

Michael Marino
Lee Ann Moulder
37 Birch Lane
Holderness, NH 03245
marinolee@aol.com

January 31, 2016

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

In re: Petition to Intervene
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
Docket Number: 2015-06 - Northern Pass

Dear Ms. Monroe:

We write you to request "Intervenor Status" in the Site Evaluation Committee's (hereinafter: SEC) proceedings regarding the Northern Pass Transmission, LLC - Eversource matter. Pursuant to the SEC's regulations and guidelines, this request is being forwarded to you as well as a copy to all required individuals.

We currently reside and own real estate in Holderness, New Hampshire. A portion of our property is located on what was previously the preferred route of the Northern Pass Project as filed with the Department of Energy (hereinafter: DOE) by way of US DOE Presidential Permit Application, dated October 14, 2010.

The following facts detail why we feel that we should be granted all rights and privileges of intervenor status relative to the Northern Pass Project:

- 1) **Aesthetics:** The initial route filed relative to the Northern Pass Transmission, LLC. with the DOE proposed a route that ran approximately one thousand feet across our property. The initial Northern Pass site plan reflected that our view-shed would be impacted by two or possibly up to four 95 to 100 foot steel lattice style towers. It should be noted that our property is considered a "view lot" and therefore taxed as such. While the Northern Pass executives have submitted a request to the SEC reflecting a newly proposed route, which does not include Holderness, the DOE records do not seem to reflect a specific filing whereby the previously preferred route of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC, has been rescinded. This is in contrast to a formal letter submitted to the DOE by the law firm of Hogan Lovells on April 12, 2011 on behalf of Northern Pass withdrawing alternative Northern Pass routes.

2) **Clustering of Adverse Visual Impacts:** The construction of the Groton Wind Project has already negatively impacted the value and enjoyment of our property. The construction of the Groton Wind Project resulted in our view-shed being impacted by all twenty-four wind turbines and its blinking red lights from dusk until dawn. Most recently, when we refinanced our property several months ago, the first question the appraiser asked was “how do you feel about looking at those wind turbines?” Previous to the construction of the Groton Wind Project our view-shed consisted of an unobstructed view to the North and West of the Pemi-Baker River Valley and its surrounding mountains. Should the previously preferred route of the Northern Pass once again emerge because of some unknown action, its steel lattice style towers would have an additional negative impact on our view-shed our home will be further devalued.

3) **Current Proposed Route:** While the route submitted by the Northern Pass project to the SEC does not currently include the Town of Holderness, it does reflect that the above-ground transmission towers will re-emerge in the Town of Ashland. The Ashland town line is approximately one mile from our property. The proximity of this project to our property will not only adversely affect the value of our property, but it will severely affect the character of our neighborhood, the Town of Holderness, historic sites, and all surrounding areas. We travel almost daily for recreation, shopping, and business appointments through Ashland, Bridgewater, New Hampton, and other towns along the Northern Pass route to the south of Holderness. Unightly overhead steel lattice style towers will adversely affect the serenity of our daily routine.

Additionally, for recreation and enjoyment we often drive to the North Country to relax in its unique and pristine environment. Once again, our way of life will be impaired because of the proposed Northern Pass project and its industrial style towers.

CONCLUSIONS:

For the following reasons we respectfully request that we be granted intervenor status and that a positive decision be made in favor of this petition:

1) The proposed Northern Pass Transmission, LLC, has had a negative impact on our daily lives for the past five years. The interests of the Northern Pass Transmission, LLC/ Eversource executives, are distinct from the general public in that it is a profit motivated corporation. We are concerned that the construction of the Northern Pass is driven by its executives to complete the project in the cheapest fashion without regard to aesthetics and quality of life. We believe that the Northern Pass should be completed through direct burial of the entire route. Moreover, we believe we have in-depth knowledge gained over these past five years, which may be germane and unknown to others.

2) Additionally, we believe our life's profession as forensic accountants has afforded us the opportunity to analyze countless personal and corporate books and records. This will enable us to analyze Northern Pass's submission of their project's costs and financial information in a manner which would be unique from the general public.

3) We feel that there is nothing that prohibits the Northern Pass/Eversource executives from rescinding their currently proposed route in favor of the previously filed route. If this was to happen and we were denied intervenor status at this time, we could potentially be denied access to critical information which could be valuable to us in a future proceeding.

We therefore respectfully request complete and unfettered intervenor status of the Northern Pass project.

Yours truly,

Michael Marino

Lee Ann Moulder

cc: As Noted on SEC 2015-06 Distribution List