

From: Kris pastoriza [<mailto:krispastoriza@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2017 8:18 AM

To: Esterberg, Melodie; Suther, Lennart; Beaulieu, Philip; Smith, Gregory; Walter Palmer and Kathryn Ting; Roth, Peter; Bruce Ahern; Campbell McLaren; Lara Saffo; Pamela Martin; carllakes@yahoo.com; Michael Conklin; Edward Cutler; Sharon Penney; Deb Stever Selectboard; Schibanoff, Susan; Will Abbott; Mei, Zak; Monroe, Pamela; Brenda Shannon Adam; Bradley J. and Daryl D. Thompson; Kevin O'Brien; Russell and Lydia Cumbee; Peter and Mary Grote; John Petrofsky; higherground@wildblue.net; Cheryl Jensen; Nigel Manley and Judy Ratzel; John W Farrell; David Lavallee; Eric Meth; Eric and Barbara Meyer; Nancy West; Nancy Martland; Margaret Connors; camptonhistorical@gmail.com; Sandra Merrigan

Subject: On the ground evidence of easement widths and comment for SEC site (with map.)

Attached is a map showing documentation of on-the-ground indicators of road easement width in center Easton.

Excuse the amateur nature of the document. I do not have the resources or expertise in GIS programming to create a professional map. I trust this contains the details, however. Locations of features are approximate and I provide no scale, but the numbers are accurate.

Paine Road is included because as I read it the 1804 layout of this road, from Franconia to Landaff line (no width given), went along most of present Paine Road and continued south onto what is now Route 116 to Whitcomb's, opposite Gingerbread Village Road (approx.) Therefore the width of Paine Road indicates the width of 116 from its southern junction with Paine Rd. south to the point mentioned above, which is part of the proposed burial route.

It appears that expansion of the pavement width has occurred without knowledge of the road width, therefore the prescriptive easement of 10'-15' beyond the present pavement width may be considered a taking, in some locations. For example, the dirt portion of Paine Rd. is approx. 16' wide where the stone wall to stone wall width of the road is approx. 34', whereas the paved portion of 116 south of Paine Rd. is generally 24' wide.

I urge DOT to require the applicant to provide road easement deeds and on-the-ground research for the whole proposed burial route. NPT proposed burial, and has already provided masses of data where it was required or suited their purposes. NPT should be required by DOT to meet the standards demanded of any private project siting itself in relation to a road. I don't think a Walmart would be exempt from providing DOT proof of easement width where their project abutted a road.

Mr. Hodgdon isn't really concerned with the difficulty of the research, but rather with the information on easement widths that that research would reveal.

Kris

