

From: Kris pastoriza <krispastoriza@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, August 27, 2017 4:54 PM

To: Boisvert, Richard A; St.Louis, Christina; Miller, Nadine; Edna Feighner

Cc: Mark Orzeck; cowasuck@tds.net; Doug Evelyn; Betsy Merritt; Jennifer Goodman; John Petrofsky; Carl D. Martland; Nancy Martland; Rebecca Harris; Rebecca Brown; Schibanoff, Susan; Rebecca More; Linda Upham-Bornstein; Will Abbott; Susan Arnold; Pamela Martin; Bob Thibault; Charlene Vaughn; Susan E Percy; Nancy West

Subject: PA

Dear Richard/DHR,

I ask you again not to sign the PA. Refusal to sign appears to be the only power DHR has. To sign is to condone how the Section 106 has been handled and to accept that minimization and mitigation are meaningful responses to Northern Pass.

The Section 106 was conducted with stonewalling, intimidation and lack of responsiveness to Consulting Parties. It was clear that consultation is merely a formality for DOE. Brian and Caitlin did a masterful job of avoiding all meaningful dialogue with us. From the start, with the 1 mile APE, to the present, the Section 106 has failed the resources at every turn. Even the acknowledgment of Cultural Landscapes is bittersweet, inasmuch as it appears to mean only that, since they are so large, several kiosks describing the former landscapes would have to be erected as "mitigation" for damage done to them.

"...DOE shall encourage NPT to make every effort to avoid adverse effects when implementing the Northern Pass project."

A captured agency that has never denied a Presidential Permit shall encourage an amoral corporation (which has made clear "it" cares nothing about adverse effects), to avoid adverse effects?

"B. If Native American archaeological sites where human remains and associated funerary objects are reasonably expected to be located are identified during Phase I archaeological investigations in the direct APE on National Forest System lands, and such sites cannot be avoided, then USFS, in consultation with DOE and federally-recognized Indian tribes, will develop a NAGPRA Plan of Action ("POA") consistent with 43 C.F.R. § 10.3 prior to any further archaeological investigation or disturbance. The POA will be a stand-alone appendix to the HPTP. The inclusion of this POA in the HPTP will not require an amendment to the HPTP. "

"...cannot be avoided..."???

Criminal.

Kris

Meeting attendees are not permitted to bring in any items that may be disruptive to the meeting, and therefore the Consulting Parties' right to participate in the Section 106 process. Considerations of safety and fire codes must be enforced. Therefore, the following are not permitted:

- MEGAPHONES AND ANY NOISE-MAKING DEVICES*
- LARGE BANNERS OR SIGNS (SMALL, HAND-HELD SIGNS WITHOUT STAKES ARE FINE)*
- DISPLAYS/EASELS*
- ANYTHING SET-UP IN THE LOBBY OR IN THE AISLES*
- ANYTHING THAT WILL DISRUPT THE MEETING OR BE DEEMED UNSAFE*
- THERE IS NO STANDING IN THE AISLES OR FOYERS ALLOWED AS PER FIRE CODE*

Thank You!