1		TATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE FE EVALUATION COMMITTEE
2		
3	April 28, 2017 - 49 Donovan Street	
4	Concord, New Hamp	psnire
5	{Electroni	cally filed with SEC 05-03-17}
6	IN RE: SE	C DOCKET NO. 2015-06
7	NOI	RTHERN PASS TRANSMISSION - EVERSOURCE int Application of Northern Pass
8	Tra	ansmission LLC and Public Service of w Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy
9		r a Certificate of Site and Facility rehearing conference)
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	PRESIDING: Mic	chael J. Iacopino, Esq. (Brennan
16	(P	residing as the Presiding Officer)
17		
18	ALSO PRESENT: Iry	yna N. Dore, Esq.
19		rennan, Caron, Lenehan & Iacopino)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	COURT REPORTER:	Cynthia Foster, LCR No. 14

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Reptg. Northern Pass Transmission and Public Service Company of NH d/b/a
3	Eversource Energy (The Applicants): Barry Needleman, Esq. (McLane)
4	Thomas B. Getz, Esq. (McLane) Marvin Bellis, Esq., (Eversource)
5	Reptg. Counsel for the Public:
6	Peter C.L. Roth, Esq. Sr. Asst. Attorney General
7	NH Dept. of Justice Thomas Pappas, Esq. (Primmer Piper)
8	
9	Reptg. the Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests (SPNHF): Jason Reimers, Esq. (BCM Environmental)
10	Will Abbott
11	Reptg. Intervenor Group 1-North: Brad Thompson
12	<u>-</u>
13	Reptg. McKenna's Purchase: Michelle Kleindienst, Esq.
14	Reptg. Kevin Spencer and Mark Lagasse. d/b/a Lagaspence Realty:
15	Arthur B. Cunningham, Esq.
16	Reptg. Abutting Property Owners (Underground portion) Bethlehem
17	to Plymouth: Campbell McLaren
18	Susan Schibanoff Walter Palmer
19	
20	Reptg. Easton Conservation Commission: Kris Pastoriza
21	Reptg. Coos County Business and
22	<b>Employers Group:</b> Jason Dennis, Esq.
23	Reptg. the International Brotherhood
24	of Electrical Workers (IBEW): Alan Raff, Esq. (Primary Legal Solutions)

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Reptg. Philip & Joan Bilodeau: Philip H. Bilodeau
3	-
4	Reptg. Abutting Property Owners (Overhead portion) Deerfield: Jeanne Menard
5	Bob Cote
6	Reptg. Non-Abutting Property Owners (Overhead portion) Ashland to Deerfield:
7	Maureen Quinn Charlotte Crane
8	Heather Townsend
9	Reptg. the City of Berlin and the City of Franklin:
10	Chris Boldt, Esq. (Donahue, Tucker)
11	Reptg. Conservation Law Foundation: Melissa Birchard, Esq.
12	Reptg. Appalachian Mountain Club:
13	William Plouffe, Esq. (Drummond) Ken Kimball
14	Reptg. Pemigewasset River Local
15	Advisory Committee (PRLAC): Max Stamp
16	Gretchen Draper Barry Draper
17	Reptg. Towns of Bethlehem, Northumberland,
18	& Whitefield (MG 1-South); Towns of Easton, Franconia, Plymouth & Sugar Hill
19	(MG-2); Town of Bristol (MG 3-North): Christine Fillmore, Esq. (Gardner)
20	Reptg. the City of Concord:
21	Danielle L. Pacik, Esq. (Dep. City Solicitor)
22	Reptg. Town of Littleton (MG 1-South);
23	Town of New Hampton & Ashland Water & Sewer Dept. (MG 3-North); Towns of
24	Pembroke & Deerfield (MG 3-South): Steven Whitley, Esq. (Mitchell Mun.)

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Reptg. Abutting Property Owners (Overhead portion) Ashland - Concord:
3	Mary Lee
4	Reptg. Non-Abutting Property Owners (Overhead portion) Stark - Bethlehem:
5	Rebecca More
6	Reptg. Wagner Forest Management, LTD: Mike Novello
7	Reptg. Sugar Hill Historical Museum:
8	Nancy Martland
9	Reptg. North Country Scenic Byways Council: Carl D. Martland
10	Reptg. National Trust for Historic
11	Preservation and Historic Preservation Intervenors Group:
12	Sharee Williamson
13	Reptg. Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters: David Van Houten
14	Reptg. New England Power Generators
15	<b>Association:</b> Carol J. Holahan, Esq.
16	Bruce F. Anderson, Esq. Jim Monahan
17	Reptg. Bethel Conservation Commission:
18	Cheryl Jensen
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	INDEX	
2	PAC	GE NO.
3	AGENDA AND OTHER ITEMS DISCUSSED:	
4	Expected extent of	
5	Cross-Examination of	
6	Applicant's Witnesses	
7	Construction Panel	14
8	Environmental Panel	21
9	Economic Markets	26
10	Aesthetics Panel	31
11	Historical & Archeological	
12	Panel	38
13	Property Values	42
14	Tourism	46
15	Property Taxes	50
16	Land Use & Orderly	
17	Development	53
18	Public Comments	57
19	Scheduling Issues	64
20	Additional Site Visits	72
21	Communication and Distribution Lists	86
22	ShareFile site	92
23		
24		

{SEC 2015-06} [Prehearing Conference] {04-28-17}

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: All right.

Good morning, everybody. We are here in the

Site Evaluation Committee Docket Number 2015-06,

Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission

and Public Service Company of New Hampshire

d/b/a Eversource Energy for Certificate of Site

and Facility for the project known as the

Northern Pass Transmission Project.

This is our second Prehearing Conference.

We have already begun the adjudicatory hearings in this docket. We've gone through five days of them. This is what was sometimes colloquially referred to as the Track 2 Prehearing

Conference. There is an agenda that I've prepared. It's at the back of the room for anybody who has not received one, as well as copies of the most recent Scheduling Order from the Administrator of the Committee. I think those things may be helpful to you during the course of our proceeding today.

There is a signup sheet going around the room. Please sign in. We use that so that the court reporter can check our accuracy of who's here before she prepares the transcript in these

matters.

The first order of business today, though, is going to be to do a roll call or take appearances, whatever you prefer to call it.

I'm going to start with the Applicant and ask that we go from the Applicant back and then from the back of the room up to Counsel for the Public. Mr. Needleman?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Barry Needleman from McLane Middleton representing the Applicant. To my right is Marvin Bellis, in-house at Eversource; and next to Marvin is Tom Getz also from McLane Middleton.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Boldt?

MR. BOLDT: Chris Boldt. Donahue Tucker

Ciandella on behalf of the City of Berlin.

MS. PACIK: Danielle Pacik on behalf of the City of Concord and also the spokesperson for Municipal Group 3 South.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms. Fillmore?

MS. FILLMORE: Christine Fillmore for the towns of Bethlehem, Bristol, Easton, Franconia, Northumberland, Plymouth, Sugar Hill, and Whitefield, and spokesperson for Municipal Group

1	2.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
3	MR. DRAPER: Barry Draper from the
4	Pemigewassett River Local Advisory Counsel
5	representing the length of the river.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
7	MS. DRAPER: Gretchen Draper also from the
8	Pemi Group.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you. If
10	we can go to the table behind you there?
11	MR. STAMP: Max Stamp. Pemi River Local
12	Advisory Committee.
13	MR. COTE: Bob Cote, Deerfield Abutters.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
15	Maureen.
16	MS. QUINN: Maureen Quinn, Ashland to
17	Deerfield Non-Abutters Group.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
19	Charlotte Crane, Ashland to Deerfield
20	Non-Abutters Group.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
22	Mr. Bilodeau?
23	MR. BILODEAU: Phil Bilodeau representing
24	Phil and Joan Bilodeau.

1	MS. TOWNSEND: Heather Townsend, Ashland to
2	Deerfield Non-Abutters Group.
3	MS. MENARD: Jeanne Menard, Deerfield
4	Abutters.
5	MR. NOVELLO: Mike Novello, Wagner Forest
6	Management.
7	MR. DENNIS: Jason Dennis from Bianco
8	Professional Association representing the Coos
9	County Business and Employers Group.
LO	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Welcome,
11	Jason.
12	MR. DENNIS: Thank you.
13	MR. RAFF: Alan Raff representing the
L4	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
15	and the spokesperson for the Business
16	Intervenors Group.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Go over to the
18	other side of the room now.
19	MS. WILLIAMSON: Sharee Williamson,
20	National Trust for Historic Preservation, and
21	also the spokesperson for the Historic
22	Preservation Intervenors Group.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you. If
24	we could go down the line there.

1	MS. MARTLAND: Nancy Martland, Sugar Hill
2	Historical Museum.
3	MR. MARTLAND: Carl Martland, North Country
4	Scenic Byways.
5	MR. VAN HOUTEN: David Van Houten,
6	Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters.
7	MS. MORE: Rebecca More, Non-Abutters,
8	Stark to Bethlehem.
9	MS. SCHIBANOFF: Susan Schibanoff,
10	Bethlehem to Plymouth Abutters.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Going that way
12	down your table.
13	MS. PASTORIZA: Kris Pastoriza, Easton
14	Conservation Commission.
15	MR. MCLAREN: Campbell McLaren. Central
16	Abutters Group.
17	MS. LEE: Mary Lee, Ashland to Concord but
18	representing Northfield as an intervenor.
19	MS. HOLAHAN: Carol Holahan on behalf of
20	the New England Power Generators Association.
21	MR. ANDERSON: Bruce Anderson with the New
22	England Power Generators Association.
23	MR. MONAHAN: Jim Monahan with the New
24	England Power Generators Association.

1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Arthur B. Cunningham for
2	Kevin Spencer and Mark Lagasse.
3	MR. THOMPSON: Brad Thompson, spokesman for
4	Abutters and Non-Abutters, Pittsburg,
5	Clarksville and Stewartstown.
6	MS. JENSEN: Cheryl Jensen, Bethlehem
7	Conservation Commission.
8	MR. REIMERS: Jason Reimers with BCM
9	Environmental and Land Law representing the
LO	Forest Society, and I'm here with Will Abbott
11	who's Vice President for Policy and Reservation
12	Stewardship.
13	MS. BIRCHARD: Melissa Birchard, designated
L4	spokesperson for the NGO Intervenors comprised
15	of Ammonoosuc Conservation Trust, Appalachian
16	Mountain Club and Conservation Law Foundation.
17	I myself represent Conservation Law Foundation,
18	and with me today are Bill Plouffe representing
19	the Appalachian Mountain Club, and Ken Kimball,
20	also with the Appalachian Mountain Club.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Peter?
22	MR. ROTH: Peter Roth, Counsel for the
23	Public, and with me is Tom Pappas of the Primmer
24	law firm.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Palmer, I saw you sneak in the back door there.

MR. PALMER: Yes. Apologies for being late. Walt Palmer with the Abutting Property Owners from Bethlehem to Plymouth.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

Mr. Palmer, I don't know if you heard before,

but there is an agenda and a copy of the last

procedural schedule on the back table which may

be helpful to you during today's proceeding.

Okay. Most of you have been through this, but I'm going to go over a short explanation of why we're here. This is a prehearing conference. It is an informal process by which we discuss informally basically procedural matters. I am not a judge at this particular conference. I am simply here to conduct a conference at the request of the Presiding Officer, Martin Honigberg. We will try to follow the agenda. I cannot rule on motions. We can discuss what may be pending if that's important to anybody, but I cannot rule on any motions.

Procedurally, I think the best way to start

this is to discuss what's coming up next which has been colloquially referred to as Track 2, but in reality is the balance of the witnesses presented by the Applicant.

Did everybody receive a copy of the Applicant's proposed schedule for those witnesses? My suggestion, what I would like to do at the outset is to try to get an idea of how long this is going to take when we get into the adjudicative proceeding so what I would like to do, and to the extent necessary, is to go through with each party what their anticipated good faith anticipation, understanding things change over time, your good faith anticipated amount of time that you think you're going to spend with each one of or each set where there's a panel of witnesses that the Applicant intends to call for the balance of the proceedings.

I anticipate, I think, as most of you do, that that very first panel they have on their list, the Construction Panel, is going to be the one that's going to have perhaps the most questioning. It is a large panel. So why don't we begin with that. And what I've done is I

have a list of the parties here, and I've got them number 1 being the Applicant through number 26 being Pemigewassett, and what I'm going to do is I'm just going to go through each party and ask you for your best good faith estimate for the amount of time that you're going to spend, and we'll start with the Construction Panel.

So does the Applicant intend to present anything additional beyond the Prefiled Testimony and simply swearing the witnesses in and presenting them?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: No. That's it.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So number 2 on my list is the Business Organizations with Economic Interest. I think that's Mr. Raff. Do you anticipate any questions for the Construction Panel?

MR. RAFF: No.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: There is a sign-in sheet going around, and also when we're done today, if you weren't here for the appearances, please come up and see me at the end so we make sure your name gets in the record as well. Yes?

MS. WILLIAMSON: I don't have a copy of the 1 2 Proposed Witness List. Where could I find that? PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That was 3 emailed to the list. I don't have any extra 4 5 copies. Does the Applicant have an extra copy 6 of that by any chance? MR. NEEDLEMAN: We don't have hard copies. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: If you want to 8 9 come up here, I can give you mine because I have 10 probably memorized it by now. 11 MS. WILLIAMSON: Thank you. 12 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. So no 13 questions from the Business Organizations. Mr. 14 Boldt, City of Franklin and Berlin. 15 MR. BOLDT: Maybe 20 minutes, 30 minutes. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Put you down 17 for 30 minutes. Is Wagner Forest Management 18 here? 19 Yes, we are. MR. NOVELLO: 20 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. 21 sorry. I didn't see you back there. Will you 22 have any questions for the Construction Panel? 23 MR. NOVELLO: Possibly up to about 15 24 minutes.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Number 5.
2	Counsel for the Public.
3	MR. PAPPAS: Probably about a day and a
	_
4	half. Maybe a little more.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Municipal
6	Group 1 North. Are they here?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I think that's
9	Mr. Ellis or County Commissioner Samson. No?
10	Okay. Municipal Group 1 South.
11	MS. FILLMORE: Speaking on behalf of
12	Municipal Group 1 South, Group 2, Group 3 North
13	and Group 3 South, we'd say between 4 and 6
14	hours. A day.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. So then
16	can we move on to
17	MR. ROTH: Before you move on, I was
18	conversing with Tom, and I missed the last one.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: One day.
20	MR. ROTH: What was that?
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That was the
22	Joint Municipal Groups, except for North 1 who
23	is not here.
24	MR. ROTH: Okay. Thank you.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And you
2	weren't speaking for Municipal Group North 1,
3	were you, Ms. Fillmore?
4	MS. FILLMORE: No, I was not.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: My 11th party
6	is the Grafton County Commissioners. Are they
7	present?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Next is the
10	Society for Protection of New Hampshire Forests.
11	MR. REIMERS: I'll guess four hours.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	The Environmental NGOs. Ms. Birchard?
14	MS. BIRCHARD: We don't plan any questions
15	at this time.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
17	NEPGA?
18	MS. HOLAHAN: No questions at this time.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I have the
20	Clarksville to Stewartstown Abutting and
21	Non-Abutting Group. Is anybody here for them?
22	MR. THOMPSON: Yes. I am.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay.
24	Construction questions for the Construction

1	Panel.
2	MR. THOMPSON: Best guess, 3 to 5 hours.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
4	Dummer to Northumberland Abutting Property
5	Owners.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mike, our Chairman or
7	group spokesperson isn't here, but I have maybe
8	a half an hour of questions?
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: One-half hour?
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: One-half hour.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters. I thought I
13	heard them here. Didn't I?
14	MR. VAN HOUTEN: Less than an hour.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Thank
16	you. Bethlehem to Plymouth Abutters,
17	underground portion.
18	MR. PALMER: About 45 minutes.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
20	Ashland to Concord Abutting Property Owners.
21	MS. LEE: Mary Lee would like to reserve 15
22	minutes.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
24	Deerfield Abutting Property Owners.

1	MS. MENARD: One hour.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
3	Mr. Bilodeau?
4	MR. BILODEAU: Mr. Bilodeau, 30 minutes.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you,
	<u>-</u>
6	sir.
7	Stark to Bethlehem Non-Abutting Property
8	Owners.
9	MS. MORE: Not at this moment. Thank you.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. And
11	Bethlehem to Plymouth Non-Abutting Property
12	Owners? Anybody here for them?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Ashland
15	to Deerfield Non-Abutters?
16	MS. QUINN: Hard to predict.
17	
	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: It's hard for
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: It's hard for all of us to predict.
18 19	
	all of us to predict.
19	all of us to predict.  MS. QUINN: Given that you don't want
19 20	all of us to predict.  MS. QUINN: Given that you don't want redundancy, but we'd like to reserve the right
19 20 21	all of us to predict.  MS. QUINN: Given that you don't want redundancy, but we'd like to reserve the right to about 20 minutes.

to be held to it, but it's going to be helpful not only to the Committee but to all of you if we have a general idea of how long things are going to take.

Next group is the Historical NGOs.

MS. MARTLAND: No questions anticipated at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

And then as always, bringing up the rear, the

Pemi River Group.

MR. DRAPER: The rear is here, and it's about 45 minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. My initial review of that is that that will likely take up that first, maybe not quite all of it but that first week. May 1 through 4. At least May 1 through 3. But, of course, that's not, I'm not setting a schedule for anything. Just giving everybody my general view based upon the responses that we got.

Let's move on to the Environmental Panel which the Applicant would prefer to have go after the Construction Panel, and that contains six witnesses as well. Ms. Carbonneau,

1	Mr. Varney, Tinus, Magee. Actually, it's five.
2	I have Tinus twice. Sorry. And Barnum. So
3	again, Applicant, anything unusual in your
4	Direct for these folks?
5	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay.
7	Business Organizations, any cross-examination
8	for the Environmental Panel?
9	MR. RAFF: No.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Franklin and
11	Berlin?
12	MR. BOLDT: None anticipated at this time.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
14	How about Wagner Forest?
15	MR. NOVELLO: If anything, it would be
16	about five to ten minutes.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
18	the Public?
19	MR. PAPPAS: Maybe a half day to a day.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Put you down
21	for a day.
22	Municipal Group 1 North. Is anybody here
23	yet?
24	(No verbal response.)

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. Are the
2	Municipals joining together on this panel as
3	well?
4	MS. FILLMORE: They're joined.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you very
6	much. Appreciate that, actually. We do. And
7	do you have an estimate?
8	MS. FILLMORE: About one hour, give or
9	take.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So that brings
11	us down to group 11. Grafton County
12	Commissioners. No one's here for them.
13	Society?
14	MR. REIMERS: 4 to 6 hours.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Put you down
16	for 6 hours.
17	The Environmental NGOs?
18	MS. BIRCHARD: I would estimate one hour.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: NEPGA? Any
20	cross for the Environmentals?
21	MS. HOLAHAN: None anticipated at this
22	time.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Clarksville to
24	Stewartstown Abutting and Non-Abutters Group.

1	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
3	Dummer to Northumberland Abutters?
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Don't expect any
5	questions, Mike.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Thank
7	you, Art. Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters.
8	MR. VAN HOUTEN: No questions for now.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Bethlehem to
10	Plymouth Abutters, underground portion.
11	MR. PALMER: Yes. About 30 minutes,
12	please.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you,
14	Mr. Palmer.
15	Ashland to Concord Abutters?
16	MS. KLEINDIENST: Hi. Michelle Kleindienst
17	for Steve Judge. He's sick today. He said he
18	needed some time to question the Chalmers
19	reporters or the Chalmers.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Chalmers
21	is not on right now we're discussing
22	the Environmental Panel.
23	MS. KLEINDIENST: I'm sorry. I just walked
24	in. I just found out he's out.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That's fine.

Ms. Kleindienst, there is a signup sheet going around. Please make sure you sign in. There's also an agenda in the back of the room if you haven't picked it up already.

MS. KLEINDIENST: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

But we're still on that group in terms of questions for the Environmental Panel. Ms. Lee?

I think you've responded before.

MS. LEE: Mr. Iacopino, I have a question. We're in the Ashland to Concord Group, but I've been representing Northfield as an individual so do I get a chance to name myself as a spokesperson?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No.

MS. LEE: No?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. You don't get to name yourself as anything, and you really don't represent Northfield either. But we do recognize that you are a member of this group, and you have participated, and the Chair has allowed you to participate, and I assume that he will continue to do that. So what we're doing

1	today is informal. We're trying to get an idea
2	of how long things are going to take so I do
3	invite you to let me know if you have questions.
4	If it's something that has to be worked out
5	within your group before the questions are
6	asked, that's fine as well, but for our purposes
7	today, why don't you tell me how much time you
8	think you would have with this particular panel.
9	MS. LEE: I'll reserve 15 minutes.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
11	Okay. Deerfield Abutters.
12	MS. MENARD: Two hours and we do have
13	confidential, just a couple questions that would
14	be confidential.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you very
16	much. Mr. Bilodeau?
17	MR. BILODEAU: Mr. Bilodeau, no questions
18	at this time.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Stark to
20	Bethlehem Non-Abutters?
21	MS. MORE: No questions at this time.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Bethlehem to
23	Plymouth Non-Abutters. Nobody's here, right?
24	(No verbal response.)

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ashland to
2	Deerfield Non-Abutters?
3	MS. QUINN: Half an hour.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: The Historical
5	NGOs?
6	MS. MARTLAND: No time anticipated
7	necessary.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
9	And Pemigewassett?
10	MR. DRAPER: An hour, please.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Looks like the Environmental is going to be
13	about a day and a half to two days, if I have
14	this correctly. And the Applicant, please
15	correct me if I'm wrong, I have the next one in
16	your order being the Economic Markets.
17	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Yes. Subject to the caveat
18	we included in the list, but correct.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: We'll talk
20	about what happens if we finish sooner or go
21	later after we have an idea of how much
22	cross-examination there is.
23	Do you anticipate any additional Direct
24	other than just qualifying the testimony?

1	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Do the
3	Business Organizations, and this witness is Ms.
4	Frayer, will you have any questions for her?
5	MR. RAFF: We anticipate about 15 minutes
6	tops.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
8	MR. BOLDT: Mr. Boldt for Berlin and
9	Franklin.
10	MR. BOLDT: 30 minutes tops.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Wagner Forest?
12	MR. NOVELLO: No questions expected.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
14	the Public?
15	MR. PAPPAS: Maybe a day.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Municipal
17	Group 1 North, nobody's here yet?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Do the
20	remaining Municipal Groups intend to do this one
21	jointly as well?
22	MS. FILLMORE: Yes. We intend to do most
23	of them jointly.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

1	MS. FILLMORE: Half an hour.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: 30 minutes?
3	MS. FILLMORE: 30 minutes, but may I
4	clarify?
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Certainly.
6	MS. FILLMORE: By jointly, we mean we're
7	pooling our time estimate. We may ask questions
8	separately, but we will not overlap.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay.
10	MS. FILLMORE: Thank you.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Has anybody arrived from Grafton County
13	Commissioners' office?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. Number
16	12. Forest Society.
17	MR. REIMERS: Two hours.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: The
19	Environmental NGOs?
20	MS. BIRCHARD: One hour.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: NEPGA?
22	MR. ANDERSON: We'll need up to a day, and
23	we'll ask questions concerning confidential
24	materials.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
2	Clarksville to Stewartstown Abutters and
3	Non-Abutters?
4	MR. THOMPSON: Ten minutes.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Dummer to
6	Northumberland Abutters.
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: One half hour.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
9	Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters?
10	MR. VAN HOUTEN: Pardon me. I was napping.
11	We're still on Economics, right?
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes. Ms.
13	Frayer.
14	MR. VAN HOUTEN: No questions at the
15	moment. Thank you.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes. Let's
17	not nap in between. I know it's boring, but
18	it's important.
19	Bethlehem to Plymouth Abutters, underground
20	portion.
21	MR. PALMER: No questions at this time.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
23	Ashland to Concord Abutters?
24	MS. LEE: Hi. Mary Lee, no. And Michelle

1	Kleindienst, no questions at this time.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you very
3	much.
4	Deerfield Abutters?
5	MS. MENARD: 30 minutes.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
7	Mr. Bilodeau?
8	MR. BILODEAU: No questions at this time.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Stark to Bethlehem Nonabutters?
11	MS. MORE: No questions at this time.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Bethlehem to Plymouth Nonabutters?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Not here.
16	Okay. I'm just losing my list here. Sorry.
17	Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters?
18	MS. QUINN: 15 minutes.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
20	Historic Group?
21	MS. MARTLAND: No questions anticipated.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
23	And Pemigewassett?
24	MR. DRAPER: 15 would be fine.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Days?

MR. DRAPER: Yes, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'll put you down for 15 minutes. Thank you very much. So it looks like we're going to run more than two days with Ms. Frayer and probably well into a third day based on this estimate.

Okay. I gave away my list. So is the Aesthetics Panel the next one, Mr. DeWan and Ms. Kimball?

MS. TOWNSEND: May I ask a question? Where are we in terms of dates at this point? Just roughly.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Oh, I don't -well, at this point, no dates have been assigned
to any particular group. What we have is an
order that the Applicant intends to present its
case. They do have the right to present their
case in a manner that they choose, subject to
the call of the Chair, of course, if he decides
that there has to be some changes. So where we
are in dates, in terms of number of days, I can
tell you. I can't tell you dates though. It
looks like 11 days.

MS. TOWNSEND: So this is 11 days in. So we're into June.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: If everybody's estimates are on track, there's no snow, you know. All the things that we've been dealing with all winter long. And any other possible, you know, possible schedule problems. And they do happen, folks. They do happen. We did, believe it or not, we did the first Antrim Wind in the middle of Hurricane Sandy. We had to shut down because of that. So there are things that occur. Hopefully, nothing like that will occur during these proceedings. But right now, my guess is we've just pretty much mentioned about 11 days' worth of cross-examination for the witnesses we've gone over so far.

The Applicant, you have an idea, too. Am

I, is that consistent? Same thing with Counsel

for the Public? And you guys take up most of

the --

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'm just not sure that your counting is entirely right, but we can talk about it. It's pretty rough.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm being

1	conservative high.
2	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No, I'm trying to do the
3	same thing.
4	MR. PAPPAS: I agree. I was questioning
5	your counting as well. I was kind of looking
6	for a calculator.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I don't want
8	to mislead anybody so let me just go back. I've
9	gone three days on the Construction Panel.
10	MR. PAPPAS: I have a little more than
11	that.
12	MR. NEEDLEMAN: I did also.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So let's say
14	that's four days. Then on the Environmental
15	Panel, I had a day. I'm sorry. Day and a half
16	to two.
17	MR. NEEDLEMAN: I had more like two and a
18	half.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So you guys
20	have more days than me.
21	MR. PAPPAS: I was at two for that.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So that's,
23	let's say four plus two, that's six, and then I
24	had three days for Ms. Frayer. That's nine.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I had a little less than 1 2 that. PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm pretty 3 4 sure it's three days. Okay. Just must be my 5 counting, that's all. 6 MR. ROTH: None of this accounts for the Committee time either. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Correct. 8 9 does not account for Committee time, and I'm 10 sure there will be Committee questions. 11 So the next panel is the Aesthetics Panel. 12 Was I correct in that? By the way, that gives 13 you some comfort, whoever asked the question, 14 about the time? I can't tell you what days 15 we're talking about, I can't make your schedule 16 for you, but we're looking at about 9 days 17 consumed just with parties' questions, not 18 counting Committee questions at this point. 19 MS. TOWNSEND: Thank you. 20 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So let's move 21 The Aesthetics Panel is Mr. DeWan and Ms. on. 22 Kimball. Do you expect anything on Direct, Mr. 23 Needleman? 24 MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.

1	DDEGIDING OFFICED INCODING: Duginos
1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Business
2	Groups.
3	MR. RAFF: No questions anticipated at this
4	time.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
6	Mr. Boldt?
7	MR. BOLDT: None at this time.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
9	Wagner Forest?
10	MR. NOVELLO: None expected.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
12	the Public?
13	MR. PAPPAS: Five or six hours.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Six hours.
15	Thank you. Anybody here from Municipal Group 1
16	North?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: The remaining
19	Municipalities?
20	MS. FILLMORE: Two to three hours.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Three hours.
22	Okay. Anybody show up for Grafton County?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. Forest

1	Society?
2	MR. REIMERS: 6 hours.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
4	Environmental NGOs?
5	MS. BIRCHARD: One hour.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
7	NEPGA?
8	MS. HOLAHAN: None.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Clarksville to Stewartstown Abutters and
11	Non-Abutters.
12	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Dummer to
14	Northumberland Abutters.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: 15 minutes, Mike.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
17	Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters.
18	MR. VAN HOUTEN: 30 minutes.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Bethlehem to
20	Plymouth Abutters, underground?
21	MR. PALMER: 15 minutes.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
23	Ashland to Concord Abutting Property Owners.
24	And we're talking about Aesthetics.

1	MS. LEE: Mary Lee reserves 15 minutes.
2	Michelle excuse me. Michelle Kleindienst for
3	McKenna's Purchase, 15 minutes. That's it.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So you are
5	estimating a half an hour between the two of
6	you?
7	MS. LEE: Yes. Thank you.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
9	Deerfield Abutters?
10	MS. MENARD: One and a half hours.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Bilodeau?
12	MR. BILODEAU: No questions at this time.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you,
14	sir. Stark to Bethlehem Non-Abutters.
15	MS. MORE: 15 to 30 minutes. Thank you.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: 30 minutes.
17	Thank you. Bethlehem to Plymouth Non-Abutters.
18	(No verbal response)
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Nobody's here.
20	Okay. Ashland to Deerfield Nonabutters.
21	MS. CRANE: One hour.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
23	The Historical NGOs, any questions for the
24	Aesthetics Panel?

1	MR. MARTLAND: One hour.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
3	And Pemigewassett?
4	MR. DRAPER: 45 minutes, please.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
6	I've got more than two days for them. Probably
7	two to two and a half days is what I've figured
8	out here.
9	Let's move to the Historical and
10	Archeological Panel. Is that the next one in
11	your list?
12	MR. PAPPAS: Yes.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That's two
14	witnesses, Bunker and Widell. Anything unusual
15	in the Direct, Mr. Needleman?
16	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Will the
18	Business Organizations have any cross for
19	Historical and Archeological?
20	MR. RAFF: No questions at this time.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
22	Mr. Boldt?
23	MR. BOLDT: None at this time.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

1	Wagner Forest?
2	MR. NOVELLO: No questions.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
4	the Public?
5	MR. ROTH: One half day.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Thank
7	you. Nobody's still here for Municipal Group 1.
8	And the remaining Municipal Groups?
9	MS. FILLMORE: 45 minutes.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Nobody is here
11	for Grafton. Society for Protection of New
12	Hampshire Forests.
13	MR. REIMERS: Five hours.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you, Mr.
15	Reimers. Environmental NGOs?
16	MS. BIRCHARD: One quarter hour.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Did you say
18	one quarter?
19	MS. BIRCHARD: That's right. 15 minutes.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Changing up my
21	units here. NEPGA.
22	MS. HOLAHAN: No.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
24	Clarksville to Stewartstown?

1	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Got that out
3	even before I could get it out. Thank you, sir.
4	Dummer to Northumberland Abutters?
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No questions.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm hearing
7	no.
8	MS. MORE: These are the Abutters? I'm
9	sorry. Susan Percy asked me to reserve 15
10	minutes.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. So that
12	is for Dummer to Northumberland?
13	MS. MORE: Correct.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Whitefield to
15	Bethlehem Abutters.
16	MR. VAN HOUTEN: No questions at this time.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
18	Bethlehem to Plymouth Abutters on the
19	underground?
20	MR. PALMER: 15 minutes, please.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
22	Ashland to Concord Abutters.
23	MS. LEE: Ashland to Concord, we have no
24	questions at this time.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
2	MS. LEE: And none for Michelle
3	Kleindienst.
4	MS. KLEINDIENST: None.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms.
6	Kleindienst, I'm sure you're going to report
7	back to Mr. Judge. If you just want to let him
8	know what you guys estimated, and if he's got
9	any big changes to give me a call.
10	MS. KLEINDIENST: I will.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Deerfield Abutters. I'm sorry. We're on the
13	Historic and Archeological.
14	MS. MENARD: Two hours.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
16	Mr. Bilodeau?
17	MR. BILODEAU: No questions.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
19	Stark to Bethlehem Nonabutters?
20	MS. MORE: 15 to 30 minutes.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
22	Has anybody shown up for Bethlehem to Plymouth
23	Non-Abutters?
24	(No verbal response.)

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ashland to
2	Deerfield Non-Abutters?
3	MS. CRANE: 30 minutes.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
5	Historic NGOs?
6	MS. MARTLAND: Four hours.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
8	And Pemigewassett River Local Advisory
9	Committee?
10	MR. DRAPER: 15, please.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Looks to be a day and a half to a day to three
13	quarters without Committee questions. I'm
14	correct. It's a little more than two days.
15	Okay. The next person that I have on my
16	list which I think was taken directly from the
17	Applicant's is Property Values. The single
18	witness, Mr. Chalmers. Do you expect anything
19	unusual on direct?
20	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Raff for
22	the business organizations?
23	MR. RAFF: No questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Mr.

1	Boldt for Franklin and Berlin?
2	MR. BOLDT: Maybe 15 minutes.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
4	Wagner Forest Management?
5	MR. NOVELLO: No questions.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
7	the Public?
8	MR. PAPPAS: Half day.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Municipal Group 1 North. Nobody's here.
11	Unless, did somebody come?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. Remaining
14	Municipals?
15	MS. FILLMORE: Half a day.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Nobody is here
17	for Grafton County. Society?
18	MR. REIMERS: Three hours.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
20	Environmental NGOs?
21	MS. BIRCHARD: No questions.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: NEPGA?
23	MS. HOLAHAN: No questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: If you're

1	conversing back there, you want to make sure you
2	turn off your mike because we can hear you.
3	Clarksville to Stewartstown, Abutters and
4	Non-Abutters?
5	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
7	Dummer to Northumberland Abutters?
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: 15 minutes.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Whitefield to Bethlehem Abutters?
11	MR. VAN HOUTEN: 30 minutes.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Bethlehem to Plymouth, underground abutters?
14	MR. PALMER: 15 to 30 minutes.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
16	Ashland to Concord Abutters?
17	MS. LEE: Mary Lee reserves 15 minutes.
18	MS. KLEINDIENST: A half hour in total.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Thank
20	you.
21	Deerfield Abutters? We're on Chalmers.
22	MS. MENARD: Three hours. However,
23	individuals within our group may have,
24	collectively, an additional 15 to 20 minutes as

1	individual property owners as well.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm going to
3	put that down as four hours. Does that sound
4	right?
5	MS. MENARD: Thank you.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: You will seek
7	permission from the Chair?
8	MS. MENARD: Yes.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Mr. Bilodeau?
11	MR. BILODEAU: No questions.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Stark to
13	Bethlehem Non-Abutter Property Owners?
14	MS. MORE: No questions at this time.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
16	Bethlehem to Plymouth Non-Abutters.
17	(No verbal response.)
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Oh, they're
19	not here. I'm sorry. 25. The Historical NGOs.
20	MS. MARTLAND: Half hour.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you. I
22	missed somebody.
23	Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters. I'm
24	sorry.

1	MS. CRANE: Yes. Sorry. We seem to have
2	been lumped a group with many different kinds of
3	concerns. I wish I could say on any one of
4	these "no time," but
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Just your best
6	estimate.
7	MS. CRANE: We're going to need 45 minutes.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Going to put
9	you down for an hour.
10	I'm sorry. Pemigewassett?
11	MR. DRAPER: 15 minutes, please.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Next witness is Mr. Nichols on Tourism.
14	Anything expected unusual on Direct Examination
15	of Mr. Nichols?
16	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And by the
18	way, on Chalmers, Mr. Chalmers will probably be
19	two- to 2-plus days. Again, all these estimates
20	don't include Committee time.
21	Okay. Will the Business Organizations with
22	Economic Interests have any questions for
23	Mr. Nichols?
24	MR. RAFF: I would anticipate 15 minutes.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
2	Franklin and Berlin.
3	MR. BOLDT: Not at this time.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
5	Wagner Forest?
6	MR. NOVELLO: Not at this time.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel?
8	MR. PAPPAS: Half a day.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Municipal
10	Group 1 North has not arrived. Remaining
11	Municipal Groups?
12	MS. FILLMORE: Two hours.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
14	Grafton Commissioners still not here?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Society for
17	Protection of New Hampshire Forests.
18	MR. REIMERS: Two hours.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Environmental
20	NGOs?
21	MS. BIRCHARD: 15 minutes.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: NEPGA?
23	MS. HOLAHAN: No questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

1	Clarksville to Stewartstown?
2	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
4	Dummer and Northumberland Abutters?
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Half an hour.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
7	Whitefield to Bethlehem?
8	MR. VAN HOUTEN: No questions at this time.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	Bethlehem to Plymouth, underground?
11	MR. PALMER: 15 minutes.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Ashland to Concord Abutters?
14	MS. LEE: Excuse me. I was busy. What's
15	the panel?
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Tourism.
17	Mr. Nichols.
18	MS. LEE: No questions from Mary Lee or
19	Michelle Kleindienst for McKenna's.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
21	Deerfield Abutters?
22	MS. MENARD: Two hours.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Bilodeau?
24	MR. BILODEAU: No questions.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Stark to
2	Bethlehem Non-Abutters?
3	MS. MORE: No questions at this time.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
5	Bethlehem to Plymouth Non-Abutters?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: They're not
8	here. Right. Okay. Ashland to Deerfield
9	Non-Abutters?
10	MS. CRANE: 15 minutes.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
12	Historic NGOs?
13	MS. MARTLAND: Half hour.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And
15	Pemigewassett River?
16	MR. DRAPER: 45 minutes, please.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
18	That's a day to a little over a day.
19	MR. REIMERS: Mike, what was your tally for
20	Mr. Chalmers?
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: My notes, 2.5.
22	Was a little over two days is what I had.
23	MR. REIMERS: Great. Thank you.
24	MS. HOLAHAN: Mike, what was your estimate

1	for the Aesthetics Panel?
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: 2.5 as well.
3	That's 2.5 days. And again, none of these
4	contain an estimate for Committee questions.
5	Is everybody ready to move on to Property
6	Taxes. Ms. Shapiro? Anything unusual in your
7	Direct, Mr. Needleman?
8	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay.
10	Business Groups. Any cross-examination from Ms.
11	Shapiro?
12	MR. RAFF: No.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Boldt?
14	MR. BOLDT: 30 minutes tops.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
16	Wagner Forest?
17	MR. NOVELLO: None.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
19	the Public?
20	MR. ROTH: Two hours.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Municipal
22	Group 1 North is still not here. The remaining
23	Municipals?
24	MS. FILLMORE: One hour.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
2	Grafton County Commissioner still not here.
3	Society for Protection of New Hampshire Forests?
4	MR. REIMERS: 15 minutes.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Environmental
6	NGOs?
7	MS. BIRCHARD: No questions anticipated at
8	this time.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
10	NEPGA?
11	MS. HOLAHAN: No questions.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Clarksville to Stewartstown?
14	MR. THOMPSON: No questions.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
16	Dummer to Northumberland Abutters?
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No questions.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Whitefield to
19	Bethlehem Abutters?
20	MR. VAN HOUTEN: No questions.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Bethlehem to
22	Plymouth Abutters, underground?
23	MR. PALMER: No questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ashland to

1	Concord Abutters?
2	MS. LEE: No questions.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Deerfield
4	Abutters.
5	MS. LEE: Oh, excuse me.
6	MS. KLEINDIENST: 15 minutes, please.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Change
8	that. Thank you. Deerfield.
9	MS. MENARD: 15 minutes.
10	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Bilodeau?
11	MR. BILODEAU: No questions.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Stark to
13	Bethlehem Non-Abutters? Wait a minute. I
14	missed somebody, didn't I? No.
15	MS. MORE: No questions at this time.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Next group is
17	not here. That's Bethlehem to Plymouth
18	Non-Abutters. And then Ashland to Deerfield
19	Non-Abutter?
20	MS. QUINN: 30 minutes.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And then
22	Historic NGOs?
23	MS. MARTLAND: No questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And the

1	Pemigewassett River Local Advisory Committee?
2	MR. DRAPER: 15 minutes, please. And that
3	could be Pemi.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I like to mix
5	it.
6	MR. DRAPER: I know.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: It looks like
8	about half a day for Ms. Shapiro. Well, I've
9	got, yes, I've got five hours, but my guess is
10	some of these are conservative so I'd say about
11	half a day. And then just one witness left, and
12	that's on Orderly Development. Mr. Varney.
13	Anything unusual in his Direct?
14	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Any
16	questions from the Business Organizations for
17	Mr. Varney?
18	MR. RAFF: Maybe 15 minutes tops.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
20	City of Franklin and Berlin?
21	MR. BOLDT: Not at this time.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
23	Wagner Forest.
24	MR. NOVELLO: 15 minutes.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Counsel for
2	the Public?
3	MR. PAPPAS: Two to three hours.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Municipal
5	Group 1 North is not here. Remaining
6	Municipals?
7	MS. FILLMORE: Half a day.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Grafton County
9	Commissioners not here. Society for Protection?
10	MR. REIMERS: Five hours.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Environmental
12	Groups?
13	MS. BIRCHARD: 20 minutes.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
15	NEPGA?
16	MS. HOLAHAN: No questions.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Clarksville to
18	Stewartstown Abutters?
19	MR. THOMAS: No questions.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Dummer to
21	Northumberland Abutters?
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No questions.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Whitefield to
24	Bethlehem Abutters.

1	MR. VAN HOUTEN: 30 minutes.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
3	Bethlehem to Plymouth?
4	MR. PALMER: 30 minutes, please.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
6	Ashland to Concord Abutters.
7	MS. KLEINDIENST: No questions.
8	MS. LEE: No questions.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Deerfield
10	Abutters.
11	MS. MENARD: 30 minutes.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.
13	Mr. Bilodeau.
14	MR. BILODEAU: No questions.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Stark to
16	Bethlehem Non-Abutters? I'm not hearing
17	anybody.
18	MS. MORE: No questions. Thank you.
19	Sorry.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Bethlehem to
21	Plymouth Non-Abutters.
22	(No verbal response.)
23	PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Nobody here.
24	Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters.

1 MS. OUINN: 15 minutes. 2 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you. The Historical NGOs. 3 MS. MARTLAND: Half hour. 4 5 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And Pemi. 6 MR. DRAPER: 45. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. So that looks like a day-plus. Say a day and a half, 8 9 day and three quarters. Any other witnesses 10 that aren't on your list, Mr. Needleman? MR. NEEDLEMAN: 11 No. PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So my rough 12 13 tally does not include questions from the 14 Committee and as most of you have been here 15 through the adjudicatory proceedings know, they 16 generally have a fair number of questions. 17 count 21 days. That's a bunch of lawyers adding 18 things up in their head. So yes. 19 If you look at the most recent schedule 20 that was put out by the Administrator from April 21 24th, the Revised Notice of Adjudicative 22 Hearings, those are the days that the Committee 23 has been able to reserve at this point, and my 24 guess is those days are going to be taken up,

virtually all of these days are going to be taken up, by just the Applicant's witnesses based upon where we are in our assessment here today. People smile, but it's not really surprising. I expect it to take a long time. We will be looking for further days from the Committee. We'll be in touch with you about that.

Just so everybody is aware, if we move on just to that new schedule for a minute, we have scheduled three periods of time, three half-days to take oral written comment from the public.

And on the second page of that notice that was issued on the 24th is instructions for folks who want to sort of reserve a spot to do that on how they should go about that. So if there are folks that you intend or that you believe are going to come and speak, if you could please alert them that the best way to reserve a spot to make sure that they have the opportunity to do that is to follow the instructions on page 2 of this order.

I know a question that we will receive is will there be more days for public comment.

That's yet to be determined. That will be left to the Administrator and the Chair of the Subcommittee to determine. Mr. Whitley, did you have a question?

MR. WHITLEY: Yes, Mike. If someone has submitted a public comment, would they be allowed to speak to the Committee during these three days?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: You mean a written public comment?

MR. WHITLEY: Right. A written public comment.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: They probably will be. But I would point out that there's really not a lot of point in being redundant. Those of you who are here are aware that we try to make all of the exhibits available to the Committee members during the adjudicatory hearings to make sure they all have a personal set of exhibits that they can review. Along with that set of exhibits is a complete copy of all of the public comments, the written public comments that were received. They also have available to them the transcripts from the, I

forget how many, public hearings and public information sessions that occurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I certainly don't want to discourage anybody from seeking to make public comment. However, just as a practical matter, written public comment stays with the Committee members the longest, and each one of them will have actual copies of all of that as part of our process here. But I don't want to discourage anybody. I can't say they will be prohibited. I do know that Intervenors will be likely prohibited from standing up at the podium because you have lots of opportunities to make arguments during the course of this proceeding. However, just because you've provided a written comment, I can't say that you'll be -- you may be put to the back of the list.

MR. WHITLEY: That was my followup was that assuming it's allowed by the Chair, it will probably be time permitting and after those that have not submitted written public comments have already gone.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I don't know for sure, but my guess is that's probably what

the Chair would do is those who have already submitted have had an opportunity, and they would probably go to the end of the process.

MR. WHITLEY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ROTH: Mike, as a practical matter there are hundreds, hundreds of public comments that have already been lodged, and --

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: "487 against, 67 for" is my last tally, and the Subcommittee has all of them.

MR. ROTH: Yes, I believe they do. But some of them are simply named, you know, Mary or Sheila or John. And so I don't know how the Committee Chair is going to sort through the hundreds who have submitted something in writing and say Mr. Jones, you already submitted one in writing in May of 2015.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: If he doesn't know, he doesn't know. But for those that he knows -- I mean, he can't guess at who somebody is. Some of them are like that, although I will say that most of them do have proper identification contained in them. Some are very short, to the point, and don't have a whole lot

of identifiers except for maybe an email address. So. Somebody else have a question? Yes, Melissa.

MS. BIRCHARD: Thank you. I guess my greater concern would be that if someone submitted comments two years ago they may have comments on additional information that has come to light in the interim and that that would, in my opinion, be appropriate to share.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Well, like I said, I'm not saying anybody's going to be prohibited. It just going to be the manner in which we get through people. Everybody can sit down and write a comment to the Committee.

There's no stopping anybody from doing that. I think we probably have the most open public comment availability of any process in state government. In the back?

MS. WILLIAMSON: Is there going to be a limitation on time for each individual public comment on those days? If there's going to be a particular schedule or time limit, it would be good to communicate that to everyone ahead of time.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Depending upon the number of people who seek to speak, there is likely to be some limitation on time. There has been in virtually every public hearing that we've had because of the number of people who have requested to speak. That's generally been somewhere between 3 and 5 minutes.

COURT REPORTER: Could I have your name, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm sorry. I should have. If you could identify yourself for the court reporter.

MS. WILLIAMSON: I'm Sharee Williamson with the National Trust and Historic Preservation Intervenor Group.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm sorry.

And for everybody, when you do speak, if you could just identify yourself, it's very difficult for the court reporter to see beyond the first couple of rows. And the way the speakers work, when you speak we really don't know where it's coming from.

Was there another question? I'm sorry. I

{SEC 2015-06} [Prehearing Conference]

thought I saw another hand. No. Okay. Let's move on.

Does anybody have any issues to raise or believe that they're going to raise any issues formally by motion regarding any of the exhibits, the exhibit lists, that haven't already been filed? Or the witnesses between now and when we begin on Monday? Has everybody been able to access the exhibits that all the various parties have filed? Very good. Okay.

Other than the scheduling that we've addressed today, and now I'm talking about just for the presentation of the Applicant's witnesses, does anybody see any scheduling difficulties or problems during that period of time, which I think we figured out is 21, 22 days. What I want to do is turn to the Applicant because you have sort of a -- first there's a question. Just identify yourself. You're kind of behind Mr. Boldt there.

MS. CRANE: Charlotte Crane with the Ashland to Deerfield Non-Abutters. I greatly appreciate the benefit of having a predictable order, but when that predictable order means

that the members of the group that I'm part of are always looking at their watches at quarter of 4 and wondering whether they're going to, what time this is going to end, I'm wondering if it would be possible to go through the order down and then the order back up again so everybody knows where they're going to be, but you start from a different position.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Meaning with the first panel you go 1 through 26 and the second panel you go 26 through 1?

MS. CRANE: Yes, although I certainly would entertain the idea that those people who have asked for more substantial blocks of time probably ought to be the ones that go first, and I don't know where that cutoff is on the list, but I'd be willing to let you make it pretty much anywhere.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Just speaking in response to your question, and not having any authority, I think that the problem is is that the order of cross-examination that was established at the first Prehearing Conference, there was actually some consideration of why

that was done in that particular way,
particularly with respect to some of the folks
that have substantial rebuttal witnesses. And,
obviously, because of the staff of Counsel for
the Public's statutory purpose.

What I can say to you, Ms. Crane, is if on a particular day when you have that issue, if you want to raise it with the Administrator, Pam Monroe, or myself, we can bring that to the attention of the Chair, and to the extent that it's not going to totally impede our process, we can always change the schedule around to accommodate somebody.

So, for instance, if somebody has to be out the door by a certain time, and you guys wish to go sooner because you're afraid you're going to pull up to that time, if you raise that with Pam Monroe or myself, we would bring that to the attention of the Chair, and he can then determine whether or not that's something that can be accomplished without disorder in the house.

MS. TOWNSEND: Heather Townsend. The problem was that in technical sessions, we would

get lapped over, not just by one day but by two days, and that becomes an economic hardship for the people who have to come and miss work for those multiple days.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I understand. That's why I suggest if you can identify when you have that issue and bring it to the attention of either Pam Monroe or myself, as soon as you know, we can try to, we can alert the Presiding Officer, and he likely will accommodate. I mean, we've tried to accommodate folks throughout these proceedings. There's a lot of you out there. It's a very complicated proceeding to run. But that's what I would suggest that you do.

I personally would not recommend to the Presiding Officer that we begin 1 through 26 and then go 26 through 1, even if we were to move some folks around because they have more questions, only because it makes for a difficult flow. And also as many of you may see when you start reading transcripts, you start to rely on that things are going in a certain order and whatnot. And there will be pages and pages of

transcripts coming from these hearings.

MR. ROTH: Mike, do you anticipate taking the information that you gathered today about the amounts of times for each of the groups per witness and creating more or less a schedule and a calendar so that it would show --

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That's what I was about to turn to the Applicant about because with the Applicant's filing of this order of witnesses, they did have some caveats in there that I would like to hear from him on and then we can discuss. So Mr. Needleman?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Sure. Here's what I can tell everybody. It's in many ways as complicated for us as it is for everyone else. We have witnesses who have availability issues, we have witnesses who have to travel great distances in some circumstances to be here, and despite everyone's best estimates about timing, it's difficult to predict exactly when we'll be done. And so we've tried to factor that all in.

We can say with certainty that the

Construction Panel will go next week. It seems
as though it's likely that panel may take most

if not all of that week. I think at the end of next week, we can give people more clarity on what comes next. Certainly, if we finish sooner than expected, we will go to the Environmental Panel next week so people know that.

The one other thing that I can say generally is that Ms. Shapiro is a local witness and somebody who has a lot of availability and someone who seems to be the one that's going to require the least time on this list. So she's someone who we are thinking of as a floater, so to speak, and if it becomes necessary at some point to slot someone in because we finished soon or late and another witness is not yet available, our expectation is we might turn to

I also understand that predictability for everybody is important and trying to have as much notice as possible as to who is coming is important. We will certainly expect and appreciate the same courtesy when we have to question witnesses and so we are going to do everything we can to try to stick to the schedule and try to provide that predictability

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

1 for people.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: So if I were to translate that for the folks behind you, Mr. Needleman, I would tell them next week be prepared for the Construction Panel and the Environment Panel basically.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: And I think it would be good if during the course of the proceedings if you can continue, and this goes for every party who has witnesses to present, if you can continue to keep us abreast of that. There will be a report that will come out of this Prehearing Conference, and the times that I have here will be not all my little chicken scratch, but the total times for each witness and witness panel will be in that Prehearing Conference Report so that everybody will have that as well.

Again, it doesn't bind the Applicant or any other party. But it does give you a general sense, and you'll have a document to look at, but I guess what I'm hearing Mr. Needleman saying is it sounds like next week is going to

be taken up by the Construction Panel. If they get finished with it, they'll pick up with the Environmental Panel, which quite frankly makes sense. We don't want to lose days because we were careful in assessing how much time you're going to need. Mr. Boldt?

MR. BOLDT: Mike, request. Is it possible to have an email sent out by Pam at the three o'clock or midafternoon break saying what the next day looks like? So that those folks that are coming from afar might be able to know a little bit in advance we're not going to get through that Construction Panel or Dr. Shapiro will go on tomorrow afternoon, it looks like. Something like that might be a way of giving us a heads-up.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I think that's a great idea. I assume everybody else in the room likes that idea because as many of you can't be here every day. I understand that.

And I will recommend that to Ms. Monroe. I can't guarantee she'll do it, but I can tell you that if she doesn't want to do it, I will try to do it through my resources. Mr. Reimers?

MR. REIMERS: Just to follow up on that, it would be useful if that could go to the entire Distribution List rather than just the

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay.
Mr. Roth?

spokespeople.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

While I certainly appreciate the MR. ROTH: difficulties in arranging the schedules of all of the Applicant's witnesses, and the need for some flexibility, but I think the need for certainty about who is going to be appearing on a given day is kind of important and certainly having some notice of whether that lineup So I would respectfully ask that the changes. Applicant to the extent that it plans to change up the order at some point because of availability issues and plug in Ms. Shapiro or somebody else that they be required to do that with some minimal notice to people about that, and I was going to suggest five business days because preparing for cross-examination during the month of June in particular where there's hearings going on is not an easy thing and requires a lot of night work, and to be told

today that oh, by the way, tomorrow we're going to see Ms. Shapiro is kind of unfair.

And so I think it's important that we have some set schedule to the extent that it's possible, and if it's there's going to be a change, that we have five days' notice of it before it can get changed. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Whitley?

MR. WHITLEY: I just wanted to raise with you, Mike, and can we get an update on the status of the site visits? I mean, that was scheduled at one point, and I haven't heard anything since then, and we're talking about filling in when there may be open days, and I don't know if there's any possibility of filling those in with a site visit. If you could speak to that, that would be great.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Word on the street, which I can't confirm, was they were looking at July, I think it was the 27th and 28th.

MR. ROTH: That's what Pam told me the other day.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: But I

understand that the Administrator is working with the Applicant and Counsel for the Public and anybody else who wants to chime in on that. So I think they were looking at the end of July. I only know those days because they're days when I can't be there. But those were two days and there was some discussion of a third day. I don't know where that's fallen out yet or if it's fallen out.

But it is anticipated that there will be further site visits, and when the dates for those are determined, there will be a formal notice that will issue from the Administrator, and it will be published on the website.

MR. REIMERS: Mike, getting back to Peter's request for notice, we were going to ask for at least 7 days' notice for the exact same reasons. So I just want to say I second that.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: What's the Applicant think of that?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I certainly appreciate where it's coming from, and one of the reasons we said in our disclosure that we are going to try to provide adequate notice is because I

understand the difficulties. I'm concerned that if we impose those absolute limits it takes away everyone's flexibility, and we could lose a half a day or three quarters of a day because we're just not ready to go with a witness.

And so one of the reasons I let everyone know about Ms. Shapiro is precisely because she's someone who we would likely turn to first to alter the order of things, if things changed significantly. And I'm not suggesting that on an afternoon we would say the next day we're going with Lisa, but I think if we can project out and say she might be coming a day or two from now, that was the purpose. It's just to try to make sure we use our time most efficiently.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I think that part of problem with the two time frames that have been thrown out there is that we don't really, even at this point, don't have any expected witness or panel that's going to go 5 or 7 days so I think it would be difficult for the Applicant or any party to realize that there's going to be shorter-than-expected

examination of a particular witness or panel.

So 5 to 7 days is longer than we anticipate any particular panel to go.

So it clearly, if there is something that occurs where the Applicant wants to change the order that they've suggested to accommodate your witnesses, that's a different story than if what's happened is we're just moving along faster. If we're moving along faster, I would expect that all the parties would have to say okay, we're moving faster than we thought, and we'll deal with it. But if you need to change a witness because they can't fly in from Chicago or something like that, that we should get substantial advance notice over.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I would say that's fair, and I would also say we're not intending to surprise everyone, and if we see something like that coming, we would raise it, and we would talk about it with everybody.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Peter. You were going to say something?

MR. ROTH: Yes. I don't have a problem with that approach. I think we all understand

that if the order is and it moves faster than anticipated, that's fine, and I know the Applicants are not trying to surprise anybody, but the expectation that sort of by the end of May we have to have all the cross-examination for every witness all prepared in case they decide to change the order because it's convenient to them, without giving us 4 or 5 days notice or 7 as the Forest Society has asked for, it essentially results in surprise and puts an unfair burden on everybody.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I suspect that a change merely for convenience without substantial notice would be frowned upon by the Chairman.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And that's not what we're suggesting.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I will address this discussion that we just had in the Prehearing Conference Report so that the general public that's not here and the members of the Committee know what was discussed. Other than that, any other scheduling problems seen on the scheduling horizon?

1 MR. THOMPSON: Mike. Brad Thompson.
2 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes, Brad.

MR. THOMPSON: I'm sure there's an answer to this question, but I'd like to hear it.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Not always.

MR. THOMPSON: It seems like we're using three weeks in May. Is that because of conflict or some other reason?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes. It's because of schedule of the Committee members. Various schedules. Sorry about that, but that is the way it is.

MR. ROTH: In terms of Track 3, are you expecting to add a bunch of days in August or are we now looking at September because the number of days that are on the schedule and the number of days that are more or less scheduled for the Applicant's witnesses would leave one day for all the other witnesses. And, obviously, that's not going to happen. But so I know we've been telling people, our witnesses, to be ready for June and July. And some of them have already said okay, I'm going to move my vacation plan from June to August. And so I

1 know August is traditionally a busy 2 vacation-type month for everybody.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

vacation-type month for everybody. And so do you have some idea of where the Committee is

4 going to be trying to find additional days?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: First, let me address the first part of your question. Everybody will have ample and sufficient opportunity to present their witnesses. We will

go through as many days of hearing as it takes

to do that. Nobody will be cut short. Nobody

will be told that all of the rest of the

witnesses have to be combined into one day, two

days or even one week. That's not the way the

14 Committee has ever operated. I don't see any

reason why it would operate that way.

The Administrator is looking for days in

August. You are right. August is a terrible

month vis-a-vis vacations and things like that.

But I know that she is working diligently to

find more days, and we will, the Committee will

21 have as many days as necessary to complete this

22 hearing so that everybody has their due process

and their ability to present their position and

their case and their witnesses on here.

22

23

24

Now, it's no surprise, I know it's no surprise to the Applicant's counsel, in the past there have been, primarily under the prior statute, there have been occasions when the Committee has deemed it in the public interest to further suspend deadlines because the hearing process or deliberation process can simply not be completed within that period of time. was set whether it was the nine-month limit or some subsequent limit that was set by the Committee. It is possible that that could That is a decision that would be made happen. by the Subcommittee. The standard for that is to have to determine whether it's in the public interest to do that.

So just so that everybody is aware that that's one thing that the Committee or various subcommittees, I should say, in the past have done. But I do know that I can assure everybody in the room that everybody will have their opportunity to present their cases. You won't be limited in that you and everybody else has to get all their evidence in on one day.

MR. ROTH: I didn't mean to imply

otherwise, but I'm really more interested in knowing whether I can start telling my people be prepared for August or September.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I think what we're going to do is we're going to have a further Prehearing Conference, and I think that at that point in time we'll be looking to, there will be a notice that goes out, and we'll be looking to you and to the Intervenors that have witnesses for proposed schedules. But I hope to have more days available for you so that --

MR. ROTH: Before we do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Right, so that it makes some sense. And we'll schedule that and then we'll do something very similar to what we've done so far today with the balance of the witnesses from Counsel for the Public which I know they have a substantial bench of witnesses, for the Forest Society and everybody else.

We'll have a proceeding similar to today, and we'll map that out as best as can be, and we'll get through it. Any other? Jason?

MR. REIMERS: Just along those lines of scheduling the Prehearing Conference for Track

3, if you could also include a date for pretrial motions related to Track 3. I don't know if there will be any, but there were for Track 1

and there were for Track 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Well, I know. We get motions almost every day. So, I mean, I think we got a batch of motions on the 24th for the proceedings that are starting on Monday. Mr. Boldt?

MR. BOLDT: One clarification. Would it make sense to have the next Prehearing

Conference be for Counsel for the Public's witnesses where there will be a great many and lengthy presentations, I'm sure, on those, and then get into another Prehearing Conference on the remainder of folks?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm not inclined to go that way right now. If it turns out that we get to the Applicant rests, and it turns out that there becomes an issue with scheduling, we can have a Prehearing Conference or Structuring Conference at any time that we want, and, indeed, these things can often take place at the end of the day during the course of

the adjudicatory hearings under the auspices of the Chair, but I don't want to predict the fourth Prehearing Conference at this point. I would love in a third Prehearing Conference to map out the schedule for the balance of these proceedings, and I think that would be the most helpful way for everybody in the room.

MR. BOLDT: Fair enough. I would request if there is a late afternoon called, we get hopefully a day's notice of that because some of us may not be attending each day.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I will make sure that the Presiding Officer is aware of that. But sometimes it just, you know, it happens because of something that happens during the course of the hearing, and we can't predict it. But in any event, any other scheduling questions?

MR. ROTH: Mike, one more.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes, sir.

MR. ROTH: With respect to the public comment periods, I would suggest that the Committee consider at least one such session to occur in the evening hours going from 6 to 9

because that would accommodate people who need to travel or who have to work.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I will relay that to the Presiding Officer. There is one thing, I don't know if it's still on the table or not, there was some suggestion that one of the public comment sessions might be held up north, perhaps in conjunction with the site visits. I'm not sure if that's still something that Ms. Monroe is still considering or not.

MR. ROTH: I would certainly support that as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Any other questions regarding scheduling, public comment? Okay. Moving right along. Any other business? Anybody have any issues they need to raise before Monday? In the back, please identify yourself for the court reporter.

MS. MORE: This is Rebecca More. I just, my question was about the transcripts, and I don't want to cause the court reporter to have a heart attack, but I'm wondering, how soon will -- will they be posted at the end of each day? Is that the --

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. They're not posted at the end of each day, but, quite frankly, they have been coming out pretty quickly for the adjudicatory hearings.

MS. MORE: I noticed that.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes, and they are posted on the website.

MS. MORE: Right. So my question, the reason I ask the question is because, in the interests of reducing redundancy, it occurred to me that being able to read your transcripts will allow us to prepare better. Perhaps our questions have been addressed sufficiently and we may want to alter them. So that's why I ask the question.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Unfortunately, it's not an easy process to go from the hearing to the final transcript. It does take some time to prepare. Our court reporters try to be as accurate as possible, and that does require -- their job isn't just here in this room. It also takes place in their offices or at their homes where they are preparing the actual transcript. So I think they've actually done a very good job

of getting the transcripts out so far in this proceeding, and we will try to, I don't mean to -- speaking on their behalf, they will continue to do their very best, but I really don't think that you're going to get daily transcripts, in other words, at the end of the day have a transcript ready, even from the day before.

I think that the other thing that will probably happen is the further and further we get into the hearing, it may take them longer to get the transcripts out, because they'll be, I mean, there are three, I believe, that rotate through, but as they do more work in the hearing room, that increases the amount of work they have to do outside of the hearing room. But we'll do our best.

Ms. Menard?

MS. MENARD: Yes. The Deerfield Abutters were wondering if it would be possible to have all communication going forward now use the full Distribution List? Only because the last, let's say, week and a half or so there's been a lot of information that is coming through, and it is

falling on the responsibility of the spokesperson to just get that out to everybody.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That's a list that includes the Committee members?

No. It's our understanding MS. MENARD: that the Spokesperson List was primarily set up to aid in the distribution of like data requests and to just take care of some of the housekeeping details, but there's some very pertinent communication that is coming through the Spokesperson List that it would be of benefit for all of our Intervenor Groups to have and see and think about and then respond back through a spokesperson, but it's just -- a lot of people are very busy with other life responsibilities, and we would hate to miss out on an opportunity to respond. So if it doesn't create a burden for people to just use the regular Distribution List for all Intervenors in all communications going forward as we're entering into this critical hearing time period.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Does the

Applicant have an objection to that? Assuming
the Distribution List does not contain the

Committee members?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Sounds like an administrative matter. I'm not sure it would bother us.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Okay. Does anybody have an objection to it?

MR. ROTH: My concern is it's already difficult when you file a motion to keep track of who's responding, and if we all of a sudden get responses from an additional 50 people to, kind of concurrence on a motion, trying to sort out who is actually the spokesperson for that group or who is just responding because they got an email that they think they need to respond to is going to be challenge.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: We have, Ms.

Menard, had some issues with people using that

list for -- actually, I don't think even think

it's the same list you're talking about.

There's even a larger list that includes all of

the Committee members, and we have had

difficulties with people who aren't even parties

in this case basically responding to things and

giving their viewpoints on that list as well. I

1 mean, I'm not, I will raise it with the 2 Presiding Officer. I'm not inclined to recommend it only because of the concern that 3 Peter raises and the problems that we've had so 4 5 far with just trying to keep things organized. 6 MS. MENARD: We appreciate you considering it. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: 8 Thank you. 9 MS. MENARD: Thank you. 10 MS. CRANE: I was just going to add. 11 may know about when he's gotten too many emails. 12 He doesn't know about when he hasn't gotten any, 13 and I would suggest, at least the experience in 14 my group, both problems exist. 15 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms. 16 Schibanoff? 17 MS. SCHIBANOFF: Susan Schibanoff. 18 Speaking in favor of this idea, it's going to 19 become more and more difficult for group 20 spokespersons over the summer to keep in contact 21 with their groups. They're going to have to go 22 on vacation and that then cuts other people in 23 the group out of these necessary emails.

not necessarily talking about motions, but, you

24

know, for putting out midday announcements and so forth. So I'm in favor of this.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Just so you know, I think that this three p.m. thing that I've agreed that either Pam or I will take care of will go out to the Distribution List, not just to spokespeople. So that the email that goes out at the end of each day which I've committed to, that will go to the, actually that will probably also go to the Committee members as well. Because it's simply going to say what it looks like is going to occur the next day. But the, I mean, the concern I think that is expressed is that some of these Intervenor Groups are large, and it's sometimes going to be difficult to understand if you get a response from more than one person in the group, you've got to kind of -- I know how difficult it is, how difficult it was just to make the list of all the Intervenors, and then you have to go and figure out, well, who actually speaks for this group, especially if you've got two people saying two different things.

MS. SCHIBANOFF: In the groups, I think we

24

1

2

3

4

all know who our spokespersons are, and if there are bold caps at the beginning of an email that only spokespeople should answer, I think people will respect that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Thank you.

Mr. Reimers?

MR. REIMERS: Maybe the solution is that more people from these particular Intervenor Groups who are having these issues could just simply be added to the Spokesperson List even though they're not spokespeople.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Will that result in the Spokesperson List becoming the Distribution List?

MR. REIMERS: No, but they can opt in rather than everyone get flooded with emails like Peter suggested.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I will raise the issue with the Administrator and with the Chairman, and we will report something back to you either formally or informally. Mr. Boldt?

MR. BOLDT: Is there any benefit in having the concurrence emails or objection emails go only to the requesting party?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Oh, I would love that, but I don't think we really can. I don't think it's really fair to the other parties. I mean, you know, I know that they are annoying and nine out of ten of them are totally expected, but I think in order to maintain proper pleading procedures, we do need them to go to everybody. Ms. Pacik?

MS. PACIK: I was just going to say I think maybe some parties don't understand that you can respond directly to the person and not copy the entire group, and that's maybe a personal preference, but that is an option for people.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Certainly have that option. But I think that for the people who are filing the motions, it becomes, well, whatever. To me, it doesn't make a difference because I don't have to concur or not concur. But generally, I mean, that's the way pleadings are normally filed so I kind of wouldn't want to mess around with that. Any other questions regarding the way we're filing things?

Distribution Lists? Scheduling? Any other business anybody wishes to raise? Mr. Reimers?

MR. REIMERS: Mike, I do have one more issue, and it's regarding the ShareFile site and trial preparation. The Applicants have a unique access to the ShareFile records. I think that should be discussed. I'm aware of two instances in which the Applicants have accessed user records to determine whether another party has viewed a particular document.

One time that I know of is on March 31st of this year when Attorney Needleman sent Attorney Manzelli an email in which he stated that regarding a particular document, the ShareFile record indicates that you downloaded this on March 22nd, and he included a screen shot from the ShareFile site.

And then two days ago, Attorney Dumville noted in an email to Dr. Rebecca More that ShareFile records indicate that she had been the only person to access a particular document.

Citrix which created the ShareFile software offers a lot of options for administrators of a site, and it also offers a lot of options for those administrators to obtain email notifications and other information about who is

using what on the site. And I've got, I looked up Citrix on the internet and found out some of the options that are available.

I don't know what the Applicants monitor or if they do at all, and I'm happy to give them the benefit of the doubt. However, what intervenor or party would not be uncomfortable, especially now that we are beyond the stage of simply uploading and sharing documents and we're into trial preparation. Who wouldn't be uncomfortable with an opposing party having the ability to know what documents we're looking at or focusing on. And that could be a window into trial preparation. And I think that information that could be obtained is arguably work product.

So what I'm asking for is if the ShareFile function can be disabled, we ask that it be disabled. And if it can't be, I ask that all information previously accessed by the Applicants about the accessing of files by others be provided to everyone and that this practice not occur anymore.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Before I ask the Applicant to respond, let me ask you a

couple questions. First of all, for everybody's edification in the room, the ShareFile site is not administered by the Site Evaluation

Committee. It was a voluntary method that the Applicant created in order to conveniently engage in the discovery process.

It has, however, I know that it has become very helpful to people, and just from my own example, it's very helpful to me when people are putting their exhibits, which presumably are not secret, on the ShareFile because it's easier for me to then download them and provide them to our Committee. And it makes a very, rather than taking a thumb drive, copying it on to a disk, a hard disk, and then copying on to thumb drives for the Committee. So it's a very convenient and useful tool.

But if all you're doing is downloading the material that has been uploaded to the Committee, so, for instance, and I have a limited experience with it, when folks have sent around emails saying here's my Exhibit List, I've loaded the exhibits to the ShareFile, I go on to the ShareFile, I click all the exhibits, I

download them onto my own system. And I'm sure there's probably some computer record that my IP address or my user name was on there and probably what I did.

Are you using it for more than just downloading the documents?

MR. REIMERS: I'm using it to download, but also to access particular documents that I don't necessarily download, and I suspect that others are in the same boat.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Let me go over to Ms. Fillmore first.

MS. FILLMORE: Thank you, Mike. We do considerably more at times, and it is possible to do more than simply download all of the documents onto a flash drive or onto your own computer. It has a search function. We don't know whether or not the administrative recordkeeping function allows someone in an administrator position to find out what we may have searched or which documents has this particular user accessed in the last three days. As Attorney Reimers said, it's a window into trial preparation.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms. Pacik?

MS. PACIK: I agree. There's tens of thousands, if not more, of documents on there, and a lot of people use it like their own database to look at documents periodically rather than downloading them all, and there are search functions by keyword.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms. More?

MS. MORE: Yes. I want to clarify, and I appreciate Mr. Reimers bringing up the question because that was an unusual circumstance. I'm going to speak here as an academic and say that I was actually just going to upload a document, some relevant documents to the Non-Abutters site, and to my horror I discovered documents relevant to the Section 106 consulting party. Those of us who are also Section 106 consulting parties have been lectured extensively by the Department of Energy on the need for confidentiality.

So when I opened, as any good teacher would, to see what was in this, I was flabbergasted to see documents which we had been told, and I want to point out that many of the

Section 106 consulting parties are also retired academics and we do know how to be confidential, and we had observed the process. We were shocked.

And so I think that to the Applicant's credit, they did take these documents down immediately, but I did pick up that statement that I was the only person who had happened to notice it. Well, I mean, first of all, it's not an excuse. I shouldn't have seen it at all. But I did pick that up and the Trust's lawyer also picked it up, and I was concerned. And I think that there are some privacy issues here that we expect to be taken care of.

So I think Mr. Reimers raises a deep concern that all of us should have. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: But, of course, in that case, had there been other people, the Applicant could have identified who they were and advised them that what they had downloaded was confidential.

MS. MORE: But this was none of anybody else's business. This was technically the Section 106 materials, including unredacted

emails from some of us to the landscape study group. Very innocent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Which as I understand were mistakenly filed in the ShareFile, and then were taken down, and the Applicant was able to determine who actually saw the confidential material. Luckily, it had only been you. But had there been others, they could have identified them and say hey, we made a mistake. These are confidential materials. You're not supposed to have them. And try to take measures that way. Or at the very least report back to the folks at the 106 process that there's been a breach of, we mistakenly put these out there and folks got their hands on So that the ShareFile provided the Applicant the ability to do that apparently. So there are up sides and down sides to that. Anybody else have a concern before I turn to the Applicant? Ms. Pastoriza.

MS. PASTORIZA: I brought this subject up,
I think, with the Applicant or their
administrator way back that they could be
following our browsing habits, and they pledged

that they would never do such a thing. In addition, I also downloaded that Section 106 stuff. They apparently didn't notice that. But they have no right to be checking on our browsing habits.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Well, however, though, the ShareFile is something that they have provided for you. You're going on to their site.

MS. PASTORIZA: There's no way to get the data.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That is the case though. It was provided for the purpose of distributing documents. Okay? Ms. Holahan?

MS. HOLAHAN: NEPGA shares the same concerns as the Forest Society and some of the Municipal Intervenors. In addition, if these documents were going, browsing history or accessing documents was going to be something that the Applicants were going to be following or we knew that it had those capabilities, I think that should have been made clear to people at the time when the site was established. I think to say now that, well, they did it for

convenience and you're all using it, it's one thing to do it and accept that knowingly. It's another thing to not have appreciated or understood or have it been disclosed to you at the front end, and now all of a sudden in the back end, there's nothing you can do about it.

But I agree wholeheartedly with Jason's comments that it does provide an opportunity, especially as we are approaching the adjudicative hearings, who's accessing what documents, how many times are they accessing them, what keywords are they searching, and it does provide a window into litigation strategy which is protected.

MR. ROTH: Mike, if I may just have a brief word. I would not expect that anybody on the Applicant's team would do anything unethical such as analyze all of that search history and use it to their advantage. I just don't think that they would do that, but I think for appearances sake, it would be appropriate for them to acknowledge that that function is available and take steps, whatever are necessary, to disable it so that everybody can

have comfort in using that site without wondering about that.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Over here and then back to Ms. Holahan, and then I'm going to hear from Mr. Needleman.

MS. TOWNSEND: Heather Townsend. It seems like there was a line that was crossed when the ShareFile site went from being sharing documents for the purpose of technical sessions to sharing exhibits, and I feel that that's the point at which it becomes a much more serious issue. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Ms. Holahan?

MS. HOLAHAN: And following up on Public Counsel's comment that the Applicants would not breach ethical duties, not everybody on the Applicant team is an attorney, nor are they subject to any of those ethical rules that those attorneys of us in the room are subject to.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Mr. Needleman?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: The Applicants aren't looking at anyone's documents. The Applicants aren't monitoring anyone's usage of the site. The Applicants aren't doing any of the things

that people are concerned about here. So let me start by assuring everyone that those things are not happening.

In the two instances that people have referred to, I think one, Ms. More, and the other Jason referring to a situation with Amy, we were responding to specific issues that people had raised. With Amy's case, she had asked when we were going to be providing certain information. We told her that we had already provided it and uploaded it to the ShareFile site, and we accessed the record and sent her the screen shot to demonstrate that we had provided it when we said we would provide it.

With respect to Ms. More's issues, she is correct. The materials were placed up there and shouldn't have been, and when that issue was identified, they were taken down. And as you described, Mr. Iacopino, we then figured out whether anyone had seen them who shouldn't have seen them and determined that they hadn't.

As far as the remainder of these issues go, if there had been concerns that people had, I wish they had just called us and raised them.

We would have addressed them directly with people. We're happy to have a conversation with anybody to satisfy their concerns about how the site is being used. If people want access to it in ways they think they should have, we're happy to have that conversation. If they think there are things that should be disabled, we're happy to have that conversation.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Do you have any objection to disabling the ability to search?

MR. WHITLEY: Mike?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Do you have any objection to, if you can, I don't know the code for the ShareFile site, but assuming there is a method by which you can disable your ability to track what other people are searching and looking at, do you have any objection to doing that?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: No.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: All right. So I trust you'll look into that, and we'll take you at your word. If it becomes an issue again, we'll address it. Steve?

1 Just in response to Barry's MR. WHITLEY: 2 representation that they'll look into disabling that, are we going to know when that has 3 occurred or can we know when that has occurred? 4 5 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I'm sure he'll 6 report to me, and I'll let everybody know. MR. WHITLEY: Okay. Thank you. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Any other 8 9 questions about the ShareFile site? Okay. 10 other issues that anybody wishes to raise? 11 There will be a notation in the report 12 about the discussion regarding the ShareFile 13 site and the representation made by Mr. 14 Needleman. Ms. Pastoriza? 15 MS. PASTORIZA: Is there a way to get 16 independent oversight that they've actually 17 disabled that search function? I mean, you're 18 asking us to trust the Applicant. 19 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Well, there's 20 a lawyer who has made a representation, and, 21

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Well, there's a lawyer who has made a representation, and, quite frankly, I'm comfortable with that representation. He's going to report to me if it can be done, and he's agreed that they would do it if it can be done. I will report that to

22

23

24

1 Committee. That's about as much oversight as 2 you're going to get, unfortunately. Reimers? 3 MR. REIMERS: Just for the record, I am 4 5 happy to accept Attorney Needleman's 6 representation, accept his word on that, just as the person who raised the issue. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I understand. 8 9 Ms. More? 10 MS. MORE: Just a small comment which is 11 that should the SEC ever have to face such a 12 process again, perhaps having its own secure 13 website might be the answer. 14 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: That has been recommended to the Administrator of the 15 16 Committee. Ms. Menard? 17 MS. MENARD: Yes. Just critique from your 18 perspective as a Committee sitting up there. 19 During the hearing so far, are you, like when 20 we're putting documents on the ELMO, is the 21 information coming to you clearly? Could you 22 give any tips or any preferences of what has 23 been effective to help us troubleshoot doing a 24 better job of getting you information?

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: I think the general view of the Committee given the first few days of the hearing is that you have all done a very good job. We had a couple snafus with a loose wire or something here and there, but all of the parties have presented their exhibits through the technology that we have here in a way that we get to see on these screens very well. You've all been pretty good about identifying what it is that you're looking at so that if either myself or a Committee member wants to look at that on their own computer and it's an exhibit that's been marked and has been provided, they've been able to do that as well.

So I think on both, in both areas, both in your use of the electronic technology and in your courtroom mannerisms, all of the parties have done very well. And, actually, I've heard Committee members mention that they were very happy with how smoothly the first few days of the proceedings went. So keep it up. Any other questions or concerns? Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Going back to the public

statements by people.

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Yes, sir.

MR. THOMPSON: They would put it in writing and send it down and then get a time to come down. Are they here just to read their statement? Are there questions or answers or --

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: No. They are public comments only. These are folks who are not parties to the proceeding who want to make a public comment. They will not have the opportunity to cross-examine witnesses or anything like that. They'll simply be here to give their opinions to the Committee, and as I always do in all of these proceedings, I highly recommend written comment because that is -it's easier for the Committee to review that. think you can say more than you get to say in a three or four-minute piece. And sometimes people back up their comments with attachments and the statute requires that the Committee consider all that.

MR. THOMPSON: Do I get to use their statement later on in my --

PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: In your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 argument? 2 In my argument? MR. THOMPSON: 3 PRESIDING OFFICER IACOPINO: Sure. Sure. 4 We've heard many arguments that the weight of 5 the public comment has been whatever it has been 6 in other proceedings. And as I said, 7 statutorily, the Committee is required to consider all of the comment that it receives, 8 both written and oral. 9 10 Any further questions? I think we're about 11 done. Anybody have any objection to adjourning? Hearing none, we are adjourned, and we will 12 13 reconvene with the adjudicatory hearings on 14 Monday. 15 (Hearing ended at 10:55 a.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

## \_

\_ -

CERTIFICATE

I, Cynthia Foster, Registered Professional
Reporter and Licensed Court Reporter, duly authorized
to practice Shorthand Court Reporting in the State of
New Hampshire, hereby certify that the foregoing
pages are a true and accurate transcription of my
stenographic notes of the hearing for use in the
matter indicated on the title sheet, as to which a
transcript was duly ordered;

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this transcript was produced, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Dated at West Lebanon, New Hampshire, this 30th day of April, 2017.

Cynthia Foster, LCR

 $\{SEC\ 2015-06\}$  [Prehearing Conference]  $\{04-28-17\}$