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AFTERNOON SESSION (Resumed at 1:12 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)
BY MR. PAPPAS :
Q. Mr. Quinlan, $I$ want to start by asking you some questions about the New Hampshire Jobs Fund as part of the Forward NH Plan.

Now, I understand that the Jobs Fund was announced -- the creation of the fund was announced in January 2014. Is that your understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I understand that it was limited to Coos County; correct?
A. Generally the North Country, yes. The North Country Jobs Creation Fund.
Q. And the intent was to have an independent board for this Jobs Fund?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. What's on the screen now is Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 36. And this is the press release announcing the creation of the Jobs
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

Fund, and it's January 29, 2014. And if you turn to the second page, it indicates members of the fund. And it indicates former State Senator John Gallus of Gallus \& Green Real Estate in Berlin will chair the association. And joining him were Allen Bouthillier, owner of $A B$ Logging in Lancaster, and David Atkinson, also of $A B$ Logging in Lancaster. Do you see that?
A. I do, yes. And just one correction. I think this was the release announcing the members of the board of the Job Creation Fund. I think it was technically announced a year prior; the existence of the fund was in 2013.
Q. Okay. And then could we have... what's on the screen now is Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 37, which are the Articles of Agreement for the Coos County Job Creation Association. Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Okay. If you look at the top corner, they were filed on January 27, 2014. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And under Address, the address of
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
the Coos County Job Creation Association is "care of AB Logging" at 653 Main Street,

Lancaster. Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Okay. And if we turn to the third page, do you see on the third page the initial five board members included Mr . Atkinson and Mr .

Bouthillier being from AB Logging? Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, what we have on the screen now is Exhibit 38, which are the Articles of Agreement of the Coos County Business and Employers Group. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And this group -- this group organized on February 5, 2016. Do you see that in the top right-hand corner?
A. Yes.
Q. And the address of this group is also the address of $A B$ Logging at 653 Main Street in Lancaster under Article II. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And on the second page of these articles
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
it lists the board of directors, and the first director is Allen Bouthillier of $A B$ Logging at 653 Main Street Lancaster. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit 39 is the Coos County Business and Employers Group's Petition to Intervene in this matter. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, they petitioned to intervene on February 5, 2016. Do you see the date of the petition?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the same day that the Coos County Business Employers Group registered with the Secretary of State, as we saw earlier. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And this Petition to Intervene was granted. The group intervened. And, in fact, they are -- they intervened to support the Application; is that correct?
A. Generally. I think their intervention is in support of job creation generally in the North Country. I personally know Allen Bouthillier, \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
who is the signature you're referring to. And I know he's passionate about growing jobs in the North Country.
Q. So the North Country Jobs Fund, which you indicated was designed to be an independent group, has Mr. Bouthillier on its board. And he's also on the board of the Coos County Business and Employers Group that was formed on the day the Petition to Intervene in this matter in support of this Project; correct?
A. Yes. And by independent, what we're saying is that that board makes determinations concerning funding without the Company's direction. I mean, it's independent in that sense, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. It's not to say that, you know, board members don't also have an interest in North Country elements. I mean, I saw Chris Diego's name there, $I$ believe the manager of the Mountain View Grand. He's certainly interested in tourism. I think we selected board members who had a particular interest in seeing the North Country advance.
Q. Well, certainly these two groups. The Jobs
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

Fund that the Project set up as an independent group is not independent entirely of the Coos County Business and Employers Group, which formed to support this program, are they -this Project, are they?
A. Yes, that's not what we suggested. And it's logical for them to be interested in this proceeding. You know, if you think about this group, they're really focused on job opportunities in the North Country. AB Logging happens to be a significant contractor in the North Country, you know, and that's why they were selected for the board. So I think the relationship is a reasonable one. In both instances it's independent from Eversource.
Q. Is the Project funding the Coos County Business and Employers Group?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Now, the North Country Jobs Fund Board, they're not economic development professionals, are they?
A. I don't know the specific resumés of the board members, but $I$ know we identified board members who understood the North Country and its
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
various challenges. I mean, you mentioned Former Senator John Gallus is the chair. I know he's highly regarded in the region and understands its challenges. So I don't know if they technically have economic development expertise, but they certainly know the North Country and the challenges of growing jobs in the region, whether it's logging jobs, construction jobs or tourism.
Q. Now, this independent fund -- or independent group is being overseen by Mr. Ramsey at Eversource; correct?
A. It's not being overseen. Mr. Ramsey is the interface between the Company and the Jobs Creation Fund. Mr. Ramsey also happens to be from the North Country and was selected in large part because he understands the challenges and what it's going to take to advance that region.
Q. So what we have up on the screen is Counsel for the Public 49, which is the Applicant's Response to Counsel for the Public's Data Request Set No. 1. And we have the answer to Data Request 140. And in that, the question
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

borne, so $I$ can't speak to the other 64. But I know there are undeployed amounts at this point as part of the $\$ \mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$.
Q. Okay. Now, these grants were made in 2015; correct?
A. I believe that's true, yes.
Q. Has Eversource done any follow-up analysis, written analysis to determine whether or not the grants created jobs?
A. Written analysis? No. But I'm very familiar with several of these, like the fourth one down, the White Mountain Regional High School in Whitefield. We essentially funded an excavator-simulation trainer at that regional high school. Mr. Ramsey was just there last week for a North Country-wide high school conference where students from across the North Country were brought into that regional high school. And we received substantial feedback that this simulator is really being valued. It's one of a kind in the state. It creates an opportunity for these young folks to learn a trade. And so, anecdotally, we're getting a lot of feedback that these grants are making a
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
significant difference.
I recently had lunch at The Dancing Bear in Colebrook. I had never met the owner before. And he stopped by and told me about the difference that that investment had made in his local business.

So, do we have, you know, an economic impact study? No. But anecdotally, we're getting a lot of feedback that these grants are making a difference.
Q. Okay. Now, some of the grant recipients turned down money from the fund; is that right?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. Okay. What's on the screen now is Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 43. And this is an article back in September of 2015 that talks about the job fund and some of the grants. And if you look at the first paragraph, it refers to the Coos County Ride the Wilds Trail System that voted to decline the funds awarded by the Coos County Job Creation Association. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And if you skip down to the paragraph that
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

A. Generally, yes. Yeah.
Q. Okay. The New Hampshire -- the North Country, rather, Job Fund had no activity in 2016, did it?
A. I'm not aware of any specific grants in 2016.
Q. And they've had no grants in 2017; is that right?
A. I would have to check. I'm not certain they did. Again, this fund is one that will be established upon receipt of all permits, which was always the commitment. What we're talking about here --
Q. Well, we've already seen it established because we saw Articles of Incorporation; right?
A. I'm referring to full funding.
Q. Ah.
A. If you look at the details of those articles, it's keyed off of receipt of permits. What we're referring to here are advanced commitments.
Q. Right. But they've allocated $\$ 136,000$ of the \$200,000 seed funds; right?
A. Yeah, I see towards the bottom of this it refers to my predecessor, Gary Long, and his
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
decision to seed this with $\$ 200,000$, yes.
Q. Right. Are you aware of whether the job fund has any published criteria for an application process?
A. That $I$ don't know. I'd have to consult with Mr. Ramsey. But again --
Q. Are you aware of whether they have any published criteria for awarding grants?
A. Again, I'm not aware of the detailed workings. I suspect that as part of a government structure they have criteria that apply.
Q. But you understand --
A. Personally, no.
Q. Okay. Fair enough.

Let me ask you some questions about the 5,000 acres, which is another part of the Forward NH Plan.
A. Sure.
Q. As I understand it, NPT bought approximately 5,000 acres of land in the North Country; correct?
A. Roughly, yes.
Q. And the land was purchased for the Northern Pass Project, but it's no longer needed for the
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

Northern Pass Project; correct?
A. Well, some of it is going to be used for the Northern Pass Project, whether it's directly to support project construction or as part of our formal mitigation commitment to DES. But you're correct. There are parcels that will not be used for either purpose. So there's kind of a mix there.
Q. Okay. And NPT has pledged up to 5,000 acres for natural resource preservation, recreation and mixed use?
A. Yes. We refer to it as "mixed use."
Q. In October of 2016 , the pledge was still in the conceptual stage; is that right?
A. It was a pledge that we made, or a commitment that we had made as part of the Forward NH Plan announcement in 2015. So I view that as a corporate commitment at that point.
Q. But as of today, the Project is currently engaged in some discussions for potential uses, but nothing has materialized in terms of a specific use of a specific parcel; is that right? There's nothing in writing? There's no announced plan for a specific parcel for any
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
specific use?
A. Well, as I indicated, several of those parcels will be used for purposes of meeting our formal environmental mitigation obligation. Those will likely be mixed use parcels. So, those are formal commitments that we've made to DES. But beyond those commitments, correct, we're in the exploratory stage here.
Q. But the commitment up to 5,000 acres, that doesn't relate to your DES permits. That relates to the commitment to use funds for other purposes, doesn't it?
A. Can you reask that question?
Q. Sure.
A. When you say "funds," I'm not sure what you're talking about.
Q. I probably misspoke. The parcels, the property --
A. Correct, yes.
Q. -- that the Project's using to satisfy its DES permit, that's separate from the commitment to donate parcels for recreation or mixed use; is it not?
A. Generally true. We first need to satisfy our
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
mitigation, formal mitigation requirements. The balance will be available for mixed use.
Q. Okay.
A. And it's that balance that we are in the exploratory stage on.
Q. Now, let me ask you a few questions about another aspect of the Plan, which is partnership with the natural -- National Wildlife Foundation. I understand that the Project, or Eversource, has funded $\$ 1.5$ million to date; is that correct?
A. Roughly, yes.
Q. Okay. And --
A. By the way, it's the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.
Q. Thank you.
A. Yes.
Q. And it's pledged an additional $\$ 1.5$ million if the Application is approved; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And there have been approximately 20 grants awarded to date?
A. Subject to check, yes. I know there's been two grant cycles. I believe the Foundation is in
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
it's third cycle as we speak.
Q. And some of those grants are specific to New Hampshire?
A. Many of them are, yes.
Q. And other grants have more of a regional
impact; is that correct?
A. Generally correct, yes.
Q. Okay. Now let me ask you some questions that first appear in your supplemental testimony regarding the NPT Guarantee Program. And on the screen is Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 40, which is the NPT Guarantee Program Overview that's an attachment to your supplemental testimony.

Now, as I understand it, this program was first made public when you filed your supplemental testimony on March 24, 2017; is that right?
A. I believe that's true, yes. That's correct.
Q. All right. And would I also be correct in saying that, other than this program, your testimony doesn't really relate to real estate values, does it?
A. No. There is an expert, Dr. Chalmers, who, you
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
know, opines concerning real estate values. This program was designed, in essence, at my request as a way of addressing some of the remaining questions posed by landowners.
Q. Was the program developed because the Project recognizes that Northern Pass will adversely impact the values of some properties?
A. No. I think Dr. Chalmers has concluded that generally these types of projects do not have an adverse impact on property values. The study that's referenced in the Objective section is his study. He's indicated that in certain instances -- and the criteria are set forth in 2a, $b$ and $c$-- there may be an adverse impact on a case-by-case basis. And we asked him to design a program around that potential effect. I don't believe he's concluded that there is such an effect. But if there were to be, it would be properties that satisfied these three criteria.
Q. If there were no adverse impact on property values, there would be no need for a program; isn't that right?
A. Not necessarily. There's a potential adverse
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versus and actual. What we're trying to do here is to say, if there are circumstances that could lead to an adverse effect, let's put in place a program that would address that. This is the first time our company has ever done such a program in all the transmission and distribution projects we've built. So it's an attempt to address a potential concern.
Q. Applies to residents only, not businesses?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Yeah. And to be eligible, some portion of the house has to be within 100 feet of the right-of-way?
A. Yes. Those three criteria are the residences that are eligible.
Q. And it is based on visibility of a transmission line; correct? In other words, you have to be 100 feet away, and the visibility has to have been changed as a result of the Northern Pass Project; correct?
A. Yes. That's eligibility Criteria C.
Q. So if a homeowner's visibility changes because of the Project, either they can now see part of the Project for the first time or they can see
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more of the structures than they could before, that makes them eligible for this program; correct?
A. No, the eligible property would have to satisfy all three criteria.
Q. But one of the criteria is what $I$ just described, which is the property owners have to either see the Project for the first time, or their view of the structures has to be greater than it was before Northern Pass was built; correct?
A. Yes, that's one of the three criteria.
Q. Yeah.
A. All three have to be satisfied.
Q. And visibility obviously applies to residential, and residential with a 100 feet.

But after that it's visibility of the
structures that is really the determining factor whether or not somebody within a 100 feet qualifies for this program or doesn't; correct?
A. You're paraphrasing. But it is the three criteria, visibility being the third, yes.
Q. So, just to summarize the eligibility,
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proximity to the right-of-way and visibility of the Project are the two key factors; correct?
A. The criteria speak for themselves.
Q. So the answer is yes?
A. There are three criteria and you are reducing it to two. But generally you're -- the factors are visibility and proximity, yes.
Q. That's my point. Thank you.
A. You know, again, you have to go back to Dr. Chalmers' report. I mean, it's a scientific study of impact --
Q. Mr. Quinlan, we're not here to --
A. -- and he's identified three criteria --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Quinlan, you'd actually gotten there. You and he had gotten there. It had been a bit of a struggle --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: -- but I don't
know why it was such a struggle, Mr. Pappas, because he was pretty much agreeing with you. So I think you've got the criteria right there in that exhibit that you showed him. So what's your next issue?
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BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q. How many homes are eligible under this? Do you know?
A. I believe there are nine currently.
Q. Let me now ask you some questions about the claims process that also appears in your March 2017 supplemental testimony.

Now, as I understand it, your March supplemental testimony was the first time that this was published; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And it covers damage to property by the Northern Pass Project; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that damage to both real estate and personal property?
A. I believe it's focused on the personalty. But I -- yes.
Q. Is it limited to personalty, or does it also include damage to --
A. Improvements on real estate. Improvements, yeah. Sure.
Q. All right. Now, in order to bring a claim, a claimant has to complete the form. If you look
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at the second sentence, it says, "Completion of this form is required in order to bring a direct claim against the Project." Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Now, it goes on to say that documentation must include photos, legible copies of repair estimates and/or documentation of financial loss. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it also requires that documentation of loss must be provided by a company licensed in the state to perform the work. Do you see that?
A. The repair work, yes.
Q. Okay. So, if somebody -- if the Project results in damage to somebody's home, the claims process requires a damage estimate from someone licensed in New Hampshire; correct?
A. To repair the damage, yes.
Q. To repair the damage.
A. Someone who can assess the cost of repair, if you will.
Q. Well, it says here "by a company licensed in the state of New Hampshire to perform the
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work." Do you see that?
A. I see that, yes.
Q. Now, in New Hampshire, general contractors are not licensed, are they?
A. I don't know the answer to that.
Q. Okay.
A. Don't know.
Q. Do you know whether or not paving companies for damage to driveways have to be licensed in New Hampshire?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know whether or not landscapers, if there's damage to trees or other shrubbery, whether they need to be licensed in New Hampshire?
A. I'm unaware of the licensing requirements.
Q. Okay.
A. I suspect electrical workers require a license. But some of the other trades that you're referring to, $I$ don't know whether there's a specific license requirement or not.
Q. If there's no licensing in New Hampshire of general contractors or paving contractors or landscapers, anybody along the route whose
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
property was damaged and they wanted to use a local general contractor or local paving company or local landscaper would not be able to do so because those folks aren't licensed; correct?
A. That's certainly not the intent of this document. If there's no license required, the Company would be reasonable in interpreting that requirement.
Q. But that's not what this document says, is it?
A. It's a draft document at this point. But generally you're correct. That's not what the document says. I can just tell you how the Company would apply it.
Q. But this is the only document produced by the Company to date describing the claims process; is that correct?
A. That's fair. Yes, it is. And it's a document that we've used for projects in other states. So if the licensing requirements don't apply, I am certain we'll waive them.
Q. But as it stands now, that's the only document before the Subcommittee that describes the claims process; correct?
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: He already said "Yes" to that.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
BY MR. PAPPAS :
Q. Last subject. Exhibit 42 is a draft agreement between Northern Pass Transmission and various towns. And this also appears for the first time in your supplemental testimony; correct? This formal document.
A. Yes, it's certainly in my testimony. I don't know if it appeared previously. But it's certainly an attachment to my testimony, yes.
Q. I'm not going to ask a lot of questions about this because most of the towns are represented by counsel, and my understanding is they'll ask you about this. But I'm only interested in one part of it.

Under Article 3, it talks about public roads and the use of public roads and damage to public roads. Does that relate to traffic on roads as opposed to damage from construction? Do you know?
(Witness reviews document.)
A. So I think it applies to both. You know,
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3.1(a) refers to traffic generally, and 3.1(b) pertains to repairing damage caused to the roads.
Q. Okay. All right. Let me ask you this: Are you the appropriate witness to ask how it is the damage is intended to be repaired, or would that be somebody else on another panel?
A. I would defer that to the engineering panel, Mr. Bowes, Mr. Johnson and others. They can get into the details of how we intend to manage that issue and ensure that the roads end up in the same or better condition, which is the criteria that's here.
Q. But --
A. They can provide the details.
Q. That's my question. In terms of the details of how they intend to accomplish that, they're better to address that than you are?
A. Yes, certainly.
Q. Okay. Reason I ask is it appeared for the first time in your testimony and --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- it hadn't appeared before.
A. The reason this is in my testimony, this is an
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approach we've used successfully in other states with other projects. It's a way of ensuring there's good coordination with the municipalities around Means and Methods. Again, this is a draft. That will be ironed out with each municipality as we get closer to the construction phase. But Mr. Bowes and that panel can provide the detail.
Q. Is the intent to reach an agreement with each town on what the acceptable repair method will be?
A. That's just one area. The objective certainly is to have, you know, full coordination with every municipality that we are working with, and this is the vehicle to ensure that there is full agreement as to how we're going to approach the work. So, yes, in essence, every town that we will be working with, impacts on public roads being just one aspect of it. There's a lot of other elements to it. And coupled with a business coordination plan, that suggests how we will interact with businesses on town roads.
Q. But my question is very specifically geared to
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public roads. That's the only part I asked you about. Now, you --
A. I thought you were talking more generally.
Q. Nope, nope. And I want to make sure I understand clearly. It's the intent to reach an agreement with the towns because you're doing work on a lot of local town roads. The intent is to have NPT and the towns agree on what the appropriate repair to the roads are. Is that the intent?
A. Yes. Among other things, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. That is the intent.

MR. PAPPAS: All right. Thank you.
I have no other questions that would pertain to Public Interest.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We're going to intentionally go out of order right now to accommodate Mr . Bilodeau. Mr. Bilodeau, you're up.

MR. BILODEAU: Thank you. Am I okay
from here?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If you'd like.
As long as you're speaking into the microphone,
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you're fine.
MR. BILODEAU: Thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
thank you to the SEC Committee for giving me the opportunity to ask these questions of Mr . Quinlan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. BILODEAU :
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Quinlan.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I don't have a lot of technical questions. So in the interest of preserving time for everyone else that's here, I would suggest that your answers are simply "Yes" or "No."
A. I'll try.
Q. Do you own a home?
A. Yes.
Q. Is your home in a residential neighborhood?
A. Yes.
Q. Is your residential neighborhood rural or suburban?
A. Both. I would say suburban. How's that?
Q. Thank you. Would you expect a filling station to be built across the street from your home?
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A. Filling station? Gas station you mean?
Q. Yes.
A. Not across from my home because there's another home across the street.
Q. Would you expect a filling station to be built in your neighborhood?
A. Potentially, yes.
Q. If a filling station were built in your neighborhood, would you expect that to affect the quality of your life and the enjoyment of your home?
A. Depends. It might be more convenient. But yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. It could be positive or --
Q. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Bilodeau, sometimes your questions aren't pure "Yes" or "No" questions. He may actually have an "it depends" answer to give you. But I mean so far you're working pretty well together, so --

WITNESS QUINLAN: We're trying.
MR. BILODEAU: Only few more. Again, not highly technical.
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BY MR. BILODEAU :
Q. Mr. Quinlan, have you been to the substation in Deerfield?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you characterize that area as "rural residential"?
A. Generally rural.
Q. Thank you. Are there other pieces of the Project of the same magnitude as the Deerfield substation?
A. Can you clarify that? I'm not sure I understand.
Q. So, from the 192 miles in some of the documents we saw today there's transfer stations or ending --
A. Transition stations.
Q. Transition stations. Are any of those facilities on the 192 miles of the same magnitude as the substation in Deerfield?
A. Magnitude, as in size?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. How -- that's not a "Yes" or "No." I'm not going to ask that question.
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Are you aware that Eversource has been cutting Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday of this week to widen the right-of-way from Nottingham Road to the substation?
A. Substation in Deerfield?
Q. Yes.
A. I'm not aware of that, but it doesn't surprise me. One of the things we do along on all of our circuits every four years is remove vegetation that threatens the lines. So it wouldn't surprise me.
Q. Okay. I'd have to make a response back to that and it's not a question. So that's not really fair.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If you have other questions, you should ask them.

BY MR. BILODEAU:
Q. Now, this one just came about as Mr. Pappas was asking the question about those three criteria agreements. And I haven't seen that yet, but -- oh, I saw it, but $I$ didn't read it in any detail.

Would you consider the Bilodeau property to fall within any of those three criterias?
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A. I'm not familiar with the Bilodeau property in detail. I would have to check with the team on that.
Q. I could answer "no" for you. Thank you.
A. Okay.

MR. BILODEAU: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. That's it.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr.
Bilodeau.
All right. I have Municipal
Group 1 North. Mr. Ellis.
MR. ELLIS: I have no questions at this point, Mr . Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Municipal
Group 1 South. Mr. Whitley.
MR. WHITLEY: Can I go off the record just to make sure that we're set up to have images broadcast here?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, let's make sure everything's set up.

MR. WHITLEY: Okay. Thank you.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Back on the
record.
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MR. WHITLEY: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q. Mr. Quinlan, my name is Steven Whitley. I represent a number of municipalities. I introduced them earlier. I can do so again if you'd like. But $I$ want to start off by asking you some questions about the PUC decision by which public utility status was given to Northern Pass. And the reason I'm asking this is I believe that you have made statements before that New Hampshire ratepayers will not have to pay for any of the costs of building the line. Is that a fair characterization?
A. Yes.
Q. And my read of the PUC order -- and it's Applicant's Exhibit 78, which is what's on the screen there -- is that the protections for New Hampshire ratepayers have some -- there's some conditions to that. So I'd like you to take a look at Page 6, please, which should be coming up shortly.

You see there in that document it breaks out the line into two segments. It talks about
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
the AC line and then the DC line. For the AC line, you see the first sentence, see after the comma there, the second line says, "could be included in regional rates" if ISO identifies a reliability need and incorporates that into the grid. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it goes on, and at the end of that paragraph it says FERC has not determined whether to do that. Then the next sentence -you see that statement about FERC there in the middle?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the next sentence says Northern Pass will not initiate a proceeding to seek recovery in this manner. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the last sentence is, in the event that it is made a part of the reliability network, that Northern Pass would work with the Staff to limit any impact on the New Hampshire ratepayers, to the extent possible.
A. I see that, yes.
Q. Do you disagree with any of those statements?
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A. No.
Q. So, for the AC line there is a scenario, or certain scenarios under which New Hampshire ratepayers could be exposed to paying for the cost of the line; isn't that right?
A. There is a scenario. You know, I would characterize it as "very remote, certainly not within the planning horizon," just to give you some context. I think it's very unlikely that, if we didn't seek such treatment, which we've committed not to seek, that anyone else would pursue regional treatment for these costs.
Q. No, no --
A. I'm trying to give you context around the remoteness of this because I think it's a very low-probability event. So I think it's highly unlikely. And just so you have a sense for the order of magnitude, if that were to occur -that segment of the line is approximately $\$ 100$ million of investment. If in the extreme circumstance, 10 years from now, ISO-New England and FERC deem it to be regionalized, you know, New Hampshire's share of that would be 9 percent. And you're talking about a line
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that would have been largely depreciated by that point. So I'm just trying to paint for you the picture of the remoteness and the potential impact of that scenario.
Q. And I understand that you're giving some context, and I appreciate that.
A. It's context, yes.
Q. I think my question was, which you answered, there is a scenario under which New Hampshire ratepayers would be exposed.
A. Yes, to a very small portion of the line -Q. Thank you.
A. -- and the Company has committed not to pursue that.
Q. Next paragraph there discusses the DC line. It's that paragraph on Page 7 onto Page 8.
A. Yes.
Q. And so, similarly, there's a scenario under which the DC portion of the line, the cost of that line could be exposed to New Hampshire ratepayers; isn't that true?
A. No. For you to be into regional cost recovery space, an entity would have to determine that the line was required for grid reliability --
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namely, to keep the lights on in New England. There's no scenario that I'm aware of that the DC portion of the line is going to trigger that type of treatment.
Q. Yet, there is language in this order that provides a scenario by which New Hampshire ratepayers could be exposed to the cost of the DC line. And that is on the top of Page 8. If there is a FERC Order 1000 process or other regional cost-sharing mechanism --
A. We've agreed to hold harmless New Hampshire customers.
Q. No, I understand that. I see that "hold harmless" language, and I was about to ask you about that. But that "hold harmless" language itself has a limitation, which is the last sentence of that paragraph, "...so long as Northern Pass Project costs are being recovered through any such regional cost-sharing process or mechanism." So, again --
A. That's the only cost-recovery mechanism that's possible.
Q. Okay. So there's no way by which the cost recovery would be isolated to New Hampshire.
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A. Not that I'm aware, no.
Q. Okay. I'm going to turn now, Mr. Quinlan, to your Applicant's Exhibit 6, which is your supplemental testimony that was recently submitted.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you have that in front of you there?
A. I do. Which page?
Q. Page 8.
A. Okay.
Q. You touched on this previously with Attorney Boldt, but $I$ wanted to come back to it again.

You made a statement earlier this morning that PSNH/Eversource has a position about the appropriate methodology for the assessment of utility property.
A. Yes. Not just PSNH, but I think the industry has a methodology, which is the straight-line depreciation method, yes.
Q. Okay. And are you aware that many municipalities that host these assets would disagree with that methodology?
A. Yes.
Q. And that the reason for that disagreement is
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that the municipalities believe it undervalues the assets within the community?
A. I suspect that's their view. I think those are the issues that are being considered by the courts.
Q. You mentioned in your prefiled testimony... let's see. On Page 14 there, 13 and 14 of -I'm sorry -- Line 13 and 14 --
A. Still Page 8?
Q. Still on Page 8, Line 13 or 14.
A. Okay.
Q. You see that first sentence there, "Northern Pass has made a pledge not to seek tax assessments that are consistent with straight-line depreciation method commonly used for valuation of utility assets."
A. Yes.
Q. But when you mean -- when you say "commonly used" you mean commonly used among the utility industry.
A. Yeah, nationally. This is the -- I would characterize it personally as "the industry accepted practice." I know there are some municipalities that have a different view. But
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if you look across the country, this is generally accepted. What we're trying to do here is pledge that, if municipalities assess our property on that basis, we would not seek to abate it. So, essentially it establishes tax certainty or a floor for municipal planning purposes.
Q. No, I understand what the pledge is seeking to achieve.
A. Okay.
Q. But it sounds like you are also aware that you're asking the municipalities to agree to a methodology that they don't agree with and they don't accept.
A. No, we're not asking the towns to agree to this. This is a pledge that we are making, a commitment, in other words. But, you know, if a municipality were to assess it at a higher value and it was significantly over-assessed, then we would likely seek abatement. But those are extreme circumstances.
Q. But your pledge is premised on this methodology that the industry has typically used.
A. Yes. It's a pledge. It's a commitment to a
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tax floor to provide tax certainty. We're not asking municipalities to say they agree with the methodology.
Q. Mr. Quinlan, if you could turn now to the pledge itself, which is Attachment $I$, and it's located on Page 50 of the document.
A. Okay.
Q. I wanted to ask you some questions about what the pledge really entails.

The second line there appears to be a definition for "transmission infrastructure."
A. Are you in the "whereas"?
Q. Yes, very first clause.
A. Yeah, okay.
Q. Do you see that there?
A. Yes.
Q. So then you go down to Paragraph No. 1, the first sentence, "Northern Pass shall not seek an abatement on valuation of Northern Pass's taxable transmission infrastructure."

So what is applicable under this agreement is only how you define the term "transmission infrastructure"; is that accurate?
A. Yes, that's generally all of the
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infrastructure, however.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that when towns assess municipal -- or excuse me -- when towns assess utility assets, included in that assessment is the land on which the utility is located?
A. I'm not aware of that, no. I suspect that's probably true. But $I$ would ask the detailed tax questions to Dr. Shapiro.
Q. Well, understood.

So this pledge as written, then, would not necessarily cover any assessments or taxes based on the land, only the transmission infrastructure.
A. Again, $I$ would ask that question of Dr . Shapiro. I am aware that for PSNH assets the real estate is taxed as well. And I think it's our intention to have it covered here. But I would defer that to Dr. Shapiro.
Q. I mean, I ask because it is attached to your testimony and was introduced as part of your testimony.
A. I understand that. And I think she provides a tax schedule, for example, to the Town of Allenstown, and I believe it does include the
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underlying real estate property. But she's the person to ask.
Q. So who drafted the tax pledge?
A. The tax pledge? Probably drafted by counsel.
Q. Okay. Did you -- have you seen it before it was attached to your testimony?
A. Yes. Yes, I've certainly seen it.
Q. Did you check to make sure it was consistent with the statements that Ms. Shapiro had made?
A. Did I personally check? No. But I'm sure counsel did in consulting with our expert in this regard.
Q. Okay. It sounds to me like there's an inconsistency between what Ms. Shapiro has indicated would be covered and what the tax pledge --
A. I don't believe so. Again, I would ask these questions directly of her. I don't believe there is an inconsistency.
Q. So, under the tax pledge as it's written, there would be no limitation on Northern Pass's ability to seek an abatement for the assessment based on the land in town.
A. I don't believe that's true. Our intent would
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be to allow taxation of the infrastructure, including the real property.
Q. Okay. Also in Paragraph 1, that first sentence, there appears to be another kind of condition on what this applies to. It says, "Transmission infrastructure which is placed in service" --
A. Just as I sit here thinking, you know, there's probably a different tax methodology for the underlying real estate. I'm not certain that straight-line depreciation is that methodology. But, again, I would speak to Dr. Shapiro about the specifics of the tax basis.
Q. Did you --
A. But it's certainly our intention to commit to taxation of the property.
Q. Including any taxation based on the land.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you hear my question there?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 1, the first sentence, appears there's another condition on what sort of -- or what the pledge applies to. Second line there says, "Valuation of Northern Pass's taxable
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transmission infrastructure which is placed in service." Do you see the "placed in service"?
A. Yes.
Q. So do $I$ take that to mean that this pledge only applies if the line is in operation?
A. That's been "placed in service," meaning it's going into commercial operation.
Q. And "commercial operation" means power is running over the line.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
A. It has begun to operate.
Q. Okay. But if power is not -- so if the Project is constructed but there is no power yet running over the line, then this pledge is not applicable.
A. It's upon it being placed in service. You can see a scenario where the Project is placed in service, if you will, and then for a period of time it is not in service, meaning it's not flowing power. But I think that the tax would still be applicable. So, "placed in service" means the initial commissioning placement into service.
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Q. No, I understand what that refers to. But what I'm asking you is --
A. You're talking about during the construction phase?
Q. I'm saying if there's a lag between when construction ends and that initial commencement of operation begins, this pledge does not appear to apply to that period.
A. I'm not familiar with New Hampshire law as to taxation of infrastructure during the construction phase. So, again, I would pose that question to Dr. Shapiro.
Q. And I don't believe it's a question that's based on New Hampshire law. It's based on the language of this agreement, and that's what I'm trying to ask you.
A. Well, this agreement is clear. It says "placed in service," which means it's been placed into commercial operation. Back to your point, power is flowing over it.
Q. Okay.
A. Now, is there a state law that suggests you can tax a property before it's achieved that? I think that's a question of New Hampshire law.
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Q. Okay. The duration of the TSA between Northern Pass and Hydro-Quebec is 40 years; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And the life span of a transmission line is more than 20 years; is that correct?
A. More than 20 years?
Q. More than 20 years.
A. Likely, yes.
Q. Then why is this pledge limited to 20 years?
A. So, again, the TSA is a 40-year agreement. You know, this is the first 20 years is what we committed to in the Forward NH Plan. We're looking at a 20-year planning horizon. So the tax pledge runs in parallel, if you will, with the Forward NH Plan. That's what drove the tax pledge was the existence of the Forward NH Plan. Both have 20-year lives.
Q. Okay. So at the end of this 20-year tax pledge period, the town will be free to assess at whatever level they felt appropriate, and Northern Pass would be free to seek an abatement if it so choosed.
A. I think the town is free to assess on the basis they see fit at any time. I think our pledge
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is our commitment to not seek an abatement if it's used this methodology.
Q. And let me state it a different way. You're right. If after the 20-year period has expired and the town assesses at a level that Northern Pass disagrees with, Northern Pass would then be free to seek an abatement if they so choose.
A. We would be free to do that in the first 20 years. Do you follow?
Q. Hmm-hmm. No, I think you've answered the question.
A. Okay.
Q. So if Northern Pass's position with regard to methodology is something the towns disagree with, and this appears on its face to not cover the assessment based on the land rights, is this pledge truly in the best economic interests of the host community?
A. Yes. Again, this is a floor, if you will, for taxation. It's intended to define the minimum tax revenue that a town could count on. You know, if a town were to choose a different methodology, it could result in further tax revenues above and beyond this. So this is a
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unilateral pledge. Again, we're not asking the town to agree with our methodology, but we are providing tax certainty. So it's a minimum amount. And I think that's very valuable based upon my --
Q. But it's tax certainty provided the town doesn't go above the calculation that Ms. Shapiro has provided.
A. True. But that's a pretty straightforward methodology. I mean, it takes the investment amount and it depreciates it on a straight-line basis. So that's almost formulaic. And for each and every town, we've provided a schedule of what those payments would look like over time. And I would say generally that's been very well received from many towns. You know, they have some degree of certainty. It's not to say they necessarily agree with the methodology, but it's providing a sense for what the tax revenues will look like.
Q. If you could go back to your supplemental testimony, which is Applicant's Exhibit 6 --
A. Six.
Q. Applicant's 6, Page 9 of the testimony, yes.
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So, your prefiled testimony --
A. So you're not referring to the attachments.

You're referring to the body --
Q. No. Yes.
A. I'm sorry. Page 9?
Q. Page 9, yes.
A. Okay. Got it.
Q. I'm sorry. Page 8. My apologies. Go to Line 8 and 9. There's a statement there, "Property taxes paid by PSNH or recouped from customers through rates." Do you see that? (Witness reviews document.)
A. Yes.
Q. And I just wanted to get some clarity on what you mean by that.

The line in the host communities is a transmission line as opposed to a distribution line.
A. That's true, yes.
Q. Okay. And so transmission lines -- property taxes on transmission lines can be recouped through a tariff at the regional level.
A. Yes. That's through rates. Just a federal ratemaking as opposed to a state ratemaking,
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yes.
Q. Okay, okay. So, any sort of an increase in property tax payments that Northern Pass or PSNH might be subject to is not borne exclusively by New Hampshire ratepayers; it is instead distributed throughout the New England region as a rate.
A. For a regionalized tariff, that would be true. So if the costs are being socialized across New England, that would be true.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Municipal
Group 2, Attorney Fillmore.
MS. FILLMORE: Logistical issues.
One moment.
(Pause in proceedings) CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. I introduced myself earlier. I represent eight municipalities, and I'm speaking for Municipal Group 2 today.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Good afternoon. I'd like to ask you a few
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questions about the North Country Jobs Creation Fund, which Attorney Pappas has already covered, and I'm going to try not to repeat anything that he did.
A. Okay.
Q. So as you discussed with him, the Coos County Jobs Creation Association administers that fund; is that right?
A. No, the North Country Job Creation Fund Board administers that fund.
Q. Okay. What you see on the screen now is a portion of Joint Muni Exhibit 61, and it is looking at Page 7 of that exhibit that is on the right of the screen. And that is an internal document that was provided as part of a data request to Counsel for the Public -from Counsel for the Public, which shows that the Coos County Jobs Creation Association is the one that would be administering that fund.
A. Okay.
Q. And Northern Pass stated that it will provide $\$ 7.5$ million to that fund over several years; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
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Q. Okay. Is there a written agreement between Northern Pass or Eversource and the association that requires the contribution of five-point -of $\$ 7.5$ million?
A. I believe that there is, but $I$ would have to confirm that. I believe there is a formal agreement. Again, this predated by involvement. It was put in place by my predecessor.
Q. Refer you to the screen which now has Joint Muni Exhibit 42, which is a response to data requests that grew out of a technical session --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore, before you ask the question, as whoever was bringing it up gave us the full screen, it became -- the lettering became really small. Just before they blew it up to full screen, it was beautifully readable. Do you think we could go back there again? There we go. Thank you.

MS. FILLMORE: Day 1 is always
interesting.
BY MS. FILLMORE :
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Q. And in this response, as you can see, it says there is no written agreement that has been executed between Northern Pass Transmission and the North Country Jobs Creation Fund.
A. Okay. I do see that, yes.
Q. So as you're siting here today --
A. I'm not certain that that's still the case, but --
Q. As you sit here today, can you think of one?
A. No. But that's not to say it doesn't exist because, as I said, this fund was announced prior to my involvement, and it's really being administered by others. So I'm not certain whether there are formal agreements that have memorialized the commitment. I do know it's a firm company commitment, so...
Q. When did you become involved with the Project?
A. In the middle of 2014.
Q. I'll represent to you that this data request was made at some point in the last six months.
A. Okay. But I'm not looking at all the data requests, just so you're aware.
Q. Thank you.

Do you know if Eversource or Northern Pass
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ever required the association, the North Country Jobs Creation Association or the North Country Jobs Creation Fund, do you know if Eversource or Northern Pass ever required them to create written guidelines or standards regarding rating of proposals and the wording of grants?
A. I believe Attorney Pappas asked this question, and my response was $I$ was unaware whether published criteria exists or not.
Q. And do you know if the association or the fund was required by Eversource or Northern Pass to create any kind of reporting requirements for its grant recipients?
A. That again I'm unaware of. You know, as I said earlier, Mr. Ramsey handles the interface with the Jobs Creation Fund. I know he periodically meets with the board members, and from time to time he'll talk to me about the nature of the commitments that have been made. But as to the reporting requirements from those grants, you know, to the earlier questions, the jobs created specifically, no, I'm not aware of that. I do know anecdotally that these
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advanced commitments are making a difference.
Q. Unfortunately, Mr. Ramsey is not available for us to ask these questions of but --
A. Okay.
Q. So I'll continue.
A. But $I$ will tell you, you know, just as a general matter, you know, I do view these as corporate commitments that we take very seriously. And if we elect to make advanced commitments such as the one we're talking about now, you know, that's a corporate decision. If and when there needs to be formal agreements put in place -- for example, this fund truly matures when the permits are granted -- then we'll put the necessary agreements in place.
Q. Do you know if the association has required any of the six organizations that received grants so far, the advanced funding, do you know if the association has required them to report back any information about how the money was used or how many jobs were created?
A. Again, I'm not aware of the reporting requirements back from the grant recipients. The funds that have been allocated thus far
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have been fairly modest. But I am aware that they're doing exactly what they were intending to do, which is create opportunities in the North Country.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore? MS. FILLMORE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Where are we here in --

MS. FILLMORE: We're having a technical problem. But I'm going to skip ahead without the visual aid, I believe.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. Can we talk for a moment about the six grants that were awarded from the North Country Jobs Creation Fund? Counsel for the Public had a beautiful exhibit up earlier. And I understand from your discussion with him that you're pretty familiar with the six grants that were awarded, so --
A. I'm familiar with a couple of them, not all six. I can't --
Q. There was one that you mentioned, which was the White Mountain Regional High School --
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A. Yes, that one I'm familiar with.
Q. Okay. And The Dancing Bear at River's Edge in Colebrook.
A. I'm familiar with that as well, yes.
Q. And what was that one for?
A. That was for exterior renovation. I believe that's the only restaurant, if you will, in Colebrook. It's a tourist destination, if you will, and they applied for a grant to make it more attractive -- essentially, to side the exterior.
Q. A grant for siding?
A. A grant for siding, yes.
Q. Then there was another one, I'll represent to you, the Enriched Learning Center in Berlin. Are you familiar with that one?
A. I'm not. That may be the daycare center. There was a daycare center that was looking for some seed money to set up its operation. That may be the one you're referring to. But I'm not certain.
Q. There was a daycare center. Would you agree it was in Lancaster?
A. Subject to check. You know, again, I'm not
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familiar with all six.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the jeweler?
A. I am not familiar with the jeweler.
Q. Okay. Still hoping that my technical support can support my question.

So there was a jeweler in Berlin, I'll represent to you, and the grant was used for the purchase of a desktop laser welder.
A. Okay. Sounds like a good purchase.

MR. ROTH: Mr. Chairman, if it would help, it was our Exhibit No. 49, I believe.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the record for a second.
(Discussion off the record.)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're back on the record.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. If you could look at the screen, please. So, do these look familiar to you: The Greetings Jewelers in Berlin, the Enriched Learning Center -- that one, as you can see, a grant to be used for two seasonal employees who, among other things, will be functioning as whitewater guides; is that correct?
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A. Yes. That appears to be what it's saying. Yes.
Q. Skipping down to No. 5, Tillotson Performance Polymers, a grant to purchase package-sealing equipment and materials; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the daycare in Lancaster, a grant to fund expansion of daycare capacity; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As you think about those six grants, would you agree that it is difficult to find a common thread among them, other than the fact that they are all located in the North Country?
A. No, I think that's certainly common among them. The other is that these are small businesses that are seemingly looking to grow their business and to create jobs. So, in each instance, you know, the seed money that was provided would be consistent with the purpose of the Job Creation Fund, which is to target small business development in the North Country. So I think they're very consistent.
Q. Are any of the towns --
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A. But they're obviously a diverse set of businesses. We're not focused all on one. Some are tourism-related. Others are construction-related. So I'd say there's a wide range of small businesses, but they are all small businesses.
Q. And are any of those businesses located, to your knowledge, in a town that has intervened to oppose the Project?
A. Can you go back, please?
Q. I can tell you what they are. Berlin, Berlin, Colebrook, Whitefield, Colebrook, Lancaster.
A. So, Berlin and -- Berlin is not along the Project route, nor is Colebrook. Whitefield, I believe, has intervened, and I believe currently they have some concerns about the Project. Lancaster, I know they're along the route. I don't believe they've intervened. So I don't think there's a common thread there. You have a mix of parties who have intervened in favor of the Project, like Berlin, and opposed to the project, like Whitefield, and towns that are not along the route at all, like Colebrook and Berlin.
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Q. On the screen right now is Joint Muni Exhibit 80, which is an excerpt from the testimony that will be introduced by Counsel for the Public, their expert, Thomas Kavet. He reviewed the Forward NH Plan is my understanding. Can you look at Lines 23 to 25 and --
A. So, I'm sorry. Whose testimony?
Q. Thomas Kavet.
A. Okay. So this is his testimony? Is that what you're saying?
Q. It is.
A. Which lines?
Q. Twenty-three to 25.
A. Okay.
Q. And that says, "However, to date, the small grants awarded by the NCJCF, North Country Jobs Creation Fund, have seemed haphazard and poorly targeted for achievement of meaningful economic development outcomes." Is that what it says?
A. It is what it says. I don't necessarily agree with that. I think our goal all along has been to put these funds in the hands of individuals who know the North Country and know best how to grow jobs in that region. I'm not sure who Mr .
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Kavet is or the basis for this conclusion. But I think the board is well regarded. They come from the North Country and they know the challenges. So I see that as what it says. I don't agree.
Q. Okay.
A. And just moreover, you know, the feedback I've received has been very positive. I mentioned the White Mountain High School. If you think about the impact that that small grant could have on that entire region --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Quinlan, I don't think there's a pending question right now.

Ms. Fillmore.
MS. FILLMORE: Thank you.
BY MS. FILLMORE :
Q. What will be appearing on the screen shortly is Applicant's 6, which is your supplemental prefiled testimony, and I would direct you to Page 4.
A. Okay.
Q. Lines 5 through 8.
A. Yes.
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Q. And is it your testimony here that, "Moreover, the Forward NH Fund will work to reinvest a portion of the $\$ 200$ million commitment to further its objectives and ensure the ability of the fund to provide benefits to the state for decades to come"? That was your testimony?
A. Yes. So an example of that is the loan to the Balsams that we talked about earlier and the repayment of that loan and the fact that it would flow back into the fund.
Q. Yes, $I$ was just going to bring that up.
A. Okay.
Q. Would you agree that if it's a loan, then it's not really the same benefit as a grant? Would it be?
A. It's by definition different. A grant need not be repaid; a loan would require repayment generally, yes.
Q. And is Eversource charging interest on that loan?
A. I believe the agreement does provide for interest or a return if it's converted to equity.
Q. And if it's converted to equity, would that
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mean that the lender would hold an equity interest in the Balsams or Dixville Capital?
A. For the time that it was true equity. But there's also a provision for it to be repaid, and that's when the return would be delivered back into the fund.
Q. Are you aware of anything in the Forward NH Fund's formation documents -- I'm sorry. I did skip to the Forward NH Fund.

Are you aware of anything in the formation documents that requires money to be loaned rather than granted outright, or that requires the money to be reinvested?
A. I believe the formation documents allow either. But that's a legal question.
Q. Allow, but not require?
A. I think it allows both.
Q. I'd like to look at your testimony from October 16, your original testimony, which is Applicant's Exhibit 5.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you have that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay.
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A. Which page?
Q. Please turn to Page 6.
A. Okay.
Q. Page 6, Lines 18 and 19.
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see that says, "The emphasis for this fund will be on host communities, and in particular, host communities in the North Country"?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is in response to Counsel for the Public's question earlier, Attorney Pappas's question earlier. You also said that the emphasis would be on host communities in the North Country.
A. Yes. That's what this says, yes. And that's true.
Q. Are you aware of anything in the formation documents or the Forward NH Fund that requires or provides for an emphasis on host communities?
A. I have not studied those in detail, but I do think there is specific mention of the North Country, and I believe community investment.
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I'd have to study it. It's certainly our intention --
Q. We'll bring that up and take a look.
A. That's fine.
Q. All right. Attachment A to your supplemental testimony --
A. The Articles of Agreement?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. And if you look at Article II --
A. Yup.
Q. -- Section $B(1)$, this is the same paragraph that Mr. Pappas brought up earlier.
A. Okay.
Q. And do you see anything in there that says "host community"?
A. Well, I see specific reference to the "North Country of New Hampshire."
Q. But not "host community."
A. That's what $I$ was referring to. I'm sorry?
Q. But not "host community."
A. I don't see the words "host community" here, but that's what's intended.
Q. Are there other communities in the North \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

Country that are not host communities?
A. Yes. And as I said earlier, it's not -- this is not exclusive. We're not saying every dollar is going to be invested in a community hosting the Northern Pass transmission line. You know, several of the projects may well be outside of that area. But our focus is on host community investment and on the North Country in particular.
Q. I'd like to talk now a little bit about some of the advanced funding that's been given out. I'm going to try not to cover the same ground that Attorney Pappas already has.
A. Okay.
Q. So, quickly about the Balsams, as we've already covered that. That is the $\$ 5$ million loan commitment; is that correct?
A. Yes. At this point we've made a $\$ 5$ million loan commitment. Correct.
Q. And that additional $\$ 3$ million was committed last month, March of 2017?
A. Yes, I think the commitment was made last month. I can't get into the details 'cause it's confidential, but it's based upon
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progress.
Q. And you're familiar with Mr. Otten?
A. I am, yes.
Q. And that he's the developer of Dixville Capital?
A. Yes, he's the lead developer, as I understand it.
Q. Are you aware that Mr . Otten appeared here at a technical session on January 19th?
A. I am, yes.
Q. And then you're also aware, I assume, that Dixville Capital is in support of the Project, the Northern Pass Project?
A. Yes. As $I$ understand it, he personally is very supportive, has been for a long time. Predated my arrival in New Hampshire. I think the Company's dealings with Mr. Otten in the context of the Balsams dates back to my predecessor, and I think in the first instance related to providing the power supply to its development because his electrical needs are a significant increase over what exists today. But my understanding is he's always been an advocate for clean energy.
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Q. And he appeared here at a technical session in January and then shortly thereafter received an additional $\$ 3$ million loan commitment?
A. Yes. I don't believe they're related. But yes, that's true.
Q. Some of the other advanced funding -- are you familiar with the other advanced funding from the Forward NH Fund?
A. Generally, yes. We talked about some of it earlier, yes.
Q. Okay. Attorney Pappas has already talked about Roger's Campground, so we'll skip that.
A. Okay.
Q. Coming up on the screen now should be Joint Muni Exhibit 61.
A. Okay.
Q. And here is a list. So, the North Country Cell Effort, are you familiar with that?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. And that was a one-time payment to the Coos County Economic Development Corporation for some cell service improvements in the area?
A. Yes, in the town of Groveton. Yes.
Q. But the money went to Coos County Economic
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Development Corporation.
A. Yes.
Q. And then the Public Safety Services Initiative in Lancaster. And that one was to provide funding for the attachment and rental expense associated with a public safety service antenna on a cell tower; is that correct?
A. Yes, which I think is also in Groveton. But that serves kind of a regional need. I believe Lancaster is kind of a regional hub for these public safety services. But I think the cell tower's technically in Groveton.
Q. And under that agreement for that project, my understanding is that the fund would pay the cost of having the antenna installed and then pay the rental payments on it for 20 years; is that correct?
A. I believe that's the first of those agreements -- actually, no. That agreement is not coming out of the Forward NH Fund. So the construction of the Morse Mountain cell tower was a previous commitment the Company made outside that predated the Forward NH Fund. So that cell tower was built basically before my
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arrival and before the Forward NH Fund existed. So construction --
Q. Okay. I wasn't asking about the cell tower.
A. I thought you were saying the construction and maintenance of the cell tower. That's not what you said?
Q. That's not what $I$ said.
A. Okay. I apologize.
Q. No, I was talking about -- what is it called? The agreement that's up on the screen is also part of Joint Muni 61. This is Page 42 of that exhibit.
A. Can you go back, please, to the list?
Q. Sure. We'll go back to the list, Page 36.
A. Okay.
Q. There's the list.
A. So are you referring to Item 2, the North Country Cell Effort?
Q. No, Item 3.
A. Okay, okay.
Q. The Public Safety Services Initiative.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And my understanding with that one, as it says here, is to provide funding for the \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

the Town of Lancaster; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Not Groveton?
A. That's correct. It's with the Town of Lancaster. The tower is physically located in Groveton.
Q. And if we could go to Section 8, which is where we are going, Section 8.1 is on the screen, and it says, "Should the Project not be placed in service for whatever reason, this agreement shall terminate, and no funds, with the exception of those funds previously expended, shall be expended by the Forward NH Fund, and the rental fee described in Section 3.1 will no longer be paid by the fund, it being expressly understood that such placement in service is a precondition to the obligation to make those payments hereunder." Do you see that?
A. I see that. But this has been installed and is service currently, just for --
Q. The antenna has been installed.
A. It has, yeah. It's in service and performing its role.
Q. Section 8.1, though, the Project --
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A. Yeah, that's referring to Northern Pass and the continuing obligation --
Q. Yes, thank you.
A. -- to pay the lease payments. Again, this is --
Q. So if the Northern Pass --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Both of you, try not to talk over each other.

MS. FILLMORE: Sorry.
BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. So if the Northern Pass Project does not go forward, the rental payments would stop.
A. Yes. So, again, this is an advanced commitment from a fund that is conditioned upon the Project going in service. The entire construct of the Forward NH Fund has that condition or contingency. We advanced some funds in this instance, but the continued lease obligation is linked to the Project going in service.
Q. Just a few more questions also about the Forward NH Fund. And we're bringing back up Applicant's Exhibit 6, which is your supplemental prefiled testimony.
A. Okay.
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Q. Attachment A. There we go.

Okay. This is the Articles of Agreement for the Forward NH Fund. And I am scrolling back to Article X , which has the list of the initial incorporators. And you explained to Mr. Pappas earlier that you are familiar with the first incorporator, Mr. Ardinger --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that correct?

And would you believe me if I told you that Chris Sullivan, Kathy Michaelis, Olga Goldberg and Alex Russell are all tax lawyers at Mr. Ardinger's firm?
A. I have no reason to not believe you. I assume you're correct.
Q. So, currently they are the incorporators of the funds which doesn't have a board yet.
A. That's correct. As I said, Bill Ardinger is our counsel for purposes of this. You know, the initial incorporators are largely irrelevant. They're essentially helping us form up the corporation and creating what we hope is a very tax-efficient structure. That's why we retained Mr . Ardinger for that purpose.
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Q. And I just have two questions left.

Do you agree that the Northern Pass Project has to be in the public interest in order to receive a certificate from this committee?
A. I know there's a "public interest test," but I would leave it to the lawyers to characterize it. I know we have to demonstrate that the Project serves the public interest. But the exact characterization of that, you know, I leave to counsel. That's certainly our intention. That's what the Forward NH Plan is largely all about is to bring to life the environmental, economic and other benefits of the Project.
Q. Your testimony, and I would represent testimony of the Applicant's many other witnesses, is full of claims regarding the benefits of the Project. But if as you claim the Project is already in the public interest, you don't really need another $\$ 2$ million to sweeten the pot, do you?
A. Again, that's part of the Forward NH Plan. I view that plan in its entirety as designed to
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serve the public interest. And it's in direct response to feedback from New Hampshire stakeholders who asked the question: What can this project do for New Hampshire?
Q. Thank you, Mr. Quinlan.
A. So that was the purpose.

MS. FILLMORE: No further questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr. Whitley, I assume when you spoke, you were also covering Municipal Group North?

MR. WHITLEY: Correct, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Attorney Pacik, Municipal Group 3 South.

MS. PACIK: Good afternoon.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just before you get started, we would like to break sometime in the next 10 minutes. So if you have a couple things you can do and then we'll take a break, that would probably be best.

MS. PACIK: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. PACIK:
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Q. Mr. Quinlan, you spoke about the Coos Loop upgrade earlier today; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had talked a little bit about the cost of it. And you understand that's being proposed as one of the public benefits of this project; correct?
A. Clearly. You know, unlocking those small-scale renewables are clearly in the public interest. This is an upgrade that has been contemplated in New Hampshire for decades but was cost-prohibitive. So our ability to deliver that as part of the Forward NH Plan --
Q. Okay. So, based on what you just said, it would be fair to say that you agree that this is an important project for the North Country.
A. Which project?
Q. The Coos Loop upgrade.
A. Yes. It's part of the Northern Pass Project.

But yes, I would agree the Project in its entirety, including that portion, are in the public interest.
Q. Okay. And so in terms of the cost, you said that the cost of the upgrades are $\$ 55$ million?
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A. I said approximately 50.
Q. Approximately. And I do recall you had talked about the CV -- SVC compensator; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was $\$ 20$ million?
A. Could be. That's the component that ISO will determine whether we need or do not need.
Q. And in the event it is not needed, then that would reduce the cost of the Project to approximately $\$ 35$ million?
A. It could, it could.
Q. Okay. And so, even if Northern Pass doesn't go forward, that's something that Eversource could still do; correct?
A. Not typically. The types of upgrades we're talking about here would normally be borne by a generator who is seeking to interconnect into the loop. And in the past -- just let me -- in the past, generators who have been faced with that incremental cost have declined to make the investment. That's why it hasn't taken place previously. Again, it's been studied for many years here in New Hampshire and has been deemed
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to be cost-prohibitive. This is not something Eversource would do in the ordinary course. It's not a reliability upgrade.
Q. But if something -- if Eversource chose to do it, they could do it. It's technically feasible to do it without the Northern Pass.
A. It's technically feasible. But under our rate regulation, we don't normally make investments of this kind.
Q. Okay.
A. These are generally reliability-driven investments or capacity-driven investments. This is not something we would seek to have distribution customers pay for.
Q. So I understand it's something you would not normally do.

There's been testimony in the case that between 2012 and 2014, so in that three-year time period, Eversource invested over \$2 billion in transmission projects in New England. Are you familiar with that number?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. And are you familiar with the fact that there's also been testimony in this case that between
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2014 and 2018, so over those four years, Eversource is planning to spend an additional \$8. 4 billion on transmission projects?
A. That sounds about right, yes.
Q. And the Coos Loop upgrade is approximately $\$ 55$ million?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. You're talking about two different types of investments, just so we're clear. The investments that were made previously, as well as the $\$ 8.4$ billion, are investments that ISO-New England has determined to be reliability-based investments; therefore, the costs would be socialized across New England. The Coos Loop upgrade that we're talking
about here doesn't qualify as a reliability-based investment, therefore would not be collectible through a transmission rate. So you're talking about two different types of investment.
Q. I understand.
A. Okay. Just so we're clear.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
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There's also discussion -- and I'm not going to go into details about the tax abatements that have been filed. I think we covered that pretty well.
A. You sure?
Q. But I'll do everybody a favor and not ask about that.

But there have been, obviously, a number of tax abatements filed by Eversource in the past. And you did make a statement that the reason that you needed to file those tax abatements was to protect the customers; right?
A. Yes. Tax expense is a flow through to our customers; in essence, it's collected through our rates. So if we are taxed an extraordinary amount by a municipality, customers throughout the state would essentially pay that tax.
Q. Okay. And I do want to just confirm that Eversource is a publicly traded company. It's not a non-profit; right?
A. Eversource?
Q. Yeah.
A. The parent company is a publicly traded company. PSNH, the subsidiary, is not.
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Q. But Eversource is publicly traded. And it's actually a Fortune 500 Company; right?
A. Eversource the parent, yes.
Q. And so the savings from tax abatements are also enjoyed by the shareholders; is that correct?
A. No.
Q. So you disagree with that.
A. Potentially between rate cases?
Q. Yes.
A. Maybe. But, you know, for all intents and purposes, taxes are an operating expense that's recovered through rates. So it's paid for by other customers.
Q. But between the rate cases --
A. The Company does not profit on taxes.
Q. Okay. And between the rate cases there is potentially a flow through to the shareholders; right?
A. Potentially, if there's a regulatory lag, which there generally isn't.
Q. You also talked about the amount of jobs created during construction. And I think the number that was put out there was 2600 jobs at the peak of construction; right?
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A. Yes.
Q. And that calculation was done by Julia Frayer. And she is the economist that was hired by Northern Pass for this case?
A. Yes. It's also consistent with our experience, as you've said. We've built a lot of transmission infrastructure in the last decade or so. So we have a pretty good feel for ourselves. And we also now have a general contractor for Northern Pass who has confirmed the numbers.

So, yes, the 2600 came through her analysis, but we believe it to be a strong estimate.
Q. So $I$ just want to clarify, because for most of us when we hear "a job," we think of a job being "a job," whether it's one year or three years. And that's not how the 2600 jobs are being counted in this case; right? So let me --
A. No, I don't agree with that. I think there are jobs that are, you know, for the duration of the Project. So a construction job tends to be for the duration of a particular project. This
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has approximately a three-year construction window. So it's long period for a construction worker.
Q. Are you aware that Julia Frayer counted jobs, for example, if a construction worker worked for three years, she counted that as three jobs?
A. No. I do understand there are secondary and tertiary effects. So the construction worker needs equipment. The construction worker has to stay in a hotel. The construction worker is generally eating where he or she he is living --
Q. I'm just going to stop you because I don't think you understood my question.
A. Go ahead.
Q. If construction -- regardless of what they're eating or where they're staying, if a construction worker works year one, year two and year three, according to Julia Frayer's calculations, that was three jobs. Are you aware of that?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Danielle, do you have
a specific reference that you can put in front
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Of Mr. Quinlan to show him -MS. PACIK: It's a confidential document, so I don't think we want to be putting that up right now.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Well, then I think we should save that for the confidential session. MS. PACIK: And if he's not aware, then he can say no. But I'm just asking --
A. No, I would defer that question to Ms. Frayer. BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Okay.
A. All I'm saying is we have executed a lot of large-scale transmission construction projects, and her numbers look about right to us.
Q. And I understand that. I'm just trying to get to that 2600 number.
A. Okay. That's fine.
Q. Are you aware whether that 2600 number includes jobs other than construction -- for example: Administrative jobs or flow-through jobs?
A. Yes, it does. It certainly does. If you think about what it takes to execute a $\$ 1.6$ billion project, it's not just the folks in the field. There's a lot of secondary and tertiary job
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effects required to support their construction efforts. So, absolutely it should count those --
Q. So, for tertiary jobs, for example, the 2600 jobs, it could include the construction worker. And she also included, for example, if people went to The Dancing Bear --
A. Sure.
Q. -- because of the new siding and they spent a lot of money. She assumed that based on the amount spent, another job might be created; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes, she has.
Q. And you --
A. Not specifically The Dancing Bear, but yes. Have you ever been there, by the way? No.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Quinlan,
let's try not to talk over each and let Ms. Pacik finish her questions. And Ms. Pacik, why don't you try and let Mr. Quinlan finish his answers.

MS. PACIK: Certainly.
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BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Okay. So it includes not only primary jobs, but incidental jobs, flow-through jobs; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And at the end of the construction, those jobs, that 2600 number, not all of them are permanent; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

MS. PACIK: Last area, just so you know, Mr. Chairman, unless you want to take a break now. But $I$ can finish up in a minute. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go for it. MS. PACIK: Okay.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. You did talk to Attorney Pappas about the Granite State Power Link?
A. Yes.
Q. And from what we have heard, 80 percent are proposed on existing structures, and 20 percent of the proposed project would be on new structures. Is that also consistent with your understanding?
A. No. That's the New Hampshire portion of the
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line?
Q. Yes.
A. I think that's a guess at this point.
Q. Okay. That's the information at least that's been put out publicly. You're aware of that?
A. For the New Hampshire portion of the line. There's a substantial Vermont portion, which would be all new construction. So, no, I don't agree.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have stated that the heights of the structures will not be increased to what the current structure heights are?
A. No. I believe the structures that are being replaced will be taller structures generally.
Q. Okay. And that's your understanding?
A. Again, I think they're very early in their design. We have had some contact with National Grid about this. So I think it's early in its conceptual phase to draw conclusions about the Project.

MS. PACIK: Okay. I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
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We're going to take our break and come back as close to quarter after as we can.
(Brief recess was taken at 3:04 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 3:18 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Next up on the list, Grafton County Commissioners. Is Ms. Saffo here? Anybody from Grafton County Commissioners?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Attorney Reimers.

MR. REIMERS: Yes. I'm going to use the podium.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. My name is Jason Reimers. I represent the Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests. And I just want to clarify, in Applicant's Exhibit 70, which is the letter whereby you adopted -- you and Mr. Bowes adopted some of Mr . Muntz's testimony, it says that you will cover the Project's inception and
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an overview of the route selection process, and Mr. Bowes will cover details relating to the Project's route selection process and alternatives analysis. So if you could just look at Mr. Muntz's testimony at Page 1 and just review Pages 1 through 3 and let me know if that's yours or Mr. Bowes' territory.
A. All three pages in their entirety? Is that what you're referring to?
Q. Yes.
(Witness reviews document.)
MR. IACOPINO: For the record, that's part of Applicant's Exhibit 4, Mr. Muntz's testimony? Or if you have it marked --

MR. REIMERS: I know Applicant's 1 is the whole application.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Yeah, Applicant 4 is Mr. Muntz's testimony.

MR. REIMERS: Thank you.
A. So $I$ would say generally, Pages 1 and 2 are my responsibility. When you start talking about alternative routes and, for example, the analysis performed to quantify the incremental cost of underground construction, that would be
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|  | 100 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mr. Bowes. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Quinlan, |
| 4 | could you move your microphone a little closer |
| 5 | to you, please? |
| 6 | WITNESS QUINLAN: Is that better? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We'll see. |
| 8 | Probably. |
| 9 | (Pause proceedings) |
| 10 | BY MR. REIMERS : |
| 11 | Q. Okay. So, in Mr. Muntz's testimony, he |
| 12 | discusses the original 2009 proposal, doesn't |
| 13 | he? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And that was an all-overhead design? |
| 16 | A. That's correct. |
| 17 | Q. And Mr. Muntz also discusses the 2013 proposal? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And the 2013 proposal included two underground |
| 20 | sections of . 7 miles and 7.5 miles . And the |
| 21 | route changed in the Northern Section; is that |
| 22 | right? |
| 23 | A. Yes, that's correct. Generally moved to the |
| 24 | east. |
|  | \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\} |

Q. Okay. So, on Page 3 of Mr. Muntz's testimony, at Line 6, he states, "The 2013 reconfiguration of the proposed route in the North Section also avoided all lakes or ponds that are subject to regulation under the New Hampshire Shoreland Water Quality Protection Act." Do you see that part?
A. I do.
Q. Do you know what he means by the "North Section"? To help you out, I can point you to Page 2, Lines 25 to 26.
A. Yes, the North Section is approximately 40 miles from the border crossing to the connection to the Coos Loop. That's the so-called "North Section."
Q. The new right-of-way.
A. Right. Yes. For now, portions of it is underground, so --
Q. Okay. And by "avoided," what did Mr. Muntz mean? I'm sorry. Going back to Page 3, where he talks about how the new route avoids all lakes and ponds subject to what was formerly called Shoreland Protection Act. What did he mean by "avoid"?
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A. Again, those details $I$ would defer to Mr . Bowes. I'm assuming that it meant that the prior route, the westerly route, was in closer proximity to certain ponds or lakes that are subject to that act. There may well be some specific bodies of water there he's referring to.
Q. Okay. Do you have a --
A. That may be the so-called "Connecticut Headwaters area."
Q. Okay. Do you know what determines whether a lake or a pond is subject to that statute?
A. I do not.
Q. Would you believe me if it was 10 acres or more?
A. Again, I don't -- subject to check, I guess I would believe you.

MR. REIMERS: Dawn, could you do the hard wire?

BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. I am showing you part of exhibit -- well, I'm calling it Exhibit 1 because that's the Application; however, this is Mr. DeWan's report, or part of Mr . DeWan's report.
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A. Okay.
Q. And Mr. DeWan is a consultant hired by the Applicant?
A. He is, yes.
Q. Do you know where Little Diamond Pond is?
A. Generally, yes. It's in the Stewartstown area.
Q. If you look at your screen, you'll see it's in Coleman State Park, according to Mr. DeWan?
A. Yes, I am familiar with the area.
Q. And this is along the North Section of the route, isn't it?
A. It is in the North Section, yes.
Q. The next page that I'm showing you is a photo simulation of Mr . DeWan. Do you see the proposed Northern Pass in that photo simulation? Here, I'll go back and then forward.
A. I believe so. I think so. I think I see --
Q. How's that?
A. It's from a distance. I think this is a view simulation from a mile or two away.
Q. Okay. The next one is another existing view from Little Diamond Pond.
A. Okay.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
Q. Obviously without the Northern Pass.
A. Yes.
Q. And in the next one, do you see the Northern Pass in the photo simulation?
A. Yes. Yes, I do see that.
Q. Is it your opinion that the Northern Pass avoids Little Diamond Pond?
A. I've never used those words, "avoids."
Q. But you've adopted Mr. Muntz's testimony, haven't you?
A. Yes. I don't know whether Little Diamond Pond is subject to regulation by this water quality protection act.
Q. You don't need to. Assuming that it does for this question, would it be your opinion that the Northern Pass would avoid Little Diamond Pond?
A. I assume the -- I honestly don't know. I assume avoidance is based upon distance from, in this case, infrastructure. I don't know if a mile or two away constitutes an impact or is "avoided."
Q. I'm not using the term "avoid" in any term of art way or legal sense.
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A. Okay.
Q. Just layman sense, in your opinion, would the Northern Pass avoid Little Diamond Pond?
A. Well, again, $I$ don't know if there's a legal or technical term around it. I can -- it's visible in at least one of these instances for me.
Q. Okay. Which one do you see it in?
A. The second view sim you showed, it was more visible. The first was very faint.
Q. But you do see it, don't you?
A. Barely, yes.
Q. And I'm asking because you just said maybe it's visible.
A. Yeah. Again, I did see it in the second view simulation, yes.
Q. Thank you.

Do you know where Big Dummer Pond is?
A. I don't. I assume it's in Dummer, but I don't know.
Q. You assumed correct.

Hold on.
MR. REIMERS: Mr. Chairman, I
promise I'll get quicker at this as we proceed.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's a shakedown cruise, Mr. Reimers. We're all working through it.

MR. REIMERS: I appreciate that.
BY MR. REIMERS :
Q. Okay. This is also from Mr. DeWan's report. This is the existing conditions at Big Dummer Pond in Dummer. The next one -- I just changed it -- is Mr. DeWan's photo simulation. Do you see the Northern Pass in there?
A. I do. On this simulation I do, yes.
Q. And are those lattice structures proposed?
A. The only one $I$ can make out clearly is to the right-hand side of the simulation. It does appear to be lattice. Now, this is a 2014 simulation. I'm not certain whether the design has changed or not to monopole.
Q. Are you aware of sections of Mr . DeWan's report that are no longer accurate?
A. No, but I am aware that we have, you know, as part of the Forward NH Plan, changed quite a number of lattice structures to monopoles -and this is to our earlier discussion with Attorney Pappas -- to reduce visual impacts.
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So I just don't know whether these particular structures are among those that were changed. Many of the early view simulations have gone to monopole. And we selected these because --
Q. But my question was are you aware of any parts of Mr . DeWan's report that are no longer accurate?
A. No. I said no. But I said this view simulation, $I$ believe, predated his report.
Q. Okay. And the monopoles are used because they have less of a negative visual impact than the lattice structures?
A. Depending on the conditions in the area, they're certainly less visible. It's a streamlined structure, and there are things we can do with material and color to make them less visible. In this instance, for example, a galvanized structure against the white background would make it clearly less visible.
Q. And this is not a galvanized structure that you're looking at.
A. From this distance, you can't tell. I can't tell. This is probably a mile? How far is that?
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Q. It's half a mile to 1.35 miles.
A. Yeah, I think the structure that's most visible to me, the one off to the right, looks like it's a mile, roughly.
Q. Right. The one that's most visible to you happens to be --
A. That's because --
Q. -- the one that's furthest away.
A. Yes, because it's what looks like -- again, I don't know -- looks like it's a galvanized structure against a brown background. In that instance, a monopole with a weathered steel finish would probably be invisible. It looks like a tree. Against a white background, a galvanized becomes invisible.
Q. Okay. This is Mr. DeWan's other photo of existing conditions, and this is another photo simulation. Do you see the proposed Northern Pass here?
A. Yes. That's clearly a lattice structure, at least the upper left structure is lattice.
Q. And what about the one right on the right of the island?
A. That appears to be a lattice as well. Again, I
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don't know if those have been changed to monopole at this point and whether the color has changed. Now, these are the type of design refinements we are making.
Q. Okay. So there could be things in Mr. DeWan's report that are no longer accurate?
A. Again, I think this probably predated his report. But as we've said all along, we're going to continue to look at local opportunities to address local concerns. So, you know, the final design does not exist today.
Q. I understand --
A. These view simulations were a snapshot in time.
Q. I understand your answer to be yes, it is possible.
A. No, I didn't say that. I said these predated his report, so $I$ don't know whether any of his final report simulations have been changed. This, $I$ don't know if it was part of his report or not.
Q. It's marked --
A. The earlier one was 2014 vintage, so it clearly predated his report.
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Q. Do you see at the bottom right it says "Northern Pass Visual Impact Assessment"?
A. I do.
Q. And do you see on the bottom left how it's stamped by the Applicant with a Bates stamp number?
A. You're talking about the "APP"?
Q. I am.
A. I don't know if that's our stamping or yours. But that's for production purposes, I gather.
Q. Okay. Mr. DeWan's report will come up later on, so we can move on.

Assume for this question that this pond, Big Dummer Pond, is subject to the Shoreland Protection Act, I'm going to call it. Would it be your opinion that the Northern Pass avoids it? Using plain language, there's no hidden trap there.
A. I would say it's visible. You know, whether it avoids it or not, you know, I'm not going to -that's an odd word, in my view. But it's visible.
Q. Okay. But it's testimony you've adopted.
A. Yes.
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Q. On Page 3 of your testimony --
A. Which testimony?
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Oh}, \mathrm{I}$ 'm sorry. Mr. Muntz's testimony.
A. Okay.
Q. Still on Mr. Muntz. On Page 3, Mr. Muntz discusses that the current proposal builds on the 2013 changes and adds a 52-mile underground section through the White Mountains. That's right, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And he notes the reasons for these changes as including, "public concerns over iconic viewsheds." That's at Page 3, Line 11. Do you see that?
A. Yes. Yes, I do.
Q. What iconic viewsheds is he referring to?
A. He's referring to viewsheds in and around the White Mountain National Forest, including those from the Franconia Notch area, you know, the Appalachian Trail.
Q. And so the 2013 -- or, yeah, the 2015 proposal eliminated any impact to those iconic viewsheds?
A. In and around the White Mountain National
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Forest, yes. That was the purpose of the change.
Q. And so did the 2009 proposal include -- would it have been visible from Franconia Notch?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, if you look at his testimony, he seems to be saying that it would have been.
A. Okay.
Q. And my question is: Why would Eversource, in the first place, propose a transmission line that would be visible from a place so iconic as Franconia Notch?
A. Well, one of the reasons the initial route was selected was to maximize the use of an existing right-of-way. There's a right-of-way that runs through that area today and has an existing transmission facility. So, one way to mitigate incremental impacts, if you will, is to co-locate infrastructure. So that was the premise behind the initial route selection was to maximize the use of existing transmission corridors.
Q. Turn to your prefiled testimony, please.
A. Okay. Supplemental or initial?
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Q. No, initial.
A. Okay. Which page?
Q. I'll get there. You list -- it's on Page 3, around Line 22.
A. Okay.
Q. You list Northern Pass's justifications for why it has chosen to propose burial where it has, and Attorney Pappas went through some of this with you earlier. The first factor you mentioned is the availability of aerial right-of-way and public highway corridors. Do you see that?
(Witness reviews document.)
Q. Line 23.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Did the Northern Pass or any of its affiliates directly inquire to the New Hampshire Department of Transportation about burial along I-93?
A. I believe so, yes. I personally did not.
Q. What do you understand of those communications?
A. I would be speculating, but I would refer that question to Mr . Bowes. I am generally aware that we have looked at the feasibility of I-93.
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And we had retained on our staff a member of the department -- a previous member of the Department of Transportation who helped illustrate the challenges in using that for this purpose, but --
Q. Mr. Hodgdon that is; right? Mark Hodgdon?
A. Yes, I believe Mark Hodgdon and Mike Pillsbury, yes.
Q. Neither of those gentlemen are witnesses in this matter, are they?
A. I don't believe they are. But, you know, they helped to advise us on the feasibility of that corridor.
Q. No, I understand that you looked into -Northern Pass looked into feasibility.
A. Yes.
Q. But my question was whether you approached DOT about it, and you said ask Mr. Bowes; right?
A. No, I said we had, but ask Mr. Bowes for the details because I had not personally.
Q. Okay. When did the Northern Pass idea initially arise, the inception of the idea?
A. In the 2009 time frame.
Q. And whose idea was it?
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A. You know, $I$ honestly don't know. There were several individuals involved in the genesis of the project. Our then vice-president of strategic development, certainly was involved.
Q. Going back to Mr. Muntz's testimony on Page 1, he states Northern Pass -- tell you where I am. Line 30, Page 1.
A. Page 1.
Q. "Northern Pass arose in direct response to a longstanding demonstrated need in New Hampshire and the New England region for a more diverse, low-cost, clean energy supply."

However, in the original proposal, New Hampshire wasn't going to get any of the proposed 1200 megawatts; isn't that right?
A. That $I$ don't know. But $I$ do know there existed at the time a Climate Action Plan, or at least it was in development in New Hampshire, which specifically recognized the importance of importing large amounts of clean energy from Canada that would meet our climate goals here in New Hampshire.
Q. Whose Climate Action Plan was that?
A. New Hampshire's.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
Q. But I'm talking about Northern Pass's original proposal. Did your --
A. I'm not sure $I$ understand what you're referring to. It's a regional project. The environmental benefits flow to the region. They don't recognize borders.
Q. In the 2009 proposal -- well, let me -- at some point a Power Purchase Agreement arose -- is that right -- that reserved 10 percent of the 1200 --
A. Yes, I believe that was 2016.
Q. To finish my question, that reserved -- that would have reserved 10 percent of the 1200 megawatts specifically for New Hampshire?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the date of that?
A. I believe it was sometime in 2016.
Q. Okay. Prior to that, was any of the 1200 megawatts earmarked for New Hampshire?
A. So we're mixing two things. So, "earmarked" being contracted for? None of it was contracted for --
Q. Dedicated to.
A. Well, that is the first and only agreement that \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
allocates a specific portion of the power to a specific buyer. The concept always has been to deliver the volume into the regional grid, either at market or bilaterally. This is the first bilateral contract that existed. So I think we're mixing a couple things here. One is the entitlement to the energy and associated capacity as opposed to the environmental benefits.
Q. Okay.

MR. REIMERS: Dawn, I'm going to use the ELMO.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Back on the record.

BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. Counsel for the Public asked you a few questions about the National Grid proposal earlier. And I'll just quickly go through it because I think these maps help clarify or complement Counsel for the Public's map.

On the screen, is that the existing HVDC
line of National Grid?
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A. Yes, it appears.

MR. IACOPINO: And Mr. Reimers, that's SPNHF 8?

MR. REIMERS: I'm sorry. SPNHF 8.
BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. This is SPNHF 9. And if that National Grid proposal were to prevail or happen, wouldn't the line in New Hampshire look substantially the same as the existing one, and it would look like this?
A. I'm not sure I understand when you say "look the same."
Q. If National Grid's proposal actually comes to pass --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the New Hampshire portion of the existing line would look essentially the same, wouldn't it?
A. No. No. We talked earlier about the need to increase the height of some indeterminate number of structures, as well as to widen the right-of-way to accommodate those structures. So, no.
Q. Okay.
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A. And in the Vermont portion, there would be a new line running parallel to the existing line.
Q. Right. Understood. But we're in New Hampshire, and I asked --
A. No, I understand.
Q. -- specifically about New Hampshire.
A. Just for clarity.
Q. Okay.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Resist the temptation to talk over each other.

WITNESS QUINLAN: I'm trying.
MR. REIMERS: I apologize.
BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. And this is SPNHF 10 showing the existing HVDC line and the Northern Pass line, if the Northern Pass line were to be built. I guess I don't have a question.
A. Can you just put that back just so we're...
Q. Sure.
A. Okay. I've got it. Just to be fair --
Q. Sure.
A. -- much of the Northern Pass line is in an existing corridor. You know, over 80 percent of it --
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Q. That's correct.
A. -- is in the same corridor, just so we're clear.
Q. Except for the 40 miles up north?
A. Eight of which is underground, and 24 of which is in a working forest, yes.
Q. For approximately 10 miles in the towns of Dummer, Stark and North Umberland, the Northern Pass proposes to co-locate its new overhead facility in a 150-foot-wide right-of-way already hosting the existing Coos Loop distribution line and a 24-inch buried natural gas pipeline owned by Portland Natural Gas; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain why none of the project maps submitted with the Application for the towns of Dummer, Stark and North Umberland depict the gas pipeline, while they do depict the current Coos Loop transmission line?
A. No.
Q. Can you describe --
A. We typically don't depict subsurface infrastructure. But $I$ can't speak specifically
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about the drawing you're referring to. It's the use of the right-of-way above ground that we're evaluating.
Q. Can you describe the communications that Northern Pass has had with the owner of the Portland Natural Gas pipeline concerning the co-location?
A. No, but $I$ know we are going to comply with all industry standards for separation of electric and gas facilities.
Q. So there hasn't been communications?
A. I didn't say that. I'm not familiar with them. There may well have been. But we are aware of the separation requirements, so we're going to comply with them.
Q. So, from that answer, would I be correct in assuming that there is no written agreements in place between Northern Pass and Portland Natural Gas at this time?
A. I'm not aware of any. But, again, we're aware of the requirements and we'll comply with them.
Q. If you're not aware of them, is there a witness following you who would be?
A. Mr. Bowes may be aware of. So I would defer
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
that question to Mr . Bowes.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Jason.
MR. REIMERS: Yes?
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Barry. I think that issue was also addressed with the construction panel testimony.

MR. REIMERS: Okay. Thanks, Barry.
BY MR. REIMERS:
Q. Couple questions about the TSA. There's been no amendment to the TSA -- actually, let me back up.

The TSA that's in effect, I think you said earlier, is the one that's for the $\$ 1.4$ million project; is that right?
A. I don't know that the TSA refers to an amount.
Q. The TSA does refer to a 1200-megawatt project, doesn't it?
A. It may, yes, subject to check.
Q. Again I'm calling this Applicant's 1. Appendix 16 of the Application. Do you see where it refers to 1200 megawatts?
A. Yes.
Q. So, has this -- the TSA has not been amended to reflect the 1,090-megawatt project; is that
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correct?
A. To my knowledge, that's correct. But, you know, that's a question for Mr. Auseré specifically. But I'm not aware of an amendment that changes that size.
Q. Okay. I'll save my questions for Mr. Auseré.

Regarding the Balsams and Mr. Otten, is there a written agreement between any affiliate of the Applicant's and any affiliate of the Balsams stating that Mr. Otten or the Balsams would support or would not disparage the Northern Pass in return for the loan?
A. There are certainly agreements with the Balsams. I'm not aware of that provision, as to whether it exists or not. I do know the loans that we have made to the Balsams are, you know, not conditioned or contingent upon Northern Pass moving forward.
Q. Not conditioned on Northern Pass moving forward? But do you know whether they're conditioned on the Balsams or Mr. Otten either promoting, supporting or not disparaging?
A. Again, $I$ don't know the answer to that question. I know the loans that we discussed
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earlier today are conditioned on progress of the project, meaning his redevelopment project. There are certain milestones that he is seeking to achieve, and the loan amounts are triggered off of those requirements.
Q. Thank you. I wanted to ask you a few questions about the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen -- by the way, this is SPNHF 11. Have you seen or read the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation's annual reports?
A. I've not read them in detail. I have skimmed them. They're very well done.
Q. Okay. I'm showing you SPNHF 15 -- I'm sorry -SPNHF 11, which is the 2015 annual report of the foundation. And do you see the dots, the numbered dots?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Those are the project -- it's discussed in the report, those are the projects that have been funded by the program.

Are any of these projects related to
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impacts of the Northern Pass or impacts that host communities might experience related to the Northern Pass?
A. Not directly. But, you know, the one you highlighted here, which I believe is dot No. 1, does look at the effect of early successional habitat on certain species. You know, there's a developing body of science that transmission corridors present a type of early successional habitat that allows species, some of which are endangered, to prosper. In this case, I think it's in Londonderry, and it relates to the New England Cottontail. So, not directly. That wasn't the purpose of the study. But they are looking at early successional habitat and bringing back these endangered species and whether transmission corridors can be helpful in that regard.
Q. Okay. The Northern Pass wouldn't run through Londonderry, would it?
A. No.
Q. And just to clarify, you said I highlighted one on there?
A. Well, this is Item 1; correct? It looks like
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enhancing habit --
Q. Oh, I see. It just happens --
(Court Reporter inquiry)
A. It says "Enhancing Habitat for Early Successional Species NH."

MR. REIMERS: Just for the record, that just happens to be discussed on the same page as the map.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Understood. I think you're probably both understanding each other now.
A. I saw it before you covered it.

BY MR. REIMERS :
Q. What did it say?
A. "Stream Bank Restoration"; right?
Q. I'm showing you now what is still SPNHF 11, but this is the map from the 2016 annual report.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you know whether any of these projects are related to the impacts of the Northern Pass or impacts that host communities might experience related to the Northern Pass?
A. Yeah, so, none of the projects are directed towards Northern Pass impacts. As I said
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earlier, some of these studies do look at this question of early successional habitat, which happens to be the habitat under a transmission corridor. But none of them pertain directly to Northern Pass. But I am aware that they are working with several universities and other environmental organizations on studying these effects. So there is a relationship, but it's not direct.
Q. Project No. 3, which is on the top right, that's in Maine. Are you aware of that?
A. I'm not aware of the project. It appears to be on the Maine/New Hampshire border. Some of these, to our earlier discussion with Attorney Pappas, are regional.
Q. Okay. Regarding the 5,000 acres of land for protection -- actually, let's turn to your initial testimony, Page 7, Line 11. This is where you begin the discussion on 5,000 acres.

You say that Northern Pass has committed up to 5,000 acres of land for natural resource preservation, recreational activities, and additional mixed uses. Can you elaborate on what those mixed uses are?
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A. Yes. So this is, again, based on the listening tour we conducted -- I conducted in the North Country, many stakeholders in the North Country aren't necessarily interested in preserving land and locking it down to future use. You know, they're more supportive of what I refer to as a "mixed use," which may host recreational activities, may involve logging operations, for example. There could be conservation elements. So a mixed use is one that has multiple uses: Conservation, preservation, recreational and a working forest, which seems to be of great interest in the North Country.
Q. So you mentioned conservation, preservation, recreational and logging.
A. Working forest, logging forest.
Q. Working forest.
A. Just one type of activity, yes.
Q. So you list here --
A. Hunting, fishing, you know, snowmobiling, those all --
Q. Those sound like recreational to me.
A. Of various types, yes. Some in the North
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Country refer to that as "mixed use."
Q. But you list here three separate categories:

Natural resource preservation --
A. Yes.
Q. -- recreational activities --
A. Sure.
Q. -- and additional mixed uses. The only additional mixed use that doesn't fall into the prior two categories I've heard is logging. Are there any others you --
A. That immediately come to mind? No.
Q. Would these properties allow for transmission rights --
A. One just came to mind. Maple sugaring. We know we're using some of these properties for maple sugaring.
Q. Would these --
A. I'll give you another one. There's gravel quarries. You're asking for mixed uses. These are other types of mixed uses. Logging, maple sugaring, quarrying.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Mr.
Quinlan, hold any other thoughts.
WITNESS QUINLAN: Okay.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

|  | 130 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And maybe Mr. |
| 2 | Needleman will circle back with you. But let's |
| 3 | let Mr. Reimers continue with his questions. |
| 4 | BY MR. REIMERS : |
| 5 | Q. So this land would be protected; correct? |
| 6 | A. Protected in what way? |
| 7 | Q. So it won't necessarily be protected; is that |
| 8 | right? |
| 9 | A. It will be available for mixed use. |
| 0 | Q. Such as granite quarries. |
| 1 | A. Potentially. |
| 2 | Q. Will these properties allow for transmission |
| 3 | rights-of-way? |
| 4 | A. Unlikely. |
| 5 | Q. So these 5,000 acres, will any of it be |
| 6 | actually conserved via conservation easements? |
| 7 | A. Likely. |
| 8 | Q. Can you guesstimate how much? |
| 9 | A. I can't. I mentioned earlier there's |
| 20 | approximately 1500 acres that are being set |
| 21 | aside for conservation as a result of our |
| 22 | formal mitigation obligation to DES. So that's |
| 23 | certainly going to be conserved. |
| 24 | Q. Are you counting that towards this 5,000 acres? |
|  | \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\} |

A. I believe so, yes.
Q. So is that 1500 acres required by DES?
A. It's a commitment we made as part of our formal mitigation proposal.
Q. And is that -- a few questions about the Coos Loop .

What costs of the Coos Loop will be funded by the Forward NH Fund?
A. The Forward NH Fund?
Q. Right.
A. Potentially none of it.
Q. Didn't I read in your testimony that
approximately $\$ 1.2$ million of it would be?
A. Potentially. I have not made that final determination. The vast majority of it will be paid for as a project cost for Northern Pass.
Q. Why would any of it be paid for by the Forward NH Fund?
A. Early on there was -- and by the way, I don't believe it's in my testimony. But early on there was some consideration for a portion that is truly incremental in seeking funding through the Forward NH Fund, but a final determination has not been made. We're certainly committed
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to do the upgrades, and, again, the vast majority will be a project expense.
Q. And did I hear correctly earlier that, let's call it $\$ 50$ million for the upgrade --
A. Roughly.
Q. -- that that $\$ 50$ million is not part of the \$1.6 billion project costs?
A. It is part of the 1.6. The SVC which was referred to earlier, there's a question as to whether that's going to be required or not, which could be anywhere from zero to \$20 million.
Q. So, the $\$ 50$ million, assuming the SVC -- SVC or SBC?
A. $\quad \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Victor}$.
Q. Assuming that the SVC doesn't come to pass and it costs $\$ 50$ million for upgrades, then that's part of the project costs --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that are covered by -- that are then in the TSA essentially reimbursed over 40 years by Hydro-Quebec?
A. Yes, as is the Forward NH Fund, back to your earlier question. That will all be project
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expense under the TSA.
Q. Okay. That will be paid for by Hydro-Quebec.
A. Paid for through use of the line.
Q. Paid for -- when you say that, "by use of the line," who are you -- who's doing the paying in that sentence?
A. Well, as we said in our recent press release, the joint press release between Hydro-Quebec and Eversource, the TSA, the one we've been discussing, remains fully effective. And under that Transmission Services Agreement, Hydro-Quebec would be the payor for use of the line. If as a result of one of the solicitations, whether it's Massachusetts RFP or another bilateral transaction, if we have to amend the TSA or supplement it, we will do so then. But right now, as we said in that joint release, it is a binding corporate commitment between Hydro-Quebec and Eversource.
Q. Okay. And the TSA can be amended at any time; right?
A. It does require mutual agreement of the parties, and it also has to be approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
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Q. Is the Coos Loop work at all connected to the agreement by Bayroot, LLC, to allow Northern Pass to go through the Wagner Forest?
A. No. No, they're totally unrelated. No. I can't think of any connection. They're geographically separate.
Q. Geographically or otherwise?
A. No.
Q. Regarding the Guarantee Program that you unveiled in your supplemental testimony regarding the properties that would likely experience property value impacts, according to Mr. Chalmers --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you said that nine property owners would be potentially eligible for that?
A. That's his current estimate of the number of properties that satisfy the criteria, yes.
Q. Okay. How many property owners will view -would view the Northern Pass if it were built?
A. I don't know the answer to that. From what distance? From a hundred yards or -- I honestly don't know the answer to that question.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
Q. Would you agree that it's a lot more than nine?
A. I suspect it is, yes.
Q. And Mr. Pappas and you went through the criteria. I won't go through all those again. And you talked about how there were three criteria. However, there really is a fourth criteria, because in order for a property owner to get any -- to be compensated, they would have to sell their property within five years after the commencement of construction; is that right?
A. Yes. That's the underlying premise behind the guaranty, which is if there's been a diminution in value and it actually occurs, when a property owner sells a property, we will make them whole. That's the intention.
Q. If they decide to sell within five years.
A. Yes.
Q. If they are impacted visually and they decide that they are going to stay, but they experience a property value decrease and they decide to stay for more than five years, they won't see any money; is that right?
A. Well, no. We did add an opt-out provision,
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which is if the party elects for whatever reason not to --
Q. That's where they get $\$ 1500$ ?
A. Yes. That's intended for those property owners who, you know, largely aren't intending to sell their property in the foreseeable future.
Q. So, different topic. You know, a wind farm, for example, might have a life of 20 to 30 years. Would you agree with that?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Okay. And in such an instance, the impacts occurring from a 20- to 30 -life span might be considered temporary. Would you agree with that?
A. No, that's a long-lived asset.
Q. Okay. Then you would agree that the Northern Pass would essentially have permanent impacts, wouldn't you?
A. No. Northern Pass is a transmission asset. It is also a long-lived asset.
Q. Would you agree that the Northern Pass, like many transmission lines, will be re-conductored and refurbished over time, keeping it in service indefinitely for all practical
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| 1 | purposes? |
| 2 | A. No, that doesn't necessarily happen. I don't |
| 3 | know what you're reading from. |
| 4 | Q. I'm reading from a recent -- actually, a |
| 5 | pleading filed by Northern Pass, which is SPNHF |
| 6 | 13. It says, "A likely scenario is that the |
| 7 | Project, like many transmission lines, will be |
| 8 | re-conductored and refurbished over time, |
| 9 | keeping it in service indefinitely for all |
| 10 | practical purposes." |
| 11 | A. It could be. |
| 12 | Q. It would be a likely scenario? |
| 13 | A. I wouldn't characterize it as "likely." I'd |
| 14 | say it will be assessed at that time. But it |
| 15 | is clearly a long-lived asset, just like a wind |
| 16 | farm. |
| 17 | Q. That was SPNHF 13. Thank you. No further |
| 18 | questions. |
| 19 | A. You're welcome. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Reimers, |
| 21 | what is SPNHF 13? |
| 22 | MR. REIMERS: It is Applicant's |
| 23 | Motion for Clarification of Site |
| 24 | 301.08 (d) 2) (b), dated March 24th, 2017. |
|  | \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\} |

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
All right. Next on the list is AMC, CLF and Ammonoosuc Conservation Trust. Mr. Plouffe.

MR. PLOUFFE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Hang on, Mr. Plouffe. We're having trouble hearing you. Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr Plouffe.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. PLOUFFE:
Q. I'm representing the Non-Governmental

Organizations that the Chairman just stated. So I only have a few questions for you this afternoon, and I want to start by following up on dialogue you had with Mr. Pappas and Mr. Reimers regarding the route selection and your reference to "mitigating the visual impacts of the Project."

So, am I correct that the current route as proposed, other than the burial through the
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}

White Mountain National Forest, is essentially determined by the Company in 2013?
A. As to the overall route? Yes.
Q. Yes.
A. There are some other design changes we made in 2015 but --
Q. I'm talking about --
A. But yes, the route itself was largely determined in 2013, other than the underground portion around the White Mountain National Forest.
Q. And just so we're clear, I'm making a distinction between the route and the mitigation within the route.
A. Right. The design changes, if you will.
Q. Yes. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. So when did you go on your listening tour?
A. That would have been run from roughly the middle of 2014 right up to the announcement of the Forward NH Plan, which I believe was in August of 2015. And I'll say it continues. I continue to meet with stakeholders across the state as we look at final design.
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Q. After you did your first listening tour, what changes did you make to the route?
A. Well, the single biggest change is the decision to place 52 miles underground.
Q. Were there any other changes to the route itself?
A. To the route itself?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. We've heard mention of Terrence DeWan. When was -- and they're the consultant on visual impacts for Northern Pass; correct?
A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
Q. Terrence DeWan, his company, Terrence DeWan Associates, they are the consultants to

Northern Pass for visual impacts; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was Terrence DeWan's firm hired by Northern Pass?
A. I don't know the answer to that question.
Q. Do you know whether Terrence DeWan had any role in the selection of the route, other than the burial of the White Mountain National Forest?
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A. The prior route? The 2013 --
Q. 2013. Correct.
A. I'm not aware of that. He did not have a role in determining the route enhancement in 2015.
Q. Thank you. And when you -- who made the decision to bury through the White Mountain National Forest?
A. Generally it was me. I made the decision and discussed it with others at Eversource and our partner, Hydro-Quebec. But it was my decision.
Q. So would it be fair to say that Northern Pass presented the route, including the burial through White Mountain National Forest, to Mr. DeWan for an assessment, for a visual impact assessment?
A. Yes. And we saw some visual simulations that he had done in 2014. So he was clearly retained prior to the route enhancement that you're referring to.
Q. The burial.
A. Correct. The burial, yes.
Q. But not the original route selection.
A. Yeah, $I$ don't know how far back it went. But certainly in 2014 he was performing
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simulations. It may have been before that. I just don't know the answer.
Q. Now I want to ask you about mitigation measures. You spoke to Attorney Pappas this morning about things such as changing from lattice towers to monopoles, changing the coloration of towers and monopoles, potential plantings for screening and that type of thing. And then you said to Mr . Pappas, if I have my notes correctly, something along the lines of you continue to look at these things as you mature the design, and then you spoke just few minutes ago to Attorney Reimers and said that what's in the application is not the final design; correct?
A. Something along those lines, yes. I would say the overall route is determined. But we are working at a local level to enhance the design where possible. And it's based largely on interactions with municipalities and landowners. You know, at this point -- you know, we had discussion earlier about these construction MOUs. I think we are now in some level of discussion with approximately 12
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municipalities along the route. And these type of design enhancements, it might be a different color structure or the movement of a structure or potentially lowering of a structure. These are the types of things we are talking about, as well as construction, in these more local conversations, if you will.
Q. And that's probably laudable on your part but --
A. I'm sorry?
Q. I said that's laudable on your part.
A. Thank you.
Q. But my question to you is: For the Committee members who have to decide whether or not the Project has an undue adverse effect on aesthetics, what are they considering what to have before them by way of the application if not the final design?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the record for a second.
(Pause in proceedings)
A. That's interesting. Can you repeat that question, please?

BY MR. PLOUFFE:
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Q. My question for you is: If the Committee is tasked with deciding whether or not the Project has an undue adverse effect on aesthetics, and what they have before them in the form of the application, and particularly the Visual Impact Assessment is not the final design and that you are continuing to mature the Project, what are they supposed to look at in making that decision?
A. Yeah, I think the design as submitted is generally near final. I mean, it's a very mature design. You know, any changes we would make between now and moving into construction would tend to reduce visual impacts. You know, we're not going to do something that's going to increase visual impacts. So I think the Application we filed is complete. It gives the SEC a basis upon which to assess visual impacts. But as we've said all along, we're going to continue to work locally to improve the design and reduce visual impacts where possible. That's something we committed to when we announced the Forward NH Plan, and, you know, we're in kind of active discussions
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around that now.
Q. All right. I'm going to move on to another topic, emission savings.

I understood your written testimony to be that the Project would save 3.3 million tons of CO2, carbon dioxide -- carbon dioxide being a greenhouse gas -- and that these savings would assist in the state of New Hampshire's goals with respect to reducing greenhouse gases.

Did you study the effects of the Project on the other greenhouse gases, such as methane?
A. I did not. The figure you referred to was derived by our expert, Julia Frayer. You know, and the effects are, as I indicated earlier, kind of a regional effect.
Q. You are aware that there are other greenhouse gases besides CO2.
A. I am aware of that. And generally the importation of hydropower shouldn't have a material effect on those.
Q. Well, that gets to my next question. Did Northern Pass study the gas emission consequences of the source of the power -- in other words, the generation of this power by
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Hydro-Quebec?
A. Did Northern Pass?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. So you are, in essence, blind to what's happening on the other side of the border with respect to emissions.
A. Not blind. But that is a topic, you know, that is within the purview of the province of Quebec and the country of Canada. That's not something that we assess. They are a generation source. Our goal and objective is to -- one of our goals and objectives is to displace carbon-emitting generation. So, you know, we look at the impacts here as to what a clean source of renewable power will do to push fossil fuel plants off the margin. That's basically the analysis Dr. Frayer performed.
Q. Are you aware of any of the scientific studies that have been done on emission of methane from impoundments behind large hydro projects?
A. Personally, no.
Q. I'm now going to move on to my final questions which have to do with the financial benefits to
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the state of New Hampshire through lower energy costs.
A. Yes.
Q. Your original testimony estimated an $\$ 80$ million benefit, and then in your supplemental testimony you reduced that to $\$ 62$ million, based, as I understand it, on projected reduction in energy prices and Forward Capacity Market prices; is that correct?
A. Generally, yes, those are annual figures. So, roughly, at that time, $\$ 800$ million in savings across New England, New Hampshire's load share is approximately 10 percent, so $\$ 80$ million a year to New Hampshire customers.
Q. Are you familiar with the ISO-New England CELT, C-E-L-T, reports?
A. Generally, yes. That's their load study.
Q. And what are those reports?
A. They essentially look at future demands for electricity across the six-state region that they administer.
Q. Do they also contain predictions with respect to energy prices?
A. I do think -- I think they do have a forward
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price forecast, yes.
Q. And are you aware that last month they issued a draft CELT report?
A. A draft? No.
Q. So you have no knowledge beyond the 2016 CELT report?
A. Do I have a projection of forward price of --
Q. No. Do you have knowledge of the CELT report draft that was issued last month?
A. A draft? I'm not aware of that. But, you know, I believe you that one was issued.
Q. And if that report indicated a lower projected energy price going forward, lower than the 2016 report upon which Ms. Frayer based her projections, that would result in further a reduction in your $\mathbf{\$ 6 2}$ million estimate?
A. Are you referring to energy or capacity?
Q. Electrical -- energy costs -- energy prices.
A. So, specifically energy?
Q. Yes.
A. The bigger driver of her savings are savings in the capacity market, which are projected to increase starting June 30th of this year. And they're not just projections. Those markets
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
have cleared three years in advance. So we know with certainty what the capacity market prices are going to be out until middle of 2021 at this point. That's where the largest portion of the power cost savings come from. And when I say "power," I mean energy plus capacity. I do understand energy prices, you know, some would view them as generally flat, but volatile; others would say they're going to increase as natural gas prices increase. The greater sensitivity, though, is capacity for purposes of this number and her analysis. And those we know are going to be increasing. So, just for frame of reference, that's about a \$1 billion market today. It will soon be a \$3- or \$4 billion market. So it's a 3-to 400-percent increase that's known because the markets have cleared.
Q. Are you basing that on the latest Forward Capacity Auction No. 11 --
A. I'm basing it on the results from auctions or market clearing for 9, 10 and 11. So, as I said, Forward Capacity Auction 11 covers the period mid-2020 to mid-2021. That market has
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cleared.
Q. So it's your understanding that the Forward Capacity Market is going to increase.
A. Substantially, yes.
Q. Substantially.
A. Yes. I think in the year you're referring to it's roughly 2-1/2 times what it is today.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.
A. You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Is anybody here from NEPGA to ask questions?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Clarksville, Stewartstown, Abutting and Non-Abutting. Mr. Thompson?

MR. THOMPSON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're up.
MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. My name is Brad Thompson. I'm a resident of Stewartstown, and I'm with Intervenor Group Pittsburg, Clark and Stewartstown North, of which I am the spokesman, Abutters and Non-Abutters.

I'd like to ask permission that -- we originally were two groups. Mr. Baker was
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spokesman for the non-abutters, and he has a couple questions to ask after mine. Is that possible?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Needleman?
MR. NEEDLEMAN: I think as long as they're discrete topics, I think we agreed that would be okay.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may proceed, Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. THOMPSON:
Q. Just quickly I'd like to cover a couple of the responsibilities that you have in your job position, and one of them is -- was the selection of the routes; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In particular, the $7.5-\mathrm{mile}$ underground route is what I'll be dwelling on.
A. No, actually that portion of the route was determined in roughly 2013. So that predated my involvement. The route portion that I've been involved in personally have been changes in and around the White Mountain National
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Forest. Now, I have adopted Mr. Muntz's testimony as to those earlier periods, but I was not involved in those initial decisions.
Q. Do you remember when you did take over leadership from Mr. Muntz, timing-wise?
A. Leadership for project development?
Q. Yeah, for the job, yeah, taking his job.
A. My involvement started in the middle of 2014. And at that time, both Mr. Muntz and Mr. Long were involved in the project. So my initial involvement was in developing the Forward NH Plan. Mr. Muntz resigned from the Company last fall, I believe in October of 2016, and then I assumed responsibility for the project development.
Q. But you've been involved in aspects of it since 2013.
A. 2014 .
Q. 2014 .
A. Yes, middle of 2014.
Q. Okay. Switching gears for a minute, and then I'll come back to the site selection. The 2600 jobs that are going to be created, kind of a question in my mind, what
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kinds of jobs are these?
A. Well, there are many types of jobs. Some are the electrical workers who are going to be installing the wire, working in the substation. There are construction jobs for folks pouring foundations and --
Q. Mostly what you'd call "skilled jobs" would you say?
A. Many are skilled jobs. But to our earlier discussion, there are secondary and tertiary effects. You know, there's back office for all of that. There are, you know, local establishments that we will support either through, you know, goods and services that will be procured. So there are second- and third-order effects. You know, if you look at a project like the Maine Reliability Project, was one that was recently constructed, that's probably the most comparable recent example. And the numbers that they have published are very consistent and actually quite a bit greater than the numbers we're talking about here. But it's all manner. It's skilled construction jobs to, you know, administrative
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staff to hotel workers. You know, there's a very significant local economic impact.
Q. Thank you. Do you feel that if it is in fact 2600 possible employees, that they're available, they're around, they can be found?
A. Well, that's certainly my hope. We have made a New Hampshire First commitment, and we are going to source as much of that locally as we can. We've spent a lot of time in the North Country. I mean, there was some discussion earlier about Allen Bouthillier. You know, contractors like that in the North Country are skilled at building roads, pouring foundations, hauling gravel, clearing rights-of-way. So we're going to source all of that locally. There are instances where we're going to have to go outside the state. I'll take line workers. There's not enough line workers in the state of New Hampshire to build this. But we will fully employ all that are available, and then we will bring in whatever we need beyond that. But, you know, we anticipate sourcing a significant percentage here in New Hampshire. That's our goal.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
Q. I guess my concern, so you can comment on it, with the unemployment rate at near all time low of less than 4 percent, and many ads and many of the newspapers around the state looking for help, it being spring -- and I'm talking, I think in particular, of excavation companies, paving companies, landscaping companies -- I mean they're all looking for help. I feel like people just aren't there. Perhaps they're lined up in a union hall and I haven't seen them. But $I$ just sense that they're not there and --
A. Yeah, I don't necessarily agree that--
Q. I really question how you're creating 2600 new jobs. Are you just going to go out and, you know, grab them from a competitor or somebody else that's in the excavation business? Is that really creating jobs?
A. Well, I think there's going to be some of both. Some is, you know, taking additional workers and talent that's on the bench and putting them to work. Others will be brand new jobs. We talked about the apprentice program earlier. You know, we have held both contractor fairs
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| 1 | and job fairs to test the market, if you will, |
| 2 | and we're getting very robust response, |
| 3 | particularly in the North Country. And while |
| 4 | the unemployment rate might be low in Southern |
| 5 | New Hampshire, it's not low in the North |
| 6 | Country. We hold a job fair in the North |
| 7 | Country, and there's an abundance of talent |
| 8 | that can be put to work there. So I don't |
| 9 | think we're going to have a challenge creating |
| 10 | new jobs and new opportunities for folks, |
| 11 | particularly in that region. |
| 12 | Q. Without being argumentative, I'd like to refute |
| 13 | that a little bit. |
| 14 | A. Okay. |
| 15 | Q. Coos unemployment in February of this year, two |
| 16 | months ago, was 4.4 percent. |
| 17 | A. It could be. All I'm referring to is the fairs |
| 18 | that we've held have been largely subscribed. |
| 19 | And, you know, we have a manual we've created |
| 20 | for local businesses who have expressed an |
| 21 | interest in participating in the Project, and |
| 22 | we've got hundreds of local businesses who are |
| 23 | anxious to participate. When we hold a |
| 24 | contractor fair in the North Country, and we've |
|  | \{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\} |

held several, they're generally very well attended. So it may be that the rate is only 2 percent or 4 percent. I've seen higher numbers for the North Country than 4 percent. But I'm not going to challenge you on that. I will tell you that there's a lot of interest in working on the Project.
Q. Sounds good.

Getting back to the route selection, the seven and a half miles. I'd like to dwell a little bit on how reasonable that choice is, and in particular, how reasonable Transition Station No. 4 choice of location is. I guess probably I should ask the question. Am I talking to the right guy, being a route selection --
A. You know, the details of the route are probably better left to Mr. Bowes. I mean, I could answer the higher level questions, if you will. But if you have specific questions about an individual structure and its proximity --
Q. Actually, that pretty much defines what I'm digging at, yes.
A. I will defer that to Mr. Bowes.
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Q. Okay. And he's up tomorrow?
A. He is, I believe.
Q. Thank you. I think I'll just wait until tomorrow to dig into this stuff. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Baker.
MR. BAKER: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Alan Robert Baker, Bob Baker. I represent four individual landowners. And I've got just about, for planning purposes, five to ten minutes, depending on the answers, and then I'll be done.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BAKER:
Q. The Job Creation Fund has, what, $\$ 6.8$ million yet to fund?
A. I believe it's 7.3. So, it's a
seven-and-a-half-million-dollar commitment --
Q. So it's a little over --
A. -- and I believe we've advance-funded $\$ 200,000$.

So I think it's 7.3 to go.
Q. We'll round it off and call it seven.

The Forward NH Fund has the full \$200 million yet to fund?
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A. No. There are some advanced commitments there as well.
Q. Okay. How much, roughly, in advanced commitments? I know we talked about a \$5 million loan to the Balsams.
A. Yes, that's the single largest commitment. But, again, that's a loan. The expectation is it will be returned. Beyond the loan, there's probably less than a million dollars that have been committed to a third party. There is the other commitment to the Public Utility Commission, which is not specific to any one initiative.
Q. Did I understand you correctly, that these fundings of both the Job Creation Fund and the Forward NH Fund would not happen until the Project is in service?
A. No. The Job Creation Fund commitment is based upon approval of the Project -- so, the certificate being issued. The Forward NH Fund is triggered by Project in service. But in both instances we've made advanced commitments.
Q. Okay. Now, what are the major steps or milestones between now and the Forward NH
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Fund's funding?
A. From a project perspective?
Q. Yes. You need permits and --
A. So, obviously the SEC has to issue the necessary certificate; the Department of Energy ultimately has to issue the federal permit; in Canada, the siting boards, both provincially and at a national level, need to issue their necessary approvals; and then we and our partner will be in a position to move into the construction phase.
Q. Will you start construction if you are not successful in your bid into the Massachusetts Request for Proposals?
A. So, again, back to the earlier questions. This Project has never been conditioned on the outcome of any one solicitation, whether it's the Massachusetts Request For Proposals or otherwise. Our goal is to essentially have all of our necessary permits by the end of this year and to move into the construction phase in early 2018.
Q. I don't think you answered my question.
A. Okay.
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Q. My question is: Is the construction commencement conditioned on the Massachusetts Request For Proposal? You can answer that, I think, "Yes" or "No."
A. No.
Q. Okay. What is it conditioned on under the Transmission Service Agreement, as you understand its terms today?
A. I think I just answered this. But both we and our partner, Hydro-Quebec, have to agree to enter into the construction phase.
Q. Okay. And what are the major considerations that will affect whether or not you can mutually agree with Hydro-Quebec to start this project?
A. We talked about some of them. We need to have all the necessary permits and approvals. Beyond that, you know, it's a joint determination as to whether we're ready to move into construction. Do we have all the necessary contracts in place? Is the design final? Are we ready to execute the Project? Again, we'll have that discussion and make the joint determination.
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Q. You're familiar with the letter agreement that was produced a few weeks ago between Northern Pass and Hydro-Quebec extending the Transmission Services Agreement?
A. I'm familiar with the letter agreement, but it did not extend the Transmission Service Agreement. The Transmission Service Agreement has always been in full force and effect since originally approved by the FERC. It extended a condition under the TSA.
Q. Right. And doesn't it refer to "mutual agreement" with respect to the Massachusetts Request for Proposals?
A. I don't have the details in front of me, but --
Q. All right. Well, we can look that up.
A. -- I think it's our condition for going into the construction phase.
Q. Here's really my last question. This group can
A. By the way -- well, it speaks for itself. You apparently have a copy. But I don't believe it refers to the Massachusetts RFP --
Q. This group --
A. -- subject to check.
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Q. This group that Mr . Thompson is the spokesperson for, and has been kind enough to let me ask you questions, consists of property owners who are abutters, a few who are non-abutters. Some of them have actually been affected by the plans that Northern Pass has proposed for almost seven years. How much longer should they wait before they make decisions about what to do with their properties?
A. I'm not sure what you refer to when you say "affect." But, you know, we're at a point where one way or the other we will have our necessary permits, hopefully by the end of 2017. Again, our goal is to be able to move into the construction phase in 2018. And if a landowner is facing a decision along the lines of what you're referring to somewhere -- you know, that's the Project plan.
Q. Well, here's a hypothetical for you.
A. Sure.
Q. What if Northern Pass and Hydro-Quebec are unable to agree on the mutual terms that are going to be required for the TSA to be
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implemented? To go back to FERC and seek permission for whatever the changes are that you decide mutually will be made?
A. Then FERC will review whatever amendment we are seeking and ultimately rule on that.
Q. Well, that'll be another milestone, wouldn't it?
A. Hypothetically it could be. But, again, our expectation is that we will begin construction in early 2018. That's certainly our goal --
Q. I know what --
A. -- but subject to the permits being issued.
Q. I know what your goal is.
A. Okay.
Q. The question is: How long? What's the outside time period that these landowners should wait before making decisions about what to do with their property?
A. I'm not in a position to answer that.
Q. Okay. Last question. How long are you expecting the permit that this body might issue, hypothetically in the future, if it decides to do so, how long are you expecting that permit to be good for you and Hydro-Quebec
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
to elect to build this project?
A. I'm not aware of any sunsetting of the permit or any date certain by which it will become ineffective. That would be in the judgment of the SEC. If they wanted to attach a drop-dead date, if you will, that would be within their discretion, certainly.
Q. I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next group is the Dummer, Stark and North Umberland Abutting Property Owners. Attorney Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Chair, it's late in the day. I've got to set up.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Could you get your microphone, please.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Chairman, it's late in the day and I have to set up. I have exhibits, and I will not take minutes. So why not call it a day.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sorry. Why not what?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Call it a day from here.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, let's see
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if somebody else is ready to go then. The next group is the

Whitefield, Dalton, Bethlehem Abutting Property Owners. Mr. Van Houten, is he here?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next group is the Bethlehem to Plymouth Abutting Property Owners. And they've made a request, Mr . Needleman, to split their questioning among three, with two different topics for each. And consistent with the conversation we had a moment ago, as long as they're not overlapping, that's okay. I'm not -- I'm not thrilled with three people for one group, but I understand the request. You?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I understand it as well, and it just makes me nervous as far as overlap. But I guess we'll try and see what happens.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I
think it's fair enough to try and see. If it doesn't work, then we may re-evaluate if another such request is made. So, just to -MR. JUDGE: Mr. Chair.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Who's speaking? Mr. Judge.

MR. JUDGE: From behind the pillar. I have about five minutes and one exhibit. And in the interest of my client and my not having to return, $I$ wonder if we might skip ahead to my questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Palmer, is it all right with you if Mr. Judge cuts in front of you?

MR. PALMER: I think we have about a half-hour to 45 minutes of questions. So if we started right now, we might be able to get them in before the end of the day. But if he wants to go first, he's welcome to go first.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm thinking what might make more sense, then, is to see if there's a couple of other people who would say I only have five minutes, and then we maybe could stack up a few to get us closer to 5:00. So, Mr. Judge has told me five minutes. Mr. Judge has never lied to me before.

I have the Bethlehem to Plymouth
Non-Abutting Property Owners. Is there anybody
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here from that group?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutting Property Owners? You have a couple questions you say?
(Court Reporter inquiry)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't know.
Who was that? Was that Ms. Crane?
MS. QUINN: Quinn.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Quinn.
MS. LEE: Excuse me.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Who's speaking?
MS. LEE: I have a few. This is Mary
Lee from the Ashland to Concord Group.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes, Ms. Lee.
MS. LEE: I'm a co-spokesman with
Steve Judge. So my exhibit is going to be --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We can't understand you, Ms. Lee. You need to speak right into the microphone and clearly.

MS. LEE: Can you hear me? I'm a co-spokesperson with Steven Judge here for the Ashland to Concord Group, and I have an exhibit that I'd like to show on the ELMO.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah.
MS. LEE: So I don't know how long that will take. That will probably take five or ten minutes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, okay. So there's -- all right. Here's what we're going to do. We're going to have Mr. Judge and Ms. Lee do their thing, which won't take more than ten minutes, and have Ms. Quinn do her thing, and then we'll call it a day.

MS. LEE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record) CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. JUDGE:
Q. My name is Steven Judge. I'm a lawyer, and I represent McKenna's Purchase. Where is McKenna's Purchase?
A. McKenna's Purchase is in Concord.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a condominium development.
Q. I'd like you to look at Exhibit 6, Page 9, Line 15. That's your supplemental testimony.
A. Exhibit 6. Which page? I'm sorry.
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Q. Page 9.
A. Page 9. Yup. Yes. Got it.
Q. And in that question you are talking about the Guarantee Program; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in there you describe it as -- I'm looking down about the fifth line -- "properties Mr.

Chalmers identified as most likely to see property value impacts"; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the eligibility criteria in the next line are aligned with Mr. Chalmers' findings?
A. Yes.
Q. You hired Mr. Chalmers? You personally?
A. Not me personally, but the Company, yes.
Q. And there's an Attachment $L$ to your exhibit, which 1 think is Exhibit 40 from Public Counsel.
A. Yes, that's the Guarantee Program overview.
Q. Did I hear correctly that you asked for this and Mr. Chalmers designed it?
A. The Company asked for it, yes, under my direction.
Q. It's only an overview. Is there a final
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document?
A. Not at this point. This is not something we've had any experience with. We developed a program that is still kind of in development, if you will.
Q. Do you believe it accurately describes the program?
A. As it stands today, yes.
Q. Do you believe the program is fair and reasonable?
A. Based upon the views of our expert, yes.
Q. I want to delve a little into language because there's a conflict between the document you're looking at and your testimony.
A. Okay.
Q. So we're talking about the likelihood of reduction in value. This document describes it as "an increased likelihood." Do you see that reference?
A. No. Oh, yes. In the objective?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. And in your testimony it says "most likely."

Are you trying to draw a distinction?
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A. No.
Q. Okay. So we'll go with the "increased likelihood."
A. Again, $I$ did not draft this. But $I$ think those are synonymous.
Q. Well, you --
A. I'm not trying to distinguish them, no.
Q. All right. So, Mr. Chalmers' research is determinative; right? It's aligned with Mr. Chalmers' findings?
A. Yes, the criteria are aligned with the findings of his study, which is that properties that satisfy those three criteria have an increased likelihood of a diminution in value.
Q. Do you believe Mr. Chalmers' research identified all properties with an increased likelihood of reduction in value?
A. Yeah, I have not studied that, but, you know, he is an expert in the field. That's the reason we retained him. So $I$ would defer to him.
Q. And you would agree that the eligibility is conditioned upon the property having a single-family home?
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A. Yes. That's Criterion 2b.
Q. And you testified earlier that McKenna's Purchase is a condo association.
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Chalmers made no finding regarding McKenna's Purchase. Do you understand that?
A. I do not understand that. I don't know whether he separately opined as to condominium complexes or McKenna's Purchase in particular.
Q. Subject to check, let's assume that he made no findings regarding McKenna's Purchase.
A. Okay.
Q. Assuming economic harm from the Project crossing the condo association, encumbered by an easement, where the residence is within 100 feet and increased structure visibility, your testimony gives no comfort whatsoever to McKenna's Purchase. This program does not apply to them?
A. This program does not apply. But we have made significant design enhancements in and around the McKenna's Purchase area to mitigate impacts. You know, I'm aware that we reconfigured the right-of-way. We lowered
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structure heights. We have committed to building physical berms adjacent to that property, and also vegetative screening. All of those are significant mitigation measures to reduce visual impacts.
Q. None of those are something that would take care of economic harm, are they?
A. They certainly would mitigate visual impacts. You know, I guess relatedly, potential economic harm, I guess, maybe.
Q. I mean, I asked you to assume there was visibility in the hypothetical. If there is visibility, under this criteria it does not apply to McKenna's Purchase.
A. It does not apply to McKenna's Purchase. This is targeted towards single-family homes based upon Mr. Chalmers' study. That's correct.
Q. I understand that. But this is your proposal.
A. This is my proposal based upon his study.
Q. There is no other proposal that deals with condos.
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. McKenna's Purchase is out of luck.
A. No. Again, I think we've taken very
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significant steps --
Q. In terms of this particular program, it does not apply to McKenna's Purchase.
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Lee.
Off the record.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now we're going to go back on the record.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. LEE:
Q. This is Mary Lee from Northfield, intervenor, and I'm part of the Ashland to Concord Group. And I had filed prefiled testimony, which is one of my exhibits. And I live in a very remote area where there's a lot of lines next to a right-of-way. So when I go out to my mailbox, $I$ walk two-tenths of a mile out, and if I look behind me, I see about a dozen lines all in a line, just like this, parallel. And when $I$ return with my mail, I walk back up my driveway and I go under, along a parallel line of the power lines, H-frame, as well as
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monopoles, all wooden. A couple of them are laminated and they've been upgraded. It says "Danger" on those.

So, as part of my prefiled testimony, I had mentioned I was quite concerned with health and safety. Extremely concerned. Because when I walk under them, sometimes under the -- I believe it's the H-frame -- I can hear a buzz. Now, the monopole that's been upgraded says "Danger." So I believe it.

I've also seen explosions of the old-fashioned ceramic insulators. I don't know how they occur. I've never seen a bird hit them but --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Lee, this is your opportunity to ask a question of Mr . Quinlan. That's what you should be doing right now.

MS. LEE: Okay. Thank you.
BY MS. LEE:
Q. I'm going to ask you the question. If there is quite a concern over emission, the electromagnetic emissions -- and I found some research to substantiate that there is quite a
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lot of harm due to the fact of electromagnetic emissions -- have you thought of also the design of putting more lines with the 345? You put the lattice tower in the middle, so you're increasing the amount of EMF, I believe, because it's going to go to 345 in Northfield. It's going to be 115 on the west side, 115 on the east side, and in the middle will be a 345. So you've increased a lot of the factor of the electromagnetic transmission as a harm and safety and health issue.

The other thing I'm concerned with -CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, is that a question?

MS . LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What, then, is the question?

MS. LEE: My question is regarding safety and health.

BY MS. LEE:
Q. If you put that much power where we already have a narrow corridor, and it hasn't been described as being widened as a negotiation when this line was first proposed, at one of
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the scoping meetings there was a discussion of, Listen, folks, would you like this line to go higher with an existing width of 25 to 250 , or would you like it to go wider with shorter lines?

So my question is: When you increase it with the narrow corridor, there's concern for falling over when a line is next to trees. I know there's a clearing.

So my concern with health and safety also is to ask you: Have you thought of factors regarding security besides the EMF, which is something $I$ would walk under every single day going in and out? Is there safety and health concern when you mitigate or avoid or --
A. When you say "security," are you referring to physical security or EMF? There's a lot --
Q. It could be both because it's aligned to health and safety --
A. Sure.
Q. -- and is a public interest. It's got to relate to me and anybody who's a homeowner who has an abutting property where you're walking under it or alongside of it every single day.
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When you go home you're going under it, next to it.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, Ms. Lee, your question, then, is what has Mr. Quinlan thought about doing related to both physical security --

MS . LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: -- and EMF in situations such as yours. Is that -- let's let -- why don't we let him answer that question, okay.
A. So, on, I'll say physical security or safety, you're correct. There are code requirements that specify separation distances. It's called the National Electric Safety Code. And our design is certainly in full compliance with that code, the so-called NESC. So that is for starters. It's true that the width of the right-of-way is intended to ensure that we don't have transmission outages caused by trees falling onto our lines. That's certainly the goal of the vegetation management program that we talked about earlier today. We tried to clear these rights-of-way periodically to
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ensure that risk is minimized. So we're certainly mindful of the safety impacts that you're raising and a potential for interruptions.

As far as EMF -- and I'm not an expert in this area, but I've encountered this question in many prior instances -- I think if you look at the body of research out there, there doesn't appear to be a material linkage between that phenomenon and health affects. But, again, I'm not a doctor or expert. I know Dr. Bailey is our expert on EMF effects, and he has a report that was submitted to the SEC in that regard. So, you know, I believe the conclusion of that report is that those effects are not material. They're very inconsequential, if you will. And I will defer specific questions about EMF to Dr. Bailey in particular.
Q. Well, I know I've read some of the reports --
A. Okay.
Q. -- and included it as part of my documents and exhibits as research. And I know you could spend a lot of hours looking --
A. Yes.
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Q. -- for pros and cons. And I guess I think there's a principle called "Precautionary Principle," and I would want to be safer than not.
A. Sure.
Q. And I'm also concerned because one of my group members in my group is very concerned about the security aspects if you go higher and you're adding to the configuration. Right now, I understand that every four to five years you would clear the trees that have a potential of falling onto the lines. And I know that Northern Pass proposes to build one of the west side lines closer to my yard and my buffer of trees --
A. In the existing right-of-way?
Q. In the existing right-of-way.

And I really want to correct the record and have everyone know, just because you have an existing right-of-way doesn't give you the right to do whatever you want, because right now --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Lee, if you
have a question for Mr . Quinlan, please ask him
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a question.
MS. LEE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You'll have an opportunity. I believe you're listed as a witness later on?

MS . LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I believe you have prefiled testimony, and you'll be allowed to offer that.

MS. LEE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Please, if you have questions for Mr. Quinlan, now is the time to ask him questions.

MS. LEE: All right.
BY MS. LEE:
Q. Mr. Quinlan, stop me if I made a declarative sentence. Would you -- is there a plan for security -- let's say as part of construction you're putting extra power, 345, in the towers in the middle. Right now you're just simply clearcut between 15 to 20 feet so that any potential trees at the edge of the right-of-way do not fall. And $I$ was told by one of the crew members -- is it true that if you put the
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replacement pole, the monopole that's going to replace the 115 line, that's a wooden one that's going to be removed, you replace it with a steel monopole, he explained that there are three hangers that go into the line towards the center of the right-of-way, the corridor, so therefore everything will fall towards the center; is that right?
A. So you're talking about a monopole structure on the edge --
Q. Yes.
A. I'm not familiar with the particular structure you're referring to. But a monopole structure on the edge of a right-of-way --
Q. Yes.
A. -- the conductors would tend to be inbound, which means on the right-of-way -- or on the --
Q. Towards the center.
A. -- towards the center line as opposed to towards the tree line, if you will, yes.
Q. Yes. So that's one change that may or may not be safer --
A. Well, it is safer for exactly the reason you articulated, which is, if, for whatever the
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reason, the structure were to fail, it would fall into the right-of-way as opposed to off the right-of-way.
Q. Okay.
A. But there are separation distances that we try to maintain to that tree line. Obviously, we're not going to go beyond our right-of-way, which is kind of our legal authority to clear to the edge of the right-of-way. But we do want to ensure that the potential for trees falling into our infrastructure is minimized.
Q. All right. Thank you.

MS. LEE: May I have Taras do my
animation of the fall line?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sorry? I
don't understand what you're planning on doing.
MS. LEE: ExCuse me. I have an
exhibit here as part of my presentation.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there
something you want to show Mr. Quinlan?
MS. LEE: Mr. Quinlan. A
security-related question because they're building up another lattice-work tower 345 --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sorry,
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Ms. Lee. What is it you want to show him?
MS. LEE: I want to show him the animation of the towers falling in and what happens.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't you pull that up, because it looks like you're going to need to re-sign in to release your computer.

MS. LEE: Okay.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Is this what you want him to see?

MS . LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What is your question for Mr. Quinlan about what he has in front of him?

MS. LEE: May I show the animation?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
MS. LEE: In order for it to be
effective as --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Whatever you want to show him, show him.

MS. LeE: Okay. Thank you.
Taras, would you show me how
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|  | 186 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to -- |
| 2 | MR. KUCMAN: Mr. Honigberg, if you |
| 3 | don't mind, I can -- |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the |
| 5 | record for a second. |
| 6 | (Discussion off the record) |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now we're on the |
| 8 | record. |
| 9 | MS. LEE: I'm going to show this |
| 10 | animation. Are you looking at the page that |
| 11 | says "Northern Pass existing"? I can't see the |
| 12 | screen. Are you all looking at Page 1? |
| 13 | [Affirmative response] |
| 14 | MS. LEE: Okay. If you look at this, |
| 15 | it says -- yes. This is the existing, and it's |
| 16 | been on the schematics that I have as a |
| 17 | property owner from the Northern Pass |
| 18 | Transmission folks. And it has a delineation |
| 19 | of the existing line, and there's a fall zone |
| 20 | that I'm concerned about because it's going to |
| 21 | fall toward my house and was assured that the |
| 22 | Northern Pass, as it's proposed, it has the |
| 23 | existing wooden H-frame, 115-kilovolt service. |
| 24 | They're going to remove the pole, and then |
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they're replacing it 45 feet out toward my house, toward my yard, toward my water well, and the monopole is erected out of the existing wooden H-frame by 45 feet over. Now, the new HQ 345-kilovolt is going to be in the middle. The view is from Concord facing north, so this is pretty much the view you would see. If there's a storm or if there's arcing, if there's more power from the 345 , that little flash could be an explosion. And this is kind of real to me. It's not fantasy -CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. So what's your question for Mr. Quinlan?

BY MS. LEE:
Q. My question to Mr. Quinlan. Clear and present danger, is there one if you're crowding my right-of-way? It's 250 -- this example is from Concord, and this is 225. Mine I guess is 225 or 250 along the right-of-way; is that right?
A. I'm not familiar with this particular right-of-way section. But you're talking about right-of-way width is 250?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. And where is your home? Your home is to
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the --
Q. It's Northfield.
A. No, I know. It's to the east?
Q. The one on the left that would fall, the hanger --
A. It's falling away from your home? Is that --
Q. It's toward -- it would be toward the center.
A. Correct. Away from your home.
Q. You're clearing -- you're looking at the left where it falls. It's going to be going toward my yard, my well and my buffer zone. They've been clearing in the past with the existing structures 20 to 25 feet. So, now when you build all this stuff and it falls toward the center, as I understand it, when you have three hangers, the weight of it carries it toward the center of the corridor; is that right?
A. Yes, away from your home. Yes.
Q. So you have two now, two 115, on the left and on the right. It would be on the west and on the east falling in toward the higher tower. How do you prevent that from happening? And that's a security risk, because if you have a very cold day, you have --
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Stop, stop, stop. You just asked him a question.

MS L LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: How do you prevent this? Stop. You stop. You asked that question. He's now going to answer that question.

MS . LEE: Yes.
A. How do we present the -- prevent the event from occurring or the security risk?

BY MS. LEE:
Q. The security risk.
A. What's the security risk there?
Q. The security risk is you have more of the infrastructure going up. You have more threats. And we do live in a atmosphere of security risks. And this last page --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We're going to shut this screen down for just a minute. Can you turn the screen off, please?

This is not your turn to
testify, okay. If you have questions for Mr.
Quinlan, you need to ask them.
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MS L LEE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You showed him a moving drawing --

MS . LEE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: -- that had a lot of words on it. And you want to know how to -- how the Company proposes to prevent that from happening; right?

MS. LEE: Yes. Right. Thank you.
A. Several ways. One is to ensure we comply fully with the National Electric Safety Code, which is the separation of those structures from each other.

Secondly, we ensure that we have ample clearance on the right-of-way to prevent trees from coming down on the conductors, which would then cause those structures potentially to be impacted.

Third, in the design of the structures themselves, they're a very robust design. So we design for severe weather to prevent structures from collapsing in the first instance.

So, all of those steps are security
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measures or safety -- I'll say safety measures to ensure that the system is reliable and we don't end up with customer interruptions, and is safe. So there are many design requirements that are intended to prevent the types of things you're talking about from occurring.
Q. What about security threats? That last page was about two incidents --
A. Security in which regard?
Q. As far as terrorism threats perhaps, because you're putting more structures that could come down, that are more vulnerable because there's more infrastructure.
A. Like domestic terror or --
Q. Domestic or otherwise.
A. -- terrorism in general?

As a general matter, the nation's transmission infrastructure is not terrorism-proof, if you will. There are certain assets that are critical, you know, certain substations that have a heightened level of security, because if they were lost, something very significant would happen to the grid, meaning, you know, we wouldn't be able to
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serve the needs of our customers. But for the vast majority of the transmission lines themselves, there's no particular security measures that we take. Whether it's, you know, security patrols or camera monitoring, we don't do that generally as an industry for transmission lines. It's the critical assets themselves, as defined by NERC, the North American Reliability Council, that have this heightened level of physical security. This is a very significant incident -- question from a physical security and cyber security perspective. But those measures are generally in the substations. They don't pertain to transmission lines, whether they're above ground or underground. And as you know, an underground event or a fault presents a bigger challenge than an overhead event like this. An overhead event, we can see it, we can identify it, we can repair it quickly. An underground outage is far more difficult, if that's what you're referring to.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Lee, do you
have any other questions for Mr. Quinlan?
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Q. You've answered them. Thank you.
A. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Quinn, is it your preference to do this right now, or would you prefer to come back tomorrow and do this? MS. QUINN: I'm not able to be here tomorrow. I have one question.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go for it.
MS. QUINN: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. QUINN:
Q. Hi, Mr. Quinlan. My name is Maureen Quinn. I live in Deerfield. I'm part of the Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters Group.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I have just one question for you. I had submitted in the exhibits a table which converts the magnetic fields expressed in the Application from milligauss to microtesla so that they would be more comparable to the ways that magnetic fields are expressed in the medical literature and studies that have been done around childhood leukemia. So I would encourage you to look at that table.
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Are you aware that the levels of the magnetic fields, the increases that will be proposed if Northern Pass is built in the southern portion of the Project where it's alternating current, for the most part, every segment -- that information is provided for in this table -- the levels at 300 feet on either side of the towers that's beyond the right-of-way are levels that are higher than those associated with 1.7 to 2.0 increased risk of childhood leukemia?
A. I am not, no. Again, that's a question better posed to our expert, Dr. Bailey.
Q. I just thought that that would be information that you should know.
A. I was not aware of that.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. IACOPINO: Ms. Quinn, do you know which exhibit it is that that table you just referenced is in?

MS. QUINN: It's the Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters Exhibit 25.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. That's going to be it for questioning for
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Afternoon Session ONLY] \{04-13-17\}
today. When we come back tomorrow morning, is it -- it's my understanding we're going to pick right up where we're leaving off with Mr. Quinlan; correct?

All right. And let's decide now
what order we want to go. Mr. Cunningham, you seem to indicate that you have some setup you need to do. Why don't you go first tomorrow morning. So you'll be in place, ready to hit the ground running when we turn the lights on, all right.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll need that time --
(Court Reporter inquiry)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't know what he said. If you don't talk into the microphone when it's on, we can't hear you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm sorry. I will need that time before you open the record. As not being technically inclined, I'm sure I'll get help.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, Mr.
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Cunningham, you'll be ready to go when we are ready to go.

Mr. Palmer's group, which
includes Dr. McLaren and Mr. Lakes, will go next, and then whoever else that we haven't called or hasn't -- we haven't gotten to yet. Then the Committee members. I know a number of Committee members have questions for Mr . Quinlan. Then the Applicant will have an opportunity to redirect after that.

Yes, Mr. Whitley.
MR. WHITLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I don't know if it's possible to get an estimate of the timing of what it will take to complete Mr. Quinlan's testimony here. Just trying to plan out for whether the panel scheduled for tomorrow will go forward and perhaps at what time.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I would never promise anything. But it sounds like, based on what people have represented, that we should finish up with Mr. Quinlan in time to start the panel tomorrow. I heard probably a total of an hour, maybe an hour and 15 minutes from the
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people who haven't asked questions yet, not including the Committee members. The Committee members will ask questions, but it won't be hours and hours. So that's my best guess. Ms. Menard.

MS. MENARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Deerfield Abutters would be estimating no more than a half an hour for our questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. While we're do doing it, Mr. Palmer, any idea as to how long you and your cohorts need?

MR. PALMER: I think roughly a half-hour, 45 minutes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cunningham?
MR. CUNNINGHAM: Half an hour.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there anybody else --

MR. ROTH: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes, Mr. Roth.
MR. ROTH: I don't know whether others may have designs on a confidential session tomorrow, but you might want to factor that in as well.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do people have
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designs on a confidential session?
MR. ROTH: We do not, but others may.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Doesn't sound
like it. I don't see anybody jumping up.
Everybody followed Mr. Pappas's lead of using the non-confidential portions of the exhibits. But, yes, clearly, we'll do that if we need to do that. That's not a problem. But it does sound like we're going to get to everything we need to get to by the middle of the day, and the next panel will probably be midday, early afternoon.

Anything else? All right.
We're adjourned for the day. Thank you all. (Whereupon Day 1 Afternoon Session adjourned at 5:19 p.m.)
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| 86:2,10,18;88:3; | vegetative (1) | 30:21 | 14,22;166:3 | 110:21 |
| 89:5,16;132:4 | 174:3 | walk (4) | whitewater (1) | wording (1) |
| upgraded (2) | vehicle (1) | 175:19,22; | 66:2 | 62:6 |
| 176:2,9 | 33:15 | 178:13 | Whitley (10) | words (6) |
| upgrades (4) | verbal (4) | walking (1) | 39:15,16,21;40:1,3, | 24:17;47:17;74:22; |
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