From: Anita craven [mailto:acraven@together.net]

Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2016 3:02 PM

To: Monroe, Pamela

Subject: RSA 162-H:10, 1-c For an Interstate benefit use Interstate highways

To: Pamela G. Monroe, Administrator NH Site Evaluation Committee 21 South Fruit St., Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429

Re: RSA 162-H:10, 1-c

I respectfully submit these comments for consideration by the NH Site Evaluation Committee.

Northern Pass (NP) claims that its primary benefit is its "<u>regional</u> benefit." NH should require that interstate highways should be used to support interstate projects.

Burying the lines along interstate highways will be the least disruptive, most cost predictable, and most equitable.

The number of jobs that the project creates won't be affected.

The SEC should ask:

- Who will be the primary benefactor for the power? <u>The region</u> (meaning Massachusetts, Connecticut and beyond.)
- Cost is not only monetary. Who should pay for the project in terms of disruption? <u>The region</u> (meaning all travelers on the interstates including from Massachusetts,
 Connecticut and beyond. <u>New Hampshire villages and small towns should not carry the burden</u>);
- What route will cause the least environmental damage? Burial along the Interstate Highway.

Nationally the influence of large corporate money and PAC's are causing tremendous turmoil. The NH SEC should stay above this type of influence. It should <u>not</u> be swayed by the dispense or promise of corporate money that has already occurred with this project.

The SEC should require that NP transmission lines be buried along the interstate highways. **Interstate highways should be used to support interstate projects. It is common sense!**

Thank you for making my comments known to the NH Site Evaluation Committee.

Anita Craven 777 Paine Rd Easton, NH 03580