

3/9/2016

Pamela Monroe

Administrator, Site Evaluation Committee

21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10

Concord, NH 03301

Re: SEC Docket NO. 2015-06

Objection to “Non Abutting Property Owners Not Within One Hundred Feet of the Project” and Applicant not recognizing the Business entities of Parade Properties and Pawtuckaway View.

Dear Ms. Monroe,

I am writing to object to the placement of my name, Jeanne Menard, on a list entitled “Non Abutting Property Owners Not Within One Hundred Feet of the Project.” I believe the applicant, Northern Pass Transmission LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire dba Eversource Energy, has made an oversight.

The existing ROW, which NPT proposes to utilize in Deerfield, **bisects my family’s Conservation Land** which is referred to as the Menard Forest Family Limited Partnership. It is referred to on map 179 on the NPT website. I am a general partner and I have the authority to speak on behalf of the Partnership. Given that this property has a conservation easement deed, I would object to being grouped with other abutting property owners unless it can be demonstrated that another intervening party has a property similar in size, wetland areas with significant turtle population including Blandings, under strict forest management plan with high aesthetic and recreational value. It is not my intention to be repetitive nor disruptive in the proceedings of this application.

I have also requested intervenor status and filed an appearance on behalf of my brother Peter Menard and Anne Burnett of 65 Nottingham Road, Deerfield, whose property is similarly bisected by this same ROW. The property description with pole numbers is clearly spelled out in my request for intervention and is also shown on Map 179 on the NPT website map. The specific reasons for intervention includes, but is not limited to, the preservation of property value, protection of recreational value and aesthetic values of this property which are

all threatened by the NPT proposal. Given the unique attributes of this property and language in our ROW deed, I object to being grouped with other intervenors that the applicant may choose. I may however, in the spirit of cooperation and organization for the benefit of the SEC proceedings, agree to be grouped if the reasons for doing so are clear and fair and that the interests of my brother and his wife are not compromised by any reasons solely based on convenience or assumptions made by the applicant. **Peter Menard and Anne Burnett are property owners who abut this project and I am authorized to speak on their behalf and therefor I believe I should be able to intervene.**

My request for intervention clearly distinguishes between me as not only as an abutting property owner but also **as a business owner of a real estate company, Parade Properties.** My particular concern is how this project negatively affects property values and would degrade areas of historic importance in Deerfield. There is research presented in Appendix 46 of the NPT application entitled High Voltage Transmission Lines and Real Estate Markets in New Hampshire. My experience and expertise in real estate warrants my participation in the preceding. One of my sold listing was utilized in case #50 in corridor 2 as referenced in the NPT application. I would like to speak regarding NPT research regarding Real Estate Markets in NH. For purposes of fact finding I do not object to being grouped with other realtors however, because I hold a license to operate my business, I believe it is my right to represent my local business interests and speak for myself. My business depends on accurate representations of facts regarding real estate values. It is not my intent to be repetitive nor interfere with the orderly process necessary for these proceedings.

I requested intervention status and filed an appearance with regards to another family business, Pawtuckaway View. NPT will have negative impact on this business asset as well. My family is concerned about the loss of property value due to the visual impact this project will have on our property which is located at 63 Nottingham Road, Deerfield, NH. The applicant has stated that they favor the intervention of businesses. Therefore, I request that both Parade Properties and Pawtuckaway View, LLC be granted separate intervenor status.

Neither Parade Properties nor Pawtuckaway View is shown on the “Business List” the applicant’s attorney created in response and objection to certain petitions to Intervene Feb. 26, 2016. I feel that my representations outlined above are unique and that no other person or entity would be able to represent the interests of myself, my family, or my business as I would. I respectfully request that you review my objections to ensure that I may be granted intervenor status.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Please let me know should you need further information.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Menard

36 Mountain Road, Deerfield, NH 03037

Jeanne@paradeproperties.net

Cc: SEC distribution list for Docket # 2015-06 as of March 9, 2016