

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Sherry Godlewski
CommenterCity	Deerfield
DateReceived	08-16-2017

CommenterWords

Dear SEC: I m writing in opposition to Northern Pass. New Hampshire doesn't need this energy. FACT: 40% of the energy generated in NH is exported (source: former Office of Energy and Planning).

There has been no determination of need for this project - no one has said we need more power in NH.

Tourism is the second-largest industry in our state, generating more than \$5 billion of economic activity per year in the state. In 2014, it the tourism industry supported 68,000 jobs in New Hampshire. This will have a huge impact on the scenic views and tourism in NH. NH won't be this special, gorgeous place anymore; less people will flock here... less dollars in our economy. Tourism is one of the cornerstones of the state's economy and of the state's tax base.

There are other transmission lines/pathways they could use.

Hydropower (from Canada) is not clean power. They have mutilated landscapes in Canada to create these hydro dams and displaced indigenous people. Methane builds up behind these dams. Methane is a very powerful greenhouse gas... creating more climate change. There is no guarantee that Northern Pass would reduce carbon; Eversource (the parent company) still runs coal fired power plants in our state.

Chambers of commerce along the proposed route have opposed Northern Pass, having concluded that it will harm local tourism, real estate and local energy economies. Alternatives, including burial along transportation corridors, would likely create as many or more construction jobs.

Please listen to the facts and the people of New Hampshire. Do not allow Northern Pass to be something you will have as a legacy of YOUR position on the SEC.

Sincerely,
Sherry Godlewski
Deerfield NH