

**STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE**

Docket No. 2015-06

**Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission LLC
and Public Service Company of New Hampshire
d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility**

October 9, 2017

ORDER ON MOTION TO CLARIFY

This Order grants the Motion to Clarify the Showing of the Intervenor's Group Video filed by the Combined Interveners Pittsburg, Clarksville, and Stewartstown, and Group I North (Interveners).

I. Background

In November 2016, the Interveners filed direct testimony in this docket and also submitted a video that contained oral testimony. On March 29, 2017, the Applicant filed a Motion to Strike requesting, in part, to strike the video. On April 24, 2017, an order was issued denying the Applicant's Motion.

On August 11, 2017, the Interveners filed a Motion to Clarify the Showing of the Video and revised and supplemented the Motion on August 21, 2017. The Applicant objected.

II. Analysis and Findings

The Interveners assert that the Applicant requested that any witnesses testifying on the video be present and available for cross-examination at the time of the showing of the video. The Interveners further state that the Interveners and the Applicant agreed to coordinate with each other and will attempt to select a hearing date for the video and cross-examination of the witnesses testifying on the video. The Interveners further agreed that the following witnesses will be available for cross-examination following the video: (i) Bradley Thompson; (ii) John and Cindy Lou Amey; (iii) Donald and Diane Bilodeau; (iv) Jason Balint; (v) Bette Guerin; (vi) John

Harrigan; (vii) E. Martin Kaufman; and (viii) Rod McAllaster. The Intervenors further advised the Subcommittee, however, that the Applicant continues to object to the actual showing of the video during the adjudicative hearing.

The Applicant acknowledges that its motion to strike the video was denied, but argues that the video should not be shown during the adjudicative hearings. The Applicant argues that, similar to pre-filed testimony, to promote timely and orderly conduct of these proceedings, the Subcommittee should independently review the video and limit the Intervenors' testimony during adjudicatory hearings to cross-examination.

The Intervenor's Motion for Clarification does not contain a request for relief. To the extent the Motion requests clarification of prior Orders and/or to approve the agreement between the Intervenor and the Applicant, the Motion is granted. Viewing the video prior to the Intervenors' cross-examination will assist the Subcommittee with understanding the nature, extent, and subject matter of cross-examination as it relates to the video. The video shall be presented to the Subcommittee in public in the hearing room immediately prior to the cross-examination of the Intervenors that participated in the video.

The Intervenors and the Applicant shall mutually select and agree upon the date to make the witnesses identified in the motion available for cross-examination by the Applicant and notify the Administrator of the date. The Intervenors shall be responsible for all of the technical equipment and set-up needed to present the video.

SO ORDERED this ninth day of October, 2017.



Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Officer
Site Evaluation Committee