

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Hannah Sheridan
CommenterCity	Portsmouth
DateReceived	11-17-2017

CommenterWords

As a concerned citizen and lifetime resident of New Hampshire, I oppose the Northern Pass project.

According to the DOE's own analysis, Northern Pass will:

1. Cause adverse impacts to tourism and recreation.
2. Negatively affect the historic context of architectural resources.
3. Cause impacts to vegetated habitats and land disturbance, impacting archeological resources and wildlife, including protected species.
4. Result in long-term wetlands impacts as compared to the other action alternatives.

The cons of this project drastically outweigh any pros and it is NOT in the best interest of the state and its residents. The amount of energy NH would receive is miniscule when compared to those of southern New England states, all at the cost of detriminting our pristine natural landscapes and wildlife. The amount of jobs promised, both permanent and temporary, are miniscule when compared to those that would be lost and livelihoods that would be destroyed.

I am appalled by the tactics Northern Pass and its affiliated companies employ to manipulate and skew information regarding the project and its effects, both positive and negative.

If you have the best interest of our beautiful state at heart, please say NO to Northern Pass. Thank you.