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## PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning,
everyone. We're going to resume with the aesthetics panel. Ms. Boepple, from the Forest Society, you may proceed.

MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you. Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Subcommittee. (Continuation of the cross-examination of Terrence DeWan and Jessica Kimball.)

MS. BOEPPLE: Good morning, Mr. DeWan and Ms. Kimball.

WITNESS KIMBALL: Good morning.
WITNESS DeWAN: Good morning.
MS. BOEPPLE: Beth Boepple, with BCM
Environmental \& Land Law, for Forest Society. So, we're just going to make sure we have the right screen up.
[Short pause.]
MS. BOEPPLE: Okay. Great. So, Chair, for your planning purposes, I did spend some time going back through my questions and my time estimate, and I'm able to shorten the time quite a bit.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sure everybody appreciates that.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Mr. DeWan, so, yesterday you testified that you did a visual impact assessment for the Maine Power Reliability Project, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) It is correct.
Q. So, we're going to look at a few images related to that particular project.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We don't.
MS. DORE: Excuse me. We don't have images on these two laptops.
(Short pause.)
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Okay. Now, so, as I ask you a few questions --
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. -- related to the Maine Power Reliability Project that you testified about yesterday, at least as it relates to your experience, we're going to see a few of those images. So, that's what you will be seeing on your screens. And it will be -- it is labeled "SPNF 251", and we will be filing that as an exhibit later today. So, do I understand, Mr. DeWan, from your
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testimony that you consider the MPRP Project to be one that's similar to the Northern Pass Project?
A. (DeWan) It's similar in some situations, in some response -- respects, rather. It's a very long-term project. I think we've been involved in this for about seven years. It's a lengthy project, over 400 miles. It has -- I believe it has affected 80 different communities. I think we looked at something like 12 different substations. So, in some respects, it's similar.
Q. And is it correct to assume that, because of those similarities, you consider those -- that project to be an indication of your qualifications to be a visual -- to conduct the visual impact assessment for the Northern Pass Project?
A. (DeWan) Well, that's one of many, many projects that we've done for a variety of different transmission line projects over the years. There's probably another 15 to 20 of -- I won't say a "similar nature", but of other transmission projects that we've done for
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}

1

Central Maine Power Company and for a Bangor Hydroelectric project, for a lot of wind power projects that had generator lead lines, and so forth.
Q. Right. And, so, I wanted to just talk about the Maine Power Reliability Project. And the reason $I$ bring that $u p$ is there are also differences, aren't there, between that project and the proposed Northern Pass Project?
A. (DeWan) There are many differences.
Q. Okay. And isn't one of those, in fact, that that's a reliability project, and this one is a for-profit transmission line project?
A. (DeWan) I'm not -- I'm not an expert in those aspects of it. You know, we look at the visual effects of it, and not the purpose of the project.
Q. Isn't there an assessment that's somewhat different when there's a reliability project versus --
A. (DeWan) No.
Q. There's no difference at all?
A. (DeWan) No. We look at the visual impact. What will it do to the visual landscape? You
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know, how will it affect the user?
Q. In the planning stages for a project that would be a reliability project, for example, versus a project of this type, which let's just, for the purposes of our discussion, call it a "for-profit project", would there not be some difference in the planning of the route, perhaps, and, therefore, could that not affect the way you are asked to analyze the impact on scenic resources?
A. (DeWan) As I mentioned yesterday, when we get involved in projects, the routes have already been identified. And we look at the visual impact of those particular routes.
Q. And is that always the case or are you sometimes retained, as the aesthetic expert, to take a look at a route and suggest that maybe there are measures that could be taken or route changes that could be made that might lessen?
A. (DeWan) We have been involved in some work, I think of one in Bangor Hydroelectric's case, where we were asked to look at adjustments within, say, a half a mile of a particular route to avoid a view from a particular
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mountaintop.
But, for the most part, when it comes to looking at the macro level of where you connect points $A$ and $B$, those are decisions that we generally do not get involved with.
Q. So, let's just take, again, for discussion purposes, if you had been retained to look at a project from the perspective of "how can we mitigate" -- "how can we lessen, to the greatest extent possible, impacts on scenic resources?" Are you qualified to do that kind of work?
A. (DeWan) If you're just talking about visual resources, we could, you know, with a considerable amount of study, offer opinions on what different avenues, different approaches might be, and come up with an evaluation of, you know, Route $A$ versus Route $B$.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) But, again, we don't -- I don't think we've ever been asked to do that.
Q. Okay. But that's within your wheelhouse of --
A. (DeWan) Well, not in our experience. Now, within --
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Q. But your skill set?
A. (DeWan) With our skill set.
Q. Okay. So, in doing that, would you also be considering the difference between, for example, what the standards are that need to be applied in one jurisdiction versus another? So, let's say, again, for discussion purposes, Northern Pass had come to you and said "You're experienced, your an experienced visual landscape architect, and you have experience looking at these wide range of projects. Now, under New Hampshire law, we have these set of standards that need to be met, and we've got to meet the burden, as the Applicant, to make sure that we've satisfied the standards."

So, would you take a look at that, would you take that specific situation and say "Okay, I can apply these standards. And, in my experience, $I$ can also make recommendations for a route that might avoid some negative impacts"?
A. (DeWan) I would think that that would be part of the evaluation that we would do. It wouldn't be quite as simple as that, of course.
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Because every time you move a line, when you're looking at alternatives, there's positives and negatives. I think that's what we would probably be involved with. You know, looking at a balancing between, you know, what could happen in one situation versus what could happen in another situation.
Q. Sure. Okay. Well, let's just sort of keep that in mind as I go through questions this morning.
A. (DeWan) Knowing, of course, that we did not get involved in that process here.
Q. I understand. You were not asked to do that in this case. But you have the skill set. So, therefore, you might be able to give opinions, if $I$ give you some hypotheticals, for example?
A. (DeWan) Perhaps.
Q. Okay. Given that there are a wide range of factors always, and you always need to know what the specifics are to give specific opinions sometimes, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's a very good characterization, yes.
Q. Okay. All right. So, during --
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MS. BOEPPLE: We can -- I just want to switch to one more of those pictures.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, do you recognize these images that we're looking at from the Maine Reliability Project? Maybe not the specific images --
A. (DeWan) I have never seen these images before.

MS. DORE: Excuse me. Can you
identify the page number of those exhibits that we're looking at?

MS. BOEPPLE: Well, this is the one that $I$ just referenced at the beginning.

MS. DORE: Yes. But we need to know the page number we're looking at, the images.

MS. BOEPPLE: SPNF 073 -- of SPNF Exhibit 251, Page 07387. Scroll to the next one please. 07 -- whoops. 07386 and 07385 . MS. DORE: Thank you.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. You don't know these specific images, but do they look like images that might have come from that project?
A. (DeWan) I can't say with any certainty.
Q. Okay. So, in your experience with utility line
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projects, are these images that are representative of a utility project?
A. (DeWan) Oh, absolutely. Yes.
Q. Okay. Great.
A. (DeWan) Of some types of utility projects, yes.
Q. A utility project that we might see in the Northeast, for example?
A. (DeWan) They may be.
Q. Are these the type of poles that we might see in the Northern Pass Project, for example?
A. (DeWan) We've shown a variety of different structures as you've scrolled through here. Some of them may be similar, some of them may not.
Q. So, the one we're looking at right now?
A. (DeWan) This is a single pole lattice -- single pole, weathering steel structure. It's somewhat similar.
Q. To one we might see were Northern Pass approved?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And how about -- this looks like it's poles under construction?
A. (DeWan) I don't think that you would ever see a
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pair together this way.
Q. Okay. Next one. What about structures that look like this?
A. (DeWan) Well, you know, of course, that you're looking at a construction photograph here. And, so, a lot of the structures that you actually see are temporary structures. And not being involved in the construction end of the Project, I can't say whether or not it would look like this during construction.
Q. And, given that they are representative perhaps of some poles under construction, and you've just said that you don't -- you're not involved during the construction phase, is that what you just said?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So, you wouldn't be able to tell us how long this would be a site under construction that we would see?
A. (DeWan) That would be a question better posed to the construction panel.
Q. Okay. So, in your Visual Impact Assessment, you're not taking into consideration a site that's under construction, and what impact that
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might have?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) We look at the overall visual impact.
Q. Before and after?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Not at all during?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Okay. During your previous testimony, you said you use public records to find and identify scenic resources, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Are you familiar -- and I believe that Ms.

Kimball testified that you relied heavily on just public documents, is that accurate?
A. (Kimball) Documents that were available -sorry. Documents that were available to the public.
Q. Okay. And, generally speaking, you didn't consult with any organizations, is that correct?
A. (Kimball) That's correct.
Q. So, are you familiar with the Appalachian Mountain Club?
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A. (Dewan) We are.
Q. Okay. And are you aware of AMC's history?
A. (DeWan) I am.
Q. So, then, do you know that it's the nation's oldest outdoor recreation and conservation organization?
A. (DeWan) If you say so, I can't vouch for that fact.
Q. Okay. But you're familiar enough to know that it's pretty well known?
A. (DeWan) It's been around for a while, yes.
Q. Okay. 1876. And, then, do you know that part of their -- their mission is to promote and preserve the enjoyment and understanding of the mountains, forests, waters, trails of America's Northeast and Mid-Atlantic Regions?

MS. BOEPPLE: Could we pull up SPNF 252 please.

MS. MANTEAU: I'm doing it right now.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, you don't have to take my word for it.

This is a fact sheet from AMC's website.
A. (DeWan) There it is, yes.
Q. Okay. So, would you agree, based on your
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knowledge of $A M C$, that that's probably a pretty accurate statement of what their mission might be?
A. (DeWan) Of their mission, yes.
Q. Okay. And, so, I assume you didn't consult with AMC?
A. (DeWan) We did not.
Q. Okay. So, would you agree that they have a - play a fairly prominent role in their -- in New Hampshire and New England, in terms of conservation and promotion of outdoors and the environment?
A. (Dewan) I know that they're a highly visible organization within any state that is passed with the Appalachian Mountain Club -- by the Appalachian Trail, rather.
Q. And is it a stretch to say that you might agree that they have a fairly, you know, high profile? That they're recognized as doing quality work and meeting, you know, some of those goals of their mission?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, given that, and given that prominence, wouldn't you consider them a good
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resource to go to, to find out more about the scenic resources in New Hampshire?
A. (DeWan) Well, they're one of many resources that we've identified, and, you know, we looked at as part of the work that we did to prepare our Visual Impact Assessment.
Q. Did you actually consult with them?
A. (DeWan) We did not, as I mentioned already.
Q. Okay. Okay. Now, during your testimony, you also said you identified scenic byways, in part, by reviewing town plans. Did you review anything else?
A. (Kimball) The scenic byways generally aren't listed in town plans. That would have been through the State DOT website that we would have received the byway information.
Q. Okay. Did you --

MS. BOEPPLE: Could we pull up SPNF 253 please.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, this is a page from DOT's website.
A. (Kimball) We're familiar with this.
A. (DeWan) Very familiar with that.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the enabling
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statute?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So, you've looked at the language of the enabling statute?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, then, you're aware that New Hampshire's Legislature --

MS. BOEPPLE: Could we pull up SPNF 258 please.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, does this look familiar? Have you consulted with the statute, the enabling statute?
A. (DeWan) This is part of the introduction to that document.
Q. Okay. So, the purpose, if you --

MS. BOEPPLE: Can you zoom in a little bit on that please, Nicole? There we go.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. And, so, the purpose is "to provide the opportunity for residents and visitors to travel a system of byways which feature the scenic and cultural qualities of the state
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within the existing highway system, promote retention of rural and urban scenic byways, support the cultural, recreational and historic attributes along these byways and expose the unique elements of the state's beauty, cultural and history."

Is that an accurate reading of the purpose?
A. (DeWan) It seems to be.
Q. Okay. And did you know that, in -- the Legislature's goal in meeting the purpose of the statute, that part of what the Legislature also considered was how visual elements might impact those scenic byways? Were you able to gather -- glean that from a reading of the statute?
A. (DeWan) I know that is a consideration as they are enabled, and as management plans are developed for the scenic byways.
Q. Okay. So, there's a specific section of the statute, if we can scroll down. Keep going. "Advertising", Section 238:24. And this is on Page 07443 of SPNF Exhibit 258 . And, under this section, it talks about
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"Advertising Devices on Scenic and Cultural Byways". And it states "advertising devices such as those defined in RSA 236:70, I, shall not be erected on any primary system highway that has been designated as a scenic and cultural byway."

Is that -- did $I$ just read that correctly?
A. (DeWan) It seems to be, yes.
Q. Okay. And did you review that as you were reviewing the Northern Pass?
A. (DeWan) We reviewed the entire document.
Q. You did read the whole thing?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, would you agree that this is an indication of the value that the state of New Hampshire, certainly the Legislature placed on scenic and cultural byways for our state?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And that perhaps we could, as Mr. Plouffe's cross-examination of you yesterday was, $I$ think he was trying to go down the path of what happens here in New Hampshire versus what happens in Maine, and Vermont as well, in terms of advertising signs. And that
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isn't this an indication that they have called out a specific element that they don't -- that the state does not want to see imposed on the scenic byways, scenic and cultural byways? Is it a -- would it be in your professional assessment appropriate to also look at other industrial structures that might have an impact on the scenic and cultural byways of the state?
A. (DeWan) Yes. And we are very interested to keep on reading through the statute to see what it says about utility lines.
Q. And, even if a specific industrial structure, like a utility line, isn't called out, wouldn't it be fair to say that you should at least take into consideration what the goal is behind this statute?
A. (DeWan) As part of the consideration, sure. Q. Okay.

MS. BOEPPLE: Sorry. My pages are all messed up here. Hold on.

Okay. Can we pull up -- 254, that's exactly what $I$ was looking for. Thank you, Nicole. No. That's not the one.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
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Q. Okay. This has not yet been labeled, it will be labeled as an exhibit. This is a map of the scenic byways. And this came straight off of New Hampshire DOT's website. Are you familiar with this map?
A. (DeWan) Very familiar with it.
Q. Okay. Great.

MS. BOEPPLE: So, can we zoom in a little bit? So, let's zoom in towards the top that shows -- yes, there we go. Whoops. Sorry. Okay. There we go. All right.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Now -- sorry. All right. So, I just want to focus a little bit on -- $I$ just want us all to get this image. Okay. So, it's clear that there are, in the legend, there are a variety of colors, correct? And they all indicate a different type of road, correct?
A. (DeWan) A wide variety of colors, yes.
Q. Okay. So, why don't you tell us, you've looked at this map. Did you consider this as you were looking at the scenic resources in the state to determine whether or not the Northern Pass Project might have an impact on any of these
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resources?
A. (DeWan) We looked at every one of the scenic byways that the Project would have any effect, by either being visible from or crossing.
Q. So, you used this map as a resource?
A. (DeWan) Not really. We used the -- this is a starting point. From there, you go to the maps of the individual scenic byways. And, from there, you go to the current byway management plans.
Q. Okay. But this did come up as part of your research, correct?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) But, again, it's just a starting point.
Q. Okay.

MS. BOEPPLE: So, I'm going to ask if we could switch over to the ELMO please.

Sorry. Never mind. We have it on the computer. Sorry. Yes.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, this is labeled "SPNF 256". And I assume you have not seen this?
A. (DeWan) I have not.
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Q. Okay. So, this is an illustration map that was prepared by the Forest Society. And can you see in the legend that --

MS. BOEPPLE: Can you show us the whole thing please, Nicole? Thank you.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Now, can you see in the legend where it shows the proposed Northern Pass route is in red -well, the color is not showing up quite the same as the original, but sort of the red and ocher kind of color?
A. (DeWan) $I$ can see different colors representing the aboveground and underground routes.
Q. Of the Northern Pass route?
A. (DeWan) Of Northern Pass, yes.
Q. Okay. And do you see the green line that's also depicted on this illustration map?
A. (DeWan) I see that, yes.
Q. Okay. And do you see what that is labeled as?
A. (DeWan) Well, there's two components to the green line. One's the existing HVDC line, and the other has a dotted line on top of it is the proposed AC portion of the existing line.
Q. Okay. And do you see there's a third line on
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here? And do you see that, in sort of the ocher color?
A. (DeWan) On the left side of the map.
Q. To the left. Correct.
A. (DeWan) The proposed underground/underwater line.
Q. And do you also see that there's a section that says "New England Clean Power Link"?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. That veers over into New Hampshire, correct?
A. (DeWan) It stops at Ludlow.
Q. You see that on the map, correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, can we just assume for -- and you see where Route 91 is located, correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So, can we assume for the next couple of questions that this is a relatively accurate depiction of where those lines would be -- are or would be located?
A. (DeWan) For purpose of this discussion, certainly.
Q. Just for that purpose alone?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
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Q. Okay.

MS. BOEPPLE: Can we go back to the scenic byways map please?

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Now, if you can keep that image in mind that you just saw, the illustration map showing those different routes, and now we're looking at the Scenic Byways map. And can you see where 91 is located on this map?
A. (DeWan) Could you point it out please? I see it now, yes.
Q. It's on the left.
A. (DeWan) I do see it now.
Q. Can you see it?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. I'm going to give you two hard copies, so that you can look at them side-by-side, which is what I had --

MS. BOEPPLE: Actually, let's switch to the ELMO, so we can do that. Then everybody can see them side-by-side.

MS. MANTEAU: I think that will work better. Kind of like that? MS. BOEPPLE: Yes. Great.
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MS. MANTEAU: Is that okay?
MS. BOEPPLE: Yes.
MS. MANTEAU: Okay.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Okay. Can you see clearly enough where Route 91 is now on the Scenic Byways map? (DeWan) I can't make out the label. I see generally where it is, yes.

MS. BOEPPLE: Can you point to it please, Nicole?

WITNESS DeWAN: Ah, yes. Thank you. MS. BOEPPLE: There we go. Okay.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, when you look at this, assuming again just for the purposes of discussion, you see where certain lines are located, you see where there are scenic byways, you see where there are other roads -- designated roads on the map of New Hampshire, and we see where these other lines are located.

Would it be fair to say that the green line and the orange lines would have less of an impact on the State of New Hampshire and the roadways in New Hampshire? Based on just what
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we're looking at here, and, again, assuming those are a relatively accurate depiction of where those lines are located geographically.
A. (DeWan) By the "orange line", you mean the one that stops in Ludlow?
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) So, that obviously would have no effect on New Hampshire, because it doesn't go into New Hampshire. The green line looks like it crosses the State of New Hampshire on the borderline then, and continues in a generally southeasterly direction. And I don't know what the resources are along there. So, I can't judge whether or not -- what effect it would have on New Hampshire.
Q. Well, I believe the green line is a depiction of the existing AC line.
A. (DeWan) I thought you asked us to compare the green and the orange line. I'm sorry.
Q. Well, yes. So, if the green line -- okay. So, if we're going to compare the green line and the new Northern Pass line, would the green line, as it exists today, have less of an impact than the red line?
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A. (DeWan) I have no idea.
Q. The green line is current -- let's say, for the purpose of discussion, that the green line exists today.
A. (DeWan) Correct.
Q. It's on -- it's on the ground.
A. (DeWan) It's on line.
Q. Right.
A. (DeWan) So, what's the question then?
Q. So, the question is, the green line exists on the landscape today.
A. (DeWan) Okay.
Q. Would that line, if it were utilized for Northern Pass's purposes, have less of an impact going into an existing right-of-way line than the new proposed Northern Pass line?
A. (DeWan) I would not be able to evaluate that, unless I actually looked it and knew more of the details. First of all, is the line -would the line have to be widened at all? What would be the size of the structures that would have to be built? What are the scenic resources that abut or be visible from the green line?
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A lot of considerations. It's not simply a matter of looking at one, comparing one map to another.
Q. Okay. So, do I understand that, even if there's an existing right-of-way, if there's a widening of the corridor, for example, or taller structures that are proposed to be built, that that could have an impact on the scenic resources?
A. (DeWan) Certainly. Yes. Widening the right-of-way or adding structures always will have some effect.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to go back to these maps for just a minute. So, we see where 91 is on both maps. Do you see where 91 is on both maps?
A. (DeWan) Right by the word "Station" in "Conversion Station" there on the left-hand map. Yes.
Q. Do you see -- do you see any scenic byways -let's just go to the Scenic Byways map. Do you see that 91 is sort of removed from the area of a lot of the scenic byways?
A. (DeWan) It appears to us, again, the maps are
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
at different scales. So, it's hard to make the comparison. But it looks like the green line intersects with what appears to be scenic byways in a number of locations.
Q. That's not what $I$ asked.
A. (DeWan) Oh.
Q. I was talking about 91, Route 91, the northern part of --
A. (DeWan) Okay. Could you restate the question then? I'm sorry.
Q. Certainly. So, the northern section of Route 91, that's what I'm focused on?
A. (DeWan) In Vermont?
Q. In Vermont. And just to the northern -- yes, in Vermont.
A. (DeWan) Coming down from Quebec?
Q. Yes. And it's not -- unfortunately, it's not on the Scenic Byways map, but let's just assume that it is. Since it's in Vermont, obviously, there aren't any New Hampshire scenic byways that are impacted by Route 91 north in Vermont, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, I'm sorry, I'm confused. Are you talking about Route 91 or are you talking about
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the green line coming down from Quebec?
Q. I'm talking about Route 91.
A. (DeWan) In Vermont?
Q. Correct.
A. (DeWan) Okay. I don't know what the scenic byways situation is like in Vermont. I can't testify to that.
Q. I didn't ask you that question. I said, Route 91 north that's depicted on this map is located in Vermont, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's an established fact. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, therefore, there aren't any scenic byways, New Hampshire scenic byways, that are impacted by Route 91 in Vermont, correct?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely not.
Q. Okay. Great. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page and looking at the same section of Route 91. Okay. Thank you.

If Route 91 were a path, for example, the Northern Pass Project, and Route 91 is -- we've just -- we have just determined Route 91, that stretch of Route 91 is in Vermont. Would it be -- could you admit that, if Northern Pass were located along that route, it would not
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have an impact on the scenic byways of New Hampshire?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely not. In other words, if it was -- if Northern Pass was along 91, I would say it would not be in New Hampshire, therefore, it would not have any effect on New Hampshire.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Whew. Thank you. Okay. So, we're going to focus on something -- we're going to move onto something else. We'll put the maps aside for now.
A. (DeWan) Thank you. I suppose I should also add then that, you know, if it was in Vermont, there may be scenic resources up there that would have to be considered.
Q. Of course.
A. (DeWan) That would not be the SEC's concern.
Q. Correct. It would not. We're in agreement there.
A. (DeWan) They have enough to do as it is.
Q. During your -- during your technical session, and I believe in your prior testimony here, and certainly during your technical session, you referred to the rating system that you used in
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evaluating scenic resources as "objective". Do you recall making that statement?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, aren't you, though, using your judgment when you allocate a numerical scoring, when you create a numerical scoring system? Isn't there a level of judgment involved?
A. (DeWan) Yes. Of course, any time you evaluate anything there is a level of judgment.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) I don't know if it was -- if I said it was "totally objective". There certainly is an element of evaluation in looking at the landscape.
Q. And, therefore, subjective and judgmental on your part, correct?
A. (DeWan) We are making an evaluation of how we perceive the landscape.
Q. Correct. And isn't there also a judgment involved in what elements you're ranking when you're creating a numerical system?
A. (DeWan) Yes. And we've provided a table that shows those elements that we evaluate to judge both the landscape and the effect.
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Q. So, all of that being said, isn't it a fair statement to say that there's a level of your judgment that comes in to the system that you've used, the rating system you have used and devised to determine the high, high medium, medium, and medium low and low system? The values you have assigned to the scenic resources?
A. (DeWan) We like to think of it as a professional judgment, based upon 30 years of experience looking at these sort of situations, resources, and development proposals.
Q. And you left out something else. What else do you need to consider?
A. (DeWan) And the current rules as applied, you know, and as developed by the SEC.
Q. We spent a lot of time talking about those. That's a whole section of questions I've eliminated, you'll be glad to hear.

But the bottom lime is, yes, sure, you're
a professional, but there's a level of
judgment. There's a level of subjectivity. It's not strictly objective, is it? I mean, using the term "objective", doesn't that
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indicate that somehow anybody, Joe Public, could pick up your rating system and arrive at the same conclusions?
A. (DeWan) I don't believe that's necessarily the case.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) And I say that, because the words we use have meanings for us. And we've supplied those meanings in -- as part of our methodology in our VIA, to show, in this case, the SEC how we arrived at our evaluation.
Q. But you're using a weighted system, though, as well, aren't you? Isn't part of your numeric scoring a weighted system?
A. (DeWan) Well, we are assigning numerical values to our evaluations, in many cases, to a high, medium, or low, let's say.
Q. And how did -- where is that? Where do you find that under the SEC rules? What are the factors weighted under the SEC rules that you're supposed to be looking at when you're --
A. (DeWan) It does not -- well, the SEC rules does not prescribe how to do it. They say it has to follow a professional methodology. And, you
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know, we bring our professional judgment to bear.
Q. And isn't the SEC required to look at this using their judgment as well?
A. (DeWan) That's right. They are the final arbiters.
Q. They're not just arbiters, though, are they?
A. (DeWan) They're the decision-makers.
Q. Sure. But aren't they also supposed to look at this from the perspective of the rules they have created?
A. (DeWan) That's our assumption. That's why the rules are there. To guide us and also to guide them in making the final evaluation.
Q. But it's not necessarily your definition or your opinion of what -- how those words are defined under the rules, is it? You're offering that, and certainly the Applicant has the burden of proving that your interpretation is the one they should adopt. Is that a fair assessment?
A. (DeWan) Well, we've presented our understanding of the rules, absent some way to gain further definition of the rules.
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Q. Right. So, for example, you decided that the rule that required there to be a 10-mile -- a review of the visual impact assessment out to 10 miles was too far. So, you decided you would do 3 to 5 miles, because you decided --
A. (DeWan) That's totally incorrect.
Q. Then, I've completely misunderstood your testimony over the last couple of days.
A. (DeWan) Okay.
Q. What did you say about not doing the 10 -mile?
A. (DeWan) Okay. When we provided the initial visual impact assessment, we went out to 3 miles for evaluation, we went out to 5 miles with our computer analysis. And that's in line with professional practice for projects of this scale and magnitude.

That was before the SEC rules were adopted in December of that year. Once we had those rules in place, we read them carefully. And we realized, in some situations, like around Concord, we had to go out 2 miles. So, we were over in Concord. In other situations, in rural areas, where we met certain criteria, the SEC rules require that you go out 10 miles. So, we
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went back and amended our initial application to go out to 10 miles to the APVI.
Q. At no point in your testimony yesterday, or last week, did you say that you determined, you made the determination that 3 to 5 miles was sufficient? Did you not say that?
A. (DeWan) I probably did, because that's -- we felt and still feel that it's sufficient --
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) -- in evaluating that. That's what we based our initial VIA on.
Q. I just wanted to make sure $I$ understood what you had said.
A. (DeWan) Yes. Okay.

MS. BOEPPLE: Could we pull up SPNF 257.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. I'm not sure you testified specifically to the --

MS. BOEPPLE: Can we -- we've got it, Nicole. It's up.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. You talked about, Mr. DeWan, you talked about looking at other resources outside of New
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Hampshire to understand how the rules apply to a visual impact assessment. And I think you talked about Maine, and you've talked about Vermont, you've talked about the Bureau of Land Management's guidelines, is that correct? You certainly talked about those during the technical session?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. We like to be informed about what current practice is. You know, and then to try and understand a little bit more, you know, the intent behind the SEC rules. To make sure --
Q. So, --
A. (DeWan) Yes, keep going.
Q. No. Go ahead.
A. (DeWan) I think that is part of what we do, to make sure that we're current.
Q. So, do you look at those, for example, the Bureau of Land Management's guidelines, and say "we're going to use some elements of that here"?
A. (DeWan) We used, in this particular case, we used the -- that part of the guideline, which helped us to identify and rate the quality of
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the existing scenic resources.
Q. Okay. So, let's just take a look at the Bureau of Land Management's Manual 8400, SPNF 257. I take that -- yes, 257. I'm sorry, 22.

So, this is SPNF 22, which is Manual 8400, Visual Resource Management of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management's Manual. Are you familiar with this, Mr. DeWan?
A. (DeWan) Very familiar with it, yes.
Q. Okay. So, are you also familiar with the "Purpose" and the "Objectives" sections?
A. (DeWan) Yes. As it applies to the lands which are under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management.
Q. And what kind of land is that that's under their jurisdiction?
A. (DeWan) Most of the land is scattered throughout the United States. Most of it is in the west and southwestern part of the United States.
Q. Right. Is it private land?
A. (DeWan) It's all public land.
Q. All public land. And would you agree that the purpose of the guidelines that the Bureau of
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Land Management establishes is very specific to the purpose of managing public lands?
A. (DeWan) Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. And would you agree that that makes it different than the review that the SEC does of projects that come before us -- before it?
A. (DeWan) It makes it quite a bit of -- quite different, right. Because, in the Bureau of Land Management's case, you know, all the land is under their jurisdiction.
Q. Right. And, therefore, the difference --
A. (DeWan) Any visual impacts would affect land that they or, essentially, that we all own and control under the BLM. Of course, that's not the case here.
Q. Right. So, aren't there a set of guidelines and rules, if you will, that the Bureau of Land Management has established for its evaluation purposes of those public lands that are specifically tailored for those purposes?
A. (DeWan) I believe so.
Q. Okay. So, when you say you used those to inform you here, isn't that a little like saying "we're going to use the football rules
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
A. (DeWan) Absolutely not.
Q. And why not?
A. (DeWan) Because what we have selected, as we have testified before, is the chart from the BLM methodology as a way of looking at the existing landscape and determining its level of scenic quality.
Q. But it's a very different purpose and function that those rules are -- were created for, isn't it?
A. (DeWan) Well, it may be a different function and purpose. In the long run, we felt it's a very good way to evaluate the landscape, and to arrive at a sense of its scenic value. And the fact that it looks at recognizable features, such as color, land form, water form, and so forth. Things which are common throughout the landscape in general, not just the BLM landscape.
Q. So, historic villages would be considered under the Bureau of Land Management's guidelines? Do they look at historic villages, for example?
A. (DeWan) I believe -- well, they don't call them
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that specifically as "historic villages".
Q. Are there public lands that include historic village centers, Deerfield, for example?
A. (DeWan) Are there public lands within the Bureau of Land Management?
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) I can't answer that question. I am not that familiar with the BLM lands.
Q. Okay. Is it possible that there are the type of landscapes that we find that are quintessential New England and New Hampshire that aren't anywhere near the kind of lands that the Bureau of Land Management -- aren't even similar to the kinds of lands that the Bureau of Land Management is managing? The public lands that the Bureau of Land Management is managing?
A. (DeWan) Well, they manage a wide variety of different types of landscapes. None that I know of in New Hampshire. I don't think there's any in New England. But there may be some, you know, forested landscapes, mountainous landscapes that may come under their jurisdiction.
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWan|Kimball]

1
Q. But probably not a New England village, correct?
A. (DeWan) I think that's a fair assumption.
Q. Okay. And there may be some cultural landscape in New Hampshire that's not at all similar to anything that the Bureau of Land -- that's within the public lands that the Bureau of Land Management manages?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Perhaps I missed this, but can you point me to where in your testimony you actually defined "cultural value"? I did not see it in your initial report.
A. (Kimball) Page M-8 of our initial report, Section 5.2.1.

MS. BOEPPLE: Can we pull that up on the -- do we have any idea what that exhibit number is for the Applicant?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I believe it's Applicant 1, Appendix 17.
(Short pause.)

MS. BOEPPLE: Could you tell us again
what page that was on?
WITNESS DeWAN: M-8.
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MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you.

WITNESS DeWAN: Yes.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, there we go. "Cultural value". So, could you read that for us please, Ms. Kimball.
A. (Kimball) Sure. It says "The value" -"Cultural value is the value" --
Q. Slowly, for the reporter.
A. (Kimball) "Cultural value is the value that has been placed on a particular resource by a public agency or non-governmental organization, and indicated by formal designation, inclusion in current planning documents, or similar sources of information. Scenic resources are classified as having a high, medium, or low cultural value." And then it goes on to define what each of those are at the top of the page. Q. So, again, unless I'm missing something, I don't see where historic or vernacular landscapes are included within a cultural value?
A. (DeWan) Look under "High Cultural Value".
A. (Kimball) If you go up the page, --
A. (DeWan) There's a series of six or seven dots
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there. And the bottom one, "Publicly accessible properties proposing on the National Register of Historic Places that derive their significance from their landscape setting" is determined to be an area of "high cultural value". If you go under "Medium Cultural Value", the second bullet, "Publicly accessible State historic sites that have a scenic component related to their historic designation."
Q. Where are there vernacular landscapes here?
A. (DeWan) I don't know what you mean by
"vernacular landscapes". I don't believe that's a term that we see in the SEC rules.
Q. That's not a term that you're familiar with in your professional experience? Is that just a term that historic experts use?
A. (DeWan) It's generally not a term that we use.
Q. Okay. What about local historic significance? Is it possible that your definition is not quite as comprehensive as what $I$ think we have discussed the SEC rules imply, and certainly the statutes imply?
A. (DeWan) This is a way of breaking down
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significance that we typically use, and it's generally used by others in our profession. I think we've seen similar examples of this type of chart used in applications and material before the SEC, for example, the Antrim case.
Q. So, would it be fair to say you're sort of compartmentalizing some of these components?
A. (DeWan) I don't know if that's the word that we would use. This is a way of getting -- one of the components to address the question of "significance", which is one of the requirements of the $S E C$ rules.
Q. Right. But you've just said that you broke it down, correct?
A. (DeWan) It's one step of our evaluation of what -- how we consider "significance" to be defined.
Q. So, if you break things down, when do you put them back together to do an overall review?
A. (DeWan) Well, cultural value is one of the components that we look at. We also look at scenic value.
Q. Right.
A. (DeWan) You merge those together to get a sense
$\{$ SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
Q. Right. Don't you have to put those together to get an overall view, correct?
A. (DeWan) It's an evaluation of two difference components.
Q. So, from Joe Public's view, are they breaking those things down when they're looking at a scenic resource, for example, or are they taking in the entirety of the landscape?
A. (DeWan) Well, I would say -- I don't know if I would approach it that way. But let's just take Joe Q. Public. You know, if they go out to drive the Connecticut River Scenic Byway, they do so with a certain anticipation that there's an area that they're going to see high scenic value throughout here.
Q. That might include?
A. (DeWan) Like rivers and forests and village centers and so forth.
Q. And a farm on the landscape?
A. (DeWan) The whole landscape, yes.
Q. And the cows grazing in the meadow, right?
A. (DeWan) Well, that's part of the promotional material. When they go to the promotional
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material, online or wherever they get their information from, they know that there's a certain expectation. You know, the other factor, of course, is actually experiencing it then.
Q. And that's a whole experience. They're not -they're not parsing out "well, gee, you know, I like the cows, but $I$ don't like the river", right? I mean, aren't they taking it as a whole? That's my point.
A. (DeWan) Of course. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) When they drive -- when they drive any of these linear resources, like a transect through the landscape, you're going to pass some places where it's extremely beautiful, other places which are fairly common, which you might consider the "vernacular landscape". There's going to be some places that may be discordant elements. That's all part of the experience of driving the scenic byways or doing any of these other linear routes.
Q. Would you agree that the public's experience is not easily compartmentalized? That it is a
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complete experience, that driving that scenic byway, for example? They're not saying "gee, that drive has a farm and a river and a view of the mountains." You've broken down, you've decided that something of national significance rises to a high level. Well, maybe, from the public's view, whether or not it's not on the National Register has absolutely nothing to do with their experience.

Can you envision that? Is that -- can you agree that that might be a public's experience? That they don't really care whether it's on a National Register or not?
A. (DeWan) I don't think I could characterize "the public", the millions of people who drive through New Hampshire in a year.
Q. Some public person? Some average Joe Public?
A. (DeWan) The Joe Q. Public is going to drive it and have an experience. And it's going to be influenced by what they read, how they prepare for the experience, and what they see once they're there.
Q. Okay. But you have assessed, for example, something that has -- that's on the National
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Register as having a higher level of significance. And, therefore, that's going to translate into your interpretation of "high", "medium", "low" and what someone's experiencing. Isn't it all about ultimately what a person is seeing and what they're experiencing? Isn't that the whole point of aesthetics?
A. (DeWan) Well, there's a lot more to it than that.
Q. Well, why do we care about aesthetics, if it isn't about the human experience?
A. (DeWan) I don't know if this is the place to get into a long esoteric discussion about aesthetics, and $I$ know that's ultimately the charge of this Committee. But, when we break the landscape down into high, medium, and low cultural values, we're doing that as a way to address the specific requirements of the SEC rules, to determine the significance of the landscape that we are evaluating.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, let's break some of those down. When you -- let's take cropland, for example. So, if you're looking at the
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visual impact or you're doing visual impact assessment of certain landscapes, let's look at the cropland. So, do you consider the crop height as one of the things you're looking at?
A. (DeWan) Consider what?
Q. The crop height. So, for example, a field that's growing corn, are you looking at the height of the crop in the field as you're doing your visual assessment?
A. (DeWan) I hate to give you a "that depends" kind of answer, but it really would depend upon, you know, the time of year that we are visiting it, what we anticipate it to look like. That's probably a little bit fine grain for the type of analysis that we're doing here.
Q. So, sometimes you look at very specific elements, but sometimes you don't?
A. (DeWan) We look at what we see when we get to a particular location and look at the scenic resources that we're evaluating.
Q. So, if it's quantifiable, for example, an historic property that's listed on the National Register, you can put that in $a \operatorname{box}$ and say "this has a certain value", correct?
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A. (DeWan) Only if it's publicly accessible.
Q. Let's put aside the public accessibility.
A. (DeWan) You can't --
Q. Let's say that all of this -- let's say that all of this that we're talking about, because it's aesthetics that the SEC is considering, let's assuming -- let's assume that the public access is more in line with the kind of questions you were hearing from Ms. O'Connor earlier -- Ms. Connor earlier, about you're on a road, that's publicly accessible, correct? A public road is accessible to the public, correct?
A. (DeWan) Public roads are generally publicly accessible, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) That doesn't make them scenic resources, of course.
Q. I'll give you that. So, let's assume that what we're talking about is at least at that level of public accessibility. What $I$ want -- what I'm trying to focus on here, and what I'm asking you is, you've talked about certain landscape elements, if we can use that kind of
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general term, like something that's listed on the National Register. You've also said you look at other scenic elements, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And, so, I asked you "if you're looking at cropland, do you consider the crop height?" And you said "that's too granular" or "too fine grain", correct?
A. (DeWan) Again, it depends on the location of the cropland, whether or not the crops may obstruct a view, for example, to a mountain ridge in the background. A cornfield, if you're on a hiking trail, may obstruct a view at some point, may not affect it at other times. And we will describe that as part of the narrative that we prepare, that we use to prepare our VIA.

But, generally, we don't get down to that level of, you know, determining the height of the corn, in looking at the overall visual impact.
Q. So, if a historic property is listed on the National Register, it's got a high significance. What if it's just eligible for
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listing on the National Register? Or, what if it's never gone through the National Register application process to determine whether it's eligible, but it is, in fact, eligible, because it meets all of the historic National Register criteria? Is that too fine grained an analysis that you go through?
A. (DeWan) Again, if it's a property, by "property", I don't know what you mean by "property". If you're talking about an individual structure, again, the public access decision comes in here.
Q. We're putting aside the public access piece. We're looking at a landscape. We're looking at something that's on the landscape. And you have used, as part of determining whether or not something has high cultural value, as if it -- if it's listed on the National Register, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. So, my point is, you are making some distinctions in your assessment, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And some of those distinctions are related to
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certain types of property, but maybe not to others, correct?
A. (DeWan) Those distinctions are made on a variety of different -- in a variety of different ways. And that's very much in keeping with, you know, for example, the Forest Service methodology, in dividing the landscape up into different zones of sensitivity.
Q. And, ultimately, it comes down to your judgment of what has importance, correct?
A. (DeWan) It's not our judgment, per se, because we're relying upon what other entities, NGOs, state, federal, local organizations have determined to be of importance, either at a local, state, national level. So, it's not our judgment to say that the scenic byways is important. That's already a given fact. That's already designated by the state or the federal government.
Q. So, you will take those and you'll plug those into your rating system. You'll say, "well, because it's recognized, then we're going to call it "high"", for example, the National Register listing, right?
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A. (DeWan) Absolutely.
Q. Okay. So, what $I$ was trying to propose to you is that there may be elements on the landscape, there may be features on the landscape that could be equally of equal value to the observer. But they're not going to fit into your compartmentalized process or system, because they haven't been designated as being listed on the National Register. Is that fair to say?
A. (DeWan) When you say "elements on the landscape", could you perhaps give us some examples?
Q. Well, would you say that an historic structure is an "element on a landscape"?
A. (DeWan) If it's an historic structure, then it would -- and it had been designated or eligible for, then, yes, it would be.
Q. Are those the only two requirements for an historic resource in New Hampshire?
A. (DeWan) You'll have to go to the definition of
a "historic" -- an "historic", I forget the exact term, "historic sites", thank you, in the definition.
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Q. We can do that. But $I$ know you're not the historic expert.
A. (DeWan) And that's the other component of this, of course, yes.
Q. Did you consult with the Applicants' historic expert?
A. (DeWan) I believe we testified to that effect. Yes, we did.
Q. So, you relied on her judgment and her interpretation of how New Hampshire defines "historic resources" in New Hampshire?
A. (Kimball) We relied on the NHDHR's list of Designated and Eligible Properties, not the historic consultant's opinion.
Q. And not the State's definition of "historic resources", right?
A. (Kimball) We included the -- well, those that are listed and those that are eligible for listing.
Q. Right. And "historic" has a much broader definition in New Hampshire. So, you didn't use that definition, you just used those lists, correct?
A. (Kimball) The only way to determine if a scenic
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resource is (a) publicly accessible, and (b) within the APVI, is to know where it's located and to have it mapped. And the only way to do that is to use a listing that exists.
Q. I see.
A. (Kimball) It's the only tool that we have to identify them.
Q. Okay. So, you've used some resources to identify, and what you've just testified is you've relied on lists that are created?
A. (Kimball) Generally, yes.
Q. Okay. So, is it possible that there are some resources, there are some -- I'm just going to use historic for the purposes of our discussion, that we were talking about cropland, there could be all sorts of other things, like a view of a mountainside. But let's just talk about historic resources. Is it possible that there may be an historic house that doesn't fall into one of those lists?
A. (Kimball) Of course, because an historic house would generally not be publicly accessible, therefore, not a scenic resource.
Q. If it is located on a roadway that someone has
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public access to, does it --
A. (Kimball) A private property, that's used for residential purposes on a public roadway, is not publicly accessible.
Q. It's not part of a scenic resource?
A. (Kimball) It is not -- we do not consider it part of a scenic resource, --
Q. So, driving --
A. (Kimball) -- because it does not have legal right of public access.
Q. So, let me see if $I$ understand this completely, and $I$ know you spent some time talking with Counsel for the Public on this. But someone drives through an historic village center, they can't walk into my house that's right on the main drag. But it's an historic house, and it's part of an historic district. That's not a "scenic resource" in your definition?
A. (DeWan) Well, we looked at town and village centers. We had a long discussion about that yesterday. And we recognize that you don't go into private properties, you don't go into private gardens, but you look at the public space that may be partially defined by those
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private properties.
Q. And they're part of the public's experience, isn't it? As you walk down that historic village center, aren't you experiencing -aren't you experiencing something that is part of value in the landscape of New Hampshire?
A. (DeWan) Again, we're talking about a village center. A village center is made up of a variety of different structures, as well as trees and landscape materials, as well as, you know, furnishings within that landscape, gazebos and so forth. It's one of many things that define the village center landscape.

MS. BOEPPLE: All right. This might be a good time to take a break?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure. We can take a ten minute break.
(Recess taken at 10:18 a.m. and the hearing resumed at 10:35 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Boepple, you may continue.

MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you, Chair.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}

1
Q. So, Mr. DeWan, we were talking about your process of compartmentalizing elements as you did a visual impact assessment. So, I just have one more question along those lines.

Is it possible that, by using a categorization system, such as the one that you've used, that you might have missed some scenic resources and landscapes that are important to the people of New Hampshire?
A. (DeWan) We don't believe so, because, and again, we relied upon a lot of different data sources. Certainly, the towns' master plans for every town along the route has a description of the physical landscape. And in it they recognize some places that have scenic views, scenic roads, and we've incorporated that into our description of the setting, and also as part of the development of the list of potential scenic resources. We also, of course, looked at guidebooks, websites, and a variety of other sources. We also, of course, drove around, did extensive driving to look at the landscape. It wasn't just an academic exercise.
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Q. But I believe Ms. Kimball said you really relied on those lists that were compiled, correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, that was for compiling the list, yes. But then we went out in the field and did extensive fieldwork.
Q. Except you did not go and talk to the organizations that really know the landscape in Vermont -- in New Hampshire, correct?
A. (DeWan) We relied upon the data that was published by organizations.
Q. So, you used AMC's data? We talked about AMC earlier, and you said you did not consult with them, correct?
A. (DeWan) We did not consult with them directly.
Q. Okay. And I think you also said, during questioning from Counsel for the Public, that you did not include sites that were identified by T.J. Boyle or by LandWorks, correct?
A. (DeWan) I don't believe we said that.
Q. What about just T.J. Boyle?
A. (DeWan) Well, when you say "T.J. Boyle", they went through a series of evaluations. As you know, the initial evaluation that they did came
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up with a list of 18,933 scenic resources. We did not look at all of those.
Q. Right. And that's what $I$ just asked you. Your VIA did not include some of those resources that they looked at, right?
A. (DeWan) Well, I don't think they looked at all those. They actually felt that that was the list that they felt we should have looked at.
Q. And you did not look at some of those, correct? You didn't look at them?
A. (DeWan) We did not look at most of the 18,933.
Q. Okay. So, my question -- yes. Okay. So, we've established you did not look at some of those. Wouldn't you say, though, that when you're looking at 192 miles across the New Hampshire landscape, that perhaps being more inclusive, rather than less inclusive, might be a better approach? At least you won't miss anything. At least you'd be assured that you haven't missed something?
A. (DeWan) We felt that the approach that we took, by first identifying places from established resource databases, and then going out and verifying those in the field, looking at also
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other sources of information, was a very adequate way, more than adequate way, a very complete way of developing a list of scenic resources, guided, of course, by the SEC rules, which defines what "scenic resources" are.
Q. Okay. So, that's identifying the scenic resources. Now, let's talk about how you are looking at those scenic resources in the context of the Northern Pass Project.
A. (DeWan) Okay.
Q. So, you take the Project as it's presented to you by the Applicant, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And you take the route maps, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And do you take -- do you consider additional clearing that might need to occur?
A. (DeWan) We do, yes. To the extent that it's been determined.
Q. So, if they haven't decided how much clearing is required, say, for, oh, a ten-mile stretch, you haven't looked at that, correct?
A. (Kimball) We assumed that the clearing maximized the legal right-of-way that the
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Project has.
Q. So, you're assuming a clear-cut of the entire 192 miles right-of-way, with the exception of the underground portion?
A. (Kimball) We assumed that the clearing would happen out to the extent that the right-of-way is, in both viewshed mapping, photosimulations, and looking at the recourses.
A. (DeWan) Out of an abundance of caution, we decided to do that.
Q. And did you also consider the structure heights within that corridor? Did you assume that -did you receive the information, when you were to -- when you were preparing to do your Visual Impact Assessment, did you get all of the details of structure heights for every one of the structures going into this Project?
A. (Kimball) Yes. The engineers provided us with a dataset that provided the structure height and location of every structure.
Q. And that hasn't changed since you did your Visual Impact Assessment?
A. (Kimball) As far as $I$ know, it's everything. The structure height is the same. I believe
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there were a couple of modifications that we addressed in our April of 2017 submission, of structures moving slightly in the right-of-way due to wetland constraints or habitat constraints. So, there have been some minor modifications, but we have addressed those in our recent submission.
Q. And what about the route map changes that were just submitted in August? Did you look at those?
A. (Kimball) In August of 2017?
Q. Last month.
A. (Kimball) To the best of my knowledge, the route has not changed in --
Q. Well, do you see the binders sitting on the table behind Counsel for the Public? There's three of them.
A. (Kimball) I see the binders.
Q. Okay. One of those is a recent submittal by the Applicant that has supplemental route maps. Have you looked at those?
A. (Kimball) If they were submitted in the last 30 days, then we have not seen them.
Q. Okay. So, your assessment is based on some
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assumptions, and not necessarily on what Northern Pass might actually construct, if they are granted the certificate, correct?
A. (Kimball) Our work is based --

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. That's mischaracterizing the testimony.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Did you tell me that you made assumptions when you were analyzing the width of the right-of-way, the clearing that would be required?
A. (Kimball) It was reasonable to assume the extent of the right-of-way would be cleared.
Q. You made an assumption, correct?
A. (Kimball) Sure. It's a very conservative assumption. It's the worst case scenario.
Q. My question simply was "did you make certain assumptions?" And did you make those assumptions because some of that information wasn't yet available by the Applicant, so they didn't have the answer to some of the questions?
A. (Kimball) Well, for clearing, for example, I know there are decisions that are made in the
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field at the time of construction of exactly which trees may be cleared, what might they be able to leave. So, to that level of detail, we don't have that. So, it's safe to assume that they would clear out to the extent of the right-of-way.
Q. Okay. And is it also possible that some of the structures could change as well, and therefore you might not have taken into consideration what some of those changes might be?
A. (DeWan) Well, that's a hard question to answer. You know, we're dealing with the best available information that's presented to us at the time when we did our Visual Impact Assessment.
Q. I understand that. You only have what you're given, right?
A. (DeWan) Typically, in any construction project, using MPRP as an example, minor changes happen during the course of the time during construction, de minimus changes usually.
Q. So, what's a "de minimus change"?
A. (DeWan) It's hard to evaluate.
Q. Right. So, to someone, 10 feet, you might say "10 feet is de minimis." And, to someone else
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who's looking at it, they're going to say "Wow, suddenly $I$ see this, and $I$ wouldn't have seen it before." Correct? Isn't that possible?
A. (DeWan) There may be some situations, not usually. We've dealt with a lot of those in our work. And, you know, and $I$ think in almost every situation we've dealt with, we've looked at those kind of de minimus changes. Usually, it's involving, you know, three to five feet of changes in structure heights. It results in a change in the visual appearance that you can classify as being "barely noticeable".
Q. Again, isn't that a judgment? You call it "de minimus". Isn't it possible that it could be much more than de minimus to someone? Isn't it possible?
A. (DeWan) Is it likely to happen? We're talking about the sort of changes that you may be referring to, doubling the height, let's say, of a structure, highly unlikely, because the engineers have spent years refining the alignment and the spacing and the heights of the structures.
Q. So, are you aware, if $I$ can find my place --
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so, what about the relocation of Transition Station Number 5? It's on north of 302 , in Bethlehem. Are you aware of that? There was a relocation of the Transition Station Number 5, did you know that, from the time the original plan was submitted?
A. (Kimball) We haven't seen an updated plan with a different location of that transition station.
Q. So, you couldn't opine as to whether that's a "de minimus change" or a "substantial change", correct?
A. (Kimball) We don't know what that change looks like, if there is a change.
Q. So, ultimately, if there are changes that could, in fact, be of a substantial nature, will you go back and reassess? Is that part of what you've been retained to do?
A. (DeWan) Our job right now is to evaluate the Project that's before the SEC. I don't know, as part of the certificate the SEC would grant, how they would deal with the issue of de minimus changes.
Q. You're assuming they'll be de minimus, right?
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What if they're substantial?
A. (DeWan) Well, you just talked about de minimus changes.
Q. No. I just talked about "changes".
A. (DeWan) I know, in the case, again, and my experience come from, you know, the similar project in Maine, when we dealt with these sort of issues in Maine, we had somebody at our Department of Environmental Protection to go to, who would then judge whether or not a change, in structure height or location and so forth, was de minimus, require no further action, or if it would require further evaluation on our part or anybody else's part.
Q. That's in Maine. We're in New Hampshire, right?
A. (DeWan) And I don't -- I honestly don't know how New Hampshire deals with those situations.
Q. As part of your job, part of what you've been retained to do is not to stay on the Project, or would you possibly stay on the Project?
A. (DeWan) That's not our decision. I'd like to think that we would continue to work and provide services on the Project, if there are
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situations that arise, you know, from this point going forward.
Q. Okay. So, your assessment has been strictly for the route that has been submitted? You weren't asked to review any alternatives, correct?
A. (DeWan) I believe we've testified to that already, in the affirmative, this morning.
Q. Okay. Okay. So, let's talk a little bit about mitigation efforts. You have given your opinion on some of those, have you not?
A. (DeWan) We have written extensively about it in our Visual Impact Assessment and in our supplemental prefiled testimony, and we talked about it quite a bit yesterday.
Q. Right. And one of the things I believe you talked about was plants -- plantings, that those would be a mitigation that could be utilized, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. So, can you tell me how you use a planting to mitigate a pole height of 110 feet?
A. (DeWan) Okay. Could I use an example from Maine?
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}

1
Q. Sure.
A. (DeWan) Okay. The Raven Substation, in

Cumberland, Maine, is located at the end of a scenic roadway that had been designated by the Town of Cumberland. And the town was very concerned about the effect that this would have on people driving down this particular road. It was a new substation, a $\$ 24$ million substation, covering, $I$ think, 10 acres of land, it's going to be highly visible.

And, so, working with the planning board, with the town planner, we walked the site. We recognized that there is a potential issue here. We're expanding the width of the existing right-of-way. It was going to make the view towards the substation wide open. They did not want to see that, people driving down this road.

So, we decided to work with the engineers to develop a plan involving installation of a fairly large earth berm between the substation and the scenic road. On top of that, we put a variety of different plant species, what we called, you know, the sort of species that are
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called "non-capable species". In other words, they would grow to a certain height, but never within the danger zone, roughly 12 to 15 feet high over the berm.

So, the end result was somebody driving down the road would see an earth -- a vegetated earth berm, which replicated the type of landscape that was common to that part of Cumberland.

We had to make allowances for access from the road into the substation. But the end result was, you would still see some of the structures, but the effect was to minimize the view, if not eliminate it altogether, the view of the very large substation and all of its components.

That's a very site-specific example of how we use landscaping and other design elements as part of a mitigation process.
Q. So, that's a great example of a substation, hiding a substation, right, from a drive -from someone driving along. What if you have structures that are rising above the landscape? We've certainly seen in your photosimulations,
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T.J. Boyle's photosimulations, Mr. Dodson's photosimulations, that there are structures that rise above existing buildings, that rise above that skyline along the landscape along the hilltop. How do you use vegetation to mitigate those kind of impacts?
A. (DeWan) I believe T.J. Boyle had some good examples of before-and-after plantings that they had done along a roadway. And I think one of the concerns that were addressed, and I don't know the specifics of that situation, but it may have been a new corridor that was cut through, the intent was to establish a line of vegetation, so, when you're driving down a roadway, the visual experience is not broken by the break in vegetation. In other words, the intent was to try and maintain the color, the form, the texture of existing vegetation. So, what your eye picks up is the continuity of landscape. Yes, you're going to see the structures rising above it, but it's not quite the contrast that you would see if there was no vegetation there at all.
Q. So, planting is only a partial mitigation, is
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that fair to say?
A. (DeWan) Planting could be a very fully -- a full mitigation. Again, mitigation is a very site-specific activity. You have to consider, you know, the viewer, the length of time that you have, the amount of opening that you're viewing, the background landscape, a number of -- a number of factors. This is not a one-size-fit-all approach.
Q. Right. So, it's not one-size-fit-all. And it's -- also you've described screening. But, if we've got 110-foot -- new 110-foot tall pole, for example, that's rising above any of this vegetation you're going to plant, this earth berm you're going to create, any of that, aren't you -- isn't it fair to say that you are doing partial mitigation, because there is still going to be the visibility of that structure up above the treeline?
A. (DeWan) Well, it depends on your viewpoint.
Q. If $I$ don't want to see anything, if that's my experience as Joe Q. Public, I've always driven down this road, and I've never seen an industrial structure on the landscape. And I
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWan|Kimball]

1
love the fact that $I$ don't see any industrial structure on the landscape. And, now, I'm going to see 10 feet, 15 feet of a steel pole sticking up above the treeline. You can't mitigate that impact, correct?
A. (DeWan) Obviously, there's no way to screen the top of a structure, just like, you know, the cell towers that we find everywhere these days.
Q. So, it's a partial mitigation, correct?
A. (DeWan) It's a mitigation at a very specific location that will look at the issue that is trying to be mitigated.
Q. Okay. I want to talk about a couple of specific sites.

MS. BOEPPLE: If we could pull up --
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Now, I know you talked about a lot of specific sites. You can't see anything yet?
A. (DeWan) Weeks State Park.
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So, you can see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So, Weeks State Park, I believe you
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chose the overlook as the observation point, correct?
A. (DeWan) The East Overlook.

MS. DORE: And, for the record, the exhibit and page number?

MS. BOEPPLE: This is Applicants' Exhibit 1, Pages 14469 to 14477 .

MS. DORE: And is that Appendix 17?
MS. BOEPPLE: Appendix 17 , correct.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, you chose the East Overlook as the observation point, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you did not choose the view from the top, correct?
A. (DeWan) When you say "the top"?
Q. The uppermost point at Weeks State Park.
A. (DeWan) I assume you mean the fire tower, the stone fire tower?
Q. Well, or the fire tower. Either from the roadway at the top or from the fire tower?
A. (DeWan) We looked at those locations. But we chose the East Overlook to do the
photosimulation from.
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Q. So, there are several viewer experiences on this -- at this location, aren't there?
A. (DeWan) When you say "this location", are you talking about all of Weeks State Park or the East Overlook?
Q. I'm talking about -- I'm talking about the resource, which is Weeks State Park, which is all of it.
A. (DeWan) Correct.
Q. It's not just the East Overlook, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's right. There's a variety of different user experiences here.
Q. Right. And you chose one of those, correct?
A. (Kimball) You can see on the page that you have on display, there are a number of viewpoints that we've included in the report and described as part of our evaluation of this resource.
Q. But your -- the image that you focused on was from the East Overlook, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. Okay. So, is it possible that there are other resources that you used one specific viewpoint, and that a viewer's experience or Joe $Q$. Public's experience may not fit the point of
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reference that you chose? Is it possible? I'm not saying --
A. (DeWan) Well, there's -- yes, we looked at the North Overlook. We climbed to the top of the fire tower. We went to the -- what used to be the home of Senator Weeks. It was not open when we had been there. We walked on many of the hiking trails. We walked up the access road. We looked at the parking lots. We felt that the East Overlook was most representative of the view that may be experienced by the largest number of people.
Q. But, again, aren't you using your judgment to decide and pick and choose, correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, we had to make some decision about what would be the most representative key observation point.
Q. But other people could differ, right? They could differ with your opinion, correct?
A. (DeWan) I suppose it's --
Q. So, the SEC could say, "You know, we think this is a pretty important resource. And we think that just looking at it from the East Overlook isn't good enough. It really should have been
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assessed much more thoroughly."
A. (DeWan) I suppose that's a possibility. I know this is very much in line with Counsel for the Public's expert, who looked at the same situation and arrived at the same conclusion as we did.
Q. I'm just suggesting isn't it possible, that the SEC wants to look at this differently? Is that possible?
A. (Kimball) If you look at the page that you have up, we have evaluated the visual effect from the East Overlook, the North Overlook, and the stone fire tower. We've referenced all three of those primary locations and shown photos. So, to suggest that we used a single photograph is not an accurate depiction of the work.
Q. Okay. Let's look at, again, Appendix 17, Applicants' Exhibit 1, Pages 14718 to 14719 . These are Visual Impact Assessment maps, A-18 and $A-19 . \quad$ So, this is just locating.

So, I believe these maps show -- well, why don't you tell us. What do these maps depict?
A. (Kimball) So, the first map that you showed on the screen was a computer-based visibility
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analysis of the existing visibility, so of the current corridor. Which begins at the maroon-colored line there at the point of transition.
A. (DeWan) This is in the area of Millsfield, Dummer, and stark. This is the place where the new line, which is shown in green here, meets the existing line shown in purple.
Q. And the next one?
A. (Kimball) So, this is the same location. And it shows the increased visibility. This time taking into account both the green section of line, which is the new corridor, and also that maroon-colored line, which is the new structures, and the existing structures within that existing corridor. The shades of purple represent the ranges of number of visible structures.
A. (DeWan) We should say, to clarify, the potentially visible structures.
Q. Okay. And they're "potentially visible" because?
A. (Kimball) They're potentially visible, because this map is depicting the Area of Potential
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Visibility, the APVI. So, it's inherent in what the work is. It's also based on what a computer sees based on the data that is put into the computer, not based on fieldwork or any field verification.
Q. But did you use these to then also bore down and look at specific sites to see what the impact might be?
A. (Kimball) We used these to guide our general fieldwork in looking at the landscape.

Okay. So, let's take a look at --
MS. BOEPPLE: So, could we have the ELMO please, Dawn?

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. These are part of Applicants' Exhibit $1 . \quad$ I do not know the exact page number. We can get that. Do you recognize this image?
A. (DeWan) Yes, we do.
Q. Okay. And what is this?
A. (DeWan) This is a photograph showing a portion of the view from the combined Moose Path Scenic byway and the Connecticut River Scenic Byways, north of the Young Cemetery on Route 145, in Clarksville.
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Q. And let's look at the next image. And what is this image? Is this part of your report?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. We saw this yesterday.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) This is the same image, of a single photograph looking in the same direction with the Project in place.
Q. And you decided to use this point of view for conducting your Visual Impact Assessment based on what?
A. (DeWan) Well, first of all, it was a combination of factors. You know, we wanted to show what the effect may be on the Moose Path Scenic Byway and at this particular point in the Connecticut River Scenic Byway. It was a place that we knew had a moderate amount of traffic. We also, you know, we did a fairly extensive amount of evaluation of this area.
Q. Is it possible that there may be a different point of view from which you are observing this location that would have -- that would show a greater impact of the proposed Project?
A. (Kimball) We actually have two photosimulations from Route 145. So, this is one of two.
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Q. Right. I'm just talking about this specific one?
A. (DeWan) Maybe I'm not --
Q. If I were to, for example, you've picked a point, right, from which to look at this landscape, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And, if you were to move 20 feet in either direction, is it possible that could change the visual impact?
A. (DeWan) Highly unlikely.
Q. Why?
A. (DeWan) Excuse me, just knowing the nature of this particular place where we took the photograph, $I$ believe we were on the edge of the road. Looking in that direction, 20 feet one way or the other, would not change appreciably the relationship between the foreground open fields and the hills in the midground or the background.
Q. What if it's 30 feet?
A. (DeWan) No.
Q. Forty feet is?
A. (DeWan) I'd have to be there to make that
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
determination. At some point, you'd probably drop down enough, so you may not even see those two structures in the background.
Q. Is it possible they would become even more visible depending on what direction you move in?
A. (DeWan) Well, if you went closer to them, there's a possibility that they may be closer to you and therefore more visible. But this is a location along the scenic byway. So, it's the view from the scenic byway, which is what the rules require.
Q. Let's take a look at, hopefully we have it digital, --

MS. DORE: And just for the record, the document we just were looking at was Applicants' 1, Appendix 17, Pages 14346 and 14347 .

MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you. If we could switch back please, Dawn.

Can we zoom in on that a little bit please? Yes. There we go. Perfect.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Do you recognize this?
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A. (DeWan) I believe it was just enlarged, and it really should not be looked at in an enlarged format.

MS. BOEPPLE: Okay. Let's pull it back a little bit.

WITNESS DeWAN: Thank you.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, you recognize this from your report, correct?
A. (DeWan) Big Dummer Pond. We also looked at this yesterday.
Q. Yes.

MS. BOEPPLE: Now can we now zoom in just a little bit, so we can look at it a little bit closer?

MS. DORE: And would you please identify the document.

MS. BOEPPLE: So, this is document Applicants' Exhibit 1, Page 14400 .

MS. DORE: Appendix 17 .
MS. MANTEAU: Appendix 17.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Okay. So, you chose a particular vantage point for this location, correct?
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A. (DeWan) On Big Dummer Pond, yes.

MS. BOEPPLE: Okay. Can we pull up SPNF 69?

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. This is also Big Dummer Pond, isn't it?
A. (Kimball) It's the same photograph, I believe.
Q. Is it?

MS. BOEPPLE: Let's switch back.
MS. DORE: SPNF 69, page?
WITNESS DeWAN: Can you zoom out again please and see the entire page?

MS. BOEPPLE: 04271 .
WITNESS DeWAN: Okay. This is a panoramic view. This is a compilation of several photographs that have been digitally stitched together to create the panorama.

MS. BOEPPLE: Uh-huh. And let's go back to SPNF 69.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, what I'm suggesting is, is there a difference in the way that the -- you're using the visual imagery to illustrate the resource, correct?
A. (Kimball) These are the exact same photographs.
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It's just cropped slightly different here so the panoramic is not as extensive.
Q. Would the viewer's experience -- is the viewer's experience somewhat different between these two photographs?
A. (DeWan) Oh, absolutely.
Q. Okay.
A. (Kimball) It's the same photograph.
A. (DeWan) No, but the viewer --
A. (Kimball) It's the same photograph.
Q. Okay. The exact same photograph, but the viewer has a totally different experience. Is that a fair way to --
A. (DeWan) No.
Q. No. Okay. Go ahead.
A. (DeWan) Let me characterize it. The viewer experience -- well, there's different types of viewers.
Q. Right.
A. (DeWan) Okay. Let's say the boater, somebody who's going to go out there fishing, for example. They're going to go out in the middle of the pond, and their view is going to be a 360 -degree view.
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Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) Because, depending on the wind patterns, depending upon, you know, how they're fishing that day, they may see 360 degrees. A person who is at this particular point, which is where you may put a boat in, has this view, and I don't know if that's a 90-degree view, let's say. It depends on where you are relative to the edge of the water, relative to your mode of transportation.
Q. Exactly. Thank you.

MS. DORE: For the record, SPNF 69 is not Bates stamped, but it is identified as "Page 24" that just was reviewed. MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you. I'm pretty sure it is Bates stamped, when we filed it, as part of the --

MS. MANTEAU: It was filed first without Bates stamps, then filed with Bates stamps. It should be.

MS. BOEPPLE: Okay. So, I just have
a couple more questions.
BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. So, the viewer experience that you've tried to
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capture to depict the scenic resources and the potential impact of the Northern Pass Project is a perspective that you've taken certain criteria, you've taken certain elements, and you've tried to determine, in your professional opinion, that this is an accurate depiction of what that viewer experience might be, correct?
A. (DeWan) Are you talking "this", meaning this photograph, or are you talking about our Visual Impact Assessment?
Q. Your Visual Impact Assessment for the whole route.
A. (DeWan) Right. And that's both a narrative, and it's also the photographic illustrations that we've provided.
Q. Right. And you've just said that a viewer experience could differ. For example, on Dummer Pond, someone going out on a boat is going to have a different experience, correct?
A. (DeWan) Right. And I believe we discussed that in our testimony -- in our prefiled testimony and in the report.
Q. So, you agree that a viewer experience could differ from what the $S E C$ is looking at, in
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terms -- let me back up for a second. You're using static photographs to try and illustrate a viewer experience. Is that a fair statement?
A. (DeWan) What we've done is provided the SEC with the photosimulations, in keeping with their requirements for doing photosimulations. That's one component. We've also provided a Visual Impact Assessment, which describe the viewer expectation, the viewer experience, what they do there, the sort of activities that they are engaged with, what they see along the way to get to this point, which is all part of the viewer experience.
Q. Right. And that might actually differ from some of the visual imagery that is part of your report, correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, what we have shown, which you haven't shown on the screen here, is some of the scenes that somebody sees along the access road, --
Q. Right.
A. (DeWan) -- for the five miles coming in here.
Q. There's only so much you can do, right?
A. (DeWan) Well, we've provided representative
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photographs to convey to the people who are making the decision what the mindset is of a person who arrives at this location. And it's influenced by a number of factors.
Q. And, therefore, my question is, this is one, when looking at the visual materials that have been provided, they're one perspective.

They're not necessarily -- I understand you have a narrative, $I$ understand all of that. But the actual visual experience is not depicted in these photographs necessarily, --
A. (Dewan) That's why --
Q. -- for some of the sites, correct?
A. (DeWan) I would say that's probably true for all the sites.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) That's why you provide a detailed narrative accompanying them.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Okay. I'm going to ask you to bear with me, we're going to do a
hypothetical. And $I$ want you to just make an assumption here for a minute. Let's say that Northern Pass would create an unreasonable adverse effect on at least some of the scenic
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resources in New Hampshire. Let's just assume that. I know you've said it won't, but let's assume that it will. And it doesn't have to be any specific one, we're not going to talk about any specific ones. And let's assume -- can you go along with me with this?
A. (DeWan) No, I can't, because we do not judge whether or not the impacts are unreasonable or not. We're judging whether or not their impacts are low, medium, or high, which is the requirement of the SEC.
Q. So, you have no opinion as to whether or not Northern Pass will have an unreasonable adverse effect on aesthetics?
A. (DeWan) That's not what you asked. Our job is to determine whether or not the Project will have an unreasonable adverse effect on aesthetics. We don't make that determination on a resource-by-resource basis.
Q. I didn't ask you to make that determination on a "resource-by-resource basis". I asked you to assume for a minute that instead of -- your opinion was there won't be an unreasonable adverse effect, is it not?
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A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. I'm asking you to assume the opposite. I'm asking you to assume that there will be an unreasonable adverse effect. I just want you to assume that for a minute. And I'm not asking you to say that there is.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's hear what the question is, --

WITNESS DeWAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: -- and see where you can go from there.

BY MS. BOEPPLE:
Q. Well, if there is, if there were, and there's no way to avoid that unreasonable effect, by changing the aboveground route, for example, and there's no way to adequately mitigate through plantings and screening, or some other mitigation effort that we haven't talked about, is it possible that a proper mitigation might be to just bury the whole line? That's my question.
A. (DeWan) Again, we would have to look at what the implications of burial would be. There's the possibility that burial may have
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unreasonable adverse effects on aesthetics also.
Q. But you've found that there were no unreasonable adverse effects in any of the buried portions of the route, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's not what we -- again, we didn't look at specific sites. We looked at the overall Project. We did not find there are any high visual impacts from the burial of the underground route.
Q. Your assessment was that there are stretches of this route that are buried and stretches that are aboveground. Based on that route, you've said there's not an unreasonable adverse effect, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. I've asked you to assume that that route, with those buried portions and those aboveground portions would have an unreasonable adverse effect. I've asked you to use that as just a baseline assumption. And, with that assumption, I'm asking you if a mitigation could be to bury the entire line, even -- I know there's a lot of variables and factors
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you'd have to look at. I'm just asking you, could that be?
A. (DeWan) It certainly is one option that one would normally look at, if it was judged to be unreasonable.

MS. BOEPPLE: Thank you. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. On my list, the Municipal groups are next, and I have Mr. Whitley's name first. But it's not going to be Mr. Whitley, it's going to be Ms. Fillmore.

MS. FILLMORE: We just thought we'd keep it interesting.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And everybody appreciates that.

MS. FILLMORE: There's going to be math.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore, will you be warning us when the math is coming?

MS. FILLMORE: I will.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, those who
need to cover at that point can find shelter.
[Laughter.]
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MS. FILLMORE: Hi, Mr. DeWan and Ms. Kimball.

WITNESS DeWAN: Hello.
MS. FILLMORE: My name is Christine Fillmore, and $I$ represent several towns who are intervenors in this matter. I have a few questions --

WITNESS DeWAN: Excuse me. Could you identify which towns you're representing?

MS. FILLMORE: Certainly. Bristol, Easton, Franconia, Sugar Hill, Northumberland, Whitefield, and Plymouth.

WITNESS DeWAN: Thank you.
MS. FILLMORE: I'm going to ask some questions that $I$ hope do not overlap too much with what already occurred. I've had to cross things out as we go. So, I apologize if some of it jumps around a little bit.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. In your analysis of the visual impact of the Project as it's proposed, did you rely on the vegetation that was present at the time that you made your assessments?
A. (Kimball) The computer-based visibility
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assessment is based on a dataset. So, it was based on the vegetation as it was collected at that time. Our fieldwork and photosimulations and site work was based on existing conditions.
A. (DeWan) And, when you say "vegetation that existed at the time", are you talking about the vegetation within the corridor or the vegetation in general?
Q. The vegetation that you found important, as far as the way that it affected views of the Project, the impact that the Project might have.
A. (DeWan) So, you're talking about vegetation of the overall landscape then?
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And, do you know, do the Applicants own or have easement rights over all of the vegetation that you considered important, as far as screening views of the Project go?
A. (DeWan) I don't believe they have.
Q. Do you know if the Applicants can prevent some or all of that vegetation from being cleared by the property owners?
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A. (DeWan) I doubt if they have any control over vegetation cutting on land outside of the corridor.
Q. And do you know if the Applicant can add vegetation beyond what's there now for additional screening?
A. (DeWan) It's my understanding that the Applicant could add vegetation with the approval of the underlying landowners.
Q. And, if some of that vegetation were destroyed by a fire or a storm, would the Applicants have any ability or obligation to restore it?
A. (DeWan) When you say "that vegetation", are you talking about the ones that $I$ just mentioned that may be used for planting purposes, screening purposes?
Q. The vegetation that was there that you relied upon in making your assessments.
A. (DeWan) If the land is -- if a forest fire occurs, let's say, I would assume it would be the responsibility of the individual landowner to restore or allow the vegetation to restore naturally.
Q. And would the Applicant have any control over
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that?
A. (DeWan) Not to my knowledge.
Q. Or obligation to do anything about it?
A. (DeWan) Not to my knowledge.
Q. And you just mentioned "forests". So, there is some forest that is -- that would be providing some screening, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's typical throughout most of New Hampshire.
Q. If that forest were cut or burned or destroyed by a storm, how long would it take a mature forest to regrow?
A. (DeWan) It would start immediately. It would take place over $X$ number of generations.
Q. Tree generations or people generations?
A. (DeWan) People generations. It depends what you mean by "restore"?
Q. I mean back to the condition it is now.
A. (DeWan) Depends on the type of forest that was there right now.
Q. Can you say with certainty that there would be no significant adverse visual impact from the Project if some or all of that vegetation that you relied on went away?
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A. (DeWan) If all the vegetation that we relied on, which is most of the vegetation along the 150-mile aboveground corridor went away, it would be a major disaster to the entire State of New Hampshire.
Q. That wasn't my question.
A. (DeWan) And could you repeat the question then?
Q. Yes. Can you say with certainty that, in that situation, that there would be no significant adverse visual impact from the Project?
A. (DeWan) We haven't evaluated that.
Q. So, you can't?
A. (DeWan) I can't say with certainty.
Q. I believe I heard you both say yesterday that, other than the Northern Pass Project, Mr. DeWan, most of your experience is outside of New Hampshire, and, Ms. Kimball, all of your experience is outside of New Hampshire, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
A. (Kimball) In VIA work, it's correct.
Q. What research did you perform to determine which kinds of information in New Hampshire is kept by the state and which kinds of
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information is kept by towns?
A. (Kimball) Well, it's clear that certain resources are town-based, for example, master plans, recreation inventory. And some resources are maintained by the State, like state byways, state parks. So, it depends on the particular resource type that you're referring to.
Q. And would you say that you performed a thorough research regarding the various programs that are established in New Hampshire under New Hampshire law to identify, regulate or protect scenic resources?
A. (DeWan) I believe we did.
Q. Do you know how much of that information is required to be posted on public websites by individual towns?
A. (DeWan) I do not.
Q. Would it surprise you to know that none of it is required to be posted on town websites?
A. (DeWan) I don't know what the policy is. I know that we relied upon the websites for a lot of the information that we got for individual communities.
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A. (Kimball) We also relied on our fellow land-use consultants at Normandeau, who had acquired all of the master plans.
Q. Are master plans the only documents you relied upon from towns?
A. (Kimball) No. It depended on the town.
A. (DeWan) For example, in Deerfield, there is a map of their public lands and their trail systems. A lot of towns went beyond the master plans to look at specific elements that were important to them.
Q. Am I understanding you correctly that, if a town did not go so far as to put something on its website, that you did not -- that your conclusion would be it's not that important to them?
A. (Kimball) No. As I said, we acquired information from Normandeau, who had gotten all of the master plans from the communities.
Q. You said master plans were not the only thing you relied on, though?
A. (DeWan) Well, we also looked at guidebooks. We looked at other websites. We looked at tourism information. We looked at other reports. We
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looked at regional planning commission reports. We looked at the scenic byways corridor management plans. A wealth of information that's out there.
Q. Did you call, email, or visit any of the towns along the proposed route to ask them about scenic resources they felt were important?
A. (DeWan) We did not.
Q. Why not?
A. (DeWan) We relied upon the information that was published. We felt that that was an accurate characterization of what they felt was important to their community, that made it into the master plan, or other types of information that we evaluated.
Q. How familiar are you with small towns in New Hampshire? And by that $I$ mean "small", populations of less than a thousand. In terms of their sophistication and use of the internet and websites?
A. (DeWan) If they're similar to the towns that we deal with in Maine, $I$ think we're quite familiar with that type of community.
Q. Would you say that it's possible for a town,
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with limited financial resources and a small population, that it's possible that that town might not post everything that they deem to be culturally important or scenically important on their website, perhaps because there were other ways for people to find out about it?
A. (DeWan) There is that possibility, which is one of the reasons we do extensive fieldwork, and driving around the communities, the back roads, the byways, and so forth, to see what is out there. And, if we saw something that may not be listed or documented, you know, we would explore that to find out more information about it.
Q. While you were driving around, you didn't think it was important enough to stop into any of those town halls?
A. (DeWan) We relied upon the data, as I mentioned before, for our source of information.
Q. I see.

MS. FILLMORE: Dawn, can I use Apple TV please?

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. I'm going to bring up now what will be marked
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as "Joint Muni Exhibit 268". These will all be posted today. This is an excerpt from

Applicants' Exhibit 92, Page -- well, this says "Page 14", it's actually Page 15 of Applicants' Exhibit 92 .

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore?
MS. FILLMORE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why does this need to be marked as an exhibit?

MS. FILLMORE: It doesn't have to be, if it doesn't -- if you would prefer that it not be.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It just seems like -- it's been happening a lot, but it seems like an unnecessary waste of space. It's their testimony from an existing exhibit, and you're showing it to them.

MS. FILLMORE: It was simply for ease of reference. We cannot mark it as an exhibit.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's entirely up to you. Digital space seems unlimited.
[Laughter.]
MS. FILLMORE: Yes.
BY MS. FILLMORE:
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Q. I scrolled down to the bottom of the page to Line 29 through 31. And let me just go back up to the question here. And this has to do with your answer to the question of your understanding of the public's legal right of access to scenic resources. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, going down to Line 29, regarding "Parks and Recreation Areas", it says "All parks and recreation areas were considered to have public access." Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Okay. Did you do -- did you do any research into the New Hampshire statutory scheme that makes certain privately owned land eligible for reduced property tax assessments if it is categorized as "recreation land"?
A. (DeWan) Yes, we have.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with that statute?
A. (DeWan) Quite familiar with it.
Q. I'm going to bring it up now.
A. (DeWan) You're talking about the current use statute?
Q. I am. And here it comes. This will be marked
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as "JT MUNI 269". And you can see this is RSA 79-A:4. And I'll represent, for the purposes of my question, that the "board" referred to here is the Current Use Board. So, Paragraph II that's highlighted, are you familiar with this section?
A. (DeWan) Yes. I believe we discussed this the last -- the first two hours that we appeared before the $S E C$.
Q. Yes. There's a specific reason that I'm going back to this. So, you are also familiar with Site Evaluation Committee Rule 102.45 , which we discussed -- which you discussed earlier with Attorney Connor, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And that says that, and I can bring it up, if you would prefer, but it says that a "scenic resource" is "resources to which the public has a legal right of access", and, in part, "Recreation trails, parks, or areas established, protected or maintained in whole or in part with public funds." Does that sound familiar?
A. (Dewan) That is correct.
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Q. Thank you. What definition of "public funds" did you use in your mind when you were considering this?
A. (Kimball) Locations, such as state parks, that received money from the State for their maintenance, protection or establishment.
Q. Okay. Here comes the math. What I'm bringing up now is a document with the rules on it, but also, down here, a hypothetical. It will be marked as "JT MUNI 270". So, I'm going to ask you to assume some hypothetical facts. And please understand that this is a crude approximation. I'm a lawyer, and math is not my thing.

So, assume for a moment that there is a
town -- sorry, here we go. We are assuming these facts at the bottom. Assume for a moment there is a state --
A. (DeWan) Excuse me.
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) Could you raise it up so we can see it?
Q. I can, when we get to that part. So, say there's a state with no individual income tax or a sales tax. So, property tax is a large
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}
part of the way that public funds are raised in this particular state. Say that, in this state, there's a town with 1,000 pieces of real estate. And assume that each piece of real estate has a fair market value of $\$ 1,000$. Still with me?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
A. (Witness DeWan nodding in the affirmative).
Q. Okay. Say that that town needs to raise $\$ 100,000$. So, what they do is they look at what they have to work with, a thousand parcels, each valued at $\$ 1,000$. They have $\$ 1,000,000$ in real estate value. They need to raise $\$ 100,000$. So, they would divide what they need by what they have. Divide $\$ 100,000$ you need to raise by $\$ 1,000,000$ in valuation. And would you agree with me, subject to check, that you would get a tax for one -- a tax of $\$ 100$ for every thousand dollars in value?
A. (Kimball) Sure.
A. (DeWan) To raise that amount of money.
Q. Yes. Okay. So, now, let's change the facts for a moment, and I'm getting to my question.
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Assume everything is the same, except that 200 of the 1,000 parcels qualify for a 20 percent reduction in assessment under the current use statute for recreation land. So, their assessment would be $\$ 800$ each. And everything else is the same.

The result would be, your total taxable value of real estate is 960,000 , instead of the million dollars. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. And, if you divide what you need, $\$ 100,000$, by the value of what you have, $\$ 960,000$, you get a tax of $\$ 104$ for every thousand dollars in value for all the taxpayers in that town.

Would you agree, subject to check, that that math makes sense?
A. (DeWan) Subject to check.
Q. So, if you look at the conclusion here at the bottom, 800 of those parcels would be taxed at \$104 each, and the ones with the assessment reduction would be taxed $\$ 83.20$ each.

So, my question is, when some property gets assessed at a lower rate, everybody's taxes go up a little bit to make up the
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A. (DeWan) It's generally how it works, yes.
Q. So, wouldn't you agree with me that, in essence, the current use recreation area tax system pays the people who allow the public to use their property for recreation by reducing their taxes, and to pay for this system, everyone else in town pays a little extra? Wouldn't you agree that, in that sort of a system, public funds are being used to establish or maintain a recreational area?
A. (DeWan) I think we're getting into an area of policy that we're certainly not adept to address as visual consultants.
Q. Your impact assessment is based on your interpretation of the rule, is it not?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And, as part of that interpretation, you created a methodology by which you decided which parcels to include in your assessment, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And my question is, why you didn't include these parcels in your assessment?
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A. (DeWan) Well, first of all, we don't necessarily agree with the way it's worded. We felt that establishment --
Q. I'm sorry, with the way what is worded?
A. (DeWan) I'm sorry. We don't necessarily agree with the fact that common use -- or, current use is one of the grounds for considering a land to be publicly accessible. The fact that it's "established, protected or maintained" is in the rules, implies that there should be a receipt. If money is spent, there should be a receipt that shows how the money is spent to establish or maintain that. We don't think that's happening here.

We also take -- we also don't think that the terms that are established under current use necessarily define public access. It lists six specific types of recreation activities that this would allow. And it also has specific rules about what happens if you want to build a structure, a septic system, a driveway, etcetera, within that area. And it talks specifically about how you define the ultimate outline of those parcels.
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But what -- we use as an example, let's say you have a group of six graders that you want to go out mushroom-collecting or berry-picking or perhaps bring a boat down to a water over current use land. The landowner has the right to question whether or not you're -why you're there, because that's not part of the six defined recreation activities. And I suppose that that landowner has the ability to ask them to leave. I don't consider that to be "public use". "Public use", to us, means that you should have the ability, within certain limitations, to access the land without being questioned by the landowner.
Q. Mr. DeWan, does the statute say "public access for recreational use without question by the landowner"?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore, are you going to get into a legal argument with this witness about the current use law and its interpretation?

MS. FILLMORE: I am only attempting to discern how they applied these statutes and the rules, which they have admitted in
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testimony more than once in the last several days, is the basis for the way they designed, conducted, and concluded their study.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And you could make a legal argument that they have done it wrong. That is a -- that is a legal argument about how to interpret the statute and the rules.

And, if you want to ask him another question on this topic about what he did and why he did it, you may disagree with him. But arguing with him that he's "doing it wrong", unless he's going to break down on the stand and go "Oh, my God, you're right", it's not really going to be productive.

MS. FILLMORE: I only have a few more questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Wonderful.
BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q. Did you ask any of the towns and cities along the proposed route about information -information about whether any parcels of land along the route received a reduced tax assessment because it's publicly accessible
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recreation land?
A. (Kimball) No. Because we fundamentally disagree that receiving a tax break is then receiving funds to establish, protect or maintain the land.

MS. FILLMORE: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Whitley, are you up next?

MR. WHITLEY: Yes. Give me a second, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record. [Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.]

MR. WHITLEY: Good morning.
WITNESS DeWAN: Good morning.
WITNESS KIMBALL: Good morning.
MR. WHITLEY: My name is Steven
Whitley. I represent a number of
municipalities along the route. I'll name them for you: New Hampton, Pembroke, Deerfield, Littleton, and the Water \& Sewer Department of the Town of Ashland.

BY MR. WHITLEY:
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Q. I want to start with just some kind of housekeeping background questions that have come up through some of the prior testimony you've given here. Neither one of you is licensed in New Hampshire as a landscape architect, is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct. We have someone in our office who is.
Q. Okay. But that person is not here before the panel and that person did not submit testimony to this panel, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. Okay. And you're not -- the two of you are not holding yourself out as holding a New Hampshire license, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And I note, Mr. DeWan, in your CV that was attached to your direct testimony, that there was no mention of the Redington or Black Nubble wind farm cases. That's correct, isn't it?
A. (DeWan) I have many versions of my CV. I don't recall which one was submitted. But, if it wasn't there, it was because that was a fairly -- that was a project that was done early in my
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career, and there's been a lot of additional ones done since then.
Q. But, as you sit here, you know, you acknowledge that that was a project that could have some relevance to your credibility as a witness before this panel, correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, all the work that we've done in the area of visual impact assessment has been used to establish our experience.
Q. And did you not include it in your CV because you didn't want the SEC to be aware of the ultimate denial of that project, based, in part, on your visual assessment.
A. (DeWan) As I said, you know, we've represented a number of projects that we have done that are fairly current. That was a project that was done before the enactment of the Maine Wind Energy Act, which actually led to the creation of the Maine Wind Energy Act.
Q. I don't think you answered my question.
A. (DeWan) The answer is no.
Q. Okay. I want to turn now to your report. And just for ease of reference, what I'm going to be referring to is Applicants' Exhibit 1 ,
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Appendix 17, which is your October 2015 report, correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm going to be making reference to the pages as you labeled them, because the version I'm working off of doesn't have Bates n umbers. But $I$ will identify what pages, though, for the record.
A. (DeWan) Okay.
Q. So, your methodology states that the photosims were performed from key observation points at a given resource. That's correct, right?
A. (DeWan) Many of them we would consider to be key observation points.
Q. Okay. And $I$ have up here on the screen, this is from your methodology, this is $M-10$ [M-11?], and we're looking at 7.1 there. And that's where it states "photosims...provided to illustrate how the Project will look from KOPs". And "KOPs" are -- it's a term that you've defined on the following page -- the previous page, apologies. Do you see that on your screen there?
A. (DeWan) 6.1.
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Q. That's right. And this is on Page M-10 of your report. And the KOPs are chosen for a couple of reasons. They're publicly accessible or adjacent to a resource. That's where the largest number of structures or the maximum extent of visibility is. And, also, the greatest amount of public use. And I'm paraphrasing. But that's basically how you define the "KOPs", correct?
A. (DeWan) In our 6.1, yes.
Q. That's right. So, when you're trying to find and locate a KOP, you need all three of those in order to be designated as a "KOP", right?
A. (DeWan) When you say "all three of those", what are the three factors?
Q. Well, I'm reading in 6.1, and you see, about halfway through there, the sentence that starts with "A KOP is", and then following that. And it looks like you've laid out three kind of elements of what qualifies as a KOP.
A. (DeWan) An "accessible location", "a scenic resource", "number of transmission structures", "maximum extent of the project". So, there may be more than three.
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Q. Okay. Well, we can -- that's not the point of my question. But that's --
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. -- roughly, though, what you need to identify something and designate it as a KOP?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And I did it as three, but maybe it's more than that, --
A. (DeWan) Okay.
Q. -- but that's okay.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And, then, you go out and you verify those locations, in part, by field visits, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's right. We first identify them based upon our viewshed mapping. And, you know, in consultation with, you know, as we've discussed before, town plans and other data sources, to find out where they possibly might be located.
Q. Okay. And let's assume that the KOP that you selected for some reason didn't adhere to the criteria that we just discussed. That would cast some doubt of how representative it is of the impact on the resource, wouldn't it?
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A. (DeWan) I'm not sure I understood the first part of your question. When we're out there, you know, one of the things that we do is not to go to a single viewpoint. You know, we will go to a number of different viewpoints to look at where the public has access. We look at use patterns. We look at changes in visibility. We try and assess where the Project might be most visible from. We take photographs at all of those locations. Once we get back into the office, then we do computer modeling, and then insert the computer model on top of the photograph, to make sure that what we're then selecting as our $K O P$ meets the criteria as established right here.
Q. Right. But your analysis has a visual component to it, does it not?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely.
Q. Okay. And this Committee is evaluating, in part, the visual component, that picture representation of the impact on a given resource, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Okay. And I think you just testified that the
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photosims are done from KOPs?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And, so, back to my question now, assuming that you selected a KOP location that didn't adhere to the criteria in 6.1 that we just talked about, and $I$ understand it's an assumption and you may disagree with it, but, if a KOP was selected that didn't adhere to this criteria, wouldn't you agree that it's not representative of the visual impact on a given resource?
A. (DeWan) We wouldn't select it unless it met those criteria.
Q. I understand. And I understand you disagree with the assumption. But, if your KOP was not chosen correctly, wouldn't you agree that it doesn't accurately represent how the Project impacts a resource?
A. (DeWan) Well, when we talk about the resource, you know, we're talking about points, lines, and areas. I think that's a consistency throughout our project. KOPs from points, let's say, hypothetically, there is a mountaintop, that was a point. A linear
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feature, like a scenic byway or a road or a hiking trial is a good example of a linear feature. An area, it could be a state park, it could be a designated historic district, it could be any number of area-wide locations. And we then select a point within that area to represent the entire scenic resource.
Q. No, I understand all that. And we're going to talk about how KOPs are further refined in just one second. I didn't really hear an answer to my question. So, $I$ can repeat it, if you'd like me to?
A. (DeWan) Perhaps you should, yes.
Q. Okay. Again, it's if you got a $K O P$ wrong, and I know that's an assumption that you need to make to answer this question, but, if you got a KOP wrong, wouldn't that impact how representative the impact of the Project is on a resource?
A. (DeWan) I guess I don't know what you mean by "getting it wrong". You know, the photosimulations that we do, the KOPs that are established, are one source of information. And the impact evaluation that we do is not
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based just upon that one snapshot. It's based upon an appreciation of the entire resource.
Q. I understand that. Let me ask it a different way. Let me ask it a different way. In order to show how the Project will impact a resource, that is why you came up with the criteria that is in 6.1. Is that a fair statement?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And you just mentioned this, but $I$ just want to touch on it. So, a KOP can be further broken down into kind of three subareas. There's a point, a linear feature, and then a scenic area, right?
A. (DeWan) No.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) What $I$ said is that there are three different types of scenic resources: Points, lines, and areas. And, so, our job is to find representative key observation points in each of those. For a point, it's pretty obvious.
Q. You're right. Thank you for the clarification. I have it in the -- just further down that page, in Section 6.2. And you're right, that it is scenic resources that are broken down in
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that manner.
But this difference in how they're classified is not just academic. Because, depending on how it's classified, you might need more than one $K O P$ from a certain resource, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. And, in many of our examples, we have multiple photosimulations that have been done.
Q. Okay. But all those photosims from within a resource, so, if you have -- let me back up and start that over. If you do have more than one KOP in a given resource, they all need to meet that criteria of 6.1 that we just discussed?
A. (DeWan) Yes, they should.
Q. Okay. And, at the highlighted part here, for a point, a scenic resource that is a point, you say there that "a single viewpoint will [typically] suffice to illustrate the effect". Whereas, if it's a scenic area, $I$ think it's around the middle, yes, excuse me, third line, "multiple vantage points to experience the landscape...are identified", right? Isn't that right?
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A. (DeWan) Yes, it is. And we used that, for example, in Bear Brook State Park.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) And, in addition to the photosimulations, of course, we also provide other photographs, maps, etcetera, to help the reviewers, in this case, the SEC, understand the full extent of the scenic area.
Q. And, for Deerfield Center, which I know we've talked about a good bit, that was a scenic resource and not a point, correct?
A. (DeWan) Do you mean a "scenic area and not a point"?
Q. I do. Thank you. Thank you. Yes.
A. (DeWan) It is a scenic resource, it's a registered historic area, and it is not a point.
Q. So, what is it then?
A. (DeWan) It's a scenic area.
Q. Thank you.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. I'm going to go now to -- this is Page M-13, and this is where you talk about the methodology of the Visual Impact Assessment.
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Do you see that on your screen there?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And you've broken this down into various components of the assessment. And the 8.3 one talks about "Determination of Visual Effect". Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And, then, over on the right-hand side there, there's a subheading in bold for "Transmission Structures". Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Under "Spatial Dominance", 8.3.3.
Q. That's right. And I'm sorry, I tried to highlight this, but for some reason $I$ could highlight the other pages and $I$ couldn't highlight this one.

But, under that "Transmission Structure"
one, the second bullet from the bottom, and I'll just read it into the record, it states that "Changes in structure visibility and visual effect from different viewpoints (in linear features and scenic areas)", right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. So, I'd be correct to say that, because Deerfield Center is a scenic area, that you
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needed to comply with that language that $I$ just read under the "Spatial Dominance" consideration, correct?
A. (DeWan) In describing the effect, yes.
Q. That's right. So, you had to look at how changes in structure visibility and visual effect from different viewpoints in the Deerfield Center District, right?
A. (DeWan) And we did that, yes.
Q. Okay. All right. We've already talked about this a little bit. So, I'm fairly certain that these questions were not covered earlier, but there may be a little bit of overlap just to kind of set up a question or two.

In your visual assessment for the Deerfield Center, you acknowledged that the master plan for Deerfield recommends classifying the town's historical villages to preserve and build upon traditional village character, right?
A. (DeWan) I believe that's correct.
Q. And I'll help you out. I meant to pull this up, sorry. So, this is the Deerfield Center VIA. And this is Page 6-26 of your
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October 2015 report. And the highlight there on the left-hand side of the page is what $I$ just asked you, and you agreed with that.

Wouldn't you agree that, if a structure is visible from more than one location within a resource, that that does not preserve and build upon traditional village character, as the master plan recommends?
A. (DeWan) Well, remember, the evaluation that we do look at the entire village, not a specific point within it.
Q. And I want to talk to you now about some of the pictures that you've included. Because my read of them is that they're a bit misleading as to the extent of the impact at this resource. So, you see here on Page 6-26, I want to point you to two captions of pictures. And I can blow this up, just so it's a little bit more legible. So, this is the top one. You see there, it states that "The existing trees will block views of Northern Pass structures", right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And, then, the lower picture says "The top of
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one structure will be visible above the roofline of the church in leaf-off conditions", right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. But neither of those statements is completely accurate, is it? And I'll turn your attention now to the photosim that was created by T.J. Boyle. And this picture is from Counsel for the Public 138. And it's DE-2e. And you see there that right next to the Community Church building steeple is a Northern Pass tower structure, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And $I$ now want to show you the visual, the photosim prepared by Mr. Dodson on behalf of the Forest Society. And this is SPNF 62, and this is Page 67 of that exhibit. And you see there, to the left of that Community Church building steeple, that's the tower structure, is it not?
A. (DeWan) That appears to be.
Q. Okay. So, going back to your captions then, the Boyle and Dodson sims show that the trees don't block all the views, and are visible,
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even in leaf-off/leaf-on conditions, isn't that correct?
A. (DeWan) Those are different viewpoints that were used in those two reports.
Q. I understand that. But your captions on these pictures don't make that nuance.
A. (DeWan) It is a nuance. The top one doesn't say "it will block all views", it only says "it will block views". And $I$ think we say, in our narrative, that there will be some places where it will be visible.
Q. But, if these pictures are to represent the visual component of your analysis, and the Committee is supposed to look at these, these visual components, to evaluate your work, and they're reading these captions, I think the import of those captions is that this structure is visible almost none at all. And, from these photosims, that's just not the case, is it?
A. (DeWan) It will be visible in limited locations -- limited areas within the overall historic district.
Q. And your VIA for this resource also fails to appropriately mention or account for the views
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shown in those photosims, doesn't it? And we'll go there in just a second.

So, this is the VIA for the Deerfield Center. You see that on your screen there, Mr. DeWan?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And I want to turn your attention now to the middle of the page, it's the right-hand column, the "Overall Visual Impact" paragraph there. The second bullet describes "the areas of greatest visibility". Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Neither of those -- neither of the photosims we just looked at is mentioned in that paragraph, is it?
A. (DeWan) I don't believe so.
Q. And, looking at the third bullet there, the Project is described as having a "minimal presence". But, looking at the Boyle and Dodson sims, the Project has more than a minimal presence, does it not?
A. (DeWan) From that location, it is visible. Again, we're talking about the overall historic district, which is the area in outline as shown
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on our report.
Q. Mr. DeWan, I'd like you to assume that a pedestrian is walking through this district, and they're walking from east to west on Church Street. From the photosims, I believe you've acknowledged that they have at least two distinct views of the Northern Pass structure, right? They have one as they're going west, when it's not blocked by those trees. And, then, as they keep going west, they have a different view of the same structure, but this time on the other side of the church steeple?
A. (DeWan) As you approach on the other -- on the west side of the church.
Q. Right. Is that a fair statement?
A. (DeWan) There's a gap in the vegetation between the church and the existing trees, where you'll have a view of that structure.
Q. Uh-huh. And Attorney Fillmore touched on this a little bit, but, if something were to happen to that stand of trees, you know, disease, lightning, a freak car accident, or, you know, just the owner deciding that they didn't want the trees there anymore, that would impact your
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visual impact assessment for this resource, wouldn't it?
A. (DeWan) We don't take into consideration what may happen in the future. You know, we're asked to look at what's out there right now.
Q. Understood. And what you did right now is that a lot of your impact assessment was premised on that stand of trees being there to screen some views of the Project, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. So, if that stand of trees is not there, then the Project becomes more visible, for a longer duration, and would arguably have a greater impact, would it not?
A. (DeWan) I think that's a fair statement.
Q. Based on your testimony, you would agree that the photosims of the Project that you produced, as part of your report and for this panel, do not accurately represent the most visible viewpoints within Deerfield Center of the Project, do they?
A. (DeWan) It represents a location within the overall Center, which $I$ think shows the average view that one would see within the historic
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district.
Q. But didn't we have a conversation about KOPs and what they're supposed to represent? And one of the criteria was that they're supposed to be the visibility that's "the maximum extent"? Wasn't that language in there?
A. (DeWan) That is.
Q. Okay. And, because Deerfield Center is a scenic resource, didn't the spatial dominance criteria require you to consider changes in visibility of a structure as you move within the resource?
A. (DeWan) Again, the resource is the entire many acre area. And, throughout most of the area, where it's not going to be visible, it will have no effect.
Q. I don't think you answered my question. Doesn't your methodology, Section 8.3.3, for spatial dominance, require you to consider the visibility of a structure as you move within the resource?
A. (DeWan) Yes, it does. And "moving within the resource" means looking at the entire resource, in this case, the entire historic district.
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Q. Okay. But your photosim that you provided and created shows a very particular location where the tower is screened by one stand of trees, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you maintain that that is consistent with your methodology?
A. (DeWan) Well, we maintain that it represents a view which is representative of what you may see throughout the entire district. In some places, you're not going to see any at all. In a few places, it's going to have a much more open view that what we're seeing in the other two photosimulations.
Q. Don't you believe that's a little misleading to the Committee here to provide a very particular photosim that doesn't show where the Project is most visible?
A. (DeWan) Well, again, we're looking at the entirety of the area. And $I$ think the question before the SEC is "what effect will it have on the area itself?" Not on a specific location within it.
Q. You're correct. And, if they're going to make
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that conclusion, don't you think they'd want to know where in the resource the Project is most visible?
A. (DeWan) That may be a consideration of theirs. And this is one of the places that they visited on their field trip.
Q. I want to turn now to an area that is very close here, the Sherburne Woods Community

Center. Are you familiar with that?
A. (DeWan) Yes. On Upham Drive?
Q. That's right. That's right. So, I'm going to go now to Joint Muni 189. And this has already been provided to the Committee. This is a data request. Are you familiar with this data request and the answer?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you assist in providing the response?
A. (DeWan) I believe so.
Q. Okay. So, as part of this response, there were a couple of photos of the Sherburne Woods Community Center. And they were -- apologize, let me just read the Bates number in here. Joint Muni 007395 , and then the next picture
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we're going to look at is Joint Muni 007396 .
So, going back up to this earlier one, this is a view of the current conditions at the Sherburne Woods Community, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And just to orient us, we're standing on Upham Drive, or maybe on the sidewalk just to the side.
A. (DeWan) I believe we're just -- this is a driver's eye view of the view.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, you're on the street then, is your recollection?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. Okay. And do you take this picture or did someone else?
A. (DeWan) I believe I did.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) There's actually two photographs here, and then spliced together.
Q. Because this is a panoramic?
A. (DeWan) It looks like it, yes.
Q. Okay. Okay. The next photo here is a photosim of the Project, correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
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Q. And, in the photosim, there are a stand of trees blocking the structure. But those trees don't currently exist, do they?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. Okay. And I think that your boundary of the Deerfield Center does not include Sherburne Woods, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. But the Project is visible while standing within the Deerfield Center, is it not?
A. (DeWan) As we've shown before, yes, it is.
Q. Okay. But this tower, in this portion of the corridor, is also visible while you're standing on Upham Drive, within the Deerfield Center District?
A. (DeWan) I believe where we took the photograph we were right on the boundary line or just over the boundary line, looking towards the housing development.
Q. Okay. And, in thinking back to the "KOP" definition, $I$ believe there was some language in there about "a viewpoint being from the resource or adjacent to the resource", is that correct?
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A. (DeWan) I'd have to go back and look at the definition.
Q. Yes. 6.1, "KOP", and this is back on Page, sorry, $M-10$, the second sentence, "A $K O P$ is a publicly accessible location in or adjacent to a scenic resource", correct?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Okay. But you didn't include any $K O P--\quad o r$, you didn't include this viewpoint as a KOP, did you?
A. (DeWan) No, we did not.
Q. Okay. Why did you create a photosim for it then?
A. (Kimball) This particular image was developed in a conversation we had with the Applicant about potential mitigation. When we were exploring the Deerfield Center area, we noted that outside of the scenic resource, on Upham Drive, this senior home, would have a visual impact. We brought it up to the Applicant. We provided a photosimulation showing potential screening as an option. We worked with the engineers to look at ways to reduce structure height, alter location. And $I$ believe that the
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potential mitigation measure of offering resources and funds to help mitigate this area has been approved by the Applicant.
Q. And has it been approved by Sherburne Woods?
A. (Kimball) Not to my knowledge. I just know it was considered by the Applicant.

Okay. I want to turn now to another area in and around Deerfield, and that's the Pawtuckaway State Park.
[Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.]

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q. Okay. So, we're going to go back to your October 2015 report. Okay. And this is the resource description and VIA for Pawtuckaway State Park, which is on -- this is Page 6-40. And I want to point your attention to -- oh, this one is highlighted, great. The first bullet that's highlighted there. And this is where you're talking about the overall visual impact. And you state "Only seen from two locations. No visual impact on the majority of the park." Right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
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Q. Okay. And one of the areas that you identified and evaluated from was an overlook right near the north peak summit, right?
A. (Kimball) The North Mountain Overlook.
Q. The North Mountain Overlook. Yes. Thank you. Are you aware that's one of the more popular destinations within the park?
A. (Kimball) I wouldn't be surprised to hear that. It's very accessible.
Q. Nice view?
A. (Kimball) Beautiful.
Q. In the visual impact assessment for this, and that is on Page 6-4 -- 6-42, bear with me here. In the visual effect, in the middle of the page there, there are several bullets. And, in those bullets, you acknowledge that in direct sun, the Project is going to have, and I believe you use the words "considerable contrast". And you also acknowledge that the substation expansion is going to create a larger apparent opening in the viewshed, isn't that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And this is all occurring at an overlook, where
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the public is invited and expected to stop and take in the scenery, right?
A. (Kimball) Well, when you get to the top of North Mountain, there's two places that you can view the scenery. One is to the north and one is to the east. The eastern view is definitely the predominant view. That's the very top. The view that we have included in our photosimulation is to the north. It's the lesser of the two viewpoints, but it was the one that showed the Project more visibly.
Q. Thank you. But both those viewpoints are easily identified -- I mean, let me back up. Both those viewpoints are apparent to visitors and easy to locate, correct?
A. (Kimball) The viewpoint to the north is an opening along the trail. The viewpoint, looking to the east, is the top of the hill.
Q. But there are, for the trail, there's an established trail there to get to the viewpoint?
A. (Kimball) There's an established trail.
Q. Okay. And aren't the user's expectations particularly high at an overlook like this?
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}

1
A. (Kimball) Yes. We have that identified as --
A. (DeWan) As high.
A. (Kimball) -- high.
Q. And, in the public use criteria, which is the column to the right there, you mention, in the second bullet, that the "viewpoint is not well managed for visibility" and "vegetation limits the [full] field of view". Right?
A. (Kimball) Yes. That's certainly the case.
Q. Okay. And there's been testimony earlier that you did your analysis based on the present vegetation, right?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. But $I$ think you would agree, as you did previously, that, if that vegetation is not present anymore, and it's trimmed back, that's going to impact the visual effect ranking for this viewpoint, wouldn't it?
A. (DeWan) It depends which vegetation is removed.
Q. Well, the vegetation blocking the view.
A. (Kimball) If you look at the photosimulation, you can see the vegetation that we're referencing. I don't know that you would see more of the Project, per se.
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Q. And we'll get to the pictures in just a second. And the view from this location, you're seeing a couple things. You're seeing the corridor -you're seeing more than one corridor, actually. But you're also seeing the substation and the substation expansion, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, I want to go to the following page, just to get a sense of what we're looking at. Okay. So, this is just to orient everyone. But this is on Page 6-43. And the North Mountain Overlook, that's where the VIA was conducted from?
A. (Kimball) The VIA was conducted for the state park as a whole.
Q. Excuse me. I meant the photosims that you created?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. Okay. And, then, what $I$ just described, which you confirmed, is you see at the lower part of the page there, the Deerfield Substation and the two corridors, correct?
A. (Kimball) Yes, in an enlarged, zoomed-in view.
Q. And the expanded substation is going to be
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located above where the current substation is and closer to the North Mountain Overlook, correct?
A. (Kimball) Correct.
Q. Roughly?
A. (Kimball) It's going to be located on the south side of that substation.
Q. Okay. But this is not oriented north-south, which is why $I$ wanted to just describe it in that way.

Okay. Now, we can take a look at the pictures. So, this is your currently existing view. And I'll zoom out here just so it doesn't -- okay. There we go. And this is 6-46 of your report. And this is from that North Mountain Overlook?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. Okay. And the vegetation $I$ was speaking of is apparent in this picture?
A. (Kimball) The foreground vegetation, the immediate foreground?
Q. Yes. And I want to show you now what's been marked as "Joint Muni 267", and will be provided to the Committee later on today. And
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this is also a picture of the current existing conditions. And you can see the existing substation a little more clearly in this picture, correct?
A. (Kimball) It looks to be enlarged.
A. (DeWan) This looks like it's a telephoto view. It looks a lot more distinct that what we are showing in our -- excuse me -- what we call "normal" views.
Q. Because this is an overlook, and the user expectation has a high ranking here, wouldn't you agree that the public taking in this view is going to go elsewhere or linger less once the Project is constructed? You've acknowledged there's a contrast when the sun shines on it. That there's a larger apparent opening due to all the clearing associated with the new substation. Wouldn't you agree that's going to cause some people to not visit this area?
A. (DeWan) We have no indication that it would have any effect whatsoever. People who are up there now see an existing substation. They see the existing transmission lines in two
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different corridors. They see other forms of human development of the area. They are certainly comfortable with that. To add an incremental piece to the existing infrastructure does not seem like it would have any effect whatsoever on someone who is very used to seeing that level of development.
Q. I want to turn now to the Town of Pembroke. And you only did a VIA for the Suncook River resource, correct?
A. (Kimball) Yes. We did an individual visual impact assessment.
Q. Yes. And I can -- I'm sorry. I'm not meaning to have you recall all that. But this is the table of scenic resources in Pembroke. And this is Page 6-6.

MR. IACOPINO: And we are in Appendix 17 of Applicants' 1?

MR. WHITLEY: That's correct. And I misspoke, this is Page 6-5.

BY MR. WHITLEY
Q. But this is the table. And you see the only highlighted one is the "Suncook River". And I go now to that, and this is Page 6.6--6-6.
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And, on the visual impact assessment, on Page 6-7, you talk about some mitigation measures that you would -- you would suggest to the Applicant to lessen the impact. And your second and third bullets, under the "Mitigation" column, require landowner permission, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. That's because the screening is going to be on property that Northern Pass doesn't have control over?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Right. And you have pictures here to the right which show those two areas. So, the first one, on the left at the top, is the east side of the river, and as you see the existing corridor behind it. And the next one over is the white pine buffer that would be removed as part of the right-of-way clearing, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) As we understand it.
Q. Okay. Yes. And, if the Project is not able to reach agreement with these landowners, the
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mitigation that you are suggesting is not going to be possible, is it?
A. (DeWan) I don't know what the next step would be. That would be up to the Applicant to pursue that.
Q. Okay. But you didn't do any sort of an analysis to kind of play that out? So, for instance, you didn't do any sort of an analysis for how else you might mitigate the impact here, if the Project is unable to get landowner permission for these plantings, correct?
A. (DeWan) No, we did not. And, again, as I said earlier, that mitigation, especially in situations like this, it's a very site-specific activity. There may be other things that could be done relative to mitigation.
Q. And your table of scenic resources for Pembroke doesn't include the Montminy Farm that's nearby, correct?
A. (Kimball) That's correct. It's a private home.
Q. That's right. And we talked about that a little earlier. It is this property that I've just put on the screen here, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
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Q. Okay. And this is Page 6- -- no, I'm sorry, Page 8-76. And this is from Applicants' 2, Attachment Number 8. So, these were the private property photosims that the Applicants provided in February of 2016, right?
A. (Kimball) That's correct. It's a part of the private property collection.
Q. Okay. And, just to orient everyone, that inset at the bottom there, which shows the orientation of the photo, the corridor, right after it passes out of this picture, then crosses the Suncook River, correct?
A. (Kimball) To the east.
Q. To the east, that's right. Yes. And this is the photosim of the Project as constructed to the rear of this property, correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And this is Page 8-7 -- 8-78, of Applicants' 2, Attachment 8. And you didn't consider this -I believe the testimony earlier was you didn't consider this because it was on a private property, despite the fact that it is plainly visible or would be plainly visible from a public road?
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A. (DeWan) This is not a scenic resource.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) It does not meet the criteria under the SEC rules.
Q. Because it has no scenic quality?
A. (Kimball) No. Because there is no public access.
Q. I want to back out for a second and touch on how you reached your ultimate conclusions. And I'm going to go back to the methodology here. And this is back to Applicants' 1, Appendix 17. And this is on Page $M-16$. And this is the portion of your report where you describe how you got to your ultimate conclusions, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And it says there that you determined overall effects at two levels. You did it at each subarea, and then the Project as a whole, right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you weren't required to use that approach. That's something that you created for this Project?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
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Q. Okay. And did you choose that approach?
A. (DeWan) We did.
Q. Was it in consultation with other experts or with counsel?
A. (DeWan) We did it as part of the development of our work product. It was reviewed by the whole team. And we all felt that, because that's the way we evaluated the overall Project, it would make sense to think about the conclusions based on a subarea basis leading up to an overall conclusion.
Q. Isn't this approach, though, going to dilute any negative impact you may find, to the extent that an ultimate decision of "no unreasonable adverse impact" is almost a given?
A. (DeWan) No.
Q. So, I know that you describe in here how you arrived at your opinion for the Project as a whole. But $I$ didn't see in there any sort of discussion of what factors you weighed -- what factors you considered and how you weighed those factors against one another?
A. (DeWan) There's no requirement in the SEC rules that we're aware of to weigh factors. We've
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provided you with our conclusions based upon those six areas -- six conclusions under "Subarea", 10.1.
Q. Well, let me ask it this way, Mr. DeWan. So, looking at 10.2, the "Project Level" process you followed to reach a conclusion, you say that there are -- there's four bullets there. Were all those bullets weighed the same when you were reaching your ultimate conclusions?
A. (Kimball) The conclusions are based on what was at the time the draft rules of 301.14 , the criteria that the $S E C$ is required to use when looking at unreasonable adverse effects.
Q. Right. And you've parroted some of that in writing this, correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm asking you, there's a number of different things you're considering which you describe here. And I'm asking you, how did you weigh those things in relation to one another? Did you put more emphasis on, I don't know, project visibility versus the number of scenic resources that were impacted? How did you do that?
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A. (DeWan) I think it's a holistic discussion. You know, we looked at all the factors, and we came to a conclusion based upon the evidence that we found during the course of doing our work.
Q. So that, if -- I guess another way to ask it is, if two resources both had an impact, you determine that both of them had a ranking that was a negative impact, were those properties -would they be -- would that impact be wiped away by an equal number of properties that didn't have any impact?
A. (DeWan) Again, we provided an overall
assessment, we've provided the SEC with an evaluation of the number of resources that we've looked at. We've ranked -- we've rated, rather, all of those impacts on a resource-by-resource basis, and we've also looked at a subarea-by-subarea basis.
A. (Kimball) And to answer your question, the number of resources that are not impacted was not considered in our conclusion. It was looking at the impacts, not the lack thereof.
Q. I want to finish talking about the Pemi River
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resource, and we spoke about this the other day. And I believe the testimony was that the four Pemi River crossings were all evaluated as a single resource. Did $I$ hear that right?
A. (DeWan) Well, the Pemi resource -- the Pemigewasset River was evaluated as a resource.
Q. And my question is, the four crossings, though, were not evaluated individually? The four Pemi River crossings, the impact on the river as a whole was how you evaluated the impact, is that correct?
A. (Kimball) Yes. There are other resources along the Pemi River, viewpoints, access points, that were evaluated as separate resources also.
Q. Okay. Okay. And thank you for clarifying that. But --
A. (DeWan) If you --
Q. Go ahead, Mr. DeWan.
A. (DeWan) I'm sorry. Just to supplement what Jess just said, on Page 4-4, it provides a summary of the Pemigewasset River. And it does show an evaluation of each of those four crossings.
Q. Okay. But I thought the testimony yesterday
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was that the four river crossings were all considered a single resource when you did your evaluation?
A. (DeWan) Well, the river is a single resource, right.
Q. Okay. And, so, I think you're agreeing with my question, that, yes, you did consider the four crossings altogether as part of a single resource? And, if not, please say. I'm asking you.
A. (DeWan) Yes. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) I think you're right, from my understanding what you're asking here.
Q. I may not be putting it very well. We're close to lunch. I'm almost done. So, just hand on for a couple more minutes.

So, you did all those four crossings as a single resource. But wouldn't you agree that each of those crossings is unique? I mean, they're at a different location. The structures at each of those crossings is a little bit different. The public use experience may be slightly different. The
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visual quality may be different. The impact on those things may be different.
A. (DeWan) Yes. And we describe that in our report.
Q. But, when doing your evaluation, though, you combined them in some manner for the resource as a whole?
A. (DeWan) Similar to the way we did any linear resource. You know, we looked at the component parts where we identified, and then made an evaluation about what those specific impacts would have on the resource as a whole.
Q. Okay. Are either of you aware that a "scenic river" designation extends beyond the water body, and is a quarter mile on either side of the bank?
A. (DeWan) I'm not sure what you mean by "scenic river designation".
Q. Well, there's a national and a state, I believe, "scenic river" designation, are you aware of that?
A. (Kimball) Is it the New Hampshire River Management Program?
Q. I believe that's correct, yes.
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A. (Kimball) Okay. It's not a "scenic river". It's just a designated river in New Hampshire.
A. (DeWan) And I don't believe it's similar -Maine has a Scenic Rivers Program. I don't believe New Hampshire has that.
Q. And, as part of that, are you aware that it extends beyond the water body to a quarter mile of the shore?
A. (DeWan) I guess I'm not sure just exactly what document you're referring to.
Q. Okay. I don't have that in front of me, I apologize.

So, I'm going to finish by just asking you about how you thought about private property throughout the corridor. And I know you stated that, you know, you were only interested in property where there was a legal right of access. And, so, for that reason, private property was excluded from qualifying as a scenic resource, right?
A. (DeWan) Those are the rules that we're following, yes.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of New Hampshire law regarding posting of land, and that, if land is
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not posted, the public has some limited right to use private property?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. That calls for a legal conclusion, and $I$ think it's a mischaracterization of the law.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Whitley. MR. WHITLEY: I'm just asking if he's aware of -- I'll rephrase the question.

BY MR. WHITLEY
Q. Are you aware of New Hampshire state law regarding posting on private property?
A. (DeWan) I am not well versed in New Hampshire law regarding posting.
Q. Okay. So, the law -- the New Hampshire law that talks about posting on private property was not part of your consideration in whether private property qualified as a scenic resource?
A. (DeWan) I believe that's a fair way of looking at what we did, yes.

MR. WHITLEY: Okay. Okay. That's
all I have. Thank you both.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We'll take our lunch break, and we'll return
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at 1:45.
(Lunch recess taken at 12:43
p.m. and concludes the Day 32

Morning Session. The hearing continues under separate cover in the transcript noted as Day 32 Afternoon Session ONLY.)

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Steven. E. Patnaude, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that $I$ am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Steven E. Patnaude, LCR Licensed Court Reporter
N.H. LCR No. 52
(RSA 310-A:173)
\{SEC 2015-06\} [Day 32/Morning Session ONLY] \{09-12-17\}


| 1 | 14400 [1] 90/19 | 200 [1] 115/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 [4] 39/24 123/16 | 14469 [1] 81/7 | 2015 [3] 123/1 |
| 124/1 145/4 | 14477 [1] 81/7 | 134/1 146/14 |
| 10 acres [1] 76/9 | 145 [2] 86/23 87/24 | 2015-06 [1] 1/7 |
| 10 feet [3] 71/23 | 14718 [1] 84/18 | 2016 [1] 156/5 |
| 71/24 80/3 | 14719 [1] 84/18 | 2017 [3] 1/3 69/2 |
| 10 miles [2] 39/4 | 15 [2] 6/22 110/4 | 69/11 |
| 40/2 | 15 feet [2] 77/3 | 22 [2] 42/4 42/5 |
| 10-mile [2] 39/2 | 80/3 | 23 [1] 2/21 |
| 39/10 | 150-mile [1] 105/3 | 236:70 [1] 21/3 |
| 10.1 [1] 159/3 | 151 [1] 3/14 | 238 [1] 2/20 |
| 10.2 [1] 159/5 | 16 [2] 2/15 157/12 | 238:24 [1] 20/22 |
| 101 [1] 2/7 | 17 [11] 1/6 46/20 | 24 [2] 3/4 93/14 |
| 102.45 [2] 3/12 | 81/8 81/9 84/17 | 251 [3] 2/14 5/22 |
| 112/12 | 89/17 90/20 90/21 | 12/16 |
| 109 [1] 3/7 | 123/1 153/18 | 252 [2] 2/15 16/18 |
| 10:18 [1] 63/18 | 157/11 | 253 [2] 2/17 18/19 |
| 10:35 [1] 63/20 | 18 [2] 2/17 84/19 | 254 [1] 22/21 |
| 11 [1] 123/16 | 18,933 [2] 66/1 | 256 [2] 3/4 24/22 |
| 110 feet [1] 75/22 | 66/11 | 257 [4] 3/6 40/16 |
| 110-foot [2] 79/12 | 1876 [1] 16/12 | 42/3 42/4 |
| 79/12 | 189 [1] 142/12 | 258 [3] 2/19 19/9 |
| 111 [1] 3/9 | 19 [2] 2/19 84/20 | 20/23 |
| 113 [1] 3/11 | 192 [1] 66/15 | 259 [1] 2/21 |
| 12 [3] 1/3 6/10 77/3 | 192 miles [1] 68/3 | 26 [2] 133/24 |
| 121 [1] 2/8 | 1:45 [1] 166/1 | 134/16 |
| 12:43 [1] 166/2 | 2 | 267 [2] 3/14 151/23 |
| 13 [2] 2/16 131/22 | 20 [1] 6/22 | 268 [2] 3/7 110/1 |
| 138 [1] 135/9 | 20 feet [2] 88/8 | 269 [2] 3/9 112/1 |
| 14 [2] 3/7 110/4 |  | 270 [2] 3/11 113/10 |
| 14346 [1] 89/17 |  | 29 [2] 111/2 111/8 |
| 14347 [1] 89/18 | $115 / 2$ | 2e [1] 135/9 |


| 3 | 6 | 140/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 miles [1] 39/13 | 6-26 [1] 134/16 | 80 [1] 6/9 |
| 30 [2] 36/10 69/22 | 6-4 [1] 147/13 | 800 [1] 115/19 |
| 30 feet [1] 88/21 | 6-42 [1] 147/13 | 81 [1] 3/7 |
| 301.05 [1] 3/12 | 6-43 [1] 150/11 | 8400 [2] 42/3 42/5 |
| 301.14 [1] 159/11 | 6-46 [1] 151/15 | 8431 [1] 3/6 |
| 302 [1] 73/2 | 6-5 [1] 153/20 | 9 |
| 31 [1] 111/2 | 6-6 [1] 153/24 | 90-degree [1] 93/7 |
| 310-A:173 [1] | 6.1 [7] 123/24 | 91 [20] 26/15 27/9 |
| 167/19 | 124/10 124/16 | 28/6 31/14 31/15 |
| 32 [4] 1/3 3/6 166/3 | 127/5 129/7 130/14 | 31/22 32/7 32/7 |
| 166/7 | 145/3 | 32/12 32/21 32/24 |
| 360 degrees [1] | 6.2 [1] 129/23 | 33/2 33/9 33/14 |
| 93/4 | 6.6 [1] 153/24 | 33/18 33/19 33/20 |
| 360-degree [1] | 62 [1] 135/16 | 33/21 33/22 34/4 |
| 92/24 | 67 [1] 135/17 | 92 [2] 110/3 110/5 |
| 4 | 69 [4] 91/3 91/9 | 960,000 [1] 115/8 |
| 4-4 [1] 161/20 | 91/18 93/12 | 9:01 [1] 1/3 |
| 40 [2] 3/6 146/16 | 7 | A |
| 400 miles [1] 6/8 | 7.1 [1] 123/17 | A-18 [1] 84/19 |
| 42 [1] 147/13 | 76 [1] 156/2 | A-19 [1] 84/20 |
| 43 [1] 150/11 | 78 [1] 156/18 | a.m [3] 1/3 63/18 |
| 46 [1] 151/15 | 79-A [1] 3/10 | 63/20 |
| 49 [1] 1/4 | 79-A:4 [2] 3/10 | A:173 [1] 167/19 |
| 5 | 112/2 | A:4 [2] 3/10 112/2 |
| 5 miles [3] 39/5 | 8 | ability [4] 103/12 |
| 39/13 40/5 | 8-7 [1] 156/18 | 118/9 118/12 167/8 |
| 5.2.1 [1] 46/15 | 8-76 [1] 156/2 | able [7] 4/23 11/15 |
| 52 [1] 167/18 | $\begin{aligned} & 8-78 \text { [1] 156/18 } \\ & 8.3 \text { [1] } 132 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | 14/17 20/14 30/17 <br> 71/3 154/23 <br> about [99] 5/18 6/7 |


| A | 134/12 137/23 | accessibility [2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| about... [97] 7/5 | 14 | 55/2 55/21 |
| 9/13 13/22 14/2 | 145/16 146/20 | accessible [15] 48/2 |
| 18/1 20/24 22/11 | 154/2 155/21 158/9 | 48/7 55/1 55/11 |
| 32/7 32/24 32/24 | 160/24 161/1 | 55/12 55/15 61/1 |
| 33/2 36/17 39/10 | 163/11 164/14 | 61/22 62/4 117/8 |
| 40/23 40/23 41/3 | 164/14 165/15 | 119/24 124/3 |
| 41/3 41/4 41/6 41/9 | above [9] 77/23 | 124/21 145/5 147/9 |
| 48/19 53/5 53/11 | 78/3 78/4 78/21 | accident [1] 138/22 |
| 53/12 53/14 55/5 | 79/13 79/19 80/4 | accompanying [1] |
| 55/10 55/20 55/23 | 135/1 151/1 | 96/18 |
| 57/10 61/15 61/18 | aboveground [5] <br> 25/13 98/15 99/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { account [2] 85/12 } \\ & 136 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 62/20 63/7 64/1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 25/13 98/15 99/13 } \\ 99 / 18 ~ 105 / 3 \end{array}$ | 136/24 <br> accurate [10] 15/15 |
| 65/12 65/21 67/7 $69 / 872 / 1873 / 1$ | absent [1] 38/23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { accurate [10] 15/15 } \\ & 17 / 220 / 726 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 69/8 72/18 73/1 $74 / 274 / 475 / 9$ | absolutely [9] 13/3 | 29/2 84/16 94/6 |
| $74 / 274 / 475 / 9$ $75 / 1275 / 15 ~ 75 / 17$ | a4/13 33/15 34/3 | 108/11 135/6 167/5 |
| 76/6 80/13 80/17 | 44/2 52/8 59/1 92/6 | accurately [2] |
| 82/4 82/6 82/6 | 126/18 | 127/17 139/19 |
| 83/16 88/1 94/9 | abundance [1] 68/9 | acknowledge [3] |
| 97/4 98/18 102/6 | abut [1] 30/23 | 122/3 147/16 |
| 102/13 103/14 | AC [2] 25/23 29/17 | 147/19 |
| 104/3 108/6 109/6 | academic [2] 64/23 | acknowledged [3] |
| 109/13 111/22 | 130/3 | 133/16 138/6 |
| 117/20 117/23 | access [18] 55/8 | 152/15 |
| 118/20 119/7 | 57/11 57/13 62/1 | acquired [2] 107/2 |
| 119/10 119/21 | 62/10 77/10 83/8 | 107/17 |
| 119/22 124/16 | 95/19 111/6 111/11 | acre [1] 140/14 |
| 127/6 127/19 | 112/19 117/17 | acres [1] 76/9 |
| 127/20 128/9 | 118/13 118/15 | across [1] 66/15 |
| 131/10 131/23 | 126/6 157/7 161/13 | Act [2] 122/18 |
| 132/5 133/10 | 164/18 | 122/19 |


| A | 144/23 145/5 | 78/8 156/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| action [3] 74/13 | adjustments [1] | Afternoon [1] 166/7 |
| 167/12 167/15 | Administrator [1] | again [28] 9/6 9/20 |
| activities [3] 95/10 117/18 118/8 | $\mathbf{1 / 2 2}$ | 10/7 24/15 28/14 |
| 117/18 118/8 | admit [1] 33/23 | $10 / 713 / 15 ~ 29 / 14$ 29/24 |
| $155 / 15$ | admitted [1] | 47/18 56/9 57/8 |
| actual [1] 96/10 | 118/24 | 57/11 63/7 64/11 |
| actually [14] 14/7 | adopt [1] 38/20 | 72/13 74/5 79/3 |
| 18/7 27/19 30/18 | adopted [1] 39/17 | 83/13 84/17 91/11 |
| 46/11 51/4 66/7 | adverse [13] 96/24 | 98/22 99/6 128/14 |
| 70/2 87/23 95/14 | 97/13 97/17 97/24 | 137/23 140/13 |
| 110/4 122/18 | 98/4 99/1 99/4 | 141/19 155/12 |
| 143/18 150/4 | 99/14 99/19 104/2 | 160/13 |
| add [4] 34/12 103/4 | 105/10 158/15 | against [1] 158/22 |
| 103/8 153/3 | 159/13 | agency [1] 47/11 |
| adding [1] 31/11 | advertising [4] | agree [23] 16/24 |
| addition [1] 131/4 | 20/22 21/1 21/2 | 17/8 17/17 21/14 |
| additional [3] | 21/24 | 42/23 43/4 51/23 |
| 67/16 103/6 122/1 | aesthetic [1] 8/16 | 52/11 94/23 114/17 |
| address [3] 49/10 | aesthetics [9] 3/13 | 115/15 116/3 116/9 |
| 53/19 116/14 | 4/4 53/8 53/11 | 117/2 117/5 127/9 |
| addressed [3] 69/2 | 53/15 55/6 97/14 | 127/16 134/4 |
| 69/6 78/10 | 97/18 99/1 | 139/16 149/14 |
| adept [1] 116/13 | Affairs [1] 1/16 | 152/12 152/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { adequate }[2] 67 / 2 \\ & 67 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{affect}[4] 8 / 18 / 8 \\ & \mathbf{4 3 / 1 2 5 6 / 1 4} \end{aligned}$ | 162/19 <br> agreed [1] 134/3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { adequately [1] } \\ & \mathbf{9 8 / 1 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { affected [2] 6/9 } \\ & 102 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | agreeing [1] 162/6 <br> agreement [2] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { adhere [3] 125/21 } \\ & 127 / 5127 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | affirmative [2] 75/8 114/8 after [4] 2/23 15/5 | 34/18 154/24 <br> Ah [1] 28/11 <br> ahead [3] 41/15 |


| A | 165/23 | 86/6 87/17 90/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ahead... [2] 92/15 | allocate [1] 35/5 | 91/5 94/14 95/7 |
| 161/18 | allow [3] 103/22 | 99/2 107/1 107/22 |
| alignment [1] 72/22 | 116/5 117/19 | 112/11 113/9 |
| all [75] 7/22 11/24 | allowances [1] | 117/15 117/15 |
| 15/7 22/20 23/11 | 77/10 | 117/19 124/6 131/5 |
| 23/13 23/14 23/17 | almost [4] 72/6 | 136/23 144/13 |
| 30/19 30/20 36/1 | 136/18 158/15 | 147/19 150/5 152/1 |
| 42/22 42/23 43/9 | 162/16 | 160/18 161/14 |
| 43/13 46/5 51/20 | alone [1] 26/23 | also you've [1] |
| 53/5 53/22 55/4 | along [21] 20/4 | 79/11 |
| 55/5 57/5 61/16 | 29/13 33/24 34/4 | alter [1] 145/24 |
| 63/14 66/2 66/6 | 64/4 64/13 77/22 | Alternate [1] 1/17 |
| 68/15 77/15 78/23 | 78/4 78/4 78/9 | Alternative [1] 3/4 |
| 79/9 79/10 82/4 | 89/10 95/11 95/19 | alternatives [2] |
| 82/8 84/13 87/11 | 97/6 105/2 108/6 | 11/2 75/5 |
| 95/12 96/9 96/15 | 119/20 119/23 | altogether [2] |
| 100/8 102/18 | 120/20 148/17 | 77/14 162/8 |
| 102/23 104/23 | 161/12 | always [5] 8/15 |
| 105/1 105/17 107/2 | already [8] 8/12 | 11/19 11/19 31/11 |
| 107/18 110/1 111/9 | 18/8 58/17 58/18 | 79/22 |
| 115/14 117/1 120/6 | 75/8 101/16 133/10 | am [9] 16/3 45/7 |
| 122/7 124/12 | 142/12 | 107/12 111/24 |
| 124/14 126/9 128/8 | also [49] 1/19 7/7 | 118/22 165/12 |
| 130/10 130/13 | 10/3 10/19 18/10 | 167/10 167/12 |
| 133/10 135/24 | 20/13 22/6 25/17 | 167/14 |
| 136/8 136/18 | 26/7 34/12 35/19 | AMC [3] 17/1 17/6 |
| 141/11 147/24 | 38/9 38/13 42/10 | 65/12 |
| 152/17 153/14 | 49/21 56/2 64/18 | AMC's [3] 16/2 |
| 158/7 159/8 160/2 | 64/19 64/21 65/16 | 16/22 65/12 |
| 160/17 161/3 162/1 | 66/24 68/11 71/7 | amended [1] 40/1 |
| 162/18 165/22 | 79/11 85/13 86/2 | America's [1] |


| A | 35/8 43/12 45/21 | 147/21 148/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| America's... [1] | 46/17 51/13 51/22 | 151/19 15 |
| 16/15 | 71/17 75/5 79/13 | appearance [1] |
| amount [6] 9/15 | 79/15 80/1 86/5 | 72/11 |
| 79/6 87/16 87/18 | 97/4 97/5 99/4 99/8 | Appearances [1] |
| 114/22 124/7 | 103/1 103/12 | 1/23 |
| analysis [10] 39/14 | 103/24 108/5 | appeared [1] 112/8 |
| 54/15 57/6 85/1 | 109/16 111/13 | appears [3] 31/24 |
| 101/20 126/16 | 119/20 119/22 | 32/3 135/21 |
| 136/13 149/11 | 128/5 141/11 145/8 | Appendix [10] |
| 155/7 155/8 | 152/22 153/6 155/6 | 46/20 81/8 81/9 |
| analyze [1] 8/9 | 155/8 158/13 | 84/17 89/17 90/20 |
| analyzing [1] 70/9 | 158/19 160/12 | 90/21 123/1 153/1 |
| another [9] 6/22 | 163/8 167/11 | 157/11 |
| 10/6 11/7 31/3 | 167/13 | Apple [1] 109/21 |
| 119/9 146/7 158/22 | anybody [2] 37/1 | Applicant [16] |
| 159/20 160/6 | 74/14 | 10/14 38/18 46/18 |
| answer [10] 45/7 | anymore [2] 138/24 | 46/20 67/12 69/20 |
| 54/11 70/21 71/11 | 149/16 | 70/20 103/4 103/8 |
| 111/4 122/21 | anything [7] 18/12 | 103/24 145/15 |
| 128/10 128/16 | 35/9 46/6 66/19 | 145/20 146/3 146/6 |
| 142/15 160/20 | 79/21 80/18 104/3 | 154/4 155/4 |
| answered [2] | anywhere [1] 45/12 | Applicants [4] |
| 122/20 140/17 | apologies [1] | 102/17 102/22 |
| anticipate [1] 54/13 | 123/22 | 103/11 156/4 |
| anticipation [1] | apologize [3] | Applicants' [13] |
| 50/14 | 101/17 142/22 | 60/5 81/6 84/18 |
| Antrim [1] 49/5 | 164/12 | 86/15 89/17 90/19 |
| any [47] 12/23 | Appalachian [4] | 110/3 110/4 122/24 |
| 15/20 17/14 21/4 | 2/16 15/23 17/15 | 153/18 156/2 |
| 23/24 24/3 31/20 | 17/16 | 156/18 157/11 |
| 32/20 33/12 34/6 | apparent [4] | application [3] 1/8 |

application... [2] 40/1 57/3
applications [1] 49/4
applied [3] 10/6 36/15 118/23
applies [1] 42/12 apply [2] 10/18 41/1
appreciably [1] 88/18
appreciates [2] 5/2 100/16
appreciation [1] 129/2
approach [8] 50/11 66/18 66/21 79/9
138/13 157/22
158/1 158/12
approaches [1]
9/16
appropriate [1]
22/6
appropriately [1]
136/24
approval [1] 103/9 approved [3] 13/20 146/3 146/4
approximation [1] 113/13
April [1] 69/2

APVI[3] 40/2 61/2 6 61/13 64/8 67/5 86/1
arbiters [2] 38/6 38/7
architect [2] 10/10 121/6
are [167] 7/7 7/10
8/9 8/15 8/18 9/4
9/11 10/5 11/18
11/20 13/1 13/1
13/9 14/7 14/11
15/13 15/23 16/1
16/2 18/24 20/18
20/18 22/9 22/19
23/4 23/16 23/16
26/19 28/16 28/17
28/17 28/20 29/2
29/3 29/13 30/22
31/7 31/24 32/21
32/23 32/24 33/13
35/17 37/15 37/19
38/5 38/7 38/13
38/16 42/8 42/10
42/13 43/19 44/10
44/18 45/2 45/4
45/9 45/10 47/14 47/17 47/20 48/11 50/6 50/8 51/17
53/21 54/7 54/12
55/14 57/21 57/24
58/3 59/19 60/18
60/18 61/10 61/12

67/7 70/3 70/24
70/24 72/24 73/3
73/15 74/24 76/24
77/23 78/2 79/16
82/1 82/3 82/15
82/21 84/19 86/15
87/20 91/24 93/8
94/8 94/9 95/11
96/1 97/8 97/10
99/8 99/11 99/12
99/13 100/9 101/5
102/6 103/13 106/3
106/5 106/11 107/4
108/16 111/19
112/5 112/11
113/16 114/1
116/10 117/16
118/19 120/8
121/13 122/15
123/20 124/2
124/15 127/1 128/9
128/22 128/23
129/16 129/24
135/24 136/3
136/12 142/9
142/14 144/1 147/6
147/15 148/12
148/14 148/19
152/7 152/22 153/2
153/17 155/1 159/7
159/10 160/21

| A | 148/23 | 54/4 54/8 56/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| are... [7] 161/12 | arguably [1 | 57/16 57/17 59/8 |
| 163/13 163/20 | 139/1 | 63/3 |
| 164/6 164/21 | arguing [1] 119/12 | 63/10 63/10 64/2 |
| 164/23 165/10 | argument [3] | 64/6 64/18 65/23 |
| area [32] 31/22 | 118/19 119/5 119/6 | 67/11 68/23 68/23 |
| 48/5 50/15 85/5 | arise [1] 75/1 | 71/8 71/18 72/12 |
| 85/24 87/18 116/4 | around [8] 16/11 | 73/10 73/21 74/19 |
| 116/11 116/12 | 39/20 64/22 101/18 | 77/18 79/22 81/1 |
| 117/22 122/8 128/3 | 109/9 109/15 | 81/11 82/17 84/5 |
| 128/5 128/6 129/13 | 130/21 146/8 | 92/2 93/13 93/16 |
| 130/20 131/8 | arrive [2] 37/2 | 97/12 99/20 101/17 |
| 131/12 131/16 | 44/15 | 101/21 102/2 102/9 |
| 131/19 132/24 | arrived [3] 37/11 | 102/10 102/19 |
| 137/24 140/14 | 84/5 158/18 | 102/20 107/13 |
| 140/14 141/20 | arrives [1] 96/3 | 107/17 109/18 |
| 141/22 142/7 | as [155] 1/13 5/15 | 110/1 110/9 110/19 |
| 145/17 146/2 146/7 | 5/19 5/23 8/11 8/16 | 111/17 112/1 113/4 |
| 152/20 153/2 | 10/14 10/24 10/24 | 113/10 116/14 |
| area-wide [1] 128/5 | 11/9 13/12 17/19 | 116/18 118/1 121/5 |
| areas [12] 39/23 | 18/5 18/8 20/17 | 121/14 122/3 122/5 |
| 111/9 111/10 | 20/18 21/3 21/5 | 122/14 123/5 |
| 112/20 127/21 | 21/9 21/19 21/23 | 124/13 124/20 |
| 129/18 132/21 | 22/17 23/2 23/21 | 125/5 125/7 125/16 |
| 136/21 137/10 | 24/5 24/11 25/10 | 126/14 126/14 |
| 147/1 154/14 159/2 | 25/19 29/23 34/20 | 134/7 134/14 |
| aren't [17] 7/8 | 35/1 36/9 36/15 | 137/18 137/24 |
| 18/13 32/20 33/12 | 36/16 37/9 37/12 | 138/8 138/10 |
| 35/4 37/13 38/9 | 38/4 42/12 44/4 | 138/13 139/18 |
| 43/16 45/12 45/13 | 44/6 44/17 45/1 | 140/11 140/20 |
| 51/9 63/4 63/5 | 47/15 48/21 48/21 | 142/20 144/11 |
| 79/16 82/2 83/13 | 51/9 53/1 53/18 | 145/9 145/22 149/1 |


| A | 165/7 | 17/5 24/22 26/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| as... [24] 149/2 | aspects [1] 7/15 | 26/17 32/18 55 |
| 149/14 150/15 | assess [1] 126/8 | 55/19 68/12 70/12 |
| 151/23 154/16 | assessed [3] 52/23 | 71/4 81/18 97/1 |
| 154/18 154/22 | 84/1 115/23 | 97/3 97/5 97/22 |
| 155/12 156/15 | assessment [45] 5/5 | 98/2 98/3 98/5 |
| 157/18 158/5 | 6/17 7/18 14/22 | 99/17 103/20 |
| 158/18 161/3 161/6 | 18/6 22/6 38/21 | 113/11 113/15 |
| 161/9 161/14 162/8 | 39/3 39/12 41/2 | 113/17 114/4 115/1 |
| 162/18 163/7 | 54/2 54/9 57/22 | 125/20 138/2 |
| 163/12 164/6 | 64/3 68/15 68/22 | assumed [2] 67/23 |
| 164/19 165/17 | 69/24 71/14 75/3 | 68/5 |
| 166/6 | 75/13 84/19 87/9 | assuming [7] 28/14 |
| Ashland [1] 120/23 | 94/10 94/11 95/8 | 29/1 55/7 68/2 |
| aside [3] 34/11 55/2 | 99/11 102/1 115/3 | 73/24 113/16 127/4 |
| 57/13 | 115/5 115/20 | assumption [10] |
| ask [15] 5/15 24/17 | 116/15 116/20 | 38/12 46/3 70/14 |
| 33/8 96/19 97/20 | 116/24 119/24 | 70/16 96/22 99/21 |
| 101/14 108/6 | 122/8 122/13 | 99/22 127/7 127/15 |
| 113/10 118/10 | 131/24 132/4 | 128/15 |
| 119/9 119/20 129/3 | 133/15 139/1 139/7 | assumptions [4] |
| 129/4 159/4 160/6 | 147/12 153/12 | 70/1 70/8 70/18 |
| asked [15] 8/9 8/22 | 154/1 160/14 | 70/19 |
| 9/21 11/13 29/18 | assessments [3] | assured [1] 66/19 <br> Atlantic [1] 16/16 |
| 32/5 56/5 66/3 75/5 | 101/23 103/18 111/16 | attached [1] 121/18 |
| 97/15 97/21 99/17 <br> 99/20 134/3 139/5 | assigned [1] 36/7 | Attachment [2] |
| asking [12] 55/23 | assigning [1] 37/15 | 156/3 156/19 |
| 98/2 98/3 98/6 | assist [1] 142/17 | attempting [1] |
| 99/22 100/1 159/17 | associated [1] | 118/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 159/19 162/9 } \\ & 162 / 14164 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152 / 17 \\ & \text { assume [28] 6/13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attention [3] 135/6 } \\ & \text { 137/7 146/17 } \end{aligned}$ |


| A | 135/22 143/2 | 123/6 142/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attorney [4] 112/14 | 144/20 145/1 145/3 | BCM [1] 4/15 |
| 138/19 167/10 | 146/13 148/13 | be [180] |
| 167/13 | 149/16 157/8 | bear [4] 38/2 96/20 |
| attributes [1] 20/4 | 157/10 157/11 | 131/2 147/13 |
| August [2] 69/9 | background [5] | beautiful [2] 51/16 |
| 69/11 | 56/12 79/7 88/20 | 147/11 |
| available [4] 15/16 | 89/3 121/2 | beauty [1] 20/5 |
| 15/17 70/20 71/12 | Bailey [1] 1/14 | because [41] 6/13 |
| avenues [1] 9/16 | balancing [1] 11/5 | 11/1 29/8 37/7 39/5 |
| average [2] 52/17 | Bangor [2] 7/1 8/21 | 40/7 43/8 44/4 55/5 |
| 139/23 | bank [1] 163/16 | 57/4 58/11 58/22 |
| avoid [3] 8/24 | barely [1] 72/12 | 59/8 61/21 62/9 |
| 10/20 98/14 | baseball [1] 44/1 | 64/10 70/19 72/20 |
| aware [13] 16/2 | based [28] 16/24 | 79/17 85/22 85/23 |
| 19/6 72/24 73/3 | 28/24 36/10 40/11 | 93/2 97/7 109/5 |
| 122/11 147/6 | 69/24 70/4 84/24 | 118/7 119/24 120/2 |
| 158/24 163/13 | 86/2 86/3 86/4 87/9 | 121/23 122/10 |
| 163/21 164/6 | 99/13 101/24 102/1 | 123/5 130/3 132/23 |
| 164/23 165/8 | 102/2 102/4 106/3 | 134/13 140/8 |
| 165/10 | 116/15 122/12 | 143/20 152/10 |
| away [3] 104/24 | 125/15 129/1 129/1 | 154/9 156/21 157/5 |
| 105/3 160/11 | 139/16 149/11 | 157/6 158/7 |
| B | 158/9 159/1 159/10 | become [1] 89/4 |
| B | 160/3 | becomes [1] 139/12 |
| back [29] 4/22 27/2 | baseline [1] 99/21 | been [33] 6/6 8/13 |
| 31/13 40/1 49/19 | basically [1] 124/8 | 8/20 9/7 9/21 16/11 |
| 73/17 89/20 90/5 | basis [6] 97/19 | 18/14 21/5 23/1 |
| 91/8 91/18 95/1 | 97/21 119/2 158/10 | 47/10 59/8 59/17 |
| 104/18 109/9 111/2 | 160/18 160/19 | 67/19 69/5 73/18 |
| 112/11 126/10 | Bates [6] 93/13 | 74/19 75/3 75/4 |
| 127/3 130/11 | 93/16 93/19 93/19 | 76/4 78/12 83/7 |


| B | 88/15 90/1 91/ | bit [22] 4/24 19/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| been... [12] 83/24 | 94/20 102/21 | 23/9 23/14 |
| 91/15 96/7 110/14 | 105/14 106/14 | 43/7 54/14 7 |
| 122/1 122/8 130/9 | 112/7 133/21 | /15 89/21 90 |
| 142/13 146/3 146/4 | 137/16 138/5 | 90/14 90/15 101/ |
| 149/10 151/22 | 141/15 142/19 | 115/24 131/10 |
| before [18] 12/7 | 143/9 143/16 | 133/11 133/13 |
| 15/5 39/17 43/6 | 144/16 144/21 | 134/14 134/18 |
| 43/6 44/5 49/5 72/3 | 145/24 147/18 | 138/20 162/23 |
| 73/20 78/8 109/19 | 156/20 161/2 | Black [1] 121/19 |
| 112/9 121/9 122/6 | 163/20 163/24 | BLM [4] 43/14 4 |
| 122/17 125/17 | 164/3 164/5 165/19 | 44/19 45/8 |
| 141/21 144/11 | berm [4] 76/21 77/4 | block [4] 134/21 |
| before-and-after [1] | 77/7 79/15 | 135/24 136/8 136/9 |
| 7 | berry [1] 118/ | blocked [1] 138/9 |
| beginning [1] 12/12 | berry-picking [1] | blocking [2] 144/2 |
| begins [1] 85/2 | 118/4 | 149/20 |
| behalf [1] 135/15 | best [3] 69/13 71/12 | blow [1] 134/17 |
| behind [4] 22/15 | 167/7 | board [3] 76/11 |
| 41/11 69/16 154/17 | Beth [1] 4/15 | 112/3 112/4 |
| being [11] 14/8 | Bethlehem [1] 73/3 | boat [3] 93/6 94/18 |
| 436/1 59/8 | better [3] 14/20 | 118/4 |
| /16 72/12 102/23 | 27/23 66/18 | boater [1] 92/20 |
| 6/10 118/13 | between [7] 7/8 | body [2] 163/15 |
| /8 144/22 | 10/4 11/5 76/21 | 164/7 |
| believe [45] 6 | 88/18 92/4 138/16 | Boepple [4] 2/6 4/4 |
| 15/13 29/16 34/22 | beyond [4] 103/5 | 4/15 63/21 |
| 37/4 43/21 44/24 | 107/9 163/14 164/7 | bold [1] 132/9 |
| 46/19 48/13 60/7 | $\operatorname{Big}[3]$ 90/10 91/1 | borderline [1] |
| 64/10 65/1 65/20 | 9 | 29/11 |
| 68 | binders [2] 69/15 | [1] 86/6 |
| 78/7 80/24 84/21 |  | both [12] 31/15 |

both... [11] 31/15 35/24 68/7 85/12 94/13 105/14 148/12 148/14 160/7 160/8 165/22 bottom [7] 36/20 48/1 111/1 113/17 115/19 132/17 156/9
boundary [3] 144/5 144/17 144/18 box [1] 54/23
Boyle [7] 65/19
65/21 65/22 78/7
135/8 135/23
137/19
Boyle's [1] 78/1 break [9] 49/18 53/16 53/22 63/15 63/17 78/16 119/13 120/3 165/24 breaking [2] 48/24 50/6
Brennan [1] 1/21 Brief [2] 120/13 146/10
bring [6] 7/7 38/1 109/24 111/21 112/16 118/4
bringing [1] 113/7 Bristol [1] 101/10
broader [1] 60/20 broke [1] 49/13 broken [5] 52/4 78/15 129/11 129/24 132/3
Brook [1] 131/2 brought [1] 145/20 buffer [1] 154/18 build [3] 117/21 133/19 134/6 building [2] 135/11 135/19
buildings [1] 78/3 built [2] 30/22 31/8 bullet [6] 48/7
132/17 137/10
137/17 146/19

## 149/6

bullets [5] 147/15
147/16 154/5 159/7 159/8
burden [2] 10/14 38/19
Bureau [15] 41/4 41/19 42/2 42/7 42/13 42/24 43/8 43/17 44/22 45/5 45/13 45/15 45/16 46/6 46/7
burial [3] 98/23 98/24 99/9
buried [3] 99/5

99/12 99/18
burned [1] 104/10
bury [2] 98/20 99/23
Business [1] 1/15 byway [11] 18/16 21/6 24/9 50/13
52/2 86/22 87/14 87/15 89/10 89/11 128/1
byways [37] 2/18 2/22 18/10 18/13 19/23 20/2 20/4 20/14 20/19 21/2 21/17 22/4 22/4 22/8 23/3 24/3 24/8 27/3 27/8 28/6 28/17 31/20 31/21 31/23 32/4 32/18 32/20 33/6 33/13 33/13 34/1 51/21 58/16 86/22 106/6 108/2 109/10

## C

call [6] 8/5 44/24 58/23 72/13 108/5 152/8
called [4] 22/1
22/13 76/24 77/1
calls [1] 165/4
came [4] 23/3 65/24 129/6 160/3


| C | chose [6] 81/1 | clearing [8] 67/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chair... [2] 63/23 | 81/11 81/23 82/13 | 67/20 67/23 68/5 |
| 120/11 | 83/1 90/23 | 70/10 70/23 152/17 |
| change [11] 71/8 | chosen [2] 124/2 | 154/19 |
| 71/21 72/11 73/11 | 127/16 | clearly [2] 28/5 |
| 73/11 73/13 73/14 | Christine [1] 101/4 | 152/3 |
| 74/11 88/10 88/17 | Christopher [1] | climbed [1] 83/4 |
| 114/23 | 1/15 | close [2] 142/8 162/15 |
| changed [2] 68/21 | Chrmn [1] 1/13 <br> church [7] 135/2 | 162/15 <br> closer [4] 89/7 89/8 |
| 69/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { church [7] 135/ } \\ & \mathbf{1 3 5 / 1 0} 135 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { closer [4] 89/7 89/8 } \\ & 90 / 15151 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 69/8 71/10 71/18 | 138/4 138/12 | Club [3] 2/16 15/24 |
| 71/20 72/8 72/10 | 138/14 138/17 | 17/15 |
| 72/18 73/15 73/23 | cities [1] 119/20 | Cmsr [1] 1/14 |
| 74/3 74/4 126/7 | clarification [1] | collected [1] 102/2 |
| 132/19 133/6 | 129/21 | collecting [1] 118/3 |
| 140/10 | clarify [1] 85/19 | collection [1] 156/7 |
| changing [1] 98/15 | clarifying [1] | color [5] 25/9 25/11 |
| Chapter [2] 2/20 | $161 / 15$ | 26/2 44/17 78/17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 9 \\ & \text { character [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clarksville [1] } \\ & 86 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { colored [2] 85/3 } \\ & 85 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 133/20 134/7 | classified [3] 47/15 | colors [3] 23/17 |
| characterization [2] | 130/3 130/4 | 23/19 25/12 |
| 11/22 108/12 | classify [1] 72/12 | column [3] 137/9 |
| characterize [2] | classifying [1] | 149/5 154/6 |
| 52/14 92/16 | 133/18 <br> Clean [1] 26/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { combination [1] } \\ & 87 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| charge [1] 53/16 | clear [4] 23/15 68/2 | combined [2] 86 |
| chart [2] 44/5 49/4 <br> check [3] 114/18 | 71/5 106/2 | 163/6 |
| 115/15 115/17 | clear-cut [1] 68/2 | come [8] 9/17 10/8 |
| choose [3] 81/14 | cleared [3] 70/13 | 12/21 24/11 43/6 |
| 83/14 158/1 | 71/2 102/23 | 45/23 74/6 121/3 |


| C | 32/2 | concerns [1] 78/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| comes [6] 9/2 36/3 | compartmentalized | concluded [1] |
| 57/12 58/9 111/24 | [2] 51/24 59/7 | 119/3 |
| 113/7 | compartmentalizin | concludes [1] 166/3 |
| comfortable [1] | g [2] 49/7 64/2 | conclusion [9] 84/5 |
| 153/3 | compilation [1] | 107/15 115/18 |
| coming [4] 32/16 | 91/14 | 142/1 158/11 159 |
| 33/1 95/22 100/20 | compiled [1] 65/2 | 160/3 160/22 165/4 |
| Comm [2] 1/13 | compiling [1] 65/4 | conclusions [8] |
| 1/14 | complete [2] 52/1 | 37/3 157/9 157/14 |
| commission [1] | 67/3 | 158/9 159/1 159/2 |
| 108/1 | completely [3] 39/7 | 159/9 159/10 |
| COMMITTEE [9] | 62/11 135/5 | Concord [3] 1/4 |
| 1/2 1/12 53/16 | comply [1] 133/1 | 39/21 39/22 |
| 112/12 126/19 | component [7] 48/9 | condition [1] |
| 136/14 141/16 | 60/3 95/7 126/17 | 104/18 |
| 142/13 151/24 | 126/20 136/13 | conditions [6] |
| common [4] 44/18 | 163/9 | 102/4 135/2 136/1 |
| 51/17 77/8 117/6 | components [8] | 143/3 152/2 167/8 |
| communities [4] | 25/20 49/7 49/10 | conduct [1] 6/16 |
| 6/9 106/24 107/19 | 49/21 50/5 77/16 | conducted [3] |
| 109/9 | 132/4 136/15 | 119/3 150/13 |
| community [7] | comprehensive [1] | 150/14 |
| 108/13 108/23 | 48/21 | conducting [1] 87/9 |
| 135/10 135/18 | computer [8] 24/20 | confirmed [1] |
| 142/8 142/22 143/4 | 39/14 84/24 86/3 | 150/20 |
| Company [2] 1/9 | 86/4 101/24 126/11 | confused [1] 32/23 |
| 7/1 | 126/12 | connect [1] 9/3 |
| compare [2] 29/18 | computer-based [2] | Connecticut [3] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29/21 } \\ & \text { comparing [1] 31/2 } \end{aligned}$ | 84/24 101/24 concern [1] 34/17 concerned [1] 76/6 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 1386 / 2287 / 15 \\ & \text { Connor [2] 55/10 } \\ & 112 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |


| C | consistent [1] 141/6 | 78/22 147/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conservation [2] | Consisting [1] 3/11 | 152/15 |
| 16/5 17/11 | constraints [2] 69/4 | control [4] 43/14 |
| conservative [1] | 69/5 | 103/1 103/24 |
| 70/15 | construct [1] 70/2 | 154/11 |
| consider [21] 6/1 | constructed [2] | conversation [2] |
| 6/14 17/24 23/21 | 152/14 156/15 | 140/2 145/15 |
| 36/14 49/16 51/18 | construction [12] | Conversion [1] |
| 54/3 54/5 56/6 62/6 | 13/23 14/5 14/8 | 31/18 |
| 67/16 68/11 79/4 | 14/10 14/12 14/14 | convey [1] 96/1 |
| 118/10 123/13 | 14/18 14/21 14/24 | copies [1] 27/16 |
| 140/10 140/19 | 71/1 71/17 71/20 | corn [2] 54/7 56/20 |
| 156/19 156/21 | consult [6] 15/20 | cornfield [1] 56/12 |
| 162/7 | 17/5 18/7 60/5 | correct [172] |
| considerable [2] | 65/13 65/15 | correctly [3] 21/7 |
| 9/15 147/18 | consultant's [1] | 107/12 127/16 |
| consideration [9] | 60/14 | corridor [16] 31/6 |
| 14/23 20/17 22/15 | consultants [2] | 68/12 78/12 85/2 |
| 22/17 71/9 133/3 | 107/2 116/14 | 85/13 85/16 102/7 |
| 139/3 142/4 165/16 | consultation [2] | 103/3 105/3 108/2 |
| considerations [1] | 125/16 158/3 | 144/13 150/3 150/4 |
| 31/1 | consulted [1] 19/12 | 154/16 156/10 |
| considered [9] | context [1] 67/9 | 164/15 |
| 20/13 34/15 44/21 | Continuation [1] | corridors [2] |
| 102/19 111/10 | 4/8 | 150/22 153/1 |
| 146/6 158/21 | continue [2] 63/22 | could [51] 8/8 8/18 |
| 160/22 162/2 | 74/23 | 8/19 9/14 11/5 11/6 |
| considering [5] | continues [2] 29/11 | 16/17 18/18 19/8 |
| 10/4 55/6 113/3 | 166/5 | 21/19 24/18 27/10 |
| 117/7 159/18 | continuity [1] | 31/8 32/9 33/23 |
| consistency [1] | 78/19 | 37/2 40/15 46/22 |
| 127/21 | contrast [4] 3/6 | 47/4 52/14 59/5 |

could... [30] 59/12 61/16 71/8 72/14 73/16 75/18 75/23 79/2 80/15 83/18 83/19 83/21 86/12 88/9 89/19 94/17
94/23 99/23 100/2 101/8 103/8 105/7
113/21 119/4 122/4 128/3 128/4 128/5
132/13 155/15 couldn't [2] 73/10 132/14
counsel [10] 1/20
1/20 62/13 65/17 69/16 84/3 135/8
158/4 167/11
167/14
couple [9] 26/17 39/8 69/1 80/13 93/22 124/2 142/21 150/3 162/17 course [17] 10/24 11/11 14/4 34/16 35/8 43/14 51/4 51/11 55/18 60/4 61/21 64/20 64/21 67/4 71/19 131/5 160/4
COURT [3] 1/24 167/4 167/18
cover [2] 100/23 166/5
covered [1] 133/12 covering [1] 76/9 cows [2] 50/22 51/8
Craig [1] 1/15 create [6] 35/6
79/15 91/16 96/23
145/12 147/20
created [8] 38/11
44/10 61/10 116/19
135/7 141/2 150/17
157/22
creating [1] 35/21
creation [1] 122/18 credibility [1] 122/5
criteria [15] 39/23
57/6 94/4 125/22
126/14 127/5 127/9
127/13 129/6
130/14 140/4
140/10 149/4 157/3 159/12
crop [4] 54/3 54/6 54/8 56/6
cropland [5] 53/23
54/3 56/6 56/10 61/16
cropped [1] 92/1 crops [1] 56/10
cross [7] 2/6 2/7 2/8

2/23 4/9 21/20

## 101/16

cross-examination
[5] 2/6 2/7 2/8 4/9 21/20
crosses [2] 29/10 156/12
crossing [1] 24/4 crossings [9] 161/3 161/7 161/9 161/23 162/1 162/8 162/18 162/20 162/22
crude [1] 113/12
cultural [22] 2/18 19/24 20/3 20/5
21/1 21/6 21/17
22/4 22/8 46/4
46/12 47/4 47/7
47/9 47/16 47/20
47/22 48/5 48/6 49/20 53/18 57/17
cultural value [1] 49/20
culturally [1] 109/4
Cumberland [3]
76/3 76/5 77/9
current [20] 3/10 24/9 30/2 36/15
41/9 41/17 47/13
85/2 111/22 112/4
115/3 116/4 117/6
117/16 118/5

| C | 71/24 72/8 72/13 | 139/20 140/8 144/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| current... [5] | 72/15 73/11 73/22 | 144/10 144/14 |
| 118/20 122/16 | 73/24 74/2 74/12 | 145/17 146/8 |
| 143/3 151/1 152/1 | 135/9 | 150/21 |
| currently [2] 144/3 | DE-2e [1] 135/9 | define [5] 47/16 |
| 151/12 | deal [2] 73/22 | 63/13 117/17 |
| cut [3] 68/2 78/12 | 108/22 | 117/23 124/9 |
| 104/10 | dealing [1] 71/12 | defined [7] 21/3 |
| cutting [1] 103/2 | deals [1] 74/18 | 38/17 46/12 49/17 |
| CV [3] 121/17 | dealt [3] 72/5 72/7 | 62/24 118/8 123/21 |
| 121/21 122/10 | 74/7 | defines [2] 60/10 |
| D | December [1] | 67/5 |
| d/b/a [1] 1/9 | decide [1] 83/14 | definition [12] |
| Dandeneau [1] | decided [9] 39/1 | 38/15 38/24 48/20 |
| 1/17 | 39/4 39/5 52/5 | 59/21 59/24 60/15 |
| danger [1] 77/3 | 67/20 68/10 76/19 | 60/21 60/22 62/18 |
| data [8] 64/11 | 87/8 116/19 | 113/1 144/21 145/2 |
| 65/10 65/12 86/3 | deciding [1] 138/23 | degree [2] 92/24 |
| 109/18 125/17 | decision [6] 38/8 | 93/7 |
| 142/13 142/14 | 57/12 74/22 83/15 | degrees [1] 93/4 |
| databases [1] 66/23 | 96/2 158/14 | denial [1] 122/12 |
| dataset [2] 68/19 | decision-makers [1] | Department [3] |
| 102/1 | 38/8 | 42/6 74/9 120/22 |
| date [1] 167/7 | decisions [2] 9/4 | depend [1] 54/11 |
| Dawn [3] 86/13 | 70/24 | depended [1] 107/6 |
| 89/20 109/21 | deem [1] 109/3 | depending [4] 89/5 |
| day [5] 1/3 93/4 | Deerfield [18] 45/3 | 93/2 93/3 130/4 |
| 161/2 166/3 166/7 | 107/7 120/21 131/9 | depends [8] 54/10 |
| days [4] 39/8 69/23 | 132/24 133/8 | 56/9 79/20 93/8 |
| 80/8 119/2 | 133/16 133/17 | 104/16 104/19 |
| de [12] 71/20 71/21 | 133/23 137/3 | 106/6 149/19 |


| D | designation [5] | 36/12 64/18 144/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| depict [2] 84/22 | 47/12 48/10 163/14 | 153/2 153/7 158/5 |
| 94/1 | 163/18 163/20 <br> designed [1] 119/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { devices [2] 21/1 } \\ & 21 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| depicted [3] 25/17 | designed [1] 119/2 <br> Designee [3] 1/15 | devised [1] 36/5 |
| 33/9 96/11 | $1 / 151 / 16$ | DeWAN [475] |
| depiction [5] 26/19 | despite [1] 156/22 | did [95] 4/21 5/5 |
| 29/2 29/16 84/16 | destinations [1] | 11/11 17/7 18/5 |
| 94/6 | 147/7 | 18/7 18/8 18/11 |
| Dept [3] 1/15 1/15 | destroyed [2] | 18/17 20/10 21/7 |
| 1/16 | 103/10 104/10 | 21/9 21/12 23/21 |
| derive [1] 48/3 | detail [1] 71/3 | 24/11 37/18 39/10 |
| describe [7] 56/15 | detailed [1] 96/17 | 40/4 40/6 40/7 |
| 95/8 151/9 157/13 | details [2] 30/19 | 46/12 60/5 60/8 |
| 158/17 159/19 | 68/16 | 64/3 64/22 65/5 |
| 163/3 | determination [5] | 65/7 65/13 65/15 |
| described [4] 79/11 | 40/5 89/1 97/18 | 65/18 65/24 66/2 |
| 82/16 137/18 | 97/20 132/5 | 66/4 66/9 66/11 |
| 150/19 | determine [9] | 66/13 68/11 68/12 |
| describes [1] | 23/23 36/5 53/20 | 68/13 68/15 68/21 |
| 137/10 | 57/3 60/24 94/5 | 69/9 70/8 70/17 |
| describing [1] | 97/16 105/22 160/8 | 70/18 71/14 73/5 |
| 133/4 | determined [6] | 76/17 81/14 84/6 |
| description [3] | 33/21 40/4 48/5 | 86/6 87/17 99/8 |
| 64/14 64/17 146/15 | 58/14 67/19 157/16 | 101/21 105/22 |
| design [1] 77/18 | determining [3] | 106/14 107/13 |
| designate [1] 125/5 | 44/7 56/19 57/16 | 107/14 108/5 108/8 |
| designated [10] | develop [1] 76/20 | 111/13 111/13 |
| 21/5 28/18 58/18 | developed [3] 20/19 | 113/2 119/10 |
| 59/8 59/17 60/13 | 36/16 145/14 | 119/11 119/20 |
| 76/4 124/13 128/4 | developing [1] 67/3 | 121/10 122/10 |
| 164/2 | development [6] | 125/7 133/9 139/6 |


| D | dif | discern [1] 118/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| did... [24] 142/17 | 6/10 6/20 7/19 9/16 | discordant [1] |
| 143/14 143/16 | 9/16 13/11 23/18 | 51/20 |
| 145/9 145/11 | 25/12 27/7 32/1 | discussed [8] 48/22 |
| 145/12 149/11 | 43/5 43/8 44/9 | 94/20 112/7 112/13 |
| 149/14 153/9 | 44/12 45/19 58/4 | 112/13 125/17 |
| 153/11 155/12 | 58/5 58/8 63/9 | 125/22 130/14 |
| 157/17 158/1 158/2 | 64/11 73/8 76/23 | discussion [13] |
| 158/5 159/19 | 82/12 87/19 92/1 | 9/6 10/7 26/21 |
| 9/21 159/23 | 92/4 92/12 92/17 | 28/15 30/3 53/ |
| 161/4 162/2 162/7 | 94/19 126/5 129/3 | 61/15 62/20 120 |
| 162/18 163/8 | 129/4 129/17 | 146/10 158/20 |
| 20 | 132/20 133/7 136/3 | 160/1 |
| didn't [26] 15/19 | 8/11 153/1 | disease [1] 138/21 |
| 17/5 33/8 60/21 | 159/18 162/21 | display [1] 82/15 |
| 66/10 70/21 97/20 | 162/23 162/24 | distinct [2] 138/7 |
| 99/6 109/15 116/23 | 163/ | 152/7 |
| 122/11 125/21 | differently [1] 84/8 | distinctions [3] |
| 127/5 127/8 128/10 | digital [2] 89/14 | 57/22 57/24 58/3 |
| 138/23 140/2 140/9 | 110/21 | district [10] 62/17 |
| 145/8 145/9 155/6 | digitally [1] 91/15 | 128/4 133/8 136/22 |
| 155/8 156/19 | dilute [1] 158/12 | 137/24 138/3 14 |
| 156/20 158/19 | Dir [1] 1/15 | 140/24 141/10 |
| 160/12 | direct [2] 121/18 | 144/15 |
| differ [5] 83/18 | 147/16 | divide [3] 114/14 |
| 83/19 94/17 94/2 | direction [5] 29/12 | 114/15 115/11 |
| 14 | 87/6 88/9 88/16 | dividing [1] 58/7 |
| difference [8] 7/22 | 89/5 | do [100] 5/24 7/24 |
| 8/7 10/4 43/11 50/4 | directly [1] 65/15 | 9/5 9/11 9/21 10/23 |
| 91/21 116/1 130/2 | disagree [4] 119/11 | 11/13 12/4 12/20 |
| differences [2] 7/8 | 120/3 127/7 127/1 | 16/4 16/12 |
| 7/10 | disaster [1] 105/4 | 25/19 25/24 26/ |


| D | 144/3 155/6 155/8 | 54/8 54/15 79/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| do... [85] 26/7 | 159/23 167/4 | 95/6 119/12 160/4 |
| 27/13 27/20 31/4 | DOCKET [1] 1/7 | 163/5 |
| 31/15 31/20 31/20 | document [7] 19/15 | dollars [3] 114 |
| 31/21 34/20 35/1 | 21/11 89/16 90/17 | 115/9 115/13 |
| 36/13 37/18 37/23 | 90/18 113/8 164/10 | dominance [4] |
| 39/5 41/16 41/18 | documented [1] | 132/11 133/2 140/9 |
| 44/22 46/17 49/18 | 109/12 | 140/19 |
| 49/19 50/14 52/8 | documents [5] | don't [69] 5/10 5/11 |
| 53/11 54/3 56/6 | 15/15 15/16 15/17 | 9/20 9/20 12/20 |
| 60/1 61/3 62/6 | 47/13 107/4 | 13/24 14/13 16/21 |
| 67/16 67/16 67/18 | Dodson [3] 135/15 | 22/2 23/20 29/12 |
| 68/10 68/14 69/15 | 135/23 137/20 | 33/5 35/11 37/4 |
| 73/18 74/20 78/5 | Dodson's [1] 78/1 | 44/24 45/20 47/19 |
| 81/23 84/22 86/15 | does [18] 19/11 | 48/12 48/13 49/8 |
| 86/17 86/18 89/24 | 22/3 37/22 37/22 | 50/2 50/10 51/8 |
| 95/10 95/23 96/20 | 43/5 62/1 62/9 | 52/12 52/14 53/13 |
| 97/7 101/15 102/17 | 110/8 112/22 | 54/17 56/18 57/9 |
| 102/17 102/22 | 118/15 126/17 | 62/21 62/22 64/10 |
| 103/4 104/3 106/15 | 134/6 137/21 | 65/20 66/6 71/4 |
| 106/18 109/8 111/3 | 140/22 144/6 153/5 | 73/13 73/20 74/17 |
| 111/6 111/11 | 157/3 161/21 | 74/17 78/11 79/21 |
| 111/13 111/13 | doesn't [16] 29/8 | 80/1 84/22 93/7 |
| 114/10 115/9 | 36/24 55/17 61/20 | 97/18 102/21 |
| 115/10 123/22 | 97/3 110/10 110/11 | 106/21 117/1 117/5 |
| 126/3 126/11 | 123/6 127/17 136/7 | 117/13 117/15 |
| 128/22 128/24 | 137/1 140/18 | 118/10 121/21 |
| 130/12 131/12 | 141/17 151/14 | 122/20 128/20 |
| 131/14 132/1 132/6 | 154/10 155/18 | 135/24 136/6 |
| 132/10 134/10 | doing [14] 10/3 | 137/16 139/3 |
| 137/11 139/18 | 16/19 17/19 39/10 | 140/17 141/15 |
| 139/21 143/14 | 51/22 53/18 54/1 | 142/1 144/3 149/23 |


| D | draft [1] 159/11 | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| don't... [5] 155/3 | drag [1] 62/16 | each [11] 47/17 |
| 159/21 164/3 164/4 | drive [11] 50/13 | 114/4 114/12 115/5 |
| 164/11 | 51/13 51/13 52/3 | 115/20 115/21 |
| done [14] 6/20 6/24 | 52/15 52/18 77/21 | 129/19 157/18 |
| 78/9 95/4 119/5 | 14 | 161/22 162/20 |
| 121/24 122/2 122/7 | 144/14 145/19 | 162/22 |
| 122/15 122/17 | driven [1] 79/22 | earlier [10] 55/10 |
| 127/1 130/9 155/16 | driver's [1] 143/10 | 55/10 65/13 112/13 |
| 162/16 | drives [1] 62/14 | 133/12 143/2 |
| Donovan [1] 1/4 | driveway [1] | 149/10 155/13 |
| Dore [1] 1/20 | 117/22 | 155/22 156/20 |
| DOT [2] 2/17 18/15 | driving [11] 51/ | early [1] 121/24 |
| DOT's [2] 18/21 | 52/1 62/8 64/22 | earth [4] 76/21 77/6 |
| 23/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 / 776 / 1777 / 5 \\ & 77 / 2278 / 14109 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 77/7 79/15 |
| dots [1] 47/24 | 109/15 | ease [2] 110/18 |
| dotted [1] 25/22 <br> doubling [1] 72/19 | drop [1] 89/2 | 122/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { doubling [1] 72/19 } \\ & \text { doubt [2] 103/1 } \end{aligned}$ | drove [1] 64/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { easement [1] } \\ & 102 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 125/23 | due [2] 69/4 152/17 | easily [2] 51/24 |
| down [30] 20/21 | Dummer [5] 85/6 | 148/13 |
| 21/21 32/16 33/1 | 90/10 91/1 91/5 | east [15] 81/3 81/11 |
| 48/24 49/14 49/18 | 94/18 | 81/23 82/5 82/10 |
| 50/7 52/4 53/17 | duration [1] 139/13 | 82/19 83/10 83/23 |
| 53/23 56/18 58/9 | during [14] 11/24 | 84/12 138/4 148/6 |
| 63/3 76/7 76/18 | 14/10 14/14 15/7 | 148/18 154/15 |
| 77/6 78/14 79/23 | 15/9 18/9 34/21 | 156/13 156/14 |
| 86/6 89/2 111/1 | 34/21 34/23 41/6 | eastern [1] 148/6 |
| 111/8 113/9 118/4 | 65/16 71/19 71/19 | Easton [1] 101/11 |
| 119/13 129/11 | 160/4 | easy [1] 148/15 |
| 129/22 129/24 |  | Economic [1] 1/16 |
| 132/3 |  | edge [2] 88/15 93/9 |


| E | 60/13 60/18 111/15 | England [6] 3/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| effect [31] 3/12 | eliminate [1] 77/14 | 17/10 26/8 45/11 |
| 24/3 29/7 29/14 | eliminated [1] | 45/21 46/1 |
| 31/12 34/6 35/24 | 36/19 | enjoyment [1] |
| 60/7 76/6 77/13 | ELMO [3] 24/18 | 16/14 |
| 84/11 87/13 96/24 | 27/20 86/13 | enlarged [4] 90/1 |
| 97/14 97/17 97/24 | else [9] 18/12 34/10 | 90/2 150/23 152/5 |
| 98/4 98/14 99/15 | 36/13 36/13 71/24 | enough [6] 16/9 |
| 99/20 130/19 132/5 | 115/6 116/8 143/15 | 28/5 34/20 83/24 |
| 132/20 133/4 133/7 | 155/9 | 89/2 109/16 |
| 140/16 141/21 | else's [1] 74/14 | ensued [2] 120/14 |
| 147/14 149/17 | elsewhere [1] | 146/11 |
| 152/22 153/6 | 152/13 | entire [12] 21/11 |
| effects [5] 7/16 99/1 | email [1] 108/5 | 68/2 91/11 99/23 |
| 99/4 157/17 159/13 | emphasis [1] | 105/4 128/7 129/2 |
| effort [1] 98/18 | 159/21 | 134/10 140/13 |
| efforts [1] 75/10 | employed [2] | 140/23 140/24 |
| either [6] 24/4 | 167/11 167/14 | 141/10 |
| 58/14 81/20 88/9 | employee [1] | entirely [1] 110/20 |
| 163/13 163/15 | 167/13 | entirety [2] 50/9 |
| Electronically [1] | enabled [1] 20/18 | 141/20 |
| 1/6 | enabling [3] 18/24 | entities [1] 58/12 |
| element [3] 22/2 | 19/4 19/12 | Environ [1] 1/15 |
| 35/13 59/15 | enactment [1] | environment [1] |
| elements [16] 20/5 | 122/17 | 17/12 |
| 20/13 35/20 35/23 | end [4] 14/8 76/3 | Environmental [2] |
| 41/20 51/20 54/17 | 77/5 77/11 | 4/16 74/9 |
| 55/24 56/3 59/3 | Energy [3] 1/10 | envision [1] 52/10 |
| 59/11 64/2 77/18 | 122/18 122/19 | equal [2] 59/5 |
| 94/4 107/10 124/20 | engaged [1] 95/11 | 160/11 |
| eligible [7] 56/24 | engineers [4] 68/18 | equally [1] 59/5 |
| 57/4 57/4 59/17 | 72/21 76/19 145/23 | erected [1] 21/4 |

esoteric [1] 53/14 especially [1] 155/13
Esq [2] 1/20 1/20 essence [1] 116/4 essentially [1] 43/13 establish [5] 78/13
116/11 117/13 120/4 122/9
established [12]
33/11 43/18 66/13
66/22 106/11
112/21 117/9
117/16 126/15
128/23 148/20
148/22
establishes [1] 43/1 establishment [2] 113/6 117/3 estate [4] 114/4 114/5 114/13 115/8 estimate [1] 4/23 etcetera [2] 117/22 131/6
evaluate [7] 30/17 35/8 35/23 44/14 71/22 73/19 136/15 evaluated [10] 84/11 105/11 108/15 147/2 158/8

161/3 161/6 161/8 161/10 161/14 evaluating [5] 35/1 40/10 53/21 54/20 126/19
evaluation [24] $1 / 2$ 1/12 9/17 10/23 35/13 35/17 37/11 38/14 39/13 43/18 49/15 50/4 65/24 74/14 82/17 87/18 112/12 128/24 134/9 160/15 161/22 162/3 163/5 163/11
evaluations [2] 37/16 65/23
even [7] 22/12 31/4 45/14 89/2 89/4 99/23 136/1
ever [2] 9/21 13/24 Eversource [1] 1/9 every [8] 11/1 24/2 64/13 68/16 68/20 72/7 114/19 115/13 everybody [3] 5/2 27/20 100/15 everybody's [1] 115/23 everyone [4] 4/3 116/8 150/10 156/8 everything [4]

68/23 109/3 115/1 115/5
everywhere [1] 80/8
evidence [1] 160/3
exact [4] 59/23
86/16 91/24 92/11
exactly [4] 22/22
71/1 93/11 164/9
examination [5] 2/6
2/7 2/8 4/9 21/20
example [36] $8 / 3$
10/5 11/16 13/7
13/10 31/6 33/19
39/1 41/18 44/23
45/3 49/5 50/8 52/2
52/23 53/24 54/6
54/21 56/11 58/6
58/23 70/23 71/18
75/23 77/17 77/20
79/13 88/4 92/22
94/17 98/15 106/3 107/7 118/1 128/2 131/2
examples [4] 49/3 59/13 78/8 130/8 except [2] 65/7 115/1
exception [1] 68/3 excerpt [1] 110/2 excluded [1] 164/19 excuse [8] 5/11 12/8

| $\mathbf{E}$ | 152/24 153/4 | experiences [2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| excuse... [6] 88/13 | 154/16 | 82/1 82/12 |
| 101/8 113/19 | exists [4] 29/23 | experiencing [5] |
| 130/21 150/16 | 30/4 30/10 61/4 | 51/4 53/5 53/7 63/4 |
| 152/8 | expanded [1] | 63/5 |
| exercise [1] 64/24 | 150/24 | expert [5] 7/14 8/16 |
| exhibit [20] 2/13 | expandi |  |
| 3/3 5/23 12/16 | 76/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { experts }[2] 48 / 17 \\ & 158 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20/23 23/2 46/17 | expansion [2] 147/20 150/6 | 158/3 <br> explore [1] 109/13 |
| 81/5 81/7 84/18 $86 / 15$ 90/19 110/1 | expectation [3] | explore [1] 109/13 <br> exploring [1] |
| $86 / 15 ~ 90 / 19 ~ 110 / 1$ $110 / 3110 / 5110 / 9$ | 51/3 95/9 152/11 | 145/17 |
| 110/3 110/5 110/9 | expectations [1] | expose [1] 20/4 |
| 122/24 135/17 | 148/23 | extends [2] 163/14 |
| Exhibit 258 [1] | expected [1] 148/1 | 164/7 |
| 20/23 | experience [40] | extensive [5] 64/22 |
| Exhibit 268 [1] | 5/19 9/23 10/10 | 65/6 87/18 92/2 |
| 110/1 | 10/19 12/24 36/11 | 109/8 |
| Exhibit 92 [2] | 48/16 51/6 51/21 | extensively [1] |
| 110/3 110/5 | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 51 / 23 & 52 / 1 \\ 52 / 9 \\ 52 / 11 & 52 / 19 \\ 52 / 21 \end{array}$ | 75/12 |
| exhibits [1] 12/9 | 52/11 52/19 52/21 <br> 53/12 63/2 74/6 | extent [11] 9/10 <br> 67/18 68/6 70/13 |
| exist [1] 144/3 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 / 1263 / 274 / 6 \\ & 78 / 1579 / 2282 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 71/5 124/6 124/23 |
| existed [1] 102/6 existing [26] 20/1 | 82/24 92/3 92/4 | $131 / 8 \text { 134/15 140/6 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { existing [26] } & 20 / 1 \\ \mathbf{2 5 / 2 1} & 25 / 23 & 29 / 17\end{array}$ | 82/24 92/3 92/4 92/12 92/17 93/24 | 158/13 |
| 30/15 31/5 42/1 | 94/7 94/17 94/19 | extra [1] 116/8 |
| 44/7 76/15 78/3 | 94/23 95/3 95/9 | extremely [1] 51/16 |
| 78/18 85/1 85/8 | 95/13 96/10 105/16 | eye [2] 78/19 |
| 85/15 85/16 102/4 | 105/18 122/9 | 143/10 |
| 110/16 134/20 | 130/22 162/24 | F |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138/17 151/12 } \\ & 152 / 1152 / 2 ~ 152 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | experienced [3] 10/9 10/9 83/11 | Facility [1] 1/10 <br> fact [13] 2/15 7/11 |


| F | 42/10 45/8 48/15 | 141/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fact... [11] 16/8 | 108/16 108/23 | field [9] 54/6 54/8 |
| 16/22 33/11 44/16 | 111/19 111/20 | 65/5 66/24 71/1 |
| 57/4 58/17 73/16 | 112/5 112/11 | 86/5 125/13 142/6 |
| 80/1 117/6 117/8 | 112/23 142/9 | 149/8 |
| 156/22 | 142/14 | fields [1] 88/19 |
| factor [1] 51/4 | far [5] 39/4 68/23 | fieldwork [5] 65/6 |
| factors [12] 11/19 | 102/9 102/20 | 86/4 86/10 102/3 |
| 37/20 79/8 87/12 | 107/13 | 109/8 |
| 96/4 99/24 124/15 | farm [4] 50/20 52/3 | filed [4] 1/6 93/16 |
| 158/20 158/21 | 121/20 155/18 | 93/18 93/19 |
| 158/22 158/24 | feature [4] 19/23 | filing [1] 5/23 |
| 160/2 | 128/1 128/3 129/12 | Fillmore [7] 2/7 |
| facts [3] 113/11 | features [3] 44/16 | 100/12 100/19 |
| 113/17 114/23 | 59/4 132/21 | 101/5 110/6 118/18 |
| fails [1] 136/23 | February [1] 156/5 | 138/19 |
| fair [16] 22/14 | February of [1] | final [2] 38/5 38/14 |
| 28/21 36/1 38/20 | 156/5 | financial [1] 109/1 |
| 46/3 49/6 59/9 79/1 | federal [2] 58/13 | financially [1] |
| 79/16 92/13 95/3 | 58/19 | 167/14 |
| 114/5 129/7 138/15 | feel [1] 40/8 | find [14] 15/10 18/1 |
| 139/15 165/19 | feet [11] 71/23 | 37/19 45/10 72/24 |
| fair way [1] 92/13 | 71/24 72/9 75/22 | 80/8 99/8 100/23 |
| fairly [8] 17/9 | 77/3 80/3 80/3 88/8 | 109/6 109/13 |
| 17/18 51/17 76/21 | 88/16 88/21 88/23 | 124/11 125/18 |
| 87/17 121/23 | fellow [1] 107/1 | 129/18 158/13 |
| 122/16 133/11 | felt [11] 40/8 44/13 | fine [3] 54/14 56/7 |
| fall [1] 61/20 | 66/7 66/8 66/21 | 57/6 |
| familiar [23] 15/13 | 83/9 108/7 108/11 | finish [2] 160/24 |
| 15/23 16/9 18/22 | 108/12 117/3 158/7 | 164/13 |
| 18/23 18/24 19/11 | few [6] 5/8 5/15 | fire [8] 81/18 81/19 |
| 23/4 23/6 42/8 42/9 | 5/20 101/6 119/16 | 81/20 81/21 83/5 |

fire... [3] 84/13
103/11 103/19
first [12] 30/19 66/22 84/23 87/11 93/18 100/10 112/8 117/1 125/14 126/1 146/18 154/14 fishing [2] 92/21 93/4
fit [4] 59/6 79/9
79/10 82/24
five [2] 72/9 95/22
five feet [1] 72/9 focus [3] 23/14 34/9 55/22
focused [2] 32/12 82/18
follow [1] 37/24
followed [1] 159/6 following [4]
123/21 124/18 150/8 164/22
foot [2] 79/12 79/12 football [1] 43/24 for-profit [2] 7/13 8/6
foregoing [1] 167/4 foreground [3]
88/19 151/20
151/21
forest [10] 4/4 4/16

## 25/2 58/6 103/19 104/6 104/10 104/12 104/19 135/16

forested [1] 45/22 forests [3] 16/15 50/18 104/5
forget [1] 59/22
form [3] 44/17 44/17 78/18
formal [1] 47/12
format [1] 90/3
forms [1] 153/1
forth [7] 7/4 44/18
50/19 63/12 74/12 109/10 167/7
Forty [1] 88/23
Forty feet [1] 88/23 forward [1] 75/2 found [3] 99/3
102/9 160/4
four [8] 159/7
161/3 161/7 161/8
161/22 162/1 162/7 162/18
Franconia [1]
101/11
freak [1] 138/22
front [1] 164/11
full [3] 79/3 131/8 149/8
fully [1] 79/2
function [2] 44/9 44/12
fundamentally [1] 120/2
funds [6] 112/22
113/1 114/1 116/10 120/4 146/2
furnishings [1] 63/11
further [9] 38/23
74/12 74/13 100/6
128/9 129/11
129/22 167/10
167/12
future [1] 139/4

## G

gain [1] 38/23
game [1] 44/1 gap [1] 138/16 gardens [1] 62/23 gather [1] 20/15 gazebos [1] 63/12 gee [2] 51/7 52/2 general [4] 44/19 56/1 86/9 102/8 generally [12] 9/5 15/19 18/13 28/8 29/11 48/18 49/2 55/14 56/18 61/11 61/22 116/2
generations [4] 104/14 104/15

## G

generations... [2]
104/15 104/16
generator [1] 7/3
geographically [1] 29/3
get [23] 8/11 9/5
11/11 23/15 49/24
50/3 51/1 53/14
54/18 56/18 68/15
86/16 95/12 113/22
114/18 115/12
118/19 126/10
148/3 148/20 150/1
150/9 155/10
gets [1] 115/23 getting [4] 49/9
114/24 116/12

## 128/21

give [8] 11/15 11/16 11/20 27/16 54/10 55/19 59/12 120/10 given [13] 11/18
14/11 17/23 17/23
58/17 71/16 75/10
121/4 123/12
126/21 127/10
130/13 158/15
glad [1] 36/19
glean [1] 20/15
go [58] 11/9 18/1
19/19 21/21 23/10

23/11 24/7 24/9
27/2 28/12 29/8
31/13 31/21 39/21
39/24 40/2 41/15
47/4 47/23 48/6
50/12 50/24 57/7
59/21 62/21 62/22
65/7 73/17 74/9
89/22 91/17 92/15
92/21 92/22 97/6
98/11 101/17
102/20 107/13
111/2 113/16
115/24 118/3
119/14 125/12
126/4 126/5 131/22
137/2 142/12 145/1
146/13 150/8
151/14 152/13
153/24 157/10
161/18
goal [2] 20/11 22/15 goals [1] 17/21
God [1] 119/14
goes [1] 47/16
going [82] 4/3 4/17
4/22 5/8 5/20 20/21
24/17 27/16 29/21
30/15 34/9 34/10
41/14 41/20 43/24
50/15 51/15 51/19
52/18 52/19 53/2

58/22 59/6 61/13 66/23 68/17 72/1 75/2 76/10 76/15 78/20 79/14 79/15 79/18 80/3 92/21
92/22 92/23 94/18
94/19 96/19 96/20
97/4 100/11 100/11
100/17 101/14 109/24 111/8
111/21 112/10
113/10 118/19
119/13 119/15
122/23 123/4 128/8
131/22 135/22
138/8 138/10
140/15 141/11
141/12 141/24
142/11 143/1 143/2
146/13 147/17
147/20 149/17
150/24 151/6
152/13 152/19
154/9 155/1 157/10
158/12 164/13
gone [1] 57/2
$\operatorname{good}[17] ~ 4 / 24 / 6$ 4/7 4/11 4/13 4/14 11/22 17/24 44/14
63/15 78/7 83/24
120/15 120/16
120/17 128/2

| G | ground [1] 30/6 | 1/1 1/4 1/9 2/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| good... [1] 131/10 | grounds [1] 117/7 | 10/12 17/10 18/2 |
| $\text { got }[8] 10 / 1340 / 20$ | group [1] 118/2 | 21/16 21/22 23/4 |
| 56/23 79/12 106/23 | groups [1] 100/9 | 26/10 28/19 28/23 |
| 128/14 128/16 | grow [1] 77/2 | 28/24 29/8 29/9 |
| 157/14 | growing [1] 54/7 | 29/10 29/15 32/20 |
| gotten [1] 107/18 | guess [3] 128/20 | 33/13 34/2 34/5 |
| government [1] | 160/6 164/9 | 34/7 41/1 45/11 |
| 58/19 | guide [3] 38/13 | 45/20 46/5 52/16 |
| governmental [1] | 38/13 86/9 | 59/20 60/10 60/11 |
| 47/11 | guidebooks [2] | 60/21 63/6 64/9 |
| graders [1] 118/2 | 64/20 107/22 | 65/9 66/16 74/15 |
| grain [2] 54/14 56 | guided [1] 67/4 | 74/18 97/1 104/9 |
| grained [1] 57/6 | guideline [1] 41/23 | 105/5 105/17 |
| grant [1] 73/21 | guidelines [5] 41/5 | 105/18 105/23 |
| granted [1] 70/3 | 41/19 42/24 43/16 | 106/11 106/12 |
| granular [1] 56/7 | 44/22 | 108/17 111/14 |
| grazing [1] 50/22 | H | 121/5 121/14 |
| great [7] 4/20 13/4 | habitat [1] 69/4 |  |
| 23/7 27/24 33/16 | had [24] 7/3 9/7 | $165 / 12165 / 14$ |
| 77/20 146/18 | 10/8 27/18 39/18 | Hampshire's [1] |
| greater [2] 87/22 | 39/21 40/13 59/17 | Hampshire's [1] 19/7 |
| 139/13 | 62/20 74/8 76/4 | Hampton [1] |
| greatest [3] 9/10 | 77/10 78/7 78/9 | $120 / 21$ |
| 124/7 137/11 | 83/7 83/15 87/16 | hand [5] 31/18 |
| green [17] 25/16 | 101/16 107/2 | 132/8 134/2 137/8 |
| 25/21 28/21 29/9 29/16 29/19 29/20 | 107/18 133/5 | 162/16 |
| 29/16 29/19 29/20 29/21 29/22 30/2 | 145/15 160/7 160/8 | happen [7] 11/6 |
| / 313 30/10 30/24 | half [1] 8/23 | 11/7 68/6 71/18 |
| 3 33/1 85/7 | halfway [1] 124/17 | 72/17 138/20 139/4 |
| 85/12 | halls [1] 109/17 HAMPSHIRE [57] | happening [2] |

## H

happening... [2]
110/14 117/14
happens [3] 21/22
21/23 117/20
hard [4] 27/16 32/1
71/11 71/22
has [43] 6/8 6/9
21/5 23/1 25/22
37/23 38/18 43/18
47/9 52/3 52/8
52/24 54/24 57/17
58/10 60/20 61/24
64/13 68/1 69/14
69/20 75/3 75/4
92/12 93/6 111/3
112/18 114/5
117/19 118/5 118/9
122/8 126/6 126/16
137/20 142/12
146/3 146/4 152/11 157/5 164/4 164/5 165/1
hasn't [1] 68/21
hate [1] 54/10
have [158] 4/17
5/11 8/12 8/20 10/10 10/12 11/14
12/7 12/21 15/1
16/21 17/8 17/18
18/14 18/16 19/11
22/1 22/7 23/24

24/3 24/19 24/23
24/24 28/22 29/7 29/15 29/23 30/1 30/14 30/20 30/22
31/8 31/12 33/21
34/1 34/6 34/15
34/20 36/4 36/7
37/8 38/11 44/4
44/5 46/17 48/8
48/21 50/2 52/19
52/23 57/16 58/13
59/21 61/3 61/6
62/9 64/4 64/7
64/15 66/8 69/5
69/6 69/21 69/23
70/21 71/4 71/9
71/15 72/2 72/21
75/10 75/11 75/12
76/6 77/22 78/12
79/4 79/6 82/14
83/24 84/10 84/11
86/12 87/21 87/23 88/24 89/13 91/15
93/21 94/19 95/17
96/6 96/9 97/3
97/12 97/13 97/17
98/22 98/24 99/19
100/1 100/10 101/6
102/12 102/18
102/21 103/1
103/11 103/24
110/10 111/11

111/18 114/11
114/12 114/15
115/12 118/2
118/12 118/24
119/5 119/16 120/7
121/2 121/7 121/21
122/4 122/15 123/6
123/15 129/22
130/8 130/9 130/11
130/12 138/6 138/8
138/10 138/18
139/13 140/2
140/16 141/12
141/21 145/1
145/19 147/17
148/8 149/1 152/21
152/22 153/5
153/14 154/10
154/13 160/12
163/12 164/11
165/22
have to [1] 114/11 haven't [8] 59/8
66/20 67/20 67/22
73/7 95/18 98/18 105/11
having [3] 47/15
53/1 137/18
he [3] 21/21 119/10 119/11
he's [3] 119/12
119/13 165/7

## H

hear [5] 36/19 98/7
128/10 147/8 161/4 heard [1] 105/14 hearing [4] $1 / 11$ 55/9 63/19 166/4 heavily [1] 15/14 height [12] 54/4 54/6 54/8 56/6 56/19 68/19 68/24 72/19 74/11 75/22
77/2 145/24
heights [4] 68/11 68/16 72/10 72/22 Hello [1] 101/3 help [3] 131/6 133/22 146/2 helped [1] 41/24 her [2] 60/9 60/9 here [53] 11/12
13/12 14/5 21/22
22/20 26/1 29/1
34/22 41/21 43/15
43/23 48/11 50/16
54/15 55/22 57/12
76/14 82/12 85/7
92/1 95/18 95/22
96/22 111/3 111/24 112/4 113/7 113/9 113/16 115/18
117/14 121/4 121/9
122/3 123/15

126/15 130/16 134/16 141/16 142/8 142/23
143/18 143/22
147/13 151/13
152/11 154/13
155/10 155/23
157/10 158/17
159/19 162/14
hereby [1] 167/4
hereinbefore [1] 167/7
Hi [1] 101/1
hiding [1] 77/21
high [21] 17/18
36/5 36/5 37/16
47/15 47/22 48/5
50/15 52/6 53/3
53/17 56/23 57/17
58/23 77/4 97/10
99/9 148/24 149/2
149/3 152/11
higher [1] 53/1
highlight [4]

| 132/13 132/14 |
| :--- |
| 132/15 134/1 |
| highlighted [5] |
| 112/5 130/16 |
| $146 / 18146 / 19$ |
| $153 / 23$ |
| highly [4] 17/13 |
| $72 / 20$ |

highway [3] 2/20 20/1 21/4
hiking [3] 56/13
83/8 128/2
hill [2] 101/11 148/18
hills [1] 88/19
hilltop [1] 78/5 him [3] 119/9
119/11 119/12
historic [40] 20/3
44/21 44/23 45/1 45/2 47/19 48/3 48/8 48/9 48/17 48/19 54/22 56/22 57/5 59/14 59/16 59/20 59/22 59/22 59/23 60/2 60/5 60/11 60/14 60/15 60/20 61/14 61/18 61/19 61/21 62/14 62/16 62/17 63/3
128/4 131/16
136/22 137/23
139/24 140/24
historical [1]

## 133/18

history [2] 16/2 20/6
Hold [1] 22/20
holding [2] 121/14
121/14

## H

holistic [1] 160/1 home [3] 83/6 145/19 155/20 honestly [1] 74/17 Honigberg [1] 1/13 hope [1] 101/15 hopefully [1] 89/13 hours [1] 112/8 house [4] 61/19 61/21 62/15 62/16 housekeeping [1] 121/2
housing [1] 144/18 how [50] 8/1 9/8 9/9 13/22 14/17 20/13 35/17 37/10 37/18 37/23 38/16 41/1 49/16 52/20 60/10 67/7 67/20 73/22 74/18 75/21 77/17 78/5 93/3 104/11 106/15 108/16 116/2
117/12 117/23
118/23 119/7
123/19 124/8
125/23 127/17
128/9 128/17 129/5
130/2 130/4 133/5
155/9 157/9 157/13
158/17 158/21

159/19 159/23
161/10 164/14 huh [2] 91/17 138/19
human [2] 53/12 153/2
HVDC [1] 25/21
Hydroelectric [1] 7/2
Hydroelectric's [1] 8/21
hypothetical [4]
3/13 96/21 113/9 113/11
hypothetically [1] 127/23
hypotheticals [1] 11/16
I
I'd [5] 74/22 88/24 132/23 138/2 145/1
I'll [8] 55/19 112/2
120/20 132/18
133/22 135/6
151/13 165/8
I'm [67] 4/23 5/1
7/14 7/14 16/19
24/17 27/16 29/19
32/10 32/12 32/23
32/23 33/2 40/18
42/4 47/18 55/22
55/22 61/13 80/2

82/6 82/6 83/1 84/7 88/1 88/3 91/20
93/15 96/19 98/2
98/2 98/5 99/22
100/1 101/14
109/24 111/21
112/10 113/7
113/10 113/13
114/24 117/4 117/5
122/23 123/4 123/6
124/7 124/16 126/1
131/22 132/12
133/11 142/11
153/13 153/13
156/1 157/10
159/17 159/19
161/19 162/9
162/16 163/17
164/9 164/13 165/7
I've [8] 36/18 39/7
79/22 79/23 99/17
99/20 101/16
155/22
Iacopino [2] 1/20 1/21
ID [1] 2/22
idea [2] 30/1 46/17 identified [11] 3/14 8/13 18/4 18/10 65/18 93/13 130/23 147/1 148/13 149/1 163/10

| I | 18/6 20/14 22/7 | 97/8 97/10 99/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| identify [11] 12/9 | 23/24 28/23 29/2 | 127/18 160/17 |
| 15/10 41/24 61/7 | 30/15 31/8 34/1 | 160/23 163/11 |
| 61/9 90/17 101/9 | 39/3 39/12 41/2 | implications [1] |
| 106/12 123/7 125/4 | 54/1 54/1 56/21 | 98/23 |
| 125/14 | 64/3 68/15 68/22 | implies [1] 117/10 |
| identifying [2] | 71/14 75/13 80/5 | imply [2] 48/22 |
| 66/22 67/6 | 84/19 86/8 87/9 | 48/23 |
| II [1] 112/5 | 87/22 88/10 94/2 | import [1] 136/1 |
| illustrate [4] 91/22 | 94/10 94/11 95/8 | importance [2] |
| 95/2 123/19 130/19 | 101/20 102/11 | 58/10 58/14 |
| illustration [3] 25/1 | 104/22 105/10 | important [12] |
| 25/17 27/6 | 116/15 122/8 | 58/17 64/9 83/22 |
| illustrations [1] | 125/24 126/21 | 102/9 102/19 |
| 94/14 | 127/10 128/17 | 107/11 107/15 |
| image [8] 23/15 | 128/18 128/24 | 108/7 108/13 109/4 |
| 27/5 82/18 86/17 | 129/5 131/24 | 109/4 109/16 |
| 87/1 87/2 87/5 | 134/15 137/9 | imposed [1] 22/3 |
| 145/14 | 138/24 139/1 139/7 | include [11] 45/2 |
| imagery [2] 91/22 | 139/14 145/20 | 50/17 65/18 66/4 |
| 95/15 | 146/21 146/22 | 116/20 116/23 |
| images [10] 5/8 | 147/12 149/17 | 122/10 144/6 145/8 |
| 5/12 5/20 12/4 12/6 | 153/12 154/1 154/4 | 145/9 155/18 |
| 12/7 12/14 12/20 | 155/9 158/13 | included [5] 47/20 |
| 12/21 13/1 | 158/15 160/7 160/9 | 60/17 82/16 134/13 |
| immediate [1] | 160/10 160/12 | 148/8 |
| 151/21 | 161/9 161/10 163/1 | included the [1] |
| immediately [1] | impacted [4] 32/21 | 60/17 |
| 104/13 | 33/14 159/23 | inclusion [1] 47/12 |
| impact [76] 5/5 | 160/21 | inclusive [2] 66/17 |
| 6/17 7/23 8/9 8/14 | impacts [11] 9/10 | 66/17 |
| 14/22 14/24 15/4 | 10/21 43/12 78/6 | income [1] 113/23 |


incorporated [1] 64/16
incorrect [1] 39/6 increased [1] 85/11 incremental [1] 153/4
indicate [2] 23/17 37/1
indicated [1] 47/12 indication [4] 6/15 21/15 22/1 152/21 individual [7] 24/8 57/11 103/21 106/17 106/23
113/23 153/11 individually [1] 161/8 industrial [4] 22/7 22/12 79/24 80/1 influenced [2]
52/20 96/4 inform [1] 43/23 information [21] 18/16 47/14 51/2 67/1 68/13 70/19 71/13 105/23 106/1 106/15 106/23 107/18 107/24 108/3 108/10 108/14 109/13 109/19 119/21

119/22 128/23 informed [1] 41/8 infrastructure [1] 153/5
inherent [1] 86/1 initial [6] 39/11 40/1 40/11 46/13 46/14 65/24
insert [1] 126/12 inset [1] 156/8 installation [1] 76/20
instance [1] 155/8 instead [2] 97/22 115/8
intent [3] 41/11 78/13 78/17
interested [3] 22/9 164/16 167/15 interesting [1] 100/14
Interior [1] 42/7 internet [1] 108/19 interpret [1] 119/7 interpretation [6] 38/19 53/3 60/10 116/16 116/18 118/21
intersects [1] 32/3
intervenors [1] 101/6
introduction [1]

## 19/14

inventory [1] 106/4 invited [1] 148/1 involved [10] 6/6 8/12 8/20 9/5 11/4 11/12 14/8 14/13
35/7 35/20
involving [2] 72/9
76/20
Iryna [1] 1/20
is [441]
is Page 6-6 [1]
153/16
isn't [28] 7/11 7/18
22/1 22/13 35/7
35/19 36/1 37/13
38/3 43/23 44/10
53/5 53/7 53/12
63/3 72/3 72/13
72/14 72/15 79/16
83/24 84/7 91/5
121/20 130/23
136/1 147/21
158/12
issue [3] 73/22
76/13 80/11
issues [1] 74/8
it [318]
it's [131] 6/4 6/5
6/7 6/11 13/17
13/22 16/4 16/10
16/11 23/15 24/15

| I | 119/14 119/24 | judge [4] 29/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| it's... [120] 27/12 | 123/20 125/7 127/6 | 35/23 74/10 97/7 |
| 30/6 30/6 30/7 31/1 | 127/9 128/14 129/1 | judged [1] 100/4 |
| 32/1 32/17 32/17 | 129/20 130/4 | judging [1] 97/9 |
| 32/19 36/23 38/15 | 130/20 130/20 | judgment [15] 35/5 |
| 40/8 40/21 42/22 | 131/15 131/19 | 35/7 35/9 35/19 |
| 44/9 44/13 46/19 | 134/18 135/9 137/8 | 36/3 36/10 36/22 |
| 48/18 49/1 49/15 | 138/9 140/15 | 38/1 38/4 58/9 |
| 50/4 51/16 52/7 | 141/12 147/9 148/9 | 58/11 58/16 60/9 |
| 52/12 52/19 54/21 | 149/16 151/6 152/6 | 72/13 83/13 |
| 55/1 55/6 56/23 | 155/14 155/20 | judgmental [1] |
| 56/24 57/2 57/3 | 156/6 160/1 164/1 | 35/15 |
| 57/8 57/18 58/11 | 164/2 164/3 165/4 | jumps [1] 101/18 |
| 58/15 58/22 59/16 | its [6] 43/18 44/7 | jurisdiction [5] |
| 61/2 61/6 62/5 | 44/15 77/15 107/14 | 10/6 42/13 42/16 |
| 62/16 62/17 63/12 | 118/20 | 43/10 45/24 |
| 67/11 67/18 68/23 | itself [1] 141/22 | just [114] 4/17 7/5 |
| 70/15 70/16 71/4 | J | 8/4 9/6 9/13 11/8 |
| 71/22 72/9 73/2 | Jess [1] 161/20 | 12/1 12/12 14/13 |
| 76/10 78/21 79/10 | JESSICA [2] 2/4 | 14/15 15/15 21/7 |
| 79/11 80/9 80/10 | 4/10 | 23/13 23/14 24/15 |
| 82/10 83/20 86/1 | job [4] 73/19 74/19 | 26/14 26/23 27/6 |
| 86/2 88/21 89/10 | 97/15 129/18 | 28/14 28/24 31/14 |
| 91/6 92/1 92/8 | Joe [7] 37/1 50/6 | 31/21 32/14 32/18 |
| 92/10 94/14 96/3 | 50/12 52/17 52/18 | 33/16 33/21 33/21 |
| 100/10 100/11 | 79/22 82/23 | 38/7 40/12 42/2 |
| 101/21 103/7 | Joint [7] 1/8 3/8 | 44/19 48/16 49/13 |
| 105/21 106/2 | Joint $142 / 12$ | 50/11 56/24 60/22 |
| 107/15 108/24 | 142/24 143/1 | 61/9 61/13 61/18 |
| 109/2 110/4 110/14 | 151/23 | 64/3 64/23 65/21 |
| 110/15 110/20 | JT [6] 3/7 3/9 3/11 | 66/3 69/9 74/2 74/4 |
| 116/2 117/2 117/9 | JT/14 112/1 113/10 | 80/7 82/10 83/23 |


| J | 22/10 27/5 41/14 | 147/8 147/11 148/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| just... [65] 84/7 | 100/14 138/1 | 148/16 148/22 |
| 84/20 88/1 88/13 | keeping [2] 58/6 | 149/1 149/3 149/9 |
| 89/15 89/16 90/1 | 95/5 | 149/21 150/14 |
| 90/14 92/1 93/14 | kept [2] 105/24 | 150/18 150/23 |
| 93/21 94/16 96/21 | 106/1 | 151/4 151/6 151/17 |
| 97/1 98/4 98/20 | key [4] 83/16 | 151/20 152/5 |
| 99/20 100/1 100/13 | 123/11 123/14 | 153/11 155/20 |
| 103/14 104/5 | 129/19 | 156/6 156/13 157/6 |
| 110/13 111/2 121/1 | KIMBALL [91] | 159/10 160/20 |
| 122/23 125/22 | 2/4 3/8 4/10 4/12 | 161/12 163/22 |
| 126/24 127/6 128/9 | 15/14 15/16 15/22 | 164/1 |
| 129/1 129/9 129/10 | 18/13 18/22 46/14 | kind [15] 9/11 |
| 129/22 130/3 | 47/5 47/6 47/9 | 25/11 27/23 42/15 |
| 130/14 132/18 | 47/23 60/12 60/17 | 45/12 54/11 55/8 |
| 133/1 133/13 134/3 | 60/24 61/6 61/11 | 55/24 72/8 78/6 |
| 134/18 136/19 | 61/21 62/2 62/6 | 121/1 124/19 |
| 137/2 137/14 | 62/9 65/1 67/23 | 129/11 133/14 |
| 138/23 142/23 | 68/5 68/18 68/23 | 155/7 |
| 143/6 143/7 143/9 | 69/11 69/13 69/18 | kinds [3] 45/14 |
| 144/17 146/5 150/1 | 69/22 70/4 70/12 | 105/23 105/24 |
| 150/9 150/10 | 70/15 70/23 73/7 | knew [2] 30/18 |
| 150/19 151/9 | 73/13 82/14 84/10 | 87/16 |
| 151/13 155/23 | 84/23 85/10 85/23 | know [107] 7/15 |
| 156/8 161/19 | 86/9 87/23 91/6 | 8/1 9/14 9/18 11/4 |
| 161/20 162/16 | 91/24 92/8 92/10 | 11/5 11/19 12/13 |
| 164/2 164/9 164/13 | 101/2 101/24 | 12/20 14/4 16/4 |
| 165/7 | 105/17 105/21 | 16/9 16/12 17/13 |
| K | 106/2 107/1 107/6 | 17/18 17/20 18/4 |
| Kathryn [1] 1/14 | 114/21 120/2 | 33/5 34/13 35/11 |
| keep [7] 11/8 20/21 | 145/14 146/5 147/4 | 36/16 38/1 41/9 |


| K | 164/16 | 43/1 43/9 43/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [81] 41/11 | knowing [2] 11/11 | 43/12 43/17 44/17 |
| 43/9 45/20 45/22 | 88/13 | 44/22 45/5 45/13 |
| 48/12 49/8 50/10 | knowledge [5] 17/1 | 45/15 45/16 46/6 |
| 50/12 51/2 51/3 | 69/13 104/2 104/4 | 46/7 76/10 103/2 |
| 51/7 53/13 53/15 | 146/5 | 103/19 107/1 |
| 54/12 56/19 57/9 | known [1] 16/10 | 111/15 111/17 |
| 58/6 60/1 61/2 | KOP [20] 124/12 | 115/4 117/8 118/5 |
| 62/12 63/11 65/8 | 124/13 124/18 | 118/13 119/22 |
| 65/24 68/23 70/24 | 124/20 125/5 | 120/1 120/5 164/24 |
| 71/12 72/6 72/9 | 125/20 126/14 | 164/24 |
| 73/5 73/13 73/20 | 127/4 127/8 127/15 | land is [1] 103/19 |
| 74/5 74/6 74/17 | 128/14 128/17 | land-use [1] 107/1 |
| 75/1 76/24 78/11 | 129/10 130/5 | landowner [7] |
| 79/5 80/7 80/17 | 130/13 144/20 | 103/21 118/5 118/9 |
| 83/21 84/2 86/16 | 145/3 145/4 145/8 | 118/14 118/17 |
| 87/12 87/17 93/3 | 145/9 | 154/6 155/10 |
| 93/7 97/2 99/24 | KOPs [9] 123/20 | landowners [2] |
| 102/17 102/22 | 123/20 124/2 124/9 | 103/9 154/24 |
| 103/4 106/15 | 127/1 127/22 128/9 | lands [11] 42/12 |
| 106/19 106/21 | 128/22 140/2 | 43/2 43/19 45/2 |
| 106/22 109/12 | L | 45/4 45/8 45/12 |
| 122/3 122/14 | label [1] 28/7 | 45/14 45/16 46/7 |
| 125/16 125/16 | labeled [6] 5/22 | 107/8 |
| 126/3 126/4 127/20 | labled 23/2 24/22 | landscape [46] 7/24 |
| 128/15 128/20 | 25/19 123/5 |  |
| 128/21 131/9 | lack [1] 160/23 |  |
| 138/21 138/22 | laid [1] 124/19 |  |
| 139/4 142/2 146/5 | land [39] 4/16 41/4 |  |
| 149/23 155/3 | 41/19 42/3 42/7 | 51/18 53/17 53/21 |
| 158/17 159/21 | 42/14 42/15 42/17 | 55/24 57/14 57/15 |
| 160/2 163/9 164/15 | 42/21 42/22 42/23 | 55/24 57/14 57/15 |


| L | later [2] 5/23 | legal [9] 62/9 67/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| landscape... [24] | 151/24 | /5 112/1 |
| 58/7 59/3 59/4 | lattice [1] 13/16 | 118/19 119/5 119/6 |
| 59/12 59/15 63/6 | Laughter [2] | 164/17 165/4 |
| 63/10 63/11 63/13 | 100/24 110/22 | legend [3] 23/16 |
| 64/14 64/23 65/8 | law [10] 4/16 10/12 | 25/3 25/7 |
| 66/16 77/8 77/23 | 106/12 118/20 | legible [1] 134/19 |
| 78/4 78/20 79/7 | 164/23 165/5 | Legislature [3] |
| 79/24 80/2 86/10 | 165/10 165/13 | 19/7 20/12 21/16 |
| 88/6 102/14 121/5 | 165/14 165/14 | Legislature's [1] |
| landscape...are [1] | lawyer [1] 113/13 | 20/11 |
| 130/23 | LCR [3] 1/24 | Lenehan [1] 1/21 |
| landscapes [9] | 167/17 167/18 | length [1] 79/5 |
| 45/10 45/19 45/22 | lead [1] 7/3 | lengthy [1] 6/7 |
| 45/23 47/20 48/11 | leading [1] 158/10 | less [6] 28/22 29/23 |
| 48/13 54/2 64/8 | leaf [3] 135/2 136/1 | 30/14 66/17 108/18 |
| landscaping [1] | 136/1 | 152/13 |
| 77/18 | leaf-off [1] 135/2 | lessen [3] 8/19 9/9 |
| LandWorks [1] | leaf-off/leaf-on [1] | 154/4 |
| 65/19 | 136/1 | lesser [1] 148/10 |
| language [4] 19/3 | least [7] 5/19 22/14 | let [10] 62/11 92/16 |
| 133/1 140/6 144/21 | 55/20 66/18 66/19 | 95/1 111/2 129/3 |
| laptops [1] 5/12 | 96/24 138/6 | 129/4 130/11 |
| large [3] 76/21 | leave [2] 71/3 | 142/23 148/13 |
| 77/15 113/24 | 118/10 | 159/4 |
| larger [2] 147/21 | led [1] 122/18 | let's [44] 8/4 9/6 |
| 152/16 | left [8] 26/3 26/4 | 10/7 11/8 23/9 |
| largest [2] 83/12 | 27/12 31/18 36/13 | 27/19 30/2 31/21 |
| 124/5 | 134/2 135/18 | 32/18 37/17 42/2 |
| last [6] 39/8 40/4 | 154/15 | 50/11 53/22 53/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 69 / 1269 / 22112 / 8 \\ & 119 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { left-hand [2] 31/18 } \\ & \text { 134/2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54/2 55/2 55/4 55/4 } \\ & \text { 55/7 55/7 55/19 } \end{aligned}$ |


| L | 128/1 128/12 138/2 | 129/12 132/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| let's... [23] 61/18 | 143/21 148/24 | 163/8 |
| 67/7 72/19 75/9 | 152/6 153/5 155/14 | lines [11] 7/3 22/11 |
| 84/17 86/11 87/1 | likely [1] 72/17 | 26/19 28/16 28/20 |
| 89/13 90/4 91/8 | lime [1] 36/20 | 28/22 29/3 64/4 |
| 91/17 92/20 93/8 | limitations [1] | 127/20 129/18 |
| 96/22 97/1 97/2 | 118/13 | 152/24 |
| 97/5 98/7 103/20 | limited [4] 109/1 | linger [1] 152/13 |
| 114/23 118/1 | 136/20 136/21 | Link [1] 26/8 |
| 125/20 127/23 | 165/1 | list [7] 60/12 64/18 |
| level [15] 9/3 35/7 | limits [1] 149/7 | 65/4 66/1 66/8 67/3 |
| 35/9 36/2 36/21 | line [51] 6/21 7/13 | 100/9 |
| 36/22 44/7 52/6 | 11/1 12/24 22/13 | listed [8] 18/14 |
| 53/1 55/20 56/19 | 25/16 25/21 25/21 | 54/22 56/1 56/22 |
| 58/15 71/3 153/7 | 25/22 25/23 25/24 | 57/18 59/9 60/18 |
| 159/5 | 26/6 28/22 29/4 | 109/12 |
| levels [1] 157/17 | 29/9 29/16 29/17 | listing [4] 57/1 |
| license [1] 121/15 | 29/19 29/20 29/21 | 58/24 60/19 61/4 |
| licensed [3] 121/5 | 29/22 29/23 29/24 | lists [5] 60/22 61/10 |
| 167/3 167/18 | 30/2 30/3 30/7 | 61/20 65/2 117/17 |
| lightning [1] | 30/10 30/13 30/15 | little [22] 19/18 |
| 138/22 | 30/16 30/19 30/20 | 23/9 23/14 41/10 |
| like [36] 6/10 12/21 | 30/24 32/2 33/1 | 43/23 54/14 75/9 |
| 13/22 14/3 14/10 | 39/14 55/8 78/13 | 89/21 90/5 90/14 |
| 22/13 27/23 29/9 | 84/3 85/3 85/7 85/8 | 90/15 101/18 |
| 32/2 33/6 36/9 | 85/13 85/14 98/20 | 115/24 116/8 |
| 39/20 41/8 43/23 | 99/23 111/2 111/8 | 133/11 133/13 |
| 50/18 51/8 51/8 | 130/21 144/17 | 134/18 138/20 |
| 51/14 54/14 56/1 | 144/18 | 141/15 152/3 |
| 61/17 73/14 74/22 | Line 29 [1] 111/8 | 155/22 162/23 |
| 80/7 106/5 110/14 | linear [7] 51/14 | Littleton [1] 120/22 |
| 110/15 124/19 | 51/22 127/24 128/2 | LLC [1] 1/8 |


| $\mathbf{L}$ | look [68] 5/8 7/15 | 107/23 107/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| local [3] 48/19 | 7/23 8/13 8/17 8/22 | 107/24 108/1 108/2 |
| 58/13 58/15 | 9/7 10/16 12/21 | 137/14 160/2 |
| locate [2] 124/12 | 14/3 14/10 15/4 | 160/16 160/19 |
| 148/15 | 19/11 22/6 27/17 | 163/9 |
| located [14] 26/15 | 28/14 38/3 38/9 | looking [52] 9/3 |
| 26/20 27/9 28/16 | 41/18 42/2 44/23 | 10/11 11/2 11/4 |
| 28/20 29/3 33/9 | 47/22 49/21 49/21 | 12/5 12/10 12/14 |
| 33/24 61/2 61/24 | 54/2 54/13 54/16 | 13/15 14/5 22/22 |
| 76/3 125/19 151/1 | 54/18 54/19 56/3 | 23/22 27/7 29/1 |
| 151/6 | 62/23 64/22 66/2 | 31/2 33/17 35/13 |
| locating [1] 84/20 | 66/9 66/10 66/11 | 36/11 37/21 40/24 |
| location [24] 54/19 | 66/13 69/9 80/11 | 44/6 50/7 53/24 |
| 56/9 68/20 73/8 | 84/8 84/10 84/17 | 54/4 54/7 56/5 |
| 74/11 80/11 82/2 | 86/7 86/11 87/1 | 56/20 57/14 57/14 |
| 82/3 85/10 87/21 | 88/5 89/13 90/14 | 66/15 66/24 67/8 |
| 89/10 90/24 96/3 | 98/22 99/7 100/1 | 68/8 72/1 83/23 |
| 124/21 127/4 134/5 | 100/4 107/10 | 86/10 87/6 88/16 |
| 137/22 139/22 | 114/10 115/18 | 89/16 94/24 96/6 |
| 141/2 141/22 145/5 | 123/19 126/5 126/6 | 123/17 137/17 |
| 145/24 150/2 | 126/7 133/5 134/10 | 137/19 140/23 |
| 162/21 | 136/14 139/5 143/1 | 141/19 144/18 |
| locations [9] 32/4 | 145/1 145/23 | 148/18 150/9 159/5 |
| 81/22 84/14 113/4 | 149/21 151/11 | 159/13 160/23 |
| 125/13 126/10 | looked [32] 6/10 | 165/19 |
| 128/5 136/21 | 18/4 19/3 23/20 | looks [10] 13/22 |
| 146/22 | 24/2 30/18 62/19 | 29/9 32/2 44/16 |
| long [6] 6/6 14/17 | 64/20 66/5 66/6 | 73/13 124/19 |
| 44/13 53/14 62/20 | 66/8 67/22 69/21 | 143/21 152/5 152/6 |
| 104/11 | 72/7 81/22 83/3 | 152/7 |
| long-term [1] 6/6 | 83/9 84/4 90/2 | lot [16] 7/2 14/6 |
| longer [1] 139/12 | 90/10 99/7 107/22 | 31/1 31/23 36/17 |


| L | main [1] 62/16 | manage [1] 45/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lot... [11] 53/9 | Maine [16] 5/5 5/17 | managed [1] 149/7 |
| 64/11 72/5 80/17 | 7/1 7/6 12/5 21/23 | management [13] |
| 99/24 106/22 107/9 | 41/3 74/7 74/8 | 20/18 24/9 42/6 |
| 110/14 122/1 139/7 | 74/15 75/24 76/3 | 42/14 43/1 43/18 |
| 152/7 | 108/22 122/17 | 45/5 45/13 45/15 |
| lots [1] 83/9 | 122/19 164/4 | 45/16 46/8 108/3 |
| love [1] 80/1 | maintain [6] 78/17 | 163/23 |
| low [7] 36/6 36/6 | 116/11 117/13 | Management's [6] |
| 37/17 47/15 53/4 | 120/5 141/6 141/8 | 41/5 41/19 42/3 |
| 53/17 97/10 | maintained [3] | 42/7 43/9 44/22 |
| lower [3] 115/23 | 106/5 112/21 117/9 | manages [1] 46/8 |
| 134/24 150/20 | maintenance [1] | managing [3] 43/2 |
| Ludlow [2] 26/11 | 113/6 | 45/15 45/17 |
| $29 / 5$ | major [1] 105/4 | manner [2] 130/1 |
| lunch [3] 162/16 | majority [1] 146/22 | 163/6 |
| 165/24 166/2 | make [26] 4/17 | Manual [4] 3/6 |
| M | 19 | 42/3 |
| M-10 [3] 123/16 | 41/12 41/17 55/17 | 6/19 7/10 18/3 |
| 124/1 145/4 | 70/17 70/18 76/15 | 37/16 63/12 83/7 |
| M-11 [1] 123/16 | 77/10 83/15 88/24 | 121/21 123/13 |
| M-13 [1] 131/22 | 96/21 97/18 97/20 | 130/7 140/13 |
| M-16 [1] 157/12 | 115/24 119/5 | map [25] 2/21 3/4 |
| M-8 [2] 46/14 46/24 | 126/13 128/16 | 23/2 23/5 23/21 |
| macro [1] 9/3 | 136/6 141/24 158/9 | 24/5 25/1 25/17 |
| made [10] 8/19 | makers [1] 38/8 | 26/3 26/12 27/3 |
| 40/5 58/3 63/8 70/8 | makes [4] 43/4 43/7 | 27/6 27/8 27/9 28/6 |
| 70/14 70/24 101/23 | 111/15 115/16 | 28/18 31/2 31/19 |
| 108/13 163/10 | making [7] 35/2 | 31/21 32/18 33/9 |
| magnitude [1] | 35/17 38/14 57/21 | 69/8 84/23 85/24 |
| 39/16 | 96/2 103/18 123/4 | 107/8 |

## 101/6

mature [1] 104/11 maximized [1] 67/24
maximum [3]
124/5 124/23 140/5 may [46] 4/5 13/8
13/13 13/13 34/14
44/12 45/21 45/23
46/4 51/19 56/10
56/13 56/14 59/3
59/4 61/19 62/24
63/22 71/2 72/4
72/18 78/12 82/24
83/11 87/13 87/19
89/2 89/8 93/4 93/6
98/24 103/15
109/11 119/11
124/23 127/7
133/13 139/4 141/9
142/4 155/15
158/13 162/15
162/24 163/1 163/2
maybe [7] 8/17
12/6 52/6 58/1 88/3
125/7 143/7
me [30] 5/11 12/8 46/11 62/11 70/8
75/21 88/13 92/16
95/1 96/20 97/6
101/8 111/2 113/19
114/6 114/17 116/3

120/10 128/12
129/3 129/4 130/11
130/21 142/23
147/13 148/13
150/16 152/8 159/4 164/11
meadow [1] 50/22
mean [14] 29/4
36/23 48/12 51/9
57/9 81/18 104/17
104/18 108/17
128/20 131/12
148/13 162/20
163/17
meaning [2] 94/8 153/13
meanings [2] 37/8 37/9
means [2] 118/11 140/23
meant [2] 133/22
150/16
measure [1] 146/1 measures [2] 8/18 154/3
medium [9] 36/5 36/6 36/6 37/17 47/15 48/6 53/4 53/17 97/10
meet [3] 10/14 130/13 157/3
meeting [2] 17/20
meeting... [1] 20/11 meets [3] 57/5 85/7 126/14
Member [2] 1/17

## 1/17

mention [3] 121/19
136/24 149/5
mentioned [7] 8/11
18/8 103/14 104/5
109/18 129/9
137/14
merge [1] 49/24
merits [1] 1/11
messed [1] 22/20
met [3] 10/13 39/23 127/12
methodology [11] 37/9 37/24 44/6
58/7 116/19 123/10
123/16 131/24
140/18 141/7
157/10
Michael [1] 1/20
Mid [1] 16/16
Mid-Atlantic [1] 16/16
middle [4] 92/22
130/21 137/8
147/14
midground [1] 88/20
might [36] 8/19
9/17 10/20 11/15
12/21 13/6 13/9
13/19 15/1 17/2
17/17 20/13 22/7
23/24 50/17 51/18
52/11 63/14 64/7
66/17 67/17 70/2
71/2 71/9 71/10
71/23 86/8 94/7
95/14 98/19 102/11
109/3 125/18 126/8
130/4 155/9
mile [7] 8/23 39/2
39/10 67/21 105/3
163/15 164/7
miles [12] 6/8 39/4
39/5 39/13 39/13
39/21 39/24 40/2
40/5 66/15 68/3
95/22
million [2] 76/8 115/9
millions [1] 52/15 Millsfield [1] 85/5
mind [4] 11/9 24/19 27/5 113/2
mindset [1] 96/2 minimal [2] 137/18 137/21
minimis [1] 71/24
minimize [1] 77/13
minimus [10] 71/20
71/21 72/8 72/14
72/15 73/11 73/23
73/24 74/2 74/12
minor [2] 69/5
71/18
minute [5] 31/14
63/17 96/22 97/22

## 98/5

minutes [1] 162/17
mischaracterization
[1] 165/5
mischaracterizing [1] 70/6
misleading [2]
134/14 141/15
miss [1] 66/18
missed [3] 46/10 64/7 66/20
missing [1] 47/18 mission [4] 16/13
17/2 17/4 17/21 misspoke [1]
153/20
misunderstood [1] 39/7
mitigate [7] 9/9
75/22 78/6 80/5
98/16 146/2 155/9
mitigated [1] 80/12
mitigation [19]
75/10 75/18 77/19
mitigation... [16]
78/24 79/3 79/3
79/17 80/9 80/10
98/18 98/19 99/22
145/16 146/1 154/2
154/6 155/1 155/13
155/16
mode [1] 93/10
model [1] 126/12
modeling [1]
126/11
moderate [1] 87/16 modifications [2] 69/1 69/6
moment [3] 113/15 113/17 114/24
money [4] 113/5 114/22 117/11 117/12
Monroe [1] 1/22 month [1] 69/12 Montminy [1] 155/18
Moose [2] 86/21 87/13
more [34] 12/2 18/1 30/18 41/10 53/9
55/8 64/4 66/16 67/2 72/15 84/1 89/4 89/9 93/22 109/13 119/1

119/16 124/24
125/8 130/5 130/12 134/5 134/18
137/20 139/12
141/12 147/6
148/11 149/24
150/4 152/3 152/7
159/21 162/17
morning [13] $1 / 4$
4/2 4/7 4/7 4/11
4/13 4/14 11/10
75/8 120/15 120/16
120/17 166/4
most [14] 9/2 42/17
42/18 66/11 83/10 83/16 104/8 105/2 105/16 126/9
139/19 140/14
141/18 142/2
mountain [10] 2/16 15/24 17/15 56/11 147/4 147/5 148/4 150/12 151/2
151/16
mountainous [1] 45/23
mountains [2]
16/15 52/4
mountainside [1]
61/17
mountaintop [2]
9/1 127/24
move [6] 11/1 34/10 88/8 89/5 140/11 140/20
moving [2] 69/3 140/22
MPRP [3] 2/14 6/1
71/18
Mr [2] 2/8 4/11
Mr. [21] 5/4 5/24
21/20 40/23 42/8
64/1 78/1 100/10
100/11 101/1
105/16 118/15
120/8 120/11
121/17 135/15
137/5 138/2 159/4 161/18 165/6
Mr. Chair [1]
120/11
Mr. DeWan [13]
5/4 5/24 40/23 42/8
64/1 101/1 105/16
118/15 121/17
137/5 138/2 159/4 161/18
Mr. Dodson [1]
135/15
Mr. Dodson's [1]
78/1
Mr. Plouffe's [1] 21/20
Mr. Whitley [3]

| $\mathbf{M}$ | municipalities [1] | national [16] 48/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr. Whitley... [3] | 120/20 | 52/5 52/8 52/13 |
| 100/11 120/8 165/6 | mushroom [1] | 52 |
| Mr. Whitley's [1] | 118/3 | 56/23 57/1 57/2 |
| 100/10 | mushroom-collectin | 57/5 57/18 58/1 |
| Ms [12] 2/6 2/7 4/4 | g [1] 118/3 | 58/23 59/9 163/19 |
| 4/12 15/13 47/5 | my [43] 4/22 | naturally [1] |
| 55/10 65/1 100/11 | 10/18 16/21 22/1 | 103/23 |
| 101/1 105/17 | 51/10 57/21 62/15 | nature [3] 6/23 |
| 118/18 | 66/12 69/13 70/17 | 73/16 88/13 |
| Ms. [4] 55/9 63/21 | 72/24 74/5 79/21 | near [2] 45/12 |
| 100/19 110/6 | 96/5 98/20 100/9 | 147/2 |
| Ms. Boepple [1] | 101/4 103/7 104/2 | nearby [1] 155/19 |
| 63/21 | 104/4 105/6 112/3 | necessarily [8] 37/4 |
| Ms. Fillmore [2] | 113/14 114/24 | 38/15 70/1 96/8 |
| 100/19 110/6 | 115/22 116/23 | 96/11 117/2 117/5 |
| Ms. O'Connor [1] | 119/14 120/18 | 117/17 |
| 55/9 | 121/21 121/24 | need [17] 10/5 |
| much [10] 58/5 | 122/20 125/2 127/3 | 10/13 11/19 12/13 |
| 60/20 67/20 72/15 | 128/11 134/13 | 36/14 67/17 100/23 |
| 84/1 84/3 95/23 | 140/17 146/5 161/7 | 110/9 114/13 |
| 101/15 106/15 | 162/6 162/13 167/5 | 114/15 114/16 |
| 141/12 | 167/7 | 115/11 124/12 |
| multiple [2] 130/8 | N | 125/4 128/15 130/5 |
| 130/22 | N.H [1] 167/18 | needed [1] 133/1 |
| MUNI [11] 3/7 3/9 | name [4] 100/10 | needs [1] 114/9 |
| 3/11 3/14 110/1 | 101/4 120/18 | negative [3] 10/20 |
| $112 / 1113 / 10$ $142 / 12142 / 24$ | 120/20 | 158/13 160/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 142 / 12142 / 24 \\ & 143 / 1151 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | narrative [5] 56/16 | negatives [1] 11/3 |
|  | 94/13 96/9 96/18 | neither [5] 121/4 |
| $100 / 9$ | nation's [1] 16/4 | 135/5 137/13 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | 165/12 165/14 | 157/6 157/6 158/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| neither... [2] | next [12] 12/16 | 158/16 158/23 |
| 137/13 167/10 | 14/2 26/17 85/9 | 167/18 |
| never [5] 12/7 | 87/1 100/9 120/9 | nodding [1] 114/8 |
| 24/19 57/2 77/2 | 135/10 142/24 | non [2] 47/11 77/1 |
| 79/23 | 143/22 154/17 | non-capable [1] |
| new [74] 1/1 1/4 1/9 | 155/3 | 77/1 |
| 2/21 3/5 10/12 17/9 | NGOs [1] 58/12 | non-governmental |
| 17/10 18/2 19/6 | NH [1] 2/17 | [1] 47/11 |
| 17/15 21/22 23/4 | NHDHR's [1] | none [3] 45/19 |
| 26/8 26/10 28/19 | 60/12 | 106/19 136/18 |
| 28/23 28/24 29/8 | Nice [1] 147/10 | normal [1] 152/9 |
| 29/9 29/10 29/15 | Nicole [5] 19/18 | normally [1] 100/4 |
| 29/22 30/16 32/20 | 22/23 25/5 28/10 | Normandeau [2] |
| 33/13 34/1 34/5 | 40/21 | 107/2 107/18 |
| 34/6 40/24 45/11 | no [53] 1/7 1/23 | north [17] 32/21 |
| 45/11 45/20 45/21 | 1/24 2/3 2/13 2/13 | 33/9 73/2 83/4 |
| 46/1 46/5 52/16 | 3/3 3/3 7/21 7/22 | 84/12 86/23 147/3 |
| 59/20 60/10 60/11 | 7/23 22/23 29/7 | 147/4 147/5 148/4 |
| 60/21 63/6 64/9 | 30/1 40/3 41/15 | 148/5 148/9 148/16 |
| 65/9 66/15 74/15 | 74/4 74/12 78/22 | 150/11 151/2 151/8 |
| 74/18 76/8 78/12 | 80/6 88/22 92/9 | 151/16 |
| 79/12 85/7 85/13 | 92/14 92/15 97/7 | north-south [1] |
| 85/14 97/1 104/8 | 97/12 98/14 98/16 | 151/8 |
| 105/5 105/17 | 99/3 100/6 104/22 | Northeast [2] 13/7 |
| 105/18 105/23 | 105/9 107/6 107/17 | 16/16 |
| 106/11 106/11 | 113/23 120/2 | northern [31] 1/8 |
| 108/16 111/14 | 121/19 122/21 | 6/2 6/17 7/9 10/8 |
| 120/21 121/5 | 128/8 129/14 | 13/10 13/19 21/10 |
| 121/14 152/18 | 140/16 145/11 | 23/23 25/8 25/14 |
| 163/22 164/2 164/5 | 146/22 152/21 | 25/15 29/22 30/14 |
| 164/23 165/10 | 155/12 156/1 157/5 | 30/16 32/7 32/11 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | 135/14 137/7 139/5 | 103/12 104/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| northern... [14] | 139/6 142/7 142/12 | observation [6] |
| 32/14 33/20 33/23 | 146/7 150/8 151/11 | 81/1 81/12 83/17 |
| 34/4 67/9 70/2 94/2 | 151/22 152/23 | 123/11 123/14 |
| 96/23 97/13 105/15 | 153/8 153/24 | 129/19 |
| 134/21 135/11 | nuance [2] 136/6 | observer [1] 59/6 |
| 138/7 154/10 | 136/7 | observing [1] 87/20 |
| Northumberland | Nubble [1] 121/19 | obstruct [2] 56/11 |
| [1] 101/11 | number [29] 2/22 | 56/13 |
| not [215] | 12/9 12/14 32/4 | obvious [1] 129/20 |
| not you're [1] | 46/18 73/2 73/4 | obviously [3] 29/7 |
| 118/6 | 79/7 79/8 81/5 | 32/19 80/6 |
| note [1] 121/17 | 82/15 83/12 85/17 | occur [1] 67/17 |
| noted [2] 145/17 | 86/16 96/4 104/14 | occurred [1] |
| 166/6 | 120/19 122/15 | 101/16 |
| notes [1] 167/6 | 124/5 124/22 126/5 | occurring [1] |
| nothing [1] 52/8 | 128/5 142/23 156/3 | 147/24 |
| noticeable [1] | 159/17 159/22 | occurs [1] 103/20 |
| 72/12 | 160/11 160/15 | ocher [2] 25/11 |
| now [45] 5/15 9/23 | 160/21 | 26/2 |
| 10/11 13/15 16/19 | numeric [1] 37/13 | October [3] 123/1 |
| 18/9 23/13 25/7 | numerical [4] 35/5 | 134/1 146/14 |
| 27/5 27/7 27/11 | 35/6 35/21 37/15 | October 2015 [3] |
| 27/13 28/6 34/11 | 0 | 123/1 134/1 146/14 |
| 67/7 73/19 80/2 | O'Connor [1] 55/9 | off [7] 23/3 120/12 |
| 80/17 90/13 90/13 | Objection [2] 70/5 | 120/13 123/6 135/2 |
| 103/5 104/18 | 165/3 | 136/1 146/10 |
| 104/20 109/24 | objective [4] 35/1 | off-the-record [2] |
| 111/21 113/8 | 35/12 36/23 36/24 | 120/13 146/10 |
| 114/23 122/22 | Objectives [1] | offer [1] 9/15 |
| 127/3 131/22 | 42/11 | offering [2] 38/18 |
| 134/12 135/7 | obligation [2] | 146/1 |


| 0 | 129/1 130/5 130/12 | opinion [8] 38/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| office [2] 121/8 | 132/4 132/15 | 60/14 75/11 83/19 |
| 126/11 | 132/17 134/5 | 94/6 97/12 97/23 |
| Officer [1] 1/13 | 134/19 135/1 136/7 | 158/18 |
| oh [6] 13/3 32/6 | 138/8 139/24 140/4 | opinions [3] 9/15 |
| 67/21 92/6 119/14 | 141/3 142/5 143/2 | 11/15 11/21 |
| 146/17 | 146/18 147/1 147/6 | opportunity [1] |
| okay [209] | 148/5 148/5 148/11 | 19/22 |
| Oldenburg [1] 1/16 | 150/4 153/23 | opposite [1] 98/2 |
| oldest [1] 16/5 | 154/14 154/17 | option [2] 100/3 |
| once [5] 39/18 | 158/22 159/20 | 145/22 |
| 52/21 119/1 126/10 | One's [1] 25/21 | orange [3] 28/22 |
| 152/13 | one-size-fit-all [2] | 29/4 29/19 |
| one [84] 6/2 6/19 | 79/9 79/10 | order [2] 124/13 |
| 7/11 7/12 8/21 10/6 | ones [4] 97/5 | 129/4 |
| 11/6 12/2 12/11 | 103/14 115/20 | organization [3] |
| 12/17 13/15 13/19 | 122/2 | 16/6 17/14 47/11 |
| 14/2 18/3 22/23 | online [1] 51/1 | organizations [4] |
| 24/2 29/4 31/2 31/2 | only [19] 1/4 55/1 | 15/20 58/13 65/8 |
| 38/20 48/1 49/9 | 59/19 60/24 61/3 | 65/11 |
| 49/11 49/15 49/20 | 61/6 71/15 78/24 | orient [3] 143/6 |
| 54/4 61/20 63/12 | 95/23 107/4 107/20 | 150/10 156/8 |
| 64/4 64/6 68/16 | 118/22 119/16 | orientation [1] |
| 69/19 75/16 78/9 | 136/8 146/21 153/9 | 156/10 |
| 79/9 79/10 82/13 | 153/22 164/16 | oriented [1] 151/8 |
| 82/22 85/9 87/24 | 166/7 | original [2] 25/10 |
| 88/2 88/17 95/7 | open [4] 76/16 83/6 | 73/5 |
| 96/5 96/7 97/4 | 88/19 141/13 | other [42] 6/23 22 |
| 100/3 100/3 109/7 | opening [4] 79/6 | 25/22 28/18 28/19 |
| 114/18 117/7 121/4 | 147/21 148/17 | 34/3 39/22 40/24 |
| 121/22 126/3 | 152/17 | 51/3 51/17 51/22 |
| 128/10 128/23 | opine [1] 73/10 | 56/3 56/14 58/12 |


| 0 | 127/22 129/18 | 104/14 118/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| other... [28] 60/3 | 130/7 136/9 138/1 | 130/12 132/8 |
| 61/16 64/21 67/1 | 148/8 152/8 158/6 | 144/17 154/11 |
| 77/1 77/18 78/16 | 159/1 160/4 160/22 | 154/17 |
| 82/21 83/18 88/17 | 163/3 165/24 | overall [16] 15/4 |
| 98/17 105/15 | out [40] 18/1 22/2 | 49/19 50/1 50/3 |
| 107/23 107/24 | 22/13 27/10 28/7 | 56/20 99/8 102/14 |
| 108/14 109/5 | 36/13 39/3 39/12 | 136/21 137/9 |
| 125/17 131/6 | 39/13 39/21 39/24 | 137/23 139/23 |
| 132/14 138/12 | 40/2 50/12 51/7 | 146/20 157/17 |
| 138/13 141/13 | 65/5 66/23 68/6 | 158/8 158/10 |
| 143/11 153/1 | 68/9 71/5 91/10 | 160/13 |
| 155/15 158/3 161/1 | 92/21 92/22 94/18 | overlap [2] 101/15 |
| 161/12 | 101/17 108/4 109/6 | 133/13 |
| others [2] 49/2 58/2 | 109/10 109/13 | overlook [21] 81/1 |
| our [61] 8/5 9/23 | 118/3 121/14 | 81/3 81/11 81/23 |
| 10/2 18/6 21/17 | 124/19 125/12 | 82/5 82/10 82/19 |
| 37/9 37/10 37/11 | 125/18 126/2 | 83/4 83/10 83/23 |
| 37/16 38/1 38/12 | 133/22 139/5 | 84/12 84/12 147/2 |
| 38/22 39/14 40/1 | 151/13 155/7 | 147/4 147/5 147/24 |
| 40/11 46/14 49/2 | 156/11 157/8 | 148/24 150/12 |
| 49/15 56/17 58/11 | outdoor [1] 16/5 | 151/2 151/16 |
| 58/15 61/14 64/17 | outdoors [1] 17/11 | 152/10 |
| 69/2 69/7 70/4 | outline [2] 117/24 | overview [1] 2/15 |
| 71/14 72/6 73/19 | 137/24 | own [2] 43/13 |
| 74/8 74/14 74/22 | outside [5] 40/24 | 102/17 |
| 75/13 75/13 82/17 | 103/2 105/16 | owned [1] 111/15 |
| 86/9 94/9 94/21 | 105/18 145/18 | owner [1] 138/23 |
| 94/21 97/15 102/3 | over [17] 6/8 6/21 | owners [1] 102/24 |
| 107/1 109/19 121/8 | 24/18 26/10 39/8 | P |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122/9 124/10 } \\ & 125 / 15 ~ 126 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | 103/1 103/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { p.m [1] 166/3 } \\ & \text { page [52] 2/3 2/13 } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | pages [12] 2/14 | 112/20 113/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| page... [50] 3/3 3/7 | 2/16 2/18 2/ | parroted [1] 159/14 |
| 12/9 12/14 12/16 | 22/19 81/7 84/18 | parsing [1] 51/7 |
| 18/21 20/23 33/17 | 89/17 123/5 123/7 | part [60] 9/2 10/22 |
| 46/14 46/23 47/17 | 132/14 | 16/12 18/5 18/11 |
| 47/23 81/5 82/14 | pair [1] 14/1 | 19/14 20/12 22/17 |
| 84/10 86/16 90/19 | Pamela [1] 1/22 | 24/11 32/8 35/16 |
| 91/9 91/11 93/14 | panel [7] 2/4 4/4 | 37/9 37/13 41/16 |
| 110/3 110/4 110/4 | 14/21 121/10 | 41/23 42/19 50/23 |
| 111/1 123/21 | 121/11 122/6 | 51/20 56/15 57/16 |
| 123/22 124/1 | 139/18 | 62/5 62/7 62/17 |
| 129/23 131/22 | panorama [1] | 63/2 63/5 64/18 |
| 133/24 134/2 | 91/16 | 73/17 73/21 74/14 |
| 134/16 135/17 | panoramic [3] | 74/14 74/19 74/19 |
| 137/8 145/3 146/16 | 91/14 92/2 143/20 | 77/8 77/19 82/17 |
| 147/13 147/14 | paragraph [3] | 86/15 87/2 93/17 |
| 150/8 150/11 | 112/4 137/9 137/14 | 95/12 95/15 112/19 |
| 150/21 153/16 | paraphrasing [1] | 112/22 113/22 |
| 153/20 153/24 | 124/8 | 114/1 116/18 118/7 |
| 154/2 156/1 156/2 | parcels [7] 114/12 | 122/13 125/13 |
| 156/18 157/12 | 115/2 115/19 | 126/2 126/20 |
| 161/20 | 116/20 116/24 | 130/16 139/18 |
| Page 14 [1] 110/4 | 117/24 119/22 | 142/20 150/20 |
| Page 24 [1] 93/14 | park [12] 80/19 | 154/18 156/6 158/5 |
| Page 6 [1] 156/1 | 80/24 81/17 82/4 | 162/8 164/6 165/16 |
| Page 6-26 [1] | 82/7 128/3 131/2 | partial [3] 78/24 |
| 133/24 | 146/9 146/16 | 79/17 80/9 |
| Page 6-40 [1] | 146/23 147/7 | partially [1] 62/24 |
| 146/16 | 150/15 | particular [17] 5/9 |
| Page 6-7 [1] 154/2 | parking [1] 83/9 | 8/14 8/23 8/24 |
| Page 6.6 [1] 153/24 | parks [5] 106/6 | 41/22 47/10 54/19 |
| Page 67 [1] 135/17 | 111/9 111/10 | 76/7 87/14 88/14 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 146/9 146/15 | 109/5 118/4 128/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| particular... [7] | pay [1] 116/7 | permission [2] |
| 90/23 93/5 106/7 | pays [2] 116/5 | 154/7 155/11 |
| 114/2 141/2 141/16 | 116/8 | person [6] 52/17 |
| 145/14 | peak [1] 147/3 | 53/6 93/5 96/3 |
| particularly [1] | pedestrian [1] | 121/9 121/10 |
| 148/24 | 138/3 | perspective [4] 9 |
| parties [1] 167/12 | Pembroke [4] | 38/10 94/3 96/7 |
| parts [1] 163/10 | 120/21 153/8 | phase [1] 14/14 |
| pass [28] 1/8 6/2 | 153/15 155/17 | photo [2] 143/22 |
| 6/17 7/9 10/8 13/10 | Pemi [5] 160/24 | 156/10 |
| 13/19 21/10 23/23 | 161/3 161/5 161/8 | photograph [13] |
| 25/8 25/14 25/15 | 161/13 | 3/14 14/5 84/15 |
| 29/22 30/16 33/20 | Pemigewasset [2] | 86/20 87/6 88/15 |
| 33/23 34/4 51/15 | 161/6 161/21 | 91/6 92/8 92/10 |
| 67/9 70/2 94/2 | people [13] 52/15 | 92/11 94/9 126/13 |
| 96/23 97/13 105/15 | 64/9 76/7 76/17 | 144/16 |
| 134/21 135/11 | 83/12 83/18 96/1 | photographic [1] |
| 138/7 154/10 | 104/15 104/16 | 94/14 |
| Pass's [1] 30/14 | 109/6 116/5 152/19 | photographs [10] |
| passed [1] 17/14 | 152/22 | 2/14 91/15 91/24 |
| passes [1] 156/11 | per [2] 58/11 | 92/5 95/2 96/1 |
| path [4] 21/22 | 149/24 | 96/11 126/9 131/6 |
| 33/19 86/21 87/13 | perceive [1] 35/18 | 143/18 |
| Patnaude [3] 1/24 | percent [1] 115/2 | photos [2] 84/14 |
| 167/3 167/17 | Perfect [1] 89/22 | 142/21 |
| Patricia [1] 1/17 | perform [1] 105/22 | photosim [8] 135/7 |
| patterns [2] 93/3 | performed [2] | 135/15 141/1 |
| 126/7 | 106/9 123/11 | 141/17 143/22 |
| pause [3] 4/19 5/13 | perhaps [10] 8/8 | 144/1 145/12 |
| 46/21 | 11/17 14/11 21/19 | 156/15 |
| Pawtuckaway [2] | 46/10 59/12 66/16 | photosims [10] |


| P | piece [3] 57/13 | planting [4] 75/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| photosims... [10] | 114/4 153/4 | 78/24 79/2 103/15 |
| 123/10 127/1 | pieces [1] 114/3 | plantings [4] 75/17 |
| 130/10 136/19 | pine [1] 154/18 | 78/8 98/17 155/11 |
| 137/1 137/13 138/5 | place [9] 39/19 | plants [1] 75/17 |
| 139/17 150/16 | 53/13 72/24 85/6 | play [2] 17/9 155/7 |
| 156/4 | 87/7 87/16 88/14 | please [19] 12/17 |
| photosims...provide | 104/14 167/6 | 16/18 18/19 19/9 |
| d [1] 123/18 | placed [2] 21/16 | 19/18 24/18 25/5 |
| photosimulation [4] | 47/10 | 27/3 27/10 28/10 |
| 81/24 145/21 148/9 | places [11] 48/3 | 47/5 86/13 89/20 |
| 149/21 | 51/16 51/17 51/19 | 89/22 90/16 91/11 |
| photosimulations | 64/15 66/22 136/10 | 109/22 113/12 |
| [12] 68/7 77/24 | 141/11 141/12 | 162/9 |
| 78/1 78/2 87/23 | 142/5 148/4 | Plouffe's [1] 21/20 |
| 95/5 95/6 102/3 | plainly [2] 156/22 | plug [1] 58/20 |
| 128/22 130/8 131/5 | 156/23 | Plymouth [1] |
| 141/14 | plan [6] 73/6 73/7 | 101/12 |
| physical [1] 64/14 | 76/20 108/14 | point [39] 24/7 |
| pick [2] 37/2 83/14 | 133/17 134/8 | 24/15 27/10 28/9 |
| picked [1] 88/4 | planner [1] 76/12 | 40/3 46/10 51/10 |
| picking [1] 118/4 | planning [6] 4/21 | 53/7 56/14 57/21 |
| picks [1] 78/19 | 8/2 8/7 47/13 76/11 | 75/2 81/1 81/12 |
| picture [9] 126/20 | 108/1 | 81/17 82/24 83/17 |
| 134/24 135/8 | plans [13] 18/11 | 85/3 87/8 87/14 |
| 142/24 143/14 | 18/14 20/18 24/10 | 87/20 88/5 89/1 |
| 151/19 152/1 152/4 | 64/12 106/4 107/3 | 90/23 93/5 95/12 |
| 156/11 | 107/4 107/10 | 100/23 125/1 |
| pictures [8] 12/2 | 107/19 107/20 | 127/24 128/6 |
| 134/13 134/17 | 108/3 125/17 | 129/12 129/20 |
| 136/6 136/12 150/1 | plant [2] 76/23 | 130/17 130/17 |
| 151/12 154/13 | 79/14 | 131/11 131/13 |

point... [4] 131/17
134/11 134/16
146/17
points [9] 9/4
123/11 123/14
127/20 127/22
129/17 129/19
130/22 161/13
pole [5] 13/16 13/17
75/22 79/13 80/3
poles [3] 13/9 13/23
14/12
policy [2] 106/21
116/13
pond [5] 90/10 91/1 91/5 92/23 94/18 popular [1] 147/6 population [1]
109/2
populations [1]
108/18
portion [5] 25/23
68/4 86/20 144/12 157/13
portions [3] 99/5 99/18 99/19
posed [1] 14/20
positives [1] 11/2 possibility [4] 84/2 89/8 98/24 109/7 possible [21] 9/10

45/9 48/20 61/12 61/19 64/5 71/7
72/3 72/14 72/16 82/21 83/1 84/7 84/9 87/19 88/9 89/4 98/19 108/24 109/2 155/2 possibly [2] 74/21 125/18
post [1] 109/3 posted [4] 106/16 106/20 110/2 165/1 posting [4] 164/24 165/11 165/13 165/15 potential [7] 64/19 76/13 85/24 94/2 145/16 145/21 146/1 potentially [3] 85/20 85/21 85/23 power [6] 5/6 5/17 7/1 7/2 7/6 26/8 practice [2] 39/15 41/9
predominant [1] 148/7
prefer [2] 110/11 112/17
prefiled [2] 75/14 94/21
premised [1] 139/7
prepare [4] 18/5 52/20 56/16 56/17 prepared [2] 25/2 135/15
preparing [1] 68/14 prescribe [1] 37/23
presence [2] 137/19 137/21
present [6] 1/12 1/19 101/22 149/11 149/16 167/8
presented [3] 38/22 67/11 71/13
preserve [3] 16/14 133/19 134/6
Presiding [2] 1/13 1/13
pretty [5] 16/10
17/1 83/22 93/15 129/20
prevent [1] 102/22 previous [2] 15/9 123/22
previously [1] 149/15
primary [2] 21/4 84/14
Printout [1] 2/17 prior [2] 34/22 121/3
private [15] 42/21 62/2 62/22 62/23

| P | programs [2] 2/20 | 156/15 157/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| private... [11] 63/1 | 106/10 | 157/23 158/8 |
| 155/20 156/4 156/7 | project [88] 2/14 | 158/18 159/5 |
| 156/21 164/14 | 5/6 5/9 5/18 6/1 6/3 | 159/22 |
| 164/18 165/2 | 6/6 6/8 6/15 6/18 | projects [11] 6/19 |
| 165/11 165/15 | 7/2 7/6 7/8 7/9 7/12 | 6/21 6/24 7/3 8/12 |
| 165/17 | 7/13 7/17 7/19 8/2 | 10/11 13/1 13/5 |
| privately [1] | 8/3 8/4 8/6 9/8 12/5 | 39/15 43/6 122/15 |
| 111/15 | 12/22 13/2 13/6 | prominence [1] |
| probably [8] 6/22 | 13/10 14/9 23/24 | 17/24 |
| 11/4 17/1 40/7 46/1 | 24/3 33/20 67/9 | prominent [1] 17/9 |
| 54/14 89/1 96/14 | 67/11 68/1 68/17 | promote [2] 16/13 |
| proceed [1] 4/5 | 71/17 73/20 74/7 | 20/1 |
| proceedings [1] | 74/20 74/21 74/24 | promotion [1] |
| 167/6 | 87/7 87/22 94/2 | 17/11 |
| process [6] 11/12 | 97/16 99/8 101/21 | promotional [2] |
| 57/3 59/7 64/2 | 102/11 102/11 | 50/23 50/24 |
| 77/19 159/5 | 102/20 104/23 | proper [1] 98/19 |
| produced [1] | 105/10 105/15 | properties [6] 48/2 |
| 139/17 | 121/24 122/4 | 60/13 62/22 63/1 |
| product [1] 158/6 | 122/12 122/16 | 160/9 160/11 |
| productive [1] | 123/19 124/23 | property [25] 54/22 |
| 119/15 | 126/8 127/17 | 56/22 57/8 57/9 |
| profession [1] 49/2 | 127/22 128/18 | 57/10 58/1 62/2 |
| professional [8] | 129/5 137/18 | 102/24 111/16 |
| 22/5 36/10 36/21 | 137/20 139/9 | 113/24 115/22 |
| 37/24 38/1 39/15 | 139/12 139/17 | 116/6 154/10 |
| 48/16 94/5 | 139/21 141/17 | 155/22 156/4 156/7 |
| profile [1] 17/19 | 142/2 143/23 144/9 | 156/16 156/22 |
| profit [2] 7/13 8/6 | 147/17 148/11 | 164/14 164/17 |
| Program [2] | 149/24 152/14 | 164/19 165/2 |
| 163/23 164/4 | 154/23 155/10 | 165/11 165/15 |


| P | proving [1] 38/19 | 124/3 145/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| property... [1] | public [62] 1/9 | published [2] 65/1 |
| 165/17 | 1/14 1/17 1/17 | 108/11 |
| proposals [2] 3/4 | 15/10 15/15 15/18 | pull [10] 16/17 |
| 36/12 | 37/1 42/22 42/23 | 18/18 19/8 22/21 |
| propose [1] 59/2 | 43/2 43/19 45/2 | 40/15 46/16 80/15 |
| proposed [10] 7/9 | 45/4 45/16 46/7 | 90/4 91/2 133/22 |
| 25/8 25/23 26/5 | 47/11 50/12 52/1 | purple [2] 85/8 |
| 30/16 31/7 87/22 | 52/17 52/17 52/18 | 85/16 |
| 101/21 108/6 | 55/2 55/7 55/12 | purpose [13] 7/16 |
| 119/21 | 55/12 55/14 55/21 | 19/16 19/21 20/8 |
| proposing [1] 48/2 | 57/11 57/13 62/1 | 20/11 26/21 26/23 |
| protect [2] 106/12 | 62/3 62/10 62/13 | 30/3 42/11 42/24 |
| 120/4 | 62/23 65/17 69/16 | 43/2 44/9 44/13 |
| protected [2] | 79/22 106/16 107/8 | purposes [13] 4/21 |
| 112/21 117/9 | 111/11 112/18 | 8/5 9/7 10/7 28/15 |
| protection [2] 74/9 | 112/22 113/1 114/1 | 30/14 43/19 43/20 |
| 113/6 | 116/5 116/10 | 61/14 62/3 103/15 |
| provide [5] 19/21 | 117/17 118/11 | 103/16 112/2 |
| 74/24 96/17 131/5 | 118/11 118/15 | pursue [1] 155/5 |
| 141/16 | 124/7 126/6 135/9 | put [11] 34/10 |
| provided [18] 2/22 | 148/1 149/4 152/12 | 49/18 50/2 54/23 |
| 35/22 39/11 68/18 | 156/24 157/6 | 55/2 76/22 86/3 |
| 68/19 94/15 95/4 | 162/23 165/1 | 93/6 107/13 155/23 |
| 95/7 95/24 96/7 | public's [8] 50/6 | 159/21 |
| 141/1 142/13 | 51/23 52/7 52/11 | putting [2] 57/13 |
| 145/21 151/24 | 63/2 82/24 84/4 | 162/15 |
| 156/5 159/1 160/13 | 1/5 | Q |
| 160/14 | pubiicly [12] 48/ $48 / 755 / 155 / 11$ | qualifications [1] |
| provides [1] 161/20 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 / 1461 / 161 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 6/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { providing [2] 104/6 } \\ & 142 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | 62/4 117/8 119/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { qualified [2] 9/11 } \\ & 165 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |


| Q | 118/14 | rather [4] 6/5 17/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| qualifies [1] 124/20 | questioning [1] | 66/17 160/17 |
| qualify [1] 115/2 | 65/17 <br> questions [14] 4/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rating [5] 3/6 34/24 } \\ & 36 / 437 / 258 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| qualifying [1] | q/15 11/9 26/18 | Raven [1] 76/2 |
| qualities [1] 19/24 | 36/18 55/9 70/22 | re [4] 1/7 2/18 3/1 |
| quality [5] 17/20 | 93/22 100/7 101/7 | 3/12 |
| 41/24 44/8 157/5 | 101/15 119/17 | reach [2] 154/24 |
| 163/1 | 121/2 133/12 | 159/6 |
| quantifiable [1] | quintessential [1] | reached [1] 157/9 |
| 54/21 | 45/11 | reaching [1] 159/9 |
| quarter [2] 163/15 | quite [10] 4/24 | read [9] 21/7 21/12 |
| 164/7 | 10/24 25/9 43/7 | 39/19 47/5 52/20 |
| Quebec [3] 3/5 | 43/7 48/21 75/15 | 132/18 133/2 |
| 32/16 33/1 | 78/21 108/22 | 134/13 142/23 |
| question [38] 14/20 | 111/20 | reading [5] 20/7 |
| 30/9 30/10 32/9 | R | 20/15 22/10 124/16 |
| 33/8 45/7 49/10 | Rachel [1] 1/17 | 136/16 |
| 64/4 66/12 70/17 | raise [5] 113/21 | real [4] 114/3 114/4 |
| 71/11 96/5 98/8 | 114/9 114/14 | 114/13 115/8 |
| 98/21 105/6 105/7 | 114/16 114/22 | realized [1] 39/20 really [9] 24/6 |
| 111/3 111/4 112/3 | raised [1] 114/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { really [9] 24/6 } \\ & 52 / 1254 / 1165 / 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 114/24 115/22 | range [2] 10/11 | 65/8 83/24 90/2 |
| 116/23 118/6 | 11/18 | 119/15 128/10 |
| 118/16 119/10 | ranges [1] 85/17 | $\text { rear [1] } 156 / 16$ |
| 122/20 125/2 126/2 | ranked [1] 160/16 | reason [5] 7/7 |
| $127 / 3128 / 11$ $128 / 16133 / 14$ | ranking [4] 35/20 | 112/10 125/21 |
| 128/16 133/14 <br> 140/17 141/20 | 149/17 152/11 | 132/13 164/18 |
| 160/20 161/7 162/7 | 160/8 | reasonable [1] |
| 165/8 <br> questioned [1] | rate [2] 41/24 115/23 | $70 / 12$ <br> reasons [2] 109/8 |


$|$| $R$ |
| :--- |
| reasons... [1] 124/3 | reassess [1] 73/17 recall [3] 35/2

121/22 153/14
receipt [2] 117/11 117/12
receive [1] 68/13 received [3] 18/16 113/5 119/23
receiving [2] 120/3 120/4
recent [2] 69/7 69/19
recess [2] 63/18 166/2
recognizable [1] 44/16
recognize [6] 12/4 62/21 64/15 86/17 89/24 90/8
recognized [3]
17/19 58/22 76/13
recollection [1] 143/12
recommendations [1] 10/19
recommends [2]
133/17 134/8
record [8] 81/4
89/15 93/12 120/12 120/13 123/8

132/18 146/10 records [1] 15/10 recourses [1] 68/8 recreation [12]
16/5 106/4 111/9
111/10 111/17
112/20 115/4 116/4
116/6 117/18 118/8 120/1
recreational [3]
20/3 116/11 118/16
red [3] 25/8 25/10
29/24
Redington [1]
121/19
reduce [1] 145/23
reduced [2] 111/16 119/23
reducing [1] 116/6
reduction [2] 115/3
115/21
reference [4] 83/1
110/19 122/23
123/4
referenced [2]

## 12/12 84/13

referencing [1]
149/23
referred [2] 34/24 112/3
referring [4] 72/19 106/8 122/24

164/10
refined [1] 128/9
refining [1] 72/21
regarding [5]
106/10 111/8
164/24 165/11
165/13
regional [1] 108/1
Regions [1] 16/16
Register [13] 48/3
52/8 52/13 53/1
54/23 56/2 56/23
57/1 57/2 57/5
57/18 58/24 59/9
registered [1]
131/16
regrow [1] 104/12
regulate [1] 106/12
related [5] 5/8 5/17 48/9 57/24 167/11 relates [1] 5/19
relation [1] 159/20
relationship [1] 88/18
relative [4] 93/9
93/9 155/16 167/13
relatively [2] 26/18 29/2
relevance [1] 122/5
reliability [7] 5/6
5/17 7/6 7/12 7/19
8/3 12/5

## R

relied [16] 15/14 60/9 60/12 61/10 64/11 65/2 65/10 103/17 104/24
105/1 106/22 107/1 107/4 107/21
108/10 109/18
relocation [2] 73/1 73/4
rely [1] 101/21 relying [1] 58/12 remember [1] 134/9
removed [3] 31/22 149/19 154/18 repeat [2] 105/7 128/11
rephrase [1] 165/8 replicated [1] 77/7 report [17] 46/13 46/14 82/16 87/2 90/8 94/22 95/16
122/22 123/1 124/2 134/1 138/1 139/18 146/14 151/15 157/13 163/4
reporter [4] 1/24 47/8 167/4 167/18 reports [3] 107/24 108/1 136/4
represent [9] 85/17

101/5 112/2 120/19 research [4] 24/12 127/17 128/7
136/12 139/19 140/3
representation [1] 126/21
representative [10] 13/2 14/11 83/10 83/16 95/24 125/23
127/10 128/18
129/19 141/9
represented [1]
122/14
representing [2]
25/12 101/9
represents [2]
139/22 141/8
request [2] 142/14
142/15
require [7] 39/24
74/12 74/13 89/12 140/10 140/19 154/6
required [8] 38/3 39/2 67/21 70/11 106/16 106/20
157/21 159/12
requirement [2] 97/11 158/23 requirements [4] 49/12 53/19 59/19 95/6

105/22 106/10
111/13
residential [1] 62/3 residents [1] 19/22 resource [74] 3/6 18/1 24/5 42/6 47/10 50/8 59/20 61/1 61/23 62/5
62/7 62/18 66/23
82/7 82/17 83/22
91/22 97/19 97/19
97/21 97/21 106/7
112/18 123/12
124/4 124/22
125/24 126/22
127/11 127/18
127/19 128/7
128/19 129/2 129/5
130/5 130/11
130/13 130/17
131/11 131/15
134/6 134/15
136/23 139/1 140/9
140/12 140/13
140/21 140/23
140/23 142/2
144/23 144/23
145/6 145/18
146/15 153/10
157/1 160/18
160/18 161/1 161/4

## R

resource... [11]
161/5 161/6 162/2
162/4 162/9 162/19
163/6 163/9 163/12 164/20 165/18
resource-by-resour ce [3] 97/19 97/21 160/18
resources [56] 3/12 8/10 9/11 9/14
15/11 18/2 18/3
23/22 24/1 29/13
30/23 31/9 34/14
35/1 36/8 36/12
40/24 42/1 47/14
51/14 54/20 55/18
60/11 60/16 61/8
61/13 61/18 64/8
64/19 66/1 66/4
67/4 67/5 67/7 67/8
82/22 94/1 97/1
106/3 106/5 106/13
108/7 109/1 111/6
112/18 129/17
129/24 146/2
153/15 155/17
159/23 160/7
160/15 160/21
161/12 161/14 respects [2] 6/5 6/11
response [3] 6/5 142/18 142/20 responsibility [1] 103/21
restate [1] 32/9 restore [4] 103/12 103/22 103/22 104/17
result [3] 77/5 77/12 115/7
results [1] 72/10
resume [1] 4/3
resumed [2] 2/4 63/19
retained [4] 8/16 9/7 73/18 74/20 retention [1] 20/2
return [1] 165/24 review [6] 18/11 21/9 39/3 43/5 49/19 75/5
reviewed [3] 21/11
93/14 158/6
reviewers [1] 131/7 reviewing [2] 18/11 21/10
ridge [1] 56/12 right [130] 4/18 7/5 11/24 13/15 15/8
16/19 23/11 23/13 30/8 30/15 31/5 31/11 31/17 38/5

39/1 42/21 43/8 43/11 43/16 49/13 49/23 50/2 50/22
51/9 53/22 57/22
58/24 60/16 60/20 62/10 62/15 63/14 66/3 66/5 67/24 68/3 68/6 69/3
70/10 70/13 71/6
71/16 71/23 73/19
73/24 74/16 75/16 76/15 77/21 79/10 82/11 82/13 83/18 88/1 88/5 92/19
94/13 94/16 94/20
95/14 95/21 95/23 100/8 104/20 111/5 111/12 112/19
116/1 118/6 119/14 123/12 124/1
124/11 124/13
125/14 126/15
126/16 126/23
129/13 129/21
129/23 130/6
130/23 130/24
132/8 132/12
132/21 133/5 133/8
133/10 133/20
134/22 135/3
135/10 137/8 138/8
138/15 139/5 139/6

## R

right... [31] 142/11
142/11 144/17
145/7 146/23 147/2
147/3 148/2 149/5
149/8 149/12 150/6
154/7 154/13
154/13 154/19
154/19 155/21
156/5 156/10
156/14 157/14
157/19 159/14
161/4 162/5 162/13
164/17 164/20
165/1 165/23
right-hand [2]
132/8 137/8
right-of-way [12]
30/15 31/5 31/11
67/24 68/3 68/6
69/3 70/10 70/13
71/6 76/15 154/19
rights [1] 102/18 rise [2] 78/3 78/3 rises [1] 52/6 rising [3] 77/23
78/21 79/13 river [24] 50/13
51/8 52/3 86/22 87/15 153/9 153/23
154/16 156/12 160/24 161/3 161/6

| $161 / 9$ | $161 / 9$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $161 / 13$ | $64 / 1367 / 14$ |
| $69 / 8$ |  |

161/21 162/1 162/4 163/14 163/18
163/20 163/22
164/1 164/2
rivers [2] 50/18

## 164/4

road [14] 23/18
55/11 55/12 76/7
76/18 76/22 77/6
77/11 79/23 83/9
88/16 95/20 128/1
156/24
roads [5] 28/18 28/18 55/14 64/16 109/9
roadway [6] 61/24 62/3 76/4 78/9 78/15 81/21
roadways [1] 28/24 role [1] 17/9
roofline [1] 135/2
roughly [3] 77/3

## 125/4 151/5

route [43] 8/7 8/17
8/18 8/24 9/18 9/18
10/20 25/8 25/14
26/15 28/5 32/7
32/11 32/21 32/24
33/2 33/8 33/14
33/18 33/19 33/20
33/21 33/22 33/24

69/14 69/20 75/4
86/23 87/24 94/12
98/15 99/5 99/10
99/12 99/13 99/17
108/6 119/21
119/23 120/20
routes [5] 8/12 8/14
25/13 27/7 51/22
RSA [3] 21/3 112/1
167/19
rule [5] 3/11 3/12
39/2 112/12 116/16
rules [32] 36/15
37/19 37/20 37/22
38/10 38/13 38/17
38/23 38/24 39/17
39/19 39/24 41/1
41/11 43/17 43/24
44/10 48/14 48/22
49/12 53/20 67/4
89/12 113/8 117/10
117/20 118/24
119/8 157/4 158/23
159/11 164/21
run [1] 44/13
rural [2] 20/2 39/22
S
safe [1] 71/4
said [25] 10/8 14/13
14/15 15/9 18/10
33/8 35/11 36/1

| $\mathbf{S}$ | 72/1 72/19 79/1 | 20/14 20/19 21/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| said... [17] 40/13 | 79/16 81/16 82/3 | 21/5 21/17 22/4 |
| 49/13 56/2 56/7 | 83/21 85/19 92/20 | 22/4 22/8 23/3 |
| 65/1 65/13 65/16 | 93/8 96/14 96/22 | 23/22 24/2 24/8 |
| 65/20 94/16 97/2 | 98/6 102/5 103/13 | 27/3 27/8 28/6 |
| 99/14 107/17 | 103/20 104/21 | 28/17 30/22 31/9 |
| 107/20 122/14 | 105/8 105/13 | 31/20 31/21 31/23 |
| 129/16 155/12 | 105/14 106/9 | 32/3 32/18 32/20 |
| 161/20 | 108/24 113/22 | 33/5 33/12 33/13 |
| sales [1] 113/24 | 114/2 114/9 118/2 | 34/1 34/14 35/1 |
| same [19] 25/10 | 118/15 124/14 | 36/7 42/1 44/8 |
| 33/17 33/17 37/3 | 127/23 130/18 | 44/15 47/14 48/8 |
| 68/24 84/4 84/5 | 132/23 136/8 136/9 | 49/22 50/8 50/13 |
| 85/10 87/5 87/6 | 159/6 162/9 | 50/16 51/21 52/1 |
| 91/6 91/24 92/8 | saying [3] 43/24 | 54/19 55/17 56/3 |
| 92/10 92/11 115/1 | 52/2 83/2 | 58/16 60/24 61/23 |
| 115/6 138/11 159/8 | says [10] 22/11 26/8 | 62/5 62/7 62/18 |
| satisfied [1] 10/15 | 47/6 110/3 111/9 | 64/8 64/15 64/16 |
| saw [3] 27/6 87/3 | 112/16 112/17 | 64/19 66/1 67/3 |
| 109/11 | 134/24 136/8 | 67/5 67/6 67/8 76/4 |
| say [70] 6/23 8/23 | 157/16 | 76/22 86/21 86/22 |
| 10/7 10/17 12/23 | scale [1] 39/16 | 87/14 87/15 89/10 |
| 14/9 16/7 17/17 | scales [1] 32/1 | 89/11 94/1 96/24 |
| 22/14 28/21 30/2 | scattered [1] 42/17 | 106/13 108/2 108/7 |
| 34/5 36/2 37/7 | scenario [1] 70/16 | 111/6 112/17 |
| 37/17 37/23 39/10 | scenery [2] 148/2 | 124/21 128/1 128/7 |
| 40/4 40/6 41/19 | 148/5 | 129/13 129/17 |
| 43/22 49/6 50/10 | scenes [1] 95/19 | 129/24 130/17 |
| 54/23 55/4 55/4 | scenic [116] 2/18 | 130/20 131/8 |
| 58/16 58/21 59/10 | 2/21 3/12 8/10 9/10 | 131/10 131/12 |
| 59/11 59/14 65/22 | 15/11 18/2 18/10 | 131/15 131/19 |
| 66/14 67/21 71/23 | 18/13 19/24 20/2 | 132/21 132/24 |


| S | 39/17 39/23 41/11 | 25/19 25/24 26/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scenic... [15] 140/9 | 43/5 48/14 48/22 | 26/7 26/12 26/15 |
| 145/6 145/18 | 49/5 49/12 53/19 | 27/8 27/10 27/13 |
| 153/15 155/17 | 55/6 67/4 73/20 | 27/14 27/21 28/5 |
| 157/1 157/5 159/22 | 73/21 83/21 84/8 | 28/7 28/15 28/16 |
| $163 / 13163 / 17$ | 94/24 95/4 97/11 | 28/17 28/19 31/14 |
| /20 164/1 | 112/9 122/11 131/7 | 31/15 31/20 31/20 |
| 4/20 165/17 | 141/21 157/4 | 31/22 46/12 47/19 |
| scenically [1] 109/4 | 158/23 159/12 | 48/14 50/15 52/21 |
| scheme [1] 111/14 | 160/14 | 54/18 61/5 62/11 |
| scoring [3] 35/5 | SEC's [1] 34/17 | 69/15 69/18 72/2 |
| 35/6 37/14 | second [12] 48/7 | 76/17 77/6 77/12 |
| screen [10] 4/18 | 95/1 120/10 128/10 | 78/20 78/22 79/21 |
| 80/6 84/24 95/18 | 132/17 137/2 | 80/1 80/3 80/1 |
| 123/15 123/23 | 137/10 145/4 149 | 80/22 82/1 |
| 132/1 137/4 139/8 | 150/1 154/5 157/8 | 89/2 91/ |
| 155/23 | section [13] 3/10 | 95/11 98/10 1 |
| screened [1] 141/3 | 20/20 20/22 20/24 | 109/20 111/6 |
| screening [8] 79/11 | 26/7 32/11 33/18 | 111/11 112/1 |
| 98/17 102/20 103/6 | 36/18 46/15 85/12 | 113/21 115/9 |
| 103/16 104/7 | 112/6 129/23 | 124/1 |
| /22 154/9 | 140/18 | 132/1 132/6 132/10 |
| screens [1] 5/21 | Section 5.2.1 [1] | 16 134/1 |
| scroll [2] 12/16 | 46/15 | 135/9 135/17 13 |
| 20/21 | Section 6.2 [1] | 37/11 139/24 |
| scrolled [2] 13/12 | 129/23 | 141/10 141/11 |
| 111/1 | sections [1] 42/11 | 149/22 149/23 |
| se [2] 58/11 149/24 | see [95] 5/20 13/6 | 150/20 152/2 |
| SEC [38] 1/6 1/7 | 13/9 13/19 13/24 | 152/23 152/23 |
| 1/19 1/20 1/20 1/22 | 14/7 14/19 22/3 | 153/1 153/22 |
| 36/16 37/10 37/19 | 22/10 25/3 25/7 | 154/16 158/19 |
| 37/20 37/22 38/3 | 25/12 25/16 25/18 | seeing [8] 5/21 53/6 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | Serv [1] 1/15 | 83/24 85/19 90/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seeing... [6] 141/13 | Service [2] 1/9 58/7 | 93/20 117/10 |
| 150/2 150/3 150/4 | services [1] 74/24 | 117/11 118/12 |
| 150/5 153/7 | session [6] 1/4 | 128/13 130/15 |
| seem [1] 153/5 | 34/21 34/23 41/7 | show [12] 25/4 |
| seems [5] 20/9 21/8 | 166/4 166/7 | 37/10 84/21 87/13 |
| 110/13 110/14 | set [7] 10/1 10/2 | 87/21 129/5 135/1 |
| 110/21 | 10/12 11/14 43/16 | 135/23 141/17 |
| seen [9] 12/7 24/23 | 133/14 167/7 | 151/22 154/14 |
| 49/3 69/23 72/2 | setting [2] 48/4 | 161/22 |
| 73/7 77/24 79/23 | 64/17 | showed [2] 84/23 |
| 146/21 | seven [2] 6/7 47/24 <br> several [5] 82/1 | 148/11 <br> showing [6] |
| sees [2] 86/3 95/19 | 91/15 101/5 119/1 | 27/6 86/20 110/17 |
| 128/6 | 147/15 | 145/21 152/8 |
| selected [4] 44/4 | Sewer [1] 120/22 | shown [9] 13/11 |
| 125/21 127/4 127/8 | shades [1] 85/16 | 84/14 85/7 85/8 $\mathbf{9 5 / 1 7} 95 / 18137 / 1$ |
| selecting [1] 126/14 | shall [1] 21/3 | 95/17 95/18 137/1 |
| Senator [1] 83/6 senior [1] 145/19 | sheet [2] 2/15 16/22 <br> shelter [1] 100/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 137 / 24144 / 11 \\ & \text { shows [8] 23/10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| sense [5] 44/15 | Sherburne [5] | 25/7 35/23 85/11 |
| 49/24 115/16 150/9 | 142/8 142/21 143/4 | 117/12 139/23 |
| 158/9 | 144/6 146/4 | 141/2 156/9 |
| sensitivity [1] 58/8 | shore [1] 164/8 | 27/17 27/21 27/21 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { senten } \\ 145 / 4 \end{gathered}$ | Short [3] 4/19 5/13 | 132/8 134/2 138/12 |
| separate [2] 161/14 | 46/21 | 138/14 143/8 151/7 |
| 166/5 | shorten [1] 4/23 | 154/15 163/15 |
| ```September [1] 1/3 septic [1] 117/21 series [2] 47/24 65/23``` | Shorthand [1] 167/3 should [13] 22/14 34/12 38/20 66/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { side-by-side [2] } \\ & 27 / 17 \text { 27/21 } \\ & \text { sidewalk [1] 143/7 } \\ & \text { significance [10] } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 112/12 155/14 | some [81] 4/22 6/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| significance... [10] | site-specific [3] | 6/5 6/11 8/6 8/20 |
| 48/4 48/19 49/1 | 77/17 79/4 155/14 | 10/20 11/16 13/5 |
| 49/11 49/16 50/1 | sites [9] 48/8 59/23 | 13/13 13/13 14/12 |
| 52/5 53/2 53/20 | 65/18 80/14 80/18 | 17/20 31/12 38/23 |
| 56/24 | 86/7 96/13 96/15 | 39/20 41/20 45/22 |
| significant [2] | 99/7 | 46/4 49/7 51/16 |
| 104/22 105/9 | sitting [1] 69/15 | 51/19 52/17 52/17 |
| signs [1] 21/24 | situation [8] 10/17 | 53/22 56/14 57/21 |
| similar [14] 6/2 6/4 | 11/6 11/7 33/6 72/7 | 57/24 59/12 61/8 |
| 6/12 6/23 13/13 | 78/11 84/5 105/9 | 61/12 61/13 62/12 |
| 13/18 45/14 46/5 | situations [8] 6/4 | 64/7 64/15 66/4 |
| 47/13 49/3 74/6 | 36/11 39/20 39/22 | 66/9 66/13 69/5 |
| 108/21 163/8 164/3 | 72/4 74/18 75/1 | 69/24 70/19 70/21 |
| similarities [1] 6/14 | 155/14 | 71/7 71/10 72/4 |
| simple [1] 10/24 | six [6] 47/24 117/18 | 75/11 77/12 78/7 |
| simply [3] 31/1 | 118/2 118/8 159/2 | 83/15 89/1 95/15 |
| 70/17 110/18 | 159/2 | 95/18 96/13 96/24 |
| sims [2] 135/23 | size [3] 30/21 79/9 | 98/17 101/14 |
| 137/20 | 79/10 | 101/17 102/22 |
| since [3] 32/19 | skill [4] 10/1 10/2 | 103/10 104/6 104/7 |
| 68/21 122/2 | 11/14 167/8 | 104/23 106/4 |
| single [11] 13/16 | skyline [1] 78/4 | 113/11 115/22 |
| 13/16 84/15 87/5 | slightly [3] 69/3 | 121/1 121/3 122/4 |
| 126/4 130/18 161/4 | 92/1 162/24 | 125/21 125/23 |
| 162/2 162/4 162/8 | Slowly [1] 47/8 | 132/13 134/12 |
| 162/19 | small [3] 108/16 | 136/10 139/8 |
| sit [1] 122/3 | 108/17 109/1 | 141/10 144/21 |
| site [13] 1/2 1/10 | snapshot [1] 129/1 | 152/19 154/2 |
| 1/12 3/11 3/12 | so [233] | 159/14 163/6 165/1 |
| 14/18 14/23 76/12 | Society [4] 4/5 4/16 | somebody [4] 74/8 |
| 77/17 79/4 102/4 | 25/2 135/16 | 77/5 92/20 95/19 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 76/24 95/10 116/9 | 117/18 117/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| somehow [1] 37/1 | 155/6 155/8 158/19 | 134/10 141/22 |
| someone [10] 61/24 | sorts [1] 61/16 | 155/14 163/11 |
| 62/13 71/23 71/24 | sound [1] 112/22 | specifically [4] |
| 72/15 77/22 94/18 | source [2] 109/19 | 40/18 43/20 45/1 |
| 121/7 143/15 153/6 | 128/23 | 117/23 |
| someone's [1] 53/4 | sources [5] 47/14 | specifics [2] 11/20 |
| something [17] | 64/12 64/21 67/1 | 78/11 |
| 6/10 34/9 34/10 | 125/18 south [2] 151/6 | spend [1] 4/21 <br> spent [5] 36/17 |
| 36/13 47/18 52/5 | south [2] 151/6 $151 / 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spent [5] 36/17 } \\ & 62 / 1272 / 21117 / 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 52/24 56/1 57/15 | southeasterly [1] | 62/12 72/21 117/11 117/12 |
| 57/17 63/5 66/20 107/13 109/11 | $29 / 12$ | spliced [1] 143/19 |
| 125/5 138/20 | southwestern [1] | SPNF [23] 2/14 |
| 157/22 | 42/19 | 2/15 2/17 2/19 2/21 |
| sometimes [4] 8/16 | space [3] 62/24 | 3/4 3/6 5/22 12/15 |
| 11/21 54/16 54/17 | 110/15 110/21 | 12/15 16/17 18/18 |
| somewhat [3] 7/18 | spacing [1] 72/22 | 19/8 20/23 24/22 |
| 13/18 92/4 | spatial [4] 132/11 | 40/15 42/3 42/5 |
| sophistication [1] | 133/2 140/9 140/19 | 91/3 91/9 91/18 |
| 108/19 | speaking [2] 15/19 | 93/12 135/16 |
| sorry [19] 15/17 | 151/18 | spoke [1] 161/1 |
| 22/19 23/11 23/13 | species [3] 76/23 | stages [1] 8/2 |
| 24/19 24/20 29/19 | 76/24 77/1 | stamped [2] 93/13 |
| 32/10 32/23 42/4 | specific [29] 10/17 | 93/16 |
| 113/16 117/4 117/5 | 11/20 12/6 12/20 | stamps [2] 93/19 |
| 132/12 133/23 | 20/20 22/2 22/12 | 93/20 |
| 145/4 153/13 156/1 | 43/1 53/19 54/16 | stand [6] 119/13 |
| 161/19 | 77/17 79/4 80/10 | 138/21 139/8 |
| sort [14] 11/8 25/10 | 80/14 80/17 82/22 | 139/11 141/3 144/1 |
| 26/131/22 36/11 | 86/7 88/1 97/4 97/5 | standards [4] 10/5 |
| 49/6 72/18 74/7 | 99/7 107/10 112/10 | 10/13 10/15 10/18 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 135/5 | stitched [1] 91/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| standing [3] 143/6 | states [7] 21/2 | stone [2] 81/19 |
| 144/9 144/13 | 42/18 42/20 123/10 | 84/13 |
| Stark [1] 85/6 | 123/18 132/18 | stop [2] 109/16 |
| start [3] 104/13 | 134/20 | 148/1 |
| 121/1 130/12 | static [1] 95/2 | stops [2] 26/11 29/5 |
| starting [2] 24/7 | station [5] 31/17 | storm [2] 103/11 |
| 24/15 | 31/18 73/2 73/4 73/9 | 104/11 <br> straight [1] 23/3 |
| starts [1] 124/17 | 73/9 statute [14] 19/1 | straight [1] 23/3 <br> street [3] 1/4 138/5 |
| state [39] 1/1 17/14 | statute [14] 19/1 $19 / 4$ 19/12 19/13 | street [3] 1/4 138/5 $143 / 11$ |
| 18/15 19/24 21/15 | 20/12 20/16 20/21 | stretch [3] 17/17 |
| 21/17 22/3 22/8 | 20/12 20/16 $22 / 10$ 22/16 111/19 | 33/22 67/21 |
| 23/22 28/23 29/10 | 22/10 22/16 111/19 | stretches [2] 99/11 |
| 58/18 80/19 80/24 | 118/15 119/7 | 99/12 |
| 81/17 82/4 82/7 | statutes [2] 48/23 | strictly [2] 36/23 |
| 105/4 105/24 106/5 | 118/23 | 75/3 |
| 106/6 106/6 113/4 | statutory [1] | structure [33] |
| 113/5 113/18 | 111/14 | 13/17 22/12 57/11 |
| 113/23 114/2 114/3 | stay [2] 74/20 74/21 | 59/14 59/16 68/11 |
| 128/3 131/2 146/9 | steel [2] 13/17 80/3 | 68/16 68/19 68/20 |
| 146/16 146/21 | steeple [3] 135/11 | 68/24 72/10 72/20 |
| 150/14 163/19 | 135/19 138/12 | 74/11 79/19 79/24 |
| 165/10 | stenographic [1] | 80/2 80/7 117/21 |
| state's [2] 20/5 | 167/5 | 132/16 132/19 |
| 60/15 | step [2] 49/15 155/3 | 133/6 134/4 135/1 |
| stated [1] 164/15 | Steven [4] 1/24 | 135/12 135/19 |
| statement [7] 17/2 | 120/18 167/3 | 136/17 138/7 |
| 35/2 36/2 95/3 | 167/17 | 138/11 138/18 |
| 129/7 138/15 | sticking [1] 80/4 | 140/11 140/20 |
| 139/15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { still }[4] 40 / 877 / 12 \\ 70 / 12114 / 6 \end{gathered}$ | 144/2 145/23 structures [27] |



| $\mathbf{S}$ | talk [12] 7/5 61/18 | 116/4 119/23 120/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| system... [9] 37/12 | 65/7 67/7 75/9 | taxable [1] 115/7 |
| 37/14 58/21 59/7 | 80/13 97/4 127/19 | Taxation [2] 3/9 |
| 64/6 116/5 116/7 | 128/9 131/23 | 3/10 |
| 116/10 117/21 | 134/12 154/2 | taxed [2] 115/19 |
| systems [1] 107/9 | talked [18] 40/23 | 115/21 |
| T |  | taxes [2] 115/24 |
| T.J [6] 65/19 65/21 | 65/12 74/2 74/4 |  |
| 65/22 78/1 78/7 | 75/14 75/17 80/17 | 115/14 |
| 135/7 | 98/18 127/6 131/10 | team [1] 158/7 |
| table [5] 35/22 | 133/10 155/21 | technical [3] 34/21 |
| 69/16 153/15 | talking [28] 9/13 | 34/23 41/7 |
| 153/22 155/17 | 32/7 32/24 32/24 | telephoto [1] 152/6 |
| tailored [1] 43/20 | 33/2 36/17 55/5 | tell [6] 14/17 23/20 |
| take [27] 8/17 9/6 | 55/20 57/10 61/15 | 46/22 70/8 75/21 |
| 10/16 10/17 16/21 | 62/12 63/7 64/1 | 84/22 |
| 22/14 42/2 42/4 | 72/17 82/4 82/6 | temporary [1] 14/7 |
| 50/12 53/23 58/20 | 82/6 88/1 94/8 94/9 | ten [2] 63/17 67/21 |
| 63/15 63/17 67/11 | 102/6 102/13 | ten-mile [1] 67/21 |
| 67/14 67/16 86/11 | 103/14 111/22 | term [9] 6/6 36/24 |
| 89/13 104/11 | 127/20 137/23 | 48/14 48/15 48/17 |
| 104/14 117/15 | 146/20 160/24 | 48/18 56/1 59/23 |
| 126/9 139/3 143/14 | talks [4] 20/24 | 123/20 |
| 148/2 151/11 | 117/23 132/5 | terms [5] 17/10 |
| 165/24 | 165/15 | 21/24 95/1 108/18 |
| taken [8] 1/23 8/18 | tall [1] 79/12 | 117/16 |
| 63/18 71/9 94/3 | taller [1] 31/7 | TERRENCE [2] |
| 94/4 166/2 167/6 | tax [10] 111/16 | 2/4 4/9 |
| taking [5] 14/23 | 113/23 113/24 | testified [9] 5/4 |
| 50/9 51/9 85/12 | 113/24 114/18 | 5/18 15/14 40/18 |
| 152/12 | 114/19 115/13 | 44/5 60/7 61/9 75/7 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 148/12 161/15 | 34/13 39/7 41/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| testified... [1] | 165/22 | 47/16 51/5 58/22 |
| 126/24 | that [819] | 59/16 59/18 65/5 |
| testify [1] 33/7 | that's [173] | 66/23 69/23 74/10 |
| testimony [22] 3/8 | their [30] 16/13 | 86/6 93/19 102/14 |
| 6/1 15/9 18/9 34/22 | 16/13 17/2 17/4 | 105/7 120/3 122/2 |
| 39/8 40/3 46/11 | 17/9 17/21 38/4 | 124/18 125/12 |
| 70/6 75/14 94/21 | 42/16 43/10 45/24 | 126/11 126/11 |
| 94/21 110/16 119/1 | 48/3 48/4 48/9 51/1 | 126/13 128/6 |
| 121/3 121/10 | 52/9 92/23 95/6 | 129/13 131/18 |
| 121/18 139/16 | 97/9 107/8 107/8 | 132/8 134/24 |
| 149/10 156/20 | 108/13 108/19 | 135/22 138/10 |
| 161/2 161/24 | 109/5 110/15 113/5 | 139/11 142/24 |
| texture [1] 78/18 | 115/4 116/6 116/7 | 143/12 143/19 |
| than [17] 29/24 | 119/3 142/6 | 145/13 150/19 |
| 30/16 43/5 53/9 | theirs [1] 142/4 | 156/11 157/18 |
| 66/17 67/2 72/15 | them [31] 13/13 | 163/10 |
| 105/15 108/18 | 13/13 17/24 18/7 | there [146] 7/7 7/8 |
| 119/1 124/24 125/8 | 27/17 27/21 38/14 | 7/10 7/18 8/6 8/18 |
| 130/5 130/12 134/5 | 39/19 44/24 49/19 | 11/18 16/23 19/18 |
| 137/20 150/4 | 55/17 61/7 65/14 | 23/10 23/11 23/16 |
| thank [31] 4/6 | 65/15 66/10 69/17 | 23/16 24/7 24/9 |
| 12/18 22/22 25/5 | 69/23 89/7 96/18 | 28/12 28/16 28/17 |
| 28/11 31/13 33/18 | 107/11 107/16 | 29/13 31/18 32/20 |
| 34/8 34/8 34/12 | 108/6 110/17 | 33/12 34/14 34/14 |
| 47/1 59/23 63/23 | 118/10 120/20 | 34/19 35/7 35/9 |
| 89/19 90/6 93/11 | 123/5 123/13 | 35/12 35/19 38/13 |
| 93/15 96/19 100/6 | 125/14 134/14 | 39/2 43/16 45/2 |
| 101/13 113/1 120/6 | 160/8 163/6 | 45/4 45/9 45/21 |
| 129/9 129/21 | then [46] 16/4 | 46/4 47/4 48/1 |
| 131/14 131/14 | 16/12 19/6 27/20 | 48/11 52/22 59/3 |
| 131/20 147/5 | 29/11 30/9 32/10 | 59/4 61/12 61/13 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 140/6 142/20 144/1 | 35/15 43/11 53/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there... [101] 61/16 | 144/21 144/22 | 61/23 71/8 89/9 |
| 61/19 69/1 69/5 | 146/19 147/15 | 96/5 |
| 70/24 72/4 73/3 | 147/15 148/19 | thereof [1] 160/23 |
| 73/14 73/15 74/24 | 148/20 149/5 | these [43] 5/12 |
| 76/13 78/2 78/22 | 150/21 151/14 | 10/11 10/12 10/18 |
| 78/23 79/17 82/1 | 152/23 155/15 | 12/4 12/7 12/20 |
| 82/2 82/15 82/21 | 156/9 157/6 157/16 | 13/1 13/9 20/4 |
| 83/7 85/3 87/19 | 158/19 159/7 159/7 | 23/24 28/19 31/13 |
| 88/24 89/22 91/20 | 161/12 164/17 | 36/11 49/7 51/14 |
| 92/21 95/10 97/23 | there's [50] 6/22 | 51/22 74/7 80/8 |
| 98/3 98/6 98/11 | 7/19 7/22 11/2 | 84/19 84/21 84/22 |
| 98/13 98/13 99/3 | 20/20 25/20 25/2 | 86/6 86/9 86/15 |
| 99/8 99/11 103/5 | 26/7 31/5 31/5 36/2 | 91/24 92/5 96/11 |
| 103/17 104/5 | 36/21 36/22 45/21 | 110/1 113/17 |
| 104/20 104/21 | 47/24 50/15 51/2 | 116/24 118/23 |
| 105/9 107/7 108/4 | 51/19 53/9 69/16 | 133/12 136/5 |
| 109/5 109/7 109/11 | 80/6 82/11 83/3 | 136/12 136/14 |
| 113/15 113/18 | 89/8 92/17 95/23 | 136/14 136/16 |
| 117/10 117/11 | 98/13 98/16 98/23 | 136/18 154/24 |
| 118/7 121/18 | 99/14 99/24 100/17 | 155/11 156/3 167/6 |
| 121/23 123/17 | 112/10 113/23 | they [93] 12/21 |
| 123/23 124/17 | 114/3 122/1 129/12 | 13/8 14/11 17/8 |
| 124/23 126/2 | 132/9 138/16 | 17/18 20/17 22/1 |
| 127/23 129/16 | 143/18 148/4 | 22/2 23/17 34/20 |
| 130/18 132/1 132/8 | 148/19 148/22 | 37/23 38/5 38/7 |
| 133/13 134/1 | 149/10 152/15 | 38/9 38/10 38/20 |
| 134/20 135/10 | 152/16 158/23 | 43/13 44/23 44/24 |
| 135/18 136/10 | 159/7 159/17 | 45/18 50/6 50/8 |
| 137/2 137/4 137/10 | 163/19 | 50/12 50/14 50/24 |
| 137/17 138/24 | therefore [11] 8/8 | 51/1 51/2 51/9 |
| 139/5 139/8 139/11 | 11/15 33/12 34/6 | 51/13 51/13 52/12 |


| T | 52/22 53/6 59/6 | 140/17 141/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| they... [62] | 63/2 72/1 74/1 | 142/1 144/5 149 |
| 52/20 52/21 59/8 | 85/21 85/23 92/22 | 158/9 160/1 162/6 |
| 62/14 64/15 65/22 | 93/3 96/7 96/8 | 162/13 165/4 |
| 65/24 66/5 66/6 | 108/21 124/3 130/2 | thinking [1] 144/20 |
| 66/7 66/8 67/20 | 134/14 136/16 | third [4] 25/24 |
| 69/22 70/2 70/20 | 138/4 138/8 140/3 | 130/21 137/17 |
| 71/2 71/5 73/22 | 140/4 141/24 | 154/5 |
| 76/17 77/2 78/9 | 162/21 | this [238] |
| 83/18 89/4 89/8 | thing [4] 21/12 25/ | thorough [1] 106 |
| 93/4 95/10 95/10 | 107/20 113/14 | thoroughly [1] 84/1 |
| 95/11 102/21 103/1 | things [14] 44/18 | those [105] 5/20 |
| 108/7 108/12 109/3 | 49/18 50/7 54/4 | 6/14 6/14 7/11 7/14 |
| 114/10 114/10 | 61/17 63/12 75/16 | 8/14 9/4 12/2 12/9 |
| 114/11 114/12 | 101/17 126/3 150/3 | 17/21 20/14 21/3 |
| 114/13 114/14 | 155/15 159/18 | 26/19 27/7 29/2 |
| 114/15 114/15 | 159/20 163/2 | 29/3 35/23 36/17 |
| /23 118/24 | think [48] 6/6 6/10 | 37/9 38/16 39/18 |
| 119/2 119/5 125/18 | 8/21 9/20 10/22 | 41/6 41/18 43/19 |
| 130/13 130/15 | 11/3 13/24 21/21 | 43/20 43/22 44/10 |
| 138/6 138/8 138/10 | 27/22 36/9 41/2 | 47/17 49/24 50/2 |
| 138/10 138/23 | 41/16 45/20 46/3 | 50/7 53/23 57/24 |
| 139/21 142/5 | 48/21 49/3 52/14 | 58/3 58/20 58/20 |
| 142/22 144/3 | 65/16 66/6 72/6 | 59/19 60/17 60/18 |
| 152/23 153/1 153/2 | 74/23 76/9 78/9 | 60/22 61/20 62/24 |
| 160/10 | 83/21 83/22 108/22 | 64/4 65/2 66/2 66/ |
| they'd [1] 142/1 | 109/15 116/12 | 66/7 66/9 66/14 |
| they'll [1] 73/24 | 117/13 117/15 | 66/24 67/8 69/6 |
| they're [33] 17/13 | 122/20 126/24 | 69/10 69/19 69/21 |
| 17/19 18/3 38/7 | 127/21 130/20 | 70/18 71/10 72/5 |
| 38/8 50/7 50/15 | 136/9 136/1 | 72/8 74/18 75/11 |
| 51/6 51/7 52/2 | 139/15 139/23 | 75/18 78/6 81/22 |


| T | 124/14 124/15 | 91/16 143/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| those... [41] 82/13 | 124/19 124/24 | too [5] 39/4 56/7 |
| 84/14 89/2 99/18 | 125/7 129/11 | 56/7 57/6 101/15 |
| 99/18 100/22 | 129/16 | took [3] 66/21 |
| 109/17 115/19 | through [17] 4/22 | 88/14 144/16 |
| 117/24 124/12 | 11/9 13/12 18/15 | tool [1] 61/6 |
| 124/14 125/12 | 22/10 51/15 52/16 | top [17] 23/9 25/22 |
| 126/10 127/13 | 57/2 57/7 62/14 | 47/17 76/22 80/7 |
| 129/20 130/10 | 65/23 78/13 98/17 | 81/15 81/16 81/21 |
| 135/5 136/3 136/4 | 111/2 121/3 124/17 | 83/4 126/12 134/19 |
| 136/17 137/1 | 138/3 | 134/24 136/7 148/3 |
| 137/13 138/9 144/2 | throughout [8] | 148/7 148/18 |
| 147/16 148/12 | 42/18 44/18 50/16 | 154/15 |
| 148/14 154/14 | 104/8 127/22 | topic [1] 119/10 |
| 158/22 159/2 159/8 | 140/14 141/10 | total [1] 115/7 |
| 159/20 160/9 | 164/15 | totally [3] 35/12 |
| 160/17 161/22 | time [21] 4/22 4/23 | 39/6 92/12 |
| 162/18 162/20 | 4/24 11/1 35/8 | touch [2] 129/10 |
| 162/22 163/2 | 36/17 54/12 62/12 | 157/8 |
| 163/11 164/21 | 63/15 71/1 71/13 | touched [1] 138/19 |
| though [10] 35/4 | 71/19 73/5 79/5 | tourism [1] 107/23 |
| 37/12 38/7 66/14 | 85/11 101/22 102/3 | towards [3] 23/9 |
| 107/21 123/8 125/4 | 102/6 138/12 | 76/16 144/18 |
| 158/12 161/7 163/5 | 159/11 167/9 | tower [10] 81/18 |
| thought [4] 29/18 | times [1] 56/15 | 81/19 81/20 81/21 |
| 100/13 161/24 | Title [2] 2/19 3/9 | 83/5 84/13 135/11 |
| 164/14 | titled [1] 3/4 | 135/19 141/3 |
| thousand [4] | today [6] 5/23 | 144/12 |
| 108/18 114/11 | 29/23 30/4 30/11 | towers [1] 80/8 |
| 114/19 115/13 | 110/2 151/24 | town [22] 18/11 |
| three [11] 69/17 | together [6] 14/1 | 18/14 62/19 64/13 |
| 72/9 84/13 124/12 | 49/19 49/24 50/2 | 76/5 76/5 76/12 |

town... [15] 106/3
106/20 107/6
107/13 108/24
109/2 109/17
113/16 114/3 114/9
115/14 116/8
120/23 125/17
153/8
town's [1] 133/18 town-based [1]

## 106/3

towns [10] 101/5
101/9 106/1 106/17 107/5 107/9 108/5
108/16 108/21

## 119/20

towns' [1] 64/12 traditional [2] 133/19 134/7
traffic [1] 87/17 trail [7] 17/16 56/13 107/8 148/17 148/19 148/20
148/22
trails [3] 16/15 83/8 112/20
transcript [2] 166/6 167/5
transect [1] 51/14 transition [4] 73/1 73/4 73/8 85/4
translate [1] 53/3 transmission [9] 1/8 3/4 6/21 6/24
7/13 124/22 132/9 132/16 152/24
transportation [3] 1/16 2/19 93/10 travel [1] 19/23
Tree [1] 104/15 treeline [2] 79/19 80/4
trees [13] 63/10
71/2 134/20 135/23 138/9 138/17
138/21 138/24
139/8 139/11 141/3 144/2 144/2
trial [1] 128/2 tried [3] 93/24 94/5 132/12
trimmed [1] 149/16 trip [1] 142/6
true [2] 96/14 167/5 try [4] 41/10 78/17 95/2 126/8
trying [5] 21/21
55/22 59/2 80/12
124/11
turn [6] 122/22
135/6 137/7 142/7
146/7 153/8
TV [1] 109/22
two [25] 5/12 25/20 27/16 50/4 59/19 87/23 87/24 89/3
92/5 112/8 121/13 133/14 134/17
136/4 138/6 141/14 143/18 146/21
148/4 148/10
150/22 152/24
154/14 157/17 160/7
type [10] 8/4 13/9
23/18 45/9 49/3
54/15 77/7 104/19 106/7 108/23
types [7] 13/5 45/19
58/1 92/17 108/14 117/18 129/17
typical [1] 104/8
typically [3] 49/1
71/17 130/19

## U

Uh [2] 91/17 138/19
Uh-huh [2] 91/17

## 138/19

ultimate [6] 117/24 122/12 157/9
157/14 158/14 159/9
ultimately [4] 53/5 53/15 58/9 73/15 umbers [1] 123/7

| U | u |
| :---: | :---: |
| unable [1] 155/10 | $40$ |
| under [28] 10/12 | underwa |
| 13/23 14/12 14/18 | 26/5 |
| 14/24 20/24 37/19 | unfortunately |
| 37/20 38/17 42/13 | 32/17 |
| 42/15 43/10 43/14 | unique [2] 20 |
| 44/21 45/23 47/22 | 162/20 |
| 48/6 106/11 115/3 | United [2] 42/18 |
| 117/16 132/11 | 42/19 |
| 132/16 133/2 154/5 | unless [4] 30/18 |
| 157/3 159/2 166/5 | 47/18 119/13 |
| 167/8 | 127/12 |
| underground [4] | unlikely [2] |
| 25/13 26/5 68/4 | 88/11 |
| 99/10 | unlimited [1] |
| underground/und | 110/2 |
| water [1] 26/5 | unnecessary [1] |
| underlying [1] | 110 |
| 103/9 | unreasonable [14] |
| understand [18] | 96/23 97/8 97/13 |
| 5/24 11/13 31/4 | 97/17 97/23 98/4 |
| 41/1 41/10 62/11 | 98/14 99/1 99/4 |
| 71/15 96/8 96/9 | 99/14 99/19 100/5 |
| 113/12 127/6 | 158/14 159/13 |
| 127/14 127/14 | up [50] 4/18 7/7 |
| 128/8 129/3 131/7 | 9/17 16/17 18/18 |
| 136/5 154/22 | 19/8 22/20 22/21 |
| understanding [6] | 24/11 25/9 34/14 |
| 16/14 38/22 103/7 | 37/2 40/15 40/21 |
| 107/12 111/5 | 46/16 47/23 58/8 |
| 162/14 | 63/8 66/1 78/19 |

79/19 80/4 80/15 83/8 84/11 91/2 95/1 109/24 110/20 111/2 111/21
112/16 113/8
113/21 115/24
115/24 120/9 121/3
123/15 129/6
130/11 133/14
133/23 134/18
143/2 145/20
148/13 152/22
155/4 158/10
updated [1] 73/7
Upham [4] 142/10
143/7 144/14
145/18
upon [18] 36/10
54/12 58/12 64/11
65/10 93/3 103/18
106/22 107/5
108/10 109/18
125/15 129/1 129/2
133/19 134/7 159/1 160/3
uppermost [1] 81/17
urban [1] 20/2
us [19] 14/17 23/14 23/20 25/4 29/18
31/24 37/8 38/13
41/24 43/6 46/22

| $\mathbf{U}$ | 153/7 | 37/15 53/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| us... [8] 47/5 59/12 | user [3] 8/1 82/12 | vantage [2] 90/23 |
| 68/18 71/13 84/22 | 152/10 | 130/22 |
| 100/20 118/11 | user's [1] 148/23 | variables [1] 99/24 |
| 143/6 | using [9] 35/4 36/2 | variety [11] 6/20 |
| use [47] 3/10 15/10 | 37/12 38/4 64/5 | 13/11 23/16 23/19 |
| 37/8 41/20 43/24 | 71/18 83/13 91/21 | 45/18 58/4 58/4 |
| 48/17 48/18 49/1 | 95/2 | 63/9 64/21 76/23 |
| 49/9 55/24 56/16 | usually [3] 71/20 | 82/11 |
| 60/22 61/4 61/14 |  | various [2] 106 |
| 75/21 75/23 77/18 | Utilities [2] 1/13 | 132/3 |
| 78/5 86/6 87/8 | 1/14 | veers [1] 26/10 |
| 99/20 107/1 108/19 | utility [6] 12/24 | vegetated [1] 77/6 |
| 109/21 111/22 | 13/2 13/5 13/6 | vegetation [34] |
| 112/4 113/2 115/3 | 22/11 22/13 | 78/5 78/14 78/16 |
| 116/4 116/6 117/6 | utilized [2] 30/13 | 78/18 78/23 79/14 |
| 117/7 117/17 118/1 | 75/19 | 101/22 102/2 102/5 |
| 118/5 118/11 | V | 102/7 102/8 102/9 |
| 118/11 118/16 | valuation [1] | 102/13 102/19 |
| 118/20 124/7 126/6 | 114/16 | 102/23 103/2 103/5 |
| 147/18 149/4 | value [27] $21 / 15$ | 103/8 103/10 |
| 157/21 159/12 | value $44 / 15$ 46/12 47/4 | 103/13 103/17 |
| 162/23 165/2 | 47/6 47/7 47/7 47/9 | 103/22 104/23 |
| used [26] 24/5 24/6 | 47/9 47/16 47/21 | 105/1 105/2 138/16 |
| 34/24 36/4 36/4 | 47/22 48/6 48/7 | 149/7 149/12 |
| 41/22 41/23 43/22 | 49/20 49/22 50/16 | 149/15 149/19 |
| 49/2 49/4 57/16 | 49/20 49/22 50/16 $\mathbf{5 4 / 2 4} 517$ | 149/20 149/22 |
| 60/22 61/8 62/2 | 63/6 114/5 114/13 | 151/18 151/20 |
| 64/7 65/12 82/22 | 114/20 115/8 | verification [1] |
| 83/5 84/15 86/9 | 115/12 115/13 | 86/5 |
| 103/15 116/10 | valued [1] 114/1 | verify [1] 125/12 |
| 122/9 131/1 136/4 | values [3] 36/7 | verifying [1] 66/24 |


| $\mathbf{V}$ | 150/14 153/9 | vi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vermont [14] | view [42] 8/24 50/3 | 82/15 126/5 132/ |
| 21/23 32/13 32/14 | 50/6 52/3 52/7 | 133/7 136/3 139/2 |
| 32/15 32/19 32/21 | 56/11 56/13 61/17 | 148/10 148/12 |
| 33/3 33/6 33/10 | 76/16 77/14 77/14 | 148/14 161/13 |
| 33/14 33/22 34/13 | 81/14 83/11 86/21 | views [11] 64/16 |
| 41/4 65/9 | 87/8 87/20 89/11 | 102/10 102/20 |
| vernacular [4] | 91/14 92/23 92/24 | 134/21 135/24 |
| 47/19 48/11 48/13 | 93/6 93/7 138/11 | 136/8 136/9 136/24 |
| 51/18 | 138/18 139/24 | 138/7 139/9 152/9 |
| versed [1] 165/12 | 141/9 141/13 143/3 | viewshed [3] 68/7 |
| version [1] 123/6 | 143/10 143/10 | 125/15 147/21 |
| versions [1] 121/21 | 147/10 148/5 148/6 | village [12] 45/3 |
| versus [7] 7/20 8/3 | 148/7 148/8 149/8 | 46/1 50/18 62/14 |
| 9/18 10/6 11/6 | 149/20 150/2 | 62/19 63/4 63/7 |
| 21/23 159/22 | 150/23 151/13 | 63/8 63/13 133/19 |
| very [29] 6/5 11/22 | 152/6 152/12 | 134/7 134/10 |
| 18/23 22/9 23/6 | viewer [13] 79/5 | villages [4] 44/21 |
| 42/9 43/1 44/9 | 82/1 92/9 92/12 | 44/23 45/1 133/18 |
| 44/14 54/16 58/5 | 92/16 93/24 94/7 | visibility [16] 79/18 |
| 67/1 67/2 70/15 | 94/16 94/23 95/3 | 84/24 85/1 85/11 |
| 76/5 77/15 77/17 | 95/9 95/9 95/13 | 86/1 101/24 124/6 |
| 79/2 79/3 80/10 | viewer's [3] 82/23 | 126/7 132/19 133/6 |
| 84/3 141/2 141/16 | 92/3 92/4 | 137/11 140/5 |
| 142/7 147/9 148/7 | viewers [1] 92/18 | 140/11 140/20 |
| 153/6 155/14 | viewing [1] 79/7 | 149/7 159/22 |
| 162/15 | viewpoint [11] | visible [27] 17/13 |
| VIA [12] 37/10 | 79/20 82/22 126/4 | 24/4 30/23 76/10 |
| 40/11 56/17 66/4 | 130/18 144/22 | 85/17 85/20 85/21 |
| 105/21 133/24 | 145/9 148/16 | 85/23 89/5 89/9 |
| 136/23 137/3 | 148/17 148/21 | 126/9 134/5 135/1 |
| 146/15 150/12 | 149/6 149/18 | 135/24 136/11 |


| $\mathbf{V}$ | 122/13 126/16 | 33/16 40/12 87/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| visible... [12] | 126/20 127 | 151/9 |
| 136/18 136/20 | 131/24 132/5 | wants [1] 84/8 |
| 137/22 139/12 | 132/20 133/6 | warning [1] 100/20 |
| 139/19 140/15 | 133/15 135/14 | was [101] 20/13 |
| 141/18 142/3 144/9 | 136/13 136/15 | 21/21 21/21 22/22 |
| 144/13 156/23 | 137/9 139/1 145/19 | 25/1 32/7 34/4 34/4 |
| 156/23 | 146/20 146/22 | 34/13 35/11 35/12 |
| visibly [1] 148/11 | 147/12 147/14 | 39/4 39/17 40/5 |
| visit [2] 108/5 | 149/17 153/11 | 46/23 59/2 65/4 |
| 152/19 | 154/1 163/1 | 65/10 66/7 67/1 |
| visited [1] 142/5 | vouch [1] 16/7 | 70/12 70/17 73/3 |
| visiting [1] 54/13 | W | 73/6 74/12 75/17 |
| visitors [2] 19/22 | walk [2] 62/15 63/3 | 77/5 77/8 77/12 |
| 148/14 | walked [3] 76/12 | 77/13 78/12 78/13 |
| visits [1] 125/13 visual [70] $3 / 65 / 5$ | 83/7 83/8 | 78/17 78/22 82/18 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { visual [70] } \\ \mathbf{3 / 6} \\ \mathbf{6} / 16 \text { 5/17 } \\ 7 / 15 \\ 7 / 23 \end{gathered}$ | walking [2] 138/3 | 83/6 83/10 84/24 |
| 7/24 8/13 9/13 10/9 | 138/4 | 87/11 87/15 89/16 |
| 14/22 15/4 18/6 | want [32] 12/1 22/3 | 90/1 93/14 93/18 |
| 20/13 39/3 39/12 | 23/13 23/14 31/13 | 97/23 99/11 100/4 |
| 41/2 42/6 43/12 | 55/21 76/17 79/21 $\mathbf{8 0 / 1 3} 96 / 2198 / 4$ | 101/22 102/1 102/2 |
| 54/1 54/1 54/9 | 80/13 $117 / 20$ $118 / 3$ $119 / 9$ | 102/4 103/17 |
| 56/20 64/3 68/14 | 121/1 122/11 | 104/19 108/10 |
| 68/22 71/14 72/11 | 122/22 129/10 | 108/11 108/12 |
| 75/13 78/15 84/11 | 134/12 134/16 | 109/16 110/18 |
| 84/19 87/9 88/10 | 135/14 137/7 | 121/17 121/19 |
| 91/22 94/9 94/11 | 138/23 142/1 142/7 | 121/22 121/23 |
| 95/8 95/15 96/6 | 146/7 146/17 150/8 | 121/23 121/24 |
| 96/10 99/9 101/20 | 151/22 153/8 157/8 | 121/24 122/4 |
| 104/22 105/10 | 160/24 | 122/16 122/16 |
| 116/14 122/8 | wanted [5] $7 / 5$ | 127/8 127/15 |


| W | 95/11 98/14 98/16 | 146/13 149/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| was... [28] 127/24 | 102/10 114/1 117/2 | 150/9 158/24 |
| 131/10 135/7 139/7 | 117/4 119/2 129/4 | 162/15 164/21 |
| 140/4 144/21 | 129/4 151/10 | we've [40] 6/6 6/20 |
| 145/14 146/6 147/2 | 154/19 158/8 159/4 | 6/24 9/21 10/13 |
| 148/10 150/12 | 160/6 163/8 165/19 | 10/15 13/11 18/4 |
| 150/14 151/18 | ways [3] 58/5 109/6 | 33/20 35/22 37/8 |
| 156/20 156/21 | 145/23 | 38/22 40/20 49/3 |
| 158/3 158/6 159/10 | we [321] | 64/16 66/13 72/5 |
| 160/9 160/21 | we'd [1] 100/13 | 72/7 72/7 75/7 |
| 160/22 161/2 161/6 | we'll [5] 34/10 | 77/24 79/12 82/16 |
| 161/10 162/1 | 137/2 150/1 165/24 | 84/13 94/15 95/4 |
| 164/17 164/19 | 165/24 | 95/7 95/24 122/7 |
| 165/16 | we're [58] 4/3 4/17 | 122/14 125/16 |
| wasn't [5] 64/23 | 5/8 5/19 12/4 12/10 | 131/9 133/10 |
| 70/20 105/6 121/23 | 12/14 13/15 18/22 | 144/11 158/24 |
| 140/6 | 27/7 29/1 29/21 | 160/14 160/16 |
| waste [1] 110/15 | 33/16 34/9 34/9 | 160/16 160/16 |
| water [6] 44/17 | 34/18 41/17 41/20 | 160/18 |
| 93/9 118/5 120/22 | 43/24 53/18 54/15 | wealth [1] 108/3 |
| 163/14 164/7 | 54/20 55/5 55/20 | weathering [1] |
| waters [1] 16/15 | 57/13 57/14 57/14 | 13/17 |
| way [47] 1/15 8/9 | 58/12 58/22 63/7 | Weathersby [1] |
| 14/1 30/15 31/5 | 71/12 72/17 74/15 | 1/17 |
| 31/11 38/23 44/6 | 76/14 96/20 97/4 | website [7] 2/18 |
| 44/14 48/24 49/9 | 97/9 108/22 116/12 | 16/22 18/15 18/21 |
| 50/11 53/18 60/24 | 116/13 123/17 | 23/4 107/14 109/5 |
| 61/3 67/2 67/2 67/3 | 126/2 126/13 | websites [6] 64/20 |
| 67/24 68/3 68/6 | 127/20 128/8 | 106/16 106/20 |
| 69/3 70/10 70/13 | 137/23 139/4 | 106/22 107/23 |
| 71/6 76/15 80/6 | 141/13 141/19 | 108/20 |
| 88/17 91/21 92/13 | 143/1 143/6 143/9 | week [1] 40/4 |


| W | 141/19 148/3 149/6 | 157/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weeks [6] 80/19 | 0 159/4 16 | west [5] 42/19 |
| 80/24 81/17 82/4 | 162/4 162/15 | 138/4 138/8 138/10 |
| 82/7 83/6 | 163/19 165/12 | 138/14 |
| weigh [2] 158/24 | went [10] 39/12 | wetland [1] 69/4 |
| 159/20 | 39/13 40/1 65/5 | what [156] 5/21 |
| weighed [3] 158/20 | 65/23 83/5 89/7 | 7/24 9/16 10/5 11/3 |
| 158/21 159/8 | 104/24 105/3 107/9 | 11/5 11/6 11/20 |
| weighted [3] 37/12 | were [59] 8/22 | 14/2 14/14 14/24 |
| 37/14 37/20 | 11/13 13/19 15/16 | 17/2 20/12 21/22 |
| well [74] 6/19 9/23 | 15/17 20/14 21/9 | 21/23 22/10 22/15 |
| 11/8 12/11 14/4 | 23/21 30/13 33/19 | 22/22 25/19 27/18 |
| 16/10 18/3 21/24 | 33/24 39/17 39/21 | 28/24 29/12 29/14 |
| 25/9 25/20 29/16 | 44/10 55/9 61/15 | 30/20 30/22 32/3 |
| 29/20 32/23 37/13 | 64/1 65/2 65/18 | 32/5 32/12 33/5 |
| 37/15 37/22 38/4 | 68/13 68/14 69/1 | 35/20 36/13 37/19 |
| 38/22 44/12 44/24 | 69/8 69/22 70/9 | 38/16 39/10 40/10 |
| 45/18 49/20 50/10 | 78/10 88/4 88/8 | 40/12 41/9 41/16 |
| 50/23 51/7 52/6 | 88/15 89/16 98/13 | 42/15 44/4 46/17 |
| 53/9 53/11 53/22 | 99/3 103/10 104/10 | 46/23 47/17 48/12 |
| 58/21 59/14 60/17 | 107/10 107/20 | 48/19 48/21 49/16 |
| 62/19 63/9 63/10 | 108/7 109/5 109/15 | 52/20 52/21 53/4 |
| 65/4 65/22 66/6 | 111/10 113/2 | 53/6 53/6 54/5 |
| 69/15 70/23 71/8 | 123/11 133/12 | 54/13 54/18 55/19 |
| 71/11 74/2 79/20 | 136/4 138/20 | 55/21 55/21 55/22 |
| 81/20 83/3 83/15 | 142/20 142/22 | 56/24 57/1 57/9 |
| 84/21 87/11 89/7 | 144/17 145/16 | 58/10 58/12 59/2 |
| 92/17 95/17 95/24 | 156/3 159/8 159/9 | 61/9 65/21 66/3 |
| 98/13 106/2 107/22 | 159/23 160/9 161/3 | 67/5 69/8 70/1 71/2 |
| 110/3 117/1 122/7 | 161/8 161/14 162/1 | 71/10 71/15 73/1 |
| 124/16 125/1 | 164/16 | 73/13 73/18 74/1 |
| 127/19 134/9 141/8 | weren't [2] 75/5 | 74/19 76/23 77/22 |


| W | what's [5] 30/9 | 39/23 46/11 47/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| what... [75] 78/19 | 103/5 | 48/11 51/16 61/2 |
| 83/5 83/16 84/22 | 151/22 | 85/6 88/14 93/6 |
| 86/2 86/2 86/7 | whatsoever [2] | 93/8 98/10 123/18 |
| 86/19 87/1 87/10 | 152/22 153/6 | 124/4 125/18 126/6 |
| 87/13 88/21 89/5 | wheelhouse [1] | 126/8 131/23 |
| 89/11 91/20 94/7 | 9/22 | 136/10 138/17 |
| 94/24 95/4 95/9 | when [51] 7/19 | 140/15 141/2 |
| 95/11 95/17 96/2 | 8/11 9/2 11/1 28/14 | 141/17 142/2 |
| 97/15 98/7 98/22 | 35/5 35/6 35/20 | 144/16 146/20 |
| 99/6 101/16 104/16 | 37/21 39/11 43/22 | 147/24 150/12 |
| 105/22 106/21 | 49/18 50/7 50/24 | 151/1 157/13 |
| 108/12 109/10 | 51/13 51/13 53/16 | 163/10 164/17 |
| 109/24 113/1 113/7 | 53/23 54/18 59/11 | Whereas [1] 130/20 |
| 114/10 114/11 | 65/22 66/14 68/13 | wherever [1] 51/1 |
| 114/14 114/15 | 68/14 70/8 71/14 | whether [17] 14/9 |
| 115/11 115/12 | 74/7 78/14 81/16 | 23/23 29/14 52/7 |
| 117/4 117/20 118/1 | 82/3 83/7 93/16 | 52/12 56/10 57/3 |
| 119/10 122/23 | 96/6 100/20 102/5 | 57/16 73/10 74/10 |
| 123/7 124/14 | 103/13 113/2 | 97/8 97/9 97/12 |
| 124/20 125/4 | 113/22 115/22 | 97/16 118/6 119/22 |
| 126/13 128/20 | 124/11 124/14 | 165/16 |
| 129/16 131/18 | 126/2 127/19 138/9 | Whew [1] 34/8 |
| 134/2 139/3 139/6 | 145/16 148/3 | which [53] 8/4 |
| 140/3 141/9 141/13 | 152/15 159/8 | 19/23 27/18 41/23 |
| 141/21 150/9 | 159/12 162/2 163/5 | 42/5 42/12 44/18 |
| 150/19 152/7 152/8 | where [48] 8/22 9/3 | 49/11 51/17 51/17 |
| 155/3 158/20 | 25/7 26/15 26/19 | 67/5 71/2 77/7 82/7 |
| 158/20 159/10 | 27/9 28/5 28/8 | 82/7 85/2 85/7 |
| 161/19 162/14 | 28/15 28/16 28/17 | 85/13 85/14 87/20 |
| 163/11 163/17 | 28/19 29/3 31/14 | 88/5 89/11 93/5 |
| 164/9 165/20 | 31/15 37/18 37/18 | 95/8 95/12 95/17 |


| W | 92/21 | 100/21 109/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| which... [27] 97/10 | whole [17] 21/12 | 110/1 111/24 113/9 |
| 101/9 105/2 105/23 | 25/5 36/18 50/21 | 123/7 123/19 126/4 |
| 105/24 109/7 | 51/6 51/10 53/7 | 129/5 130/18 |
| 112/12 112/13 | 94/11 98/20 112/21 | 134/20 135/1 136/8 |
| 112/18 116/19 | 150/15 157/18 | 136/9 136/10 |
| 116/20 118/24 | 158/6 158/19 | 136/11 136/20 |
| 121/22 122/18 | 161/10 163/7 | 140/15 141/21 |
| 123/1 131/9 137/24 | 163/12 | 151/23 |
| 139/23 141/9 | whoops [2] 12/17 | William [1] 1/16 |
| 146/16 149/4 | 23/10 | wind [5] 7/2 93/2 |
| 149/19 150/19 | why [16] 23/20 | 121/20 122/17 |
| 151/9 154/14 156/9 | 38/12 44/3 53/11 | 122/19 |
| 159/18 | 84/21 88/12 96/12 | wiped [1] 160/10 |
| while [4] 16/11 | 96/17 108/9 110/8 | within [31] 8/23 |
| 109/15 144/9 | 116/23 118/7 | 9/22 9/24 17/14 |
| 144/13 | 119/11 129/6 | 20/1 45/4 46/7 |
| white [1] 154/17 | 145/12 151/9 | 47/20 61/2 63/11 |
| Whitefield [1] | wide [6] 10/11 | 68/12 77/3 85/15 |
| 101/12 | 11/18 23/19 45/18 | 102/7 117/22 |
| Whitley [5] 2/8 | 76/16 128/5 | 118/12 128/6 |
| 100/11 120/8 | widened [1] 30/20 | 130/10 134/5 |
| 120/19 165/6 | widening [2] 31/6 | 134/11 136/21 |
| Whitley's [1] | 31/10 | 139/20 139/22 |
| 100/10 | width [2] 70/9 | 139/24 140/11 |
| who [14] 52/15 | 76/14 | 140/20 140/22 |
| 74/10 84/4 93/5 | will [38] 5/21 5/22 | 141/23 144/10 |
| 96/1 96/3 100/22 | 5/23 7/24 8/1 23/1 | 144/14 147/7 |
| 101/5 107/2 107/18 | 27/22 31/11 43/17 | without [3] 93/19 |
| 116/5 121/8 152/22 | 56/15 58/20 73/17 | 118/13 118/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 153/6 } \\ & \text { who's [2] 72/1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 80/11 97/3 97/13 } \\ 97 / 16 & 98 / 3 \\ 100 / 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { witness [4] 2/4 } \\ & 114 / 8 ~ 118 / 20122 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |


| W | 16/24 17/8 18/14 | 114/14 114/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| won't [4] 6/22 | 18/15 21/14 22/5 | 114/18 115/5 115/7 |
| 66/18 97/2 97/23 | 24/3 26/19 26/20 | 115/15 115/19 |
| Wonderful [1] | 28/21 28/22 29/7 | 115/21 117/19 |
| 119/18 | 29/14 29/22 30/13 | 123/13 125/22 |
| Woods [5] 142/8 | 30/17 30/20 30/21 | 138/24 139/13 |
| 142/21 143/4 144/7 | 30/21 33/22 33/24 | 139/14 139/16 |
| 146/4 | 34/4 34/5 34/6 | 139/24 145/19 |
| word [3] 16/21 | 34/15 34/17 34/18 | 149/14 149/23 |
| 31/17 49/8 | 39/5 42/23 43/4 | 152/21 153/5 154/3 |
| worded [2] 117/2 | 43/12 44/21 49/6 | 154/3 154/18 155/3 |
| 117/4 | 49/9 50/10 50/11 | 155/4 156/23 158/8 |
| words [7] 34/3 37/7 | 51/23 54/11 59/14 | 160/10 160/10 |
| 38/16 77/1 78/16 | 59/17 59/18 61/22 | 163/12 |
| 143/11 147/18 | 68/5 70/10 70/13 | wouldn't [20] 10/24 |
| work [18] 8/20 9/12 | 71/5 73/21 73/22 | 14/17 17/24 22/13 |
| 17/20 18/5 27/22 | 74/10 74/13 74/21 | 66/14 72/2 116/3 |
| 70/4 72/6 74/23 | 74/23 75/18 76/6 | 116/9 125/24 127/9 |
| 76/19 84/16 86/2 | 77/2 77/6 77/12 | 127/12 127/16 |
| 102/4 105/21 | 78/22 83/16 87/21 | 128/17 134/4 139/2 |
| 114/11 122/7 | 87/21 88/17 89/4 | 147/8 149/18 |
| 136/15 158/6 160/5 | 90/16 92/3 96/14 | 152/11 152/18 |
| worked [1] 145/22 | 96/23 98/22 98/23 | 162/19 |
| working [2] 76/11 | 99/19 100/4 103/11 | Wow [1] 72/1 |
| 123/6 | 103/20 103/20 | Wright [1] 1/1 |
| works [1] 116/2 | 103/24 104/6 | writing [1] 159/15 |
| worst [1] 70/16 | 104/11 104/13 | written [1] 75/12 |
| would [134] 8/2 8/6 | 104/13 104/21 | wrong [5] 119/6 |
| 10/3 10/16 10/16 | 105/4 105/9 106/9 | 119/12 128/14 |
| 10/22 10/22 10/23 | 106/19 107/15 | 128/17 128/21 |
| 11/3 13/24 14/9 | 108/24 109/12 |  |
| 14/18 14/19 14/20 | 110/11 112/17 |  |



| Y | 94/11 95/15 97/22 | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| you've... [26] 55/23 | 99/11 101/20 | zone [1] 77/3 |
| 56/2 61/8 61/9 | 101/23 103/18 | zones [1] 58/8 |
| 61/10 64/7 73/18 | 105/16 105/17 | zoom [7] 19/17 23/8 |
| 74/19 79/11 88/4 | 107/14 111/4 111/4 | 23/9 89/21 90/13 |
| 93/24 94/3 94/4 | 113/2 115/7 116/15 | 91/10 151/13 |
| 94/5 94/16 97/2 | 116/15 116/20 | zoomed [1] 150/23 |
| 99/3 99/13 121/4 | 116/24 121/17 | zoomed-in [1] |
| 123/21 124/19 | 121/18 122/5 | 150/23 |
| 132/3 134/13 138/5 | 122/10 122/13 |  |
| 152/14 159/14 | 122/22 123/1 |  |
| Young [1] 86/23 | 123/10 123/16 |  |
| your [127] 4/21 |  |  |
| 5/19 5/21 5/24 6/15 | 126/16 127/15 |  |
| 9/22 10/1 10/9 | 132/1 133/15 |  |
| 12/24 14/22 15/9 | 133/24 135/6 |  |
| 16/24 18/9 22/5 | 135/22 136/5 |  |
| 24/11 34/21 34/21 | 136/13 136/15 |  |
| 34/22 34/23 35/4 | 136/23 137/4 137/7 |  |
| 35/16 36/2 37/2 | 138/24 139/7 |  |
| 37/13 38/15 38/16 | 139/16 139/18 |  |
| 38/19 39/7 40/3 | 140/18 141/1 141/7 |  |
| 46/11 46/13 48/16 | 143/12 144/5 |  |
| 48/20 53/3 54/9 | 146/13 146/17 |  |
| 57/22 58/9 58/21 | 149/11 151/12 |  |
| 59/7 62/18 64/1 | 151/15 154/4 |  |
| 66/3 68/14 68/21 | 155/17 157/9 |  |
| 69/24 74/19 75/3 | 157/13 157/14 |  |
| 75/10 77/24 78/19 | 158/18 159/9 |  |
| 79/20 82/18 83/13 | 160/20 162/2 163/5 |  |
| 83/19 87/2 87/9 $90 / 893 / 1094 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165 / 16 \\ & \text { yourself [1] } 121 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |

