March 4, 2016

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301

RE: Reply to the Applicant’s (Northern Pass) Response and Objection to Certain Petitions to Intervene
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
Docket No. 2015-06 Northern Pass

Dear Ms. Monroe,

We respectfully respond and object to the Petition submitted by Northern Pass as it seeks to have the Site Evaluation Committee deny Philip and Joan Bilodeau permission to intervene or otherwise limit our intervention.

We are abutters to the terminus substation which is a unique position not common to the remainder of the interveners. The terminus substation is proposed to be built on a separate property and a portion of the right of way owned by Public Service Company. Very few if any other abutters are in our distinctive situation.

Our property at 140 Nottingham Road in Deerfield abuts the Public Service Company substation property on Cate Road. We have resided here since 1977 when we built our home. We were notified October 16, 2015 as an abutter by certified mail of the Wetlands Permit Application filed with the State Department of Environmental Services. Our search through the 700+ pages of the Wetlands Permit Application discovered a plan showing the area of disturbance for placement of a new substation. The area appears larger than our lot of four acres. The area of disturbance is within 750+/- feet of our back porch. Further review on the Northern Pass web site we discover plans for this new substation and discover that the hill will be completely cleared, 14 feet of earth will be removed and 21 feet of fill will be placed to flatten an area approximately six acres in size.
Our particular rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests that may be affected by the SEC’s Northern Pass proceedings include the following:

1. Peace and tranquility (we enjoy the solitude of our property sharing a common bound with the 100 acre Deerfield Dowst-Cate Town Forest)
2. Property Value (rural agricultural zone affected by the proximity of a massive industrial facility visible from Nottingham Road)
3. Reasonable expectation to enjoy our home and property (gardening, landscaping)
4. Noise (construction of this magnitude, transportation of equipment and materials)
5. Wildlife and the environment (we have experienced deer, bear, fox, coyote, fisher cat, raccoon, possum, turkey, porcupine and innumerable bird population)
6. Health and safety (concerns for the increase of high voltage closer to our property)
7. Invasive lighting (the facility lighting throughout the night emits a glow in the sky)

We respectfully request that the SEC overrule the Objection of the Applicant and issue an order granting Philip and Joan Bilodeau our petition for intervention with the right to fully participate in all aspects of this proceeding.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Philip H. Bilodeau
Joan C. Bilodeau

Philip H. Bilodeau
Joan C. Bilodeau
jbminey@gmail.com

Cc: SEC distribution list (as of the date of this email) for the Docket No. 2015-06. Copies sent by email.