

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE  
Docket No. 2015-06**

Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC and Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate of Site and Facility for the Construction of a New High Voltage Transmission Line in New Hampshire

**DEERFIELD ABUTTERS' SECOND NOTICE OF CROSS-EXAMINATION**

DEERFIELD ABUTTERS, Intervenors, hereby submit the following Second Notice of Cross-examination to the Site Evaluation Committee (“SEC”) in accordance with the Order dated September 12, 2017 (“Order”).

By submitting this Second Notice, the Deerfield Abutters do not waive any procedural due process arguments, the right to seek a rehearing and/or to otherwise seek review of the Order in accordance with the law. The Deerfield Abutters further do not waive the right to add and/or or remove the areas of questions referenced in the foregoing list to the extent there are developments in the record as of the date of the filing of this Second Notice and/or it is determined during preparation or the examination of witnesses that additional areas of questions are necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts.

WITNESS PANEL	ADVERSE/ NON- ADVERSE	AREA OF QUESTIONS AND OFFER OF PROOF
<b>CLARKSVILLE AND STEWARTSTOWN - ABUTTING AND NON ABUTTING (COMBINED GROUPS OF INTERVENORS)</b>		
<b>Panel for Video</b> Bradley J. Thompson Daryl Thompson E. Martin Kaufman Lori and Jon Levesque Roderick McAllaster Sally Zankowski Peter Perkins	Non-Adverse	Visual impacts, property values, public health & safety, orderly development <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>

Bradley J. Thompson	Non-Adverse	<p>Groundwater, property rights, underground construction, health &amp; safety, orderly development</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
John Petrofsky	Non-Adverse	<p>Cultural landscapes</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
Stephen T. Nix	Non-Adverse	<p>Property rights and value</p> <p><i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>

<p>Roderick Moore David Schrier Joshua Olson Rodrigue Beland</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Property rights and value, environmental impacts, aesthetics, easements, tourism, distributed energy resources <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
<p><b>DUMMER, STARK, AND NORTHUMBERLAND - ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS</b></p>		
<p>Karen Johnson-Spencer</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Economic impacts <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>
<p>Eric and Margaret Jones Susan Percy</p>	<p>Non-Adverse</p>	<p>Property values, historic resources, environmental impacts <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i></p>

<b>WHITEFIELD, DALTON, AND BETHLEHEM - ABUTTING PROPERTY INTERVENORS</b>		
Peter Powell	Non-Adverse	Real estate markets and property values, Chalmers rebuttal testimony <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
Bruce and Sondra Brekke David Van Houten James and Judy Ramsdell Tim and Brigitte White Elmer and Claire Lupton	Non-Adverse	Local economic impacts, property value <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
<b>BETHLEHEM TO PLYMOUTH - ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS (UNDERGROUND PORTION)</b>		
<b>Video</b> Eric and Barbara Meyer Robert Thibault Russell and Lydia Cumbee Walter Palmer Kathryn Ting Carl and Barbara Lakes Bruce Ahern Peter and Mary Grote	Non-Adverse	Property rights, aesthetics, orderly development, public health & safety, water quality <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>

Peter Grote	Non-Adverse	Property values <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
Bruce Ahern	Non-Adverse	Property values <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
Campbell McLaren	Non-Adverse	Property values <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>

Carl Lakes	Non-Adverse	Ethics, environmental impacts <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
<b>ASHLAND, NORTHFIELD, CANTERBURY, ALLENSTOWN, AND CONCORD - ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS</b>		
Mary Lee	Non-Adverse	Property values <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
Michelle Kleindienst	Non-Adverse	Property values <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
<b>DEERFIELD - ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS</b>		
D. Scott Newman	Non-Adverse	Not Applicable


<p><b>Joint Testimony of Deerfield Abutters</b>  Erick and Kathleen Berglund  Jeanne Menard  Jo Anne Bradbury  Roberto Cote  Bruce Adami</p>	Non-Adverse	Not Applicable
<b>PHILIP H. BILODEAU AND JOAN C. BILODEAU</b>		
Philip H. Bilodeau and Joan C. Bilodeau	Non-Adverse	Property values, orderly development <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
<b>STARK, LANCASTER, WHITEFIELD, DALTON, AND BETHLEHEM - NON-ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS</b>		
Rebecca Weeks Sherrill More	Non-Adverse	Visual impacts, property values, historic resources <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>

<b>ASHLAND TO DEERFIELD - NON-ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS</b>		
F. Maureen Quinn Madelyn and Thomas Foulkes The Webster Family Group Elisha Gray Jeanne Menard	Non-Adverse	Construction disruption impacts, operation disruption impacts, construction design impacts, public health & safety, wildlife, alternatives, community impacts, aesthetic impacts / visual impacts, historic resources impacts, natural resource impacts, orderly development, property values, environmental impacts  <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>
<b>HISTORIC ORGANIZATIONS</b>		
Carl Martland	Non-Adverse	DOE Environmental Impact Statement, property values  <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>



PEMIGEWASSET RIVER LOCAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE		
Barry Draper Gretchen Draper Max Stamp	Non-Adverse	Natural resources impacts, visual impacts, regulatory decisions <i>The examination is necessary to a full and true disclosure of the facts because it will provide an opportunity to respond to relevant issues that were raised by applicants, counsel for the public in their supplemental pre-filed testimony, address information about the proposed project that has been submitted since the filing of the pre-filed and supplemental testimony and respond to relevant facts and exhibits introduced during trial during cross-examinations and re-direct examinations.</i>

Respectfully submitted,




Jeanne Menard on behalf of the Deerfield Abutters

October 13, 2017

Date

I hereby certify that on this date, a copy of the foregoing was sent by electronic mail to persons named on the Service List of this docket.



Jeanne Menard, on behalf of the Deerfield Abutters

October 13, 2017

Date