

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Kyle McAdam
CommenterCity	Gilmanton
DateReceived	11-24-2017

CommenterWords

According to DOE's own analysis, Northern Pass will:

1. Cause adverse impacts to tourism and recreation. Many in New Hampshire rely heavily on the tourist dollar to make a living. Seeing transmissions towers, even if it is in limited numbers and isolated locations around New Hampshire, will harm our economy.
2. Negatively affect the historic context of architectural resources. When people see transmission towers they think of an industrial history not one that New Hampshire has of an agricultural resources.
3. Cause impacts to vegetated habitats and land disturbance, impacting archeological resources and wildlife, including protected species. Setting up transmission towers and the lines running between the towers can create havoc and confusion for the wildlife that inhabit these areas.
4. Result in long-term wetlands impacts as compared to the other action alternatives. Wetlands are very fragile and necessary ecological areas that fulfill extremely important and significant roles to other wildlife and too man himself. Without proper protection and total wetland area and wetland quality have consequences further down the road for all in nature to pay including man.

Please when trying to determine if Northern Pass plan is proper and not harmful to nature and human inhabiting New Hampshire, live by the old adage: When in doubt leave it out. What I mean is unless Northern Pass can show that placing these transmission towers even in limited numbers around the state will not harm our economy, wildlife, both flora and fauna, and create virtually zero land disturbance that nature can rebound from very quickly then the state of New Hampshire should either say no or tell Northern Pass to improve their plan up to our standards.