

From: wendy doran [<mailto:whdflipper@icloud.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 8:24 AM

To: Monroe, Pamela

Cc: Carmody, Jody

Subject: intervenor Email correction

Dear Ms Monroe, as you are probably aware I am one of the intervenors from Whitefield NH considered a non-abutter. I have these requests regarding the impact the towers will have on my property. I want the SEC to provide visual impacts seen from historic and scenic Route 3 between Colby road and downtown Whitefield. The NPT has not shown adequate views from this Route 3 stretch. Secondly, I want included in this impact the number of towers, the heights of both rows, the distance between towers and rows and have this completed before leaves are in full bloom. My last question, how can the State of NH ignore the fact that my farm is considered a historic landmark and allow NPT to build within the view of this property? When the cell tower was proposed in Whitefield near the Mountain View Hotel, my husband and I were contacted by the State's Historic Landmark committee because our farm is considered a historic property. Our view of the cell tower was considered to be a negative factor hence we were involved in the decision making process for 18 months. Obviously the difference between visual impact from a cell tower and numerous high tension towers is significant. The state has already declared our property and views historic. It goes without saying Route 3 from Carroll to Whitefield has some of the most beautiful views in NH My property included. Please submit my requests to the SEC committee. I will be looking forward to a prompt response.

Thank you,

Wendy Doran 91 Twin Mountain Rd. Whitefield NH

Whdflipper@yahoo.com