

Karen J J Spencer



161 Sullivan Road, Stark, NH 03582-6451
603-449-2337
kkspencerbwi161@gmail.com

June 4, 2016

Governor Hassan
Office of the Governor
State House
107 North Main Street
Concord, NH 03301

NHPUC JUN08'16 AM10:47

Dear Governor Hassan:

This is my second contact to your office, Governor Hassan, my first was an email sent on the New Hampshire Governor Website, but there still has been no response to that.

I am sure, you are up to date on the public meetings for the PUC and the SEC. But I am not so sure you are aware of the true battle us 'EASEMENT PROPERTY OWENER'S', we are addressed as "Abutters", which seems rather a play on words, as I have witness over and over again, at these public meetings, but back to my concerns, on February 19, 2016, by husband Kevin and his business partner, Marc Lagasse, of LAGASPENCE REALTY, LLC., with the representation of our Attorney, Mr. Cunningham, attended the PUC meeting. There we presented our Motion on Docket 15-464. To make a long Motion, shorter, "Interveners move the Commission for an Order dismissing the PSNH Petition because a court of competent jurisdiction must first adjudicate the parties respective rights". The Public Service Company of NH, d/b/a Eversource Energy's, Senior Counsel, Matthew J. Fossum, filed his objection, on February 19th, his objection of the PUC on our February 10th reply, to dismiss us. First, "As an initial matter, the Motion is procedurally improper....." In that the Movants have sought to intervene to raise arguments about the rights relating to the easements underlying the petition, a matter beyond the Commission's jurisdiction....." This is a six (6) page objection, sent to Debra Howland, Executive Director, New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, which ends:

"WHEREFORE, PSNH respectfully requests that the Commission:

- (1) Deny the Movant's reply;
- (2) Deny the motion to dismiss this proceeding;
- (3) Issue an order appropriately limiting the scope of the docket and the scope of the Movant's intervention, if granted; and
- (4) Order such further relief as may be just and equitable.

The same goes for our Order to Intervene for the Site Evaluation Committee, Docket No. 2015-06. But now we are being addressed here as "Abutters". Here we are talked to, by Mr. Honigberg, in a very abusive, irritability, impatience, arrogant, shall I go on, it is unacceptable.

Eversource (PSNH), over the course of this, has not notified the seven hundred (700) landowners, especially, the landowners of the OLD PSNH Easements, of their intention to place 140-foot towers scarring our countryside to carry cheap power to southern New England. Not until the February 19th meeting in Concord, did us, as EASEMENT PROPERTY OWNERS, **come with our fight, that you cannot lease property you do not own.** There is no proof that the so called \$200 million for the North Country, will come. There is no need for this energy. Coo's County has new wind power in Dummer and in Berlin, which is supplying CLEAN energy to the Grid. Talk about commerce coming to local Contractors, what for two (2) years, at the most, then what after the mass of these giant towers scarring the complete landscape of our Great Northern Wood. Property value has already started to be effective by



this Northern Pass Project. Coos County, which depends on Tourism, these towers will affect our View Shed and Scenery, Land Use, have an impact on Recreation, Historic, Cultural Issue, Wet Lands, Wild Life, Water Shed.

With all this said and done, we the Property Owners have to bare the burden of our property rights, NOT Eversource (PSNH), to prove they have the exclusive rights to use and build these towers. These PSNH Easements were granted to PSNH to bring energy to farmers and industries back in the 1940's. The Northern Pass is a transmission only electric project with no distribution capability, not subject to ISO-NE dispatch, and not necessary to bring electricity to New Hampshire homes, farms and business.

Meeting after meeting, we hear how the Applicant (Eversource/PSNH) repeatedly misleads the SEC during questioning, while the intervenors, who could answer the SEC's questions, are cowed into silence by Mr. Honigberg's rudeness. This last meeting in Whitefield, on May 19th, having the time extended to September 2017, giving us, the intervenors more time to finish and file the required documents. You do know that the Applicant, (Eversource/PSNH) did not gather their data in six (6) months, they announced their project in Easton in 2010, and had been working on the plan before then. It took NPT more than five (5) years. Yet, with all this time, we the Easement Property Owners were not notified of their intent to build these towers, except at beginning of the Town Meetings, and with the information sent to us via email and/or mail from NO TO NORTHERN PASS organization, New Hampshire Sierra Club, Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, we would not have been informed of the on-going effort to build these towers.

I understand that you have stated your concerns for the "Good of the Public". There is nothing, what so ever, that this NPT project is for the "Good of the Public"

Yours very truly,



Karen J J Spencer

CC: Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests
Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy, Washington, DC
Public Utilities Commission
New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee
Attorney Arthur B Cunningham
Kris Pastoriza
Nancy West, INDEPTNH.ORG

