

From: Mark Orzeck [<mailto:Mark.Orzeck@htproducts.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:42 PM
To: Monroe, Pamela
Subject: Northern Pass Docket Comment

Pamela, please post my comment below.

I'm curious why the SEC hasn't determined the application as incomplete yet, since NP does not have 100% legal control over the whole route. Also, there are missing abutter signatures for the alteration of terrain and wetlands permits as the letter from the DES has pointed out. If you do not deem this incomplete, then you will move forward to the adjudicative process using the "old" rules? Is this what the SEC wants all along, because I'm sure that's what NP is lobbying for.

Northern Pass has always been a private merchant project. Do not grant them Public Utility status, so they can then use eminent domain to ram this antiquated design down the throats of all NH citizens that will be negatively affected.

As a side-note, the competing NECPL had a grand total of SEVENTEEN comments. They are already approved and well on their way to providing the southern New England states with power in a RESPONSIBLE manner. Effectively giving NP an even smaller ROI.

Mark Orzeck

Westport, MA and Stark, NH