THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE


Docket No. 2015-06

TOWN OF SUGAR HILL'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

The Town of Sugar Hill, by and through its attorneys, Gardner, Fulton & Waugh, PLLC. petitions the Site Evaluation Committee to allow it to intervene in the above-captioned matter in accordance with RSA 541-A:32 and NH Admin. Rule Site 202.11, stating as follows:

1. On October 19, 2015, Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a/ Eversource Energy (the “Applicants”) filed an application for a Certificate of Site and Facility with the Site Evaluation Committee. A portion of the proposed facility will be located in the Town of Sugar Hill.

2. The Town of Sugar Hill has an interest in the proposed facility because it is projected to cross through the Town, in close proximity to residences, Coffin Pond, and the Gale River. 1.7 miles of the proposed project would pass through the Town. The underground transmission line will be buried only approximately 3-6 feet below grade along public roads, creating significant danger, difficulty and expense every time the Town needs to access the underground pipes and other equipment next to or below the transmission line for maintenance, repair or construction. The Town is concerned about the impacts that the project will have on its citizens, property values, operations, and environment due to the location near to residential properties, on Town operations from disturbances to public roads, and on natural resources from disturbances to shorelines and waterbodies and the effects of buried transmission lines on the surrounding soils, water, flora and fauna. The Town is concerned that this project, as proposed,
(a) will interfere with the orderly development of the region, (b) is in conflict with the Town’s zoning, planning, Master Plan and other land use policies, (c) will have an adverse effect on aesthetics, historic site, air and water quality, the natural environment, and/or public health and safety, and (d) will not be in the public interest. The Town also believes that the Applicant has failed to explore other reasonable alternatives, including re-routing the project along the I-93 corridor, and that this option should be thoroughly considered.

3. On January 11, 2016 the Town’s Board of Selectmen voted to file for intervenor status with the Site Evaluation Committee. See attached Minutes of Selectmen’s Meeting, dated 1-11-16.

4. RSA 541-A:32 and NH Admin. Rule Site 202.11 provide that the Site Evaluation Committee, or its presiding officer, shall grant a petition for intervention if:

   (1) The petition is submitted in writing to the presiding officer, with copies mailed to all parties named in the presiding officer’s order of notice of the hearing, at least 3 days before the hearing;
   
   (2) The petition states facts demonstrating that the petitioner’s rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests might be affected by the proceeding or that the petitioner qualifies as an intervenor under any provision of law; and
   
   (3) The presiding officer determines that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings would not be impaired by allowing the intervention.

5. As discussed herein, the proposed facility will impact the rights, duties, privileges, immunities and other substantial interests of the Town of Sugar Hill. The interests of justice and orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings will not be impaired by allowing the intervention.

WHEREFORE, the Town of Sugar Hill respectfully requests that the Site Evaluation Committee:

A. Grant the Town of Sugar Hill’s Petition to Intervene; and

B. Grant such other and further relief as may be just.
Respectfully submitted,

TOWN OF SUGAR HILL SELECT BOARD

[Signatures]

Date: 2/2/16

By: C. Christine Fillmore

C. Christine Fillmore, Esq., Bar #13851
Gardner, Fulton & Waugh, PLLC
78 Bank Street
Lebanon, NH 03766-1727
Tel. (603) 448-2221
Fax (603) 448-5949
cfillmore@townandcitylaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2 day of February, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named on the Service List of this docket.

Date: 2/2/16

By: C. Christine Fillmore
SELECTMEN’S MEETING – January 11, 2016

Present: Richard Bielefield, John Strasser, Margo Connors
        Jennifer Gaudette

Guests: Irene Amsbary, Jason Tors, Judy Weisenberger

Correspondence was read and acted upon.

The board approved the use of the Meetinghouse and the Crapo Building as follows:
Lupine Festival June 1-13
Fall Festival September 30 – October 3

The 2016 Budget Hearing will be February 8, 2016 at 6:30 pm.

The Select Board will not meet on Monday January 18, 2016.

MS. CONNORS made a motion for the Town of Sugar Hill to file for intervener status with the State of New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee, seconded by MR. STRASSER. The motion passed unanimously.

MR. BIELEFIELD will compile the 2016 budget with Jennifer for review by the board.

The assessor anticipates finishing the 2014 Board of Land and Tax mediations next week.

The Select Board met in Franconia to review the transfer station and the recreation department 2016 budgets. The three select boards then met at 5:00 pm with Attorney Fillmore in regard to the Northern Pass intervener status. The attorney will draft an intervener letter by the end of the week for review and board signatures.

IRENE AMSBARY, JASON TORS AND JUDY WEISENBERGER discussed the 2016 library budget proposal. The trustees are appreciative of the new book drop as financed by the Sugar Hill Improvement Association. The board discussed the line items on the budget and discussed the state protocol for disbursement of town funds to the trustees. The board will be appointing a new trustee to fill Colleen Foley’s position. The trustees will be holding an antique book sale this spring.

MR. STRASSER made a motion to approve the minutes of January 4, 2016, seconded by MR. BIELEFIELD. The motion passed unanimously.

With no more business and no appointments before the Board, MR. STRASSER made a motion to adjourn; seconded by MS. CONNORS the meeting was adjourned at 7:15 pm. The next regular scheduled meeting will be on Monday January 25, 2016 at the Carolina Crapo Building at 6:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer C. Gaudette
Administrative Assistant
THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE


Docket No. 2015-06

APPEARANCE OF C. CHRISTINE FILLMORE

Pursuant to NH Admin Rule Site 202.04, please enter the appearance of C. Christine Fillmore as counsel for the Town of Sugar Hill in the above-captioned matter.

The matter involves an application by Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility. The undersigned, C. Christine Fillmore, is an attorney admitted to practice in the State of New Hampshire.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWN OF SUGAR HILL

Dated: February 2, 2016

By: C. Christine Fillmore
C. Christine Fillmore, Esq., Bar #13851
Gardner, Fulton & Waugh, PLLC
78 Bank Street
Lebanon, NH 03766-1727
Tel. (603) 448-2221
Fax (603) 448-5949
cfillmore@townandcitylaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of February, 2016, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named as of today on the Service List of this docket.

Dated: February 2, 2016

By: C. Christine Fillmore
C. Christine Fillmore
INTERVENTION PLATFORM

Platform Objective #1

*The fundamental platform of the coalition is to promote underground construction* of the newly, revised proposal for the Northern Pass HVDC transmission line ("Proposed Line"). The underground construction should be from the Canadian border to Franklin, NH in either PSNH/Eversource ("Utility") claimed rights-of-ways or the Interstate I-93 right-of-way corridor. This proposal is anticipated to be part of the SEC intervention.

Platform Objective #2

The second platform is intended to address the current proposal to construct the line above ground and underground in Utility owned rights-of-ways, land, and in municipal and state roadways in various towns. The objective is to present a streamlined group of municipal concerns and issues, and *common municipal interests* shared by all the towns along the Proposed Line. These concerns and interests generally include the following, which are anticipated to be part of the SEC intervention:

1. Hazards on highway shoulders and paved surfaces caused by unique heating/cooling dynamics as well as related frost heaves.
2. Safety concerns expressed by municipal fire, police, highway, & EMT departments.
3. Construction issues impacting the installation of storm drainage, municipal water, municipal sewer, electric power, telecommunications, cable television, and any future utilities constructed in the municipal right-of-way.
4. Maintenance issues impacting the installation of storm drainage, municipal water, municipal sewer, electric power, telecommunications, cable television, and any future utilities constructed in the municipal right-of-way.
5. Addressing the remediation of any reluctance by developers to construct new residential, commercial, or industrial property adjacent to the underground HVDC line and the attendant extra cost of that construction.
6. Addressing the remediation for the existing residential, commercial, and industrial properties regarding the additional costs and interferences with the use and operation of their existing properties.
7. Addressing utility reimbursement for cost on municipal budgets related to both the construction and operation of the Proposed Line for:
   a. Management & administration
   b. Law enforcement
   c. Safety services
   d. Traffic Congestion
   e. Timely emergency & accident response
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8. Require a pre-construction ground survey and inventory of natural and man-made structures among the Proposed Line including streets, intersections, highways, bicycle lanes, traffic control devices, signs, bridges prospective staging & parking areas for Utility’s construction vehicles, gravel pits, parks, playgrounds, open spaces driveways, cross country ski and hiking trails, horseback riding including access and parking areas as well as the natural landscape used by or impacted by the construction of the Proposed Line.

9. Require a pre-construction video taping of all the impacted areas and abutting land and buildings to the Proposed Line.

10. Require a Municipal Infrastructure Reimbursement Fund, indexed for inflation and contributed to annually, for the reimbursement of costs incurred by the municipalities to construct, operate, and maintain municipal infrastructure in and around the Proposed Line. This fund will include additional impacts of the HVDC line construction in and around any other municipal land, and or easements and rights-of-way in addition to highway rights of way. This fund shall be intact for as long as the line is in the ground.

11. Provide for and fund an inflation indexed Damages Mitigation Fund to reimburse the municipalities’, their citizens’, and their property owners’ costs for:
   a. Unforeseen events and/or unintended circumstances, including highway accidents, related to the construction and operation of the line;
   b. From earthquakes, floods, fires, overloads, short circuits, explosions, or other catastrophic events that impact the line and the abutters’ property; and
   c. To include the costs of post construction restorative landscaping for both sides of the proposed line.

12. Provide for and fund an inflation index Decommissioning Trust Fund to be held in escrow and used to remove the line, all of its appurtenances, and restore the right-of-way to a green field state.

13. Require Utility to annually report all ad valorem property tax information including annual historic original costs by FERC account. As part of this item, require Utility to agree to valuation methodology of replacement cost new less depreciation over an economic life of 100 years with a 20% to the good floor.

Platform Objective #3

The third platform objective relates to potential concerns & issues unique to each town that will be part of the SEC intervention. Some examples are listed below:

1. Bethlehem will require relocation of Utility’s Proposed Line and/or transition station around wetlands and an area that abuts a prospective hotel development project.

2. Franconia will require special attention to local utility infrastructure that abuts both the Gale River and the Proposed Line.
3. Local planning board & master plans will need to be considered and consulted, as would safety services departments, schools, cemetery trustees, churches, eleemosynary institutions, and recreation & sports committees.

4. The SEC order that Utility negotiate with each community for which has buried lines in municipal rights of way both state and local, a Construction and Maintenance Agreement, that protects towns and cities by paying for movement of all interferences realignments and future maintenances as required by the towns and cities so as to keep the town and city financial whole as if the line had not been constructed.

5. Mitigation and payment for the construction impact on lost business for local businesses, contractors, inns, motels, B&Bs, farm stands, campgrounds & related visitor facilities impacted by the construction of the Proposed Line, maintenance of the Proposed Line, and any unforeseen emergency on the Proposed Line.

6. Address local conservation commissions, which have concerns about wetlands, beaver ponds, wildlife & aquatic habitats, stream, brook, and river crossings, and any other impacts created by the Proposed Line.

7. Provide mitigation for access and parking for recreation and sports including but not limited to:
   a. Swimming, bicycle races, hiking, cross-country skiing, mountain biking, horseback riding, and road-side walking
   b. Hunting, fishing, snowmobiling, and ATV activity
   c. Scenic views and picnic grounds

8. Address and mitigate any other environmental or operational impacts unique to each of the towns not yet identified.