the record, can you identify the location
23
         of this easement?
```

(Plante) Per the map on the final page, looks

68

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

to be the section of the right-of-way owned by

Eversource in the area of the interconnection

with the National Grid, off of David Drive, in

Hudson.

- Q. Do you know what specific property it crosses?
- A. (Plante) I'm not sure I can answer that. I'm

 not really sure what you're looking for there,

 which "specific property"?
 - Q. Well, is there an address -- I guess, is there an address on that easement that you can identify to tell me what address location that easement crosses?
 - A. (Plante) I cannot. I'd have to look at the metes and bounds description that's shown in Item 2, which describes, in surveyor's terms, the exact boundaries of that easement.
 - Q. Okay. So, going to that description, do you want to read that out loud to me or do you want me to read it into the record? I'll read it.
 - A. (Plante) You can read it.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Q. I have it bigger. "Beginning at a point in the
22 northeasterly line of the New England Power
23 Company electric transmission line easement at
24 Grantors' northeasterly boundary line at land

```
1
         of Greydanus; thence, North 66 degrees" --
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: That -- let
 2
 3
         the record indicate that that was
 4
         "northwesterly", not "northeasterly".
 5
                   MS. HUARD: Oh.
    BY MS. HUARD:
 6
 7
         "North 66 degrees, 27 minutes and 30 seconds
    0.
         East, 101.48 feet to point north, 7 degrees, 43
 8
9
         minutes East, 227.80 feet to a point; the last
10
         courses being by land of Greydanus; thence".
11
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I'm going to
12
         ask that you not read it, --
13
                   MS. HUARD: Okay.
14
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: -- because
15
         you're actually dropping text. And I think a
16
         more accurate way to convey this would be to
17
         refer to your exhibit, which is number 7, and
18
         the description is found on the first page of
19
         that exhibit.
20
                   MS. HUARD: So, I'm sure, I mean, if
21
         you strain, you can read it. It's very small
22
         print.
23
    BY MS. HUARD:
24
         And I'll ask you a couple of questions about
```

```
1 it. Do you see the third line, where it
```

- 2 says -- starts with "South 22 degrees", and
- 3 then it says "to a point in a stone wall
- 4 marking"? And, then, in the next line --
- 5 A. (Plante) Yes.
- 6 Q. -- it refers to a "stone wall"?
- 7 A. (Plante) Yes. I see that.
- 8 Q. So, after looking at this, can you identify the
- 9 location, the piece of property that this
- 10 easement is on?
- 11 A. (Plante) I would refer to the drawing that is
- 12 attached to it.
- 13 Q. Uh-huh.
- 14 A. (Plante) That's how I would identify it.
- 15 Q. And what property would that be? What number?
- I mean, what address would it be? What address
- 17 | would that -- can you identify what address
- 18 that easement is on?
- 19 A. (Plante) Not without another map to go along
- 20 with this.
- 21 Q. Then would it --
- 22 A. (Plante) And with the deeds and titles from the
- lots in the area.
- 24 Q. Uh-huh. So, by looking at this in itself, you

1 cannot tell, correct?

A. (Plante) Correct.

2

- Q. So, would it satisfy you that this is the
 easement that you gave in response to my
 request the easement that was in effect for
 PSNH right-of-way, across David Drive, in
 Hudson, including 24 David Drive? Do you
 recall providing me --
- 9 A. (Plante) I recall the data request and the submittal of this document.
- 11 Q. Uh-huh. But there's no way, at this point,

 12 looking at that, that you can tell that this is

 13 for 24 David Drive, correct?
- 14 A. (Plante) With just this document, I can't tell.

 15 Using other documents that we use to make sure

 16 that we're in the right place, then, we can,

 17 yes.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- A. (Plante) Because I don't have the -- the
 address of "24 David Drive" didn't exist in
 1969, most likely. So, it's not referenced on
 this document.
- 23 Q. I'd like to refer to Exhibit 9.

24 [Ms. Huard distributing

```
1
                        documents to the witnesses.]
    BY MS. HUARD:
 2
 3
         Do you recognize this document as a public
    Q.
         record? Or a copy of a public record?
 4
 5
         (Plante) Yes, I guess. It's a warranty deed.
 6
         And do you see the name "Lavoie" on that as the
    Q.
 7
         owner anywhere?
 8
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I just would
         like to indicate --
9
    BY THE WITNESS:
10
11
         (Plante) I don't.
    Α.
12
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: -- that this
13
         doesn't appear to be the complete document. It
14
         looks as if you have the first page of a deed.
15
                   MS. HUARD: Okay.
16
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Which is
17
         Page 366, and then the last page, which is
18
         Page 374, but there are a number of intervening
19
         pages. So, it doesn't -- it doesn't appear to
20
         be a copy of the full, official land use
21
         document.
22
    BY MS. HUARD:
23
         Well, referring to the first page that's in
24
         front of you, do you see the owner's name?
```

```
1 A. (Plante) "Jacques Savoie and Deborah A.H.
2 Savoie".
```

- Q. And does that possibly match the name of the grantor of this easement?
- 5 A. (Plante) I have no way of telling from the documents that are in front of me.
- Q. Well, does it match the name on the easement,
 as the grantor of that easement?
- 9 A. (Plante) It does not.
- 10 Q. And, so, then you're aware that the ownership
 11 in this property has been conveyed and
 12 transferred several times since 1969, correct?
- 13 A. (Plante) I'm not specifically aware of how many
 14 times. But it stands to reason that the
 15 property has transferred over the years.
- Q. And are you aware that the entire surrounding area -- areas surrounding this easement has been grossly developed since 1969?
- MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'll object to the characterization.
- 21 BY MS. HUARD:
- Q. Has been developed -- considerably developed since 1969?
- MR. NEEDLEMAN: Again, I'm not sure

/4

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

what basis the witness would have to reach that conclusion.

3 BY MS. HUARD:

6

7

8

9

- Q. Are you aware that the entire area surrounding this easement has been developed since 1969?
 - A. (Plante) I'm not specifically aware. I wasn't around in 1969 to know what it was like then.

 I mean, home -- are you referring to home development or --
- 10 Q. That it's been developed beyond the state that it was in in 1969?

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I think the
witness has indicated that he doesn't have the
knowledge.

MS. HUARD: Okay. I'll ask another question.

17 BY MS. HUARD:

Q. Referring to the -- back to Exhibit 7, the last clause I'll read into the record: "The Grantor, for themselves and their heirs, executors and administrators, successors and assigns, covenant and agree to and with the Grantee, its successors and assigns, that they will not erect or maintain any building or

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

```
other structure, or permit the erection [of]
 1
 2
         maintenance of any building or other structure
 3
         of nature upon the Strip, or change the
         existing grade or ground" -- "or ground level
 4
 5
         of the Strip by excavation or filling." Do you
 6
         see that statement?
 7
         (Plante) I do.
    Α.
         Would you consider that to be a limitation as
 8
         to what either you or the owner of that land
9
10
         can do in that route?
11
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'll object again,
         calling for a legal conclusion.
12
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: The
13
14
         objection is sustained. And I would further
15
         note that that applies to the Grantor, which is
16
         the person conveying the easement.
17
                   MS. HUARD: No. Actually -- it
18
         actually conveys -- it applies to both.
19
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: It says "the
20
         "Grantors".
21
                   MS. HUARD: And then it says "with
         the Grantee", "to and with" -- oh, it says "to
22
23
         and with the Grantee".
24
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: You "agree
```

```
Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
      [WITNESSES:
 1
         with the Grantee". Anyway, the objection is
 2
         sustained.
    BY MS. HUARD:
 3
 4
         So, you have asked, or this, back when this was
    Q.
 5
         formed, the Company asked the Grantor to comply
         with some limitations. Do you think there was
 6
 7
         a safety reason for this clause?
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'll object. It
 8
9
         calls for conjecture.
10
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I'll allow
11
         the question.
12
    BY MS. HUARD:
13
         Do you think there was a safety reason to limit
    Q.
14
         the activity in that ROW?
15
    Α.
         (Plante) It's a possibility. There's also the
         possibility that, in order to conduct our
16
17
         business as a transmission company, we need to
18
         have clear access up and down the corridor.
19
         And the changing of grades, by grading,
```

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

purchasing the easement in the first place.

conduct our business for which we are

building mounds of dirt or building homes or

any other obstruction that might be placed in

the right-of-way, would limit our ability to

20

21

22

23

So, it's a way to protect our rights, our business rights.

- Q. As part of your construction, with such a close, small part of your ROW left, will you be having to be on the other part of the land, and requiring alterations or excavations on that part of the property?
- A. (Plante) Could you clarify the question please?
- Q. As part of your construction, where you have to be on the other part of the owner's land and --
 - A. (Plante) Oh, do you mean outside out of the edge of the --
 - Q. Yes, outside of the right-of-way. First question, will you have to be on the abutter to your utility ROW? Will you have to be on that land while you're constructing? First part of the question.
 - A. (Plante) I don't believe so. I'm not specifically certain of which areas you're looking at. But our construction plan is to keep all of our construction activity within the right-of-way or the fee property that we own.

Am I answering correctly?

1 Q. Yes. So, again, this clause has been put in 2 there for limitations for the Grantor. Yet 3 your company feels that they can come in and override that on their own. The tree removal, 4 5 although it's on your utility ROW and the 6 easement portion of the land, do you feel that 7 you're forcing the landowner into a change in

their grade and ground level?

8

9

10

- A. (Plante) Again, you're going to have to clarify the question. I'm not really sure where you're --
- 12 Q. The tree removal, while it's on your

 13 right-of-way, by removing that amount of trees,

 14 are you forcing the landowner to change their

 15 grade and their land in conflict with this

 16 clause?
- 17 A. (Plante) I don't believe we're forcing the landowner to do anything.
- 19 Q. Does this easement allow you to pose any undue 20 burden or safety risk to the landowner?
- A. (Plante) This easement gives us the right to
 construct, operate, maintain, and repair
 overhead and underground transmission lines.
- 24 Q. Regardless of whether it poses an undue burden

1 or safety risk?

- 2 A. (Plante) This doesn't talk to that issue.
- MS. HUARD: I have no further questions on that issue.
- 5 BY MS. HUARD:
- Q. Mr. Suennen, do you recall stating in your prefiled testimony that "the Project will provide appropriate mitigation of the Project's traffic impacts to ensure that there will be no negative effect on public safety along the public highways and local streets" proposed to be crossed by this Project?
 - A. (Suennen) Yes. That sounds familiar.
- Q. Are you still considering using helicopters to assist in any of the line pulls across the public highways and local streets? Is that --
- 17 A. (Plante) I guess Bryan and I can help out with that.
- 19 Q. Okay.

13

20 A. (Plante) The use of helicopters for
21 construction purposes is an allowed use through
22 Eversource. Though, the actual construction
23 techniques that will be employed are up to the
24 contractor who was selected to do the

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

- construction work. So, it may or may not be
 used. We don't know yet, until we've awarded
 construction contracts.
- 4 Q. And, so, the contractor makes that decision, correct?
- A. (Plante) The contractor has the option to do
 that, and they have certain protocols to follow
 if they do.
- 9 Q. And, back to Mr. Suennen. There's 37 proposed crossings with this Project, correct?
- 11 A. (Suennen) Thirty-seven (37) aerial crossings of public highways and streets, yes.
- Q. Yes. And, during this time, is it correct that the roads be closed for eight minutes at a time?
- 16 A. (Suennen) I believe the language in my prefiled
 17 testimony says "up to eight minutes at a time".
- 18 Q. Okay. So, for not more than eight minutes at a time?
- 20 A. (Suennen) That's a fair characterization.
- Q. So, I could come to a crossing and be tied up for eight minutes?
- 23 A. (Suennen) It is a possibility that an

 24 individual driver could approach the traffic

```
control and be stopped for up to eight minutes

during the actual event where the utility --

the utility contractor is pulling the lines

across the highway. And that would occur once

or twice at each location at any given time.
```

- Q. So, while these roads are closed and these crossings are taking place, is it correct that traffic will be stopped on either side of this crossing?
- 10 A. (Suennen) That is the expectation, yes.
 - Q. And is it correct that you'll be using a combination of both police officers and flaggers to assist with these traffic stops?
 - A. (Suennen) I think it's fair to say that,
 depending on the municipalities' requirements
 and the State DOT's requirements, it could be
 police officers or it could be flaggers. It
 would be unlikely to be both at any given
 location.
- 20 Q. So, that has not yet been decided either?
- 21 A. (Suennen) Correct.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 | Q. I'd like to refer to Exhibit 51.

[Ms. Huard distributing document to the witness.]

1 BY MR. WIESNER:

- 2 Q. Do you recognize this as an exhibit that was
- 3 part of the appendices included with the
- 4 Application for this Project?
- 5 A. (Suennen) Yes.
- Q. Can you locate the sign with the flagger in this exhibit?
- 8 A. (Suennen) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, to your knowledge, will the traffic be 10 stopped before or up to the flagger while
- 11 waiting at the crossing?
- 12 A. (Suennen) The typical practice is that the
- 13 traffic is stopped at the flagger location,
- which is identified as the end of A and the
- beginning of the buffer space, also designated
- by the first cone on that approach.
- 17 Q. And can you identify the location where a
- 18 uniformed police officer would be located
- during a typical road closure?
- 20 A. (Suennen) We have shown, approximately on the
- centerline of the road, within the bounds or at
- 22 the edge of the bounds of the -- let's call it
- 23 the "road closure area", the "utility crossing
- 24 area".

```
Q. So that -- so, the uniformed police officer would actually be standing under the power lines during the road closure?
```

- A. (Suennen) Well, as shown on the detail, it's at the edge of the crossing. So, the individual officer probably wouldn't be directly under.

 That would not be practical. But the individual would be within the range of the bounds of the work area.
- Q. And, for the crossings requiring a cruiser to be present, where would that -- would those be used on a specific -- would a cruiser be used on a specific roadway, a specific type, between either state, federal or the local ones?
- A. (Suennen) I can answer that, certainly on the I-93 crossing, certainly, the major crossing, cruisers would be active throughout the work zone, from -- from their staging point for the rolling roadblock, all the way through the work zone. For other state highways, they may be employed within the -- on the shoulder or off the road, within the right-of-way of the utility. For municipal crossings, it's at the discretion of the municipal officer.

```
Q. And, so, would it typically be parked on the side of the road?
```

- A. (Suennen) Again, as I just stated, potentially, but not necessarily.
- Q. Do you see any transmission lines pictured in the exhibit in front of you?
- 7 A. (Suennen) Exhibit 51 does not show the transmission lines.
- 9 Q. So, I'd like to refer to Exhibit 35.
- 10 [Ms. Huard distributing document
- 11 to the witness.]
- 12 BY MS. HUARD:
- 13 Q. Which crossing is reflected on this map?
- 14 A. (Suennen) I believe it's the 3124 line.
- 15 Q. No. Which road crossing?
- 16 A. (Suennen) I'm sorry?
- 17 Q. Which road crossing?
- 18 A. (Suennen) Oh. Crossing David Drive.
- 19 Q. Okay. And is it correct that David Drive is
- one of the 29 locally maintained roads that are
- 21 proposed to be crossed with this Project?
- 22 A. (Suennen) Yes. I think that's fair to say.
- 23 Q. And do you see a key at the bottom of
- Exhibit 35?

1 A. (Suennen) If you're referring to the "legend",
2 yes.

- 3 Q. Yes, the "legend". And do you see a symbol for 4 "Guard Protection Area"?
- 5 A. (Suennen) Stand by. Yes, the purple box.
- Q. Yes. And would that be used in conjunction with the road crossing?
- 8 A. (Suennen) I believe so, yes.

22

23

- 9 Q. And what will that guard protection area be used for?
- 11 A. (Suennen) I might defer that to Project
 12 Management.
- 13 (Plante) I'll get that. That, I believe, is 14 the area that we would use to erect a -- what 15 we call a "guard structure", to be a couple of 16 poles set in concrete blocks with a wood 17 cross-member, to prevent the conductors, when 18 they're being installed, from coming down 19 toward the road, if, for instance, something 20 goes wrong, it's a way to protect the road, and passersby. So, a safety feature. 21
 - Q. And, do you know, Mr. Suennen, whether a police officer, a cruiser, or merely a flagger will be used for the crossing on David Drive?

```
1
   Α.
        (Suennen) I cannot say at this time. However,
2
        in -- I will direct you to Appendix AH, which
3
        include traffic management plans for public and
        local streets. Included in that appendix is
4
5
        the Town of Hudson's requirements for utility
6
               And the Town of Hudson will determine,
        work.
7
        in cooperation with the contractor, whether or
        not it will be an officer or simple standard
8
        traffic control operation.
9
```

- 10 Q. Thank you. And, if a flagger were used on this road, where would they be standing?
- 12 A. (Suennen) How precisely are you looking for?
- Q. Okay. I guess my point is, will they be standing outside of the right-of-way?
 - A. (Suennen) Okay. Outside of the utility right-of-way or outside of David Drive right-of-way, public right-of-way?
- Q. Of the utility. Well, let me refer back to 51.

 In relation to 51, would the David Drive

 crossing follow the typical crossing that is

 reflected on Exhibit 51?
- 22 A. (Suennen) That is a possibility, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.

15

16

17

24 A. (Suennen) It would be, again, according to the

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
 1
         town's requirements.
 2
         Okay. And, then -- so, then, would the
    Q.
 3
         flagger, the flagger that is shown on
 4
         Exhibit 51, where would you say that that
 5
         location would be in Exhibit 35, approximately?
 6
         (Suennen) Okay. The work area would be defined
    Α.
 7
         as the width of safe crossing for the public
         utility, and that the flagger would be located
 8
9
         roughly 50 to 100 feet from the edge of that
10
         safe crossing area, and at the side of the
11
         road.
12
    Q.
         Well, at any point, would they be placed under
13
         the actual utility ROW -- inside the utility
14
         ROW?
15
         (Suennen) Should not be.
    Α.
16
         And, so, the crossing on David Drive will be
    Q.
17
         done between the hours of 7:30 and 4:00 p.m.,
```

- 18 correct?
- 19 (Suennen) I would have to confirm what I put in Α. 20 my testimony. Pardon me.

21 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Ms. Huard, 22 we generally take a break at about this point.

MS. HUARD: Okay.

23

24

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: And, so, do

```
1
         you have a lot more questions?
 2
                   MS. HUARD: I do. I'm right in the
 3
         middle of -- I probably have another maybe 5,
         10 minutes.
 4
 5
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Okay. Let's
 6
         take --
 7
                   MS. HUARD: Can we finish David
         Drive?
 8
9
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Sure.
    BY THE WITNESS:
10
11
         (Suennen) Okay. So, in my prefiled testimony,
12
         I indicated "most likely weekdays 8:00 a.m. to
13
         3:30 p.m."
14
    BY MS. HUARD:
15
         I believe, if I'm not correct, if you're
16
         referring to Appendix AH and Hudson, can you
17
         confirm that that states "7:30 to 4:00"?
18
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
19
    BY MS. HUARD:
20
    Q.
         Appendix AH. And then --
21
         (Suennen) What is stated under the
22
         "Construction Schedule", and I'll quote here:
23
         "The traffic controls would be set up after
24
         7:30 a.m. and all traffic controls will be
```

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

1 removed from the roadway by 4:00 p.m."

- 2 Q. Okay.
- A. (Suennen) Indicating that the traffic control has to precede the construction.
- Q. And, during this time, to your knowledge, will the other lines in the utility ROW be carrying a current or voltage?
- 8 A. (Suennen) I would assume so. I would defer to the Project Managers.
- 10 A. (Plante) Yes. That would be true.
- 11 Q. And, so, during this crossing again, the
 12 traffic will be stopped for up to eight minutes
 13 at a time, correct?
- 14 A. (Suennen) Yes. Correct.
- Q. And, at this time, will any of the traffic stopped at this crossing be required to be stopped within the utility ROW?
- A. (Suennen) It would be stopped along David

 Drive, within the easement or right-of-way
 bounds of the utility, yes.
- Q. And how long do you anticipate that cycle of
 eight minutes stoppages to take place on David
 Drive?
- 24 A. (Suennen) I'm sorry. Clarify.

```
Q. The cycles of up to eight minutes, you're going to do eight minutes, stop, and then go back and do another eight minutes?
```

A. (Suennen) It is a possibility, two or -- two is what's expected. It could be a third, if there's some situation. But not -- it would be few. And the traffic would be allowed to free-flow after each minute closure prior to the next start of an eight minute closure.

MS. HUARD: I'm all set with David Drive. I reserve the right to come back to this subject.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Okay. Thank you. We will take a break until 12:00. So, we have about 12 minutes. Thank you.

(Recess taken at 11:48 a.m. and the hearing resumed at 12:01 p.m.)

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: We'll go back on the record. And, Ms. Huard, you may continue with your questions.

MS. HUARD: Thank you.

23 BY MS. HUARD:

Q. I'd like to ask you a question about the

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

state-maintained highway crossing, refer to Exhibit 40.

[Ms. Huard distributing document
to the witness.]

5 BY MS. HUARD:

- Q. Mr. Suennen, is it correct that there are seven state-maintained roads proposed to be crossed by the Project?
- 9 A. (Suennen) Yes, I believe so.
- 10 Q. And would one of those be New Hampshire 128?
- 11 A. (Suennen) Yes.
- 12 Q. And do you see New Hampshire 128 on this map?
- 13 A. (Suennen) I do.
- Q. And, so, again, would it be this town, that
 type of standard, this town would determine
 whether it was a police officer, a cruiser, or
 a flagger that would assist you with this
 crossing?
- 19 A. (Suennen) Yes, they have say. But, because it
 20 is a state highway, the State District 5 Office
 21 may dictate to the contractor to use police
 22 officers versus flaggers.
- Q. Okay. But you don't know that for certain or are you saying that that is the standard for a

state-maintained highway?

- A. (Suennen) That is the standard for a state

 highway, is that the state highway gets to

 make -- get to make the first determination.

 If they do not decide, then the municipality

 has the authority.
- Q. And can you tell or do you know whether a cruiser will be used at this crossing?
- 9 A. (Suennen) I can not tell at this time.
- 10 Q. And would you say that this is a typical -- or,

 11 let me backtrack. Would you say that the ROW

 12 intersects with the proposed road to be crossed

 13 -- the proposed road to be crossed in a

 14 perpendicular manner?
- 15 A. (Suennen) To clarify, if you are asking if the

 16 utility lines crossed the state highway

 17 perpendicularly, I would say "no".
- 18 Q. Thank you. Would you say that this is a long -- longer crossing than a typical crossing?
- 21 A. (Suennen) How are you defining a "typical crossing"?
- Q. Distance, the amount of time that a commuter would be under or under high voltage

1 transmission lines, as opposed to just going
2 perpendicular across the ROW.

- A. (Suennen) I guess, I'm sorry, I'm still not clear on what you're asking.
- 5 Q. In a typical arrangement, the road and the
 6 right-of-way meet in a perpendicular manner.
 7 And, in this instance, would you say that the
 8 road -- the road is underneath the power lines
 9 within the right-of-way for a longer than
 10 typical amount of time?
- 11 A. (Suennen) I'm not sure how I can answer that.
- 12 Q. Okay.

3

- 13 A. (Suennen) Let me offer -- let me offer this.

 14 Because I think -- and tell me if I'm incorrect

 15 in the assumption.
- 16 Q. Uh-huh.
- 17 (Suennen) I think what you're asking is, Α. 18 because the utility lines do not cross the 19 highway at a perpendicular angle, would the 20 drivers be stopped under the utility lines 21 longer than would be necessary if it was a 22 perpendicular crossing? And I would argue 23 "no", because the "up to eight minutes" would 24 apply regardless of the perpendicularity of the

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1 crossing.
```

- Q. Because of the angle, would they be stopped further into the right-of-way than at a typical crossing?
- 5 A. (Suennen) Okay. The amount of roadway that is
 6 within the -- within the utility right-of-way
 7 is greater. So, potentially, yes.
- 8 Q. Thank you. I'd like to refer to Exhibit 49.
 9 [Ms. Huard distributing document
 to the witness.]

11 BY MS. HUARD:

- 12 Q. And which crossing is reflected on this map?
- 13 A. (Suennen) This map appears to the show the I-93
 14 northbound and southbound crossing.
- 15 Q. And what type of highway would that be?
- 16 A. (Suennen) I-93 is an Interstate highway, Class
 17 lin New Hampshire.
- 18 Q. Thank you. And would you say this is far from
 19 the typical crossing? Would you say this is
 20 outside of the typical crossing as pictured in
 21 Exhibit 51?
- 22 A. (Suennen) Again, I'm sorry. When you're -- how are you defining "typical crossing"?
- 24 Q. Will it follow the typical pattern as outlined

```
[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
```

in Exhibit 51, which was for a typical
crossing? All right. Let me -- are there
different procedures for the crossing of 93 and
different criteria than in a typical crossing
as outlined in Exhibit 51?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. (Suennen) Okay. Yes. Absolutely. I-93, being a much higher class road, being a limited access highway, limited access controls -- I'm sorry, controlled access right-of-way, NHDOT has different requirements and different standards for the utility operation and the traffic control operations to cross I-93.
- Q. So, is it correct that the crossings will take place over I-93 during the hours of 9:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m.?
- 16 A. (Suennen) Those are the hours that were given to me by the District Office.
- 18 Q. Okay. But that hasn't been set in stone yet,
 19 is that correct to say?
- A. (Suennen) That's correct. As part of the
 requirement for the contractors, they have to
 have a pre-construction meeting with the
 District Office, and those final details will
 be worked out at that -- at that meeting.

WITNESSES. Dlanta Hudaah Eannall Ivazzahi Suangar

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1 Q. Is there a possibility at all that this
2 crossing will be done during the regular hours
3 of the day?
```

- A. (Suennen) If you, by -- "regular hours" means
 "weekdays, during daylight hours"?
- 6 Q. Uh-huh.
- 7 A. (Suennen) It's a possibility, but not a distinct likelihood.
- 9 Q. Okay. And along -- and, with this type of
 10 highway crossing, will you typically use the
 11 combination of flaggers, police officers, and
 12 cruisers as a rule?
- A. (Suennen) No. Flaggers would likely not be
 used. The NHDOT District Office has indicated
 that they would allow a rolling roadblock with
 State troopers only, State troopers and
 cruisers.
- Q. And will the traffic be stopped in eight minute cycles here as well? In up-to-eight-minute cycles here as well?
- A. (Suennen) That's the expectation, yes. Well,
 and I will say, to clarify, traffic may not be
 fully stopped. In a rolling roadblock, the
 police officers would pull into traffic in each

1 of the lanes of flow, slowing down sufficiently 2 to allow enough time for the crossing operation 3 to occur, until they pass through the location. 4 If they get -- if the police officers get to 5 the location, and the work is not yet 6 completed, and the eight minutes hasn't 7 expired, it is possible that the officers will then stop traffic. 8

- Q. So, during the time following the rolling roadblock, this traffic will be going at a slower pace than normal, is that correct?
- 12 A. (Suennen) That is correct, yes.

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And does it have the potential for being stopped directly under the high voltage transmission lines, the traffic?
 - A. (Suennen) I cannot say. It depends on where the state troopers decide to stop, in the event they have to stop.
 - Q. Mr. Suennen, have you considered or consulted or discussed with your EMF experts the risks of the cruisers, police officers, flaggers, and stopped vehicles, along with their drivers and pedestrians, may conduct a current while in close proximity to or under transmission lines

```
1
         while working or waiting at a traffic stoppage?
 2
    Α.
         (Suennen) I have not.
 3
                   MS. HUARD: I have no further
         questions.
 4
 5
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Thank you.
 6
         Is there any redirect?
 7
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I do have some. Do
         you want me to go now or after the Committee
 8
9
         asks questions?
10
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I think it
11
         will be after the Committee, sorry. Committee
12
         members, do you have questions of the witness
13
         panel?
14
                   MS. WEATHERSBY: I have a few,
15
         following up on a couple of Ms. Huard's
16
         questions.
17
    BY MS. WEATHERSBY:
18
    Q.
         Concerning the wire pulling, would that --
19
         would wire pulling, if done by a helicopter,
20
         would that have less effect on the environment
21
         or on traffic interruption than doing it by
22
         more conventional means?
23
         (Plante) In general, yes, there will be less
24
         effect. However, the helicopter doesn't
```

actually pull the wire. The helicopter would pull in a smaller rope, which would be connected to the structures through pulling wheels hanging from the insulators, until it gets to the end of the pole where it would be connected to the conductor, and the conductor would be pulled back in the other direction.

So, the helicopter, yes, would install pulling ropes with less need to be on the ground in between each of the structures, and the necessity to stop traffic is much less in those cases as well.

- Q. And can you tell me why that isn't being used here?
- 15 A. (Plante) Why it is not?
- 16 Q. Uh-huh.

A. (Plante) We don't know that it is not. As I mentioned earlier, it is the choice of the contractor. Some contractors are very good at doing work using helicopters, others prefer other methods. So, we'll be entering into a competitive bidding process to select the contractor. And, you know, once they have been selected, we'll work out the actual

1 installation techniques to be used.

- Q. Okay. And concerning the self-weathering steel poles, if, before the patina has formed and there is some run-off, what are the substances that actually are -- make the run-off? What are the chemicals that come off the run-off? And what effects, if any, do those substances have on wetlands or vegetation?
- A. (Plante) Again, I'm not an environmental scientist. However, the literature that I've been privy to indicates that the corrosion products are generally iron oxides, which is a fairly naturally occurring substance. And the literature that was in the steel construction documents that Ms. Huard had presented actually indicates that contamination is very unlikely for vegetation and water resources.
- Q. Thank you. Concerning the tree clearing, I know I'm jumping around a bit, but I'm just hitting a few points here. Concerning the tree clearing, I know that there will be some additional -- fair amount of additional tree clearing in the right-of-way so that you can construct the new line. But, once the line is

constructed, will the line -- will that entire

area of new clearing remain cleared or will -
during the maintenance phase, can some of that

cleared area be revegetated?

- A. (Plante) Essentially, the entire area will be vegetated to some extent after the initial clearing is done. And our vegetation management processes allow for certain lowering growing species to be maintained within the corridor. So, basically, in the area directly under the conductors, much lower-growing species, and, as you get further away from the conductors, toward the edge of the corridor, the species that are allowed to stay within the right-of-way get to be incrementally taller.
- 16 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 A. (Plante) But we do have a regular maintenance cycle for right-of-way clearing.
- 19 Q. But, along the edge of the right-of-way, if
 20 it's not necessary to maintain the line, some
 21 taller species are allowed to --
- A. (Plante) Correct. Like dogwoods, for instance.

 You know, they grow short and broad, but they

 don't get tall. So, they're much less likely

to become a problem for the operation of the transmission line.

- Q. Concerning the public outreach, if a member of the public makes a request of Eversource to be kept updated concerning any construction activities in their neighborhood, is there a mechanism that they will be automatically, say, email notification or some kind of automatic notification that they're just on the list to receive updates?
- A. (Plante) We have a robust outreach team, and I'm sure that could be accommodated. I'm making a commitment to them right now.

MS. WEATHERSBY: I think that's all I have for right now. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Any other members?

18 CMSR. ROSE: Thank you.

19 BY CMSR. ROSE:

Q. I did want to just pick up a little bit on the questioning as it pertains to the crossings over I-93. And you referenced that the time of day that those crossings would be would take place between "9:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m.", is

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1 that correct?
```

- A. (Suennen) Yes. Again, those are the times that
 the NHDOT District Office gave me when we had
 pre-application meetings.
- Q. Okay. And, based on schedules, do you have an expected time of year in which you would anticipate that particular crossing to be taking place?
- 9 A. (Suennen) I'll defer that to the Project

 10 Managers for Eversource.
- 11 A. (Plante) I would expect that to be somewhere in
 12 the second quarter of 2017, or maybe early
 13 third quarter of 2017. And, actually, more
 14 likely to be third quarter of 2017, I'm sorry.
- 15 Q. So, most likely during the summertime?
- 16 A. (Plante) Yes, summer to fall.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Thank you. I also had another question as it pertained to any sort of either mitigation or identification of closings for recreational trails during the course of the Project. And I was just curious if you could give any description as to what would be done, in order to be able to -- there's a handful of recreational trails that would be impacted.

So, in terms of identifying when those closures were going to be taking place?

- A. (Suennen) Are you asking specifically on the schedule of when they'd make the crossings or how they would make the crossings?
- Q. I guess mostly, well, first, let's start with how they would make those identifications as to when those crossings would be taking place?

 When those trails would be closed?
- A. (Suennen) I think that sounds like a public outreach question. So, I'll defer to the Project Manager.
- A. (Plante) I guess that is a public outreach question. I guess I can't answer you right now of exactly when, in the Project schedule, each of those trails might be affected. But we could certainly agree on some method of communicating that to the users of those facilities.

I'm not sure if I'm really answering your question or not.

Q. I think you're probably right, that it's likely outreach. But, based on the number of trails that will -- recreational trails that will be

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

impacted, I think that's an important component
that's taken into consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Any other
Committee members with questions?

BY MS. ROBERGE:

- Q. I was wondering if you could maybe expand a little bit on the weathering finish on the steel structures. You had talked about how they sit now in a yard and form that patina. Is that a requirement that's kind of built into, I know you referenced an "ASTM" method, but perhaps is there something from the utilities that that finish has to be formed prior to installation or the idea of it being already in place prior to it happening after the structures are erected or put into the ground? Is there some sort of requirement, either by National Grid or PSNH, that that patina has to be formed?
- A. (Plante) I guess I'll start off with that. I
 think it's not a requirement of Eversource, and
 I would doubt that it's a requirement of
 National Grid as well, that the patina is
 formed prior to construction. It just happens

1 to be more of a consequence of the construction process. We need to order and receive our 2 3 material in advance of construction starting. 4 And, in the case of a project of this 5 magnitude, you know, it's a long duration 6 before all of the structures actually make it 7 from the yard, wherever they're manufactured, to our marshalling yard, and then from the yard 8 9 out to the project site for construction. So, 10 it's just kind of a consequence, rather than a 11 requirement. 12 PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Any other Committee members with questions? 13 14 MS. WEATHERSBY: Go ahead. 15 BY MR. IACOPINO: 16 Q. Just for the Project Managers, are you aware of 17 any -- any project where the patina has caused 18 any kind of contamination either of water or 19 vegetation in the vicinity of a newly 20 constructed power line? 21 (Plante) I am not. Α. 22 (Hudock) I am not aware. 23 And, then, my next question is about David

Drive, about the traffic. How would you

1 characterize David Drive as a road?

A. (Suennen) I'm not sure of its class. I want to say it's a Class V, but I'd have to check into that. And I don't have the traffic volume numbers at this time, but a low-volume, local road.

MR. IACOPINO: I don't have any other questions.

MS. WEATHERSBY: I had one additional question.

PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Yes.

BY MS. WEATHERSBY:

- Q. In the materials, there's a lot of very thorough and helpful information concerning the technical and managerial capabilities of both Eversource and New England Power. So, I'm wondering why Black & Veatch is hired? What do they bring to the table concerning technical and managerial expertise that isn't already in place?
- A. (Hudock) So, Black & Veatch is employed by

 National Grid for their engineering and design.

 It isn't necessarily a capability that National

 Grid has, it's just more a matter of

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

```
1
         determining the appropriate level of
 2
         resourcing. In this case, the decision was
 3
         made that we needed to provide -- or, have an
         outside consultant provide those resources.
 4
 5
         It's not a special capability that National
         Grid doesn't have in-house.
 6
 7
         (Farrell) Yes. Essentially, Black & Veatch was
    Α.
         brought on to supplement and to work under me,
 8
9
         the direction of Transmission Engineering for
10
         the purposes of this Project, just as
11
         additional resources as they were required to
12
         support.
13
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: No other
14
         questions?
15
                         [No verbal response.]
16
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: I think,
17
         then, it would be up to the Applicant, if you
18
         have any redirect?
19
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I do. Just a couple
20
         of brief questions. I'll start with Mr. Hudock
21
         and Mr. Plante.
22
                         [Atty. Needleman distributing
23
                         documents to the witnesses.]
24
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I just handed them a
```

[WITNESSES: Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]

copy of Appendix N, as in "Nancy", of the Application. Appendix N is the Project Outreach Summary.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:

Q. And, this, obviously, was a summary that was done at the time that the Application was filed. And it contains outreach information with respect to abutters, the community in general, town government and other government officials in the area.

And my question to you is, has there been any additional outreach since the time that this document was prepared? And, if so, can you describe that?

A. (Hudock) Yes. I'll start with that. The outreach on this Project has been a continuing effort. So, we have been conducting outreach activities for the Project for just about a year and a half now, with all manner of outreach, from public meetings, to meetings with elected officials, as has been mentioned previously, having a website and a phone number, direct mailings, door-to-door

{SEC 2015-05} [Day 1/Morning Session ONLY] {06-13-16}

canvassing. And, so, that effort has continued through the Application, and will continue through the construction of the Project, with, again, the same types of activities that will proceed forward.

So, since the Application, there's been several meetings with abutters, there's been meetings with public officials. And, again, as we move towards the construction of the Project itself, there will be another round of efforts, in terms of press releases, public meetings, maintaining the website and phone number, as well as door-to-door canvassing.

- Q. Mr. Plante, anything to add to that from the Eversource perspective?
- A. (Plante) That's pretty encompassing. We would add that we've had presentations and meetings with state legislators, chambers of commerce, some door-to-door Project briefings with property owners who made contact with us looking for information.

And, we've had eight mass mailings relative to certain Project development activities that we've done throughout the

```
Plante~Hudock~Farrell~Luszczki~Suennen]
      [WITNESSES:
 1
         process, to go from a concept project to a
 2
         design project, survey, soil borings and
 3
         whatnot, where we had actual activity out in
 4
         the Project area. We did mass mailings to
 5
         inform people of that activity prior to
 6
         beginning.
 7
         All right. And, then, one other topic to ask
    Q.
 8
         you about. Ms. Huard asked you some questions
9
         about various deeds. And I want to zoom out.
10
         In the Application, Section (b)(6) of the
11
         Application, contains representations from both
12
         Companies that you each, either by fee interest
13
         or by easement interest, have all of the rights
14
         that you need to construct the proposed
15
         Project. Is that correct?
16
    Α.
         (Plante) That's correct.
17
         (Hudock) That's correct.
    Α.
18
    Q.
         And the Application was submitted under oath.
19
         Do you recall that?
20
         (Plante) I do.
```

would cause you to question whether you have

And, since the time the Application was filed,

has any information come to your attention that

21

22

23

24

Α.

Q.

(Hudock) Yes.

```
1
         the necessary rights to construct this Project?
 2
    Α.
         (Plante) No.
 3
         (Hudock) No.
    Α.
 4
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you. I have
 5
         nothing further.
                    PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Thank you.
 6
 7
         I think we will break now for lunch. Let's
         break until 1:30. We'll resume at that time.
 8
9
         And I think we will be beginning our next
10
         witness panel, is that correct?
11
                   MR. NEEDLEMAN: That's correct.
12
                   PRESIDING OFFICER ROSS: Okay.
                                                    Thank
13
         you.
14
                         (Lunch recess taken at 12:29
15
                         p.m. and concludes the Day 1
16
                         Morning Session. The hearing
17
                         continues under separate cover
18
                         in the transcript noted as Day 1
19
                         Afternoon Session ONLY.)
20
21
22
23
24
```