

Kevin B Cini
20 Mountain Road
Deerfield, NH 03037
Keliscini@gmail.com

March 11, 2016

Re: Response to Applicants' Response and Objection to Certain Petitions to Intervene in NH SEC Docket No. 2015-06

Via First Class Mail and email
(Pamela.monroe@sec.nh.gov)

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord NH 03301-2429

Dear Ms. Monroe:

I hereby respond and object to the Applicants' effort to place limitations on my intervention and to combine my interests with those of other abutting landowners as set forth in the Applicants' Response and Objection to Certain Petitions to Intervene dated February 26, 2016.

I filed my original request to intervene with your office on February 3, 2016.

I am an "abutting landowner" to the proposed Northern Pass project and as the project is currently designed the HV towers and power lines will run on my property and less than 200 feet from our house. The specific impacts to my property will be considerable and unique from most other "abutting landowners". Additionally, due to the orientation of the proposed towers this project will result in multiple aspects of substantial impact.

My specific rights and concerns will not be properly represented by grouping my situation with other abutting property owners. Nor will my interests be sufficiently represented by Counsel for the Public, as my Petition to Intervene is not based on how the Site Evaluation Committee's action will affect the public in general, but on how it will affect my property and the lives of my family - financial, health, quality, in particular.

My involvement in the SEC proceedings in the pursuit of protecting my interests and rights will not be repetitive and will not impede the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings.

I respectfully request the SEC to overrule the Applicants' Response to my petition as an abutting landowner by granting me the right to intervene without the limitations sought by the Applicants. Combining my specific situation and interests with those of other

“abutting landowners” would significantly limit my rights and would prevent me from pursuing resolutions to the specific and unique ways this project will impact my family and our property. It is my lawful right to protect my property and the entirety of my interests and the Applicant’s Request to limit my intervention would prevent me from doing so.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kevin B Cini

cc: Site Evaluation Committee Distribution List for Docket No. 2016-06 as of March 11, 2016