

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE**

Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility for the Construction of a New High Voltage Transmission Line in New Hampshire

Docket No. 2015-06

**MUNICIPAL GROUPS 1 SOUTH, 2, 3 NORTH AND 3 SOUTH'S
NOTICE OF CROSS-EXAMINATION (ROUND 1)**

Municipal Intervenor Groups 1 South, 2, 3 South and 3 North (collectively “the Referenced Municipal Groups”)¹ hereby submit the following list notifying the Subcommittee of the Site Evaluation Committee its notice of cross-examination for Round 1 in accordance with the Order dated September 12, 2017 (“Order”). By submitting this list, the Referenced Municipal Groups do not waive any procedural due process arguments, the right to seek a rehearing and/or to otherwise seek review of the Order in accordance with the law. The Referenced Municipal Groups further do not waive the right to add and/or or remove the areas of questions referenced in the foregoing list to the extent there are developments in the record as of the date of the filing of this notice and/or it is determined during preparation or the examination of witnesses that additional areas of questions are necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts.

¹ The Referenced Municipal Groups are filing this notice jointly for convenience purposes only, and understand from the pre-hearing conference that members of a municipal group will not be permitted to ask questions of the municipalities within the same municipal group. Accordingly, any questions of a municipal group listed herein will be asked by a member of a different municipal group. The Towns of Canterbury, Bethlehem and Holderness did not reserve time to conduct cross-examination, and therefore it is unknown whether they intend to conduct cross-examination of the witnesses listed in this notice and/or the scope of such questions.

WITNESS PANEL	ADVERSE/ NON-ADVERSE	AREA OF QUESTIONS AND OFFER OF PROOF
MUNICIPAL GROUP 1 -NORTH		
<u>TBD</u>	Non-Adverse	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Transition Station – Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses, relative to the location and construction of transition station.</p> <p>Construction Disruption - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the towns about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns</p>

		<p>including scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, property issues and discussion of new utility corridor.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits: Questions about trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses and other witnesses relative to local economic benefits (including potential direct jobs) in region.</p> <p>Historic Resources - Questions about concerns raised by municipalities in this group and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses), and public comments relative to historic resources, including but not limited to potential underground graves in road.</p> <p>Views of municipalities in this group regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 1 -SOUTH		
<p><u>Bethlehem</u> Cassandra Laleme Cheryl K. Jensen</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Transition Station – Questions about current status of the location of the transition station in Bethlehem, as well as questions about trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses relative to the transition station.</p> <p>Construction Disruption - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017</p>

		<p>and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits - Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property values witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources –Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses), relative to historic resources.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Northumberland</u> Edwin Mellett</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design/Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property issues) – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural</p>

		<p>landscape, general community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands) – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Whitefield</u> Wendy Hersom Lombard Lise Moran</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements,</p>

		<p>ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to visual impact concerns about scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits - Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property values witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources - Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses), relative to historic resources.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
--	--	--

<p><u>Easton</u> Robert Thibault Jim Collier Kris Pastoriza</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development and construction witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands) - Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by</i></p>
---	--------------------	---

		<p><i>applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Franconia</u> Eric Meth</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruption : Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses) relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony;</i></p>

		<p><i>address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Sugar Hill</u> Margaret Connors</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the</i></p>

		<i>filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i>
<u>Plymouth</u> Sharon Penney	Non-Adverse	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design - this will include a response to supplemental testimony submitted in April 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and</i></p>

		<i>exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 3-NORTH		
<u>New Hampton</u> Barbara Lucas Daniel Moore Kenneth Kettenring Neil Irvin	Non-Adverse	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether MOU's address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans–Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations and master plans, and whether MOU's address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design/Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues): Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality witnesses about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, general community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, scenic easement, and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands): Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the</p>

		<p>environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits – Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property value witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources – Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses) relative to historic resources.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Bristol</u> Nicholas Coates</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruption – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, local economic benefits, tourism, property value and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of</p>

		<p>Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design/Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues) – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, aesthetics, property values, tourism and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
MUNICIPAL GROUP 3-SOUTH		
<p><u>Pembroke</u> Ammy Heiser David M. Jodoin Stephanie Verdile</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly</p>

		<p>development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether MOU's address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations and master plans, and whether MOU's address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues): Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality witnesses about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, general community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands): Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits – Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property value witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources – Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses) relative to historic resources.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p><u>Deerfield</u> Andrew Robertson Kate Hartnett</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads, and general construction disruptions, and whether MOU’s address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans– Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations and master plans, and whether MOU’s address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues): Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the municipality witnesses</p>

		<p>about the proposed construction design and visual and audio impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, general community attractiveness, consistency with master plan, and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands): Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits – Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property value witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources – Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses) relative to historic resources.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
--	--	---

<p><u>Concord</u> Beth Fenstermacher</p> <p>Edward Roberge</p> <p>Heather Shank</p> <p>Gail Matson and Candace Bouchard</p> <p>Jan McClure and Kristine Tardiff</p> <p>Rick Van De Poll</p> <p>Peter Scott</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Topics include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions.</p> <p>Public Outreach and Communications By Applicants to Date: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to public outreach and communications and response to redirect exhibits submitted by Northern Pass.</p> <p>Main Street Construction Challenges– Questions about testimony by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), during hearing that this project is analogous to Main Street Construction, and overview of public relations and public hearings performed by the City of Concord to avoid disruptions.</p> <p>Raised by Local Residents –Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by property owners and residents about to the proposed project.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations and Master Plans, including updates to Open Space Master Plan – Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development, aesthetics and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations and master plans.</p>
---	--------------------	---

		<p>Construction Design /Visual Impact (Scenic Resources, Maintaining Rural Landscape, General Community Attractiveness and Specific/General Property Issues): Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction aesthetics, property values and orderly development witnesses), relative to concerns raised by the City of Concord witnesses about the proposed construction design and visual impact concerns (scenic resources, rural landscape, general community attractiveness and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands, Karner Blue Butterfly, Other Natural Resources): Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Local Economic Benefits – Property Taxes and Property Values: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes and property value witnesses), relative to property values and property taxes.</p> <p>Historic Resources – Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, historic and orderly development witnesses) relative to historic resources.</p> <p>60 Regional Drive – Mitigation Parcel: Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, property taxes, orderly development and environmental witnesses), relative to the use of 60 Regional Drive as a mitigation parcel.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in</i></p>
--	--	---

		<i>intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i>
JOINT MUNICIPAL GROUPS		
George E. Sansoucy	N/A	N/A (Municipal Witness)
GRAFTON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS		
Linda Lauer	Non-Adverse	<p>Topics Include:</p> <p>Construction Disruptions - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction local economic benefits, tourism, and orderly development witnesses), relative to the use of access roads and general construction disruptions, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Local Permit Requirements and Applicable Ordinance, Regulations, and Master Plan - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, orderly development and construction witnesses) relative to concerns about compliance with local permit requirements, ordinances, regulations, and master plans, and whether proposed MOUs could address and satisfy those concerns.</p> <p>Construction Design - Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and</p>

		<p>other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses) relative to concerns raised by the municipality about the proposed construction design (consistency with master plan and specific/general property issues).</p> <p>Natural Resource Impacts (Wetlands) - Questions about the supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony raised by Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, environmental, construction and orderly development witnesses), about the environmental impacts of the proposed project.</p> <p>Views of the municipality regarding the project to date.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to further examine and/or clarify information provided in intervenor pre-filed testimony; respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants and counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony; address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony; and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
SOCIETY FOR THE PROTECTION OF NH FORESTS		
Jane Difley Will Abbott	Non-Adverse	<p>Public Outreach and Communications: Questions about concerns raised by this municipality and other intervenors, as well as supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses (<i>i.e.</i>, construction and orderly development witnesses), relative to public outreach and communications and response to redirect exhibits submitted by Northern Pass, as well as discussions by SPNHF with public.</p> <p>Conserved Lands – Questions about the permanently conserved lands held by SPNHF and other conserved lands that would experience adverse impacts, including</p>

		<p>on natural resources and aesthetics.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p>Donald Bilodeau Diane Bilodeau Dawn Bilodeau Scribner Dana Bilodeau</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Questions about visual impact concerns and aesthetics.</p> <p>Questions about donation of a conservation easement to the Forest Society for the purpose of trying to prevent the Northern Pass.</p> <p>Questions about impacts to use and enjoyment of property and economic impacts to property owners, including seven acres of Christmas trees.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i></p>
<p>Raymond Lobdell</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Natural Resources: Questions about the proposed 192-mile transmission line project with respect to wetlands and associated environmental impacts.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced</i></p>

		<i>during trial during cross-examinations and redirect examinations.</i>
Harry Dodson	Non-Adverse	Visual Impacts: Questions about analysis of aesthetic impacts, including discussion of supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses.
Dean Wilber Kelly O'Brien Normandeau Lore Moran Dodge and Lisa Moran John Conklin	Non-Adverse	<p>Construction Disruptions – Questions about economic, environmental and construction impacts on maple operations and potential water contamination. Operation Disruptions: Economic, environmental and construction impacts on equestrian business, property value, aesthetics, health and safety, water contamination, wildlife, complete burial</p> <p>Construction Design: Questions about loss of enjoyment, aesthetics and property value.</p> <p>Property Values and Property Taxes: Questions about loss of enjoyment and visual impact</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
APPALACHIAN MOUNTAIN CLUB, CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION, AND AMMONOOSUC CONSERVATION TRUST (NGOS)		
Dr. David Publicover	Non-Adverse	Questions about the impacts of the proposed new right-of-way corridor in Coos County to rare natural communities, rare plants and habitat fragmentation.

<p>Chris Thayer</p> <p>Dr. Kenneth Kimball Larry Garland</p>		<p>Questions about outdoor-oriented visitor expectations of New Hampshire’s landscape and scenic resources relative to the impacts of the proposed Northern Pass Transmission project.</p> <p>Questions about the analysis of the visual assessment (aesthetics) conducted by Northern Pass and supplements to the analysis Harry Dodson, as well as concerned about impacts open spaces whether best practical measures to minimize project impacts are being applied.</p> <p>Questions about their review of public comments received by the NH Site Evaluation Committee Public Comments.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
NEPGA		
<p>William S. Fowler</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Economic Benefits – Questions about the projected capacity and market savings described in the public testimony of Julia Frayer and the report issued by London Economics International related to the construction of the Northern Pass Transmission Line, including but not limited to supplemental testimony submitted on April 17, 2017 and/or trial testimony of Northern Pass witnesses.</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>

Respectfully submitted,

By and through its attorneys,

CITY OF CONCORD

Dated: October 2, 2017

By: /s/ Danielle L. Pacik
Danielle L. Pacik, Esq., Bar #14924
Deputy City Solicitor
41 Green Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Telephone: (603) 225-8505
dpacik@concordnh.gov

TOWNS OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, TOWN OF LITTLETON, DEERFIELD, PEMBROKE, and
ASHLAND WATER & SEWER DEPARTMENT

MITCHELL MUNICIPAL GROUP, P.A.

Dated: October 2, 2017

By: /s/ Steven Whitley
Steven M. Whitley, Esq., Bar #17833
25 Beacon Street East
Laconia, New Hampshire 03246
Telephone: (603) 524-3885
steven@mitchellmunigroup.com

TOWNS OF BRISTOL, EASTON, FRANCONIA, NORTHUMBERLAND,
PLYMOUTH, SUGAR HILL and WHITEFIELD

By and through their attorneys,

GARDNER, FULTON & WAUGH, PLLC

Dated: October 2, 2017

By: /s/ C. Christine Fillmore
C. Christine Fillmore, Esq., Bar #13851
Gardner, Fulton & Waugh, PLLC
78 Bank Street
Lebanon, NH 03766-1727
Tel. (603) 448-2221
Fax (603) 448-5949
cfillmore@townandcitylaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named on the Service List of this docket.

Dated: October 2, 2017

By: /s/ Danielle Pacik
Danielle L. Pacik, Esq., Bar #14924