

**From:** M and L V [<mailto:mikeylynda@msn.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 9:24 PM  
**To:** Schuetz, Marissa  
**Subject:** Northern Pass statement of opposition

Hello Marissa,

I would like the following to be read at one of the upcoming public input meetings in June. My public speaking skills are miserable and we are not likely going to be available to attend on those dates.

To whom it concerns from Mike & Lynda Vogel residing in Wolfeboro, NH,  
We emphatically oppose the unnecessary and weak proposal for the construction of The Northern Pass Transmission Line as submitted for several reasons:

1. We have seen several written articles stating that the small amount of power promised for NH is not needed now or in the near future.
2. Since this is not a public utility that they propose to build, that will bring them unknown large profits for the lifetime of the system, they or the benefactors should pay for it in a matter that appeases the owners of the land it will cross.
3. The proposal has many unknowns that have been omitted such as river crossing environmental impacts, amounts and heights of towers, impact on local businesses and residences, etc. As a former Contractor's Representative for the National Park Service, I shudder to think how the actual work and ugly scarring will be left behind since they have such a loose proposal.
4. I followed and commented on their Facebook page until they erased and blocked me when I referred folks to factual articles about this project. I was blocked after asking this question: Why is it your project is not cost effective to bury the entire length when two of your competitors are proposing to in Vermont and Maine?
5. The representatives from the company have not been truthful about who is paying for the project nor were they truthful in the manner they acquired several tracts of land. So why should we believe their project will benefit anyone beside themselves or be built in the least obtrusive way? Are we supposed to just believe them when they say total burial is not cost effective?
6. Why aren't they proposing upgrading lines on existing poles as another of their competitors is?
7. I found the section in their proposal where they basically threatened to sue NH if we don't allow them to build this very disturbing.
8. Please consider all of the negatives, ignore any political pushing from folks like our governor, and deny this atrocious project that will leave a huge visual scar across our landscape for their profits and only losses for NH.

Thank you for your consideration.