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P R O C E E D I N G S
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: This is a meeting of the New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee and the Subcommittee considering the petition by Northern Pass Transmisison, LLC, and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, which does business as Eversource Energy, for a Certificate of Site and Facility to construct a 192-mile transmission line. The lines are proposed to have a capacity rating of up to 1090 megawatts and to run through New Hampshire from the Canadian border into Pittsburg to Deerfield.

The subject of this meeting is a number of motions for rehearing or reconsideration regarding an order issued by the Subcommittee of the SEC regarding interventions.

Mr. Iacopino, I will ask you to identify the, I think it's three motions that we have to consider, and give us any other information you feel is appropriate about them.

MR. IACOPINO: Mr. Chairman, the three motions that you've referenced, the first
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
is the motion of Mr. Mullen and Mr. Powell, entitled the "Realtors Opposed To Northern Pass." That is a motion for rehearing from the denial of their petition to intervene, which was denied by the Chairman originally and then denied by the full Committee.

The second motion that we received is the motion of McKenna's Purchase, which is a motion for rehearing regarding their grouping with other intervenors. And I forget which group it was. The Concord Group. And finally, the City of Concord has also filed a motion for rehearing. Their motion for rehearing is regarding their grouping with the Municipal Group as well.

So we have one that's a rehearing of a denial of intervention, and two motions that seek relief from the groupings of intervenors.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Does
anyone on the Subcommittee have any thoughts they want to share with the group, make a motion or anything else? Commissioner Bailey. MS. BAILEY: Mr. Iacopino -- Attorney
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}

Iacopino, could you tell us if the motion to reconsider by Mr. Powell and Mr. Mullen identifies anything that the Committee overlooked or that they haven't already argued?

MR. IACOPINO: NO, I can't do that.
That's a decision that you as a committee have to make. The standard on a motion for rehearing is that the motions for rehearing are not designed to merely restate or repeat arguments. A motion for rehearing, the purpose of it is to raise matters that have been overlooked or mistakenly conceived in the original decision. And that is something that's purely within the bailiwick of the Commission. I cannot make that determination for you. You would have to take your recollection of the record and determine whether or not you believe that there was anything new or anything that was overlooked or mistakenly conceived in the decisions that were issued by the Committee -- Subcommittee.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. I don't think
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}


Commissioner Bailey to deny the motion for rehearing will say "Aye." If you are instead opposed to the motion and would grant a rehearing, you will say "No." All in favor please say "Aye."
[Multiple members indicating "Aye".] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any opposed?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The ayes have it. The motion carries, and the motion by Mr . Mullen is denied.

The next one is the motion by McKenna's Purchase, which is part of the Concord Group. Does anyone have any thoughts or opinions on the McKenna's Purchase motion?
(Members reviewing documents)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way.
MR. WAY: I think in the same vein as
Commissioner Bailey said a few moments ago about the rehearing of the previous request, that I think we made the appropriate decision the last go-around. I'm not seeing new information coming to light, and I would make a
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
motion to deny.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there a
second?
CMSR. BAILEY: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
Bailey seconds. Is there any further discussion of the McKenna's Purchase motion?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, are
you ready for the question?
All right. All those in favor
of the motion to deny McKenna's Purchase for rehearing will vote "aye." Those opposed and would instead grant the motion for rehearing will say "No."

All in favor please say "Aye."
[Multiple members indicating "aye".]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there
opposed?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The ayes have
it. The motion for hearing by McKenna's Purchase is denied.

The third is by the City of
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}

Concord. Commissioner Bailey. CMSR. BAILEY: I'll start the discussion. In their motion, they claim that Concord won't be able to conduct cross-examination to address issues of specific concern to Concord that are not addressed by the group's spokesperson or by any other party's cross-examination. And I don't recall that that was -- that wasn't my understanding. I thought that if their group didn't represent a specific interest or they weren't able to work it out with the group, that they would be allowed to file a motion, and/or they would have time for cross-examination on their issue, and they would do the cross-examination on their issue, even if they weren't the group spokesperson. Is my understanding of that incorrect?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's my understanding as well. If there's a member of a group that is not being represented by the group, or who has matters that they need to bring that are separate from what the group is doing, they need to bring that to the
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}

Subcommittee's attention, either something in writing, or if it comes up at a hearing or during the adjudicative hearing on the merits, they'll have to be dealt with then. But that's -- you are correct.

MS. WEATHERSBY: Mr. Chair, that
would be the same regarding the technical sessions; correct? They can have a chance to ask questions at a technical session if they feel as though their spokesperson is not adequately representing their interests; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I believe that is correct.

Any further discussion?
(No verbal response)
MR. OLDENBURG: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Oldenburg.
MR. OLDENBURG: I need clarification on the first point. So, if Town A -- I don't know -- Group A or something says they have an issue with the environment, and their group takes a certain tact, one of the towns within that group could also question or cross-examine
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
or testify on the environment? I mean, is that what we're saying?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There's a legal question buried in here for Mr . Iacopino regarding the --

MR. OLDENBURG: I guess my point is, just because two towns in a group disagree on who's going to cross-examine someone, they both get to cross-examine?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No, it's not who. It's not who. It's on issues -MR. OLDENBURG: I mean if they have a different approach to an issue.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No, they have to have a different position on an issue. If two parties are opposed, they have to have different positions in order for it to make sense. If they're taking the same position but differ on strategy, that's something they need to work out within their group, in my view.

Mr. Iacopino, do you have any thoughts on this, in terms of what the legal framework in which we're working, how that dictates what we must do or allow parties to
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
do?
MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Because they were grouped as intervenors, the statute on intervention, R.S.A. 541, I believe it's Section 33 , as well as our rules, say that if a grouping substantially interferes with the interests of the intervenor, it shouldn't be granted. The way that we -- they shouldn't be grouped. The way that this Committee has assessed the interests of the intervenors, we found that their -- you found that their interests are not inconsistent and grouped them accordingly. If it turns out that something inconsistent does occur within a group, you've also told the intervenor groups that they should bring that to the attention of the Committee and seek the appropriate relief. It could be that they have different interests with respect to an environmental issue. Maybe they might be on opposite sides, although I don't think that's the most likely issue. I think more likely where there might be some differences, they may not be inconsistent, but they may have different interests in that one
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
town. For instance, just by way of example: They might have a particular wetland that they are particularly concerned about; whereas, the other two or three towns in that group may not have concerns about that and don't want to, for instance, send limited data requests on it. The town with the wetlands might move the Subcommittee to allow them to do that.

Same thing at a hearing. If
they -- use the same example. If the person who is cross-examining on behalf of that particular intervenor group chooses not to ask any questions about that particular wetland, and the town where the wetland exists, that's part of their interest, they should make that request of the presiding officer. And assuming that the presiding officer and Subcommittee find that, to protect their interests, it's necessary for them to do that, they would be permitted to do it. I don't know that you'll have any situations where the groupings are people that are diametrically opposed on any particular issue. It's probably more like the wetlands example that I provided to you. But
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
that's the way that -- those are the rules and the law of the case in this particular docket right now, given the orders that the Subcommittee has issued. And they are consistent with R.S.A. 541 and with our rules. MR. OLDENBURG: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Further questions or discussion on the Concord motion? Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: I understand that this proceeding is extremely difficult for all parties. And I don't really see how this is more difficult for Concord than it is for all the other parties, and even, frankly, for us. This is not an easy process to go through, and we all have to figure out a way to get there. I think if we granted this intervention -- and I don't think that Concord has raised anything that we've overlooked or mistakenly conceived. You know, they point out that the legislature intended for municipalities to have an opportunity to provide their views relative to the site and facility. Well, we're giving them that opportunity. It's not how they would
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
prefer to do it. It's not how they get to do it when the Project is located only in their town. But we can't -- I don't think that we can grant them separate status, because then everybody else will make the same request and the process will get even more unwieldy than it is. And we have a statutory deadline to get it done by a certain date. So, that's my opinion.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Other thoughts or comments? Would someone like to make a motion? Don't all rush at once. Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: I'll move, based on what I just said, to deny Concord's motion.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there a second?

MR. WAY: I'll second that.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way seconds.
Is there any further discussion?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are you ready for the question?

All right. All those in favor
of Commissioner Bailey's motion to deny
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}

Concord's motion for rehearing regarding the groupings will vote aye. Those who are opposed to the motion, would instead like to grant Concord's motion, will vote no. All in favor please say "Aye."
[Multiple members indicating "aye".] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any opposed?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The ayes have it, and Concord's motion is denied. Mr. Iacopino, are there any other motions that we need to deal with this evening?

MR. IACOPINO: There were none others that were noticed, Mr. Chairman. And I'm unaware of any other motion that is outside of the objection period and ripe for consideration by the Subcommittee. There are a couple of motions that are pending that are procedural for the presiding officer, but those are motions that can be dealt with in written form.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Monroe, is there anything else we need to do this evening
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}

```
before we adjourn?
MS . MONROE: No .
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Would someone make a motion to adjourn?
CMSR. BAILEY: I'll make a motion to adjourn.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey moves we acknowledge.
MR. WAY: Second.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way seconds.
All in favor say "Aye."
[Multiple members indicating "aye".]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any
opposed?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are adjourned.
(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 9:02 p.m.)
\{SEC 2015-06\} [HEARING ON MOTIONS] \{06-23-16\}
```

HEARING ON PENDING MOTIONS - June 23, 2016
SEC 2015-06 NORTHERN PASS, LLC AND PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NH

|  | 8:10;9:21;17:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:19 } \\ \text { clear }(1) \\ 7: 3 \\ \text { CMSR (6) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { deal (1) } \\ 17: 13 \\ \text { dealt } \mathbf{( 2 )} \\ 11: 4 ; 17: 22 \end{gathered}$ | ```environment (2) 11:22;12:1 environmental (1) 13:19``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { [Multiple (4) } \\ & \text { 8:6;9:17;17:6; } \\ & \text { 18:12 } \\ & \text { [No (1) } \\ & 16: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bailey (16) } \\ & 5: 23,24 ; 6: 23,24 ; \\ & 8: 1,20 ; 9: 4,6 ; 10: 1,2 ; \\ & 15: 9,10 ; 16: 12,13 \\ & 18: 5,8 \end{aligned}$ | 6:24;9:4;10:2; | decision (3) | Evaluation (1) |
|  |  | 15:10;16:13;18:5 | 6:6,13;8:22 | 4:3 |
|  |  | coming (1) | decisions (1) | even (3) |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8: 24 \\ \text { comments (1) } \end{array}$ | 6:20 <br> Deerfield (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:16;15:14;16:6 } \\ & \text { evening (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bailey's (1) } \\ 16: 24 \end{gathered}$ | 16:10 | 4:13 | 17:14,24 |
| able (2) |  | Commission |  | Eversource |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { bailiwick (1) } \\ 6: 14 \end{gathered}$ | Commissioner (10) | denied (5) | everybody (1) |
| 10:4,11 accordingly (1) 13.13 | based (2) | $5: 23 ; 6: 22 ; 8: 1,20 ;$ $0 \cdot 5 \cdot 10 \cdot 1 \cdot 15 \cdot 9 \cdot 16: 1$ | 5:5,6;8:12;9:23; | 16:5 |
|  | behalf (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 5 ; 10: 1 ; 15: 9 ; 16: 11, \\ & 24 ; 18: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $17: 11$ deny (6) | example (3) <br> 14:1,10,24 |
| acknowledge (1) 18:8 | 14:11 | Committee (7) <br> $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 3,6,21$; | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 8 ; 8: 1 ; 9: 1,12 ; \\ & 16: 14,24 \end{aligned}$ | exists (1) |
| address (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { border (1) } \\ 4: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 4 ; 5: 6 ; 6: 3,6,21 ; \\ & 13: 9,17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:14,24 } \\ & \text { designed (1) } \\ & 6: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 14:14 <br> extremely (1) |
| addressed (1)10:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { both (1) } \\ \text { 12:8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Company (1) } \\ & 4: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $15: 11$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:9 } \\ & \text { determination (1) } \end{aligned}$ | F |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { adequately (1) } \\ 11: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { bring (3) } \\ 10: 23,24 ; 13: 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { conceived (4) } \\ & 6: 12,20 ; 7: 2 ; 15: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:15 } \\ & \text { determine (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| adjourn (3)18:1,4,6 | buried (1) | concern (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:17 } \\ & \text { diametrically (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Facility (2) |
|  | $12: 4$ | 10:6 |  | $4: 8 ; 15: 23$ |
| adjourned (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { business (1) } \\ 4: 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerned (1) } \\ & 14: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 24 ; 8: 4 ; 9: 11,16 ; \\ & 16: 23 ; 17: 4 ; 18: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { adjudicative (1) } \\ & 11: 3 \end{aligned}$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerns (1) } \\ & 14: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12: 24 \\ \text { differ (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 23 ; 17: 4 ; 18: 11 \\ & \text { feel (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ago (1) |  | Concord (9) $5: 11,12 ; 8: 15 ; 10: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} 12: 19 \\ \text { differences (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 4:22;11:10 few (1) |
| 8:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{can}(3) \\ & 11: 8 ; 16: 4 ; 17: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:11,12;8:15;10:1, } \\ & 4,6 ; 15: 8,13,18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { differences (1) } \\ 13: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { few (1) } \\ 8: 20 \end{array}$ |
| $12: 24 ; 14: 8$ | Canadian (1) | Concord's (4) | 13:23 different (5) | figure (1) |
| allowed (1) | $\begin{gathered} 4: 12 \\ \text { capacity (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 14 ; 17: 1,4,11 \\ & \text { conduct }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $12: 13,15,17 ; 13: 18,$ | ${ }_{\text {1 }} 15: 16$ |
| 10:13 |  | 10:4 | difficult (2) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { file (1) } \\ 10: 13 \end{array}$ |
| although (1) | $4: 10$ carries (1) |  | 15:11,13 | filed (1) |
| and/or (1) | $\begin{gathered} 8: 11 \\ \text { case (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:21 } \\ & \text { consideration (1) } \end{aligned}$ | disagree (1) | 5:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} 10: 13 \\ \text { approach }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 12: 13 \end{gathered}$ |  | consideration (1) | discussion (6) | finally (1) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 7: 5 ; 15: 2 \\ \text { certain (2) } \end{array}$ | $17: 18$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5: 12 \\ \text { find (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $11: 23 ; 16: 8$ <br> Certificate (1) | 4:4consistent (1) | 11:15;15:8;16:19 | find (1) <br> 14:18 |
| appropriate (4) |  |  | docket (1) | first (2) |
| 13:17 | 4:8 | 15:5 | documents (1) | 4:24;11:20 |
| $\underset{6: 4}{\operatorname{argued}(1)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chair (1) } \\ & \text { 11:6 } \\ & \text { CHAIRMAN (38) } \end{aligned}$ | construct (1) |  | forget (1) |
|  |  | couple (1) | done (1) | form (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { arguments (1) } \\ & 6: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHAIRMAN (38) } \\ & 4: 2,23 ; 5: 5,20 ; 6: 22 ; \end{aligned}$ |  | 16:8 | 17:22 <br> found (2) |
| assessed (1)$13: 10$ | $7: 10,14,19,22 ; 8: 7,10$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 17:19 } \\ \text { cross-examination (4) } \end{array}$ | during (1) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18 ; 9: 2,5,9,18,21 ; \\ & 10: 19 ; 11: 13,17,18 \end{aligned}$ | $10: 5,8,14,15$ | 11:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13:11,11 } \\ \text { framework (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| assuming (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:3,10,14;15:7;16:9, } \\ & \text { 15,18,21;17:7,10,16, } \\ & 23 ; 18: 3,7,10,13,16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 24 ; 12: 8,9 \\ & \text { cross-examining (1) } \\ & 14: 11 \end{aligned}$ | E | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { framework (1) } \\ 12: 23 \end{array}$ |
| attention (2) $11: 1 \cdot 13 \cdot 16$ |  |  | easy (1) | frankly (1) |
| 11:1;13:16 |  |  |  | $15: 14$ full (1) |
| Attorney (1) 5:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { chance (1) } \\ 11: 8 \end{gathered}$ | D | either (1) $11: 1$ | 5:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aye (7) } \\ & 8: 2,5 ; 9: 13,16 ; 17: 2 \\ & 5 ; 18: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { chooses (1) } \\ 14: 12 \end{gathered}$ | data (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 1 \\ & \text { else (3) } \\ & 5: 23 ; 16: 5 ; 17: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15 ; 9: 6 ; 11: 15 ; \\ & 15: 7 ; 16: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8: 6 ; 9: 17 ; 17: 6 \\ & 18: 12 \\ & \text { ayes }(\mathbf{3}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { claim }(\mathbf{1}) \\ & 10: 3 \\ & \text { clarification }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:8 } \\ & \text { deadline (1) } \\ & 16: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4: 7 \\ \text { entitled (1) } \\ 5: 2 \end{gathered}$ | G |
|  |  |  |  | given (1) |


| 15:3 | information (2) | making (1) | 12:24 | 10:12;12:20;13:13; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{15: 23}{\underset{\text { giving (1) }}{ }}$ | $4: 22 ; 8: 24$ instance (2) | 7:11 matters (2) | N | $\begin{array}{r} 15: 16,20 \\ \text { outside (1) } \end{array}$ |
| go-around (1) | 14:1,6 | 6:11;10:22 |  | 17:17 |
| 8:23 | instead (3) | may (3) | necessary (1) | overlooked (5) |
| grant (4) | 8:2;9:14;17:3 | 13:23,24;14:4 | 14:19 | 6:4,12,19;7:2; |
| 8:3;9:14;16:4;17:3 | intended (1) | Maybe (1) | need (6) | 15:19 |
| granted (2) <br> $13 \cdot 8 \cdot 15 \cdot 17$ | 15:21 | 13:19 | 10:22,24;11:19; |  |
| 13:8;15:17 <br> Group (19) | interest (2) | McKenna's (6) | 12:19;17:13,24 | P |
| 5:11,11,15,22; | interests (9) | 22 | 4:3,6,11;6:19;8:23 | part (2) |
| 8:15;10:10,12,16,21, | 7:4,5;11:11;13:7, | mean (2) | next (1) | 8:14;14:15 |
| 22,23;11:21,22,24; | 10,12,18,24;14:18 | 12:1,12 | 8:13 | particular (5) |
| 12:7,20;13:14;14:4, | interferes (1) | meeting (2) | none (2) | 14:2,12,13,23;15:2 |
| 12 | 13:6 | 4:3,14 | 9:9;17:15 | particularly (1) |
| grouped (3) | intervene (1) | megawatts (1) | Northern (2) | 14:3 |
| 13:3,9,12 | 5:4 | 4:11 | 4:5;5:2 | parties (5) |
| grouping (3) | intervenor (3) | member (1) | noticed (1) | 7:7;12:16,24; |
| 5:10,15;13:6 | 13:7,15;14:12 | 10:20 | 17:16 | 15:12,14 |
| groupings (3) | intervenors (4) | members (5) | number (1) | party's (1) |
| 5:18;14:21;17:2 | 5:10,19;13:3,10 | 8:6,17;9:17;17:6; | 4:15 | 10:8 |
| groups (1) | intervention (3) | 18:12 |  | Pass (2) |
| 13:15 | 5:17;13:4;15:17 | merely (1) | 0 | 4:5;5:3 |
| group's (1) | interventions (1) | 6:9 |  | pending (1) |
| 10:7 | 4:18 | merits (1) | objection (1) | 17:20 |
| guess (1) | into (1) | 11:3 | 17:18 | people (1) |
| 12:6 | 4:12 | might (5) | occur (1) | 14:22 |
|  | issue (8) | 7:6;13:20,22;14:2, | 13:14 | period (1) |
| H | 10:14,16;11:22; | 7 | officer (3) | 17:18 |
|  | 12:13,15;13:19,21; | mistakenly (4) | 14:16,17;17:21 | permitted (1) |
| Hampshire (3) | 14:23 | 6:12,20;7:2;15:19 | OLDENBURG (6) | 14:20 |
| 4:3,6,11 | issued (3) | moments (1) | 11:17,18,19;12:6, | person (1) |
| hearing (5) | 4:16;6:21;15:4 | 8:20 | 12;15:6 | 14:10 |
| 9:22;11:2,3;14:9; | issues (2) | Monroe (2) | once (1) | petition (2) |
| 18:19 | 10:5;12:11 | 17:23;18:2 | 16:11 | 4:5;5:4 |
| HONIGBERG (34) |  | more (4) | one (5) | Pittsburg (1) |
| 4:2;5:20;6:22;7:10, | L | 13:22;14:23;15:13; | 5:16;7:17;8:13; | $4: 12$ |
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