March 30, 2017

Via Electronic Mail & Hand Delivery

Pamela Monroe, Administrator
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Re: Site Evaluation Committee Docket No. 2015-06
Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy (the “Applicants”) for a Certificate of Site and Facility Email Error

Dear Ms. Monroe:

On March 23 and March 24, 2017, McLane Middleton encountered a problem with its email system that quarantined emails with more than 10 addresses in the To: field, but failed to send a notice that the email was not delivered. We have now identified five emails that were affected by this error: (1) an email from Tom Getz on 3/23 to the spokespersons seeking positions on a motion for clarification regarding Site 301.08 (d) (2) (b); (2) an objection on 3/23 to Counsel for the Public’s motion to compel that was sent to the service list; (3) an objection on 3/23 to the Towns’ motion for an expedited order that was sent to the service list; (4) the motion for clarification regarding Site 301.08 (d) (2) (b), which was sent to the service list on 3/24; and (5) the Applicants’ supplemental testimony, which was sent to the service list on 3/24.

The problem was identified on Tuesday, March 28, and steps were promptly taken to address the issue. Among other things, a notice was sent to the service list alerting parties to the problem, the request for positions on the motion for clarification was resent to the spokespersons, the motion for clarification was resent to the parties, and the supplemental testimony was resent to the parties. Subsequently, it was learned that the two objections had also been quarantined and they have been resent to the parties.

With respect to the motion for clarification, a question has been raised as to the effective date of filing. Ultimately, that is a decision for the Site Evaluation Committee (“Committee”) and the Applicants agree that it should not be March 24, 2017. The Applicants believe that the date the motion for clarification was resent, i.e., March 28, 2017, is appropriate. In addition, the Applicants have filed a letter with the Committee that states the positions of the parties on the motion for clarification.
With respect to the objections to Counsel for the Public’s motion to compel and the Towns’ motion for an expedited order, the Applicants hand-delivered hard copies of the objections to the Committee on March 23, 2017. The objection to the motion to compel was due March 23, 2017, and the objection to the motion for expedited order was due March 27, 2017. Accordingly, the objections were timely filed.

Please contact me directly should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas B. Getz

TBG:slb

cc: SEC Distribution List

Enclosure