

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-06 Northern Pass Transmission - Eversource
CommenterName	Mary Hakken-Phillips
CommenterCity	Hanover
DateReceived	12-20-2017

CommenterWords

Dear Committee: It has become clear to me after speaking with the Grafton County Attorney, and after reading numerous articles on the proposed Northern Pass, that this proposed plan is incomplete and should not be approved. Almost no one in New Hampshire will benefit from this proposal. The landowners who are immediately effected by the proposed plan have not been given full answers about what will happen to their property, and on this basis alone should be enough to shut the proposal down. Furthermore, viable alternatives through Vermont, not involving the Granite State, are a possible option. It is my belief that this proposal will exploit New Hampshire's pristine landscape in its current form and should not be approved. Think of the tourism industry and crippling effect Northern Pass would have on it in New Hampshire.

Please consider the loud minority of Granite Staters who oppose this proposal with extra weight. The SEC must do right by the private landowners who are directly effected, and protect them against corporate greed and power by Eversource. If property law and land rights started in New England and developed largely in New Hampshire because of historical circumstances, the SEC must follow the spirit of property law here and protect the individual landowner's right to do with their land what they please. The public use of the potential land grab for the proposal is not even the New Hampshire public that will benefit. We are talking about other state's public use at the cost of in state private land owners, and it is contrary to the founding principals of property law. Deciding to approve the Northern Pass would be a horrible precedent for future property law cases in other states across the country. Don't approve Northern Pass.