

Re: Request for Review of Out of Time Petition to Intervene

New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee

Docket No. 2015-06 Northern Pass

3/25/16

Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator  
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee  
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10  
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Dear Ms. Monroe,

I respectfully request to intervene in the SEC's proceedings under Docket No. 2015 -06 relating to the proposed Northern Pass transmission line. Per the SEC's regulations, I am directing this electronic request to you, with copies to the SEC's distribution list for this proceeding as noted in the "cc" below.

I am filing this petition to intervene after the February 5, 2016 deadline and requesting your review at this time because the Applicants did not indicate that they would file their former preferred overhead project, which crosses my/our property, as the Alternate Route until February 26, 2016.

Attachment 1 to "Application Updates re: New Rules," filed with the SEC on February 26, 2016, is titled "NPT Project Maps – Alternate Route. Preliminary Design. February 2016. Additional Information." It is posted at Tab 261 on the SEC website page for the Northern Pass docket. Attachment 1 contains an updated map (dated February 2016) of the Alternate Route over my property.

The Applicants state that they are submitting these updated, February 2016 maps as additional information on "the one alternate route that [they] considered technically available, although not preferred, but that is in reality not a viable alternative" (Cover letter, February 26, 2016, also at Tab 261). Given the ambiguity of this statement and lacking a legal guarantee that this route, which was the preferred route for five years, will not be revived as the preferred route at some point in this proceeding, I am petitioning to intervene in order to protect my affected property interests, as is my statutory right.

My particular rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests that may be adversely affected by the Alternate Route relate to the property that I own at 113 Sargent Rd., Holderness, NH 03245.

I am an abutter to the proposed overhead project on the Alternate Route. The Eversource/PSNH ROW crosses my property. Despite EverSource's claim that my property value would not be affected (or minimally affected), it will be and significantly. I bought 5 wooded acres 30 years

ago. I cleared the lot so that I would not see the existing power line. My brothers and I built my house. I put all of my available equity into the house and financed the rest. I now own the property outright and I am counting on it and its resale value to sustain me for the rest of my life. That will be jeopardized if Northern Pass is built on the Alternate Route. I spend many evenings on my deck. Today, the view from my deck includes woods and Tenney Mountain in the distance. I do not see any power lines. If Northern Pass is built on the Alternate Route, my view would include a 100+ foot support structure located on my property (in the ROW). This is totally unacceptable.

My property interests are unique and substantial. To combine my interests with those of others would limit my procedural rights and would hinder my ability to protect my property effectively, as is my statutory right.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Gerald R. Beck  
113 Sargent Rd.  
Holderness, NH 03245

Cc: SEC distribution list for Docket No. 2015-06 as of March 25, 2016