

From: Ed Cenerizio [<mailto:northpack99@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 2:57 PM
To: Monroe, Pamela
Subject: Petition to Intervene, SEC Docket No. 2015-06

Re: New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee (SEC") Docket No. 2015-06 Northern Pass Petition to Intervene

Dear Ms. Monroe,

We would request to intervene in the SEC's proceedings under Docket No. 2015-06 relating to the proposed Northern Pass transmission line. Per the SEC's regulations, we are sending this request to you, with copies to the SEC's distribution list for this proceeding as noted in the "cc" below.

Our particular rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests that may be affected by the SEC's Northern Pass proceedings include the following:

The properties impacted include:

- 41 Dyke Road LLC, a 113 acre parcel located in Sugar Hill NH and Easton NH currently bisected by the existing transmission line which occupies a linear distance of over 3200' and a surface area of over seven (7) acres and, potentially, the Northern Pass expansion of this corridor which is still under consideration. Owners: Timothy and Rebecca Burbank, Edward Cenerizio, Deborah Corey, Matthew Steele.
- 1161 Easton Rd., Sugar Hill NH: a private home abutting the above mentioned parcel (41 Dyke Rd LLC). Owner: Matthew Steele.
- 1178 Easton Rd., Sugar Hill NH: a private home abutting the above mentioned parcel (41 Dyke Rd LLC). Owners: Timothy and Rebecca Burbank.
- 1288 Easton Rd., Sugar Hill NH: a private home abutting the above mentioned parcel (41 Dyke Rd LLC). Owners: Edward Cenerizio and Deborah Corey.

Negative impacts to the properties mentioned above include:

- Reduction of property values.
- Restricted use of property (hiking, hunting, firearms use, other family/recreational activities) at and immediately adjacent to expansion site during installation and maintenance.
- Adverse impact to wildlife at and directly adjacent to proposed expansion site.
- Potential environmental impact resulting from fluid leaks of heavy equipment during construction and maintenance of expansion site.
- Construction noise during installation and maintenance of proposed expansion.
- Additional tree removal to expand present clear-cut foot print of easement to accommodate expansion.
- Expanded use of easement not originally intended or agreed upon by owners.
- Practically could be considered a precedent for additional unintended and or unwanted use of easement on private land.

We believe that the easement holder on our private property should not have carte blanche to transport a commodity from a private, foreign, third party for profit. This practice opens up unrestricted use of this easement corridor. Our government should protect us from such unwanted activity on our own land.

Respectfully,

Timothy and Rebecca Burbank
Edward Cenerizio and Deborah Corey
Matthew Steele

cc: SEC distribution list as of Feb. 4, 2016 for Docket No. 2015-06. Copies sent by email.