Kathleen Sullivan
192 S. Mammoth Rd.
Manchester, NH 03109

December 21, 2017

Dear Pamela Monroe,

I am opposed to Northern Pass for the following reasons:
1. As an attorney representing developers for over 30 years, including obtaining permits and approvals for regional shopping malls, large shopping centers, office buildings, and the like, it is beyond belief that a project that has not submitted accurate plans, traffic impact studies and other critical components of a well thought out project could be considered for approval. No municipal planning board would ever consider an application like this one complete.
2. If Eversource receives its approvals, the mistakes and omissions contained in this application will not be corrected. A developer might say they will "work with" the people and businesses affected after approval, but they rarely do. Once the project is approved, there is no incentive.
3. I recently was in Quebec Province, and saw the massive HydroQuebec powerlines that mar the landscape. It is just wrong to sacrifice New Hampshire's beauty for the sake of out of state shareholders, by allowing our state to become a transmission highway.
4. There are other options available which are in New Hampshire's best interests.
5. Eversource and NorthernPass have been dishonest throughout this process. First they said they could not afford to bury any lines. Then they said they could bury some. The claim that all sorts of jobs will be created, yet included in those numbers existing jobs. They offered a small amount of money, then suddenly came up with a mujltimillion dollar package. Yet there are no guarantees about the uses or benefits of those funds. We do know they offered a large amount to the developer of thre Balsams, who then testified in favor. Sad.
Do the right thing for New Hampshire, please.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Sullivan