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| STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE <br> September 8, 2015-6:00 p.m. <br> Mountain Club on Loon Resort \& Spa <br> 90 Loon Mountain Road <br> Lincoln, New Hampshire <br> IN RE: SEC DOCKET NO. 2015-06 JOINT APPLICATION OF NORTHERN PASS, LLC AND PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE d/b/a EVERSOURCE ENERGY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF SITE AND FACILITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW HIGH-VOLTAGE ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINE IN NEW HAMPSHIRE: <br> Public Information Session held pursuant to RSA 162-H:10. <br> (Presentation by Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Eversource Energy, followed by a Question-and-Answer Session) <br> PRESIDING: Hon. Kathleen McGuire (Retired) (Presiding as the Moderator) <br> COURT REPORTERS: Susan J. Robidas, LCR No. 44 <br> \{SEC 2015-06\}[Lincoln - Presentation/Q\&A] \{09-08-15\} | 1 I N D E X  <br> 2   <br> 3  PAGE <br> 4 Presentation by Mr. Quinlan 13 <br> 5 Question-And-Answer Session 30 <br> 6   <br> 7   <br> 8   <br> 9   <br> 10   <br> 11   <br> 12   <br> 13   <br> 15   <br> 16   <br> 17   <br> 18   <br> 21   <br> 20   <br> 21   |
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|  | ge 4 |
| NOTED AS PRESENT: <br> Counsel for the Applicant: Barry Needleman, Esq. <br> (McLane Middleton) <br> 3 <br> Thomas B. Getz, Esq. <br> (Devine Millimet) <br> Also noted as present for <br> Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and <br> 8 Eversource Energy Project Team who provided answers to questions: <br> 9 <br> Bill Quinlan, President, Eversource New Hampshire <br> 10 Jim Muntz, President of Transmission, Eversource Sam Johnson, Sr. Project Manger, Burns \& McDonnell <br> 11 Bob Clarke, Dir. of Siting/Outreach..., Eversource Lee Carbonneau, Sr. Principal Scientist, Normandeau <br> 12 Jerry Fortier, Project Director, Eversource Chris Soderman, Senior Engineer, Eversource <br> 13 Mark Hodgdon, Outside Counsel to Eversource Brian Bosse, Engineer, Eversource | PR OCEEDINGS <br> THE MODERATOR: Good evening. <br> My name is Kathleen McGuire, and I'm a retired superior court judge. I was a judge for 25 years before I recently fully retired. I spent a good deal of time in Grafton County, particularly when I was new on the bench. They tended to send new judges up to Grafton County. But I love staying at the Eastgate and walking downtown to Littleton at night, and I really miss my trips up here. <br> My function tonight is to moderate this public information session about the Northern Pass Project. This is a 192-mile transmission line proposed to bring energy from hydroelectric plants in Canada into New Hampshire and the rest of New England. The Project is being proposed jointly by Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource Energy. I wanted to thank you all very much for coming out tonight to participate in this event. <br> Tonight's public information |



| Page 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| difficult job that they have of getting down <br> everything that everybody says. And in that way, this is procedurally more like a <br> courtroom than a town hall meeting, which is not on the record and people can -- it's much more free-flowing -- because they're responsible for getting down everything everybody says, making a transcript of that and submitting your comments, and your questions and the answers to the SEC. <br> Another reason that we followed this format is it's the format that the SEC itself uses if you attend subsequent sessions. At least in the past, that's how the SEC has done it. So we're following the same format as the SEC. Again, the obligation to take a record and to make a transcript is a statutory requirement. There is an obligation to do that, and we have a statutory requirement to do so. And again, we don't have -- there's a lot of people here. A lot of people have questions. A lot of people will want to comment. And we need to follow an efficient procedure so that | or anything. It goes with the comments. And the other thing is you'll then have the opportunity to come up and make that comment later. <br> All right. The third portion of the program is the comment session. In order to comment, if you want to comment, you just go to the back of the room, write down on a sheet of paper your name and your town and your address. In receiving comments, preference will be given to Grafton County residents, homeowners, business owners, and then non-residents will follow. If you don't want to speak at the microphone but still want a comment be part of the record with the SEC, just write it down, and that -- and your written comment will be made part of the Application. <br> The third part -- I'm sorry. So that's what we're going to do tonight. And I just want to talk to you a little bit now before we have Mr. Quinlan come up, and that is the administrative process that will follow. <br> This public information session is the |
| 1 everybody gets that opportunity. So, those <br> 2 are the reasons. <br> $3 \quad$ Besides not asking questions that are <br> 4 merely repetition -- repetitious, I'm not <br> 5 going to ask questions that aren't really <br> 6 questions. Sometimes they're comments or <br> 7 they're opinions. And so I will take that <br> 8 comment or opinion and I'll put it aside and <br> 9 it will go in with the comments that will be <br> 10 submitted to the SEC. And I'll trust that <br> the person who wrote the comment will take <br> advantage of the portion of the program where members of the public get up, come to that microphone and give their opinions or make comments about the Project. So the member of the public who spoke to me said there was a concern that all the questions weren't being asked. And those are really the only two types of questions that I won't ask: If it's already been asked and answered, and if it's not really a question but a comment or opinion, which you are certainly -- as I said, that will be preserved as part of the record. Your comment doesn't get thrown out | 1 first step in a lengthy administrative process. The next step will be for Northern Pass to file its application with the SEC. Pursuant to R.S.A. Chapter 162-H, this may occur no sooner than 30 days after completing the five public information sessions. The Application, including all public comments, will be available online at the SEC web site, and hard copies will be delivered to each town affected by the Project. Once the Application is filed, the SEC has 60 days to determine whether to accept it. Within 45 days after acceptance, the SEC will conduct another set of public information sessions in each county. Additionally, within 90 days after the Application is accepted, the SEC and other state agencies are required to hold joint public hearings. In the past, those hearings involve, like tonight's session, the Applicant presenting information about the proposed project, the public making comments, and the SEC moderating a written public question-and-answer session. Additionally, the SEC and the state agencies also ask the |




| 1 | We also announced the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | establishment of a ForwardNH Fund. This is a |
| 3 | fund that is going to be used really for |
| 4 | community betterment projects in the |
| 5 | communities that are hosting the line. I've |
| 6 | got some examples I'll share with you later. |
| 7 | But this is, again, directly focused on |
| 8 | feedback that we received. If a community is |
| 9 | going to have a new line run through it, it |
| 10 | can't just be about tax benefits. There has |
| 11 | to be something else in it for those |
| 12 | communities, okay. |
| 13 | This third line, which I've |
| 14 | been hearing consistently here in New |
| 15 | Hampshire, particularly of late, is the |
| 16 | importance of jobs. You know, this project |
| 17 | needs to create job opportunities for New |
| 18 | Hampshire citizens and residents. So we |
| 19 | announced a month or so ago a New Hampshire |
| 20 | Jobs partnership, which is going to give |
| 21 | basically a New Hampshire First approach to |
| 22 | this entire project. So if you think about a |
| 23 | \$1.4, \$1.5 million construction project and |
| 24 | the number of jobs required to execute that, |
|  | Page 22 |
| 1 | each and every opportunity we have to source |
| 2 | that with either existing New Hampshire |
| 3 | contractors or labor force, we're going to do |
| 4 | that. In some instances, we're actually |
| 5 | going to train New Hampshire residents in a |
| 6 | new skill. So we're going to use this as an |
| 7 | opportunity to create careers for those who |
| 8 | are interested. So that's terribly important |
| 9 | to many here in New Hampshire. We estimate, |
| 10 | you know, over 2,000 construction jobs, which |
| 11 | is a big deal. It's a big deal for the state |
| 12 | of New Hampshire, particularly when a lot of |
| 13 | this project is up in the North Country. |
| 14 | That type of economic stimulus is key. |
| 15 | You know, I put the tax figure |
| 16 | up here. That's a statewide figure. It's |
| 17 | about \$30 million a year in new tax revenues. |
| 18 | I'll show you in a minute what that means to |
| 19 | Grafton County and to your individual towns. |
| 20 | And then, finally, you know, |
| 21 | from a clean energy perspective, what is this |
| 22 | project going to do? If you think about the |
| 23 | history of Northern Pass, it was originally |
| 24 | envisioned as a way for New Hampshire and New |

1 England to meet its carbon emission goals.
2 You know, if you're focused on global warming, focused on climate change, you know how much attention moving the generation from fossil fuels to hydro and other renewables is it's the key to the future. There's no project like Northern Pass in that regard. You know, there's certainly a place for intermittent sources, like solar and wind. But fundamentally, you have to have a baseload source of electricity to balance those intermittent sources. Northern Pass is the perfect solution. We estimate about 3 million tons a year in carbon emissions reduction as a result of the Project.

Finally, we've also announced as part of our ForwardNH Plan our intention to use properties that we've acquired as part of the Northern Pass Project and a mixed-use application, particularly in the North Country. We're going to look for community initiatives that will allow us to find good and appropriate mixed uses that are appropriate in the North Country, whether

1 it's economic development, recreational 2 purposes, resource preservation. A mix. And 3 that, we think, is terribly important to the 4 North Country.

When you total all that up, it's approaching $\$ 4$ billion worth of direct economic benefits to the state of New Hampshire. My view of that is these are conservative numbers. These numbers could well be higher in many categories. So we try to err on the side of conservatism. But it's a big number. And it was designed and quantified and structured specifically based upon feedback we've gotten from New Hampshire, which is, you know, this perception that this project was all about getting power to Boston and/or Connecticut. So, right now, the lion's share of the benefits actually reside in New Hampshire, okay.

With that, Andrea, we're going to queue up a short video that's going to provide more detail around ForwardNH, and then we'll drill down more specifically into

|  | Page 25 |  | Page 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grafton County. | 1 | reduced. And as I said earlier, where |
| 2 | hereupon a video presentation was | 2 | ssible or appropriate, we are pursuing a |
|  | wn to the members of the public.) | 3 | re streamline structure. So when you look |
| 4 | THE MODERATOR: There are | 4 | at these red areas, this is what I'm |
|  | seats down here in front. You're not going | 5 | referring to. Those are areas that one of |
| 6 | to get called on, I promise you. And there | 6 | our experts, whether our view expert or |
|  | a lot of seats over there as well on the | 7 | historic resources expert, have identified as |
| 8 | r -- on my far right if you want to fill | 8 | warranting specific attention, that the view |
| 9 | those in. Okay. |  | pact should be mitigated through the use of |
| 10 | MR. QUINLAN: Okay. So a | 10 | more streamline pole. That's referred to |
| 11 | etail about Grafton County. As the | 11 | a "monopole." Just to illustrate what I'm |
| 12 | eo indicates, the line will enter Grafto | 12 | referring to, that's a typical transmission |
| 13 | county in Bethlehem. It runs overhead | 13 | structure to the left, a lattice structure |
| 14 | ough a portion of Bethlehem and then | 14 | (indicating). Looks like an erector set. |
| 15 | sically transitions to underground | 15 | Has four legs and cross bracing. A monopole |
| 16 | nstruction. The dashed area through Sugar | 16 | looks more like a telephone pole. It's a |
| 17 | ill, Franconia, Easton, Woodstock, down to | 17 | single pole. You can't hang your cross arm |
| 18 | Bridgewater is underground. The Project then | 18 | or your wire from it. So in those areas in |
| 19 | 㖪 | 19 | , we're going to have monopole |
| 20 | All of the overhead construction in Grafton | 20 | construction, based upon input from our |
| 21 | County is in an existing right-of-way. So | 21 | expert. So there are 19 monopole |
| 2 | there's existing transmission lines in a | 22 | uctures that we identified here in this |
| 23 | cleared right-of-way that basically will run | 23 | unty. I think it's out of a total |
| 24 | parallel to that existing right-of-way. So, | 24 | structure count of about 89. And up and down |
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| 1 | istically | 1 | we've identified 140 locatio |
| 2 | derground constructio |  | here we're going to use a monopole |
| 3 | erhead construction. When you look at the | 3 | construction. This is again an effort to |
| 4 | ight of those overhead structures -- and | 4 | reduce view impacts based upon impact |
| 5 | again, this is something we've been working | 5 | analysis from our experts. We're going to |
| 6 | on, we're going to continue to work on to get | 6 | continue to work locally to identify any |
| 7 | these structures as low as we can. Our now | 7 | other areas that might warrant that type of |
| 8 | most common structure in Grafton County | 8 | attention, that type of design, as well as |
| 9 | approximately 80 feet in height. So those | 9 | the structure location and structure height, |
| 10 | have come down a fair amount from what you've | 10 | okay. So that's a drill down into Grafton |
| 11 | seen previously. As a result of the changes | 11 | County. Here is a drill down into the tax |
| 12 | we've made, more underground construction, | 12 | benefits here in this county. As the video |
| 13 | tera, and different cable, we've been able | 13 | mentioned and I mentioned earlier, it's about |
| 14 | to eliminate approximately 400 structures | 14 | a \$30-million-a-year increase in tax revenue |
| 15 | Grafton County. You know, again, we selected | 15 | across the state of New Hampshire. Much of |
| 16 | that area, that White Mountain National | 16 | that does reside here in Grafton County. |
| 17 | Forest, Franconia Notch, Appalachian Tra | 17 | Somewhere between $\$ 5$ and $\$ 8$ million a year |
| 18 | ea, based upon feedback we received pretty | 18 | would go to municipalities here in Grafton |
| 19 | nsistently across the state of New | 19 | County. And then you'll see ranges for each |
| 20 | mpshire. So, all of that will b | 20 | f the towns here in this state that would be |
| 21 | erground construction, and the line wil | 21 | hosting the line. So, for many |
| 22 | not be visible from those key vantage points. | 22 | municipalities, this is obviously a |
| 23 | In this portion of the route, | 23 | important factor as they think about the |
| 24 | the average structure heights have been | 24 | Project. You can see where your town lines |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| particularly the impact of blasting. <br> MR. JOHNSON: Sure. So, <br> blasting, obviously, is needed in the state because of the amount of granite that's here. <br> And it's pretty much prevalent on any kind of roadway project that's done in the state. <br> What we'll do is do what we call "pre-blasting surveys," which means we'll go out and photograph and assess, really, any neighboring foundations, talk to landowners about their wells, and find out really all the needed information regarding those specific sites and areas where we will be blasting, and then we'll compare that to what happens after the blasting. I will say that blasting has become very much an art, and there is a significant amount of work and technology that goes into blasting to limit the effects of any sort of over-blasting which may cause some foundation and other issues. <br> THE MODERATOR: We live in Thornton on Route 3. How long will that section take for construction, and which side | around 20 to 50 feet a day. And that will be excavating, putting in the conduit and then covering it back up and finishing with the asphalt back to a level. At all times the primary goal is to maintain access to either driveways or businesses or side streets, such that we're really not impacting as much as we possibly can. Clearly, we will have some detours, but we're going to work with every single municipality to ensure that we have minimum impact possible. <br> MR. QUINLAN: So, Sam touched on a key point at the end there, which is local interruption. We work community-by-community on minimizing business interruption. So if there's a time of year that is preferable from a construction period, a time of day, a day of week, we try to factor that into the construction planning and execution process, to the extent possible, to minimize not only business interruption, but also homeowner interruption. That's a key focus once we get to that level of planning. |
| of the road will the line be buried on? <br> MR. QUINLAN: Okay. So we're <br> talking specifically about the town of Thornton. So I'm going to turn that one over to the Project Team. <br> MR. JOHNSON: Okay. So, overall, the underground design is at about a 30-percent level, which is a conceptual level. We're just beginning our work with the Department of Transportation, to really work with them to minimize impacts both to them and to the local municipalities, and so we haven't determined specifically which side of the road they will be on. And a lot of it has to do with the mechanics of installing the line, bending radiuses to get around corners and things like that. So all of that is coming in the future. <br> What was the second part? <br> MR. QUINLAN: How long will it <br> take? <br> MR. JOHNSON: How long will it <br> take? So, length of construction will probably be -- each crew will probably do | THE MODERATOR: What will the cost reduction be to New Hampshire Electric Co-op residents? <br> MR. QUINLAN: Okay. So, when <br> we look at the effect of suppressing the wholesale price of electricity across New England, it's not just Eversource New Hampshire customers and former PSNH customers. That is for residential and business customers across all of New England, including New Hampshire Electric Co-op customers. That $\$ 80-$ million-a-year figure is really the value to all of New Hampshire customers on an annual basis, including the New Hampshire Electric Co-op customers. When you get to an individual customer level, it's approximately a 5 -percent reduction in the energy cost. Now, that varies by customer class. It varies a bit by which utility you're with. But it's around 5 percent. That's a conservative number. There is certainly an upside to that number. <br> The other thing I'll add is it's not only the absolute price of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | electricity, it's the stability in the price. | 1 | AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, that's |
| 2 | You know, right now, if you're a New | 2 | not true. |
|  | Hampshire Electric customer, you probably saw | 3 | [Audience interruption/applause.] |
|  | significant volatility in your electric bill | 4 | MR. QUINLAN: Okay. I'm |
|  | last winter. Who's a Co-op customer here? | 5 | giving you the reasons why Interstate 93 is |
| 6 | Mostly Co-op customers. I'm assuming you | 6 | not in our plan. So it's not only |
|  | saw -- and I'm referring to you probably were | 7 | constructability, it's also the cost of the |
| 8 | paying 9 cents for electricity for 9 months | 8 | Project. When we look at the cost of this |
|  | of the year and 14 or 15 cents for 3 months | 9 | project right now, using the cable that we've |
| 10 | of the year. That's the volatility I'm | 10 | moved to, it's somewhere between \$5- and |
| 11 | referring to, almost a doubling of the cos | 11 | \$10 million a mile for every mile of |
| 12 | of electricity for those seasonal peaks. | 12 | underground construction that we have. If we |
| 13 | Northern Pass and projects like it stabilize | 13 | went to an all-underground line using |
| 14 | the cost of electricity, okay. They | 14 | Interstate 93 or another similar-length |
| 15 | basically act like a baseload power plant | 15 | ute, it basically adds a billion dollars to |
| 16 | that runs 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, for | 16 | e total project cost for the same amount of |
| 17 | all intents and purposes, and eliminates that | 17 | ergy delivered into New England. In our |
| 18 | volatility. If you're a business and you're | 18 | view, that's a balance that isn't balanced. |
| 19 | dependent on that power, it's a cost of goods | 19 | hen we look at the Project that does what it |
| 20 | or cost of manufacturing, not just the | 20 | eds to do, which is to bring a large amount |
| 21 | absolute price reduction, the 5 percent I'm | 21 | power into the region, addresses the |
| 22 | referring to. It's getting rid of that | 22 | incipal issues we've heard in New Hampshire |
| 23 | volatility and stabilizing cost so you | 23 | around view, and is affordable, adding a |
| 24 | plan your business. So, New Hampshire | 24 | billion dollars to the project cost really |
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| 1 | Co-op customers see both. They se |  | rows the balance off. It's not a project |
| 2 | more stable prices and they see lower prices. | 2 | that we think is viable. Someone ultimately |
| 3 | THE MODERATOR: Why are you | 3 | will pay for this project. So when we think |
| 4 | not following the I-93 corridor? | 4 | about adding a billion dollars to someone's |
| 5 | MR. QUINLAN: Yes, so we've | 5 | cost ultimately, it's not a balanced view and |
| 6 | selected the areas that we decided to go | 6 | not a balanced approach. We think we've |
| 7 | underground based upon a balance. We've been | 7 | struck an appropriate balance. We think |
| 8 | trying to listen to New Hampshire and protect | 8 | we've protected those areas that we've heard |
| 9 | the areas that we've heard the most about | 9 | the most about. We know our project is |
| 10 | from a view and scenic perspective, and th | 10 | affordable, and we know it's going to work |
| 11 | largely is the White Mountain National | 11 | technically. So, it's really the two |
| 12 | Forest, Franconia Notch, the Appalachian | 12 | factors: It's the cost of the Project; and |
| 13 | Trail. Not to say we haven't heard local | 13 | then specifically around Interstate 93, |
| 14 | issues elsewhere. But universally, we've | 14 | because it is a limited-use highway, it's the |
| 15 | heard resoundingly about that area, and | 15 | constructability and the disruption that it |
| 16 | that's what led us to make that decision to | 16 | ould cause. That's a second factor in that |
| 17 | go underground in the state roadways like the | 17 | cision. |
| 18 | White Mountain National Forest. The question | 18 | MODERATOR: Here is a |
| 19 | of using Interstate 93 or the entire length | 19 | mmary of several questions regarding |
| 20 | raises a whole series of other issues. One | 20 | onstruction on Route 3. Does the State get |
| 21 | is the disruption to Interstate 93. We'd | 21 | paid for the use of Route 3? |
| 22 | basically be shutting down all or a portion | 22 | MR. QUINLAN: So, the short |
| 23 | of the highway for long periods of time. So, | 23 | er is: Not really. When you look at New |
| 24 | this is a two-year construction period, okay. | 24 | Hampshire law and the regulation around the |
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| :---: | :---: |
| back. So our route was basically driven by <br> New Hampshire feedback and the realities of having a secure route. When you look at the <br> Department of Energy and all of the alternatives they had looked at, they looked at variations that encompassed our route in its entirety. And you could piece together various segments that they looked at. The key point is that our route came as a result of feedback from New Hampshire, not from what DOE -- <br> THE MODERATOR: Sir, you're -- <br> MR. QUINLAN: -- not from what <br> DOE found. <br> THE MODERATOR: Sir, if you <br> want to ask a question, you're going to have to go over and write it down, and they'll bring it down here. <br> AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can everyone <br> of you against this project -- <br> THE MODERATOR: Excuse me, <br> sir. <br> AUDIENCE MEMBER: -- just say <br> "No." | Siting/Permitting Project Team Lead. <br> MR. CLARKE: Thanks, Bill. <br> Yeah, what we'll do is work <br> with all the businesses and do the best we <br> can to avoid any interruptions with those businesses, mitigate anything we can. And then, if there are issues, we will work with that and business owners on a case-by-case basis. <br> THE MODERATOR: Is the $8 / 18$ proposed NPT route considered an amended application for the presidential permit; and if so, will DOE schedule additional scoping hearings? <br> MR. QUINLAN: I'm going to turn this over to Jerry. But the short answer is: No, it's not an amended application. The route we've announced is fully within the routes analyzed by the Department of Energy, as I said earlier, so it's, in essence, encompassed by the route alternatives that we've studied. <br> MR. FORTIER: Yeah, and the alternatives that Bill described earlier are |
| AUDIENCE: No. <br> AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. <br> Good-bye. <br> THE MODERATOR: If you bury <br> the line, how will you -- <br> [Audience interruption/applause] <br> THE MODERATOR: If you bury <br> the line, how will you compensate businesses along Route 3 for the loss of income while you are in the process of digging up Route 3? <br> MR. QUINLAN: So, again, I'll <br> turn to the Project Team in a minute. But one of the things we are going to do very clearly when we get to the construction planning process is to work municipality-by-municipality, area-by-area, town-by-town, street-by-street, on how do we approach the construction of this, particularly the underground area, in a way that, you know, minimizes the interruption to businesses. <br> As far as compensation of particular businesses or business interruption, Bob or Jerry? Bob is our | Alternatives 4C and 5C, as well as the original proposed action. That encompasses all but a 3-mile section to the north of Alternative 5C that bypasses the Rocks Estate and also the Profiles on Route 302. <br> THE MODERATOR: How much rental fee does Eversource expect to receive from Hydro-Quebec for the use of right-of-ways in New Hampshire? <br> MR. QUINLAN: There is no plan for a rental agreement between Hydro-Quebec and Eversource New Hampshire. <br> THE MODERATOR: The electric lines going from Canada through New York and Vermont are buried in their entirety. Why aren't the scenic beauty, tourist vitality, real estate stability and health of our New Hampshire citizens worthy of the same consideration? <br> MR. QUINLAN: Yeah, so I'm going to turn this one over to Jim Muntz. Jim's our President of Transmission. <br> MR. MUNTZ: Thanks, Bill. The projects that are referred to in Vermont and |


|  | to New York are proposed projects that | 1 | estimate that's being shared publicly truly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | haven't been built yet. Any of those | 2 | what it's going to cost to build those |
| 3 | projects, to come to completion, they're | 3 | projects? I think you'll find that several |
| 4 | going to need five things: They're going to | 4 | of these projects are really conceptual. |
| 5 | need a power supply identified, something | 5 | They're very early in their planning phase, |
| 6 | that has generation that can provide power to | 6 | and they really aren't balanced. And they |
| 7 | the north end of the line; they're going to | 7 | aren't at that level where you can answer |
| 8 | need the line in Canada constructed and | 8 | those questions in the affirmative. |
| 9 | somebody identified to pay for that. We've | 9 | And, you know, the other issue |
| 10 | identified -- you know, Hydro-Quebec has | 10 | in Vermont in particular is, you know, there |
| 11 | agreed to build the Canadian line -- | 11 | exists Lake Champlain in Vermont, right. So, |
| 12 | THE MODERATOR: Jim, might you | 12 | underwater construction, which is a long part |
| 13 | use the microphone? Thank you. | 13 | of that project, is very different than |
| 14 | MR. MUNTZ: -- the Canadian | 14 | underground construction. It's far less |
| 15 | side of our line. The other thing you need | 15 | costly. And as I said, for our project, we |
| 16 | is a siteable project. You need to have a | 16 | think we've struck the right balance. And |
| 17 | project that can get a siting certificate. | 17 | cost is certainly one of the factors that we |
| 18 | Finally, you need -- or next to finally, you | 18 | weighed in our calculus. |
| 19 | need contractors that are identified that | 19 | THE MODERATOR: How far back |
| 20 | are, you know, willing to build the project | 20 | from the road edge will you be clearing trees |
| 21 | for the price that you talk about. We are in | 21 | and vegetation? |
| 22 | the process of negotiating with contractors | 22 | MR. QUINLAN: So I'm assuming |
| 23 | that are nationwide and have done a lot of | 23 | this is in the underground portion of the |
| 24 | these projects, and we're in the final throes | 24 | line where we're going along the right-of-way |
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| 1 | of identifying those, and we believe that | 1 | basically in the shoulder of the road. With |
| 2 | will add further credibility to this project. | 2 | that, I'm going to turn it over to Sam. |
| 3 | The last thing you need are | 3 | MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I would |
| 4 | customers willing to pay the cost of the | 4 | say for over 99.9 percent of the Project we |
| 5 | transmission and the power that's being | 5 | have no plans to trim any trees, that we will |
| 6 | supplied over it. And everybody wants clean | 6 | try to stay in the shoulder and travel lane |
| 7 | and renewable power these days. And | 7 | of the road itself. |
| 8 | unfortunately, where that comes from is | 8 | MR. QUINLAN: And Sam, maybe |
| 9 | generally not near where the power is | 9 | just to highlight that, maybe you could |
| 10 | consumed. So you also need a relatively | 10 | explain the width of the -- |
| 11 | sizable transmission line. And to get that, | 11 | MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, the width |
| 12 | you need to be willing to pay the price. We | 12 | that we're planning on constructing is about |
| 13 | think this is a very balanced project and | 13 | 4 feet wide and about 4-1/2 feet deep |
| 14 | will provide the best value for New | 14 | overall. So the impact will sawcut the road |
| 15 | Hampshire, and also New England. | 15 | or the shoulder, and we will trench it 4-feet |
| 16 | MR. QUINLAN: So, just on that | 16 | wide and then restore it back to its |
| 17 | final point, which is the question of cost, | 17 | capabilities once we're completed. |
| 18 | you know, I think the projects that the | 18 | THE MODERATOR: And will |
| 19 | questioner is referring to really needs to | 19 | herbicides be used in the future to limit |
| 20 | look at those projects objectively as to | 20 | regrowth so that roots don't infiltrate the |
| 21 | whether they're truly balanced. Is it a | 21 | buried structure? |
| 22 | project that has a secure power supply? You | 22 | MR. QUINLAN: No. So, here in |
| 23 | know, does it have a interconnection on the | 23 | New Hampshire, unlike other states which the |
| 24 | Canadian side of the border? Is the cost | 24 | questioner may be familiar with, we don't use |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | herbicides as a way of managing vegetation. | 1 | actually a fairly complicated model that |
| 2 | We use either a mowing process or | 2 | entially estimates that. But it's really |
|  | e-trimming process. We don't u |  | simple. You look at the forward price of |
| 4 | rbicides as you may be familiar wi | 4 | power in New England and, therefore, in New |
|  | sewhe | 5 | Hampshire without Northern Pass, and then you |
| 6 | o | 6 | run the model again with Northern Pass. So, |
| 7 | sate the people who lose propert |  | sically you have 1,000 new megawatts |
| 8 | ues due to the above-treeline towers? | 8 | low-cost electricity, and you inject that |
| 9 | MR. QUINLAN: So one of th | 9 | into New England. And basically, what |
| 10 | we've been doing for, now years, | 10 | es is it suppresses the overall market |
| 11 | really focused on it recently, is | 11 | ice of electricity. |
| 12 | reaching out to landowners who are basically | 12 | Let me explain to you how that |
| 13 | abutting landowners, who are adjacent to th | 13 | ks. So, New England is one market. New |
| 14 | right-of-way with the line that's proposed | 14 | Hampshire is part of it. The way electricity |
| 15 | We've had multiple rounds of outreach, a | 15 | ces -- that wholesale price works is |
| 16 | of discussions with those homeowners. We've | 16 | across New England you need look at what does |
| 17 | captured all that information. In some | 17 | customer demand for electricity, and then |
| 18 | instances, the property owner is just | 18 | you start running generating units to the |
| 19 | interested in information and they just w | 19 | int where you meet the customer demand, |
| 20 | to understand, "What does this mean? Expla | 20 | okay. That last generating unit that's |
| 21 | to me electric magnetic frequency," for | 21 | required to meet customer demand across New |
| 22 | example. In other instances where a homeo | 22 | England sets the price for all customers and |
| 23 | may have a concern about property value | 23 | plans, okay. So when you tak |
| 24 | again we're working on the location, height | 24 | Northern Pass and you inject that 1,000 |
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|  | sign of the structures to try to |  | watts at the bottom of |
| 2 | minimize that. In certain instances, you |  | e 1,000 megawatts of most costly generation |
| 3 | know, we'll deal with homeowners on a | 3 | that was setting the clearing price goes |
| 4 | case-by-case basis if we don't otherwise get | 4 | away. It's not needed to meet customer |
| 5 | to a comfortable place. And in some cases, | 5 | demand. So it basically offsets high-price |
| 6 | you know, we'll take other steps to mitigate | 6 | units that don't have to run in New England |
| 7 | local concerns. But that really is a | 7 | It's really just a before-and-after analysis. |
| 8 | neowner-by-homeowner discussion that we'r | 8 | It is complicated, but there are literally |
| 9 | used on. | 9 | hundreds of units that need to be modeled |
| 10 | I think we've reached | 10 | but it looks at basically market clearing |
| 11 | any, Sam, 3,000? | 11 | prices with and without Northern Pass. It's |
| 12 | MR. JOHNSON: About 3 | 12 | pretty accepted in the industry how this |
| 13 | MR. QUINLAN: About 3600 | 13 | works. |
| 14 | erent homeowners along the route. And we | 14 | THE MODERATOR: Are the uni |
| 15 | tend to do that. And now that we're honing | 15 | embers who come en mass to these meetings |
| 16 | on the project design, we're going to do | 16 | paid? |
| 17 | ith more intensity, particularly for | 17 | [Audience interruption/applause] |
| 18 | se homeowners who are along the overhead | 18 | MR. QUINLAN: So, no. But I |
| 19 | on of the route. | 19 | would -- you know, we talk about jobs. When |
| 20 | THE MODERATOR: How | 20 | ou talk about the jobs that a project like |
| 21 | rn Pass come up with 5-percent savings | 21 | is creates, not only for folks who are |
| 22 | stomers' electric bills as a result of | 22 | lly qualified and practicing that trade and |
| 23 | the Project? |  | just want to get home and work in New |
| 24 | MR. QUINLAN: So there's | 24 | Hampshire for a few years, but also, you |


| 1 | know, young folks who want to enter a skilled |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | trade and do it here in New Hampshire, that's |
| 3 | why those folks are here, because these jobs |
| 4 | are important to them, whether they're two |
| 5 | years in duration or a new career. You know, |
| 6 | it's an important factor that, if you read |
| 7 | the DOE, in their review -- I know some of |
| 8 | you have done that -- they put a heavy |
| 9 | emphasis on jobs that are created, |
| 10 | particularly for skilled electrical workers. |
| 11 | I think that's what brings folks here, |
| 12 | because they're passionate about the job |
| 13 | opportunities. |
| 14 | MR. MULLEN: Judge, please ask |
| 15 | him to answer -- |
| 16 | THE MODERATOR: No. Sir -- |
| 17 | MR. MULLEN: -- the question. |
| 18 | THE MODERATOR: Sir -- |
| 19 | MR. MULLEN: Answer the |
| 20 | question, please. |
| 21 | THE MODERATOR: Please just |
| 22 | wait -- |
| 23 | MR. QUINLAN: I think I said |
| 24 | "No." I did say "No." |
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| 1 | THE MODERATOR: He said "No." |
| 2 | Please explain how your |
| 3 | agreement with Wagner-Bayroot to develop wind |
| 4 | turbines relates to your refusal to use an |
| 5 | alternative buried route. |
| 6 | MR. QUINLAN: So, for those of |
| 7 | you who haven't been following the Project |
| 8 | very closely, one of the project changes that |
| 9 | was announced in 2013 had to do with the |
| 10 | northernmost portion of the line. So, the |
| 11 | original proposal had the line running along |
| 12 | the western portion of the state in the North |
| 13 | Country. And for very similar reasons that |
| 14 | led to our recent announcement, the whole |
| 15 | route was shifted to the west -- I'm sorry -- |
| 16 | to the east into the Wagner Forest area. So |
| 17 | now, 24 miles of that northernmost portion of |
| 18 | the line now runs through a working forest, |
| 19 | the Wagner Forest. It's an industrial |
| 20 | forest. It's basically used for timber |
| 21 | harvesting. And that was intended to move it |
| 22 | away from a public place. That's what led to |
| 23 | our leasing of property from Bayroot. It's |
| 24 | essentially to give us a path through that |

1 24-mile portion of the line that minimizes 2 view impacts for folks in the North Country.

THE MODERATOR: If you truly come to understand the culture of the North Country and the passion most people feel for protecting it, why isn't the line being buried to the Canadian border?

MR. QUINLAN: So I have done a lot of listening in the North Country. You know, you get a lot of different perspectives in the North Country. You know, there are certainly folks who would say, you know, we'd like it buried in the North Country. There are other folks in the North Country who say, you know, we're interested in the tax revenues because it could change our municipality. We're interested in the job opportunities, whether it's for our young folks to learn a new trade, whether it's local contractors who could benefit by having a great opportunity to work on a two-year-long project. We hear other voices in the North Country around the ForwardNH Plan. That $\$ 200$ million fund that we

Why would you ever think about burying it there? So, again, a wide range of views in the North Country. Clearly, and I'm not saying any one of them is universal, but you hear it extensively. But I do know a few things. One, there's a keen interest in economic development and community betterment up there, keen interest in jobs and the opportunities this can create. We need to do what we can to protect view impacts there, just like we're doing here in Grafton County. You know, when I mentioned the monopole structures, I think almost a hundred of those are going into Coos County, in areas that are public viewing places, again, based upon input from experts, visual experts and historical resources experts. So we are doing what we can in the North Country in a

|  | balanced way to make this project acceptable | 1 | feet along the shoulder of the road. The |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | in the North Country. You know, my recent | 2 | reason we've selected that, hopefully it's |
| 3 | visits to the North Country, I think, you | 3 | obvious, is it's going to be easier for us to |
| 4 | know, much of what we've announced is pretty | 4 | do that than to blast our way through our |
| 5 | exciting. You know, I do think there's many | 5 | existing right-of-way. So if you think about |
| 6 | in the North Country who now support this | 6 | the right-of-way and the 52 miles that we are |
| 7 | project for those reasons, because they see | 7 | bypassing through the underground |
| 8 | it could be a game changer for an area of the | 8 | construction, it runs through the White |
| 9 | state that could use it. | 9 | Mountain National Forest. So, for us to |
| 10 | So, are we there yet? You | 10 | follow our existing right-of-way in our |
| 11 | know, we're going to listen to the North | 11 | existing transmission lines, we'd essentially |
| 12 | Country as well. We're going to continue | 12 | have to blast our way through the White |
| 13 | listening right through construction. But, | 13 | Mountain National Forest, which is not only |
| 14 | you know, I think we are taking a big step in | 14 | difficult, but the environmental impacts of |
| 15 | the right direction, in particular in the | 15 | that are significant. So when we made the |
| 16 | North Country. | 16 | decision to change the cable technology to |
| 17 | THE MODERATOR: How do you | 17 | allow more undergrounding, that's when we |
| 18 | plan to deal with underground water lines | 18 | made the decision to move to the state |
| 19 | under Route 3? | 19 | roadways. |
| 20 | MR. QUINLAN: Okay. So I'm | 20 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. This |
| 21 | going to turn this over to Sam again. For | 21 | question relates to historic resources, and |
| 22 | the underground portion of this route, we are | 22 | it's a statement rather than a question. |
| 23 | at the 30-percent engineering phase. So, for | 23 | Historic buildings and |
| 24 | those of you who are engineers or technical, | 24 | landscape and... I don't -- archeological |
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| 1 | you know you go from a conceptual to an order | 1 | resources are an important part in New |
| 2 | of magnitude, to a definitive estimate. So, | 2 | Hampshire's history, environment and economy. |
| 3 | not until you get to the definitive | 3 | Can you describe your approach to the |
| 4 | engineering are you doing things like | 4 | identification and the valuation -- |
| 5 | locating other utilities and figuring out how | 5 | evaluation of impact on these resources below |
| 6 | you're going to avoid them. So, as a generic | 6 | or above the ground? |
| 7 | matter -- | 7 | MR. QUINLAN: So I'm going to |
| 8 | MR. JOHNSON: I think you hit | 8 | ask Lee Carbonneau, who's one of our lead |
| 9 | it right in your last statement there. It is | 9 | scientists, to describe that process. But it |
| 10 | the No. 1 thing we'll do is reach out to all | 10 | does start with an expert review. Go ahead. |
| 11 | the municipalities and all the utilities that | 11 | MS. CARBONNEAU: Thanks, Bill. |
| 12 | currently have infrastructure under these | 12 | So, there are actually a |
| 13 | roads and we will map them onto our design | 13 | couple things that are going on for |
| 14 | and we will avoid every one of those as we go | 14 | historical and archeological resources. |
| 15 | forward. | 15 | There are actually two sets of studies going |
| 16 | THE MODERATOR: Why are lines | 16 | on. The Department of Energy has |
| 17 | now proposed to be buried under residential | 17 | responsibility as the lead federal agency to |
| 18 | driveways instead of burying them through the | 18 | comply with Section 106 of the National |
| 19 | woods where existing high-power lines run? | 19 | Historic Preservation Act. So they have |
| 20 | MR. QUINLAN: So, the new | 20 | consultants working to look at the |
| 21 | design is to not bury through residential | 21 | above-ground historical resources, as well as |
| 22 | driveways. In essence, we're following state | 22 | the below-ground archeological resources in |
| 23 | roads. We're going to bury in the shoulder | 23 | the Project area. They have identified -- |
| 24 | of the road, basically that last four to six | 24 | they have submitted four project area forms |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | e above-ground historical resources, | 1 | that the whole process will continue with the |
| 2 | and these are based on different regions of | 2 | Section 106 process |
| 3 | e state where the work is taking place | 3 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. Here's |
| 4 | hey've also submitted a Phase 1A survey for | 4 | estion. I'm going to paraphrase it a |
| 5 | 1 of the underground archeological | 5 |  |
| 6 | esources where they had access to do th | 6 | he reason that you are |
| 7 | work. | 7 | underground now, is that because you |
| 8 | the Northern Pass Team | 8 | realize that going overhead through a route |
| 9 | , there's also historians and | 9 | as physically challenging as the one you |
| 10 | cheologists doing work. The histor | 10 | chose to go through the White Mountain |
| 11 | ork, the above-ground resources, are being | 11 | National Forest would cost much more than |
| 12 | luated in a little bit more detail because | 12 | going underground along an already softened |
| 13 | e need to do these studies for the Site | 13 | roadway corridor using the new DC Lite |
| 14 | valuation Committee as well. The | 14 | underground cable technology? |
| 15 | information that's being collected is fair | 15 | MR. QUINLAN: The short answer |
| 16 | detailed. It has identified all of the | 16 | is: No. The longer answer is: We made the |
| 17 | properties and structures that are 50 year | 17 | decision as a result of the listening we've |
| 18 | or older, identified ones that have a | 18 | done here in New Hampshire and the need to |
| 19 | potential view of the Project, or the Project | 19 | he balance that I referre |
| 20 | area could have a view of, and then it's | 20 | earlier. So, couple of things: You know, |
| 21 | wed down that list to a number | 21 | reduced the size of this project by |
| 22 | properties where even more information was | 22 | 200 megawatts. So there's going to be 200 |
| 23 | lected. | 23 | wer megawatts flowing into this region. So |
| 24 | What they have discovered at | 24 | the environmental benefits go down by that |
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|  | oint is that there are about a dozen |  | nount, the energy cost savings go down by |
| 2 | properties that there may be a potential |  | that amount. So, that's a big change. |
| 3 | adverse effect on from a secondary effect | 3 | Overall, the Project becomes less beneficial |
| 4 | ot a direct taking of a historical building | 4 | to New England and to New Hampshire. But, |
| 5 | necessarily, but a potential view of the | 5 | again, that was part of the balance. Now, |
| 6 | Project that could have an effect on the | 6 | not only did we go from 1200 to 1,000 , we've |
| 7 | resource. That information will be provided | 7 | also changed the cable and changed the |
| 8 | back to the Department of Energy and become | 8 | converter technology from AC to DC and back, |
| 9 | part of the Section 106 process as well. And | 9 | all to facilitate this balance. Our earlier |
| 10 | it will also be included in the Site | 10 | project, which was the larger project, |
| 11 | Evaluation Committee information that gets | 11 | 1200 megawatts, was around the $\$ 1.4$ billion |
| 12 | submitted with the Project. The | 12 | project. We are re-estimating the new |
| 13 | archeological surveys will continue | 13 | project, which is the smaller project with |
| 14 | some of the below-ground resources have had | 14 | the underground construction. We do |
| 15 | additional survey, where they've actually | 15 | anticipate the price to go up. As Jim |
| 16 | gone out and done test pits to try and | 16 | mentioned, we're in the market now doing |
| 17 | identify what artifacts might be there, | 17 | everything we can do to keep that price |
| 18 | e extent of a site could be. And that | 18 | crease as low as possible, including |
| 19 | continues. And for the Site Evaluation | 19 | firming up bids with vendors and others. But |
| 20 | Committee process, typically they rely pretty | 20 | r expectation is that once we look at all |
| 21 | heavily on that Federal Section 106 process. | 21 | those changes, the price will go up, and |
| 22 | So they may not have all information | 22 | the Project is going to be smaller. |
| 23 | completed by the time the Application is | 23 | So, again, the answer is no, |
| 24 | filed for the state, but the understanding is | 24 | we didn't make that decision. If you think |


|  | about our existing right-of-way, we've |  | and go through northern New Hampshire? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | already got a transmission line in that | 2 | MR. QUINLAN: Yeah. So, |
| 3 | right-of-way, overhead construction very | 3 | again, for this project to work, we have to |
| 4 | similar to what you would see here. So it's | 4 | get it from where it's being generated in |
| 5 | not the technological challenge of it, and it | 5 | Canada down to the U.S. border. That's the |
| 6 | wasn't the cost-effectiveness of that | 6 | Project that's being sited by Hydro-Quebec on |
| 7 | project. It was really the need to strike a | 7 | the Canadian side of the border, all overhead |
| 8 | balance that worked for New Hampshire. | 8 | construction. Northern Pass basically then |
| 9 | That's what led us to the decision. You | 9 | takes it from the Canadian border to its |
| 10 | know, we've traded off, and New Hampshire and | 10 | delivery point in Deerfield, New Hampshire. |
| 11 | New England is trading off. You know, it's | 11 | That's always been the Project design, which |
| 12 | 200 megawatts of carbon-emitting power plants | 12 | is to get it from the source to Deerfield, |
| 13 | that are going to continue to run as a result | 13 | New Hampshire. Using a route through Vermont |
| 14 | of the trade-off. It's, you know, a | 14 | or Massachusetts was really never |
| 15 | 20-percent, 17-percent reduction in the | 15 | contemplated. |
| 16 | energy cost savings that businesses are going | 16 | THE MODERATOR: Is there a |
| 17 | to see. This was not -- | 17 | technical reason you are proposing -- is |
| 18 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. I'm | 18 | there a technical reason why you are |
| 19 | sorry. | 19 | proposing to begin burial in Bethlehem? |
| 20 | MR. QUINLAN: The decision was | 20 | MR. QUINLAN: Again, the |
| 21 | based upon that balance. | 21 | chnical reason is that we had to find the |
| 22 | THE MODERATOR: Has the DOT | 22 | point where we can transition from overhead |
| 23 | the Department of Transportation, appr | 23 | construction to underground in Bethlehem. So |
| 24 | use of the state highway right-of-ways for, I | 24 | the specific point was based upon having the |
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| 1 | imagine, for burial of the cable? |  | property rights to make that transition. |
| 2 | MR. QUINLAN: So as Jerry | 2 | You know, why Bethlehem? |
| 3 | mentioned earlier, that's something that | 3 | Generally, again, we clearly wanted to avoid |
| 4 | we're in active discussions with the | 4 | the White Mountain National Forest, the |
| 5 | Department of Transportation. | 5 | Franconia Notch area, and have this line be |
| 6 | Jerry or Mark, anything you'd | 6 | not visible in that region. That's what got |
| 7 | like to add? | 7 | us up to the Bethlehem point. And then it |
| 8 | MR. FORTIER: As we discussed | 8 | becomes where can you interconnect, |
| 9 | earlier, we are in active discussions with | 9 | basically, transition. |
| 10 | the DOT, the Department of Transportation | 10 | THE MODERATOR: Will Northern |
| 11 | here in New Hampshire. What we've discussed | 11 | Pass agree to non-depreciation -- |
| 12 | with them is a 30-percent design and what we | 12 | non-depreciating, for local tax purposes, the |
| 13 | will be intending to file with our SEC | 13 | transmission structures and lines? |
| 14 | Application. | 14 | MR. QUINLAN: No, for the |
| 15 | THE MODERATOR: Thank you. | 15 | reasons I articulated earlier. You know, we |
| 16 | We've got about 10 minutes | 16 | are pursuing what we think is a fair and |
| 17 | left on the question and answers, so I'm not | 17 | equitable approach to property tax valuation |
| 18 | going to get to all questions. But I'm | 18 | and tax payments based on industry practices. |
| 19 | combing through them and trying not to repeat | 19 | So that's what our intention is. The figures |
| 20 | anything. | 20 | I shared with you earlier are based upon that |
| 21 | Why didn't Northern Pass take | 21 | methodology. |
| 22 | the shortest route to Massachusetts, which | 22 | THE MODERATOR: Why is there a |
| 23 | would have cut across Vermont to New | 23 | hesitancy to bury the entire line? Since |
| 24 | Hampshire, rather than go 60 miles further | 24 | Hydro-Quebec is paying for the entire |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | project, the cost won't be passed on to | 1 | actual natural fields that exist today. If |
| 2 | ratepayers of New Hampshire; correct? | 2 | we turned off all the electricity in North |
| 3 | [Audience interruption/applause] | 3 | America, there will be a magnetic field, a |
| 4 | MR. QUINLAN: Correct. You | 4 | DC magnetic field of about 530 milligauss |
| 5 | know, one of the things that we have been | 5 | right now right around here and throughout |
| 6 | steadfast about is that New Hampshire | 6 | pretty much the Project area. This project |
| 7 | customers are not going to pay for the line. | 7 | won't even be that large. |
| 8 | That's unique. | 8 | THE MODERATOR: Because |
| 9 | [Audience interruption/applause] | 9 | Hydro-Quebec power is unreliable in the |
| 10 | MR. QUINLAN: New Hampshire | 10 | winter due to reservoir freezing and high |
| 11 | customers will not pay for this transmission | 11 | demand in Quebec, what effect will that have |
| 12 | line. To Jim's earlier point, you know, we | 12 | on delivery by way of Northern Pass? |
| 13 | have to have a project that is affordable and | 13 | MR. QUINLAN: So I'm going to |
| 14 | that others will be willing to pay for. We | 14 | turn this over to Jim. |
| 15 | think we've struck that appropriate balance | 15 | MR. MUNTZ: Yeah, Hydro-Quebec |
| 16 | with the project we rolled out two weeks ago. | 16 | has been delivering power into New England |
| 17 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. What is | 17 | for quite some time, and they have a very |
| 18 | the total number of towers that will be | 18 | high reliability record. They've had, you |
| 19 | constructed for the entire project? | 19 | know, individual events on occasion. They |
| 20 | MR. QUINLAN: I believe the | 20 | had a big ice storm. They've had a few |
| 21 | number is in the 1800 range. | 21 | extremely cold days where they had to |
| 22 | But Sam or Jerry? | 22 | curtail. But these are one- or two-day |
| $23$ | MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I have that | $23$ | incidents, for the most part. Overall, |
| $24$ | sheet with some stats here. There will be -- | $24$ | they've got a high-90s percentile as far as |
|  | Page 82 |  | Page 84 |
| 1 | as proposed today, there had been 858 DC | 1 | availability and their ability to fully |
| 2 | structures, 340 AC structures in the southern | 2 | deliver down here. So we believe they're |
| 3 | section of the Project, and there will be 635 | 3 | very reliable and a good partner for this |
| 4 | relocations of existing structures. | 4 | project. And the reservoirs, they don't |
| 5 | MR. QUINLAN: Okay. And back | 5 | freeze in the wintertime. |
| 6 | to that earlier question on the breakdown of | 6 | MR. QUINLAN: And that power |
| 7 | tax payments. So, at the local level, which | 7 | is imported into the U.S. basically every |
| 8 | is the municipal level, it's about 60 percent | 8 | day. There are two existing lines feeding |
| 9 | of the figure; the county portion is | 9 | from the very same hydro stations into New |
| 10 | 15 percent, and the state portion is | 10 | England. Reliability and performance is |
| 11 | approximately 25 percent. | 11 | approaching a hundred percent. |
| 12 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. What | 12 | THE MODERATOR: Okay. There |
| 13 | would you say to the people who live, work, | 13 | are a couple questions, or maybe more, |
| 14 | walk, ride bikes, et cetera, and are | 14 | following up on your answer about why I-93 |
| 15 | concerned about the high magnetic field | 15 | wasn't selected as the best route to bury the |
| 16 | levels that burying the transmission lines | 16 | lines, and here they are. |
| 17 | won't block and that, according to the chart | 17 | If the impact you just |
| 18 | made by the DOE in the EIS, will be around | 18 | described to shoulder off road is truly only |
| 19 | 200 milligauss? | 19 | 4 feet wide and 4-1/2 feet deep, then why do |
| 20 | MR. SODERMAN: The magnetic | 20 | you say it's too expensive to follow I-93 |
| 21 | fields we've calculated above the trench. | 21 | when there's already a wide swath of path |
| 22 | There are still going to be magnetic fields | 22 | available? If the trench is so small, why |
| 23 | underground, and the burial lines wouldn't | 23 | would you have to close I-93 as you said -- |
| 24 | block that. But it's actually less than the | 24 | [Audience interruption/applause] |
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| :---: | :---: |
| THE MODERATOR: Bill, so we <br> have it all -- if following 93 would cause a complete shutdown of the highway, how will following secondary roads, such as 112 and 116 in Woodstock, not be completely disruptive, particularly considering there are no alternative roads for travel in those areas? <br> [Audience interruption/applause] <br> MR. QUINLAN: So I'll address <br> the cost side of that, and I'm going to turn it over to Jerry and the Project Team for the constructability. <br> When you look at the incremental cost per mile, it's approximately $\$ 5$ - to $\$ 10$ million of additional cost per mile. That's the -- that's a good rule of thumb for the type of cable technology comparing overhead construction to underground construction in the state right-of-way. That's where we get to the $\$ 1$ billion in incremental costs that I shared at the outset. <br> Jerry or Sam, do you want to | restrictions on how we would get through that particular area. <br> MR. QUINLAN: Mark Hodgdon. <br> MR. HODGDON: The other issue <br> with using I-93 is if you're in the roadway or -- <br> THE MODERATOR: Excuse me. <br> Mark, you're with the Attorney General's Office? <br> MR. HODGDON: I'm not anymore. <br> THE MODERATOR: Oh, okay. <br> Sorry. <br> MR. HODGDON: I used to be. <br> THE MODERATOR: And you <br> represented the Department of Transportation. <br> MR. HODGDON: One of the huge <br> factors for using I-93 is it's a high-speed, free-flowing road. And anytime you have vehicles accessing off of it or entering onto it, or you're doing work on it, you have major safety issues. You have traffic traveling at 70, or often more, miles an hour. And anytime you have to close a lane on I-93, it is a very huge safety issue. |
| address the roadway selection? <br> MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, sure. In <br> some of our preliminary conversations with the DOT, they've expressed an interest for us to be on the fence line, which is, as you know, when you go up and down the interstate corridors, there's either a drain swale and then you come to a tree line, and sometimes you can see the fence that's there. That extends to the edge of the right-of-way that DOT has. One of the issues with that is the environmental impact we're going to have if we go out that way. We'll have to create all new roads. And then, any kind of wetlands and/or stream impacts or things like that will have to be considered in the total application. And I believe, as is noted in the EIS, they do note that that does cause significant environmental impacts. <br> I will add that the closure <br> that Bill was referring to through the Franconia Notch area, where it goes down to a very limited access road which is only one-lane wide, there we'd have significant | On those other roads, it is still a safety issue. That's why DOT has rules about it. But it's a far more manageable safety issue. And that's why entering and accessing off 93 is going to be very, very difficult under DOT rules. <br> THE MODERATOR: Okay. We're out of time by about five minutes. We've gone over. I want to thank you all very much. I know that a lot of you feel very strongly about this project, and I really appreciated how respectful you were. You asked some very, very good questions. I appreciate that very much. And we're going to turn it over to you now. I'm sure you've been waiting for that to comment. We're going to have to switch court reporters. Sue is about worn out, I'm afraid. So that will take us about five minutes or so, and then we'll get going with comments, okay. (Switchover of court reporters.) |
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| community-by-community (1) | construct (1) | cost-effectiveness (1) | customers (16) | 47:4 |
| 35:15 | 42:11 | 77:6 | 20:12;36:8,9,10,12, | depreciate (1) |
| Company (4) | constructability (3) | costly (2) | 14,15;37:6;38:1;49:21, | 29:14 |
| 4:20;5:4,13;15:15 | 39:7;40:15;85:13 | 59:15;64:2 | 22;50:8;58:4;63:22; | depreciation (2) |
| compare (2) | constructed (2) | costs (3) | 81:7,11 | 29:16;49:5 |
| 33:14;46:12 | 57:8;81:19 | 19:18;20:15;85:22 | customers' (1) | derive (2) |
| comparing (1) | constructing (1) | Counsel (2) | 62:22 | 46:10,13 |
| 85:19 | 60:12 | 2:2,13 | cut (1) | describe (2) |
| compensate (2) | construction (47) | count (1) | 78:23 | 72:3,9 |
| 54:8;61:7 | 14:9;17:12,19;18:1; | 27:24 |  | described (2) |
| compensation (1) | $21: 23 ; 22: 10 ; 25: 16,20$ | counties (2) | D | $55: 24 ; 84: 18$ |
| 54:22 | 26:2,3,12,21;27:20; | 5:17;13:22 |  | design (10) |
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| 18:18;28:8;34:7; | 9:15;33:6;57:23; | 25:17 | Energy (26) | estimates (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:5;62:1,16;70:13,21; | 65:8;67:8;74:16;75:18 | economic (6) | 2:8;4:16,21;5:3,10; | 63:2 |
| 78:12;79:11 | DOT (9) | 19:10;22:14;24:1,7; | 16:23;17:5;18:5,8; | et (2) |
| designed (1) | 42:2,9;43:1;77:22; | 46:2;68:13 | 19:18;20:7,15,19; | 26:12;82:14 |
| 24:12 | 78:10;86:4,11;88:2,6 | economy (2) | 22:21;36:18;39:17; | evaluated (1) |
| detail (4) | doubling (3) | 68:4;72:2 | 51:7,22;52:3,7;53:4; | 73:12 |
| 24:23;25:11;41:22; | 37:11;47:11,12 | edge (2) | 55:20;72:16;74:8;76:1; | Evaluation (5) |
| 73:12 | down (31) | 59:20;86:10 | 77:16 | 5:7;72:5;73:14; |
| detailed (2) | 5:24;9:1,7;11:8,16; | effect (6) | engineering (2) | 74:11,19 |
| 19:13;73:16 | 14:16;15:9;16:3,15; | 32:17;36:5;74:3,3,6; | 69:23;70:4 | even (2) |
| determine (1) | 17:16;18:22;24:24; | 83:11 | engineers (3) | 73:22;83:7 |
| 12:12 | 25:5,17;26:10;27:24; | effects (1) | 6:13;32:23;69:24 | evening (6) |
| determined (2) | 28:10,11;29:7,19;32:1; | 33:19 | England (20) | 4:2;6:13,16,18;8:10; |
| 34:13;52:20 | 38:22;53:17,18;73:21; | efficient (2) | 4:18;18:6;19:11; | 13:17 |
| detours (1) | 75:24;76:1;79:5;84:2; | 7:7;9:24 | 20:8;23:1;36:7,10; | event (1) |
| 35:9 | 86:6,22 | effort (3) | 39:17;49:1;58:15;63:4, | 4:23 |
| develop (2) | downtown (1) | 6:10;28:3;45:3 | 9,13,16,22;64:6;76:4; | events (1) |
| 19:21;66:3 | 4:10 | eight (1) | 77:11;83:16;84:10 | 83:19 |
| development (2) | dozen (1) | 13:9 | ensure (1) | Eversource (16) |
| 24:1;68:13 | 74:1 | EIS (2) | 35:10 | 2:8,10,11,12,13; |
| dialogue (2) | draft (2) | 82:18;86:18 | enter (3) | 4:21;6:22;13:14;30:22; |
| 30:11;42:16 | 51:1,8 | either (5) | 25:12;44:2;65:1 | 36:7;46:11,21;47:3; |
| different (13) | drain (1) | 22:2;35:5;51:16 | entering (2) | 49:19;56:7,12 |
| 7:12;18:18,19;26:13; | 86:7 | 61:2;86:7 | 87:19;88:5 | everybody (4) |
| 31:9;43:11;49:9,13,17; | dramatic (1) | elected (2) | entire (7) | 9:2,8;10:1;58:6 |
| 59:13;62:14;67:10; | 47:16 | 18:13;51:20 | 7:21;21:22;31:1; | everyone (2) |
| 73:2 | dramatically (2) | Electric (11) | 38:19;80:23,24;81:19 | 13:17;53:19 |
| difficult (3) | 49:13,17 | 36:2,11,15;37:3,4; | entirety (2) | evidence (1) |
| 9:1;71:14;88:6 | drill (4) | 38:1;41:2;46:17;56:13; | 53:7;56:15 | 13:5 |
| digging (1) | 16:14;24:24;28:10, | 61:21;62:22 | entity (1) | exact (1) |
| 54:10 | 11 | electrical (3) | 5:8 | 48:8 |
| Dir (1) | driven | 43:21;45:19;65:10 | environment (1) | exactly (1) |
| 2:11 | 53:1 | electricity (13) | 72:2 | 19:15 |
| direct (4) | driveways (3) | 19:22;20:8;23:11; | environmental (8) | example (5) |
| $16: 1 ; 20: 23 ; 24: 6 ;$ $74: 4$ | 35:6;70:18,22 | 36:6;37:1,8,12,14;63:8, | 19:9,24;51:8,23; 71:14:75:24:86:12,19 | 7:18;8:3,8;46:24; |
| 74:4 | driving (1) | 11,14,17;83:2 | 71:14;75:24;86:12,19 | 61:22 |
| direction (1) | 32:1 | eliminate (1) | envisioned (1) | examples (2) |
| 69:15 | due (2) | 26:14 | 22:24 | 18:21;21:6 |
| directly (1) | 61:8;83:10 | eliminates (1) | equal (2) | excavate (1) |
| 21:7 | duration (5) | 37:17 | 15:24;31:19 | 31:23 |
| Director (2) | 31:13;43:4,6;44:16; | else (1) | equipment (1) | excavating (1) |
| 2:12;41:24 | 65:5 | 21:11 | 29:14 | 35:2 |
| discovered (1) | during (2) | elsewhere (2) | equitable (1) | exciting (1) |
| 73:24 | 14:1;44:24 | 38:14;61:5 | 80:17 | 69:5 |
| discussed (2) | dwell (1) | emergency (1) | erector (1) | Excuse (2) |
| 78:8,11 | 19:2 | 41:18 | 27:14 | 53:21;87:7 |
| $\underset{60 \cdot 8}{\operatorname{discussion}(1)}$ | E | emission (1) | err (1) | execute (1) |
| discussions | E | 23:1 | (24:11 | 21:24 execution |
| 14:2,3;50:4;61:16; | earlier (13) | 20:1;23:14 | - 2:2,4 | 35:20 |
| 78:4,9 | 27:1;28:13;47:6; | emphasis (1) | essence (4) | exist (1) |
| disruption (2) | 55:20,24;75:20;76:9; | 65:9 | 17:18;47:10;55:21; | 83:1 |
| 38:21;40:15 | 78:3,9;80:15,20;81:12; | employed (1) | 70:22 | existed (1) |
| disruptive (1) | 82:6 | 43:14 | essentially (4) | 45:7 |
| 85:6 | early (1) | en (1) | 43:23;63:2;66:24; | existing (12) |
| distribution (1) | 59:5 | 64:15 | 71:11 | 22:2;25:21,22, |
| 32:8 | easier (2) | encompassed (2) | establishment (1) | 31:1;70:19;71:5,10,11; |
| DOE (7) | 7:14;71:3 | 53:6;55:21 | 21:2 | 77:1;82:4;84:8 |
| 51:1,2;53:11,14; | east (1) | encompasses (1) | Estate (2) | exists (1) |
| $55: 13 ; 65: 7 ; 82: 18$ | 66:16 | 56:2 | 56:4,17 | $59: 11$ |
| dollars (3) | Eastgate (1) | end (5) | estimate (5) | expect (2) |
| 39:15,24;40:4 | 4:10 | 14:7;30:18;35:13; | 20:10;22:9;23:13; | 44:16;56:7 |
| done (7) | Easton (1) | 44:5;57:7 | 59:1;70:2 | expectation (1) |
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| 76:20 | 53:2,10 | 48:22;50:7;61:11;62:9 | free-flowing (2) | 35:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| expecting (1) | feeding (1) | folks (10) | 9:6;87:18 | goals (2) |
| 31:3 | 84:8 | 46:7;64:21;65:1,3, | freeze (1) | 19:24;23:1 |
| expensive (1) | feel (2) | 11;67:2,12,14,19;68:3 | 84:5 | goes (4) |
| 84:20 | 67:5;88:10 | follow (5) | freezing (1) | 11:1;33:18;64:3; |
| expert (4) | feet (7) | 9:24;11:13,23;71:10; | 83:10 | 86:22 |
| 27:6,7,21;72:10 | 26:9;35:1;60:13,13; | 84:20 | frequency (2) | Good (9) |
| experts (6) | 71:1;84:19,19 | followed (1) | 32:10;61:21 | 4:2,6;6:16,18;13:17; |
| 6:13;27:6;28:5; | fence (2) | 9:12 | front (2) | 23:22;84:3;85:17; |
| 68:22,22,23 | 86:5,9 | following (11) | 25:5;30:19 | 88:13 |
| explain (5) | fences (1) | 8:18;9:15;16:20; | fuel (1) | Good-bye (1) |
| 32:24;60:10;61:20; | 42:22 | 32:17;38:4;46:11;66:7; | 20:3 | 54:3 |
| 63:12;66:2 | few (3) | 70:22;84:14;85:2,4 | fuels (1) | goods (1) |
| expressed (1) | 64:24;68:11;83:20 | follow-up (1) | 23:5 | 37:19 |
| 86:4 | fewer (1) | 8:14 | fully (7) | gradual (1) |
| extends (1) | 75:23 | force (1) | 4:5;44:6,6;45:9; | 49:4 |
| 86:10 | fiduciary (1) | 22:3 | 55:19;64:22;84:1 | gradually (1) |
| extensively (1) | 49:22 | foremost (1) | function (2) | 29:19 |
| 68:11 | field (3) | 19:18 | 4:12;46:21 | Grafton (19) |
| extent (4) | 82:15;83:3,4 | Forest (16) | Fund (3) | 4:6,9;5:18;7:2; |
| 14:13;18:17;35:20; | fields (3) | $26: 17 ; 38: 12,18 ; 52: 2$ | $21: 2,3 ; 67: 24$ | 11:11;16:15;22:19; |
| $74: 18$ | 82:21,22;83:1 | $12,18,19 ; 66: 16,18,19$ | fundamentally (1) | $25: 1,11,12,20 ; 26: 1,8$ |
| extremely (1) | figure (6) | 20;68:5;71:9,13;75:11; | 23:10 | 15;28:10,16,18;48:1; |
| 83:21 | 20:24;22:15,16; | 80:4 | further (4) | 68:17 |
| F | 36:12;44:24;82:9 | forever (1) | 5:21;52:4;58:2; | granite (1) |
| F | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { figures (1) } \\ 80: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43: 24 \\ \text { form (1) } \end{array}$ | 78:24 (3) | $\begin{gathered} 33: 4 \\ \text { grant (3) } \end{gathered}$ |
| facilitate (1) | figuring (1) | 7:9 | 23:6;34:18;60:19 | 47:7,11,17 |
| $76: 9$ <br> facilities (1) | $70: 5$ file (3) | formal (1) | G | $\begin{gathered} \text { gravel (1) } \\ 45: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| $5: 10$ | 12:3;15:1;78:13 | format (4) |  | great (1) |
| facility (2) | filed (2) | 8:19;9:12,12,16 | game (1) | 67:21 |
| 5:3,6 | 12:11;74:24 | formed (1) | 69:8 | greater (1) |
| fact (1) | fill (1) | 5:8 | gas (1) | 31:14 |
| 8:22 | 25:8 | former (1) | 41:3 | grid (1) |
| factor (4) | final (2) | 36:8 | general (1) |  |
| 28:23;35:19;40:16; | 57:24;58:17 | forms (1) | 15:18 | ground (2) |
| $65: 6$ | finally (4) | 72:24 | generally (5) | 7:18;72:6 |
| factors (3) | 22:20;23:16;57:18, | Fortier (7) | 29:18;31:11;32:4; |  |
| 40:12;59:17;87:17 | 18 | 2:12;41:23;42:1,20; | 58:9;80:3 | $41: 20 ; 42: 19,23$ |
| fair (2) | find (5) | 48:11;55:23;78:8 | General's (1) | group (1) |
| 26:10;80:16 | 23:22;30:22;33:11; | forward (6) | 87:8 | $7: 15$ |
| fairly (2) | ( 59:3;79:21 | $14: 12 ; 15: 15 ; 17: 14 ;$ $30 \cdot 3 \cdot 63 \cdot 3 \cdot 70 \cdot 15$ | $\underset{79 \cdot 4}{\text { generated (1) }}$ |  |
| 63:1;73:15 | finishing (1) | 30:3;63:3;70:15 | 79:4 | H |
| familiar (2) $60: 24: 61: 4$ | 35:3 | ForwardNH (9) | generating (2) |  |
| 60:24;61:4 far (11) | firming (1) $76: 19$ | 14:5;16:11,16;19:12; $21 \cdot 2 \cdot 23 \cdot 17 \cdot 24 \cdot 23 \cdot 30 \cdot 7$. | $63: 18,20$ generation (4) | hall (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { far (11) } \\ & \quad 7: 19 ; 17: 22 ; 25: 8,8 \end{aligned}$ | $76: 19$ first (9) | 21:2;23:17;24:23;30:7; 67:23 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { generation (4) } \\ 20: 4 ; 23: 4 ; 57: 6 ; 64: 2 \end{array}$ | Hampshire (90) |
| 30:10,18;54:22;59:14, | 5:1;6:20;12:1;16:18; | fossil (2) | generic (1) | 4:17,20;5:7;6:22; |
| 19;83:24;88:3 | 17:10;19:17;21:21; | 20:3;23:5 | 70:6 | 13:15;14:3,21;15:1,7, |
| fashion (1) | 30:24;44:11 | found (1) | gets (2) | 22;16:1,5;17:1,6,10,15; |
| 45:18 | five (10) | 53:14 | 10:1;74:11 | 18:6,11,24;19:4,8,11, |
| fault (2) | 5:17,19;12:6;13:20, | foundation (1) | Getz (1) | 17;20:10,12,14,23,24; |
| 31:23,23 | 22;29:15;30:1;57:4; | 33:20 | 2:4 | 21:15,18,19,21;22:2,5, |
| fault-locating (1) | 88:8,19 | foundations (1) | given (1) | 9,12,24;24:8,15,19; |
| 32:1 | flood (2) | 33:10 | 11:11 | 26:20;28:15;30:6,8; |
| federal (2) | 41:18;42:18 | four (3) | gives (1) | 36:2,8,11,13,15;37:3, |
| 72:17;74:21 | flowing (3) | 27:15;70:24;72:24 | 6:24 | 24;38:8;39:22;40:24; |
| fee (1) | 16:24;18:9;75:23 | frac (1) | giving (1) | 41:14;42:3;43:23;44:8, |
| 56:7 | focus (2) | 42:23 | 39:5 | 20;45:3,7,12;46:3; |
| feedback (10) | 35:23;68:1 | Franconia (6) | global (1) | 49:8;51:21;52:6,17; |
| $18: 11,23 ; 21: 8 ; 24: 14$ | focused (8) | $25: 17 ; 26: 17 ; 38: 12$ | $23: 2$ | $53: 2,10 ; 56: 9,12,18$ |
| $26: 18 ; 30: 9 ; 51: 21 ; 52: 6$ | 21:7;23:2,3;32:21; | 52:19;80:5;86:22 | goal (1) | 58:15;60:23;63:5,14; |
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| 64:24;65:2;75:18;76:4; | 60:9 | house (1) | improved (1) | 22:4;44:1;46:6;47:6; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77:8,10;78:11,24;79:1, | highly (2) | 6:11 | 16:17 | 52:8;61:18,22;62:2 |
| 10,13;81:2,6,10 | 44:9,18 | huge (3) | incidents (1) | instead (1) |
| Hampshire's (1) | high-power (1) | 44:19;87:16,24 | 83:23 | 70:18 |
| 72:2 | 70:19 | hundred (4) | include (1) | intend (2) |
| handle (1) | high-price (1) | 50:16,18;68:19; | 41:7 | 41:12;62:15 |
| 41:17 | 64:5 | 84:11 | included (3) | intended (1) |
| handout (1) | high-speed (1) | hundreds (2) | 42:15;51:3;74:10 | 66:21 |
| 48:10 | 87:17 | 45:23;64:9 | includes (1) | intending (1) |
| hang (1) | high-voltage (2) | hydro (2) | 49:24 | 78:13 |
| 27:17 | 32:5,10 | 23:5;84:9 | including (5) | intensity (1) |
| happens (1) | highway (4) | hydroelectric (1) | 12:7;36:11,14;51:10; | 62:17 |
| $33: 15$ | 38:23;40:14;77:24 | 4:16 | 76:18 | intention (2) |
| happy (2) | 85:3 | Hydro-Quebec (7) | income (1) | 23:17;80:19 |
| 7:22;48:2 | highways (1) | 56:8,11;57:10;79:6; | 54:9 | intents (1) |
| hard (2) | 41:1 | 80:24;83:9,15 | increase (5) | 37:17 |
| 12:9;15:19 | Hill (1) |  | 17:24;18:8;28:14; | interconnect (1) |
| harvested (1) | 25:17 | I | 47:14;76:18 | 80:8 |
| 68:6 | historians (1) |  | increases (1) | interconnection (1) |
| harvesting (1) | 73:9 | I-93 (7) | 47:7 | 58:23 |
| 66:21 | historic (5) | 38:4;84:14,20,23; | incremental (2) | interest (3) |
| health (1) | 15:17;27:7;71:21,23; | 87:5,17,24 | 85:15,22 | 68:12,14;86:4 |
| 56:17 | 72:19 | ice (1) | Index (2) | interested (4) |
| hear (4) | historical (6) | 83:20 | 7:9,13 | 22:8;61:19;67:15,17 |
| 15:12;67:22;68:2,11 | 68:23;72:14,21;73:1, | identification (1) | indicated (2) | intermittent (2) |
| heard (9) | 10;74:4 | 72:4 | 13:21;14:23 | 23:9,12 |
| 15:10;16:7;17:10; | history (2) | identified (11) | indicates (1) | interruption (8) |
| 38:9,13,15;39:22;40:8; | 22:23;72:2 | 27:7,22;28:1;52:3; | 25:12 | 32:2,12;35:14,16,22, |
| 52:16 | hit (1) | 57:5,9,10,19;72:23; | indicating (1) | 23;54:20,24 |
| hearing (1) | 70:8 | 73:16,18 | 27:14 | interruption/applause] (9) |
| 21:14 | Hodgdon (6) | identify (4) | individual (3) | 39:3;48:20;50:21; |
| hearings (5) | 2:13;87:3, 4, 10, 13, 16 | 28:6;42:9,10;74:17 | 22:19;36:16;83:19 | 54:6;64:17;81:3,9; |
| 12:18,19;13:4,9; | hold (2) | identifying (1) | industrial (1) | 84:24;85:9 |
| 55:14 | 5:14;12:17 | 58:1 | 66:19 | interruptions (3) |
| heavily (1) | holds (1) | illustrate (1) | industry (2) | 31:12,13;55:5 |
| 74:21 | 13:4 | 27:11 | 64:12;80:18 | intersection (1) |
| heavy (1) | home (2) | imagine (1) | industry-accepted (1) | 52:21 |
| 65:8 | 32:9;64:23 | 78:1 | 49:5 | Interstate (7) |
| height (4) | homeowner (2) | impact (13) | infiltrate (1) | 38:19,21;39:5,14; |
| 26:4,9;28:9;61:24 | 35:22;61:22 | 18:20;27:9;28:4; | 60:20 | 40:13;41:7;86:6 |
| heights (1) | homeowner-by-homeowner (1) | 33:1;35:11;47:16;50:3; | information (21) | into (22) |
| 26:24 | 62:8 | 51:8,23;60:14;72:5; | 4:13,24;5:14,20,22; | 4:17;14:9,24;16:24, |
| Help (1) | homeowners (5) | 84:17;86:12 | 6:6,10;11:24;12:6,14, | 24;17:6;18:9;19:16; |
| 68:3 | 11:12;61:16;62:3,14, | impacting (1) | 20;13:20;30:1;33:12; | 24:24;28:10,11;33:18; |
| helping (1) | 18 | 35:7 | 61:17,19;73:15,22; | 35:19;39:17,21;63:9; |
| 14:18 | Homes (1) | impacts (10) | 74:7,11,22 | 66:16;68:20;75:23; |
| herbicides (3) | 32:18 | 15:16,17,17;28:4; | infrastructure (6) | 83:16;84:7,9 |
| 60:19;61:1,4 | honing (1) | 34:11;67:2;68:16; | 45:20;46:18,22;47:1; | introduce (1) |
| Here's (1) | 62:15 | 71:14;86:15,19 | 49:7;70:12 | 13:13 |
| 75:3 | hope (2) | importance (1) | initial (2) | introduction (1) |
| hesitancy (1) | 8:9;30:10 | 21:16 | 13:12;51:10 | 6:21 |
| 80:23 | hopefully (4) | important (17) | initiatives (1) | involve (1) |
| high (4) | 14:9;30:6;50:5;71:2 | 8:21;13:24;15:4; | 23:22 | 12:19 |
| 32:6;82:15;83:10,18 | host (1) | 17:7;18:7;19:19,20; | inject (2) | issue (12) |
| high-90s (1) | 16:5 | 22:8;24:3;28:23;45:14; | 63:8,24 | $13: 7 ; 17: 10 ; 19: 4,5$ |
| 83:24 | hosting (2) | 49:18,19;52:18;65:4,6; | input (5) | 48:22;50:2,6;59:9; |
| high-demand (1) | 21:5;28:21 | 72:1 | 14:1;27:20;30:4,12; | 87:4,24;88:2,4 |
| 44:9 | hotels (1) | importantly (1) | 68:22 | issued (1) |
| higher (1) | 45:22 | 20:16 | installing (1) | 51:7 |
| 24:10 | hour (2) | imported (1) | 34:15 | issues (11) |
| high-level (2) | 7:6;87:23 | 84:7 | instance (2) | 15:12;33:21;38:14, |
| 19:12,16 | hours (1) | improve (2) | 42:23;44:14 | 20;39:22;49:12,16; |
| highlight (1) | 37:16 | 31:2,6 | instances (8) | 50:19;55:7;86:11; |
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| 56:5 | prove (1) | 59:22;60:8,22;61:9; | 9:11;71:2;75:6; | regrowth (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| program (4) | 19:7 | 62:13,24;64:18;65:23; | 79:17,18,21 | 60:20 |
| 10:12;11:6;43:19; | provide (6) | 66:6;67:8;69:20;70:20; | reasonable (1) | regulation (1) |
| 44:23 | 6:7,10;24:23;48:2; | 72:7;75:15;77:20;78:2; | 49:24 | 40:24 |
| Project (132) | 57:6;58:14 | 79:2,20;80:14;81:4,10, | reasonably (1) | regulatorily (1) |
| 2:8,12;4:14,18;5:5, | provided (2) | 20;82:5;83:13;84:6; | 49:15 | 41:13 |
| 15,16;6:9,13,15;7:1,5; | 74:7;88:23 | 85:10;87:3 | reasons (5) | relates (2) |
| 10:15;12:10,21;13:1,8, | providing (1) | quite (3) | 10:2;39:5;66:13; | 66:4;71:21 |
| 23;14:20,20;15:9,11, | 42:6 | 44:17;47:16;83:17 | 69:7;80:15 | relative (1) |
| 14,14,21,24;16:2,19, | provision (1) |  | recall (2) | 20:17 relatively |
| 21,22;17:2,8,16,20,21; | 45:21 | R | 16:20;17:20 | relatively (2) |
| 18:4;19:7,19,19;21:16, | PSNH (1) |  | receive (4) | 48:6;58:10 |
| 22,23;22:13,22;23:7, | 36:8 | radiuses (1) | 5:5;30:4;44:4;56:7 | reliability (7) |
| 15,19;24:16;25:18; | public (28) | 34:16 | received (6) | 31:6,16,20;32:3,6; |
| 28:24;29:13,17;30:5,8; | 4:13,20,24;5:14,21; | raise (1) | 18:11,23;21:8;26:18; | 83:18;84:10 |
| 32:20,22,23;33:6;34:5; | 6:7,7;8:16,17;10:13, | 50:2 | 46:20;52:6 | reliable (1) |
| 39:8,9,16,19,24;40:1,3, | 16,11:24;12:6,7,14,18, | raised (1) | receiving (2) | 84:3 |
| 9,12;41:8,11,23,24; | 21,22;13:4,20;25:3; | 19:4 | 11:10;14:1 | relocations (1) |
| 43:7,12,14,18;44:3,3,5; | 30:1;41:1,5;51:4; | raises (1) | recent (2) | 82:4 |
| 45:17,17,20;46:13; | 66:22;68:21;88:23 | 38:20 | 66:14;69:2 | rely (1) |
| 51:11;53:20;54:12; | publicly (1) | ramp (1) | recently (4) | 74:20 |
| 55:1;57:16,17,20;58:2, | 59:1 | 6:12 | 4:5;5:11;20:19; | remain (1) |
| 13,22;59:13,15;60:4; | purpose (2) | ramps (1) | 61:11 | 20:3 |
| 62:16,23;64:20;66:7,8; | 5:9;6:5 | 29:18 | record (7) | remarks (1) |
| 67:22;69:1,7;72:23,24; | purposes (3) | range (3) | 6:2;8:23;9:5,17; | 6:23 |
| 73:19,19;74:6,12; | 24:2;37:17;80:12 | 51:18;68:8;81:2 | 10:24;11:15;83:18 | renewable (1) |
| 75:21;76:3,10,10,12, | Pursuant (1) | ranges (1) | recorded (1) | 58:7 |
| 13,13,22;77:7;79:3,6, | 12:4 | 28:19 | 5:22 | renewables (1) |
| 11;81:1,13,16,19;82:3; | pursue (1) | rare (1) | recreational (1) | 23:5 |
| 83:6,6;84:4;85:12 | $17: 11$ | 32:11 | 24:1 | rental (2) |
| $88: 11$ | pursuing (2) | ratepayers (1) | red (2) | $56: 7,11$ |
| projects (11) | 27:2;80:16 | 81:2 | 27:4,19 | repeat (1) |
| 20:1;21:4;37:13; | put (3) | rates (1) | reduce (6) | 78:19 |
| 56:24;57:1,3,24;58:18, | $10: 8 ; 22: 15 ; 65: 8$ | 49:23 | $17: 8 ; 18: 16,20 ; 19: 24$ | repetition (2) |
| 20;59:3,4 | putting (1) | rather (2) | $28: 4 ; 31: 6$ | 8:10;10:4 |
| promise (1) | 35:2 | 71:22;78:2 | reduced (3) | repetitious (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 25:6 } \\ \text { promised } \end{gathered}$ | Q | reach (1) $70: 10$ | 17:1;27:1;75:2 reduction (5) | $\begin{gathered} 10: 4 \\ \text { reporters (4) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $47: 18$ |  | reached (1) | 23:15;36:2,17;37:21; | 5:23;8:23;88:17,21 |
| properties (4) | qualified (3) | 62:10 | 77:15 | represented (1) |
| 23:18;73:17,22;74:2 | 44:6;45:10;64:22 | reaching (1) | re-estimating (1) | 87:15 |
| property (7) | quantified (1) | 61:12 | 76:12 | require (1) |
| $48: 19 ; 61: 7,18,23$ | $24: 13$ | read (1) | re-evaluation (1) | $5: 11$ |
| $66: 23 ; 80: 1,17$ | Quebec (1) | 65:6 | 48:18 | required (7) |
| proposal (4) | 83:11 | ready (1) | refer (1) | 5:2;12:17;13:22 |
| $15: 18 ; 17: 21 ; 30: 9$ | question-and-answer (2) | $30: 15$ | $43: 18$ | $21: 24 ; 45: 19 ; 52: 11$ |
| $66: 11$ | $12: 23 ; 30: 15$ | real (1) | referred (4) | 63:21 |
| propose (1) | questioner (3) | 56:17 | 27:10;42:21;56:24; | requirement (2) |
| 42:12 | 51:6;58:19;60:24 | realities (1) | 75:19 | 9:18,20 |
| proposed (17) | queue (1) | 53:2 | referring (8) | requirements (1) |
| $4: 15,18 ; 5: 10,16 ; 6: 9$ | 24:22 | realize | $27: 5,12 ; 37: 7,11,22$ | 41:13 |
| 12:21;13:8;16:21; | quick (1) | 75:8 | $51: 6 ; 58: 19 ; 86: 21$ | requires (2) |
| 51:10,14,16;55:11; | 16:12 | really (34) | refusal (1) | 5:21;8:1 |
| 56:2;57:1;61:14;70:17; | Quinlan (62) | 4:11;10:5,18,21; | 66:4 | reservoir (1) |
| 82:1. | 6:21;7:4;11:22; | 15:11,19;16:9;18:7; | regard (1) | 83:10 |
| proposing (3) | $13: 14,15,16 ; 25: 10$ | 21:3;32:20;33:10,12; | 23:7 | reservoirs (1) |
| 5:5;79:17,19 | 29:11;31:3,8;32:15,19; | 34:10;35:7;36:13; | regarding (2) | 84:4 |
| protect (2) | 34:2,20;35:12;36:4; | 39:24;40:11,23;47:2; | 33:12;40:19 | reside (2) |
| 38:8;68:16 | 38:5;39:4;40:22;41:11, | 48:22;49:15;50:1,7; | region (4) | 24:19;28:16 |
| protected (1) | 21;43:10,16;46:15; | 52:5;58:19;59:4,6; | 19:20;39:21;75:23; | residential (4) |
| 40:8 | 47:24;48:13,21;50:15; | $61: 11 ; 62: 7 ; 63: 2 ; 64: 7$ | 80:6 | 20:13;36:9;70:17,21 |
| protecting (1) | 51:5;53:13;54:11; | 77:7;79:14;88:11 | regions (1) | residents (6) |
| 67:6 | 55:15;56:10,20;58:16; | reason (6) | 73:2 | 11:12;21:18;22:5; |
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| 36:3;43:23;44:20 | 85:21;86:10 | 25:13;37:16;66:18; | segments (1) | 29:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resides (1) | right-of-ways (2) | 71:8 | 53:8 | shortly (1) |
| 18:2 resonated (1) | 56:9;77:24 rights (1) | S | selected (6) | 44:5 shoulder (6) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { resonated (1) } \\ & 16: 9 \end{aligned}$ | rights (1) $80: 1$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:15;38:6;51:24; } \\ & \text { 52:15;71:2;84:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { shoulder (6) } \\ 60: 1,6,15 ; 70: 23 ; \end{array}$ |
| resoundingly (1) | rights-of-way (1) | safety (4) | selection (1) | 71:1;84:18 |
| 38:15 | 31:2 | 87:21,24;88:2,4 | 86:1 | show (1) |
| resource (3) | risk (2) | Sam (9) | send (1) | 22:18 |
| 15:17;24:2;74:7 | 41:19;42:18 | 32:22;35:12;42:4; | 4:8 | showed (2) |
| resources (12) | road (11) | 60:2,8;62:11;69:21; | separate (1) | 44:23;47:6 |
| 27:7;68:23;71:21; | 34:1,14;59:20;60:1, | 81:22;85:24 | 88:24 | shown (1) |
| 72:1,5,14,21,22;73:1,6, | 7,14;70:24;71:1;84:18; | same (4) | series (2) | 25:3 |
| $11 ; 74: 14$ | 86:23;87:18 | 9:16;39:16;56:18; | 38:20;51:9 | shutdown (1) |
| respect (1) | roads (7) | 84:9 | serve (3) | 85:3 |
| 32:13 | 45:21;70:13,23;85:4, | savings (4) | 46:7,16;47:4 | shutting (1) |
| respectful (1) | 7;86:14;88:1 | 20:11;62:21;76:1; | served (1) | 38:22 |
| 88:12 | roadway (4) | 77:16 | 46:24 | side (9) |
| response (1) | 33:6;75:13;86:1; | saw (2) | Service (1) | 24:11;33:24;34:13; |
| 8:2 | 87:5 | 37:3,7 | 4:20 | 35:6;57:15;58:24;73:9; |
| responsibility (1) | roadways (2) | sawcut (1) | services (1) | 79:7;85:11 |
| $72: 17$ | 38:17;71:19 | 60:14 | 41:18 | significant (9) |
| responsible (1) | Robidas (1) | saying (1) | serving (1) | 17:24;20:14;33:17; |
| 9:7 | 5:24 | 68:10 | 32:8 | 37:4;46:2;47:7;71:15; |
| rest (3) | Rockingham (1) | scaled (1) | session (9) | 86:19,24 |
| 4:17;16:4;51:13 | 5:18 | 17:16 | 4:13;5:1,14,22;11:6, | silk (1) |
| restaurants (1) | Rocks (1) | scattered (1) | 24;12:19,23;88:23 | 42:22 |
| 45:22 | 56:4 | 30:20 | sessions (14) | similar (3) |
| Restoration (1) | rolled (1) | scenic (4) | 5:20;6:5;7:19;9:14; | 45:18;66:13;77:4 |
| 31:20 | 81:16 | 15:16;17:13;38:10; | 12:6,14;13:20,24;14:2; | similar-length (1) |
| restore (1) | room (3) | 56:16 | 15:4,5;18:24;30:2,3 | 39:14 |
| 60:16 | 6:11;7:10;11:8 | schedule (2) | set (2) | simple (1) |
| restrictions (1) | roots (1) | 14:12;55:13 | 12:14;27:14 | 63:3 |
| 87:1 | 60:20 | scheme (2) | sets (2) | single (2) |
| result (6) | roughly (1) | 41:6;48:7 | 63:22;72:15 | 27:17;35:10 |
| 23:15;26:11;53:9; | 46:18 | scientists (1) | setting (1) | Site (9) |
| 62:22;75:17;77:13 | rounds (1) | 72:9 | 64:3 | 5:6,7;12:8;48:12,14; |
| retired (2) | 61:15 | scoping (1) | several (2) | 73:13;74:10,18,19 |
| 4:4,5 | route (39) | 55:13 | 40:19;59:3 | siteable (1) |
| revenue (3) | 16:17;17:23;25:19; | Seabrook (1) | sewer (1) | 57:16 |
| 28:14;29:18;47:2 | 26:23;28:1;33:23; | 45:5 | 41:2 | sited (1) |
| revenues (5) | 39:15;40:20,21;41:7, | seasonal (1) | shaping (1) | 79:6 |
| 22:17;46:10,20; | 17;47:15;50:23;51:2,3, | 37:12 | 14:5 | sites (1) |
| 47:20;67:16 | 10,15,18;52:22;53:1,3, | seat (1) | share (2) | 33:13 |
| review (2) | 6,9;54:9,10;55:11,18, | 30:21 | 21:6;24:18 | siting (5) |
| 65:7;72:10 | 21;56:5;62:14,19;66:5, | seats (5) | shared (3) | 13:13;14:8,24;42:8; |
| reviewed (2) | 15;69:19,22;75:8; | 25:5,7;30:18,18,19 | 59:1;80:20;85:22 | 57:17 |
| 51:2,14 | 78:22;79:13;84:15 | SEC (20) | sheds (1) | Siting/Outreach (1) |
| reviewing (1) | routes (3) | 5:8,13;6:4;9:10,13, | 52:18 | 2:11 |
| 5:9 | 50:24;51:9;55:19 | 15,16;10:10;11:16; | sheet (2) | Siting/Permitting (1) |
| rid (1) | row (1) | 12:3,8,11,13,16,22,24; | 11:9;81:24 | 55:1 |
| 37:22 | 30:19 | 13:3,12;51:3;78:13 | sheets (1) | six (1) |
| ride (1) | RSA (2) | Second (5) | 19:14 | 70:24 |
| 82:14 | 5:3;12:4 | 7:4;15:23;19:5; | shielding (1) | sizable (1) |
| right (17) | rule (1) | 34:19;40:16 | 18:19 | 58:11 |
| 11:5;14:8;24:18; | 85:17 | second- (1) | shifted (1) | size (5) |
| 25:8;37:2;39:9;44:2; | rules (2) | 45:24 | 66:15 | $5: 4 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 1,8$ |
| 50:14;52:1,12;59:11, | 88:3,6 | secondary (2) | short (7) | $75: 21$ |
| 16;69:13,15;70:9;83:5, | run (7) | 74:3;85:4 | 6:24;24:22;40:22; | skill (3) |
| 5 | 16:13;21:9;25:23; | section (7) | 44:16;46:4;55:16; | 22:6;43:21;44:7 |
| right-of-way (14) | 63:6;64:6;70:19;77:13 | 33:24;56:3;72:18; | 75:15 | skilled (3) |
| 25:21,23,24;41:5; | running (2) | 74:9,21;75:2;82:3 | shortest (1) | 44:9;65:1,10 |
| 52:22;59:24;61:14; | 63:18;66:11 | secure (2) | 78:22 | slide (1) |
| 71:5,6,10;77:1,3; | runs (4) | 53:3;58:22 | short-lived (1) | 47:23 |
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| small (2) | 38:2 | 22:14 | 45:19;69:6 | 17:4,9,17;18:14; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:15;84:22 | stack (1) | storm (1) | suppresses (1) | 33:18;71:16;75:14; |
| smaller (2) | 64:1 | 83:20 | 63:10 | 76:8;85:18 |
| 76:13,22 | stakeholders (1) | storms (1) | suppressing (1) | telephone (1) |
| SODERMAN (1) | 17:15 | 31:6 | 36:5 | 27:16 |
| 82:20 | start (4) | stream (1) | Sure (5) | temporary (1) |
| softened (1) | 15:2;30:15;63:18; | 86:15 | 33:2;43:8;47:22; | 45:15 |
| 75:12 | 72:10 | streamline (2) | 86:2;88:15 | tend (2) |
| solar (1) | started (1) | 27:3,10 | survey (2) | 29:15;31:12 |
| 23:9 | 16:17 | streams (2) | 73:4;74:15 | tended (1) |
| solicit (1) | starts (1) | 41:19;42:18 | surveys (2) | 4:8 |
| 30:12 | 19:17 | street-by-street (1) | 33:8;74:13 | tends (2) |
| solution (1) | state (39) | 54:17 | Susan (1) | 31:14,19 |
| 23:13 | 5:1;12:17,24;13:2; | streets (1) | 5:24 | terribly (3) |
| Somebody (2) | 14:2;15:6,13,21;17:13; | 35:6 | swale (1) | 22:8;24:3;49:19 |
| 43:14;57:9 | 18:6;19:20;22:11;24:7; | strike (2) | 86:7 | terrorists (1) |
| Someone (3) | 26:19;28:15,20;33:3,6; | 75:19;77:7 | swath (1) | 31:7 |
| 40:2;43:20;48:14 | 38:17;40:20;41:1,14; | strongly (1) | 84:21 | test (1) |
| someone's (1) | 45:11;46:17,19,23; | 88:11 | switch (1) | 74:16 |
| 40:4 | 47:19,21;50:18,18; | struck (3) | 88:17 | Thanks (4) |
| Sometimes (2) | 66:12;69:9;70:22; | 40:7;59:16;81:15 | Switchover (1) | $14: 11 ; 55: 2 ; 56: 23 ;$ |
| 10:6;86:8 | 71:18;73:3;74:24; | structure (13) | $88: 21$ | $72: 11$ |
| Somewhere (2) | 77:24;82:10;85:20 | 18:17,18,19;26:8,24; | system (1) | themes (2) |
| 28:17;39:10 | state-level (1) | 27:3,13,13,24;28:9,9; | 32:12 | 15:11;16:6 |
| soon (1) | 48:6 | 41:15;60:21 |  | thereafter (1) |
| 14:23 | Statement (4) | structure-by-structure (1) | T | 44:5 |
| sooner (1) | 51:8,24;70:9;71:22 | 18:15 |  | Therefore (3) |
| 12:5 | States (2) | structured (1) | talk (6) | 29:18;47:11;63:4 |
| sorry (4) | 44:8;60:23 | 24:13 | 6:14;11:21;33:10; | third (6) |
| 11:19;66:15;77:19; | statewide (1) | structures (12) | 57:21;64:19,20 | 5:19;11:5,19;13:19; |
| 87:12 | 22:16 | 26:4,7,14;27:22; | talking (3) | 21:13;30:1 |
| sort (1) | Station (1) | 31:18;62:1;68:19; | 19:15;31:17;34:3 | third-order (1) |
| 33:19 | 45:5 | 73:17;80:13;82:2,2,4 | tall (1) | 45:24 |
| source (4) | stations (1) | studied (1) | 18:17 | Thomas (1) |
| 20:6;22:1;23:11; | 84:9 | 55:22 | tanks (1) | 2:4 |
| 79:12 | statistically (1) | studies (2) | 42:23 | Thornton (2) |
| sources (3) | 26:1 | 72:15;73:13 | $\boldsymbol{t a x}$ (19) | 33:23;34:4 |
| 19:22;23:9,12 | stats (1) | submitted (5) | 21:10;22:15,17; | thoughtful (1) |
| southern (1) | 81:24 | 10:10;29:8;72:24; | 28:11,14;29:18;46:9, | 50:1 |
| 82:2 | statute (3) | 73:4;74:12 | 20;47:2,12,19;48:23; | thousands (1) |
| speak (1) | 5:2,10,21 | submitting (2) | 49:16;50:19;67:15; | 45:23 |
| 11:14 | statutory (2) | 5:12;9:9 | 80:12,17,18;82:7 | threat (1) |
| specific (6) | 9:18,20 | subscribed (1) | taxation (1) | 31:7 |
| 7:2;8:2,4;27:8; | stay (1) | 44:18 | 49:24 | three (2) |
| 33:13;79:24 | 60:6 | subsequent (1) | taxed (1) | 6:19;29:15 |
| specifically (6) | staying (1) | 9:13 | 49:2 | throes (1) |
| 20:9;24:13,24;34:3, | 4:9 | Sue (1) | taxes (4) | 57:24 |
| 13;40:13 | steadfast (1) | 88:17 | 47:17;48:4,6;49:20 | throughout (3) |
| specified (1) | 81:6 | Sugar (1) | taxing (1) | 6:12;42:15;83:5 |
| 50:24 | step (5) | 25:16 | 49:6 | thrown (1) |
| specify (1) | 5:1;12:1,2;13:12; | summarize (1) | teach (1) | 10:24 |
| 51:1 | 69:14 | 7:16 | 43:23 | throws (1) |
| spent (1) | steps (2) | summary (2) | Team (11) | 40:1 |
| 4:6 | 19:3;62:6 | 19:13;40:19 | 2:8;7:5;15:9;32:20; | thumb (1) |
| spoke (1) | Steve (1) | superior (1) | 34:5;41:9,23;54:12; | 85:18 |
| 10:16 | 5:23 | 4:4 | 55:1;73:8;85:12 | thus (1) |
| stability (3) | still (3) | supplied (1) | technical (4) | 30:10 |
| 20:17;37:1;56:17 | 11:14;82:22;88:2 | 58:6 | 69:24;79:17,18,21 | timber (2) |
| stabilize (1) | stimulate (1) | supplies (1) | technically (2) | 66:20;68:6 |
| 37:13 | 68:4 | 20:22 | 40:11;52:11 | time-consuming (1) |
| stabilizing (1) | stimulation (1) | supply (2) | technological (1) | 31:22 |
| 37:23 | 46:2 | 57:5;58:22 | 77:5 | timely (1) |
| stable (1) | stimulus (1) | support (2) | technology (9) | 31:21 |
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| times (3) | 25:15 | two-year-long (1) | 62:21;68:14;76:15,19, | Vermont (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:5,23;35:4 | Transmission (23) | 67:22 | 21;80:7;84:14;86:6 | 56:15,24;59:10,11; |
| today (3) | 2:10;4:15,19;25:22; | type (5) | upon (13) | 78:23;79:13 |
| 48:16;82:1;83:1 | 27:12;29:12,13,17; | 22:14;28:7,8;44:19; | 15:5;18:10,23;24:14; | versus (1) |
| together (1) | 31:17,18,19;32:4,5,10, | 85:18 | 26:18;27:20;28:4;38:7; | 31:16 |
| 53:7 | 12;56:22;58:5,11; | types (1) | 49:10;68:21;77:21; | viable (1) |
| tonight (7) | 71:11;77:2;80:13; | 10:19 | 79:24;80:20 | 40:2 |
| 4:12,23;6:1;11:20; | 81:11;82:16 | typical (2) | upside (1) | video (6) |
| 13:19;14:11,14 | Transportation (6) | 27:12;29:17 | 36:22 | 6:24;16:13;24:22; |
| Tonight's (3) | 34:10;42:3;77:23; | typically (2) | use (23) | 25:2,12;28:12 |
| 4:24;6:19;12:19 | 78:5,10;87:15 | 13:9;74:20 | 7:7,13;17:18;18:20; | video's (1) |
| tons (1) | travel (2) 60:6:85:7 |  | 22:6;23:18;27:9;28:2; | 19:1 |
| 23:14 | 60:6;85:7 | U | 40:21;41:1,4;42:11,21; | view (18) |
| topic (1) | traveling (1) |  | 43:22;44:7;56:8;57:13; | 8:22;15:16;18:20; |
| 7:14 | 87:22 traverse | ultimately (4) | :24;61:2,3;66: | $4: 8 ; 27: 6,8 ;$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { topics } \mathbf{~} \mathbf{1} \end{gathered}$ | traverse $47: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:2,5;49:20; } \\ & \text { under (5) } \end{aligned}$ | \% $\begin{array}{r}69: 9 \\ \text { used (4) }\end{array}$ | $5: 15 ; 52: 18 ; 67: 2$ |
| total (5) | treasured (1) | 69:19;70:12,17;88:6, | 21:3;60:19;66:20; | 68:16;73:19,20;74:5 |
| 24:5;27:23;39:16; | 17:13 | 23 | 87:13 | viewing (1) |
| 81:18;86:16 | tree (1) | underground (39) | uses (2) | 68:21 |
| touched (1) | 86:8 | 17:12,18,19,22;18:1; | 9:13;23:23 | views (1) |
| 35:12 | trees (2) | 25:15,18;26:2,12,21; | using (8) | 68:8 |
| tourism (1) | 59:20;60:5 | 31:10,12,16,21;34:7; | 38:19;39:9,13;43:17; | visible (2) |
| 32:18 | tree-trimming (1) | 38:7,17;39:12;50:23; | 75:13;79:13;87:5,17 | 26:22;80:6 |
| tourist (1) | 61:3 | 51:12,19,20;52:9,14, | utilities (3) | visits (1) |
| 56:16 | trench (3) | 24;54:19;59:14,23; | 41:1;70:5,11 | 69:3 |
| towards (1) | 60:15;82:21;84:22 | 69:18,22;71:7;73:5; | utility (4) | visual (2) |
| 44:13 | trim (1) | 75:7,12,14;76:14; | 36:19;45:9;49:1,6 | 27:21;68:22 |
| towers (2) | 60:5 | 79:23;82:23;85:20 |  | vitality (1) |
| 61:8;81:18 | trips (1) | undergrounding (1) | V | 56:16 |
| town (11) | 4:11 | 71:17 |  | voices (1) |
| 9:4;11:9;12:10; | true (1) | understood (1) | valuable (1) | 67:22 |
| 28:24;32:8;34:3;46:23; | 39:2 | 8:13 | 14:18 | volatile (1) |
| 47:4;50:2,4,5 | truly (5) | underwater (1) | valuation (2) | 20:19 |
| town-by-town (2) | 13:24;58:21;59:1; | 59:12 | 72:4;80:17 | volatility (5) |
| 47:3;54:17 | 67:3;84:18 | unfortunately (1) | value (9) | $20: 20 ; 37: 4,10,18,23$ |
| towns (16) | trust (1) | 58:8 | 13:24;30:13;36:13; |  |
| 22:19;28:20;29:22; | 10:10 | union (1) | 49:3,10,11,12,14;58:14 | W |
| 46:10, 19,$19 ; 47: 8,9,13$, $13,15,21 ; 48: 17: 49: 9$ | try (7) | 64:14 | valued (2) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13,15,21 ; 48: 17 ; 49: 9 \\ & 50: 17,18 \end{aligned}$ | 8:11;24:10;35:18; 50:1;60:6;62:1;74 | unique (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 22 ; 49: 1 \\ & \text { values }(\mathbf{3}) \end{aligned}$ | Wagner (2) <br> 66:16,19 |
| trade (4) | trying (2) | unit (1) | 48:19;61:8,2 | Wagner-Bayroot (1) |
| 43:24;64:22;65:2; | 38:8;78:19 | 63:20 | valuing (2) | 66:3 |
| 67:19 | turbines (1) | United (1) | 41:4;49:6 | wait (1) |
| traded (1) | 66:4 | 44:8 | vantage (1) | 65:22 |
| 77:10 | turn (9) | units (3) | 26:22 | waiting (1) |
| trade-off (2) | 34:4;54:12;55:16; | 63:18;64:6,9 | variations (4) | 88:16 |
| $18: 10 ; 77: 14$ | 56:21;60:2;69:21; | universal (2) | 51:15,16,16;53:6 | walk (1) |
| trading (1) | 83:14;85:11;88:15 | 30:9;68:10 | varies (6) | 82:14 |
| 77:11 | turned (1) | universally (2) | 8:1,6;36:18,19; | walking (1) |
| traffic (3) | 83:2 | 15:13;38:14 | 46:16;47:3 | 4:10 |
| 41:17;42:14;87:21 | two (18) | unless (1) | various (1) | wants (2) |
| Trail (3) | 5:23;7:19;10:18; | 20:21 | 53:8 | 30:20;58:6 |
| 26:17;38:13;52:20 | 15:11,11;16:6,6,10; | unlike (3) | vary (1) | warming (1) |
| train (1) | 30:2,18;40:11;45:10; | 29:20;31:23;60:23 | 46:5 | 23:3 |
| 22:5 | 46:1;50:18;65:4;72:15; | unreliable (1) | vast (1) | warrant (1) |
| training (3) | 81:16;84:8 | 83:9 | 48:2 | 28:7 |
| 43:19;44:4,11 | two-day (1) | up (27) | vegetation (2) | warranted (1) |
| transcript (3) | 83:22 | 4:8,11;6:11;8:17; | 59:21;61:1 | 52:4 |
| 6:2;9:8,18 | two-plus-year (1) | $10: 13 ; 11: 3,22 ; 18: 22$ | vehicles (1) | warranting (1) |
| transition (4) | $45: 1$ | $22: 13,16 ; 24: 5,22$ | 87:19 | $27: 8$ |
| 52:23;79:22;80:1,9 | two-year (2) | 27:24;29:1;35:3;44:21; | vendors (1) | water (1) |
| transitions (1) | 38:24;45:16 | 46:11;51:12;54:10; | 76:19 | 69:18 |
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|  | 17 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [Audience interruption/applause.] |
| 2 | the moderator: Patricia Kellogg. |
| 3 | MS. P. KELLOGG: Good evening. My name |
| 4 | is Patricia Kellogg. I live in Littleton, New Hampshire, |
| 5 | and the line should be completely buried. |
| 6 | THE MODERATOR: And, that's two Ls, two |
| 7 | Gs, correct? |
| 8 | MS. P. KELLOGG: Two Ls, two Gs, double |
| 9 | double. Northern Pass 3.0 is not enough of an |
| 10 | improvement. 4 a is the fully underground alternative |
| 11 | route option recently analyzed by the Department of Energy |
| 12 | in the Draft EIS for Northern Pass. It is far superior to |
| 3 | the "improved" route NPT had just proposed 8/18/15, which |
| 14 | would bury only an extra 52 miles of the line, leaving 132 |
| 15 | miles of towers. |
| 16 | If Northern Pass has to be built, 4 a is |
| 17 | affordable. 4a is feasible. In the Draft EIS, the DOE |
| 18 | confirms this: "DOE has determined that extended burial |
| 19 | of the transmission line with a capacity of 1,000 |
| 20 | megawatts would be practical and technically feasible." |
| 21 | 4a adversely impacts New Hampshire |
| 22 | least, respects it the most in the following categories: |
| 23 | Visual resources, recreation resources impacted during |
| 24 | construction, recreation resources in terms of long term <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |

\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
visual impact, health and safety, traffic and transportation, noise, archeological resources impacted during construction, air quality, impacts to vegetated habitats and to forestlands, water resources, geology and soils, total ground disturbance and disturbance of farmland, and land use conversions. I refer you to the Draft EIS Summary, which supports Alternative 4a in terms of the above-mentioned impacts.

The DOE did not calculate property value impacts of the various alternatives. But 4a would have the least impact, in fact, no adverse impact on property values.

4a would create more permanent FTE jobs and increase statewide property tax annual collections more than the proposed action, that's Northern Pass 3.0, and comparably reduce wholesale electricity costs to ISO-New England and New Hampshire per the Draft EIS Summary.

Please support 4a, not 3.0. 4a is affordable, it's feasible, and it respects New Hampshire. Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
THE MODERATOR: And, let me ask for Roy
Stever to come up, Suzanne Smith, Susan Schibanoff?
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

MS. SCHIBANOFF: Close enough.
THE MODERATOR: Okay. And Linda Lauer.
MS. STEVER: Good evening. My name is
Deborah Stever. And, I'm a resident of the Town of Easton.

THE MODERATOR: Would you spell your last name.

MS. STEVER: S-t-e-v-e-r. I also serve
on the Easton Select Board, and I'm a member of the Easton Conservation Commission. For those of you who don't know, Easton is a town of $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ residents, who appreciate the rural character and intense beauty of a town nestled within the valley of the base of North and South Kinsman Mountain. Over 60 percent of our town lies within the White Mountain National Forest.

To begin, let me say that I am cautiously encouraged that Eversource has agreed to bury 50 plus additional miles of Northern Pass, a positive step in the right direction. Finally, we have an admission that the technology exists and Eversource is willing to use it.

For Easton, it has been a nearly six year battle, with our residents writing countless letters, attending hearings, testifying, taking hikes with elected \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
officials through the power lines, and on, and on, and on, and on. It has not been an easy time, fighting a much larger opponent than ourselves. I believe many have said "It was like David and Goliath."

Our work is not done, however. The proposal to bury the line through Easton leaves its residents with many unanswered questions, as we are all residents of New Hampshire as well. Why not bury the entire line? Why, if it is possible to bury 60 miles, could not the entire project be buried? Preserving the landscape of New Hampshire, not just the White Mountain National Forest.

Why not bury the line down Interstate
93? It is already a softened corridor, and, more importantly, a shorter distance through Franconia Notch than your proposed route.

Easton residents might prefer to forgo the tax revenue to be free of community disruption, which has not really been fully explained. Also, there seems to be a huge discrepancy in the tax benefits to Easton. When you compare the handout that was given to me earlier this evening and what was put on the screen, there's a large discrepancy.

And, finally, is it truly profit alone
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| that will steer your decisions from here? Can not the enormous amount of profit being made by the investors in the project be reduced marginally to protect the incredible landscape, wildlife, and viewshed of the entire State of New Hampshire, and not just the $\mathbf{6 0}$ miles around the White Mountain National Forest. <br> You have said that you listened. I hope that you are still listening. When we said "Bury Northern Pass", we meant all of it. <br> I, the Easton Select Board, and Easton <br> Conservation Commission favor total burial of Northern Pass. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> MR. STEVER: When I submitted my request <br> I had specifically said -- <br> THE MODERATOR: Would you please state your name, sir. <br> MR. STEVER: I had specifically said that I didn't want to follow my wife. <br> THE MODERATOR: You said you didn't want to do what? <br> MR. STEVER: So, therefore, my name is Roy Stever. <br> THE MODERATOR: Oh. You didn't want to <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | were informed that burial costs were prohibitive, although the basis for this assessment was never revealed. We were told that project economics simply would not allow for significant alteration of the overhead plan. <br> Against this backdrop, we are now asked to assess our third major variation of the proposal, and after five years of misleading statements by the project sponsors. <br> We now know that burial is a very real option, and that the total project cost, with 60 miles buried, is only marginally higher. Indeed, the question is no longer about feasibility. The crux of the matter is whether New Hampshire will extract another small reduction in overall project return to investors, or otherwise ask hard-working New Hampshire families and residents and communities to subsidize the project's partners, including Hydro-Quebec. <br> The proposal by Northern Pass to bury in some towns and not others appears to be based on a strategy to offer the minimum required to "gain a route", as was the case in the earlier decision to bury in the North Country. The implications of a public utility making such a choice, selecting the "haves" and the "have-nots" is questionable. The claim that Northern Pass \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| follow your wife? <br> MR. STEVER: Absolutely not. <br> THE MODERATOR: You can't live up to <br> her, right? <br> [Laughter.] <br> MR. STEVER: Okay. Good. So, Roy <br> Stever. I also live in Easton, New Hampshire. Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I am a retired business executive, now teaching full-time as a faculty member at Plymouth State, in the College of Business Administration. I am also Chair of Easton's Conservation Commission. <br> Like many residents of New Hampshire, I have been following the proposed Northern Pass Project from inception. I have sought the facts, trying to make a fair assessment. <br> From the start, Northeast Utilities, PSNH, Northern Pass Transmission, and now Eversource, have made this job difficult, unnecessarily so against current standards of corporate transparency. As residents, as appointed officials, as elected officials, as a Conservation Commission, as residents of New Hampshire, we all asked about burial from the start. We were told that the technology did not exist to bury the line. Later, we \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | listened is self-serving, when one considers all the towns and organizations that have advocated full burial from the start. <br> For elected officials and the Site <br> Evaluation Committee to endorse ForwardNH Plan without <br> independently validating the will of the people would be irresponsible. The legacy of these towers in the "above-ground" towns would immediately eclipse centuries of careful, deliberate stewardship, not to be undone for generations to come. <br> Please bury Northern Pass for its entire length. Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> MS. SCHIBANOFF: Susan Schibanoff, <br> Easton. <br> THE MODERATOR: Oh, wait a minute. Oh, I'm sorry. You'll be next. Yes, go ahead. <br> REP. SMITH: You can go first. I'll go next. <br> THE MODERATOR: Okay. <br> MS. SCHIBANOFF: Okay. And, it's doubly difficult to follow both Stevers, my neighbors. We're here -- <br> THE MODERATOR: Oh, you gave a card to <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |



would be spared the potential violation of their fiduciary obligations to their landowners.

Most likely, the three to four years of litigation being prepared against the project, as now proposed, would be terminated.

Last, but by no means least, the
overwhelming majority of New Hampshire citizens would set aside their cynicism of government and reward those who put the people ahead of business.

If the SEC is not convinced that full
burial in roadways is the right choice, then you must reject it entirely. Thank you.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
THE MODERATOR: Judith Weisenberger.
MS. WEISENBERGER: I didn't pass
anything in.
THE MODERATOR: That's okay.
MS. WEISENBERGER: So, it's Judy, and
Weisenberger, W-e-i-s-e-n-b-e-r-g-e-r. And, I'm not known for my brevity, but I will try to speak --

THE MODERATOR: We appreciate it.
MS. WEISENBERGER: -- to my heart to the
people from Northern Pass. I'm from Sugar Hill, one of
the winners. But I want you to understand that for five
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
years many of us have sacrificed, some way, way, way sacrificed, put aside their lives, disrupted their lives, meant expenses for a lot of people. And, we did it not just for Sugar Hill. What we did it for is the reason that many of us, especially us that weren't native born to New Hampshire, came here and loved it, and it's for the land.

And, I would say to the people at Northern Pass, if you think that, because some of the people that were most vocal, from Bethlehem down to Plymouth, are going to just be glad that they won, and the others lost, we have just begun to fight, because we fight for the children. That this is our legacy to hand to the children. People before us fought for a long time. And, when you stand up in Lancaster, or the places that you want to put those towers, and don't understand that it's about the land, it's about what it does to your spirit, then you don't understand that this is not over. And, we are going to, even if you get the pass -- get the proposal that you want, it will only still just beginning, for courts, for laying down in the streets, if we have to.

But this is our state, and this is
our -- our heart to keep the land the way it is and the way the people for a long time before us, and hopefully a
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
long time after us will protect us. Thank you.

## [Audience interruption/applause.]

MR. THAYER: Good evening. Chris
Thayer, T, as in Tom, h-a-y-e-r, Sugar Hill, New Hampshire. I'm also an employee of the Appalachian Mountain Club here in the Great State of New Hampshire. The AMC is the oldest conservation and recreation organization in the country, with more than $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ members, supporters, and advocates from Maine to D.C., including more than 12,000 here in New Hampshire.

AMC's 139-year history is punctuated by battles to protect the places people love. AMC has played a critical role in maintaining the character of New Hampshire and ensuring that our natural and recreational resources will be available for the enjoyment of generations to come. Open spaces, without the permanent scars of industrial-scale development, are a diminishing and scarce resource of high public value that AMC will fight to protect.

Our opposition to the Northern Pass
transmission project as originally proposed has been based not only on the serious negative impacts of overhead lines, but also the fact that today's HVDC cable technologies make 100 percent burial a viable and
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
economically realistic option. While we are encouraged that Northern Pass has recently proposed burying an additional 52 miles of the line in the vicinity of the White Mountain National Forest, much more remains to be done. Two-thirds of the Northern Pass route remains above ground, and tens of thousands of New Hampshire residents and visitors will continue to be impacted by the proposed aboveground route. The preponderance of evidence shows that full burial is doable.

The North Country is among New
Hampshire's most remote and least developed landscapes. If Eversource can bury the line from Bridgewater to Bethlehem, the evidence shows that burying the line from Bethlehem to the Canadian border would reduce the route by 15 miles, would have fewer above-to-below-ground transition costs, would create more jobs, and would significantly reduce major environmental impacts to the North Country.

For years, the Company has claimed that
burial of the line was technically impossible and prohibitively costly. But other projects in New England and New York have determined that full burial is both practical and economically feasible. Other northeastern states have demanded full burial, and got it. New
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

Hampshire deserves the same.
The DEIS provides clear evidence that
full burial of the line would provide almost twice as many jobs, have a much smaller impact on property values, and create more long-lasting economic benefits to the region than overhead transmission lines or partial burial. Burying the full project is the most balanced and appropriate alternative.

At stake here is the future of New
Hampshire's forests, scenic vistas, property values, and cohesive communities. New Hampshire is, and has been for many years, a net exporter of electric power. The Independent Systems Operator that maintains the overall New England grid has not deemed this project essential.

In conclusion, this isn't about the need for essential electricity. This project is about money, about private profit through the sacrifice of precious resources that belong to all New Hampshire citizens. Our state deserves better. If this project is to go forward, every mile of it must be buried.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
MR. TUVESON: Bob Tuveson,
T-u-v-e-s-o-n, for Holderness. 4a all the way. My comments come from a five year-old document, but it still \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
applies and stands to be repeated. Please note, some of these comments differ from what you've heard this evening.

Underground cables are much safer than
overhead lines. No electric fields are emitted from buried cables, and most importantly, the magnetic field is greatly reduced. This significantly reduces the negative health effects documented for overhead lines. Underground cables provide obvious environmental benefits versus overhead lines in terms of land use, visual impact, property valuation, and tourism. Underground cables enhance power grid security and reliability and give improved performance, compared to overhead lines.

Underground cables are significantly
more reliable. Failures in underground cables are significantly lower than overhead lines.

Underground cables are significantly
more efficient. Transmission losses with underground cables are significantly lower than with overhead lines, which translates to a much lower carbon footprint. Underground cables are affordable compared to overhead lines. There is a higher initial capital cost, but this difference is canceled out by the much higher and costly electricity losses from overhead \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
lines, around 7 percent, over the life of the line. When this is taken into account, plus the lengthy planning delays, property devaluation, impacts on tourism and higher maintenance costs associated with overhead lines, costs of underground and overhead lines are almost equal.

And, this is for Mr. Quinlan, if I have
a few more seconds. I know your project's gone from 1,200 to 1,000 megawatts, and you're going to lose 18 percent of that energy. But you know you'll gain some back by total burial, because you lose seven of percent if it's all overhead. I know some of it's overhead. You'll gain some of that back. And, it also reduces the carbon footprint.

And, as I started, I'm going to end:
Alternative 4a all the way.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Douglas Evelyn,
Susan Ford, Carl Mastland, Jane Kellogg.
MR. EVELYN: Hi. I'm Douglas Evelyn, of
Sugar Hill. That's E-v-e-I-y-n, on "s" on Douglas. I'm Secretary of the Board of the Ammonoosuc Conservation Trust, the chief land trust concentrating on the White Mountain region, in Grafton, Carroll and Coos Counties. We appreciate this opportunity to testify as part of the SEC process.

ACT has always doubted the rationale for
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
the proposed Northern Pass Project. The need for a power line from Canada through New Hampshire has never been justified. New Hampshire does not need Hydro-Quebec's energy. And, during the five-year history of the project, new and offsetting sources of clean energy for coastal New England have been developed and identified.

ACT argues that the project must be buried throughout, if it proceeds at all. No promised short-term benefits can justify the permanent impact of Northern Pass's industrial-scale aboveground power lines to New Hampshire's mountain, lake, and agricultural landscapes and scenery. These nationally recognized scenic resources have been valued for two centuries and undergird New Hampshire's tourist economy.

ACT views the latest Eversource proposal, to reduce the project scale and bury $\mathbf{6 0}$ miles of the power line, as a small step in the right direction. But it leaves many New Hampshire areas and communities permanently scarred. The case justifying this damage throughout the region has not been made. Competing projects in adjacent states, and Eversource's own concessions, demonstrate the potential for full burial.

ACT views this project as the greatest threat to the integrity of New Hampshire's scenic
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| landscapes since the corporate devastation of the forest in the late 19th century, leading to the Weeks Act and the creation of the White Mountain National Forest. <br> ACT urges Eversource, and New <br> Hampshire's leadership, to respect the nationally appreciated character and the economic and social benefits of New Hampshire's natural landscapes throughout the state. <br> Do no harm. Bury the project in full, or abandon it altogether. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> REP. FORD: Thank you. For the record, my name is Susan Ford. I happen to be the Representative from Easton and serve in the New Hampshire House. I am also the Chair of the Grafton County Democrats. And, I mention that, because four and a half years we filed a resolution that we were against Northern Pass, and that resolution has not changed. <br> I have been accused of supporting the opposition, because this was a NIMBY project. And, after all, I lived in Easton. If you look around at the Grafton residents, it's very clear this is not a NIMBY project, unless New Hampshire is our back yard. We consider all of New Hampshire our back yard, and we are willing to fight \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | THE MODERATOR: Carl Mastland. <br> MR. MARTLAND: That's "Carl Martland". <br> THE MODERATOR: Martland. <br> MR. MARTLAND: M-a-r-t-l-a-n-d. "Mast <br> land" refers to the apple trees in my fields. <br> THE MODERATOR: I stand corrected, sir. <br> MR. MARTLAND: I'm just going to read my <br> comments, and I'll give this to you at the end. I am the Chair of the North Country Scenic Byways Council. And, I have submitted written comments on the negative impacts of Northern Pass on scenic byways in the North Country. Repeated sightings of steel lattice towers and long rows of transmission lines will have a strong cumulative, negative effect on users of the byways. The North Country Scenic Byways Council has asked me to submit detailed comments on this topic to the Department of Energy in response to the Draft EIS, and I will do this prior to the October 7th hearing on the EIS. <br> I am also the author of a textbook entitled "Toward More Sustainable Infrastructure: Project Evaluation for Planners and Engineers". So, I fully understand Mr. Quinlan's repeated references to a "balanced approach". However, I believe that there are many better ways to balance the costs and benefits of this \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| for full burial. <br> I support the Department of Education -Energy and the EIS report. They are absolutely right; 4a is the best option for New Hampshire. There were several other people that brought out options, and talked a little bit about that. And, one of the things that they talked about was jobs. The EIS states there will be no more jobs -- there will be more jobs for New Hampshire residents should we bury this project than if we put up towers. <br> Another issue is the roads. And, we've talked about Franconia Notch. I travel through Franconia Notch, even in the summer, to the Legislature about two times, sometimes three times a week. They are already doing construction. There is already construction, and it's been there all summer. They repaired the roads. They put up barriers. They have done a wonderful job of Franconia Notch. There is no reason why they cannot use 93, as opposed to the state roads. <br> The technology clearly supports the decision to use 4a. This cannot be a project of winners and losers. It absolutely cannot. I ask that the SEC recommend full burial of the Northern Pass Project. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | project, both for Northern Pass and for the North Country. <br> The information presented by Mr. Quinlan today demonstrates that the benefits of the project are great enough to justify burial of the line. I, therefore, would like Northern Pass to consider restructuring its proposal so as to reduce the net present value of the overall project to Northern Pass, and, at the same time, maintain or increase the benefits of the project to the public. <br> There are three ways that this might be done that just are very, very quick to summarize. One is savings in electricity costs: Are $\$ 80$ million of diffuse benefits to ratepayers necessary? If the savings were only 40 million per year, the net present value difference for Northern Pass could be $\$ 400$ million. <br> Payments in lieu of taxes: Instead of payments of 30 million per year that decline to zero over 40 years, have annual payments of 15 to $\$ 20$ million per year, thereby saving $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ million in net present value, and giving the towns a longer stream and a higher stream of tax revenue. <br> Third, the ForwardNH community benefits: <br> Instead of having $\mathbf{2 0}$ million per year for ten years, start at a much lower amount, build to say $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$ million per year \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| and continue for a longer period. Net present value savings for Northern Pass could be 50 to $\$ 100$ million, and the program would last longer and be a more durable benefit for the North Country. <br> So, funding for the burial: Even if the added cost is 1 billion, the annual cost, with reasonable financing, would be well under $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ million, under $\mathbf{8 0}$ million. And, with the savings l've identified, this is easily affordable. <br> THE MODERATOR: Thank you. <br> MR. MARTLAND: I can't read that with my <br> glasses on. <br> (Referring to the sign put up by the time keeper.) <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> MS. J. KELLOGG: I appreciate everyone's <br> comments. Mine are very short. My name is Jane Kellogg, two Ls and two Gs, from Campton. I'm here with three other members of the Campton Conservation Commission. As a commission and as individuals, we have opposed this project since it was first proposed, and we continue to do so. <br> Environmentally, this project is unsound, as a basic. From its generation where the Cree \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | And, I live in Sugar Hill for the record. For nearly five years, Northern Pass promoters have vigorously denied the feasibility of burying HVDC lines here in New Hampshire. Suddenly, on Tuesday, August 18th, a miraculous turnaround took place. In a stunning reversal, Northern Pass could now bury an additional 52 miles of line through the rockiest terrain in the state at no additional cost. I call this the "Miracle in Manchester". <br> The new Northern Pass route buries itself through the White Mountains using new technology, along roadsides, and for a reasonable cost, just as opponents have said it should for years. <br> I live in one of the towns that will be spared the affliction of high overhead towers. And, I am pleased that Eversource took this important first step. I'm also not much of a mind-reader, but I'm guessing this is what you guys are asking yourselves, "why am I standing here?" I'm standing here, because the people from away or even from downstate seem to be unaware of the strong connection North Country communities have with one another. We are not about to walk away while some towns are still under the gun, even if we are not. These people are our friends, they're our neighbors. We treasure their historic and scenic landscape with nearly the same fervor \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| lands and livelihoods are forever irreparably altered, to the major permanent visual and environmental disruptions, to the heartland of our beautiful mountains and valleys. <br> Not to mention that New Hampshire does not need this energy. <br> If, if the determination is that this energy project is indeed in the public's best interest, and I say "if", then we believe that the entire line must, underlined, must be buried. We totally reject the claim that it would be cost prohibitive to do so. The natural resources of our state belong to all of us, and the protection of those resources outweighs any additional costs incurred by a private company. <br> And, that comes from the entire <br> Conservation Commission in Campton. Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Nancy Martland, Heather <br> Townsend, Ken Mosedale, we'll try that, Steve Sabre. <br> Your printing is better than your <br> husband's. <br> MS. MARTLAND: Yes. I used to teach <br> kindergarten. <br> THE MODERATOR: Ah. <br> MS. MARTLAND: So, I'm Nancy Martland. <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | as we treasure our own. <br> When the new route was announced, every single person I spoke to, and I spoke to a lot of them, remarked in some way on the fate of Coos County in particular. The new route fails miserably in this one very important aspect: It divides New Hampshire into winners and losers. It divides us into tower towns and burial towns. Offers cash to tower towns, in an attempt to sooth the dual injury of a disfigured landscape and loser status. Offers job creation grants to paper over the fact that Coos County is the biggest loser of all. Why not take that money and put the lines underground? Even a casual observer might ask "why not just bury the whole thing?" The new route confirms that it can be done. <br> In view of the fact that their earlier "no burial" arguments have collapsed entirely, one wonders what possible reason Eversource could cite that makes it acceptable to damage some towns and not others. <br> I certainly have not heard anything convincing here this evening. It is simply not the New Hampshire way to favor some and leave others behind. Ideally, the entire line should be underground. I really don't see how you can do less. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| route, Eversource does not want that sensible option, because they will not get the lease money from Hydro-Quebec for their right-of-way use. If EIS Option 4a is adopted, the future issue may be who and how much does Hydro-Quebec pay for the use of this highway right-of-way? Does the money go to the State of New Hampshire? Does Eversource get money due to its present agreement with Hydro-Quebec? <br> The people of New Hampshire will be losers if this Northern Pass goes through as now presented. We must fight this present proposal that will bring hurt and harm to many New Hampshire people. Better options exist. <br> THE MODERATOR: Thank you. <br> MR. MOSEDALE: Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> MR. SABRE: Steve Sabre, S-a-b-r-e. I'm from the Town of Easton, population 250. Except tonight, I looked around the room, and we're probably down to 75 people at home. I've submitted some written comments already on specific issues. I just wanted to address one, one quick issue, that I have with the Northern Pass strategy in general. | Hydro-Quebec put in 100 miles in Australia. And, they reported they actually held a FERC conference in Hartford, Connecticut to report their findings, that it was not only cost-effective, but, even more important, it saved 80 percent -- they reduced the maintenance expenses for maintaining the -- <br> FROM THE FLOOR: Line. <br> MS. TERP: -- line, thank you. And, so, you know, considering that, over the years, that's a tremendous savings for people to think, and they did this for several projects. And, that information is all on the FERC website. <br> And, the only other comment that I want to make is that, you know, I'm concerned about the trees. And, any time you think about power lines, you're thinking about making a wider path for the power line. And, when we look at California, we notice the water -- the problems they're having water, and now they have lost even more trees. They sequester all kinds of water for them. And, so, I think we need to look very carefully at what we're doing, how we're protecting our trees in this state. <br> And, it's certainly cost-effective to bury the lines. That's already been proven. I'm not sure why Hydro-Quebec doesn't even look at its own findings. \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| through this region is not out of the goodness of their hearts, but because it was unlikely to get permitting through the White Mountain National Forest. This is all part of a cynical business strategy to divide the state by region, buy off detractors, and pay one -- play one group against another, or region against region. <br> Fairness to all is total burial, 4a. <br> Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Douglas Evelyn. <br> MR. EVELYN: I testified. <br> THE MODERATOR: Yes, you did. Go again. <br> [Laughter.] <br> MR. EVELYN: Early and often. <br> THE MODERATOR: Homer May, Elizabeth <br> Terp, Susan Moore, Carl Lakes. <br> Homer May? <br> FROM THE FLOOR: I think he left. <br> THE MODERATOR: Oh, he left. All right. <br> I'm not going to take it personally. <br> Elizabeth Terp. <br> MS. TERP: I'm Elizabeth Terp, from <br> Thornton. That's T-e-r-p. And, I just have a couple of brief comments. Several years ago a subsidiary of \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | But, you know, that might be helpful to do that at this point. <br> So, 4a all the way. Thank you. <br> THE MODERATOR: Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> MS. MOORE: My name is Susan Moore. I'm <br> from Franconia. I'm speaking as Chair of the Northern <br> Grafton County Democrats. We're on record in opposition to the original proposal for Northern Pass. We are pleased that Eversource has recognized how important line burial is, and that they have put forth the revised plan 3.0. This is a great beginning. But it still leaves most of the lines above ground. Coos County and a good portion of Grafton County will still have above lines -aboveground lines, and it is not a good situation for our neighbors. <br> Now that Eversource has stated that lines can be buried along roadways through the White Mountain National Forest, we request that lines be buried throughout the entire route. Thank you. <br> THE MODERATOR: Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Carl Lakes. <br> MR. LAKES: Yes. It's Carl Lakes, <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
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| L-a-k-e-s. I'm from Easton, and I'm on the Conservation Commission in town. <br> In the old Soviet Union, in Germany, Iraq, and countless other authoritarian countries, they built outside monuments to their leaders, as if they were gods, infallible and always right. When the people saw the ruinous results of these leaders and the institutions they created, the monuments were torn down in a rage. <br> In New Hampshire, Eversource wants to build a hideous steel monument, a symbol of shortsightedness, ignorance, and corporate greed. According to the Supreme Court, corporations are now people. But, unlike most individuals, they have the money, the influence to get what they want through coercion, buying off legislators, and doling out monies to silence critics. <br> Is this the New Hampshire that says "Live Free or Die"? The people have spoken loud and clear: Bury Northern Pass, bury all of it. The technology is proven and, logistically, it makes the most sense. <br> For all our sakes, let's do what's right. Let's not destroy our natural vistas, let's not destroy land values, let's not bow down to corporate \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | You said Hydro-Quebec is "renewable <br> energy". Industrial hydro has never been classified by <br> New Hampshire or the EPA as renewable energy because of all the environmental damage that's created in the production of the energy. <br> Two. You said it's "clean power". <br> Wrong again. Industrial hydro reservoirs release both carbon dioxide and methane into the atmosphere. And, as far as overhead power lines go, it takes of tons of concrete for the towers. Each ton of concrete releases a ton plus 400 pounds of CO2 into the atmosphere. <br> Three. Thomas May calls Hydro-Quebec the "greenest utility in the world". No, the greenest would be energy efficiency and conservation. No trees destroyed, no wildlife displaced, no property damage. But, since there's no money in it, I don't see you fighting for that. <br> Four. You said you couldn't bury it, too much granite, even though companies are burying -- are planning to bury power lines in other New England states that have granite. You said it would be ten times more expensive if buried; however, ABB's website states "Depending on local conditions, it is realistic that the costs for an underground high voltage line are equal to \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| hegemony. I'm sure there is not one legislator or one union worker that would vote for above ground if it came through their yard. It is the duty of all legislators to hold up the will of the people, and, by burying the whole thing, we honor the individual rights of every state resident. <br> Though, our most treasured natural monument, the Old Man of the Mountain, has fallen, we who are living should continue to uphold the grandeur of his vision that did not include steel towers and monuments to greed, but breathtaking beauty that is the heart and soul of this state. Honor the Old Man on the Mountain by burying all of Northern Pass. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Brenda Adam, Pamela <br> Martin, Gail Beaulieu, Margo Connors. <br> Brenda Adam. Did she leave? <br> (No verbal response) <br> THE MODERATOR: Pamela Martin. <br> MS. MARTIN: It's P-a-m-e-I-a, <br> M-a-r-t-i-n. I'm from Plymouth. Over the years, I've compiled a list of all the lies told by you, Northern Pass. And, I only have time for eleven of them, but here we are. <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | that of traditional overhead lines." We have sewer, cable, and water lines buried all over the Granite State, and somehow they manage to do that. Why can't you figure it out? <br> Five. You promise tax benefits for towns at the very same time you're in court trying to change the depreciation schedule, so you can end up with zero tax liability. <br> Six. You boasted 1,200 jobs with overhead lines. Now, the DOE says 2,400 jobs with burial. You claim New Hampshire desperately needs the jobs. Are you aware that New Hampshire has the lowest unemployment of all of New England states? Considerably lower than Connecticut or Massachusetts. <br> Seven. You said you were cooperating with the public and the local governments. But we asked that you debate us, and you repeatedly refused. <br> Eight. You're claiming low-cost energy. Why in the world would Hydro-Quebec go into this venture to lose money? This energy will be competitively priced. Corporations always charge what the market can bear. Nine. You said "New Hampshire needs the power." New Hampshire has more than enough power, but Hydro-Quebec has a surplus of energy and they are \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |

desperate to sell it to the United States. They're not doing it to be nice or to solve a crisis. They want to make a huge amount of money, which will go to pay off some of Quebec's massive debt.

Ten. You said you're doing us a favor by not going through the White Mountain National Forest. You knew you weren't going to get a permit to go through the White Mountain National Forest. So, you're making a virtue out of a necessity. If you really wanted to be good neighbors, you'd bury it all right down 93 to southern New England.

Eleven. You claim you're going to bury 8 miles in Coos County. You act like you're doing that out of the goodness of your heart. The reason you're doing that is because you're blocked. The private property owners there are refusing to sell to you. By the way, I don't know if you realize it or not, but you can't bury something under someone else's property without their permission.

These are not little lies. They are monumental lies which have affected people's lives and leaves you with no credibility at all. How can we have a conversation with you when we can't trust you to tell the truth?
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

An out-of-state utility has teamed up with a foreign government. They have no love or respect for New Hampshire. They care only about money. I lived in southern New Hampshire and northern New Hampshire, and it's all beautiful. Please protect it. All of it.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
MS. BEAULIEU: My name is Gail Beaulieu,
G-a-i-I, B-e-a-u-l-i-e-u. I'm from Plymouth, New Hampshire. And, I am opposed to the development of overhead transmission lines trespassing on private property, existing rights-of-way, and property that you actually own, when burial is feasible and affordable. In the early days of rural electrification, a right-of-way was granted by landowners to serve themselves and their neighbors. The lines were smaller and carried less voltage. These landowners, at the time of the right-of-ways were established, never anticipated that utility companies would be looking to purchase electricity from locations miles away, with higher voltage, and with no benefit of the electricity for the landowners' use.

Not only are overhead lines unnecessary,
excessive, intrusive, and a financial burden to the majority of these landowners, they are unsafe. If you
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

Google, you will see that there are many electrocutions resulting in the death that occur from overhead power lines.

A man was killed in Keene from a downed
overhead power line. An electrocution occurred from the transfer of electricity without the crane even touching the overhead power line. A power line worker was electrocuted while performing maintenance. A tree trimmer was electrocuted. A famous eagle came in contact with a high voltage line and electrocuted. A hot-air balloon came in contact with a power line causing electrocution.

Today, I am speaking on behalf of a man that cannot speak today. He was recently killed by electrocution from an overhead power line.

The deaths caused by electrocution from overhead power lines can now be avoided, and should be avoided, with the installation of DC Light cable buried along Interstate 93, 4a.

With the new technology available, I encourage the Site Evaluation Committee consider burial as the highest and best use for the Northern Pass Project proposing to transfer high voltage electricity miles away from its use, to protect the landowner, avoid death by electrocution, to protect our country from possible
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
terrorist attacks, drone attacks, and weather-related occurrences.

And, I want you to also remember that a wise man told me once "never trust marketing as the truth". Thank you.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
MS. CONNORS: Margo Connors, Sugar Hill,
M-a-r-g-o, C-o-n-n-o-r-s. On behalf of the Select Board of Sugar Hill, New Hampshire, I would like to commend Northern Pass for using new cable technology in their latest proposal. This will enable the line to be buried in our community. We would like to thank all of the people in Sugar Hill, who worked extremely hard over the past five years, through countless meetings, information sessions at public events, letters and e-mails to elected officials and representatives of Northern Pass and Eversource, tours of the land where the proposed towers would be. Specifically we would like to thank members of the Sugar Hill Conservation Commission, the Sugar Hill Historical Museum, the Ammonoosuc Conservation Trust, and STOP. Our views and opinions were heard.

However, in speaking with members of our community after the latest route was announced, people were pleased that Sugar Hill was spared, but the
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
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| overwhelming sentiment was concern for the $\mathbf{2 4}$ communities that will still have towers. If they can bury 52 miles at not extra cost, why can't they bury the whole thing? The entire North Country has scenic vistas, landscapes, historical resources, conservation lands and private properties that should be valued. It seems that their views were not heard. We think they should be listened to just as we were. <br> Our Board, our Select Board, would recommend that the plan be amended further before the official SEC filing to include more burial that spares all of the state. The Draft EIS clearly states this is feasible and beneficial. We believe our neighbors deserve the same treatment that our town received from Eversource. <br> Eversource has offered to sit down with town officials to discuss the plan for Sugar Hill in more detail. Since we have not been offered this opportunity to date, we look forward to setting up a meeting in the near future where we can discuss the impact on town roads, and the possibility of developing a contract that would establish a payment schedule to the town in lieu of taxes. Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Rebecca Brown, William <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | seeing, but asking ourselves harder questions about "what is our energy future and our energy independence going to look like in New Hampshire?" "How do we take advantage of our natural resources to provide that future for ourselves and for our neighbors?" <br> There's no state policy that this project is following. As we know, it's a private proposal. There is no demonstrated public need. This is not part of our renewable, big hydro is not part of the state's renewable energy portfolio. <br> So, I ask you -- or, I will tell you, that we have opposed this in the beginning, we oppose it in the middle, and we will continue opposing it to the end. I personally favor the "no build" alternative. If this project goes forward, I do not believe it should, full burial is the only fair way to treat all of New Hampshire. Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: William Bolton. <br> FROM THE FLOOR: He left. <br> THE MODERATOR: Okay. James -- John <br> Olson. <br> (No verbal response) <br> THE MODERATOR: Mark McCullock. <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |
| Bolton, John Olson, and Mark McCullock. <br> REP. BROWN: Hi. Rebecca Brown, B-r-o-w-n. I live in Sugar Hill. I represent five towns in the Legislature: Sugar Hill, Franconia, Lisbon, Lyman, and Monroe. We've heard a lot of fantastic comments tonight. And, so, I would urge you to think about something a little different, which I think about as being a legislator, which is public trust. And, the trust in this process, and what we're going to achieve at the end of the day that is best for all of New Hampshire, today, tomorrow, and for the foreseeable future, like the next 100 years. <br> People in my district, all of them, not just the affected towns, always ask me about Northern Pass "What's in it for New Hampshire?" "What is the public benefit?" And, that is the question, really, before us, as policymakers, as lawmakers, as public servants. A clearly articulated public benefit, not the carrots that are dangled, we've heard about a lot of them tonight. <br> Everybody that I know, who's followed this so closely for five years, sees the clearly articulated benefit as being private and corporate. Not for the good of all of New Hampshire, not a divide-and-conquer kind of approach, which is what we're \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | MR. McCULLOCK: This is he. <br> THE MODERATOR: Okay. <br> MR. McCULLOCK: I'm from the Coos <br> County. I came down here to support them. Wow. This is pretty nice. <br> Since five years ago, this project has ticked me off so badly that my wife and I now have two small poles, with 12 panels each, solar panels. We provide all the power for our own home and my business. <br> I came down here to talk about something that is in The News and Sentinel free summer magazine called "Enjoying the Great North Woods", on the side it says "Capture your next adventure here!" <br> What I want to talk about is the 165-mile Cohos Trail. "The 165 -mile Cohos Trail is the only international trail system in New Hampshire and the second international pathway in the East, providing a wilderness hiking experience from Crawford Notch in the White Mountain National Forest through the Great North Woods to the Canadian border in Pittsburg. Hardy souls can continue on Sentier Frontalier's trails to Mount Megantic or Mount Gosford in Eastern Townships of Quebec." <br> "The past spring the Cohos Trail Association, the all-volunteer non-profit organization \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} |

that stewards the trail received two grants that will support the efforts to make the Cohos Trail a major hiking destination in the Northeast. "2015 is on the path to be the most successful year for the Cohos Trail in terms of being able to make significant, positive changes", said CTA president Chad Pepau. "These changes will enhance the hiking experience tremendously. The Cohos Trail is very fortunate to have received these generous gifts as the association relies heavily on grants and donations to accomplish a wide array of projects annually."'"

This Cohos Trail runs basically adjacent to the route that they're proposing through the northern section of the state.
"The New Hampshire Trails Bureau
Recreational Trails Program awarded \$27,555 to assist the group, building miles of new trails, relocating footpaths -- excuse me -- footpaths off the roads and greatly improving the hiking experience."
"The Neil and Louise Tillotson Fund, excuse me, administered [by] the New Hampshire Charitable Foundation, awarded a \$3,280 grant."

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Mccullock, your time
is up. I don't know if you might summarize for us the article.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

MR. McCULLOCK: To summarize this, we have a 165-mile trail that runs from Crawford Notch to Pittsburg and into Canada. And, these link ding-a-lings are going to destroy it. We need to protect it.

I tried to see where they assess the impact to this trail, and there is one -- there isn't any. For those that come up here and try to go cross-country hiking and long distance hiking, this is one of the trails that's appealing to these folks. I have hiked the Long Trail in Vermont. It's a great experience to be able to do that. They're starting to get the same opinion and ideas around the Cohos Trail. Let's protect it.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.
MR. McCULLOCK: Thank you.
[Audience interruption/applause.]
THE MODERATOR: Sam Godin, Tim -- T. M.
Burgess, Marc Brown. Are any of those people still here?
MR. BROWN: I'm Marc Brown. I was on
the list.
THE MODERATOR: Oh, Marc Brown. Okay. MR. BROWN: Thank you. Marc Brown, Newfields, New Hampshire. Brown, B-r-o-w-n, Marc, M-a-r-c.

My name is Marc Brown and $I$ am the
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}

Executive Director of the New England Ratepayers Association, a non-profit, social welfare organization which advocates for ratepayers in New Hampshire and New England.

The high cost of electricity to New
Hampshire's businesses and families is well-documented. We have the fifth-highest electricity rates in the United States. The recent and potential retirements of 8,000 megawatts of coal, nuclear, and oil-fired generation has left New England short of baseload power options and over-reliant on natural gas-fired generation, with half of our electricity produced by natural gas plants. This overreliance is exacerbated by the fact that New England has insufficient pipeline capacity to meet the demand of natural gas generators, especially during the winter.

With an electricity market that hasn't induced any natural gas generators to subscribe to firm capacity from any of the proposed pipeline projects, and the fact that natural gas generation sets the wholesale market price for electricity 80 percent of the time, extreme price volatility follows. Which is largely responsible for the 55 percent and 13 percent increases in wholesale electricity prices the last two years.

These high and volatile energy prices
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
are crimping our economy and putting future job growth at significant risk. Public policy in the region has made it a veritable certainty that New England will not be building nuclear, coal, and oil-fired generation plants which have historically provided the region with the bulk of its baseload generation. The recently announced safety downgrade at Pilgrim Nuclear Plant in Massachusetts will certainly embolden environmental groups, whose relentless lobbying contributed to the premature shutdown of Vermont Yankee, to pursue the same result at Pilgrim before ultimately setting their sights on the more than $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$ generating capacity at Seabrook and Millstone.

With one quarter of the region's
capacity retiring or expected to retire by the end of the decade, new, reliable baseload power will be vital to provide stability to the New England power grid. Natural gas and large-scale hydroelectricity are the only remaining options.

It's extremely difficult to accurately calculate the savings that a project like Northern Pass will provide ratepayers. However, Northern Pass will no doubt compensate for some of the scheduled retirements, and will provide ratepayers some relief, especially in the capacity markets. The current capacity market will see
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\}
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| New England ratepayers pay an additional 1.5 billion in payments to electricity generators in 2016-2017, a total that will escalate to 3.5 billion for 2019-2020. That represents a $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ million annual increase to New Hampshire's ratepayers. Another 1,000 megawatts will help reduce those costs. <br> The Northern Pass -- the Northern Pass Project is far from perfect and its merits and flaws are certainly open to debate. What isn't debatable is the fact that New England's electricity grid is seeing its baseload power options decrease while its electricity rates increase. A perfect solution to our region's energy woes that will please everyone does not exist. Can a state that has seen 35 percent of its manufacturing jobs, and a region which has lost half a million manufacturing jobs in the past $\mathbf{2 5}$ years really afford to make the perfect project the enemy of a very good project. It's time to make some decisions that benefit the region before it's too late. <br> As an organization, we certainly don't <br> support a $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ million fund promised by Eversource to a variety of competing special interests, whose costs will ultimately be borne by ratepayers. But, as stated earlier, nothing is perfect. Thank you. <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | Massachusetts, it's supposed to take me three hours, but I like to go all the byways, up and all the way back, because, for me, my family doesn't come up here. And, like I thought, I have a very large family, and I thought we'd have big camp-outs. But we're all older and creaky, and they want the condos. One of them does own a third share in loon, and another one comes up every summer at one of the condos up here. So, they still enjoy New Hampshire, but not the way I thought they would, as a whole family camping out with them. <br> So, I just want to say thank you, New Hampshire. I hope you do fight and not have those lines. I also have an illness in my lungs. So, I breathe better up here. And, it's because of what you're fighting for. Thank you. <br> [Audience interruption/applause.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Okay. Not seeing any <br> more commenters, I'm going to close the session. And, thank you all very much for coming. <br> (Whereupon the Public Information <br> Session was adjourned at 9:35 p.m.) |
| THE MODERATOR: Okay. I've got two comment papers left. Sam Godin and T. M. Burgess. <br> [Audience interruption-inaudible.] <br> THE MODERATOR: Go ahead. You want to <br> go up to the microphone? Yes, that's okay. She's asked to speak. <br> MS. BRODERICK: My name is Pat <br> Broderick. I'm from Massachusetts. <br> (Court reporter interruption.) <br> MS. BRODERICK: I'm from Massachusetts. <br> Pat Broderick, B-r-o-d-e-r-i-c-k. When my husband passed away, I bought a little piece of property up on Route 3 in Woodstock. I put it in trust for my three children. They, right at the moment, don't have any interest in it. They have come up when they were teenagers. They said I bought it for me. Well, I decided to enjoy New Hampshire. I thought it was a safe bet for my financial mind, instead of putting it in the bank. Some of my Massachusetts relatives think I made a bad bet. I think I made a very good bet. Today, I spoke to somebody over on this side, he was very polite to me. I asked him what this was all about. I only knew a little bit. I'm very happy to have heard the other side. And, I don't want the Pass after hearing everything I've heard. <br> \{SEC 2015-06\} [Lincoln Public Comment Session] \{09-08-15\} | CERTIFICATE <br> I, Steven. E. Patnaude, a Licensed Court Reporter, do <br> hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. <br> I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. |


| \$ | 3 | ABB's [1] 59/22 ability [1] 76/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10 [1] 44/24 | 3,000 [1] 72/11 | able [2] 69/5 70/10 |
| \$100 [1] 45/2 | 3.0 [4] 17/9 18/15 18/19 56/12 | about [42] 5/8 7/7 7/8 7/22 8/4 9/21 9/23 |
| \$100 million [1] 45/2 | 3.5 billion [1] 73/3 | 10/10 11/10 11/12 12/3 12/17 13/13 15/2 |
| \$20 [1] 44/18 | 30 [2] 6/7 7/24 | 16/14 22/23 23/12 30/6 30/10 30/19 34/17 |
| \$200 [3] 10/16 73/4 73/21 | 30 million [1] 44/17 | 34/17 37/15 37/16 37/17 42/6 42/7 42/12 |
| \$200 million [1] 73/21 | 30 percent [1] 7/4 | 42/13 47/21 55/14 55/15 55/16 62/3 66/6 |
| \$27,555 [1] 69/15 | 300 feet [1] 49/19 | 66/7 66/14 66/19 67/1 68/10 68/14 74/22 |
| \$3,280 [1] 69/21 | 31 [1] 3/15 | above [14] 18/8 24/8 28/22 29/2 36/5 |
| \$400 [1] 44/15 | 310-A:173 [1] 76/17 | 36/15 49/10 50/24 51/4 51/10 51/13 56/13 |
| \$400 million [1] 44/15 | 33 [1] 3/16 | 56/14 58/2 |
| \$80 [1] 44/12 | 35 [2] 3/17 73/14 | above-ground [2] 24/8 51/13 |
| \$80 million [1] 44/12 | 37 [1] 3/18 | above-mentioned [1] 18/8 |
| '70s [1] 12/12 | $39[1]$ 3/19 | above-to-below-ground [1] 36/15 |
|  | 4 | above-treeline [2] 50/24 51/10 |
| 70s [1] 12/12 |  | aboveground [6] 31/6 36/8 40/10 51/2 |
| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { [3] 44/14 44/18 51/5 } \\ & 400 \text { [1] } 59 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | 51/20 56/15 <br> absolutely [4] 14/6 22/2 42/3 42/22 |
| 00052 [1] 76/16 | 41 [1] 3/20 | abutting [1] 16/22 |
| 06 [1] 1/7 | 43 [1] 3/21 | Acadian [1] 15/24 |
| 1 | $45[1] 3 / 22$ | accept [1] 10/8 |
| 1 | 46 [1] 3/23 | acceptable [1] 48/18 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 1 \text { billion [1] 45/6 } \\ 1,000 \text { [3] 17/19 39/8 } 73 / 5 \\ 1,200 \text { [2] 39/7 60/9 } \\ 1.5 \text { [1] } 73 / 1 \end{array}$ | 49 [1] 4/4 | accomplish [1] 69/10 |
|  | 4a [22] 10/9 17/10 17/16 17/17 17/21 18/7 | According [1] 57/12 |
|  | 18/10 18/13 18/19 18/19 29/4 32/20 37/23 | account [1] 39/2 |
|  | 39/14 42/3 42/21 50/4 52/24 53/3 54/7 56/3 | accurate [1] 76/4 |
| 100 [3] 28/7 31/17 66/12 | 63/18 | accurately [1] 72/19 |
| 100 miles [1] 55/1 | 5 | accused [1] 41/19 |
| 100 percent [1] 35/24 | 5 feet [1] 30/17 | across [1] 30/16 |
| 100,000 [1] 35/8 | 5 percent [2] 13/10 13/14 | act [7] 39/24 40/7 40/15 40/23 41/2 41/4 |
| 11 [1] 3/6 | 50 [4] 4/5 8/3 19/18 45/2 | 61/13 |
| 110 feet [1] 49/10 | 50/50 [1] 8/3 | action [3] 18/15 76/11 76/13 |
| 112 [2] 30/12 30/16 | 52 [4] 1/23 17/14 36/3 47/6 | actuality [1] 14/16 |
| 12 [1] 68/8 | 52 miles [2] 51/1 65/2 | actually [4] 12/18 14/8 55/2 62/12 |
| 12,000 [1] 35/10 | 53 [1] 4/6 | Adam [2] 58/15 58/17 |
| 120 feet [1] 49/10 | 54 [1] 4/7 | added [1] 45/6 |
| 120 foot [1] 27/22 | 55 [1] 71/22 | addition [1] 49/13 |
| 13 [2] 3/7 71/22 | 56 [1] 4/8 | additional [7] 15/1 19/18 36/3 46/12 47/6 |
| 132 [1] 17/14 | 57 [1] 4/9 | 47/7 73/1 |
| 139-year [1] 35/11 | 58 [1] 4/10 | address [3] 5/23 25/1 53/21 |
| 15 [4] 3/8 17/13 36/15 44/18 150 [1] 44/19 | 6 | adjacent [3] 40/21 51/21 69/11 adjourned [1] 75/22 |
| 150 feet [1] 51/5 | 60 [3] 19/14 20/9 23/10 | administered [1] 69/20 |
| 162-H:10 [1] 1/12 | 60 miles [2] 21/5 40/16 | Administration [1] 22/11 |
| 165-mile [3] 68/15 68/15 70/2 | 60-page [2] 26/15 26/20 | admission [1] 19/19 |
| 17 [2] 3/9 9/9 | 62 [1] 4/11 | admit [2] 8/6 31/17 |
| 18 percent [1] 39/8 | 64 [1] 4/12 | adopted [1] 53/4 |
| 187-mile [1] 10/24 | 66 [1] 4/13 | advantage [1] 67/3 |
| 18th [1] 47/4 | 68 [1] 4/14 | adventure [1] 68/13 |
| 19 [1] 3/10 | 7 | adverse [1] 18/11 |
| 19th [1] 41/2 | 7 percent [1] 39/1 | adversely [1] 17/21 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,650[1] 26 / 9 \\ & 70[1] 4 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | advocated [1] 24/2 <br> advocates [2] 35/9 71/3 |
| 2,400 [1] 60/10 | 74 [1] 4/16 | advocating [1] 26/14 |
| 20 million [1] 44/23 | 75 [1] 53/19 | affect [1] 11/22 |
| 2013 [2] 26/15 26/20 | 7:55 [2] 1/3 5/1 | affected [5] 51/11 51/23 52/3 61/21 66/14 |
| 2015 [2] 1/3 69/3 | 7th [1] 43/18 | affliction [1] 47/14 |
| 2015-06 [1] 1/7 | 8 | afford [1] 73/16 |
| 2017 [1] 73/2 | 8,000 [1] 71/8 | affordable [6] 17/17 18/20 27/6 38/21 45/4 62/12 |
| 2019-2020 [1] 73/3 | 8/18/15 [1] 17/13 | after [8] 6/1 23/7 28/18 35/1 41/20 50/11 |
| 2020 [1] 73/3 | 80 [4] 28/7 45/7 55/4 71/20 | 64/23 74/24 |
| 21 [1] 3/11 | 9 | again [4] 5/19 30/20 54/12 59/7 |
| 22 [1] 26/2 |  | against [9] 9/1 10/13 12/22 22/19 23/5 |
| 24 [2] 3/12 65/1 | $90 \text { [1] } 1 / 4$ | 33/4 41/17 54/6 54/6 |
| 245 [2] 25/3 25/9 | 93 [13] 12/8 12/9 12/15 12/19 12/23 20/14 | ago [4] 30/4 31/11 54/24 68/6 |
| 25 [1] 73/16 | 28/10 29/5 30/20 42/19 50/2 61/10 63/18 | agreed [1] 19/17 |
| 250 [4] 19/11 44/19 49/20 53/18 | 9:35 [1] 75/22 | agreement [1] 53/7 |
| 26 [1] 25/21 | A | agricultural [1] 40/11 |
| 29 [1] 3/14 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { A:173 [1] 76/17 } \\ \text { abandon [1] 41/10 } \end{array}$ | ahead [5] 6/19 13/21 24/17 33/9 74/4 aids [1] 25/17 |
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claim [4] 23/24 46/9 60/11 61/12
claimed [1] 36/19
claiming [1] 60/18
Clarke [1] 2/10
Clarksville [2] 25/24 26/6
classified [1] 59/2
classify [1] 14/17
clean [3] 9/16 40/5 59/6
clear [5] 25/18 37/2 41/22 51/6 57/19
clear-cut [1] 51/6
clearly [5] 5/23 42/20 65/12 66/18 66/21
cliff [1] 30/16
clogged [1] 32/12
close [6] 11/24 19/1 49/6 49/16 51/21
75/19
closely [1] 66/21
closings [1] 26/7
Club [3] 1/4 35/6 49/23
co [1] 25/9
co-sponsors [1] 25/9
CO2 [1] 59/11
coal [2] 71/9 72/4
coastal [1] 40/5
coercion [1] 57/15
cohesive [1] 37/11
Cohos [8] 68/15 68/15 68/23 69/2 69/4
69/7 69/11 70/12
collapsed [1] 48/16
collections [1] 18/14
College [1] 22/10
come [13] 6/11 13/3 18/24 24/10 29/15
35/16 37/24 49/16 50/6 70/7 74/15 75/1
75/4
comes [2] 46/14 75/8
coming [1] 75/20
commend [1] 64/9
comment [10] 1/13 5/1 5/13 6/13 6/22
14/10 22/8 26/13 55/13 74/2
commenters [1] 75/19
comments [23] 3/3 4/3 5/20 5/23 6/5 7/2
8/13 13/8 14/8 26/3 26/9 26/15 26/17 26/20
37/24 38/2 43/8 43/10 43/16 45/17 53/20

## 54/24 66/5

commission [9] 19/10 21/11 22/12 22/22
45/19 45/20 46/15 57/2 64/19
Commissioner [2] 9/9 29/22
Commissioner's [1] 31/2
Commissioners [2] 9/7 31/10
COMMITTEE [3] 1/2 24/5 63/20
committees [1] 28/16
cost-effective [2] 55/4 55/22
costly [2] 36/21 38/24
communities [7] 16/17 16/21 23/16 37/11 40/18 47/20 65/1
community [4] 20/18 44/22 64/12 64/23
companies [2] 59/19 62/18
company [5] 1/8 36/19 46/13 52/8 52/11
Company's [1] 52/14
comparably [1] 18/16
compare [1] 20/21
compared [3] 38/13 38/22 50/1
compelling [1] 31/20
compensate [1] 72/22
competing [2] 40/20 73/22
competitively [1] 60/20
compiled [1] 58/22
complete [2] 10/16 10/17
completely [1] 17/5
concentrating [1] 39/20
concern [4] 30/21 31/7 49/22 65/1
concerned [4] 11/10 16/14 30/6 55/14
concerns [1] 16/8
concessions [1] 40/22
conclusion [1] 37/15
Concord [8] 14/11 26/4 26/23 28/24 29/6
29/7 29/11 51/17
concrete [2] 59/10 59/10
conditions [2] 59/23 76/7
condos [2] 75/7 75/9
conference [1] 55/2
confirms [2] 17/18 48/14
congested [1] 32/12
Connecticut [2] 55/3 60/14
connection [1] 47/20
Connors [3] 4/12 58/16 64/7
conquer [1] 66/24
conservation [14] 19/10 21/11 22/12
22/22 32/23 35/7 39/19 45/19 46/15 57/1
59/14 64/19 64/20 65/5
consider [3] 41/23 44/5 63/20
considerable [1] 49/24
Considerably [1] 60/13
consideration [1] 18/21
considering [1] 55/9
considers [1] 24/1
constituents [2] 9/11 27/21
constructing [1] 14/19
construction [5] 1/10 17/24 18/3 42/15
42/15
consult [1] 5/10
contact [2] 63/9 63/11
contamination [1] 11/21
continue [10] 9/1 16/20 16/21 32/11 36/7
45/1 45/21 58/9 67/13 68/21
continued [2] 4/2 9/10
continuing [1] 9/15
continuous [1] 31/23
contract [1] 65/20
contributed [1] 72/9
conversation [1] 61/23
conversions [1] 18/6
convinced [1] 33/10
convincing [1] 48/20
cooperating [1] 60/15
Coos [12] 28/21 31/5 39/21 48/4 48/11
51/3 51/5 51/13 51/15 56/13 61/13 68/3
copy [2] 6/1 8/17
corporate [6] 9/19 22/20 41/1 57/11 57/24
66/22
corporations [2] 57/12 60/21
correct [2] 16/1 17/7
corrected [1] 43/6
corridor [3] 20/14 28/10 28/24
cost [14] 12/2 23/10 38/23 45/6 45/6 46/10
47/7 47/11 50/6 55/4 55/22 60/18 65/3 71/5
costs [15] 12/3 14/12 14/19 15/1 18/16
23/1 36/16 39/4 39/5 43/24 44/12 46/13
59/24 73/6 73/22
could [10] 6/10 7/7 10/17 12/7 15/15 20/10
44/15 45/2 47/5 48/17
couldn't [1] 59/18
Council [4] 31/1 31/2 43/9 43/15
counsel [3] 2/3 76/9 76/12
Counties [1] 39/21
countless [3] 19/23 57/4 64/14
countries [1] 57/4
country [16] 23/22 31/4 32/3 32/24 35/8
36/10 36/18 43/9 43/11 43/14 44/1 45/4
47/20 63/24 65/4 70/7
county [25] 5/17 8/24 9/6 9/9 27/12 28/21
28/23 29/22 31/5 31/9 41/15 48/4 48/11
51/2 51/3 51/5 51/12 51/13 51/14 51/15
56/8 56/13 56/14 61/13 68/4
couple [1] 54/23
course [1] 26/18
court [9] 1/23 5/19 6/1 49/3 57/12 60/6
74/9 76/3 76/16
courts [1] 34/21
craggy [1] 28/20
crane [1] 63/6
Crawford [2] 68/18 70/2
creaky [1] 75/6
create [3] 18/13 36/16 37/5
created [2] 57/8 59/4
creation [3] 11/2 41/3 48/10
credibility [1] 61/22
Cree [1] 45/24
crimping [1] 72/1
crisis [1] 61/2
critical [1] $35 / 13$
critics [1] 57/16
cross [2] 49/7 70/7
cross-country [1] 70/7
crucial [1] 49/15
crux [1] 23/12
CTA [1] 69/6
cumulative [1] 43/13
current [4] 22/19 32/22 49/6 72/24
cut [1] 51/6
cynical [1] 54/4
cynicism [1] 33/8

## D

D.C [1] 35/9
d/b/a [1] 1/8
Dalton [1] 25/24
damage [5] 40/19 48/18 50/1 59/4 59/15
damages [1] 10/3
damaging [1] 32/20
damnable [1] 11/1
dangled [1] 66/19
data [1] 25/19
database [1] 26/13
date [2] 65/18 76/6
David [1] 20/4
day [1] 66/10
days [1] 62/13
DC [1] 63/17
deal [2] 10/8 52/23
dealt [1] 8/10
dear [1] 10/21
death [2] 63/2 63/23
deaths [1] 63/15
debatable [1] 73/9
debate [2] 60/17 73/9
Deborah [3] 3/10 13/3 19/4
debt [1] 61/4
decade [1] 72/15
decided [1] 74/16
decision [2] 23/21 42/21
decisions [2] 21/1 73/18
decline [1] 44/17
decrease [2] 51/20 73/11
decreased [1] 51/24
deemed [1] 37/14
deep [1] 10/7
Deerfield [6] 25/23 26/5 26/23 28/24 29/7
29/10
defies [1] 10/12
DEIS [1] 37/2
delays [1] 39/3
deliberate [1] 24/9
demand [2] 32/16 71/14
demanded [1] 36/24
Democrats [2] 41/15 56/8
demonstrate [1] 40/22
demonstrated [1] 67/8
demonstrates [1] 44/3
denied [2] 32/1 47/2
Dennis [2] 13/1 15/4
Department [6] 17/11 29/3 32/18 42/2
43/16 50/3
Depending [1] 59/23
depreciation [1] 60/7
describe [1] 50/12
deserve [1] 65/13
deserves [3] 29/13 37/1 37/19
design [1] 26/4
designated [1] 31/3
desperate [1] 61/1
desperately [1] 60/11
despite [1] 49/21
destination [1] 69/3
destroy [5] 51/7 51/8 57/23 57/24 70/4
destroyed [1] 59/15
destruction [2] 51/15 51/15
detail [1] 65/17
detailed [1] 43/15
determination [1] 46/6
determine [1] 50/21
determined [2] 17/18 36/22
detractors [1] 54/5
detrimental [1] 10/19
devaluation [1] 39/3
devastation [1] 41/1
developed [2] 36/11 40/6
developers [3] 25/12 26/8 27/2
developing [1] 65/20
development [2] 35/17 62/9
Devine [1] 2/5
did [10] 7/10 18/9 22/24 26/8 34/3 34/4
54/12 55/10 58/10 58/17
didn't [7] 5/3 5/10 8/3 21/19 21/20 21/24
33/15
Die [1] 57/18
differ [1] 38/2
difference [3] 25/7 38/23 44/14
different [1] 66/7
difficult [3] 22/19 24/22 72/19
diffuse [1] 44/12
diminishing [1] 35/17
ding [1] 70/3
ding-a-lings [1] 70/3
dinner [1] 13/16
dioxide [1] 59/8
direction [3] 19/19 27/19 40/17
Director [1] 71/1
disclaimer [1] 8/21
discrepancy [2] 20/20 20/23
discuss [2] 65/16 65/19
discussed [1] 13/11
disfigured [1] 48/9
displaced [1] 59/15
disrupted [1] 34/2
disruption [3] 20/18 27/24 30/7
disruptions [1] 46/2
distance [2] 20/15 70/8
district [6] 16/4 16/10 27/12 29/22 30/24
66/13
disturbance [2] 18/5 18/5
divide [2] 54/4 66/24
divide-and-conquer [1] 66/24
divides [3] 10/14 48/6 48/7
do [20] 12/4 12/5 15/8 21/21 27/6 28/2
31/21 41/9 43/17 45/21 46/10 48/23 56/1
57/22 60/3 67/3 67/15 70/11 75/13 76/3
doable [1] 36/9
DOCKET [1] 1/7
document [2] 37/24 49/3
documented [2] 38/7 71/6
DOE [7] 17/17 17/18 18/9 26/3 26/9 26/12

## 60/10

DOE's [1] 10/9
does [12] 34/17 40/3 46/4 49/22 51/16
52/23 53/1 53/4 53/6 53/6 73/13 75/7
doesn't [3] 12/1 55/24 75/4
doing [8] 12/10 16/14 42/15 55/21 61/2
61/5 61/13 61/15
doling [1] 57/15
dollars [1] 50/22
domicile [1] 15/18
don't [19] 7/23 7/24 11/9 11/19 14/3 14/6
14/21 19/10 27/23 31/17 34/16 34/18 48/23
59/16 61/17 69/23 73/20 74/14 74/23
donations [1] 69/9
done [10] 7/24 12/10 12/12 12/13 12/14
20/5 36/5 42/17 44/11 48/14
double [2] 17/8 17/9
doubly [1] 24/21
doubt [1] 72/22
doubted [1] 39/24
Douglas [5] 3/19 39/15 39/17 39/18 54/10
down [19] 5/19 6/11 7/6 10/24 11/17 12/18
12/20 15/14 20/13 26/12 34/10 34/21 53/19
57/8 57/24 61/10 65/15 68/4 68/10
downed [1] 63/4
downgrade [1] 72/7
downstate [1] 47/19
downtown [2] 14/2 14/5
Draft [9] 17/12 17/17 18/7 18/17 29/3
32/18 43/17 50/3 65/12
drawing [1] 29/9
driven [2] 10/23 14/3
driver [1] 28/24
driveways [3] 30/10 30/11 30/12
drone [1] 64/1
dual [1] 48/9
due [2] 26/18 53/7
dug [1] 28/1
durable [1] 45/3
during [5] 17/23 18/3 28/15 40/4 71/15
duty [1] 58/3
E
E-d-m-o-n-d [1] 15/22
e-mails [1] 64/15
E-r-i-c [1] 31/15
E-v-e-l-y-n [1] 39/18
each [3] 5/24 59/10 68/8
eagle [1] 63/9
earlier [4] 20/21 23/21 48/15 73/24
early [2] 54/14 62/13
easements [1] 32/24
easily [4] 9/12 9/24 10/5 45/9
East [1] 68/17
Eastern [1] 68/22

Easton [18] $19 / 5$ 19/9 19/9 19/11 19/22
20/6 20/17 20/20 21/10 21/10 22/7 24/15
29/23 30/13 41/14 41/21 53/18 57/1
Easton's [1] 22/11
easy [1] 20/2
eclipse [1] 24/8
economic [3] 28/23 37/5 41/6
economically [3] 9/24 36/1 36/23
economics [1] 23/3
economy [4] 10/19 40/14 49/15 72/1
Ed [1] 15/14
Edmond [7] 3/8 13/2 13/5 15/7 15/9 15/21 16/3
Education [1] 42/2
Edward [1] 13/2
effect [1] 43/14
effective [2] 55/4 55/22
effectively [1] 14/10
effects [1] 38/7
efficiency [1] 59/14
efficient [2] 6/11 38/18
effort [1] 52/10
efforts [1] 69/2
Eight [1] 60/18
EIS [11] 17/12 17/17 18/7 18/17 29/3 42/3
42/7 43/17 43/18 53/3 65/12
either [1] 29/1
elected [4] 19/24 22/21 24/4 64/15
electric [5] 1/10 9/17 32/15 37/12 38/4
electricity [18] 13/11 18/16 37/16 38/24
44/12 62/19 62/20 63/6 63/22 71/5 71/7
71/12 71/16 71/20 71/23 73/2 73/10 73/11
electrification [1] 62/14
electrocuted [3] 63/8 63/9 63/10
electrocution [5] 63/5 63/11 63/14 63/15
63/24
electrocutions [1] 63/1
eleven [2] 58/23 61/12
eliminated [1] 32/4
Elizabeth [4] 4/7 54/15 54/21 54/22
else [2] 7/8 12/21
else's [1] 61/18
mboiden [1] 72/8
emitted [1] 38/4
empathize [1] 8/11
emphasize [1] 50/18
employed [2] 76/10 76/12
employee [2] 35/5 76/11
enable [1] 64/11
enables [1] 25/4
enacted [1] 25/3
encourage [3] 16/20 30/19 63/20
encouraged [2] 19/17 36/1
encouraging [1] 31/4
end [6] 39/13 43/8 60/7 66/9 67/14 72/14
endorse [1] 24/5
ends [1] 11/17
endurance [1] 9/1
enemy [1] 73/17
energy [24] 1/9 2/7 17/11 28/16 32/18
39/9 40/4 40/5 42/3 43/16 46/5 46/7 59/2
59/3 59/5 59/14 60/18 60/20 60/24 67/2
67/2 67/10 71/24 73/12
Energy's [2] 29/3 50/3
engineering [2] 12/11 12/12
engineers [2] 5/9 43/21
England [14] 18/17 36/21 37/14 40/6
59/20 60/13 61/11 71/1 71/4 71/10 71/13

## 72/3 72/16 73/1

England's [1] 73/10
enhance [2] 38/11 69/6
enjoy [2] 74/16 75/9
Enjoying [1] 68/12
enjoyment [1] 35/15
enlightening [1] 10/4
enormous [1] 21/2
enough [4] 17/9 19/1 44/4 60/23
ensure [2] 5/21 6/3
ensuring [1] 35/14
entire [13] 13/12 20/9 20/10 21/4 24/11
26/11 26/18 29/12 46/8 46/14 48/22 56/20
65/4
entirely [3] 13/20 33/12 48/16
entitled [1] 43/20
entries [1] 26/13
environment [3] 10/19 32/21 50/6
environmental [9] 32/19 36/17 38/9 46/2
49/24 50/4 52/24 59/4 72/8
Environmentally [1] 45/23
environments [1] 32/12
EPA [1] 59/3
equal [2] 39/5 59/24
equaled [1] 10/12
equilibrium [1] 50/14
Eric [3] 3/15 29/15 31/14
erosion [2] 11/20 12/14
escalate [1] 73/3
especially [4] 10/4 34/5 71/15 72/23
Esq [2] 2/3 2/5
essential [2] 37/14 37/16
establish [1] 65/21
established [1] 62/17
estate [1] 52/23
etcetera [1] 11/12
EVALUATION [4] 1/2 24/5 43/21 63/20
Evelyn [4] 3/19 39/15 39/17 54/10
even [13] 10/15 34/19 42/13 45/5 47/19
47/22 48/13 52/15 55/4 55/18 55/24 59/19
63/6
evening [8] 8/23 17/3 19/3 20/22 22/8 35/3
38/2 48/20
events [1] 64/15
ever [4] 9/20 13/24 14/3 14/6
EVERSOURCE [27] 1/9 2/7 9/13 16/20
19/17 19/20 22/18 36/12 40/15 41/4 47/15
48/17 50/12 50/15 52/2 52/8 52/12 52/23
53/1 53/7 56/10 56/17 57/9 64/17 65/14
65/15 73/21
Eversource's [1] 40/21
every [5] 7/3 37/20 48/2 58/5 75/8
everybody [3] 8/9 12/21 66/20
everyone [3] 6/3 8/23 73/13
everyone's [1] 45/16
everything [1] 74/24
evidence [3] 36/8 36/13 37/2
exacerbated [1] 71/13
Except [1] 53/18
excessive [1] 62/23
excuse [3] 12/11 69/17 69/20
executive [2] 22/9 71/1
exemption [1] 27/13
exist [3] 22/24 53/13 73/13
existing [1] 62/11
exists [1] 19/20
exorbitant [1] 11/16
expanded [1] 16/10
expected [1] 72/14
expenses [2] 34/3 55/5
expensive [2] 7/6 59/22
experience [5] 32/7 68/18 69/7 69/18
70/10
explained [1] 20/19
exporter [1] 37/12
extended [1] 17/18
extra [2] 17/14 65/3
extract [1] 23/13
extreme [1] 71/21
extremely [2] 64/13 72/19
eye [1] 9/23
eye-opening [1] 9/23

| F | foregoing [1] 76/4 foreign [1] 62/2 | glad [1] 34/11 <br> glasses [1] 45/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACILITY [1] 1/9 | foreseeable [1] 66/11 | Glencliff [1] 31/16 |
| fact [9] 10/23 14/22 18/11 35/23 48/11 | forest [16] 16/11 19/15 20/12 21/6 28/20 | go [25] 6/19 8/4 8/5 14/2 14/5 24/17 24/18 |
| 48/15 71/13 71/19 73/10 | 32/4 32/6 32/7 36/4 41/1 41/3 54/3 56/19 | 24/18 29/9 30/11 30/15 31/12 37/19 49/2 |
| facts [1] 22/15 | 61/6 61/8 68/19 | 52/19 53/6 54/12 59/9 60/19 61/3 61/7 70/7 |
| faculty [1] 22/10 | forestlands [1] 18/4 | 74/4 74/5 75/3 |
| fails [1] 48/5 | forests [1] 37/10 | Godin [2] 70/16 74/2 |
| Failures [1] 38/15 | forever [1] 46/1 | gods [1] 57/6 |
| fair [2] 22/16 67/16 | forgo [1] 20/17 | goes [3] 51/19 53/10 67/15 |
| Fairness [1] 54/7 | Forrester [1] 25/8 | going [33] 5/13 5/15 6/8 7/6 7/6 8/4 8/5 |
| fallen [1] 58/8 | forth [2] 56/11 76/6 | 11/14 11/22 12/8 12/21 13/4 14/5 30/10 |
| falls [2] 7/4 7/23 | forthright [1] 8/21 | 30/12 30/12 30/14 30/15 30/15 31/5 34/11 |
| families [2] 23/15 71/6 | Fortier [1] 2/11 | 34/19 39/8 39/13 43/7 54/20 61/6 61/7 |
| family [3] 75/4 75/5 75/1 | fortunate [1] 69/8 | 61/12 66/9 67/2 70/4 75/19 |
| famous [1] 63/9 | forward [5] 16/12 27/24 37/19 65/18 67/15 | Goliath [1] 20/4 |
| fantastic [1] 66/5 | ForwardNH [4] 10/16 24/5 28/19 44/22 | gone [2] 16/8 39/7 |
| far [7] 11/20 12/2 12/16 13/19 17/12 59/9 | fought [1] 34/14 | good [15] 5/21 8/23 17/3 19/3 22/6 22/7 |
| 73/8 | Foundation [1] 69/21 | 32/8 35/3 51/8 56/13 56/15 61/10 66/23 |
| farmland [2] 18/6 28/21 | four [7] 6/8 12/17 31/3 33/3 41/16 49/8 | 73/17 74/20 |
| fate [1] 48/4 | 59/18 | Goodier [4] 3/6 6/17 6/18 11/5 |
| favor [4] 21/11 48/21 61/5 67/14 | Franconia [11] 20/15 29/5 29/6 29/23 | Goodler [2] 6/16 6/16 |
| feasibility [2] 23/12 47/3 | 30/15 42/12 42/12 42/18 50/10 56/7 66/4 | goodness [3] 14/21 54/1 61/14 |
| feasible [7] 17/17 17/20 18/20 27/5 36/23 | free [3] 20/18 57/18 68/11 | Google [1] 63/1 |
| 62/12 65/13 | French [2] 15/24 15/24 | Gosford [1] 68/22 |
| federal [3] 12/8 29/6 31/19 | friends [2] 10/24 47/23 | got [5] 11/13 25/2 30/16 36/24 74/1 |
| feed [1] 32/16 | front [4] 6/9 8/7 11/7 11/14 | government [2] 33/8 62/2 |
| feel [1] 11/19 | Frontalier's [1] 68/21 | governments [1] 60/16 |
| feeling [1] 9/4 | FTE [1] 18/13 | graced [1] 28/6 |
| feet [7] 30/17 30/17 49/10 49/10 49/19 | full [15] 9/8 22/9 24/2 32/19 33/10 36/9 | Graf [1] 2/3 |
| 49/20 51/5 | 36/22 36/24 37/3 37/7 40/22 41/9 42/1 | Grafton [15] 5/17 8/24 9/6 16/4 27/11 |
| fellow [1] 8/24 | 42/23 67/16 | 29/21 31/9 39/21 41/15 41/21 51/2 51/12 |
| FEMA [1] 11/17 | full-time [1] 22/9 | 51/14 56/8 56/14 |
| FERC [2] 55/2 55/12 | fully [6] 10/9 17/10 20/19 29/4 32/15 43/21 | grandeur [1] 58/9 |
| fervor [1] 47/24 | fund [2] 69/19 73/21 | granite [5] 28/18 29/1 59/19 59/21 60/2 |
| few [1] 39/7 | funded [1] 14/17 | grant [1] 69/21 |
| fewer [1] 36/15 | funding [1] 45/5 | granted [1] 62/14 |
| fiduciary [1] 33/1 | further [6] 10/23 14/22 16/22 65/10 76/9 | grants [4] 11/2 48/10 69/1 69/9 |
| field [1] 38/5 | 76/11 | great [8] 30/3 31/9 35/6 44/4 56/12 68/12 |
| fields [2] 38/4 43/5 | future [8] 9/19 37/9 53/4 65/19 66/11 67/2 | 68/19 70/10 |
| fifth [1] 71/7 | 67/4 72/1 | greatest [1] 40/23 |
| fifth-highest [1] 71/7 | G | greatly [2] 38/6 69/18 |
| 41/24 53/11 75/13 | G-a-i-I [1] 62/8 | greedy [1] 9/19 |
| fighting [3] 20/2 59/17 75/15 | G-i-o-n-e-t [1] 15/22 | greenest [2] 59/13 59/13 |
| figure [1] 60/3 | Gail [3] 4/11 58/16 62/7 | grid [4] 37/14 38/11 72/16 73/10 |
| filed [1] 41/16 | gain [3] 23/20 39/9 39/11 | ground [10] 18/5 24/8 28/22 29/2 36/6 |
| filing [1] 65/11 | game [1] 7/22 | 36/15 51/5 51/13 56/13 58/2 |
| finally [3] 19/19 20/24 31/8 | gas [6] 71/11 71/12 71/15 71/17 71/19 | group [3] 9/5 54/5 69/16 |
| financial [2] 62/23 74/18 | 72/17 | groups [1] 72/8 |
| financially [1] 76/13 | gas-fired [1] 71/11 | growth [1] 72/1 |
| financing [1] 45/7 | gave [2] 24/24 27/16 | Gs [3] 17/7 17/8 45/18 |
| findings [2] 55/3 55/24 | general [1] 53/23 | guess [3] 7/2 7/21 52/18 |
| finished [3] 6/2 7/5 13/23 | generating [1] 72/12 | guessing [1] 47/16 |
| fired [3] 71/9 71/11 72/4 | generation [6] 45/24 71/9 71/11 71/19 | gun [1] 47/22 |
| firm [3] 14/16 14/23 71/17 | 72/4 72/6 | guys [1] 47/17 |
| first [11] 5/17 6/14 7/18 13/10 15/20 15/21 24/18 27/15 30/7 45/21 47/15 | generations [2] 24/10 35/16 generator [1] 52/3 | H |
| fishing [1] 9/22 | generators [3] 71/15 71/17 73/2 | h-a-y-e-r [1] 35/4 |
| five [14] 9/11 23/7 25/16 27/4 31/11 33/24 | generous [1] 69/8 | $\mathrm{H}: 10$ [1] 1/12 |
| 37/24 40/4 47/1 60/5 64/14 66/3 66/21 68/6 | geographic [1] 10/10 | habitats [1] 18/4 |
| five-year [1] 40/4 | geology [1] 18/4 | hacks [1] 9/19 |
| flaws [1] 73/8 | Germany [1] 57/3 | had [9] 5/4 7/3 11/19 12/10 12/12 12/13 |
| flood [2] 11/16 11/18 | get [17] 5/4 5/10 6/7 8/12 10/5 27/13 31/15 | 17/13 21/15 21/18 |
| floodplain [2] 11/15 12/13 | 34/19 34/19 51/12 52/6 53/2 53/7 54/2 | half [4] 13/13 41/16 71/11 73/15 |
| folks [2] 29/10 70/9 | 57/14 61/7 70/11 | HAMPSHIRE [86] |
| follow [4] 11/7 21/19 22/1 24/22 | gets [4] 13/24 50/21 51/13 51/14 | Hampshire's [11] 14/15 32/21 36/11 37/10 |
| followed [2] 13/4 66/20 | getting [1] 13/22 | 40/11 40/14 40/24 41/5 41/7 71/6 73/5 |
| following [3] 17/22 22/14 67/7 | Getz [1] 2/5 | Hampton [4] 25/24 26/5 26/23 28/13 |
| follows [1] 71/21 | gifts [1] 69/8 | hand [1] 34/13 |
| foot [2] 27/22 28/7 | Gionet[7] 3/8 13/2 15/7 15/7 15/9 15/22 | handed [1] 49/3 |
| footpaths [2] 69/17 69/17 | 16/3 | handout [1] 20/21 |
| footprint [2] 38/20 39/12 | give [3] 6/1 38/12 43/8 | happen [1] 41/13 |
| for-profit [1] 14/18 | lin given [2] 8/17 20/21 | happenit [1] ${ }^{\text {happening [1] }} 14$ |
| Ford [6] 3/20 13/2 15/4 25/10 39/16 41/13 | giving [1] 44/20 | happy [3] 30/2 30/22 74/23 |


| H | Hodgdon [1] 2/11 hold [2] 32/24 58/4 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 36 / 1739 / 343 / 10 \\ & \text { implications [1] } 23 / 22 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hard [3] 23/15 30/15 64/13 | Holderness [6] 8/17 9/2 10/22 27/16 27/21 | implied [2] 14/14 14/16 |
| hard-working [1] 23/15 | 37/23 | important [6] 5/22 18/21 47/15 48/6 55/4 |
| harder [1] 67/1 | holding [1] 6/6 | 56/10 |
| Hardy [1] 68/20 | hollow [1] 9/15 | importantly [2] 20/15 38/5 |
| harm [2] 41/9 53/12 | home [3] 31/12 53/20 68/9 | impossible [2] 28/17 36/20 |
| harmful [1] 51/14 | Homer [2] 54/15 54/17 | improved [2] 17/13 38/12 |
| Hartford [1] 55/2 | homes [2] 49/16 49/18 | improvement [2] 17/10 30/4 |
| has [54] 6/4 8/10 10/3 10/23 12/4 14/16 | Hon [1] 1/17 | improving [1] 69/18 |
| 15/4 16/8 17/16 17/18 19/17 19/22 20/2 | honest [1] 8/22 | in [195] |
| 20/19 25/16 26/12 26/22 27/19 27/19 28/10 | honor [2] 58/5 58/12 | inaudible [1] 74/3 |
| 28/21 35/12 35/21 36/2 36/19 37/11 37/14 | hook [1] 6/7 | inception [1] 22/15 |
| 39/24 40/2 40/20 41/18 43/15 49/20 50/16 | hope [2] 21/7 75/13 | include [3] 16/10 58/10 65/11 |
| 50/24 51/17 52/9 52/11 56/10 56/17 58/8 | hopefully [1] 34/24 | includes [2] 16/4 29/22 |
| 59/2 60/12 60/23 60/24 62/1 65/4 65/15 | hot [1] 63/10 | including [5] 23/16 25/23 26/4 29/10 |
| 68/6 71/9 71/14 72/2 73/14 73/15 | hot-air [1] 63/10 | 35/10 |
| hasn't [1] 71/16 | hours [1] 75/2 | increase [6] 10/2 13/14 18/14 44/8 73/4 |
| hassled [1] 52/11 | house [3] 5/5 28/15 41/14 | 73/12 |
| hate [1] 8/6 | how [10] 7/6 11/22 14/4 15/8 48/23 53/4 | increased [1] 10/2 |
| have [97] | 55/21 56/10 61/22 67/3 | increases [1] 71/22 |
| have-nots [1] 23/24 | however [6] 20/5 43/23 52/7 59/22 64/22 | incredible [1] 21/4 |
| haves [1] 23/23 | 72/21 | incur [1] 10/3 |
| having [5] 12/8 27/14 27/24 44/23 55/18 | Hubbard [1] 11/23 | incurred [1] 46/13 |
| hawks [1] 28/5 | huge [3] 20/20 51/7 61/3 | indeed [2] 23/11 46/7 |
| he [9] 14/13 15/4 31/11 54/18 54/19 63/13 | hunting [1] 9/22 | independence [1] 67/2 |
| 67/20 68/1 74/21 | Hurricane [1] 11/18 | Independent [1] 37/13 |
| He's [1] 25/2 | hurt [1] 53/12 | independently [1] 24/6 |
| health [6] 10/2 18/1 38/7 49/17 49/22 50/7 | husband [1] 74/11 | index [3] 26/15 26/18 26/20 |
| hear [4] 11/13 15/15 26/8 30/23 | husband's [1] 46/20 | indicating [1] 6/6 |
| heard [15] 25/5 25/13 25/16 26/22 28/17 | husbands [1] 13/16 | indigenous [1] 9/22 |
| 31/10 31/24 38/2 48/19 64/21 65/7 66/5 | hush [1] 10/17 | individual [1] 58/5 |
| 66/19 74/23 74/24 | HVDC [2] 35/23 47/3 | individuals [2] 45/20 57/13 |
| hearing [8] 14/11 25/8 25/11 25/11 25/17 | hydro [18] 9/13 23/17 40/3 50/5 50/19 | induced [1] 71/17 |
| 27/3 43/18 74/24 | 50/22 53/3 53/5 53/8 55/1 55/24 59/1 59/2 | industrial [4] 35/17 40/10 59/2 59/7 |
| hearings [1] 19/24 | 59/7 59/12 60/19 60/24 67/9 | industrial-scale [2] 35/17 40/10 |
| heart [4] 33/22 34/23 58/11 61/14 | Hydro-Quebec [14] 9/13 23/17 50/5 50/19 | infallible [1] 57/6 |
| heartland [1] 46/3 | 50/22 53/3 53/5 53/8 55/1 55/24 59/1 59/12 | influence [1] 57/14 |
| hearts [1] 54/2 | 60/19 60/24 | information [5] 1/11 44/2 55/11 64/14 |
| Heather [4] 4/4 46/17 49/1 49/4 | Hydro-Quebec's [1] 40/3 | $75 / 21$ |
| heavily [2] 49/8 69/9 | hydroelectricity [1] 72/17 | informed [1] 23/1 |
| Hebron [1] 27/17 <br> hegemony [1] 58/1 | I | infrastructure [4] 43/20 51/4 52/5 52/10 initial [1] 38/22 |
| held [3] 1/11 26/14 55/2 | I'Il [5] 24/18 31/8 43/8 52/18 52/21 | injury [1] 48/9 |
| help [2] 16/15 73/5 | I'm [50] 5/15 6/8 7/9 7/11 11/8 11/15 13/4 | insatiable [1] 32/16 |
| helpful [1] 56/1 | 13/13 14/9 16/6 19/4 19/9 24/17 27/10 | Inspiration [1] 28/8 |
| her [1] 22/4 | 27/11 30/6 30/18 30/24 31/15 33/19 33/23 | install [1] 28/1 |
| here [32] 6/5 6/9 6/12 8/3 15/17 15/18 21/1 | 35/5 39/13 39/17 39/18 43/7 45/18 46/24 | installation [1] 63/17 |
| 24/23 25/3 25/9 25/18 34/6 35/6 35/10 37/9 | 47/16 47/16 47/18 50/9 53/17 54/20 54/22 | instead [3] 44/16 44/23 74/18 |
| 45/18 47/3 47/18 47/18 48/20 49/2 51/16 | 55/14 55/23 56/6 56/7 57/1 57/1 58/1 58/21 | institutions [1] 57/7 |
| 52/1 58/23 68/4 68/10 68/13 70/7 70/17 | 62/8 68/3 70/18 74/8 74/10 74/22 75/19 | insufficient [1] 71/14 |
| 75/4 75/9 75/15 | I've [12] 5/2 5/15 8/17 14/3 14/4 31/10 | insurance [2] 11/16 11/17 |
| here's [1] 14/7 | 31/24 45/8 53/20 58/21 74/1 74/24 | integrity [1] 40/24 |
| hereby [1] 76/4 | I-93 [8] 12/8 12/9 12/15 12/19 12/23 29/5 | intense [1] 19/12 |
| hereinbefore [1] 76/6 | 30/20 50/2 | interest [2] 46/7 74/14 |
| Hi [2] 39/17 66/2 | Ideally [1] 48/22 | interested [1] 76/13 |
| hideous [1] 57/10 | ideas [1] 70/12 | interests [1] 73/22 |
| high [12] 1/10 31/18 35/18 47/14 49/7 | identified [2] 40/6 45/8 | international [2] 68/16 68/17 |
| 49/19 49/21 59/24 63/10 63/22 71/5 71/24 | if [40] 5/6 5/10 5/24 7/23 13/13 17/16 20/9 | interruption [33] 8/15 11/4 12/24 15/3 |
| higher [8] 23/11 38/22 38/24 39/4 44/20 | 25/15 29/24 30/14 33/10 34/9 34/19 34/21 | 17/1 18/22 21/13 24/13 27/9 29/14 31/13 |
| 52/7 52/15 62/20 | 36/12 37/19 39/6 39/10 40/8 41/21 42/9 | 33/13 35/2 37/21 41/11 42/24 45/15 46/16 |
| highest [2] 63/21 71/7 | 44/13 45/5 46/6 46/6 46/8 47/22 50/4 53/3 | 48/24 50/8 53/16 54/9 56/5 56/22 58/14 |
| highway [3] 7/6 12/11 53/5 | 53/10 57/5 58/2 59/22 61/9 61/17 62/24 | 62/6 64/6 65/23 67/18 70/15 74/3 74/9 |
| hiked [1] 70/9 | 65/2 67/14 69/23 75/1 | 75/17 |
| hikes [1] 19/24 | ignorance [1] 57/11 | interruption-inaudible [1] 74/3 |
| hiking [6] 68/18 69/2 69/7 69/18 70/8 70/8 | illness [1] 75/14 | interruption/applause [31] 8/15 11/4 |
| Hill [16] 28/4 29/23 33/23 34/4 35/4 39/18 | imagination [1] 10/12 | 12/24 15/3 17/1 18/22 21/13 24/13 27/9 |
| 47/1 64/7 64/9 64/13 64/19 64/19 64/24 | immediate [1] 8/2 | 29/14 31/13 33/13 35/2 37/21 41/11 42/24 |
| 65/16 66/3 66/4 | immediately [1] 24/8 | 45/15 46/16 48/24 50/8 53/16 54/9 56/5 |
| him [2] 27/16 74/21 | impact [18] 11/11 12/14 12/15 16/22 18/1 | 56/22 58/14 62/6 64/6 65/23 67/18 70/15 |
| his [1] 58/9 | 18/11 18/11 32/3 32/6 32/19 37/4 38/10 | 75/17 |
| historic [1] 47/24 | 40/9 49/14 50/4 52/24 65/19 70/6 | interstate [4] 20/13 28/10 28/14 63/18 |
| historical [2] 64/20 65/5 | impacted [7] 12/21 17/23 18/2 27/3 31/6 | into [15] 5/13 8/3 10/14 13/21 16/8 16/19 |
| historically [1] 72/5 | 36/7 51/24 | 25/3 39/2 48/6 48/7 51/4 59/8 59/11 60/19 |
| history [3] 35/11 40/4 52/7 | impacts [8] 17/21 18/3 18/8 18/10 35/22 | $70 / 3$ |


|  | knows [1] 31/16 | 14/20 14/22 14/24 17/5 17/14 17/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L |  |
| intrusion [1] 49/13 | L | 31/18 36/3 36/12 36/13 36/20 37/3 39/1 40/2 40/17 44/4 46/8 47/6 48/22 50/2 50/5 |
| intrusive [1] 62/23 | L-a-k-e-s [1] 57/1 |  |
| investments [1] 16/15 | L-a-u-e-r [1] 29/21 | 51/13 55/7 55/8 55/16 56/10 59/24 63/5 |
| investors [2] 21/2 23/14 | lake [1] 40/11 | 63/7 63/7 63/10 63/11 63/14 64/11 |
| Iraq [1] 57/4 | Lakes [4] 4/9 54/16 56/23 56/24 | lines [51] 10/13 20/1 28/3 28/9 28/11 |
| Irene [1] 11/18 | Lancaster [3] 25/24 26/24 34/15 | 28/12 28/19 28/22 29/1 30/8 35/23 37/6 |
| irreparably [1] 46/1 | land [10] 9/19 18/6 34/7 34/17 34/23 38/10 | 38/4 38/7 38/9 38/13 38/16 38/19 38/22 |
| irresponsible [1] 24/7 | 39/20 43/5 57/24 64/17 | 39/1 39/4 39/5 40/10 43/13 47/3 48/12 49/7 |
| is [167] | landowner [1] 63/23 | 49/14 49/16 49/19 49/21 51/1 53/24 55/15 |
| Island [1] 49/20 | landowners [5] 16/22 33/2 62/14 62/16 | 55/23 56/13 56/14 56/15 56/18 56/19 59/9 |
| isn't [3] 37/15 70/6 73/9 | 62/24 | 59/20 60/1 60/2 60/10 62/10 62/15 62/22 |
| ISO [1] 18/17 | landowners' [1] 62/21 | 63/3 63/16 75/13 |
| ISO-New [1] 18/17 | lands [3] 9/23 46/1 65/5 | lings [1] 70/3 |
| issue [4] 42/11 50/23 53/4 53/22 | landscape [5] 20/11 21/4 47/24 48/9 51/8 | link [1] 70/3 |
| issues [3] 10/3 50/18 53/21 | landscapes [5] 36/11 40/12 41/1 41/7 65/4 | Lisbon [1] 66/4 |
| it [101] | lanes [2] 12/17 12/20 | list [2] 58/22 70/19 |
| it's [48] 7/8 7/18 7/18 8/7 8/7 11/22 12/1 | large [4] 20/22 31/23 72/17 75/5 | listen [1] 29/9 |
| 12/3 12/19 13/12 13/12 13/20 14/8 14/10 | large-scale [1] 72/17 | listened [5] 21/7 24/1 25/6 25/13 65/7 |
| 15/1 18/20 24/21 27/2 27/5 27/6 27/6 30/1 | largely [1] 71/21 | listening[5] 9/11 16/8 21/8 25/7 53/24 |
| 30/3 30/8 30/14 31/16 33/18 34/6 34/16 | larger [1] 20/3 | litigation [1] 33/4 |
| 34/17 39/10 39/11 41/22 42/16 49/5 53/24 | largest [1] 26/10 | little [7] 7/7 12/1 42/5 61/20 66/7 74/12 |
| 55/22 56/24 58/20 59/6 62/5 67/7 70/10 | last [14] 7/14 8/19 14/11 15/20 15/22 19/7 | 74/22 |
| 72/19 73/17 73/19 75/2 75/15 | 25/4 25/16 27/14 31/15 33/6 45/3 52/19 | Littleton [1] 17/4 |
| items [1] 13/9 | 71/23 | live [12] 11/6 13/5 13/8 17/4 22/3 22/7 |
| its [18] 9/8 16/20 20/6 24/11 31/24 44/5 | lasting [1] 37/5 | 29/21 47/1 47/13 49/5 57/18 66/3 |
| 45/24 52/3 52/9 52/12 53/7 55/24 63/23 | late [4] 10/20 31/9 41/2 73/19 | lived [2] 41/21 62/3 |
| 72/6 73/8 73/10 73/11 73/14 | Later [1] 22/24 | livelihoods [1] 46/1 |
| itself [1] 47/10 | latest [3] 40/15 64/11 64/23 | Livermore [1] 16/5 |
| J | lattice [2] 28/7 43/12 | lives [3] 34/2 34/2 61/21 |
| James [1] 67/21 |  |  |
| Jane [3] 3/22 39/16 45/17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Law [1] } 25 / 4 \\ & \text { law } \end{aligned}$ | lobbying [1] 72/9 |
| Jeanie [1] 25/8 | lawmakers [1] 66/17 | local [4] 16/14 49/15 59/23 60/16 |
| Jerry [1] 2/11 | laying [1] 34/21 | location [1] 50/20 |
| Jim [1] 2/9 | LCR [3] 1/23 76/15 76/16 | locations [2] 31/6 62/19 |
| job [5] 11/2 22/19 42/17 48/10 72/1 | leaders [2] 57/5 57/7 | logistically [1] 57/20 |
| jobs [12] 9/16 18/13 36/16 37/4 42/7 42/8 | leadership [1] 41/5 | long [11] 5/10 17/24 27/4 34/14 34/24 35/1 |
| 42/8 60/9 60/10 60/11 73/14 73/16 | leading [1] 41/2 | 37/5 43/12 51/6 70/8 70/9 |
| John [2] 66/1 67/21 | Learning [2] 9/21 9/23 | long-lasting [1] 37/5 |
| Johnson [1] 2/9 | lease [2] 50/20 53/2 | longer [5] 10/22 23/12 44/20 45/1 45/3 |
| JOINT [1] 1/7 | least [5] 17/22 18/11 32/20 33/6 36/11 | look [8] 30/20 41/21 50/15 55/17 55/20 |
| joke [1] 12/16 | leave [2] 48/21 58/17 | 55/24 65/18 67/3 |
| Jones [3] 3/15 29/15 31/14 | leaves [5] 20/6 40/18 51/2 56/12 61/22 | looked [2] 14/13 53/19 |
| Judge [2] 14/10 15/10 | leaving [1] 17/14 | looking [4] 14/9 27/24 31/3 62/18 |
| Judith [2] 29/16 33/14 | Lee [1] 2/10 | loon [3] 1/4 1/4 75/8 |
| Judy [5] 3/6 3/16 6/15 11/5 33/18 | left [8] 6/7 6/7 15/5 54/18 54/19 67/20 | lose [3] 39/8 39/10 60/20 |
| just [28] 7/21 8/12 10/14 10/18 10/22 11/7 | 71/10 74/2 | loser [2] 48/10 48/11 |
| 11/12 11/22 12/1 17/13 20/11 21/5 26/14 | legacy [2] 24/7 34/13 | losers [6] 10/15 27/20 42/22 48/7 50/17 |
| 26/19 30/24 34/4 34/11 34/12 34/20 43/7 | legislator [2] 58/1 66/8 | 53/10 |
| 44/11 47/11 48/13 53/21 54/23 65/8 66/14 | legislators [3] 28/16 57/15 58/3 | losing [1] 9/22 |
| 75/12 | Legislature [2] 42/13 66/4 | losses [2] 38/18 38/24 |
| justified [1] 40/3 | length [2] 9/8 24/12 | lost [3] 34/12 55/18 73/15 |
| justify [2] 40/9 44/4 | lengthy [1] 39/2 | lot [7] 5/7 11/15 12/7 34/3 48/3 66/5 66/19 |
| justifying [1] 40/19 | less [2] 48/23 62/16 | lots [2] 50/19 51/8 |
| K | let [2] 18/23 19/16 | loud [2] 25/18 57/18 |
| Kathleen [1] 1/17 | 57/24 70/12 | love [2] 35/12 62/2 |
| Keene [1] 63/4 | letter [1] 11/13 | loved [1] 34/6 |
| keep [2] 16/15 34/23 | letters [2] 19/23 64/15 | low [3] 10/14 16/15 60/18 |
| keeper [1] 45/14 | liability [1] 60/8 | low-cost [1] 60/18 |
| Kellogg [7] 3/9 3/22 13/2 17/2 17/4 39/16 | Licensed [2] 76/3 76/16 | lower [9] 10/2 25/14 25/20 26/2 38/16 |
| 45/17 | lies [4] 19/14 58/22 61/20 61/21 | 38/19 38/20 44/24 60/13 |
| Ken [3] 4/5 46/18 50/9 | lieu [2] 44/16 65/21 | lowest [1] 60/12 |
| killed [2] 63/4 63/13 | life [1] 39/1 | Ls [3] 17/6 17/8 45/18 |
| kind [2] 11/24 66/24 | Light [1] 63/17 | lucky [2] 13/16 50/17 |
| kindergarten [1] 46/22 | like [14] 20/4 22/13 26/7 29/24 44/5 61/13 | ludicrous [1] 10/10 |
| kinds [1] 55/19 | 64/9 64/12 64/18 66/11 67/3 72/20 75/3 | lungs [1] 75/14 |
| Kinsman [3] 19/13 28/21 30/16 | 75/5 | Lyman [1] 66/4 |
| knew [4] 8/4 11/11 61/7 74/22 | likely [2] 33/3 49/14 | M |
| know [21] 5/8 7/5 7/7 7/24 8/4 8/10 9/12 <br> 11/12 14/3 19/10 23/9 39/7 39/9 39/11 55/9 | limit [1] 6/4 |  |
| 11/12 14/3 19/10 23/9 39/7 39/9 39/11 55/9 55/14 56/1 61/17 66/20 67/7 69/23 | Lincoln [4] 1/5 15/13 15/18 16/4 <br> Linda [3] 3/14 19/2 29/20 | M-a-r-c [1] 70/23 M-a-r-g-o [1] 64/8 |



43/16 43/18 45/12 47/4 48/4 49/21 52/7
53/21 55/11 56/8 57/1 58/12 59/23 62/10 63/12 64/8 65/19 68/12 68/21 69/3 69/9
70/18 71/11 72/11 74/12 74/21 76/6
on-the-record [1] 25/19
once [2] 29/8 64/4
one [33] 6/6 7/7 8/6 11/24 12/18 13/16
24/1 25/15 26/17 28/23 30/13 30/17 31/16 31/22 33/23 42/6 44/11 47/13 47/20 48/5 48/16 53/21 53/22 54/5 54/5 58/1 58/1 70/6 70/8 72/13 75/7 75/8 75/9
one-quarter [1] 26/17
ones [2] 50/17 50/18
only [16] 13/12 17/14 23/11 26/17 34/20
35/22 44/14 55/3 55/13 58/23 62/3 62/22
67/16 68/16 72/17 74/22
open [3] 5/5 35/16 73/9
opened [1] 5/1
opening [1] 9/23
Operator [1] 37/13
opinion [1] 70/11
opinions [1] 64/21
opponent [1] 20/3
opponents [1] 47/12
opportunity [3] 22/8 39/22 65/17
oppose [1] 67/12
opposed [5] 14/23 42/19 45/20 62/9 67/12
opposing [1] 67/13
opposition [5] 9/7 10/11 35/20 41/20 56/8
option [10] 10/9 17/11 23/10 29/4 29/5
30/20 36/1 42/4 53/1 53/3
options [5] 42/5 53/13 71/10 72/18 73/11
or [28] 5/2 5/8 6/21 13/16 23/14 26/4 31/12
32/10 34/15 37/6 41/10 44/8 47/18 54/6 57/18 58/1 59/3 60/14 61/2 61/17 62/2
67/11 68/22 72/14 76/9 76/10 76/11 76/12
organization [4] 35/8 68/24 71/2 73/20
organizations [2] 24/2 32/24
original [1] 56/9
originally [1] 35/21
other [14] 5/24 8/5 14/24 30/18 30/21
36/21 36/23 42/5 45/19 51/21 55/13 57/4

## 59/20 74/23

others [5] 23/19 27/1 34/12 48/18 48/21
otherwise [2] 23/14 31/21
our [55] 9/17 9/18 9/18 9/19 10/1 10/19
10/19 10/20 11/3 13/16 19/14 19/23 20/5
23/6 32/9 32/17 34/13 34/22 34/23 34/23
35/14 35/20 37/18 41/23 41/24 46/3 46/11
47/23 47/23 48/1 50/6 50/6 50/7 55/21
56/15 57/22 57/23 58/7 63/24 64/12 64/21
64/22 65/9 65/9 65/13 65/14 67/2 67/2 67/4
67/5 67/9 68/9 71/12 72/1 73/12
ourselves [3] 20/3 67/1 67/4
out [11] 7/22 13/16 38/23 42/5 54/1 57/15
60/4 61/9 61/14 62/1 75/11
out-of-state [1] 62/1
outages [1] 10/3
outlined [1] 50/3
outreach [1] 16/20
outs [1] 75/6
outside [1] 57/5
outweighs [1] 46/12
over [14] 9/10 19/14 25/16 30/4 34/18 39/1
44/17 48/10 55/9 58/21 60/2 64/13 71/11
74/21
over-reliant [1] 71/11
overall [3] 23/14 37/13 44/7
overhead [28] 23/4 25/22 35/22 37/6 38/4
38/7 38/9 38/13 38/16 38/19 38/22 38/24 39/4 39/5 39/11 39/11 47/14 49/7 59/9 60/1
60/10 62/10 62/22 63/2 63/5 63/7 63/14
63/16
overreliance [1] 71/13
overwhelming [2] 33/7 65/1
overwhelmingly [1] 32/2
owed [1] 52/13
own [6] 40/21 48/1 55/24 62/12 68/9 75/7
owners [8] 5/16 14/20 14/24 51/21 51/21
51/22 51/24 61/16
ownership [1] 50/20
$\mathbf{P}$
P-a-m-e-I-a [1] 58/20
p.m [3] 1/3 5/1 75/22
pack [1] 31/12
page [4] 3/3 4/3 26/15 26/20
paid [2] 14/13 14/20
paltry [1] 9/16
Pamela [3] 4/10 58/15 58/19
panels [2] 68/8 68/8
paper [1] 48/10
papers [1] 74/2
paragraph [1] 52/19
Pardon [1] 13/7
part [9] 5/21 31/16 39/22 49/15 51/19
51/23 54/4 67/9 67/9
partial [1] 37/6
participate [1] 25/4
particular [1] 48/5
parties [1] 76/10
partners [1] 23/16
parts [1] 51/12
pass [74] 1/8 2/7 9/2 9/8 10/7 14/12 14/17
14/19 14/23 16/7 16/16 17/9 17/12 17/16
18/15 19/18 21/9 21/12 22/14 22/18 23/18
23/24 24/11 25/16 25/20 25/22 26/14 26/22
27/5 27/18 28/10 29/4 29/8 30/1 33/15
33/23 34/9 34/19 35/20 36/2 36/5 40/1
41/17 42/23 43/11 44/1 44/5 44/7 44/15 45/2 47/2 47/5 47/9 50/13 50/16 50/16 51/6 51/18 52/2 53/10 53/22 56/9 57/19 58/13
58/23 63/21 64/10 64/16 66/14 72/20 72/21
73/7 73/7 74/24
Pass's [1] 40/10
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Pat [3] 4/16 74/7 74/11
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pay [8] 11/16 15/1 50/19 52/10 53/5 54/5
61/3 73/1
payers [1] 16/18
paying [1] 50/5
payment [1] 65/21
payments [5] 9/16 44/16 44/17 44/18 73/2
Peaked [1] 28/4
peaks [3] 28/20 28/22 29/1
Pembroke [3] 26/1 26/5 26/23
Pemi [3] 49/6 49/8 49/12
people [32] 5/3 6/11 7/17 7/21 9/12 12/19
24/6 29/9 33/9 33/23 34/3 34/8 34/10 34/14
34/24 35/12 42/5 47/18 47/22 52/13 53/9
53/12 53/20 55/10 57/6 57/13 57/18 58/4
64/13 64/23 66/13 70/17
people's [1] 61/21
Pepau [1] 69/6
per [6] 18/17 44/14 44/17 44/18 44/23
44/24
percent [15] 7/4 7/24 13/10 13/14 19/14
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71/22 71/22 73/14
perfect [4] 73/8 73/12 73/17 73/24
performance [1] 38/12
performing [1] 63/8
period [1] 45/1
permanent [4] 18/13 35/16 40/9 46/2
permanently [1] 40/19


| R | revision [1] 30/3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```related [3] 52/5 64/1 76/10 relative [1] 76/11 relatives [1] 74/19 release [1] 59/7 releases [1] 59/10 relentless [1] 72/8 reliability [1] 38/12 reliable [2] 38/15 72/15 reliant [1] 71/11 relief [2] 51/14 72/23 relies [1] 69/9 relocating [1] 69/16 remaining [1] 72/18 remains [2] 36/4 36/5 remarked [1] 48/4 remember [3] 5/5 9/10 64/3 remote [1] 36/11 renewable [4] 59/1 59/3 67/9 67/10 Rep [4] 3/8 3/13 3/20 4/13 repaired [1] 42/16 repeated [3] 38/1 43/12 43/22 repeatedly [1] 60/17 replaced [1] 31/8 report [2] 42/3 55/3 reported [1] 55/2 reporter [6] 1/23 6/1 49/3 74/9 76/3 76/16 reporters [1] 5/19 represent [4] 16/3 16/13 30/2 66/3 representative [6] 16/3 25/10 25/10 27/11 31/2 41/13 representatives [1] 64/16 represents [1] 73/4 request [2] 21/14 56/19 requesting [1] 26/20 required [2] 5/11 23/20 requires [2] 25/5 49/19 research [1] 12/13 reserved [1] 6/9 reservoirs [1] 59/7 residences [1] 12/15 resident [2] 19/4 58/6 residents [17] 5/16 16/13 19/11 19/23 20/7 20/8 20/17 22/13 22/20 22/22 23/15 26/21 30/9 36/6 41/22 42/9 49/8 resolution [2] 41/17 41/18 resolved [1] 50/24 Resort [1] 1/4 resource [1] 35/18 resources [12] 17/23 17/23 17/24 18/2 18/4 35/15 37/18 40/13 46/11 46/12 65/5 67/4 respect [2] 41/5 62/2 respectfully [1] 16/2 respects [2] 17/22 18/20 response [4] 15/6 43/17 58/18 67/23 responsible [1] 71/22 rest [1] 52/17 restructuring [1] 44/5 result [1] 72/10 resulting [1] 63/2 results [1] 57/7 retire [1] 72/14 retired [2] 1/17 22/9 retirements [2] 71/8 72/22 retiring [1] 72/14 return [1] 23/14 revealed [1] 23/2 revenue [6] 16/16 20/18 31/23 44/21 52/3 52/4 revenue-generator [1] 52/3 reversal [1] 47/5 review [1] 16/20 revised [2] 16/7 56/11``` |  | scenic [11] 31/1 31/3 37/10 40/13 40/24 |
|  | rhetorical [1] 14/9 | 43/9 43/11 43/15 47/24 50/1 65/4 |
|  | 4 |  |
|  | Richards [4] |  |
|  | right [35] 6/5 | [3] 3/12 18/24 |
|  | 13/1 14/2 15/11 19/19 22/4 27/6 27/19 | 1] 26/7 |
|  | 31/11 33/11 40/17 42/3 50/17 50/18 50/20 | [1] 28/16 |
|  | 50/21 51/6 51/18 51/24 52/4 52/18 53/3 | scientific [1] 12/9 |
|  | 53/5 54/19 57/6 57/23 61/10 62/14 62/ | ping [4] 26/3 26/9 26/12 |
|  |  |  |
|  | right-of-way [10] 50/17 50/18 50/20 50 | rook [1] 72/12 |
|  |  |  |
|  | right-of-way-impacted [1] 51/24 | seats [1] 6/9 |
|  |  | SEC [7] 1/7 5/21 26 |
|  |  |  |
|  | rights-of-way [1] | second [2] 7/8 68/17 |
|  | risk [1] | condly [1] 13/18 |
|  | river [2] 49/6 4 | conds [2] 6/7 39/7 |
|  | rivers [1] 50/6 | cretary [1] 39/19 |
|  |  | tion [2] 5/13 69/13 |
|  | roads [7] 29/5 29/6 42/11 42/16 42/1 |  |
|  | 65/19 69/17 | [13] 9/12 14/1 14/6 25/9 28/8 28/22 |
|  | roadsides [1] | /3 30/22 48/23 59/16 |
|  | roadways [5] 31/19 31/24 32/20 33/11 | ing [3] 67/1 73/10 |
|  |  | m [2] 47/19 49/22 |
|  | ro | S [3] 20/19 25/20 65 |
|  |  |  |
|  | room [2] 14/14 53 | es [1] 66/21 |
|  | roughly [1] 26/1 | Select [4] 19/9 21/10 64/8 65 |
|  | route [30] 10/9 11/6 | lecting [1] 23/23 |
|  | 12/5 12/20 14/4 14/4 17/11 17/13 20/16 | $\text { If [1] } 24 / 1$ |
|  | 23/20 26/4 28/12 30/1 30/12 36/5 36/8 | If-serving [1] 24/1 |
|  | 36/14 47/9 48/2 48/5 48/14 51/7 53/1 56/ | sell [2] 61/1 61/16 |
|  | 64/23 69/12 74/12 | Senate [2] 25/3 28/16 |
|  | rows [1] | Senator [2] 10/15 25/8 |
|  | Roy [4] 3/11 18/23 21/23 22/6 | sense [1] 57/21 |
|  | RSA [2] 1/12 76/1 | sensible [2] 52/23 53/1 |
|  | ruinous [1] 57/7 | Sentier [1] 68/21 |
|  | run [1] 28/9 | sentiment [1] 65/1 |
|  | runs [2] 69/11 | Sentinel [1] 68/11 |
|  | rural [2] 19 | [1] $1 / 3$ |
|  | S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lester [1] 55/19 } \\ & \text { ous [1] } 35 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | servants [1] 66/17 |
|  | S-t-e-v-e-r [1] 19/8 | serve [4] 19/8 31/1 41/14 62/15 |
|  | Sabre [3] 4/6 46/18 53/17 | VICE [2] 1/8 52/8 |
|  | sacrifice [1] 37/17 | serving [1] 24/1 |
|  | sacrificed [2] 34/1 34/2 | session [6] 1/11 1/13 5/1 25/5 75/19 75/22 |
|  | safe [1] 74/17 <br> safer [1] 38/3 | sessions [1] 64/15 <br> set [2] 33/7 76/6 |
|  | safety [2] 18/1 72/6 | [2] $25 / 1871 / 19$ |
|  | said [24] 5/15 10/15 | setting [2] 65/18 72/ |
|  | 20/3 21/7 21/8 21/15 21/18 21/20 27/1 | en [5] 25/14 25/20 26/1 39/10 60/1 |
|  | 30/22 31/11 47/12 59/1 59/6 59/18 59/2 | veral [4] 13/8 42/4 54/24 |
|  | 60/15 60/22 61/5 69/5 74/15 |  |
|  | sakes [1] 57/22 <br> Sam [3] 2/9 70/16 74/2 | shadows [1] 28/20 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | saved [2] 12/755 | e's [1] 74/5 |
|  | saving [1] 44/19 | shell [1] 7/22 |
|  | savings [8] 9/17 13/15 44/12 44/13 45 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | saw [2] 30/4 57 | shorter [2] 20/15 32/2 |
|  | say [9] 11/9 15/8 19/16 25/12 31/8 34/8 | shortsightedness [1] |
|  | 44/24 46/8 | should [10] 17/5 42/9 47/12 48/22 50/5 |
|  | saying [2] 9/4 27/4 | 58/9 63/16 65/6 65/7 67/15 |
|  | says [5] 26/22 49/23 57/17 60/10 68/13 <br> SB [1] 25/9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shows [2] 36/8 36/13 } \\ & \text { shut [3] 11/17 12/18 12/20 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { scale [4] 35/17 40/10 40/16 72/17 } \\ & \text { scarce [1] 35/18 } \\ & \text { scarred [1] 40/19 } \\ & \text { scarring [1] 10/1 } \end{aligned}$ | ```shutdown [1] 72/9 side [6] 8/5 30/17 30/18 68/12 74/21 74/23 sides [1] 30/11 sight [1] 28/8``` |



| T | 27/2 34/14 34/24 35/1 44/7 45/14 52/21 55/15 58/23 60/6 62/17 69/22 71/20 73/18 | 15/2 61/24 64/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thank... [17] 55/8 56/3 56/4 56/20 56/21 | 75/1 76/8 | trying [2] 22/15 60/6 |
| 64/5 64/12 64/18 65/22 67/17 70/13 70/14 | timer [1] 6/5 | Tuesday [1] 47/4 |
| 70/21 73/24 75/12 75/16 75/20 | times [4] 42/14 42/14 49/8 59/21 | turn [1] 6/13 |
| that [210] | today [5] 44/3 63/12 63/13 66/10 74/20 | turnaround [1] 47/4 |
| that's [17] 8/12 11/24 14/15 17/6 18/15 | today's [2] 9/23 35/23 | turning [1] 11/8 |
| 26/16 31/7 32/1 33/17 39/18 43/2 54/23 | told [5] 12/16 22/23 23/3 58/22 64/4 | Tuveson [3] 3/18 29/19 37/22 |
| 55/9 55/23 59/4 70/9 74/5 | Tom [2] 6/18 35/4 | twice [1] 37/3 |
| Thayer [3] 3/17 29/19 35/4 | tomorrow [1] 66/11 | twisted [1] 11/2 |
| their [27] 5/3 8/7 8/24 9/22 10/5 27/22 | ton [2] 59/10 59/11 | two [24] 5/2 11/23 12/20 17/6 17/6 17/8 |
| 32/11 32/12 32/20 33/1 33/2 33/8 34/2 34/2 | tonight [5] 25/3 25/11 53/18 66/6 66/19 | 17/8 25/9 25/18 30/6 30/17 31/4 32/2 36/5 |
| 47/23 48/15 50/5 53/3 54/1 55/3 57/5 58/3 | tons [1] 59/9 | 40/13 42/13 45/18 45/18 50/14 59/6 68/7 |
| 61/18 62/15 64/10 65/6 72/11 | too [3] 9/10 59/19 73/19 | 69/1 71/23 74/1 |
| them [11] 5/4 8/11 25/1 48/3 55/19 58/23 | took [2] 47/5 47/15 | Two-thirds [1] 36/5 |
| 66/13 66/19 68/4 75/7 75/11 | topic [1] 43/16 | type [1] 49/13 |
| themselves [1] 62/15 | torn [1] 57/8 | U |
| then [6] 6/7 6/15 10/11 33/11 34/18 46/8 | total [8] 10/24 18/5 21/11 23/10 39/9 50/1 | U |
| there [26] 5/9 7/3 12/17 12/20 12/20 20/19 | 54/7 73/2 | U.K [1] 49/18 |
| 27/20 29/1 30/5 38/22 42/4 42/7 42/8 42/15 | totally [4] 9/8 12/22 13/20 46/9 | U.S [1] 32/18 |
| 42/16 42/18 43/23 44/10 49/22 51/9 58/1 | touching [1] 63/6 | ultimately [2] 72/11 73/23 |
| 61/16 63/1 67/8 70/6 70/6 | tough [1] 9/18 | unacceptable [2] 31/21 49/13 |
| there's [5] 11/24 20/22 25/7 59/16 67/6 | tourism [6] 28/23 31/4 38/10 39/3 49/14 | unanswered [1] 20/7 |
| thereby [1] 44/19 | 51/9 | unaware [1] 47/19 |
| therefore [2] 21/22 44/4 | tourist [1] 40/14 | under [7] 7/23 30/10 45/7 45/7 47/22 |
| these [20] 6/9 8/10 9/11 11/8 16/17 24/7 | tours [1] 64/17 | 61/18 76/7 |
| 38/2 40/12 47/22 50/23 57/7 61/20 62/16 | Toward [1] 43/20 | undergird [1] 40/14 |
| 62/24 69/6 69/8 70/3 70/9 71/24 76/5 | tower [3] 48/7 48/8 51/22 | underground [20] 10/9 16/10 17/10 28/1 |
| they [58] 5/6 7/5 7/7 7/21 7/22 7/23 8/1 8/2 | towers [22] 10/1 10/13 17/15 24/7 27/22 | 28/3 28/11 29/4 30/14 38/3 38/8 38/11 |
| 8/11 12/10 13/20 13/21 13/23 25/12 25/13 | 28/3 28/7 31/6 34/16 42/10 43/12 47/14 | 38/14 38/15 38/17 38/18 38/21 39/5 48/12 |
| 25/17 28/5 32/9 34/11 42/3 42/6 42/14 | 49/9 50/24 51/10 51/14 51/20 52/5 58/10 | 48/22 59/24 |
| 42/16 42/17 42/17 42/18 52/10 53/2 55/1 | 59/10 64/17 65/2 | underlined [1] 46/9 |
| 55/2 55/5 55/10 55/18 55/19 56/11 57/4 | town [15] 5/19 12/5 19/4 19/11 19/12 | understand [5] 9/20 33/24 34/16 34/18 |
| 57/5 57/8 57/13 57/14 60/3 60/24 61/2 | 19/14 29/21 30/13 51/22 53/18 57/2 65/14 | 43/22 |
| 61/20 62/2 62/3 62/24 65/2 65/3 65/7 70/5 | 65/16 65/19 65/21 | underway [1] 13/23 |
| 74/14 74/15 74/15 74/15 75/7 75/9 75/10 | towns [29] 9/9 16/4 23/19 24/1 24/8 25/14 | undone [1] 24/9 |
| they're [6] 31/5 47/23 55/18 61/1 69/12 | 25/21 26/2 26/21 27/3 27/12 28/11 29/23 | unemployment [1] 60/12 |
| 70/11 | 30/2 32/3 44/20 47/13 47/21 48/7 48/8 48/8 | union [2] 57/3 58/2 |
| thing [7] 7/7 7/8 14/5 27/6 48/14 58/5 65/3 | 48/18 52/3 52/6 52/11 52/14 60/6 66/3 | uniquely [1] 31/19 |
| things [2] 42/6 50/13 | 66/14 | United [2] 61/1 71/7 |
| think [18] 7/22 12/3 13/13 27/23 29/16 | Townsend [4] 4/4 46/18 49/1 49/5 | unknown [1] 7/4 |
| 30/1 30/14 32/1 34/9 54/18 55/10 55/15 | Townships [1] 68/22 | unless [3] 9/8 12/22 41/23 |
| 55/20 65/7 66/6 66/7 74/19 74/20 | traditional [1] 60/1 | unlike [1] 57/13 |
| thinking [1] 55/15 | traffic [3] 12/17 18/1 30/7 | unlikely [1] 54/2 |
| third [3] 23/6 44/22 75/7 | trail [13] 68/15 68/15 68/16 68/23 69/1 | unlucky [1] 50/17 |
| Thirdly [1] 14/1 | 69/2 69/4 69/7 69/11 70/2 70/6 70/10 70/12 | unnecessarily [1] 22/19 |
| thirds [1] 36/5 | trails [5] 68/21 69/14 69/15 69/16 70/8 | unnecessary [1] 62/22 |
| this [117] | transcribed [2] 5/20 25/6 | unsafe [1] 62/24 |
| Thomas [4] 2/5 3/7 13/5 59/12 | transcript [1] 76/5 | unsound [1] 45/24 |
| Thornton [8] 7/12 8/5 8/6 11/5 12/5 28/12 | transfer [2] 63/6 63/22 | unwanted [1] 11/1 |
| 29/24 54/23 | transition [1] 36/16 | unwilling [1] 14/24 |
| those [15] 5/9 10/12 10/13 19/10 28/20 | translates [1] 38/20 | up [35] 6/6 6/14 10/8 10/24 11/11 13/3 |
| 30/2 31/5 32/16 33/8 34/16 46/12 70/7 | transmission [13] 1/10 2/7 14/18 14/20 | 15/13 15/17 18/24 22/3 26/14 28/1 29/16 |
| 70/17 73/6 75/13 | 17/19 22/18 31/18 32/15 35/21 37/6 38/18 | 30/11 31/12 34/15 42/9 42/17 45/13 49/10 |
| though [3] 9/3 58/7 59/19 | 43/13 62/10 | 49/10 58/4 60/7 62/1 65/18 69/23 70/7 74/5 |
| thought [6] 11/10 15/15 74/17 75/5 75/5 | transmitted [1] 32/10 | 74/12 74/15 75/3 75/4 75/8 75/9 75/15 |
| 75/10 | transparency [1] 22/20 | uphold [1] 58/9 |
| thousands [1] 36/6 | transportation [1] 18/2 | upset [2] 5/3 11/12 |
| threat [1] 40/24 | travel [2] 30/9 42/12 | urge [3] 10/7 50/2 66/6 |
| three [11] 5/3 6/5 9/6 32/5 33/3 42/14 | treasure [2] 47/23 48/1 | urges [1] 41/4 |
| 44/10 45/18 59/12 74/13 75/2 | treasured [1] 58/7 | us [21] 7/22 9/14 9/18 10/14 12/5 14/21 |
| through [32] 8/5 8/11 9/13 14/2 14/5 20/1 | treat [1] 67/16 | 25/11 30/8 34/1 34/5 34/5 34/14 34/24 35/1 |
| 20/6 20/15 28/6 28/11 28/20 30/12 30/15 | treatment [1] 65/14 | 35/1 46/11 48/7 60/17 61/5 66/16 69/23 |
| 30/16 37/17 40/2 42/12 47/6 47/10 51/1 | tree [1] 63/8 | use [13] 12/22 16/10 18/6 19/21 31/24 |
| 51/19 53/10 54/1 54/3 56/18 57/14 58/3 | treeline [3] 49/11 50/24 51/10 | 38/10 42/18 42/21 53/3 53/5 62/21 63/21 |
| 61/6 61/7 64/14 68/19 69/12 | trees [5] 43/5 55/14 55/19 55/21 59/14 | 63/23 |
| throughout [5] 26/16 40/8 40/20 41/7 | tremendous [1] 55/10 tremendously [1] 69/7 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { used [3] 12/8 46/21 49/8 } \\ \text { users [1] } & 43 / 14\end{array}$ |
| throwing [1] 7/22 | trespassing [1] 62/10 | users' [1] 32/7 |
| thrown [1] 9/14 | tried [1] 70/5 | uses [2] 29/5 50/12 |
| thus [1] 10/19 | trimmer [1] 63/8 | using [2] 47/10 64/10 |
| ticked [1] 68/7 | true [2] 32/1 76/4 | Utilities [1] 22/17 |
| Tillotson [1] 69/19 | truly [1] 20/24 | utility [7] 23/22 51/1 51/3 52/9 59/13 62/1 |
| Tim [1] 70/16 <br> time [23] 5/7 6/9 6/23 14/14 15/2 20/2 22/9 | trust [9] 9/4 39/20 39/20 61/23 64/4 64/20 $66 / 866 / 874 / 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 / 18 \\ & \text { utilizing [1] } 31 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
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61/23 62/12 74/11 74/15
where [11] 28/4 28/23 29/1 30/14 30/16
31/16 45/24 50/19 64/17 65/19 70/5
Whereas [1] 7/23
Whereupon [1] 75/21
whether [1] 23/13
which [22] 5/13 5/20 10/11 16/4 16/11
17/13 18/7 20/18 25/15 28/21 29/22 38/20 49/14 61/3 61/21 66/7 66/8 66/24 71/3 71/21 72/5 73/15
while [6] 6/12 36/1 47/21 51/23 63/8 73/11
White [19] 16/11 19/15 20/11 21/6 25/15
25/20 26/2 28/19 32/4 32/5 36/4 39/20 41/3
47/10 54/3 56/18 61/6 61/8 68/19
Whitefield [3] 26/5 26/24 29/11
who [13] 5/3 6/3 8/9 9/12 10/12 19/10
19/11 33/8 50/21 51/22 53/4 58/8 64/13
who's [1] 66/20
whole [6] 10/18 12/23 48/14 58/4 65/3
75/11
wholesale [3] 18/16 71/19 71/23
whose [2] 72/8 73/22
why [13] 20/8 20/9 20/13 28/2 28/12 42/18
47/17 48/12 48/13 55/24 60/3 60/19 65/3
wide [2] 51/5 69/10
wide/40 [1] 51/5
wider [1] 55/16
wife [3] 21/19 22/1 68/7
wilderness [1] 68/18
wildlife [2] 21/4 59/15
will [67] 5/6 5/19 5/20 6/5 6/10 9/20 10/1

11/2 11/6 13/23 14/19 16/16 21/1 $23 / 13$
24/6 27/22 28/6 28/22 30/3 32/11 33/20
34/20 35/1 35/15 35/18 36/7 42/7 42/8
43/13 43/17 47/13 49/14 49/16 49/17 50/19
50/21 51/5 51/10 51/20 51/23 51/24 52/6
53/2 53/9 53/11 56/14 58/4 60/20 61/3 63/1
64/11 65/2 67/11 67/13 69/1 69/6 72/3 72/7
72/15 72/21 72/21 72/23 72/24 73/3 73/5 73/13 73/22
William [2] 65/24 67/19
willing [2] 19/20 41/24
win [2] 31/19 31/19
win-win [1] 31/19
winners [6] 10/15 27/20 33/24 42/21 48/7 50/17
winter [1] 71/15
wire [1] 32/12
wire-clogged [1] 32/12
wise [1] 64/4
within [4] 7/4 19/13 19/14 49/18
without [4] 24/5 35/16 61/18 63/6
wives [1] 13/16
woes [1] 73/13
won [1] 34/11
wonderful [1] 42/17
wonders [1] 48/16
woodlands [1] 51/8
Woods [2] 68/12 68/20
Woodstock [4] 16/5 29/24 30/13 74/13
work [3] 16/7 16/21 20/5
worked [1] 64/13
worker [2] 58/2 63/7
working [2] 12/19 23/15
works [1] 52/12
world [2] 59/13 60/19
worth [1] 10/16
would [61] 7/3 7/13 8/18 10/21 11/11
12/12 12/13 12/14 12/14 13/1 13/14 14/15
15/19 17/14 17/20 18/10 18/13 19/6 21/16
23/3 24/6 24/8 29/15 30/1 30/2 31/19 31/21
31/23 32/4 32/6 32/8 32/10 33/1 33/5 33/7
34/8 36/14 36/15 36/16 36/16 37/3 44/5
45/3 45/7 46/10 49/9 49/24 51/7 58/2 59/14
59/21 60/19 62/18 64/9 64/12 64/18 64/18
65/9 65/20 66/6 75/10
wouldn't [1] 10/17
Wow [1] 68/4
writing [2] 19/23 27/16
written [2] 43/10 53/20
wrong [2] 13/20 59/7
wrote [1] 10/14
Y
Yankee [1] 72/10
Yankees [1] 9/18
yard [10] 8/7 8/7 10/22 11/7 11/14 30/23
30/24 41/23 41/24 58/3
year [12] 19/23 25/4 30/4 35/11 37/24 40/4
44/14 44/17 44/19 44/23 44/24 69/4
year-old [1] 37/24
years [26] 8/10 9/11 23/7 25/16 27/5 31/11
33/3 34/1 36/19 37/12 41/16 44/18 44/23
47/2 47/12 52/9 52/12 54/24 55/9 58/21
64/14 66/12 66/21 68/6 71/23 73/16
Yes [8] 24/17 25/2 29/17 29/18 46/21
54/12 56/24 74/5
yet [3] 7/5 30/5 31/10
York [3] 10/5 32/14 36/22
you [160]
you'd [1] 61/10
you'll [3] 24/17 39/9 39/11
you're [12] 5/24 39/8 55/15 60/6 60/18
61/5 61/8 61/12 61/13 61/14 61/15 75/15
you've [3] 6/2 30/16 38/2
your [43] 5/6 5/8 5/10 5/15 5/17 5/18 5/20


