SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Thomas Philbrick
CommenterCity	Okemos, MI
DateReceived	11-23-2017

CommenterWords

To whom it may concern,

The recent DOE decision in support of the Northern Pass is incorrect and shameful.

According to the DOE's own analysis, Northern Pass will:

1. Cause adverse impacts to tourism and recreation.

2. Negatively affect the historic context of architectural resources.

3. Cause impacts to vegetated habitats and land disturbance, impacting archeological resources and wildlife, including protected species.

4. Result in long-term wetlands impacts as compared to the other action alternatives.

However, DOE approved it anyway. What about the myriad of other projects that are less invasive? What about the existing National Grid proposal that would utilize a line that is already set up? Why are DOE, the NH SEC, and the Mass RFP Committee all acting like the Northern Pass is the only option on the table? Why are they ignoring the overwhelmingly large amount of public resistance to the project from NH's citizens, who won't even benefit from the line or receive any of the power?

Please reconsider your unfortunate decision and listen to the citizens of NH.

Regards,

Thomas Philbrick