

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Audrey Sylvester
CommenterCity	Bradford
DateReceived	10-23-2017

CommenterWords

I am opposed to the proposed Northern Pass Project for the following reasons:

Hydro-Quebec has trampled the rights of the First Nation Pessamit Innu people: flooding thousands of acres of their ancestral lands and forests; muddying their clean rivers—clean being essential for a thriving salmon population (a main source of their food supply). The Pessamit Innu people have been treated badly (monetarily and environmentally) by this giant corporation.

Need I point to our country's ruinous treatment of "indigenous people - the American Indian"? We should not replicate our history by doing business with this corporation.

Destruction of our New Hampshire's landscape and cityscape (Concord, NH). Giant towers sprouting up through our pristine forests, across farmlands, jutting across scenic vistas so enjoyed by New Hampshire residents and visitors, marching through our Capitol City skyline with towers higher than the New Hampshire State House—not good for tourism; not good for our environmentally protective communities; not good for the peace and quiet absorbed as we hike, ramble, snowshoe, ski, fish, hunt, picnic and enjoy the out-of-doors in everyday Scenic New Hampshire.

I may have missed something. When the Northern Pass Project has been presented I have had a hard time finding out what financial benefits will be to us folks along the path. New Hampshire seems to be a conduit for southern power grid's benefit without a disclosed and specific financial benefit of electric rates in New Hampshire, nothing more. I might add: even if transmission lines are buried from the beginning to the end point, this project is a dubious proposition.

In conclusion, I repeat: I am opposed to the proposed Northern Pass Project.

Respectfully,
Audrey V. Sylvester