

March 28, 2016

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Dear Ms. Monroe:

I am contacting you to request a review of the decision on my petition to intervene and the Grouping of Abutters of Dummer to Dalton. SEC Docket 2015-06, Order on Motions to Intervene, Tab 332, unfairly groups intervenors and forces them to coordinate their efforts with each other, rather than directing their energies toward the issue at hand.

To summarize:

1. 1. Grouping individuals may appear as a sensible solution to handle the overwhelming number of intervenors, but it is really just taking the easy way out. Much better to hear one loud voice than 10 small voices saying the same thing. That is untrue. We are individuals, we filed for and were accepted as individuals, and we should continue to be treated as individuals throughout the process.
2. 2. Groups have been decided by geographic location rather than by like interests. If there were to be groups, then one voice with one story would better serve.
3. 3. Trying to group a diverse crowd with unlike personalities, work schedules and interests is unreasonable. We are not community organizers, and there is no reason why we should be expected to become one. This is an additional burden placed upon the individuals who signed up and were accepted as intervenors, and our individual rights to due process should remain intact.
4. 4. We have been tasked to choose a spokesman for our group. I personally contacted each member of the 17 members in our group by email, except for 4 who are represented by Attorney Cunningham, 2 represented by Attorney Barker, and 1 who had no email address available. I asked that they “respond

to all” in their replies. I received one response from a gentleman who is currently in the state of Florida until May. Should I ask him to meet me somewhere in Virginia to work out strategies?

At the prehearing conference in Concord on March 22 it was pointed out that SEC Docket 2015-06 is exploring the boundaries in its size and impact on the public of New Hampshire. To attempt to contain the docket in the timeframe of 365 days is by itself questionable and, to the citizens and land owners of New Hampshire, unreasonable and unfair. Unless the Applicant is willing to assist this grouping of individuals for the purpose of convenience, then I suggest that they provide consultants, re: The SEC may employ consultants to assist itself and Counsel for the Public, the cost of which is borne by the applicant. RSA 162-H:10, V.

I would like the committee to disband the intervenor groups and return all interveners to single party status.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brekke

Whitefield