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```
            PROCEEEDINGS
            (Hearing resumed at 1:50 p.m.)
                            CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
If folks could find their seats, we'll resume
presently. We're going to resume questioning
of the panel by Attorney Pacik.
Although, before we do that, one quick scheduling announcement regarding tomorrow. We'll be ending the day sometime between 3:00 and 3:30. Commissioner Bailey and \(I\), as well as Commissioner Giaimo, have to go to an event in Boston tomorrow tonight. So that's for your planning purposes. And we'll resume after that on Friday.
So, Ms. Pacik, are you ready to go?
MS. PACIK: I am. I don't believe Counsel for the Public is here. If you want me to start without them --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If you are ready to go, you should proceed.
MS. PACIK: Okay. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION
```

BY ATTORNEY PACIK:
Q. Good afternoon. My name's Danielle Pacik, and I am the attorney for the City of Concord. And I am also the spokesperson for Municipal Group 3 South. And I wanted to start with just an overview of Concord. And I have what's been marked as Joint Muni Exhibit 275, which is an overhead map of Concord, and it shows the transmission corridor. We can point it out, but it's to the east of Highway 93. And just to confirm, Concord is -- north of the line is in Canterbury, and when it leaves Concord goes to Pembroke; correct?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. I understand from your supplemental prefiled testimony, as well as you're supplemental impact assessment that parts of Concord are in the urban compact; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's our understanding.
Q. Okay. And the way urban -- or it's actually an urban cluster under the Site Evaluation rules?
A. (DeWan) I stand corrected. Yes, we have a map of urban cluster.
Q. And for urban cluster, that's based on the U.S. Census Bureau. And it needs to have a population at least 2,500 and less than 50,000 people; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's our understanding.
Q. And just to be clear, not all of Concord is in the urban cluster, just portions; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And have you provided a map of the areas that you believe are in the urban cluster?
A. (DeWan) We have obtained the map from the United States Census Bureau, and we've used that as part of our determination.
Q. And has that been produced in this case, or is it part of the record to date?
A. (Kimball) I don't believe so.
A. (DeWan) I do not believe so.
Q. Okay. Now, just to confirm, Canterbury and Pembroke are not part of the urban cluster; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's our understanding.
Q. Those are rural areas as defined by the Site Evaluation Committee's rules?
A. (DeWan) With one exception. There seems to be one small corner of Pembroke that might be part of it.
Q. Do you have that information as you sit here today?
A. (DeWan) I'm looking at it right now. Again, this is the map of the U.S. Census Bureau.
Q. So what's the answer? Is it or is it not part of the urban cluster?
A. (DeWan) As I look at the map, it seems to be.
Q. And is that the portion of Pembroke in the immediate vicinity of Concord?
A. (DeWan) It abuts on the eastern portion of Concord.
Q. Okay. Now if we can go to what's been marked as Joint Muni 274. And we're going to have to go to the first page. It might take a second.

Okay. This is part of your original
Visual Impact Assessment that you provided in October of 2015. And this is the description of Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And under Population, in what appears to be a
greenish-yellow color on the left, it says "Population: 42,590 residents"?
A. (DeWan) That's what it says.
Q. And in terms of the entire area of the line, Concord is the most densely populated area; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) I think that's a fair characterization.
Q. Okay. And in terms of the line through Concord, it goes 8.1 miles through Concord? If you look under Existing Transmission Corridor, your first sentence says, "Physical Features: The existing transmission corridor runs 8 miles north-south across the east side of Concord." It's actually 8.1; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) I see that it says "runs 8 miles north-south through the east side of Concord."
Q. Are you aware of whether it's 8.1 miles?
A. (DeWan) I am not aware of that.
Q. Okay. And then in terms of the box that we've marked in red, there's some yellow that we're going to blow up so we can try to read
it.
Okay. It talks about changes within the existing corridor. And to skip to the last two sentences, it talks about the patches of additional clearing. And you describe this as "Sparse patches of additional clearing will occur within the existing corridor. The additional clearing will range from approximately 10 to 40 feet."

Are you aware of how many acres in Concord will be cleared?
A. (DeWan) No, we're not.
Q. Let's turn to the next page. And this is Page 2 of what's been marked as -- and just for clarification, the entire exhibit is 274. Some of them have excerpts from other exhibits. But this has also been marked as Joint Muni Exhibit 91 [sic]. It's marked "Confidential," but $I$ have confirmed that it is not confidential for the record.

And the question that was asked was:
"During preconstruction and construction, what is the total square footage of specified tree-cutting?" And this is trees 20 feet or
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
more in height proposed by town over the length of the Project.

And in the response they say, "Please see the attached spreadsheet" in the second sentence. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. And so going to the spreadsheet that was attached, which is the next page, Concord has a total of 11 acres of tree-clearing for trees that are 20 feet and above; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's what it appears to say.
Q. Okay. And so in terms of your characterization that there will be "sparse clearing," it's actually 11 acres; right?
A. (DeWan) That's the total acreage apparently that will be cleared throughout the 8 or 8.1 miles.
Q. Okay. And you understand from -- if we go back to the first page, it said that the clearing will range from 30 to 40 feet along the edge of the right-of-way; right?
A. (DeWan) That's what we indicate, yes.
Q. So, for a house in a populated area such as

Concord, that type of clearing of 30 to 40 feet, that could increase visibility. You would agree with that?
A. (DeWan) Depending upon the location of the home relative to the transmission corridor, there is the possibility that there may be additional visibility.
Q. Okay. And I understand if we go to the fourth page of what's been marked as Joint Muni 274, these are all of the scenic resources that you originally identified in October of 2015 in your Visual Impact Assessment. And it's actually two pages long. And if we go to the next page, it shows that you identified originally 48 scenic resources in Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And in terms of your Visual Impact

Assessment, and we've gone through this, I understand, for the last two days, but you were focused on scenic resources; right?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely.
Q. Okay. Now, if we go to Page 6 of Joint Muni 274, you were asked about scenic resource
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
identification. And obviously you've been asked questions over the last two days on this particular issue, too. But certain parties have raised concerns about the methodology you used. And you explained how you identified resources. And you explained in the first paragraph that you looked at data from different geo databses; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And if you go to the next page, it talks about looking at government and organizational materials. And under that umbrella there's town plans, city documents, town documents, web sites. And you were asked this by Attorney Fillmore today, but just -- you did not go out and talk to any town or city officials; correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And you also did not talk to any state agencies; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. So you relied on Internet searches, basically, and publication; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) No, it's not. We also did extensive
field work looking at sites that have been identified, driving adjacent roads, looking at places that may be considered scenic resources that were not on databases.
Q. Okay. And so all of that basically encompasses the research that you did; right?
A. (DeWan) No. We also looked at all the material that was submitted in terms of letters to the SEC to see if anybody identified any other specific resources that may be -- may have been omitted or overlooked.
Q. Okay. You would agree that research is an important part of your work; right?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely.
Q. Okay. So can we go to Page 9. This Page 9 of Joint Muni 274. And I had a question about one of the scenic resources that's marked with a red square as Scenic Resource No. 42, which is the Contoocook River. And we're actually going to blow it up so we can read what it says.

So, under Contoocook River, it says it's a river not designated in the New Hampshire
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]

Rivers Management Program. And you gave it a "low" cultural value; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Now, that struck me, not knowing anything about designated rivers. I am familiar with the Contoocook River, and so I was a little surprised. So I did some research on the Internet. And the first hit that came up showed that it is a designated river. How is it that you missed that?
(Witness reviews document.)
Q. And we can go to the next page. So, under Designated Rivers of New Hampshire, No. 6, it says the Contoocook River was designated on June 28, 1991. And if we go to the next page, which is Page 11, there is an explanation about the Contoocook River and the history. And this is all from the Department of Environmental Services web site. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do see that, yes.
Q. So, based on at least what $I$ found with a quick Internet research, it looks like the Contoocook River is a designated river;
correct?
A. (DeWan) It appears that it may be visible at a distance of 2 to 2.7 miles.
Q. Okay. So you don't have any explanation of how your research missed that?
A. (DeWan) I do not. I know that when we issued a supplemental report on those resources that were rated as "low" in April of this year, I believe we listed the Contoocook River. I don't believe we indicated it was part of the program at that point.
Q. Okay. But what we saw earlier was that it's been part of the program since 1991. And in fact, the second paragraph of what we're looking at right now says it was designated in June of 1991. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I don't see it, but I'll take your word for it.
Q. Sorry. It's the second paragraph above History.
A. (DeWan) Okay. Yes, I see that.
Q. Excellent. So if we go back to Page 9 of Joint Muni 274, you gave it a low cultural value because it was not a designated river
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
in the New Hampshire River Management Program. And had you known that it was a designated river, that would have received a "medium"; correct?
A. (DeWan) It would have, yes.
Q. Okay. And if it had received a "medium," then you would have gone on to do further analysis in terms of the effects on that particular location, because under Possible Visibility it does say that there will be possible visibility of the proposed new structures; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes. And I believe that parts of the river are beyond 2 miles, and therefore I'm not sure where this falls within the boundaries of the urban contract [sic] area. It may be outside of that.
Q. Okay. And we'll get into that in a little while.

But as you sit here right now, you don't know whether that portion of the river is within the urban cluster; is that right?
A. (DeWan) I don't know that right now.
Q. Okay.
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A. (DeWan) I believe that portions of it are.
Q. Okay. So in terms of which portions, we don't know because you didn't do any further analysis, is that right, as we sit here today?
A. (DeWan) We did some further analysis of it. We know that at a distance of 2 miles there will be minimal potential for adverse effect at this distance.
Q. Okay. But in terms of the rating sheet, we don't have one for this particular scenic resource?
A. (DeWan) Not to that effect.
Q. Okay. And it's sort of curious because I do know that Attorney Connor for Counsel for the Public asked you to sort of make leaps, in terms of whether or not something would have an impact. And when she was asking you, a lot of times you said you couldn't make a decision on the fly; right? You'd actually have to go through the entire methodology before you gave an opinion as to what type of impact or scenic value a location would have; is that right?
A. (DeWan) I believe that's a summary of some of the things we said.
Q. So as you sit here now, you wouldn't feel comfortable making a judgment on the fly as to impacts to the Contoocook River; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, as a general statement, a distance of two miles being in a river which is typically surrounded by riparian vegetation, it's highly unlikely that you would see enough of the structures to warrant additional evaluation, that there's minimal potential for adverse effect at that distance. The computer may say that there may be visibility. We consider that what we call "theoretical visibility." The computer may say you might see it. It may be just the very tops of the structures. But typically at that distance it's not going to be a significant visual effect.
Q. Concord's not flat, is it?
A. (DeWan) Parts of it are quite level.
Q. Parts are quite level, and parts of it are quite hilly; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's a fair statement.
Q. So without going out to the site and doing all of the work that you've been talking about for days, you would not be able to give an opinion on the impacts to the Contoocook River as you sit here today; right?
A. (DeWan) I think it's a fair statement to say that at 2 miles there's minimal potential for adverse effect at that distance. Certainly nothing that would rise to the level of having a high level of scenic impact.
Q. Okay. In terms of medium level of scenic impact, do you have that information as you sit here today, or you'd need to do further analysis?
A. (DeWan) I would have to do further analysis.
Q. Okay. Now $I$ want to talk a little more about the research that you've done and the work you've done in this case. And if we turn to Page 13 of Joint Muni 274 , this is a response that you provided to the Committee after site visits on March 8th, 14th and 16th in 2016.

Do you recall submitting your responses to Committee Member questions?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. Okay. And part of the site visit on those dates went through Concord. And you received questions about Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And on the next page we can look at what the questions were and what the answers were. And for the record, this is Page 14 of Exhibit 274.

The question that you received was that there was some construction occurring on the north side of Portsmouth Street, and you were asked is it related to future residential development. And you were also asked will there be a vegetative buffer left adjacent to the trail. And the response that you made was that there was a clearing that occurred in October 2014 and September 2015.

MS. PACIK: And can we just blow that up a little bit so we can see the response better? Thanks.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. And it states that, the third sentence, that there was a sign on Portsmouth Street. And according to that sign, there was an 87-lot
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cluster development entitled "Whispering Heights" that was going to be built on 271 acres and that the construction appears to be part of that development.

And then, in terms of the question about the tree buffer, you said that the City owns that land and that it's going to be used for the subdivision and that the plans for this land is unknown.

Now, when you submitted this answer, did you have Eversource look at that response?
A. (DeWan) I don't know if they reviewed it or
Q. Did somebody review it before it was submitted, in terms of the team for Eversource?
A. (DeWan) I can't answer that question.
Q. Did you go out personally and look at the sign on Portsmouth Street?
A. (DeWan) Well, after we submitted this, as you're aware, we submitted an amended response to this question. It was pointed out to us that we were in error in judging the response based upon a sign that we had (DeWan) Well, after we submitted this, as
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
seen on the property. We now know that the situation is totally different, and we responded in kind.
Q. So when was that amended response submitted? Because I don't recall seeing that --
A. (DeWan) I don't have that information in front of me, but $I$ know we did make that correction.
Q. So it would be part of the record; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Should be part of the record, yes.
Q. All right. So we can take a look for that.

But initially you did submit this, and you said that there was a sign. And so my question is: Who saw the sign on Portsmouth Street?
A. (DeWan) We did.
Q. When you say "we," who is "we"?
A. (DeWan) I did when I took the photograph. I don't know who else was with me at the time.
Q. Okay. And this was originally when you submitted this response. And in terms of the determination that the City owned the land for the tree buffer, who made that
determination?
A. (DeWan) That was based upon our research into what we believed was the plan for the Whispering Pines -- Whispering Heights subdivision.
Q. Okay. So if we go to the next page, we have the City of Concord's response which you referenced a moment ago. And I'm going to skip forward to the sign that you were referring to on Page 20 of Joint Muni 274.

So when I went out and the assistant planner went out, this was the sign on the ground. Is that the sign you were referencing?
A. (DeWan) I believe we saw a sign in a street view photograph that we saw.
Q. Oh, so you didn't actually go to the site. You looked at Google Earth and did a street view?
A. (DeWan) No, we did go to the site. Later on, when we were reviewing it in response to the question that was raised, we went back and looked at it on street view.
Q. And was this the sign that you saw?
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
A. (DeWan) I don't recall. I thought there was a sign and street view that may have shown...
Q. Let me back up for a second. Did you ever actually -- when you submitted that response that we were looking at earlier, did you go physically to the site and see a sign?
A. (DeWan) After we were -- after we received the request, we did not go back, physically go back to the site.
Q. So when was it that you had seen, physically seen this sign on the street?
A. (DeWan) Well, we saw it in street view. And as I said, we saw the property when we did the initial site evaluation for the trail in the vicinity here.
Q. What do you mean by "street view"?
A. (DeWan) Google Earth Street View.
Q. Okay. I'm talking about physically going to the location. Did you ever do that?
A. (Kimball) Yes, as part of the analysis of the Sugar Ball Trail, we spent time at that site and photographed it.
Q. And do you have a sign that you saw at that point hanging up?
A. (Kimball) We weren't looking for it at that time, so I can't speak to whether or not it was there. We can check our photographs. But we were not looking for the sign.
Q. So let's go to the next page, because when you pick up the sign, this is the sign that you were talking about, Whispering Heights; right?
A. (DeWan) That appears to be the sign.
Q. Okay. So this is the research, in terms of the important research that you did to provide a response to the Site Evaluation Committee's questions. This is the sign that we're talking about?
A. (Kimball) In addition to the reference to the sign, looking at the aerial photograph, the latest one provided in Google, there is a clearing that fits the shape of that subdivision with construction equipment on site suggesting the development of a subdivision in line with the subdivision plan provided.
Q. You know now that that clearing is for a substation; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) We do know that.
Q. And that substation is actually, one of them is a transmission station owned by Eversource; is that right?
A. (DeWan) I don't know who owns it. I know there is a transmission station.
Q. We just talked about how important it is to do research for identification in evaluation of scenic resources. Yet, here you made an assumption based on a sign that was laying on the ground about what was happening to this property; is that right?
A. (Kimball) The sign and the development that was occurring.
Q. Okay. And because it was in a particular shape, you assumed it was this subdivision; right?
A. (DeWan) When we looked at the site plan and compared it to the shape that we saw on Google Earth, it appeared that it could be one and the same.
Q. Now, one way you could have actually gotten the answer to your question -- or to the Subcommittee's question, is calling the City
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of Concord Planning Department; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That is one way we could have assessed that.
Q. And another way is to have had Eversource review what you submitted and let you know that actually they were involved with the development of this particular location; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's probably correct.
Q. Okay. In terms of the tree buffer, if we go to Page 25 of Joint Muni 274, this is an older picture before the substation went in. But that tree buffer in yellow -- in red, my apologies, is the tree buffer we're talking about; is that right?
A. (Kimball) That tree buffer is located on the southwest side of the existing transmission corridor, and the area in question is on the northwestern side of the corridor. So I don't see how that relates to the development of the substation.
Q. The question that you received from the Subcommittee was will there be a vegetative
buffer left adjacent to the trail. Where is the trail?
A. (Kimball) The trail is on the opposite side of the street.
Q. And where did you get that information?
A. (Kimball) The location of the trail?
Q. Yes.
A. (Kimball) Likely one of...
A. (DeWan) I believe from the Concord trail maps.
Q. Do you see -- actually, you can kind of see the trail in this photograph, can't you? And that's on the left side of the corridor?
A. (Kimball) The trailhead is on the southeast side of the street, on the opposite side of the street.
Q. Okay. That's the trailhead. But we're talking about the trail, aren't we?
A. (Kimball) Yeah. That trail begins there, and it runs away from the corridor to the east, parallel to the highway at that location, and connects into another neighborhood. It's a paved trail.
Q. Well, that's a different trail that we're
talking about. That's the Sugar Ball Trail; right?
A. (Kimball) That's the Sugar Ball Trail.
Q. Okay. In terms of the hiking trail, which is what the question was about, the hiking trail is near that buffer; right?
A. (DeWan) I don't know for a fact. I know that it's someplace in that wooded area on the right side of the photograph.
Q. So it might be fair to assume you didn't understand the question that was posed by the Subcommittee?
A. (DeWan) I'm not sure. I thought we understood the question.
Q. All right. Let's go to Page 28 of Joint Muni 274. And this is an intersection of

Hoit Road and Mountain Road. And I want to talk for a moment about the Canterbury Shaker Village byway, which is why I have this map on the screen. And on Page 28 of this exhibit, in the square is the intersection of Hoit Road and Mountain Road; is that right?
A. (DeWan) It looks like it's right. I don't see labels for Hoit Road on there.
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
Q. Okay. And I'll represent to you that the circle in red is the area of Shaker Village in Canterbury. Does that look correct to you?
A. (DeWan) It may be.
Q. Okay. If we go to the next page, this is the description that you have of the byway. And in terms of scenic significance, you determined that it has a "medium" scenic significance; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And then you end up doing a visual impact analysis on that. But before we get to it, I want to spend a moment looking at this particular byway. And I know you've been asked a lot of questions about the byway program, so I'm not going to cover them again.

But if we go to Page 32 , we're just going to skip over the Purpose because that was read to us already this morning. But this shows the byway. And it shows in green that one of the ways to get to Shaker Village, as per the byway which was prepared
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
by the Department of Transportation, they recommend going Hoit Road and then going up Mountain Road as one way to travel to Shaker Village; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's what the map appears to say, yes.
Q. Okay. And if you go to the next page, which is Page 33... it needs to catch up with us for some reason. We've got a frozen computer here. Hold on. Sorry.
(Pause)
Q. All right. There we go. I don't want to spend a lot of time looking at this particular intersection because we've gone over it with other witnesses. But you understand that there is construction activity at the intersection of Hoit Road and Mountain Road. And Mountain Road is also called Route 132; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's our understanding.
Q. Okay. And in terms of some of the structure changes that will occur, if you look at the next page, you can see that the current 115 structures are between 43 and 56.5 feet and
that they are going to be increased to between about 90 and 100 feet, and there will be other structures in that same intersection which are approximately 90 to 100 feet. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. If we go to the next page, you can actually see some of the clearing that will occur in that location in terms of the vegetative buffer. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. I know you referenced in one of your reports that you acknowledged Mountain Road was also getting cleared. And if you look at the area near structure F139-175, you can see the vegetative buffer that's getting removed. Do you see that? We have the mouse sort of scrolling in that vicinity.
A. (DeWan) Yes, I see that.
Q. Okay. Now I want to talk about your analysis of this byway and this particular
intersection. And if we go to Page 37 of
Exhibit 274, I highlighted in red some of the statements that you make.

And ultimately, just if we go to the page before, Page 36, your overall visual impact rating was that the construction would have a "low-medium" impact on the byway; is that right?
A. (DeWan) On the byway as a whole. That's correct.
Q. And the scenic resource is the byway; is that correct? It's not Shaker Village.
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. So, on the second page, if we look at User Expectations, you wrote "low." And if we blow it up a little bit so we can read it, you write, the first bullet says, "The two sections of the byway at the corridor crossings are not particularly scenic or culturally important. User expectations are lower here than at the cultural landmarks located several miles from the transmission corridor." But what we just discussed was the scenic resource is the byway, not the cultural landmark several miles away; right?
A. (DeWan) The scenic resource is the entire byway.
Q. Okay. And in terms of this particular location of Hoit Road and Mountain Road, this would be, you could say, the gateway to the byway because it's the first area somebody's encountering if they're traveling and they choose to go in the direction of Hoit Road and Mountain Road to get to Canterbury Shaker Village; right?
A. (DeWan) I wouldn't consider this to be a gateway. "Gateway," to me, implies something different than traveling through a residential neighborhood on the way to a significant cultural site.
Q. So let's use a different word than "gateway." It's the beginning of the trip. Would you agree with that?
A. (DeWan) It's a portion of the trip that you are traveling on to get to Canterbury Shaker Village.
Q. And it's the first portion of the trip; is that right?
A. (DeWan) For somebody who's driving there, chances are they've already driven quite a ways along the interstate highway.
Q. It's the first portion of the byway trip. Would you agree with that?
A. (DeWan) First portion of part of the byway, yes.
Q. In terms of the next part, which is Extent, Nature and Duration of Public Use: Low, you talk about the fact that, in the third bullet, that motorists traveling at 35 miles per hour will be in the transmission corridor for less than five seconds on their way to two scenic and cultural resources. Now, if there's a stop sign, you can't travel 35 miles an hour, can you? You actually have to stop.
A. (DeWan) That's generally the case, yes.
Q. And the intersection, if you're coming off of 93 and you're taking Hoit Road and you're taking a left up on Route 132 to get to Shaker Village, there is a stop sign there; right?
A. (DeWan) I believe that's right.
Q. Okay. So this notion that you're just going to be going 35 miles an hour and will be only in the area for five seconds is incorrect; is
that right?
A. (DeWan) I would have to go back and re-evaluate it. It may be more than that.
Q. Okay. And then the last bullet $I$ want to talk about is under -- well, if you go up. Under Overall Visual Impact: Low-Medium, in the last bullet it talks about the fact that people who currently drive the scenic byway to access Canterbury Shaker Village will still be able to enjoy these pursuits. The experience at Shaker Village will remain unchanged. But we talked a moment ago that the scenic resource is the byway, not Shaker Village; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And you've heard of the phrase, "It's the journey, not the destination?"
A. (DeWan) I think I've heard that once or twice.
Q. And when it comes to byways, going to a cultural landscape area, it's really the journey that is why this is designated as a scenic byway; right?
A. (DeWan) No. I believe the reason it was
designated as a scenic byway is to encourage more people to go to the Canterbury Shaker Village and Canterbury Village itself. These happen to be the ways that you get there. I don't think there's anything inherently scenic about this particular part of the byway that led to its designation.
Q. The byway is the journey. It's the scenic resource; right?
A. (DeWan) It is. And I believe when you look at the promotional material for the byway, you'll see the emphasis is on the endpoints here.
Q. And you don't want me to read the Purpose statement of the statute again, do you? I really would prefer not to. But you'd agree that the purpose of the statute is to provide routes that have some sort of significance in New Hampshire in terms of driving; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. If we go to Page 38, the next location that you talk about -- or another location that I want to focus on is Turtle Pond. And
you gave that, in terms of visual quality, a "medium." And in terms of scenic significance, you also gave that a "medium"; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. If we go to the next page, which is Page 39 of Joint Muni 274, this is just an overhead to get the perspective of where Turtle Pond is located in Concord. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. On the next page, which is Page 40 , I had a question. This is just the vicinity of some of the poles in this area. And this is actually the August 2017 supplemental map. And I think you were asked a question about this earlier today. But you have not seen this map; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) It's my understanding there were some minor adjustments made to some of the pole locations. I do not believe we have seen this map.
Q. Okay. And were you here when I did the cross-examination of the construction team?
A. (DeWan) I was here for a portion of that.
Q. And were you aware that on the original maps they missed certain residences in terms of identifying them with yellow dots?
A. (DeWan) I do recall a lot of discussion about individual residences. I can't recall the specific testimony.
Q. Okay. And would you agree that if they're doing a supplemental map in August of 2017, those residences should be designated on the supplemental map?
A. (DeWan) I guess, ideally, yes. I have no control over the preparation of the maps that were used for the Application material.
Q. Okay. There's a star in red where there's a house. And that's one of the locations that was identified during the construction cross-examination of missing a yellow dot and identifying it as a house. And there's still no yellow dot even on this August 2017 supplement, is there?
A. (DeWan) I see a star. I have no indication if there is or is not a house there.
Q. Okay. And that's my star, for the record.

And you wouldn't know whether there's a house because there's no yellow dot; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. If we go to the next page, which is Page 41 of Joint Muni 274, this shows some of the pole heights in the location of Turtle Pond. And you can see that at least for the structures that are not getting relocated -let me rephrase that.

There's one 115 line that's not getting touched; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) The one on the right side of the cross-section here.
Q. Okay. And then there are some that are getting relocated. And then the new proposed Northern Pass is also going in this area; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so in terms of the locations of the 115 line that's getting relocated, it's between 43 and 61 feet; is that right?
A. (DeWan) According to the maps, yes.
Q. And in terms of the new pole heights in this
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area, they range from 79 feet to 120 feet; is that right?
A. (DeWan) There is one at 120. Yes, the others are less than that.
Q. Okay. If you go to the next page, this is the assessment that you provided in your Visual Impact Assessment; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And for overall visual impact, you gave it a "low"; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And I just want to ask a couple questions about this. If we blow up the area that I put in the red box, we just talked a moment ago about some of the poles that are not getting relocated and that will remain along the edge of the corridor; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And in here you say they range in height from 84 to 97 feet. How many poles are there that are not getting touched in the area?
A. (DeWan) I don't have that information in front of me.
Q. Okay. I believe it's five, which is the next
paragraph. It wasn't supposed to be a trick question. But it says that there's five 115 kV monopole structures. Does that sound correct?
A. (DeWan) That sounds correct, yes.
Q. How many of those are at 97 feet?
A. (DeWan) Again, I don't know. And I wouldn't have that information in front of me unless $I$ looked at the plans.
Q. Okay. It might be important to know because one of your conclusions is that, because some of these new, taller poles will be of similar height to the existing monopole structures, wouldn't you want to know how many of the existing ones are 97 feet?
A. (DeWan) Well, we looked at the overall effect. We looked at the existing structure heights. We looked at proposed structure heights. We compared it to the heights seen in the photo simulations. We drew our conclusions partially based upon that information.
Q. But a number of the poles are actually doubling in height; correct?
A. (DeWan) I know they will be a lot larger than they are right now, yes.
Q. Okay. For mitigation, which is on the next page, in terms of the visual impacts, the mitigation that you suggest, and we can blow this up just to make it a little bit easier to read, the first one is to use weathering steel structures; the other one is to use H -frames, and the other one is similar spacing with existing transmission structures. And those are the three mitigation proposals you provide; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And there's no other ones listed on this?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And then in terms of the reason you gave it an overall visual impact of "low," if we go to the -- if we scroll over to the last column, you talk about, in that first paragraph, the second sentence, that the Project should not result in a substantial change in the way people now use or enjoy the pond. And in the last bullet, your opinion
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is, if you're there to fish, boat or observe wildlife, you're still going to be able to enjoy those pursuits; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Now, your analysis isn't about use. It's about visual impacts, isn't it?
A. (DeWan) Well, that's one of the considerations.
Q. But there's a difference between being able to actually use it for fishing and boating versus the scenic impact. Those are two separate questions; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, part of the criteria that the SEC has to deal with is to look at the effect on continuing use and enjoyment of the scenic resource.
Q. Okay. So, "use" is one part of what you just said. There's "use" and there's "enjoyment"; right?
A. (DeWan) "Use and enjoyment" is one phrase in the SEC rules.
Q. But they're two separate sort of concepts; correct?
A. (DeWan) They are, but they're co-joined in
the rules.
Q. So in the last bullet you're talking about use. You're talking about you can still fish, boat and observe wildlife; right?
A. (DeWan) Absolutely right.
Q. Now, if we go to the next page, which is Page 44, this is an excerpt from the final Environmental Impact Statement by the Department of Energy. And you're aware that they analyzed Turtle Pond; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And I'm just going to read to you what they determined would occur at Turtle Pond, which was a little different in terms of the impacts that you came up with.

MS. PACIK: And if we can blow
it up so we can all read it together. Thank you.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. I'll just read the portion of it which is in the third line. It says, "The existing contrast-dominance rating is 'moderate.' Under Alternative 7," which is the proposed project, "the contrast-dominance rating would
be 'strong,' which indicates that the visual change would be large and would likely be considered adverse by a casual observer, and depending on the sensitivity of the setting, it may be considered unreasonable."

So, according to the Department of
Energy, they determined that the enjoyment of this particular area could be impacted in a "strong" way. Is that fair to say?
A. (DeWan) I would say what they say is it "may be considered unreasonable." I would like to say, though, that this analysis is based upon the view that was presented in the photo simulation, which we considered to be a snapshot of the effect on a portion of the pond. We also know that when you go to the pond, and I'm sure you've been there many times, when you drive in off of Oak Hill Road, you go straight ahead. Your views open up rather dramatically once you get beyond that first line of vegetation. And what you see is roughly a 180-degree view of Turtle Pond, with little hills in the background. The transmission structures, transmission
corridor, is off on your right, sort of behind you. So the focus on Turtle Pond is really the view you get when you're there at the boat launch and the observation deck.
Q. So let me just break what you said down in just a couple different areas.

The first one is that you said they relied on one particular photo simulation. And do you have any information that the individuals who prepared this analysis did not go to Turtle Pond and actually observe the entire area before writing up their determination that the impact would be "strong"?
A. (DeWan) I don't have that information on the tip of my tongue here. I might be able to provide that to you after the break.
Q. Okay. And then my other question is you just talked about the fact that you get to Turtle Pond, you're at the boat lunch, you can see in one area the transmission corridor. But there's also what you described as a "180-degree view" of the rest of the pond. So, theoretically, as long as somebody goes
in the opposite direction of the transmission corridor, they won't see it; is that right?
A. (DeWan) The focus of what I considered to be the enjoyment of Turtle Pond for wildlife viewing, for fishing, for boat launching, is that area at the very end of the road there where there's the overlook looking out towards the pond.
Q. So if you go in that direction and the corridor's behind you, at some point, though, to get back to the ramp you actually have to turn around; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Most people do that, yes.
Q. And when you turn around, you will be seeing the transmission corridor; correct?
A. (DeWan) Rising above the vegetation that's immediately adjacent to the parking lot there.
Q. Okay. So the answer is "Yes."
A. (DeWan) Yes. We were just there the other day, and we noticed that there's a lot of vegetation there which partially screens the corridor.
Q. And by "vegetation," are you talking about
the stuff that grows in the swampy area of the pond?
A. (DeWan) There's some wetland vegetation there that's achieved a height of 4 to 5, maybe 6 feet tall.
Q. So, 4 to 5 to 6 feet tall, that's a lot different than 100-foot-tall structures, in terms of the ratio of height of the vegetation versus the proposed structures; correct?
A. (DeWan) You'll certainly be able to see the structures above the vegetation. The vegetation itself is also, we felt was a scenic feature. There's a lot of interesting textures. There's wildlife within there. There's some flowers in there. So it's another minor focal point within the overall landscape.
Q. Okay. If we go to the next page, I want to talk for a brief moment about the Oak Hill trees, which is another scenic resource you identified in Concord. And in terms of visual quality, you gave it a "medium," and then in terms of scenic significance, it was
a "low-medium." Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. And $I$ was just hoping to get a little clarification, because on Page 46, when you look at the assessment of this particular location, and we can just sort of go through it quickly, but for cultural value in the third column, it says "medium"; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Visual quality, "medium"; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Scenic significance, "medium"?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Visual effect, "medium"; right?
A. (DeWan) Right.
Q. And then we go to the next page. User Expectation is a "medium." Do you see that at the bottom of the first column?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Extent, Nature and Duration of Public Use is "high."
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Then Overall Visual Impact is a "medium"; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So those are all "mediums" and one "high." But on the first page, if we go back to it, under Scenic Significance, you gave it a "low-medium"; correct?
A. (DeWan) I believe that's a typo. It should have said "medium."
Q. Oh, okay. Has that been corrected?
A. (DeWan) We submitted a sheet of errata. I don't know if that was one of the things that got picked up.
Q. Okay. In terms of Page 48, this a little hard to read, so we're actually going to go to a different sheet which is a lot more legible. Not that one.

Before I ask the question, has somebody gone over the definition of "high, medium and low" with you, in terms of the total visual effect rating?
A. (DeWan) What do you mean by "somebody"?
Q. Anybody. I don't want to repeat a question that's already been asked. Have you been asked this question to go over this particular part of the chart yet? I don't
think you have. I've been paying attention very carefully, but I didn't want to repeat it if you --
A. (DeWan) We discussed, I think -- if I recall, I read what we consider the definition for "low" yesterday.
Q. Okay. So let's just go quickly through "medium," because we're talking a lot about "medium" in terms of the total visual effect rating, and $I$ just want to be clear what this means.

So, visual effect rating of "medium" means that the project elements will be clearly visible from the scenic resource, and it may attract attention due to their visibility proximity to the viewer, spacial dominance, contrast with the surrounding landscape, duration of use, scale, or combination of factors. And then it says that the Project will cause a moderate alteration of the landscape character, but the change is limited and other features of the landscape remain the primary focus.

So, by "medium," you mean it's not going
to be basically -- the Project's not going to be right in front of you. It will be more in the mid-ground or the background?
A. (DeWan) Generally that's the case.
Q. Okay. So, in order for somebody to receive -- or a scenic resource to receive a rating of "high," the Project needs to be basically right up close to the individual in the viewing location?
A. (DeWan) No, that's not true at all. You could have some situations where the Project, let's say the transmission corridor, might be visible at a greater distance, not right in your face, and that could cause some of the considerations that would elevate it to a "high" level of scenic impact.
Q. But in terms of proximity to the viewer, that is one factor in there; correct?
A. (DeWan) It is one factor, yes. That's one of the criteria that's in the SEC rules, determination of the distance to the viewer.
Q. Right. And then you have duration of view; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And we talked about that earlier today, or at least you talked about it in terms of hiking and how long somebody might sit in a particular spot after they've gotten to the top of a mountain; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. But in terms of medium impact, there still will be at least a moderate alteration of the landscape; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, in terms of another scenic resource that's on your list in Concord, I wanted to talk about White Park for a moment. And the way you describe it -- we'll blow this up so we can read it.

Under Description, you state that it's a city park with sports fields in a residential neighborhood; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. White Park isn't just any sort of normal city park, is it?
A. (DeWan) What do you mean by "normal city park"?
Q. Are you aware of whether it's designated on
the National Register of Historic Resources?
A. (DeWan) I am not aware of that.
Q. You never looked that information up?
A. (DeWan) I don't have that information in front of me right now.
Q. And if it was, in terms of the rating as "low," it should have been at least "medium," correct, if it was designated for its scenic value?
A. (DeWan) If it had been, yes.
Q. Okay. Let's go to Page 50. And before we go, my apologies. To be clear, according to your viewshed analysis, there will be possible visibility at White Park; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, again, we're talking about the distinction between theoretical visibility and actual visibility. At a distance of almost 3 miles, probably going to be just seeing the very tops of a structures, if you're seeing them at all.
Q. But according to your viewshed analysis, there was visibility shown in portions of White Park; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, remember what "visibility"
means. That means that the computer theoretically can at least see the top 1 inch of a structure. We don't consider that to be enough to warrant a consideration of a high visual impact.
Q. Well, you talked before about all of the research that you did for identifying scenic resources, and one of the things you pointed out was that you actually went out and went to different scenic resources. Did you ever visit White Park?
A. (DeWan) I believe we did. I would also think that it's within the urban cluster area.
Q. Okay. And we're going to get to that in a moment. But you did visit White Park. And you understand the topography of White Park is that it's actually -- there's portions of it that are higher up in elevation. There's a hill; right?
A. (DeWan) We're looking at it right now.
Q. What are you looking at?
A. (DeWan) Looking at the Google Earth image.
Q. Okay. Well, we're going to go with what the record has and my exhibits, all right.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
(Mr. Iacopino leaves proceedings and Ms. Dore now present.)
Q. Okay. Good. So, going to the next page. This is just an overhead. And in red is the area of White Park. Does that look correct to you? Are you familiar with the location of where it is in Concord?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And on the next page, which is Page 51 of Joint Muni 274, that's a bit more of a close-up. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes, we do.
Q. Okay. And next page, which is Page 52, is the Nomination Form for the National Register for Historic Places.

So, had your research found that White Park was on the list, we had discussed before that it would have received a "medium" if it was on the list for scenic qualities; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so now that you see this, you're now aware that it is actually on the list of
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the Register of Historic Places?
A. (DeWan) That's what it appears to say.
Q. Okay. Good. We're going to skip a few. I put in the entire nomination form, but 1 will not go through it all.

So if we go to Page 58 of Joint Muni 274, in red it talks about the reason why it is significant. And are you familiar with Charles Eliot?
A. (DeWan) Quite familiar with him.
Q. He's a famous landscape architect; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And he was actually responsible for designing White Park in Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's apparently so.
Q. Okay. And before today you were not aware of that?
A. (DeWan) I was not.
Q. Now, in terms of the first portion of this -I'm just going to skip down, actually, so bear with me for a second.
(Pause)
Q. So the first sentence in the statement of Significance talks about the fact that White
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Park is an example of late 19 th century naturalistic park development. And if you go to the first indented paragraph, it talks about the fact that it's a park in Concord, and it's 25 acres. Are you aware of that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And on the fourth line it talks about that there is -- "Here is a steep ridge...." Do you see where I'm reading?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So it talks about the fact that here is a steep ridge, the summit of which commands, through the trees, broad views of the Merrimack Valley. That talks about the steep ridge where you might be able to see all of the proposed transmission lines; correct?
A. (DeWan) At a distance of 3 miles, yes.
Q. But they will be visible from White Park.
A. (DeWan) At a distance of 3 miles they may be technically visible. It's hard to believe, though, that an individual would be able to make them out as individual structures knowing that the context that they'd be
seeing them is within the urban fabric of Concord, which would be in the foreground and mid-ground.
Q. But you're assuming that right now because you haven't done any sort of analysis of this particular location, have you?
A. (DeWan) Based upon our assessment of visibility of other structures at that distance, we don't consider the fact -- we don't consider there would be any chance of it being a high level of visual impact from that distance.
Q. But it might be a medium impact level; correct?
A. (DeWan) It's hard to believe they would have the contrasting color, form, line, texture, scale, dominance, et cetera, and the other factors we consider when we evaluate potential scenic impacts.
Q. But as you went through with Attorney Connor, you can't make that assumption sitting here unless you actually do the analysis; right?
A. (DeWan) There's certain places that we, based upon our experience on this project and
elsewhere, that we feel very comfortable in making those determinations by looking at existing data, by looking at street view, by looking at topographic maps, by looking at the viewshed mapping that we have in front of us and knowing that, again, looking at the image that is on our screen right now, that the place where the structures appear to be most visible are on that ridge that you talk about. They're separated by a band of trees that looks like it's probably 30 or 40 feet in width. So if one were to see a structure, it be like looking through trees from us to you and then seeing the structures that tall in the background.
Q. Okay. And you have not done any sort of analysis in terms of your Visual Impact Assessment that goes through the methodology that you're talking about right now, have you?
A. (DeWan) Not for that specific resource.
Q. And you agree that, had you done the research correctly and realized that it was on the National Register of Historic Places, you
would have needed to go through that analysis; right?
A. (DeWan) I'm not sure we would have because it's beyond 2 miles within the urban cluster.
Q. So let's talk about that. First of all, before we go to that, if it was not in the urban cluster, you would have done that analysis; right? I think that's a "Yes"?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. So let's talk about the $2-$ mile range that we were just talking about.

So, on Page 64 of Joint Muni 274, you talk about White Park. And this is from your supplemental joint testimony. It's just an excerpt from Page 71 of your testimony. But you talk about the fact that you did analyze White Park. And in the Footnote 10, it talks about the fact that White Park is located in a defined urban cluster, and therefore the SEC rules require that the Area of Potential Visual Impact only go out 2 miles; therefore, that scenic resource is not subject to review by the Site Evaluation Committee. Is that your opinion?
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A. (DeWan) That's how we interpreted it.
Q. Okay. So if you go to the next page, which is Page 65, this is just the Site Rule 301.05. And I'm not going to get into a legal battle. But $I$ actually just want to have everybody understand what the plain language of the rule says, which is that when you do a computer-based visibility analysis to determine the Area of Potential Impact, under $C$, if there's an electric transmission line longer than a mile, you go out 2 miles, if the transmission line is located within an urban cluster; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's how I read it.
Q. Okay. And then if the transmission line is located in a rural area, and this is under $D$, under 2, which is red, you do a radius of 10 miles; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. So you're measuring from the structure; right?
A. (DeWan) That's our understanding.
Q. And so Pembroke is a rural area; correct? At least portions of it are.
A. (DeWan) Portions of it are.
Q. And did you do any sort of measurement to see whether White Park was within a 5 - or 10 -mile radius of those areas in Pembroke?
A. (DeWan) No, we did not.
Q. If it was, then the analysis that it's not a scenic resource subject to review by the site Evaluation Committee is not correct; right?
A. (DeWan) I think there's a lot of
interpretation here that needs to be explored within the context of this regulation.
Q. Well, I'm going to leave it. But I do believe that it talks about, and you just agreed, that you're measuring it from the transmission structure; correct? And you did agree with that a moment ago.
A. (DeWan) I guess I have to think about the intent.
Q. So we're not going to look at the plain language. We're going to look at the intent? Is that your opinion?
A. (DeWan) Having sat on the committee that helped draft these regulations, we did not get into this portion of it. But $I$ know that
there was some consideration about visibility and potential visual impacts within urban areas. And so my sense was when this was drafted, there was a recognition of the fact that urban areas, such as we're talking about right now, these urban clusters, that beyond 2 miles that's a much different situation than the areas that are primarily rural.
Q. Okay. I'm not going to go into it any further because I don't want to get yelled at. But we'll leave it as it is.

Going to the next page, Page 66 of Joint Muni 274, this is an Impact Summary of Sub Area 5. And Concord is in Sub Area 5; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And this from your Visual Impact Assessment submitted in October 2016?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. And it's sort of a summary of the different municipalities within Sub Area 5?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. So, going to Paragraph 5 which is highlighted in red, it talks about the fact that, in your opinion, that the visibility of
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the Project will not offend the sensibilities of a reasonable person. And one of the reasons you make that conclusion, the first bullet, is because all of the scenic resources are already in a transmission line that's prominently visible; is that right? (Witness reviews document.)
A. (DeWan) No, that's not what we say. We say all the scenic resources that were evaluated already have a transmission line prominently visible.
Q. Okay. So maybe I misread that. And I apologize if $I$ did. But basically, if you can already see some of the transmission line, the fact that there will be an increase in visibility or an increase in the intensity of use, you dismiss that on the basis that the transmission line is already prominently visible from the scenic resource; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) Our consideration here is that what we're looking at is an incremental increase in the visibility of transmission structures.
Q. Okay. So, now, the second bullet talks about
the fact that at many of the scenic resources you already have other forms of human development which are prominently visible. And the examples that you give are transmission lines. And then you also give, though, highways. So if there's a road, basically the impact of a transmission line isn't going to offend the sensibilities of a reasonable person because there's already human development, based on the road. Is that your opinion?
A. (DeWan) We wrote that. And you realize, of course, this is language that was taken from the earlier draft of the SEC rules. This is not included in the final draft. But this was written to say that the transmission lines that are going to be visible are going to be seen in the context of other things that are presently experienced by people who live, work, move through and enjoy the areas surrounding the transmission corridor.
Q. And the example that you gave is that there's already human development, and the example is that there's already highways in the area;
right? There's roads already.
A. (DeWan) Right. And I believe one of the questions -- I don't have that language right in front of me -- that was raised in the initial draft of the SEC rules asked the question: Will the proposal be visible in a landscape that has other form of human development that may be prominently visible in the landscape?
Q. And the example you chose to provide as to why there won't be any sort of offending of a reasonable person is that because there's already roads.
A. (DeWan) Well, roads and other forms of development.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) We just used these three examples.
Q. There's a road up Mount Washington, isn't there?
A. (DeWan) There is a road, yes.
Q. And you would agree that Mount Washington probably rates "high" in terms of scenic resources?
A. (DeWan) Yes, but $I$ don't think that has any
bearing right here.
Q. Okay. And then waterfront development was another example. It was the only other example you gave. And you gave it at Turtle Pond, and that's because there's a boat launch; right?
A. (DeWan) There is a boat launch, there's restroom facilities, there's an overlook, there's a parking lot, an access road. It's not a pristine pond. It's a developed landscape.
Q. And that boat access is what you actually need in order to be able to use the pond; right?
A. (DeWan) The way it's used right now, yes.
Q. Okay. And the restroom facilities that you were just talking about, that's just actually a Porta Potty that can get relocated; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) That gets to people's expectation once they arrive there.
Q. And then under Paragraph 6, in terms of effectiveness of mitigation measures, in the
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second paragraph, in the second sentence, you talk about the fact of the use of the existing corridor eliminates the need for a new corridor and avoids the visual effect that a new line would have on the surrounding landscape; right?
A. (DeWan) That's what we state, yes.
Q. So it's your opinion that because you're already using an existing corridor, that it's going to avoid the visual effect a new line would have, even if that new line might be shorter and the viewshed impacts would be less; right?
A. (DeWan) We didn't qualify it. We just say that the difference between a new line and using an existing line is one that the new line would have a new effect on a new part of the communities that it would be located within.
Q. But you're actually saying one effective mitigation measure is using an existing corridor; right?
A. (DeWan) That's right. I think that's a general rule in siting transmission
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corridors, trying to avoid green-line development.
Q. And we're going to get into it a bit more in a few minutes.

So, in terms of scenic resources in Concord, I think I've covered the ones I want to talk about. And I want to turn to some of the photo simulations of private properties that you did.

And if you turn to the next page, on Page 67, it talks about -- this is the rule, Site 301.05. And it talks about the need to do private property photo simulations. And you're supposed to do those in observation points within the Area of Potential Visual Impact; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's what the rules state.
Q. Okay. And in terms of the photo simulations, in red and underlined under 7 -- actually, sorry, $301.05(b)(8) a$, it talks about the fact that the photographs shall avoid, if feasible, showing any utility poles, fences, walls, trees, shrubs, foliage and other foreground objects and obstructions; right?
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A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And so the goal is, if it's feasible, you should avoid those types of features; right?
A. (DeWan) That's the idea.
Q. Now, the photo simulations that you did of private property, $I$ understand that you don't consider those scenic resources. But you understand that they were used by other experts in this case; right?
A. (DeWan) I think I would have to look at specific examples. I don't think they were all used.
Q. But for example, the tourism expert used some of your photo simulations, didn't he?
A. (DeWan) I did not attend his testimony.
Q. Do you know whether the historic resource expert, Cherilyn Widell, used some of your photo simulations?
A. (DeWan) Some of the private property simulations or in general?
Q. The private property simulations.
A. (Kimball) She may have.
Q. Okay. And do you know whether Bob Varney, the expert for orderly development, used your
photo simulations?
A. (DeWan) I don't know that.
Q. Okay. But you understand they've been relied on for other purposes, or at least viewed for other purposes?
A. (DeWan) I think that's a fair statement.
Q. Okay. Now I want to talk about the photo simulation you did of Maple View Farm on Shaker Road. And if you go to Page 68 of what's been marked as Joint Muni 274, in the red box, this is the area where the transmission corridor crosses Shaker Road; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And that's also the area where the Maple View Farm is located?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And if we go to the next, which is Page 69 of the exhibit, this actually shows Maple View Farm; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) It appears that it does.
Q. Okay. And I'll represent to you that is Maple View Farm.

In terms of the construction that is
going to occur, if you go to Page 70, it shows the plans. And in the red box is the vicinity of some of the structures that will be visible in the region; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And on the following page, which is Page 71, it shows that, at least for the existing 115 line that's going to get relocated, the current heights are between 43 to 47.5 , and they will be increased to between 79 and 106 feet; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's what it appears to be.
Q. And in terms of the new proposed line, heights will be between 85 and 100 feet; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. If you go to the next page, you can see this is the Alteration of Terrain Permit. And you can see some of the topographic lines. And the area where the corridor is coming in, that's the height of land on Shaker Road, isn't it?
A. (DeWan) It may be, yes.
Q. Okay. So if you're coming on Shaker Road
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from Concord, traveling east, you're actually, in this particular area, you're going up a hill; right?
A. (DeWan) I believe so.
Q. Okay. And if you go to -- there's no number on it, but on the left of Shaker Road, which is the northerly side, you can see some of the construction pads; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And that area is higher in elevation than Shaker Road; right?
A. (DeWan) I can't make out the detail enough, but I'll assume that you're correct.
Q. Okay. And so there is -- and I'll just represent to $y o u$, one of the poles in that particular location is 101.5 feet tall. So if it's higher in elevation in that particular location, that means you're going to be able to see it from farther away; is that fair to say?
A. (DeWan) That's perhaps a fair statement, depending upon intervening vegetation and other factors.
Q. Okay. Now let's look at the photo simulation
that you did. And if you turn to Page 73 of the exhibit, this is the introduction to the photo simulation. And it has information in terms of where you were located when you took the photograph. And were you the person that did the photograph?
A. (DeWan) I did take the photograph.
Q. And if you go under Aerial Image... MS. PACIK: We're going to have to scroll up a little bit, yes, and zoom in. Thank you.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. That shows where you were standing when the photographs were taken?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And one, two, three and four are the various photographs?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. They're shown on this page.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 4, Photo 4, it talks about Ferrin Road. Is that a typo? Is it supposed to be Shaker Road?
A. (Kimball) It should be Shaker Road.
Q. Okay. And in the four photographs that you
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
showed, you really can't see the existing transmission corridor; correct?
A. (Kimball) Photo 2 is facing the direction of the existing transmission corridor, I believe.
Q. Photo 1 is not?
A. (Kimball) Photo 1 is a photograph of the house.
Q. And behind that house, when the line goes in, will it be visible?
A. (Kimball) From that viewpoint? Potentially.
Q. Okay.
A. (Kimball) Potentially visible.
Q. So let's go to the next page. And this is the photograph that you took of the property. And what we can see here is a fence; right?
A. (DeWan) On both sides of the road.
Q. And you can see some shrubs?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And in terms of the distribution lines, you can see seven of them; right? And there's, I'd say, three in the foreground; is that correct?
A. (DeWan) $I$ see at least 7, yes.
Q. Okay. And then on the next page it shows the potential -- the proposed transmission line; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And I apologize for skipping around. But if you go to the first page of your -- if you go back to Page 73, what I marked as 73, under Number of Transmission Structures Visible in the photograph, it says four; right?
A. (DeWan) Down at the bottom of the page?

MS. PACIK: Blow it up so we can
all read it.
BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Yup. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. So, based on what this says in your photo simulation, once you drop in the proposed structures, you'll be able to see four of them?
A. (DeWan) According to this document, yes.
Q. Okay. And when you go to Page 75, which is the photo simulation, $I$ only see three. Am I missing one?
(Witness reviews document.)
A. (Kimball) There may be one... there's a fourth one. It would be to the left side, potentially behind the house.
Q. And is that the one we talked about earlier that's 101 feet high and is up on that ridge a little bit?
A. (Kimball) It doesn't appear to be on a ridge. And I don't know the height of that particular structure.
Q. Okay. But we can see at least three in this one. And there's a fourth one that we don't know where it is; right?
A. (Kimball) Right. I can't find it right now. But it likely is in the photo, potentially behind those trees.
Q. Now, before we go on, I want to ask you about your choice of location in taking this photograph, because we talked earlier about the fact that the rules say to try to avoid fences, try to avoid shrubs, and try to avoid utility lines if feasible. And it was feasible to avoid those in this particular area, wasn't it?
A. (DeWan) Well, the day we took this, as you
can see, was during the wintertime. There was snow on the ground. There was no clear path to walk. And I remember getting out of the car here and having a lot of traffic come around the corner there. And I felt, you know, from our own personal safety standpoint, it was not a wise move to walk along the shoulder of road.

The SEC rules require a sample of private property observation points. So, you know, we were on the private property. We were looking at the transmission line from an observation point from the private property looking towards the proposal. So we felt that, you know, this was a representative view that would show the entire expanse of the Project. It would show relative heights of the structures. It would show the relationship between existing vegetation and the proposed vegetation. Yes, it does include some shrubbery. But it'd be almost impossible not to include shrubbery and still be part of the photograph. You know, the fact of the matter is there are existing
distribution lines here that's part of the landscape.
Q. So at 3:20 in the afternoon on Shaker Road, you were concerned about the amount of traffic?
A. (DeWan) There's a lot of cars coming around the corner. As I said, there was very little place to walk. As you can see, the road shoulder had not been plowed, even if there was a shoulder.
Q. You could have avoided this particular corner by parking somewhere else; right?
A. (DeWan) We felt this was a reasonable place to photograph and get a sample of private property observation points.
Q. So if we go to the next page, which is marked as 76, you had given the latitude and longitude of the location where you're standing when you took the photograph. And that's in the red dot. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do.
Q. And that's about as far away as you can possibly get from the transmission corridor and still be in the vicinity of that house;
right?
A. (DeWan) I don't know that for a fact. I felt that taking the photograph in that location would give you a sense of the number of structures that you would see. If we had gotten closer to the house, you probably would not have seen the structures on the right.
Q. Those two structures on the right?
A. (DeWan) There's an H-frame structure on the right, and I believe there's another one next to it.
Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page.

So we had talked earlier about that there were three that were visible; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And in this photo simulation on the left, the structure near the house, you can see that it will be higher than the tree, is that right, in terms of the perspective of where you chose to stand when you took the photographs?
A. (DeWan) That's right, from this perspective.
Q. Same thing with the one on the right. It's
about the same height as the tree. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) It probably is not the same height as the tree, but it appears to be because of the angle of view.
Q. And you're looking up a hill; correct?
A. (DeWan) At this point we are.
Q. So at least the utility structure in the foreground, the distribution pole, is going to seem larger than it might be if you had chosen to stand somewhere else.
A. (DeWan) It would be very hard, photographing from the road, not to include the utility structures in the immediate foreground in the photograph.
Q. Okay. Now, looking at where the structures are in proximity to the trees, and the wires that you can see, if you go to the next page, the same circles you can see that currently the view of those poles are a lot shorter from this proximity; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And then $I$ have a question about some of the distribution lines which were shown on your
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
photo simulation. If you go to Page 79, this is your photo simulation with the proposed structures. And do you see what I circled? There's three distribution lines that can be seen. And if you want, we can zoom in so you can see them a little bit better.
A. (DeWan) I see two distribution structures. I have a hard time making out the one on the left.
Q. Well, you can see the wires at the top of it; right?
A. (DeWan) I see a short, vertical white line which may be a distribution structure.
Q. The one with the arrow on it, it's not quite as tall as that tree that's in the yellow circle, but it's coming up to the top of it; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Tree in the yellow circle? I'm sorry.
Q. The red circle. Sorry. I'm getting tired. The red circle. You see the height of that particular one? Oh, boy.

MS. FILLMORE: Sorry.
A. (DeWan) Must be break time.
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record)
BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Keeping your eye on the one with the red line -- with the red arrow for a second, if you go to the next page, which is Page 80, those are the same areas. Why is it that the distribution lines are changing and are more visible in your photo simulation?
A. (Kimball) Because they're being rebuilt and relocated.
Q. And the one that was with the red arrow which no longer is showing was a lot taller than the one in your photo simulation than it is in this photograph; right?
A. (Kimball) They're in different locations.
Q. Well, actually, let's go back to the page before.

So there's the photo simulation; right? And then if we go to the next page, there it is. And it's not nearly as tall as the tree, and it's pretty much the same location, isn't it?
A. (Kimball) I mean, we're looking at the transmission corridor at an angle. So it may appear to be in parallel with that previous structure from this particular angle. We're not looking at it perpendicular, so it may appear to be in the same location. But it's clearly a different structure and clearly a different location.
Q. So are the distribution lines also getting taller?
A. (Kimball) I don't know the difference between the existing and proposed distribution lines at this location.
Q. Were they given to you for purposes of the photo simulations, in terms of the heights of the distribution lines?
A. (Kimball) Yes. I just don't have the information at my fingertips.
Q. So why is it that the Site Evaluation Committee has never been provided information about the new heights of the distribution lines, especially if they're getting relocated and they're going to be taller?
A. (Kimball) I don't know that they're going to
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be taller. Could be that the grade could be slightly different in the location that one is currently located in and one is being proposed. I don't know that they're getting taller. I just know they're being replaced and relocated.
Q. And at least in the photo simulation that you provided, one of the distribution poles appears taller; correct?
A. (Kimball) The one to the far right?
Q. Yes.
A. (Kimball) Yeah. You can go back and forth again, but...
Q. You would agree with that statement?
A. (Kimball) Hard to tell without looking side-by-side.
A. (DeWan) Yeah, we would have to check the data that was used to create the photo simulation to give you an accurate answer.
Q. But the taller the distribution pole, you know, when you look at it in proximity to the new proposed structures, it's going to impact how the height of the new structures look if the distribution poles in the photo
simulation are also getting taller; right?
A. (Kimball) I don't know that the distribution lines are getting taller. Could just be, as I said, the grade of the terrain. But I don't have that information in front of me.

MS. PACIK: Okay. We can take a break now.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Before we break, do you have access to that information while you're sitting there, Ms. Kimball, or does someone on the team have access to that information so you may be able to answer the question that Attorney Pacik just asked?

WITNESS KIMBALL: I will have the height of that existing distribution line. I don't know it on my fingertips if $I$ have the height of the -- sorry. I have the height of the proposed, but I may have not have the height of the existing to do the comparison. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Doesn't the Alteration of Terrain Permit show where the old and new poles are? And I think one of the other diagrams that gets shown regularly shows the heights of the poles.
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Isn't that right, Mr.
Needleman?
MR. NEEDLEMAN: I believe you're correct. We'll look at the break. But I believe it's information that's in the record. I just can't tell you where.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. And Mr. Needleman, if you could, could you track down the updated filing from the Company regarding the answers to the questions from the site visit? Because as I was scrolling through the docket, I know that there was the filing by the Company, and then the City filed a response to that. And the docket doesn't reflect another filing, but it's possible it was created in discovery or something like that.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: We'll take a look at the break.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Appreciate that.

So we'll break for 10 or 15 minutes.
(Recess taken at 3:21 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 3:48 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Before Ms. Pacik picks back up, Mr. Needleman, do you have anything for us?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I do. So, with respect to the distribution line question you asked me before the break, you're correct that they are on the AOT maps in the Application. The heights I don't believe are included. Both the existing and the proposed relocated distribution lines are also on the Project maps which were submitted with the Application. Again, $I$ don't believe heights are included in there. We were able to talk to the engineers at the break, and what $I$ understand is at this location, the distribution line is being moved over 12 feet. And there is no change in height to the line. It's an in-kind replacement.

With respect to questions as to how it appears --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go ahead.
Sorry.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: -- how it
appears on the view sim, probably better directed to Ms. Kimball.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So the question as to -- you say you understand it was moved 12 feet. Will Ms. Kimball know the direction in which it was moved? Was it moved closer to the location where the picture was taken and the simulation was then created?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I believe she will. And we could also call up the Project maps which would indicate that as well.

And then there was another question you asked about the correction that Mr. DeWan had mentioned. I don't believe anything has been filed with the Committee. We're still trying to run that down. It could be that Mr . DeWan was just remembering the more detailed information that was already included in what Ms. Pacik filed. But we will track that down and let you know.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Ms. Pacik, are you ready to continue?
MS. PACIK: Yes. Thank you.
BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Attorney Needleman just mentioned that the distribution poles that are getting relocated
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are on the Alteration of Terrain maps; is that right?
A. (Kimball) That's what he said.
Q. Can you turn to page -- I'm going to show you what's Page 72 of Joint Muni 274. And this is the Alteration of Terrain map for the vicinity near Maple View Farm. And this shows construction pads, but it doesn't actually show the current location of distribution poles and where they're going to be located -- relocated, does it?
(Witness reviews document.)
A. (Kimball) I see existing structures and I see existing structures to be removed.
A. (DeWan) And I'm not sure if those structures included distribution structures or not.
Q. So, for somebody looking at this AOT map, Alteration of Terrain map, it's not entirely clear what's going on with the distribution poles; right?
A. (Kimball) I see the distribution line as a purple line on the southern side. I don't know what is north or south here, but...
Q. Well, that purple is actually the proposed
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transmission/distribution line. And the purple transmission line is the proposed Northern Pass line; right?
A. (Kimball) I just see the purple line at the bottom that says, "Proposed transmission/distribution line."
Q. So it sounds like from your answer you don't know any more than $I$ do in terms of what this map is showing with respect to distribution lines.
A. (DeWan) Yeah, we're seeing it for the first time.
Q. Okay. If we go to Page 81, and this is Page 81 of Joint Muni 274, have you seen the effects table for Maple View Farm that was provided by Cherilyn Widell, who is the historic resources expert?
A. (Kimball) We have not spent any time looking at this.
Q. Okay. Are you aware, if you turn -- we'll go to Page 82. And at the bottom where it says Recommended Finding --

MS. PACIK: Just scroll down a
little lower. Thank you.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Recommended Finding. It's shaded gray at the bottom of this. And it says "adverse effect" correct?
A. (DeWan) That's what it says.
Q. And are you aware that the historic resources expert found that the proposed line will have an adverse effect in this area?
A. (Kimball) We did receive a list of adverse effects at one point, and this may have been on the list.
Q. And in terms of the rationale for it, in the box that's in red, the last sentence states that the Project will introduce elements that will be more visible and thus diminish the integrity of setting, feeling and association; right?
A. (DeWan) There it goes. That's what it seems to say.
Q. Okay. And if we turn to Page 84 of Joint Muni 274, in the red box, and we'll just blow this up so everybody can read it a little bit better, it talks about -- this is under Adverse Effect Evaluation. And the second
sentence talks about the fact that the proposed project will increase the number of visible structures due to greater height, different design and increased number of structures in the area. The proposed new structures will be out of scale with the setting and the historic resource. The proposed transmission line will intrude on the setting and on the views within the property, and they will create a focal point and views from the property that detracts from the property's integrity of setting and lessens the appreciation of this property. Now, I understand that this is not a scenic resource that you've reviewed. But you would agree that, at least in this particular location, adding a new line and relocating an existing line in an existing corridor was an inadequate way to mitigate the visual effects of the proposed project; right?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'm going to object. This relates to historic resource analysis. And as those witnesses testified,
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that's different under the SEC rules from a visual impact analysis.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Pacik. MS. PACIK: The question is general because Mr. DeWan talked about mitigation and the use of an existing corridor as an appropriate way to mitigate impacts to aesthetics. And I think it's a fair question to ask him about this particular location and the fact that using an existing corridor is actually not always a good way to mitigate impacts.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
You can answer.
A. (DeWan) Again, we're talking about a very specific location. As you mentioned before, it was not a scenic resource. This is not a publicly accessible property. The sort of evaluation that you're asking would demand that we look at alternatives to the location and determine what effect it may have on other resources, be it historic resources or other types of resources.
Q. Okay. But you understand that a corridor
with only so much width that would require increasing the height of structures and adding in new structures, it creates a lot of infrastructure in a small space; is that fair to say?
A. (DeWan) That's the purpose of transmission corridors, yes.
Q. And in your work as -- you do architectural design review for different municipalities; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And you understand the concept of "visual clutter"; correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And the visual clutter, the concept is having a lot of structures or infrastructure in a small area that becomes a distraction; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, it also considers the context by which you look at what you're calling "visual clutter."
Q. Okay. But you understand in a transmission corridor that visual clutter could occur; right?
A. (DeWan) If you use the -- I suppose it's
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theoretically possible. But it's a place where normally one would expect to see transmission infrastructure.
Q. At least in this particular location, Cherilyn Widell found that the new structures would be out of scale and it would be intrusive; right?
A. (DeWan) That is her conclusion.
Q. Okay. If we go to Page 87, and this is Page 87 of Joint Muni 274, these show a couple different locations that at least the effects table provided near the Maple View Farm; right?
A. (Kimball) It looks like their work.
A. (DeWan) This is not our work.
Q. I understand that. But whoever took these photographs, it was summer. They were able to get out of their car and were able to take photographs in this area.
A. (DeWan) It appears they did. Looks like they were able to walk in the area between the edge of the roadway and the fence.
Q. Okay. And to the extent you encountered a dangerous situation on Shaker Road, you could
have come back a different time of the year to take photographs; right?
A. (DeWan) We were under a certain time frame to produce the photographs to meet a deadline established by the SEC for the provision of these types of photographs.
Q. But you could have come back, correct, to take photographs?
A. (DeWan) It would have been possible to. But I don't know when we took the photographs relative to the deadline that the SEC had established, and I don't know if there would have been snow on the ground or not.
Q. Your Visual Impact Assessment was provided in October of 2016. And according to this, your photograph was taken January 13, 2016; right?
A. (Kimball) Sounds right.
Q. Okay. So that was, based on my math, about nine months before you submitted your Visual Impact Assessment?
A. (Kimball) No, it was about four months after we submitted our Visual Impact Assessment.

In October of 2015 we submitted the VIA. And then this was part of our supplemental filing
in February of 2016.
Q. Okay. So you did not originally include Shaker Road in your VIA?
A. (Kimball) That's correct. We included a collection of private property photo simulations in response to the approved SEC rules that came out in December of 2015.
Q. Okay. When you were there, did you try to park at the top of the road and leave your car by the transmission corridor?
A. (DeWan) No, we did not, for the reason $I$ stated earlier.
Q. Now, going to Page 92 of Joint Exhibit 274, this is another private property photo simulation you provided. And that's on Ferrin Road; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And this is 3.1 to 3.4 miles away from the transmission corridor?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And that's shown on the bottom under Technical Information, if we scroll down in the red box; correct?
A. (DeWan) Correct.
Q. Even at 3.13 to 3.4 miles, the number of transmission structures that will be visible in the photo simulation was 29; right?
A. (DeWan) That's a number we arrived at by evaluating the photo simulation and the data used to prepare it.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) That doesn't necessarily mean that's what you would actually see when you were at that distance of close to three and a half miles away.
Q. Well, that number 29 says "number of transmission structures visible in the photo simulation"; right?
A. (DeWan) Could we see the photo simulation, please?
Q. Well, first answer my question.
A. (DeWan) That's the number that appears there. Correct.
Q. Okay. We'll get to the photo simulation in a moment.

Now, in terms of the location that you took it, was this not in the urban cluster? Is that why you chose to take a photograph
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that was more than 2 miles away from the transmission corridor?
A. (Kimball) In this collection of private property photo simulations, we took a wide range of different viewing distances; so, some in the foreground and some in the far background. The location of the photo simulation was done independently from the urban cluster issue.
Q. Well, but that wasn't an answer to my question. My question is: Is this location within the urban cluster?
A. (DeWan) I don't believe it is. I'd have to go back and verify that.
Q. Okay. So you would be analyzing 5 miles from the transmission line would be the visual -the area of visual impact for Ferrin Road?
A. (DeWan) Ten miles where we would look out to.
Q. Right. Well, a radius of 10 miles; correct?
A. (Kimball) Correct.
Q. Which is 5 miles on each side of the transmission line?
A. (Kimball) No, it would be a radius of 20 miles then.
A. (DeWan) No.
A. (Kimball) Oh, radius of 10 miles. Sorry. Ten miles on either side of the transmission line, not five miles on either side.
A. (DeWan) If this is outside of the urban zone, it would be 10 miles away from the line.
Q. So, 10 miles on each side of the line?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Page 94. And it's a little bit hard to see on the computer, but this is the existing conditions; right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. You're looking out east; is that correct? Or southeast, I believe?
A. (Kimball) I believe so.
Q. All right. And you can see this is part of Concord where it is hilly and rural; right?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. And so the vistas you're going to be able to see farther in the distance; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And on the next photograph, it is the proposed conditions. And it's a little hard to see, but there are transmission
structures. And I know you don't like to zoom in, but we're going to anyways, so at least the SEC can see it. You can see the existing structures --
A. (DeWan) Could you point those out, please?
Q. Sure.

MS. PACIK: If you take the mouse, keep going left, left. There's one under the mouse. There's another one. We're going to keep going. Yeah, go left.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. And so you can see some of those transmission structures; right? And I know we're zooming in, but those are above the tree line; is that correct?
A. (Kimball) Correct. That's why the --
A. (DeWan) They are above the line of existing vegetation. They do not break the skyline at this point.
Q. Okay. And in your first page that we looked at earlier, you had said you can see 29 of these?
A. (DeWan) That's what we said.
Q. Okay. Now I want to go to Loudon Road in

Concord, New Hampshire. And this is on Page 96 of Joint Muni 274. And this is a photo simulation you prepared of the Loudon Road area; right?
A. (Kimball) Correct.
A. (DeWan) This is not --
Q. Well, this is the first page of the --
A. (DeWan) First page. That's correct.
Q. Thank you.

Okay. And if you go to the next page, this shows existing conditions near Shaw's; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And if you go to the following page, this shows the proposed conditions, which includes in front of the -- what do you call that?

H-frame? The one with three poles?
A. (Kimball) I believe it's called a "three-pole."
Q. So in front of the three-pole you can see one of the structures, and that is a height of 125 feet; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's probably correct. I don't know the exact number.
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
Q. Okay. And if you go to the following page, you can see how in the sky there are what you can see are conductors; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And the conductors go all the way to the top of that photograph?
A. (DeWan) That's right.
Q. Okay. That's because across the street there's another structure that's proposed to be 125 feet; correct?
A. (DeWan) I can't verify the height, but that's probably in the right range.
Q. Okay. And I have a map in a bit that I can show you to confirm it.

On the following page, this is that first page again that we had looked at for Loudon Road. And I wanted to ask you about your choice of the three photos that you provided as context photos. The photo -- the first one was heading west on Loudon Road; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Panoramic view facing southwest.

Right.
Q. Southwest. Okay.

And then the second one, Photo 2, was facing northeast, which is in the opposite direction of the line, so obviously you're not going to see anything.
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And then the third one was facing west, also opposite of the line. And so -- hold on.
A. (DeWan) No, no.
Q. Oh, this is where it crosses the road; right?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. Okay. And so you're kind of facing west again, but from a different location?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. So I want to talk about Photo 3 for a moment and your choice of that particular angle.

You're aware that the City of Concord requested all photo simulations that you prepared but did not use; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's my understanding.
Q. Okay. If you go to -- we'll turn to Page 101. And this is the request for all photo simulations, including Concord. And they were provided. And if we go to

Page 202 -- oh, and this is not confidential, by the way. I did receive confirmation on that, too.

This is a photo simulation of a slight, of a different angle than what you showed in Photo No. 3; is that right?
A. (DeWan) Generally same direction, but in a different location.
Q. Okay. And this, actually, this photo simulation, this was prepared by you?
A. (DeWan) By our office.
Q. By your office. And this shows the 125-foot poles; is that right?
A. (Kimball) I would have to confirm the height, but that sounds about right.
Q. Okay. And your office did not choose to provide a photo simulation of this particular area in Concord; right?
A. (DeWan) No. The earlier photograph that you showed is this particular area, it's just a different view.
Q. Right. So the view that you provided, you chose not to provide this particular view; correct?
A. (DeWan) Well, we felt that the one view that we showed was representative of the effect it would have on this area.
Q. And the area where there's construction, is there a shopping center there now?
A. (DeWan) It was our understanding there was a shopping center of some sort that obviously was under construction when we visited. I don't know if it's been completed yet.
Q. But near that shopping center would be a pole of 125 feet?
A. (DeWan) Again, $I$ don't know. It looks like there's some other poles in the back of the traffic signals on the right. I don't know the height of those. The one you may be referring to are the ones on the left-hand side of the photograph.
Q. The ones in the back, those are the proposed Northern Pass poles; right?
A. (Kimball) The three-pole structure and the monopole structure on the far right are included in the Project.
Q. So those three behind the three stoplight signals are all proposed structures?
A. (Kimball) Correct.
Q. Now, if we go to the following page, I think Page 104 of Joint Muni 274, that shows -- you can see that's existing conditions. And those three poles are not there; right?
A. (Kimball) I believe, yes.
A. (DeWan) On the right side.
Q. Nor is that 125 -foot pole?
A. (Kimball) No, that's not there either.
Q. Okay. Now, I understand that you concluded this is not a scenic resource. But in terms of visual impacts to this particular area, are you aware that the Department of Energy analyzed it?
A. (DeWan) We are.
Q. Okay. And if we go to Page 106, they didn't analyze it with respect to it being a scenic resource, but they analyzed it with respect to it being a viewpoint assessment. And they looked at the contrast-dominance rating. And according to the Department of Energy on this, in the third line, starting there, it says, "The existing contrast-dominance rating is 'strong.' But under Alternative 7, the
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]
contrast-dominance rating would increase to 'severe,' which indicates that the visual change would be very large and in sensitive settings would likely be considered unreasonably adverse by a casual observer." And you're familiar with this.
A. (DeWan) Very familiar with it.
Q. Okay. And you understand that you responded, at least in part, to the Counsel for the Public's expert's concern about the impacts to Loudon Road in your supplemental testimony; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. So if we go to the next page, which is Page 107, this is your supplemental testimony that you submitted. And if we scroll down, this is the section that starts your discussion on Loudon Road. And you start off by explaining that it doesn't meet the definition of a scenic resource. And really, that was your focus was scenic resources; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. You weren't analyzing orderly development;
correct?
A. (DeWan) That's not our area of expertise.
Q. All right. So on the next page you wrote that -- you addressed one of T.J. Boyle's concerns. And T.J. Boyle is the expert for Counsel for the Public; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And that firm stated that the Project is highly visible to the large number of people on Loudon Road living in the area and shopping at the retail businesses.

And your response was, at least what I highlighted was, "In reality, there are very few people who live in this part of Loudon Road."

What type of research did you do to determine how many people lived in this area of Loudon Road?
A. (DeWan) Personal observations driving Loudon Road, looking at properties within a few hundred feet of the center line of Loudon Road, which is primarily a commercial strip development.
Q. Okay. So this is the type of research that
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you do in your general work as an expert; right?
A. (DeWan) In preparing visual impact assessments, we rely very heavily on field observation.
Q. So let's go to the next page, which is Page 109 of Joint Muni 274. And this is a Google Earth overhead. And you can see the transmission corridor; correct?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And you can see the intersection with the Shaw's parking lot at the top?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And there's a mouse in that area. You can see where we just scrolled over.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Now, all those properties along the corridor at that intersection of Loudon Road, that's Alton Woods Apartment buildings; right?
A. (DeWan) That is correct.
Q. And there's over 300 apartments in that area; is that right?
A. (DeWan) I don't know the exact number.
Q. That wasn't something you decided to
research?
A. (DeWan) We looked at this area.
Q. Okay. And McKenna's Purchase is behind Shaw's; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And then if you keep going south, I believe it is, Edgewood Condominiums is in the area; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, it's shown on the photograph. It's not in the area that we refer to when we talked about this particular photo simulation.
Q. So when you talk about not having a lot of people living in the area, you're talking about how many feet from this particular pole?
A. (DeWan) Say within, you know, 300 feet or so.
Q. Okay. So that's your limit that you chose, 300 feet?
A. (DeWan) I didn't come up with an exact number. You know, looking at the front of the Shaw's building there, I'd say a rough approximation. Also following the tree line around and looking at the trees as separating

McKenna's Purchase from the roadway and then looking at what separates Alton Woods from the roadway, our evaluation was that the majority of those structures are all commercial structures. We talked about the area. It's the area that's visible from the photos we showed in our VIA, not the general area around, which we realize there's a lot of condominiums and apartment developments.
Q. Yeah, there is a lot of residential in that area, isn't there?
A. (DeWan) There is.
Q. And people driving to their homes, whether it's a house, a condominium, an apartment, they're going to be passing by this particular intersection, aren't they?
A. (DeWan) A lot of people go down Loudon Road every day.
Q. Okay. And the following page is another overhead of Google Earth that shows a larger portion of the area. And it shows how much residential -- how many residential properties are in the area. And you would agree there's a significant amount; right?
A. (DeWan) At Loudon Road -- at McKenna's Purchase right there, yes, there are.
Q. Okay. Well, you can see all the way down Loudon Road, on each side of that road there is residential property; right?
A. (DeWan) But it's not visible from Loudon Road. I think that's the criteria that we were looking at: Are these properties that are visible? What's the character of Loudon Road? It is definitely not a residential street. It's primarily a commercial strip development.
Q. Okay. But when people are traveling, whether or not when they walk out their door they might be able to see it, but the people that live in this area that drive and shop and walk are going to be in the nearby vicinity of the Loudon Road intersection; right?
A. (DeWan) That's absolutely right, depending on what route they travel.
Q. Now I want to talk about McKenna's Purchase. You did a photo simulation of that area, too; right?
A. (DeWan) We actually did two photo simulations
for that area.
Q. Okay. And McKenna's' purchase is circled in red on the exhibit that we're looking at currently, which is Page 110; is that right?
A. (DeWan) I don't see 110, but $I$ see the circle, yes.
Q. I'll represent to you it's Page 110. But in the red circle --
A. (DeWan) We'll take it for granted, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) It may include a little bit of additional, but yes, that's the general location of McKenna's Purchase.
Q. And if we go to Page 111, the general area of McKenna's Purchase and the proposed construction is in a red square; right?
A. (DeWan) That's generally right.
Q. Okay. And if we scroll in or zoom in, we can look and confirm. But we talked about the two poles that were going to be 125 feet. And the first one is 3132-140. Do you see that? That's the number.
A. (DeWan) Oh, okay, up by Shaw's there.
Q. Then across the street, the other pole that's
going to be 125 feet that we were looking at is 3132-139. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I do, and that wedge of land there.
Q. Okay. And if we go to the next page -- and I'm not going to bore you with pole heights, but if you needed confirmation, $I$ just wanted to make sure it was there for you. Do you see that?
A. (DeWan) I see that they're there, yes.
Q. Okay. Good. So now, if we go to the following page, which is 113, this is the first page of the photo simulation that you provided right?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And this is for McKenna's Purchase?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And you can see under Aerial Image where it says "1" with the arrow? That shows where you were standing when you took the photograph?
A. (DeWan) For Photograph 1, yes. One, two and three, yes.
Q. For the photo simulation. Okay. So if we go to the following page, this is just a Google

Earth to give a better perspective. But that's the area that you were standing in; correct?
A. (DeWan) Is that right?
Q. Not the red dot. What's in the red circle.
A. (Kimball) Looks right, if it's Yvonne Court.
Q. It is.
A. (DeWan) Yeah, okay. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the following page, which is Page 115, this is the photo simulation. Well, actually, this is the existing conditions; right?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. You can see one of the structures behind the condominiums?
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. And on the following page, which is Page 116, is the photo simulation. And in it you can see three structures behind this property.
A. (Kimball) I believe it's two structures. One is an H-frame.
A. (DeWan) Right-hand side above the skyline there.
Q. Now, in terms of the heights of these
structures, if you go to the following page, this is the area with the structures that we were looking at.

And on the following page, which is Page 118, it shows that structure heights are between 75 feet and 88 feet in that area.
A. (DeWan) Those are the proposed structures?
Q. Yes. Is that right?
A. (Kimball) If those are the structures that are visible in the photo simulation.
Q. Okay. Well, why don't we go back to the prior page. The ones that are in the red square, are those the structures that are visible in the photo simulation?
A. (DeWan) It appears they are.
Q. Okay. Now, in terms of the draft photo simulations that you did, but did not provide to the Site Evaluation Committee, if we go to Page 119, again, this is the request, which is not confidential. And on the following page it shows a photograph of a different condominium. And this one's on Brenda Court; is that right?
A. (Kimball) Yes, it is.
A. (DeWan) Yeah. If you can reduce the photograph, there should be a title on it.
Q. I don't think there is.
A. (DeWan) Maybe not. Okay.
Q. And the following page shows the photo simulation.
A. (DeWan) Yes.
Q. Okay. And in this one, the structures are a lot more prominent in terms of the sky. The entire sky is taken up with wires; is that right?
A. (DeWan) No, there are conductors visible in portions of the sky as seen in this particular photograph.
Q. There's some sky that doesn't have conductors. But for the most part, the wires at least behind one of the condominiums, you can't even see all of it, can you?
A. (DeWan) Can't see all of what?
Q. The conductor.
A. (DeWan) It disappears, yes, because the photograph is cut off there.
Q. It disappears because it's so high that the photograph doesn't show it all; right?
A. (DeWan) I guess that's correct.
Q. Okay. On the following page, which is Page 122, is this a panoramic or just you were standing farther back?
A. (DeWan) No, this is a panoramic view.
Q. And this again is Brenda Court?
A. (Kimball) I believe so.
A. (DeWan) I believe it is.
Q. And on the following page is the photo simulation of this one that you also chose not to provide. And again, you can see the skyline in the back is filled with wires; right?
A. (DeWan) There are additional conductors visible in this specific location.
Q. Why is it that you didn't provide this photo simulation to the Site Evaluation Committee?
A. (DeWan) I believe these were done after the Application was filed.
Q. You did do supplemental photos, though, that were provided; right?
A. (Kimball) These were done, I believe, for a public meeting or for the residents at McKenna's Purchase. We chose to select one
of them to include in our list of 30 photo simulations showing a variety of different conditions. We chose the one from Yvonne Court instead of Brenda Court. I couldn't tell you --
A. (DeWan) I would participate in the site walk with the residents of condominium project, and walking the entire length of the Project as it abuts the transmission corridor. We together selected a number of images that they felt would be representative of the views that would be -- that would result from the Project.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) This was done to answer their specific questions.
Q. The following page shows, actually, just as an overhead, where you're standing when you took that photograph, at least in that area; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct. Two courts over from Yvonne.
Q. All right. We're going to skip a couple pages. We're going to go to Page 127 of

Joint Muni 274. And I want to talk about the 393 crossing.

Are you familiar with the design concepts that have been provided to the Department of Transportation to cross Interstate 393?
A. (Kimball) I don't believe so.
Q. Are you aware of whether there has been a proposal to increase the height of two of the structures to 165 feet?
A. (Kimball) We are not aware of that proposal.
Q. So nobody's provided you information about other potential visual impacts that may occur if the height is increased?
A. (Kimball) It may have been in updated material that we received. But this is not a scenic resource, the impact on 393 , so it may have been something that wasn't called out as something to look at.
Q. Now, if the heights are increased to 165 feet, that would impact your viewshed analysis; right?
A. (Kimball) Potentially. What's the height of the current proposal?
Q. Well, we're going to go through it right now. So let's go to the next page. And the two -let me make sure I got this correct.

So, 3132-135 and 3132-136, there was a design submitted to the Department of Transportation to make those 165 feet, and currently the proposal is 105 and 115 feet. So it's about 60 feet taller than one of the proposed heights and 50 feet higher than another. That would impact the viewshed analysis, wouldn't it?
A. (Kimball) It's possible that it would change the way the viewshed map looks. Correct.
Q. It's possible or yes? I think you can say "Yes," can't you?
A. (DeWan) Without doing a -- my suspicion is it would have some effect on it. We would have to run it through the computer to give you an accurate answer.
Q. Okay. If we go to Page 135 of Joint Muni 274, there's also poles that are going to be lit in Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And so under existing conditions, apparently
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there's warning lighting on 15 transmission structures east of the airport; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Do you know the height of those 15 structures that are currently illuminated?
A. (Kimball) We have it in the data that we used to run the viewshed map, but I don't have it in front of me.
Q. And according to this, additional lighting will be on 31 more structures; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. Okay. So, in terms of those 15 existing structures, will the heights of those be increased?
A. (Kimball) I'm not sure if the existing structures in this area are being -- I can take a look to see if they're being removed and replaced.
(Witness reviews document.)
A. (Kimball) It appears that the structures in this area are being removed and replaced.
Q. And when you say "removed and replaced," that means they're getting higher, too, the height
of the structures?
A. (Kimball) We'd have to take a look at the chart.
Q. Well, be fair to say that the ones that we've looked at to date have been increased, so these would likely also be increased?
A. (Kimball) Very likely.
Q. And then there will be 31 new structures that are also going to have lights.

You did an analysis of the impact on the lights; is that right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And you looked at some scenic resources that you thought might have an impact. And I want to talk about two of the scenic resources which are listed on Page 137 of Joint Muni 274. And this is from your Supplemental Visual Impact Assessment; is that correct?
A. (Kimball) Correct. This was submitted in April of this year.
Q. Okay. And one of the locations is Concord Historic District. And by "Concord Historic District," you're talking about downtown Concord; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. And that's the Main Street area. And according to your visibility analysis, you determined that structures may be visible in scattered locations; correct?
A. (DeWan) That's what we concluded, yes.
Q. And then ultimately, though, in the last sentence you say, "The lighting will have no visual effect on the historic district," and there's an asterisk. And if you go to the bottom of the page, the reason you say there's no visual effect is because it's in the urban cluster; correct?
A. (DeWan) No. No, we talked about why it will not have a visual effect, primarily because the views are largely blocked by structures between the lighting and the historic district. That's an additional comment to say that it's outside of the 2-mile urban cluster area.
Q. Oh, okay. Thank you for that clarification.
A. (DeWan) Yeah, that's not why it will have no effect.
Q. Okay. So, because there's already street
lights in the Main Street area, you determined that there would be no visual effect because of the additional transmission lines that you'll be able to see that are lighted. Was that the analysis?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. All right. And same for the Concord Civic District? You determined that there would be visibility in that district, but because there's already street lights in the area, there's really no visual impact; right?
A. (DeWan) Well, consider the fact that we're in an urban area, and any views of these lights would be looking down street corridors between buildings, the views would be blocked by trees. You probably are seeing other light sources in the immediate foreground, so the lights seen at a distance 2-1/2 to 2.6 miles away would appear to be very, very tiny, if visible at all.
Q. But even with all the trees, even with all the buildings, even with the fact that people are walking down corridors, your visibility analysis determined that there would be

structures that would be visible from both Main Street and the Concord Civic District; right?
A. (DeWan) And the computer analysis, the visibility analysis shows that, theoretically, you would be able to see them.
Q. And I understand that second floors of certain buildings are not scenic resources. But presumably if somebody is on the second or third floor of one of the new buildings, like Capital Commons or the Smile Building, they would be able to see those lighted poles from that area; right?
A. (DeWan) They would be seeing them in the context of a lot of other lights in that area, like the airport.
Q. Okay. Page 139. You did an analysis of whether or not the lighted transmission lines will be visible from the State House; is that right? Well, we'll get there in a second.

MS. PACIK: We can use ELMO if I have to, although that would make me have to get up.

MS. FILLMORE: If anyone else in
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observed?
A. (DeWan) Well, it's a private office. It would not, to me, equate as a publicly accessible place.
Q. Right. And I understand this is outside your analysis of what's a scenic resource. But my question is would they be visible from those private offices?
A. (DeWan) I highly doubt it because of the effectiveness of the buildings seen on the opposite side of the street there, five- or six-story buildings.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the answer. Which is fine. But if you do not know --
A. (DeWan) No, our viewshed analysis does not go up along the sides of the building.
Q. Okay. Just a couple more exhibits, if we can get them up.
(Pause)
MS. PACIK: You want to go off the record again?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Whatever works.
(Discussion off the record)
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
BY MS. PACIK:
Q. So I'm going to show you what's been marked as Page 142 of Exhibit 274. And this is the viewshed analysis you did of the proposed and existing structures with lights. And if we scroll in quite a bit to No. 6 and 7 --
A. (DeWan) We're still not able to see it on our screens.
Q. Okay.

MS. PACIK: Can you go in a
little bit more? We're waiting for -- okay.
A. (Kimball) Shall we pull up our copy?

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. Yeah, if you have Page 49, that's great.
A. (Kimball) So this is the viewshed map, the proposed viewshed map.
Q. Yes.

MS. PACIK: Can the Subcommittee see it? Excellent.

BY MS. PACIK:
Q. If we scroll in, this is a map that shows where the lighted structures will be visible; is that right?
A. (Kimball) Potentially visible.
Q. And on the map, what's been marked as 6 and 7, that's the Concord Historic District and the Concord Civic District; right?
A. (DeWan) That's the general location of them.
Q. Okay. And Concord Civic District, that's sort of in the South Main Street area; is that right?
A. (Kimball) Yes. I believe it's further north than the historic district.
Q. Further north or further south?
A. (Kimball) It's 6?
Q. I believe it's 6. That's south; right?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. Okay. And this map, the scale of it, you can actually see a lot of Concord in it, can't you? For example, you can see White Park, which is to the west of the area that's been marked as No. 7.
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. We can zoom in a little bit. But there's a lot of purple on the westerly side of White Park, isn't there?
A. (DeWan) There appears to be, yeah.
Q. Okay. We talked before about the steep slope of White Park and how there will be visibility of the proposed transmission line. And this shows that not only will there be visibility during the day, but also at night; correct?
A. (Kimball) Potential visibility.
A. (DeWan) Yeah, this is another situation where visibility needs to be really refined, because seeing lights at a distance of 3 miles from this point --
Q. But there is a lot of purple on this. So, based on what we have on the record in terms of the viewshed analysis that you provided, there is potential visibility from White Park; right?
A. (Kimball) Correct. The western boundary.
Q. Okay. And heading north, there's also potential visibility from Concord Country Club. And we're going to just scroll for a moment a ways north. There's Concord Country Club. And you can see from areas of Concord Country Club there's also visibility; right?
A. (DeWan) We can't see that.
Q. Did you lose the picture?
A. (Kimball) We don't have the picture. I'm controlling it separately. Oh, I see it on there.
Q. So you would agree that your viewshed analysis shows visibility of the lines at night; correct?
A. (Kimball) right.
A. (DeWan) Visibility analysis shows that there's a chance that someone with binoculars might be able to pick out lights at that distance in the context of all the other lights seen around the airport.
Q. Well, now I'm confused, because there was no discussion of binoculars, were there? I mean, this is a viewshed analysis that you provided to the Site Evaluation Committee. And this is the first time we're hearing about binoculars; right?
A. (DeWan) I use that as a figure of speech to say that at that distance, the unaided eye is probably not going to be making out individual light sources at that distance surrounded by other light sources.
Q. Okay.
A. (DeWan) Maybe with binoculars you might be able to pick it out if you know what you were looking for.
Q. According to your viewshed analysis, it will be visible; right?
A. (DeWan) According to the visibility analysis, there's a potential for visibility.
Q. Okay. Now I want to go south for a moment. I probably should have gone there before we went up to the Concord Country Club. But the area of Iron Works Road and Clinton Street, where it says Silk Farm Road, do you see all that purple right there?
A. (Kimball) Yeah, I'm not following exactly where you are but --
Q. Near Great Turkey Pond. If you're looking at your viewshed analysis --
A. (Kimball) Oh, yeah, okay.
Q. You found it?
A. (Kimball) Near 93.
Q. Yes. And are you familiar with the use of Clinton Street for people traveling to Concord?
A. (DeWan) Not specifically.
Q. Okay. But according to this, those lights will also be visible at night; correct?
A. (Kimball) From where?
A. (DeWan) Again, in the same context of seeing street lights and headlights and lights that are on people's homes.
Q. Okay. You did do this viewshed analysis, though, to determine impacts of lights; right?
A. (DeWan) Potential impacts on scenic resources.
Q. Okay. Now, can you go to -- we're going to -- I understand you don't have this potentially in front of you, but $I$ want to look at Map 4, which was produced April 13, 2017. And we marked it as Joint Muni 276, and we're going to put it up on the screen. And you probably have it in front of you in your packet. It's the Northern Pass viewshed analysis difference between original viewed and revised land cover heights for nonforested areas.
A. (Kimball) I'll pull it up on my computer
here.
Q. (DeWan) Take one minute.
(Pause)
A. (Kimball) Okay. So it's a four-page...
A. (DeWan) Which map are you looking at, No. 4?
Q. Map No. 4.
A. (Kimball) Okay. Yeah.
Q. So we just talked about the fact that these viewshed analysis maps were done to identify impacts to scenic resources; right?
A. (DeWan) Potential impacts to scenic resources.
Q. Okay. And now I want to look at the scale of these maps. The one we just looked at in Concord, we could see White Park and we could see Silk Farm Road and we could see Concord Country Club; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct.
Q. So now if we zoom in on this one in the Concord vicinity and we try to look at White Park, for example, and we keep zooming, we really can't see any of the items that we were just looking at before, can we?
A. (Kimball) Not at this scale.
Q. Okay. And this is the scale that you provided to the Site Evaluation Committee; right?
A. (DeWan) That's correct, in response to the SEC rules.
Q. Okay. So in terms of trying to identify impacts to specific scenic resources, this is not helpful, is it?
A. (DeWan) It was certainly helpful to us, because what it does is provides a guidance to where to do further evaluation, where to concentrate our efforts.
Q. But in terms of the Site Evaluation Committee and the parties in the case, when you zoom in, it's not helpful to others because of the scale by which it was produced; right?
A. (Kimball) I believe all of the parties in the case received files that allowed them to look at this in whatever scale they would like.
Q. In discovery?
A. (Kimball) Yes.
Q. And the Site Evaluation Committee, did they receive that?
A. (Kimball) No. I believe it's in the record,
but...
Q. Well, discovery is not necessarily the record that the Site Evaluation Committee has, is it?
A. (Kimball) Then if they're limited to the print copies, then this was the scale at which they received this map.

MS. PACIK: All right. I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
It is quarter to five. Is there any intervenor here that has a short questioning they would like to do with this panel, something in the 15- to 45-minute range?

Who are you?
MS. SPENCER: I'm Karen Spencer.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, you're part of the Dummer, Stark, North Umberland Abutting Group with Spencer and Lagasse. MS . SPENCER: Yes. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

Come on.
Mr. Cunningham, do you also
have questions?
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MR. CUNNINGHAM: NO, I'm going to work the ELMO.
(Discussion off the record)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may proceed.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. I'm Karen Spencer, secretary/treasurer of Lagasse Realty, LLC, also known as Percy Lodge and Campground.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Slow down, slow down just a little.

BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. Kevin Spencer is my husband. Mark Lagasse is his partner in the LLC.

Percy Lodge and Campground is located in Stark, New Hampshire, which was known as Percy Village before the town name was changed to Stark in 1838. The front portion of the lodge was the old Percy Village Post Office.

Mr. DeWan, have you stood on Percy Road in front of the Percy Lodge?
A. (DeWan) I believe we have. I believe we
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walked the entire area on either side of the lodge. We photographed most of the buildings in both directions.
Q. Would you want Northern Pass going through your back yard?
A. (DeWan) That's a personal question. I can certainly sympathize with -- you know, the illustration you're showing here, which I do not believe is an accurate representation of what it would look like --
Q. Okay. We're southeast of Christine Lake, which five homes on Percy Road get their daily water from, which last month Eversource compromised this water source by heavy equipment driving over Pond Brook during their bush cleaning on the 150-foot easement which is on the northern side of the 26 acres which Percy Lodge is located on.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
Spencer, this is your opportunity to ask questions --

MS. SPENCER: But I have to also explain who I am.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, no.

You need to ask questions. You've identified yourself, and they know where you live and who you are, and this is your opportunity to ask questions.

MS . SPENCER: Okay.
BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. On Table 1-6, Stark Scenic Resources, Item No. 2, explain to me how the visual --

MS. DORE: Excuse me. I'm sorry to interrupt. I'm really sorry to interrupt. But Art, are you going to file it as an exhibit or --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, these will be identified.

MS. DORE: Okay. Can you identify it right now as a number or --

MS. SPENCER: It's 338 Percy
Road.
MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, no. To my memory, this will be Dummer Exhibit 66, I think.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: And Mr. Chair, we're going to object to this exhibit.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
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We'll deal with that when we need to. But it's marked, or it will be marked, I think Mr. Cunningham thought 66. We'll get that confirmed.

I think we're about to get a question from Ms. Spencer about a table that is in the witnesses' report? Is that where this table comes from? Is it something you have in front of you, Mr. Cunningham? MS. SPENCER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Since you're going to ask a question of them about it, it probably makes sense for them to know what it is and to be able to see it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is Table
1.6 on the Stark Scenic Resources.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Can you
blow this up?
MR. CUNNINGHAM: How do you blow this up, Pam?
(Pause)
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may proceed.

BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. Please describe scenic characteristics of Stark. List out the rate.
A. (DeWan) Could you please repeat the question? I didn't catch the last --
Q. Please describe the scenic characteristics of Stark and list out the rate.
A. (DeWan) When you say "the rate," what are you referring to?
Q. I'm referring to the "medium." It's the yellow --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is the one you're referring to, Karen?

MS. SPENCER: Yes.
A. (Kimball) The Upper Ammonoosuc River?

BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. The Northern Canoe Trail, Coos County Trail.
A. (DeWan) Again, when you say the rate -- we're looking at a number of columns here that provide information on cultural value, visual quality, scenic significance.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's the first yellow stripe.
A. (Kimball) The Upper Ammonoosuc River received a scenic significance rating of
"medium-high."
Q. Right.
A. (Kimball) Is that what you're looking for?
Q. Yes. List out the rate. Please describe the characteristics of that.
A. (Kimball) Of the Upper Ammonoosuc River?
Q. With the results that you have on that line.
A. (DeWan) Those are described in our report.

If you want to turn to that particular page in our report, we can refer you to it.
A. (Kimball) On Page 1-93 of our report, we go into detail about the visual quality and impact on the Upper Ammonoosuc River.
Q. Right. Yes. I can't hear you, so I don't know what you said.
A. (Kimball) On Page 1-93 of our report --
Q. Right, describe that.
A. (Kimball) You would like me to read to you our report?
Q. Yes.
A. (DeWan) It would take probably 10 minutes or so to read the entire document. I'm not sure --
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of your report describe --
WITNESS DeWAN: 1-93 provides a description of the Upper Ammonoosuc River in the Northern Forest Canoe Trail in Stark.

BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. Okay. Have you walked that particular area?
A. (DeWan) We've driven a lot of it. We've gotten a chance to walk a lot of it. We've not gone onto private property. MS. SPENCER: Can you put up the campground so they can see?

BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. This is my interpretation of the existing lines on our campground, the black line. You cannot see any poles. If the power lines are now 45 feet high and cannot be seen above the tree line, do you understand that adding another 52 feet to 56.5 to the relocated towers to the south side of the easement, that they will now be seen year-round?
A. (DeWan) I don't know where you're referring to. I will need to see a location map to find out where this photograph is taken from.
Q. It's taken across the street from 338 Percy

Road where the lodge is, and the easement is behind the lodge. It's a 150-foot easement on 26 acres, northern side.

Can you explain the Intermaps that were used for that viewshed?
A. (Kimball) Are you interested in the data that was used to complete the viewshed analysis?

MS. SPENCER: Can you put that up, Mr. Cunningham?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to object to that exhibit as well. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.

I think she'd like a different one up, anyway, Mr. Cunningham.

MS. SPENCER: Just that one there on the top.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
BY MS. SPENCER:
Q. Okay. Those two graphs on top.
A. (Kimball) Sure. The data that's represented in the cross-section is taken from Intermap data that was purchased for the analysis done
for this project. It was the most accurate data available to us.

So, the upper line, the green line, represents the DSM, the digital surface model, representing trees and buildings on site. And the brown line at the bottom represents the terrain. We cut sections from particular viewpoints that are shown in the plan below and attempted to represent the location and height of the buildings that were also captured in those sections to demonstrate potential visibility across those two sections.
A. (DeWan) My recollection was -- excuse me. These were prepared following a site visit by the Site Evaluation Committee. A question was raised by one of the members on the tour bus: Will it be visible from Percy Road? And so we didn't know the exact answer when we had the site walk. We decided the best way to answer that would be to go out, look at the site, photograph it and then prepare these cross-sections as Jessica just explained.
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MS. SPENCER: Okay. Mr. Cunningham, can you put the graph for me, please? That one.

Can I say a comment, or it has to all be questions?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Depends on what the comment is. What would you like to say?

MS. SPENCER: The graph that he just took down that $I$ was questioning, did anybody stand on Percy Road and make those graphs? To me, the naked eye does not do what these graphs say --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Well, you asked a perfectly valid question.
Did anyone stand in front of
the location to verify or examine the real view as opposed to this drawing?

WITNESS DeWAN: I think she asked did anybody stand on Percy Road and do the graphs. We did the analysis standing on Percy Road. The maps were actually prepared back in our office based upon the topographic information, the viewshed analyses, the
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location of the structures, the heights of the structures and other data that was pertinent to come up with charts.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Try my question, my version of the question. Did anyone go back to the location and see if that is consistent with what you can see when you're standing in front of --

WITNESS DeWAN: Nobody went back to look at these after these charts were prepared.

MS. SPENCER: Okay. Can you put up the last one for me, please?

As I said earlier, the power lines are 45 feet below the tree line. These power lines on this 26 acres are only 400 feet from the back of the lodge. They will all be seen and have a very negative effect on our recreational venue.

And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
I think that probably brings us to the end of the day. Is there anything else we need to do
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before we break?
MR. CUNNINGHAM: Just one question, Mr. Chairman. When would you like to have argument on Karen's exhibits?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Generally we would do objections to exhibits at the end of the hearing process as to what gets -- what becomes a full exhibit.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: And the reason
I raise that question --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't you go to the microphone so people in the back can hear you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure. Karen, on her exhibits, made depictions of the height, in her judgment, where the new power line would be. What's the great uncertainty here, and the reason $I$ want to make this point today, is that we have pending the issue of the pipeline and where precisely the relocated, existing 115 kV line will be and the new DC line. We cannot possibly know where those lines will be or what height they will be and what those elevations that are dictated by the power -- or the gas
line will be --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And how do those -- I'm sorry. How do those exhibits relate to what you just said?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I know Mr. Needleman's going to object --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, I do, too. But I'm interested in the answer to my question. How does what you just said relate to those exhibits?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It relates because I assume what he's going to object to is that they're handwritten depictions of where the power lines are expected to be in the viewshed. The point here, Mr. Chairman, is we have no idea where those power lines will be or what the height will be because of the existence of that 24 -inch gas pipeline. So the information is deficient, and until we have the final engineering done on the safety aspects of the location of the new power lines with respect to the pipeline, we cannot provide an accurate depiction of the viewshed issues.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
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Needleman.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: My objection to those exhibits actually had nothing to do with that issue. But just for the record, to be clear, we know exactly where the line is. The Committee has the drawings, and they have testimony from the construction panel establishing that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And I think we can hold off on whether those exhibits are full exhibits at this point. I don't think anything you've given us is a reason to accelerate that decision. And I think if you were to force a decision right now, you probably wouldn't like the answer.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, it's just that $I$ want it on the record, the concern we have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, I think you've made that concern very clear in this proceeding, and I think at the Public Utilities Commission as well. You're concerned about the relationship between the lines and the existing pipeline. I've yet to -- it may
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just be me, and it may be because it's late in the day, but I'm just not seeing the connection between the exhibits that have just been identified and will be marked and that concern. But I think you've put it on the record. We don't need to deal with it right now. I think it's probably best that we not deal with it right now. Is that okay?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, I would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Is there anything else we cannot resolve, or anything we can do?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We'll break for the day and be back at 9:00. And just as a reminder, we will stop tomorrow between 3:00 and 3:30.
(Whereupon the Day 32 Afternoon
Session was adjourned at 5:07
p.m., and hearing for Day 33 to resume on September 13, 2017
commencing at 9:00)
[WITNESS PANEL: DeWAN|KIMBALL]

C ERTITICATE
I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that $I$ am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)

|  | actually (45) | ahead (2) | Apartment (3) | 10,14;114:6,6,8,11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ | $4: 20 ; 7: 15 ; 9: 15$ | $45: 19 ; 89: 20$ | 112:19;114:9,14 | 21,23;115:1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 13 ; 12: 21 ; 16: 20 \\ & 22: 17 ; 23: 4 ; 25: 2,22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { airport (3) } \\ & \text { 125:2;129:16; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { apartments }(112: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 119:2,6;122:19; |
| [No (1) 155: | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 17 ; 23: 4 ; 25: 2,22 \\ & 26: 7 ; 27: 11 ; 31: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 2 ; 129: 16 ; \\ & 135: 13 \end{aligned}$ | apologies (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:2,6;122:19; } \\ & \text { 125:17,22;127:2,20; } \end{aligned}$ |
| [sic] (2) | 34:13;37:15;41:23 | allowed (1) | 26:15;54: | 128:1,10,13;129:13, |
| [8:18;15:16 | 43:10;46:11;47:11; | 39:1 | apologize (2) | 16;133:7,18;136:12; |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 13 ; 55: 9,17 ; 56: 24 \\ & 57: 13,20 ; 59: 22 ; 62: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { almost (2) } \\ & 54: 18 ; 79: 21 \end{aligned}$ | apparently (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 1 ; 147: 6 \\ & \text { areas (11) } \end{aligned}$ |
| A | 68:12,17;69:20; | along (6) | 9:16;57:15;124:24 | 5:10,23;46:6;63:4; |
| able | $70: 19 ; 72: 19 ; 74: 2$ | $9: 21 ; 33: 24 ; 40: 16$ | appear (5) | $64: 3,5,8 ; 66: 20 ; 84$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 3 ; 35: 10 ; 43: 2,9 ; \\ & 46: 16 ; 48: 11 ; 58: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:18;91:9,24;95:11; } \\ & \text { 100:9;107:9;115:24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 8 ; 112: 17 ; 131: 16 \\ & \text { alteration (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:8;78:7;85:3,6; } \\ & 128: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:22;137:23 } \\ & \text { argument (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46: 16 \\ & 22 ; 68 \end{aligned}$ | 118:11;122:17; | 51:21;53:8;73:18; | appeared (1) | 152:4 |
| $77: 18 ; 87: 12 ; 89: 13$ | 133:16;150:22;154:3 | 87:21;91:1,6,18 | 25:20 | around (8) |
| 97:17,18,21;102:19; | adding (3) | Alternative (2) | appears (18) | 47:12,14;77:5; |
| 115:15;128:4;129:6, | 94:17;96:3;147:17 | 44:23;109:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:24;9:12;14:2; } \\ & 20: 3 ; 24: 9 ; 30: 5 ; 57: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $79: 5 ; 80: 6 ; 113: 24$ $114: 8: 135: 13$ |
| $12 ; 132: 8 ; 135: 11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { addition (1) } \\ 24: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alternatives (1 } \\ & 95: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:3;24:9;30:5;57:2; } \\ & 72: 21 ; 73: 12 ; 82: 4 ; \end{aligned}$ | 114:8;135:13 <br> arrive (1) |
| above (8) | additional (10) | Although (2) | 86:9;89:19,23;97:20; | 68:22 |
| $9: 10 ; 14: 19 ; 47: 16$ | 8:5,6,8;10:7;17:11 | 3:7;129:22 | 100:18;119:15; | arrived (1) |
| 48:12;103:14,17; | 116:12;121:14; | Alton (2) | 125:21;133:24 | 100:4 |
| 118:22;147:16 | 5:10;127:18;1 | 12:19;11 | Appl | arrow (4) |
| Absolutely (4) | dresse | always (1) |  | $83: 14 ; 84: 6$ $117 \cdot 18$ |
| $10: 22 ; 12: 15 ; 44: 5 ;$ $115 \cdot 19$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 111:4 } \\ \text { adjacent (4) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:11 } \\ & \text { amended (2) } \end{aligned}$ | Application (4) 38:14;89:7,11; | $\begin{gathered} \text { 117:18 } \\ \text { Art (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $115: 19$ abuts (2) | $12: 2 ; 19: 14 ; 27:$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { amended (2) } \\ 20: 21 ; 21: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 14 ; 8 \\ & 121: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Art (1) } \\ 143: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { abuts (2) } \\ & 6: 13 ; 122: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $47: 17$ | Ammonoosuc (5) | Appreciate (1) | aspects (1) |
| Abutting (1) | adjourned (1) | 145:14,23;146:6, | 88:20 | 153:20 |
| 140:19 | 155:20 | 13;147:3 | appreciation (1) | assessed (1) |
| accelerate | adjustments (1) | amount (2) | 94:1 | $26: 4$ assessm |
| 154:13 | adverse (9) |  | appropriate $95 \cdot 7$ | assessment (15) <br> 4:17;6:20;10:13, |
| access (5) $35 \cdot 9 \cdot 68 \cdot 9,12 \cdot 87 \cdot 9$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { adverse (9) } \\ 16: 8 ; 17: 12 ; 18: 8 ; \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { analyses (1) } \\ 150: 24 \end{gathered}$ | 95:7 <br> approved (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:17;6:20;10:13, } \\ & \text { 19;40:6,7;49:5;59:7; } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 9 ; 68: 9,12 ; 87: 9, \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 8 ; 17: 12 ; 18: 8 \\ & 45: 3 ; 93: 3,8,9,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150: 24 \\ \text { analysis (47) } \end{gathered}$ | 99:6 | $60: 18 ; 64: 16 ; 98: 14$ |
| accessible (2) | 110:5 | 15:8;16:4,6;18:14 | approximately (2) | 20,22;109:19;126:18 |
| 95:18;131:4 | aerial (3) | 15;23:20;29:13; | 8:9;31:4 | assessments (1) |
| according (13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:16;75:8;117:1 } \\ & \text { aesthetics (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 20 ; 43: 5 ; 45: 12 ; \\ & 46: 10 ; 54: 13,21 ; 59: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approximation (1) } \\ & \text { 113:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 112:4 assistant (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19: 24 ; 39: 23 ; 45: 6 \\ & 54: 12,21 ; 77: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { aesthetics (1) } \\ 95: 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 10 ; 54: 13,21 ; 59: 5, \\ & 22 ; 60: 17 ; 61: 2,8 \end{aligned}$ | April (3) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { assistant (1) } \\ 22: 11 \end{array}$ |
| 98:15;109:21; | afternoon (3) | 62:8;63:6;94:24; | 14:8;126:2 | association (1) |
| 125:10;127:3;136:5, | 4:1;80:3;155:19 | 95:2;123:22;124:11; | 137:16 | 93:17 |
| 7;137:2 | Again (18) | 126:10;127:3;128:5, | architect | assume (3) |
| accurate (5) | 6:6;29:18;36:15; | 24;129:4,5,17;131:6, | 57:11 | 28:10;74:13; |
| 86:19;124:19; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:7;54:15;60:6; } \\ & \text { 86:13;89:12;95:15; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15;132:5;134:14; } \\ & \text { 135:6,9,16;136:5,7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { architectural (1) } \\ & 96: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 153:12 <br> assumed (1) |
| 142:9,149:1;153:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:13;89:12;95:15; } \\ & \text { 105:16;106:12; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:6,9,16;136:5,7, } \\ & \text { 18;137:8,21;138:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96: 8 \\ \text { area (87) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { assumed (1) } \\ 25: 16 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { chieved (1) } \\ & 48: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 108:12;119:19 | 148:7,24;150:21 | 7:4,5;9 | assuming (1) |
| acknowledged (1) | 121:6,11;131:21 | analyze (2) | 26:19;28:8;29:2; | 59:4 |
| 31:13 | 137:5;145:17 agencies (1) | 61:16;109:1 analyzed (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 14 ; 33: 4 ; 34: 24 ; \\ & 35: 21 ; 37: 14 ; 39: 1 \end{aligned}$ | assumption (2) <br> 25:10;59:21 |
| acreage (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { agencies (1) } \\ 11: 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { analyzed (3) } \\ & 44: 10 ; 109: 14,18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 21 ; 37: 14 ; 39: 1 \\ & 40: 1,13,21 ; 45: 8 \end{aligned}$ | asterisk (1) |
| acres (8) | ago (4) | analyzing (2) | 46:12,21;47:6;48:1; | 127:10 |
| 8:10;9:9,15; | 22:8;35:12;40:15 | 101:15;110:2 | 55:13;56:6;61:20; | attached (2) |
| 58:5;142:17;148:3; | 63:16 | angle (5) | 62:9,16,23;64:14,14, | 9:4,8 |
| 151:16 | agree (14) | 82:5;85 | 20;66:24;70:15; | attempted (1) |
| across (5) | 10:3;12:13;33:16 | 106:16;107:5 | 72:11,15;73:20;74:2, | 149:9 |
| 7:14;105:8;116:24; | 34:2;36:16;38:8; | announcement (1) | 10;78:23;93:8;94:5; | attend (1) |
| 147:24;149:12 | 60:22;63:16;67:21; | 3:8 | 96:17;97:19,21; | $71: 15$ |
| activity (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 14 ; 94: 16 ; 114: 24 ; \\ & 135: 5 ; 155: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { anyways (1) } \\ 103: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 101:17;104:4; <br> 107:18,20;108:3,4; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { attention (2) } \\ 51: 1,15 \end{array}$ |
| 30:17 | 135:5;155:10 agreed (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 103: 2 \\ \text { AOT (2) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:18,20;108:3,4; } \\ & \text { 109:12;111:2,10,17; } \end{aligned}$ | 51:1,15 <br> Attorney (8) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { actual (1) } \\ 54: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $63: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AOT (2) } \\ & 89: 7 ; 91: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $112: 14,21 ; 113: 2,7$ | $3: 6,24 ; 4: 2 ; 11: 15$ |


| 16:15;59:20;87:13; | 68:1 | box (7) | 17:15;90:8;104:16 | 45:3;110:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | be | 7:22;40:14;72:11; | d (3) | catch (2) |
| attract | , | 3:2;93:13,21;99:23 | 8 | 30:8;145: |
| 51:15 | beginning (1) | boy (1) | 23:18 | cause (2) |
| August (3) | 33:15 | 83:22 | calling ( | 51:20;52:14 |
| 37:15;38:9,20 | begins | Boyle (1) | 25:24;96 | Census (3) |
| available (1) | 27:19 | 111:5 | came (3) | 5:2,13;6:7 |
| 49:2 | behind (11) | yle' | :8;44:15; | center (4) |
| avoid (8) | 46:2;47:10;76:9 | 111:4 | Campground (4) | 108:5,7,10;111:21 |
| 69:10;70:1 | 78:3,15;108:23 | break (13) | 141:10,16;147:1 | century (1) |
| 71:3;78:19,20,20,22 | 113:3;118:14,19; | 46:5,17;83:2 | 14 | 58:1 |
| avoided (1) | 120:17;148:2 | 87:7,9;88:4,18,21 | can (100) | certain (5) |
| $80: 11$ | below (2) | 89:6,14;103:18 | 4:9;6:15;7:2 | 11:3;38:3;59:2 |
| avoids | 149:9;151:1 | 152:1;155:16 | 12:16,21;13:12;19:5, | 98:3;129:8 |
| 69:4 | best (2) | Brenda (3) | 18,19;21:12;24:3; | Certainly (4) |
| aware ( | 149:20;15 | 119:22;121: | 27:11;30:23;31:7,15; | 18:8;48:11;139:9; |
| 7:20,21;8 | better (5) | 122:4 | 32:13;34:13;39:8; | 142:7 |
| 20:21;38:2;44 | 19:20;83:6;89 | brief (1) | 42:5;44:3,16,1 | cetera (1) |
| 53:24;54:2;56:24 | 93:23;118:1 | 48:20 | 46:20;49:6;53:1 | 59:17 |
| 57:16;58:5;92:20; | beyond (4) | brings (1) | 55:2;65:14;68:18 | Chair (1) |
| 93:6;106:17;109:13; | 15:14;45:20; | 151:23 | 73:17,19;74:7;76:16, | 143:22 |
| 123:8,11 | 64:6 | broad | 18,21;77:11;78:10; | CHAIRMAN (46) |
| away (9) | binoculars | 58:1 | 79:1;80:8,22;81:19; | 3:3,20;84:1;87:8, |
| 27:20;32 | 135:10,15,19 | Brook | 82:18,19;83:4,5,6,10; | 20;88:7,19;89:1,20; |
| 80:22;99:18;100:11; | 136:2 | 42: | 86:12;87:6;91:4; | 90:1,19;95:3,13 |
| 101:1;102:6;128:19 | bit (15) | brown (1) | 93:22;95:14;102:16; | 130:4;131:22;132:1; |
|  | 19:19 | 149:6 | 103:3,3,12,21; | 140:10,17,21;141:4, |
|  | 56:11;70:3 |  | 104:20;105:2,3,13; | 11;142:19,24; |
|  | 78:6;83:6;93:22 | :6 | 109:4;112:8,11,14 | 143:24;144:11,17,22; |
| back | 102:9;105:13; | 26:11,14,15,17;27:1; | 115:3;116:18; | 146:24;148:10,12,17; |
| 9:20;14:22;22:22; | 116:11;132:7,1 | 28:6;31:10,16 | 117:17;118:14,1 | 150:6,14;151:4,22; |
| 23:3,8,9;35:2;47:11; | 133:21 | building (4) | 120:1,18;121:11 | 152:3,5,11;153:2,7, |
| 50:3;77:7;84:18; | black (1) | 113:22;129:1 | 124:14;125:17; | 5,24;154:9,19; |
| 86:12;89:2;98:1,7; | 147:14 | 130:12;131:16 | 129:21;130:8; | 155:11,15 |
| 101:14;108:13,18; | blocked | buildings (10) | 131:17;132:11,19 | chance (3) |
| 119:11;121:4,12; | 127:16;128: | 112:19;128:15 | 133:15,17,21;134:22; | 9:10;135:10; |
| 142:5;150:23;151:6, | blow (12) | 129:8,10;131:10,12; | 137:13;138:23; | 147:8 |
| 9,17;152:12;155:16 | 7:24;12:21;19:1 | 142:2;149:5,10 | 142:6;143:15 | chances (1) |
| background (4) | 32:13;40:13;42:5; | built (1) | 144:17;146:10 | 33:23 |
| 45:23;52:3;60:15 | 44:16;53:14;77:11 | 20:2 | 147:10,11;148:4 | change (6) |
| 101:7 | 93:21;144:18,19 | bullet (8) | 150:2,4;151:7,1 | 2:23;45:2;51:22 |
| Bailey (1) | boat (8) | 32:14;34:8;35:4,7 | 152:13;154:10; | 89:16;110:3;124:12 |
| 3:10 | 43:1;44:4;46:4,20; | 42:24;44:2;65:4,2 | 155:13 | changed (1) |
| Ball (3) | 47:5;68:5,7,12 | Bureau (3) | Canoe (2) | 141:19 |
| 23:21;28:1,3 | boating (1) | 5:2,1 | 145:16;147:4 | changes (2) |
| band (1) | 43:10 | bus (1) | Canterbury (9) | 8:2;30:22 |
| 60:10 | Bob (1) | 149:1 | 4:12;5:19;28:18 | changing (1) |
| based (15) | 71:23 | bush (1) | 29:3;33:7,18;35: | 84:9 |
| 5:1;13:22;20:24 | bore (1) | 142:16 | 36:2,3 | character (2) |
| 22:2;25:10;41:21; | 117:5 | businesses (1) | Capital (1) | 51:21;115:9 |
| 45:12;59:7,23;66:10; | Boston | 111:1 | 129:11 | characteristics (3) |
| 77:16;98:18;130:24; | 3:12 | byway | captured | 145:1,5;146:5 |
| 134:13;150:23 | both (4) | 28:19;29:7,15,16 | 149:11 | characterization (2) |
| basically (6) | 76:17;89:8;129:1 | 22,24;31:21;32:4,6,8, | car (3) | 7:8;9:14 |
| 11:23;12:5;52 | 142:3 | 15,21,24;33:4;34:1,3; | 79:4;97:18;99:10 | Charles (1) |
| 65:13;66:7 | bottom (8) | 35:8,13,23;36:1,7,8, | carefully | 57:9 |
| basis (1) | 49:18;77:10;92: | 11 | 51:2 | chart (2) |
| 65:17 | 21;93:3;99:21; | byways (1) | cars (1) | 50:24;126:3 |
| battle (1) | 127:11;149:6 | 35:20 | 80:6 | charts (2) |
| 62:5 | boundaries (1) |  | case (7) | 151:3,10 |
| bear (1) | 15:1 | C | $5: 15 ; 18: 18 ; 34: 15$ | check (2) |
| 57:21 | boundary (1) |  | 52:4;71:9;139:14,18 | 24:3;86:17 |
| bearing (1) | 134:17 | call (3) | casual (2) | Cherilyn (3) |


| 71:17;92:16;97:5 | 64:6 | computer-based (1) | confused (1) | corrected (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| choice (3) | clutter (4) | 62:8 | 135:14 | 4:23;50:8 |
| 78:17;105:18; | 96:13,15,20,22 | concentrate (1) | connected (1) | correction (2) |
| 106:15 | co-joined (1) | 139:12 | 130:1 | 21:8;90:11 |
| choose (2) | 43:24 | concept (2) | connection (1) | correctly (1) |
| 33:6;107:16 | collection (2) | 96:12,15 | 155:2 | 60:23 |
| chose (8) | 99:5;101:3 | concepts (2) | connects (1) | corridor (42) |
| 67:10;81:22; | color (2) | 43:22;123: | 27:22 | 4:9;7:12,13;8:3,7; |
| 100:24;107:23; | 7:1;59:16 | concern (4) | Connor (2) | 10:5;26:19,20;27:13, |
| 113:18;121:10,24; | column (3) | 110:10;154:17,20; | 16:15;59:20 | 20;32:15,20;34:9; |
| 122:3 | 42:20;49:8,1 | 155:4 | consider (9) | 40:17;46:1,21;47:2, |
| chosen (1) | columns (1) | concerned (2) | 17:14;33:9;51:5; | 15,23;52:12;66:21; |
| 82:11 | 145:18 | 80:4;154:22 | 55:3;59:9,10,18; | 69:3,4,9,22;72:12; |
| Christine (1) | combination (1) | concerns (2) | 71:7;128:12 | 73:20;76:2,4;80:23; |
| 142:11 | 51:19 | 11:4;111:5 | consideration (3) | 85:2;94:19;95:6,10, |
| circle (8) | comfortable (2) | concluded (2) | 55:4;64:1;65:21 | 24;96:22;99:10,19; |
| 29:2;83:16,18,20, | 17:4;60:1 | 109:10;127:6 | considerations (2) | 101:2;112:9,17; |
| 21;116:6,8;118:5 | coming (5) | conclusion (2) | 43:8;52:15 | 122:9 |
| circled (2) | 34:16;73:20,24; | 65:3;97:8 | considered (7) | corridors (4) |
| 83:3;116:2 | 80:6;83:16 | conclusions (2) | 12:3;45:3,5,11,14; | 70:1;96:7;128:14, |
| circles (1) | commands (1) | 41:11,21 | 47:3;110:4 | 23 |
| 82:19 | 58:13 | Concord (56) | considers (1) | corridor's (1) |
| City (12) | commencing (1) | 4:3,5,8,11,12,17; | 96:18 | 47:10 |
| 4:2;11:13,17;20:6; | 155:23 | 5:6;6:12,14,22;7:5, | consistent (1) | Counsel (4) |
| 21:23;22:7;25:24; | comment (3) | 10,10,15,19;8:11;9:8; | 151:7 | 3:18;16:15;110:9; |
| 53:17,20,22;88:13; | 127:18;150:4,7 | 10:1,16;19:2,3;26:1; | construction (15) | 111:6 |
| 106:17 | commercial (3) | 27:9;37:9;48:22; | 8:22;19:10;20:3; | Country (5) |
| Civic (4) | 111:22;114:5; | 53:12;56:8;57:14; | 24:19;30:16;32:3; | 134:19,21,23; |
| 128:7;129:2;133:4, | 115:11 | 58:4;59:2;64:14; | 37:24;38:17;72:24; | 136:11;138:17 |
| 6 | Commission (1) | 70:6;74:1;102:17; | 74:8;91:8;108:4,8; | County (1) |
| clarification (3) | 154:22 | 104:1;106:17,23; | 116:16;154:7 | 145:16 |
| $8: 15 ; 49: 4 ; 127: 21$ | Commissioner (2) | 107:18;124:22; | context (8) | couple (5) |
| cleaning (1) | 3:10,11 | 126:21,22,24;128:7; | 58:24;63:11;66:18; | 40:12;46:6;97:11; |
| 142:16 | Committee (16) | 129:2;133:3,4,6,16; | 96:18;105:19; | 122:23;131:17 |
| clear (7) | 18:20,23;61:23; | 134:19,21,22;136:11, | 129:15;135:12;137:5 | course (1) |
| 5:6;51:10;54:12; | 63:8,22;85:20;90:13; | 24;138:15,16,20 | continue (1) | 66:13 |
| 79:2;91:19;154:5,20 | 119:18;121:17; | Concord's (2) | 90:20 | Court (5) |
| cleared (3) | 135:17;139:2,13,22; | 17:20;22:7 | continuing (1) | 118:6;119:22; |
| 8:11;9:17;31:14 | 140:3;149:16;154:6 | conditions (8) | 43:15 | 121:6;122:4,4 |
| clearing (10) | Committee's (2) | 102:11,23;104:11, | Contoocook (9) | courts (1) |
| 8:5,6,8;9:15,21; | 5:24;24:13 | 15;109:4;118:11; | 12:20,23;13:6,14, | 122:21 |
| 10:1;19:16;24:18,23; | Commons (1) | 122:3;124:24 | 17,24;14:9;17:5;18:4 | cover (2) |
| 31:8 | 129:11 | condominium (3) | contract (1) | 29:17;137:22 |
| clearly (3) | communities (1) | 114:14;119:22; | 15:16 | covered (1) |
| 51:14;85:7,7 | 69:18 | 122:7 | contrast (1) | 70:6 |
| Clinton (2) | compact (1) | Condominiums (4) | 51:17 | create (2) |
| 136:12,23 | 4:18 | 113:7;114:9; | contrast-dominance (5) | 86:18;94:10 |
| close (2) | Company (2) | 118:15;120:17 | 44:22,24;109:20, | created (2) |
| 52:8;100:10 | 88:9,13 | conductor (1) | 23;110:1 | 88:16;90:6 |
| closer (2) | compared (2) | 120:20 | contrasting (1) | creates (1) |
| 81:6;90:5 | 25:19;41:19 | conductors (5) | 59:16 | 96:3 |
| close-up (1) | comparison (1) | 105:3,5;120:12,16; | control (1) | criteria (3) |
| 56:12 | 87:19 | 121:14 | 38:13 | 43:13;52:20;115:7 |
| Club (5) | complete (1) | Confidential (4) | controlling (1) | cross (1) |
| 134:20,22,23; | 148:7 | 8:19,20;107:1; | 135:3 | 123:5 |
| 136:11;138:17 | completed (1) | 119:20 | Coos (1) | crosses (2) |
| cluster (19) | 108:9 | confirm (5) | 145:16 | 72:12;106:9 |
| 4:21,24;5:1,7,11, | compromised (1) | 4:10;5:19;105:14; | copies (1) | CROSS-EXAMINATION (4) |
| 20;6:9;15:22;20:1; | 142:14 | 107:14;116:19 | 140:6 | 3:23;37:24;38:18; |
| 55:13;61:4,7,19; | computer (8) | confirmation (2) | copy (1) | 141:6 |
| 62:13;100:23;101:9, | 17:13,15;30:9; | 107:2;117:6 | 132:13 | crossing (1) |
| 12;127:13,20 | 55:1;102:10;124:18; | confirmed (2) | corner (4) | 123:2 |
| clusters (1) | 129:4;137:24 | 8:19;144:4 | 6:2;79:5;80:7,11 | crossings (1) |


| 32:16 | December (1) | 46:13;52:21 | $16,23 ; 74: 4,9,12,21$ | 89:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cross-section (2) | 99:7 | determinations (1) | 75:7,15,18;76:17,19, | direction (8) |
| 39:14;148:23 | decided (2) | 60:2 | 24;77:4,10,15,20; | 33:6;47:1,9;76:3; |
| cross-sections (1) | 112:24;149:20 | determine (4) | 78:24;80:6,13,21 | 90:4;106:3;107:7; |
| 149:23 | decision (3) | 62:9;95:21;111:17 | 81:2,10,17,23;82:3,7, | 130:21 |
| cultural (9) | 16:20;154:13,14 | 137:9 | 12,22;83:7,12,18,24; | directions (1) |
| 13:2;14:23;32:18, | deck (1) | determined (7) | 86:17;90:12,15; | 142:3 |
| 22;33:13;34:11; | 46:4 | 29:9;44:13;45:7 | 91:15;92:11;93:5,18; | disappears (2) |
| 35:21;49:7;145:19 | deficient (1) | 127:4;128:2,8,2 | 95:5,15;96:6,11,14, | 120:21,23 |
| culturally (1) | 153:19 | detracts (1) | 18,24;97:8,15,20; | discovery (3) |
| 32:17 | defined (2) | 94:11 | 98:3,9;99:11,17,20, | 88:16;139:20; |
| Cunningham (20) | 5:23;61:19 | developed (1) | 24;100:4,8,15,18; | 140:2 |
| 140:23;141:1; | definitely (1) | 68:10 | 101:13,18;102:1,5,8, | discussed (3) |
| 143:13,19;144:3,9, | 115:10 | development (19) | 12,18,21;103:5,17, | 32:20;51:4;56:18 |
| 15,19;145:11,21; | definition (3) | 19:13;20:1,4; | 23;104:6,8,13,23; | discussion (8) |
| 148:9,14;150:2; | 50:17;51:5;110:20 | 24:20;25:13;26:8,21; | 105:4,7,11,22;106:5, | 38:5;84:3;110:18; |
| 152:2,9,14;153:5,11; | demand (1) | 58:2;66:3,10,23;67:8, | 8,10,13,20;107:7,11, | 130:6;131:24; |
| 154:16;155:9 | 95:19 | 15;68:2;70:2;71:24; | 19;108:1,6,12;109:7, | 135:15;141:3;148:19 |
| curious (1) | demonstra | 110:24;111:23; | 15;110:7,13,23; | dismiss (1) |
| 16:14 | 149:12 | 115:12 | 111:2,7,19;112:3,10, | 65:17 |
| current (4) | densely (1) | developments (1) | 13,16,20,23;113:2,5, | distance (21) |
| 30:23;73:9;91 | 7:5 | 114:9 | 9,17,20;114:12,17; | 14:3;16:7,9;17:7 |
| 123:24 | Department (9) | DeWan (417) | 115:1,6,19,24;116:5, | 13,18;18:8;52:13,21; |
| currently (6) | 13:19;26:1;30:1; | 4:19,23;5:5,9,12 | 9,11,17,23;117:3,9, | 54:17;58:18,20;59:9, |
| 35:8;82:19;86 | 44:9;45:6;109:13,21; | 18,22;6:1,6,10,13,23; | 14,16,21;118:4,8,16, | 12;100:10;102:20; |
| 116:4;124:7;125:6 | 123:5;124:5 | 7:3,7,17,21;8:12;9:6, | 22;119:7,15;120:1,4, | 128:18;134:10; |
| cut (2) | Depending (4) | 12,16,23;10:4,17,22; | 7,12,19,21;121:1,5,8, | 135:12,21,23 |
| 120:22;149:7 | 10:4;45:4;74:22 | 11:9,18,21,24;12:7, | 14,18;122:6,15,21; | distances (1) |
|  | 115:19 | 15;13:3,21;14:2,6,17, | 124:16,23;125:4,12; | 101:5 |
| D | Depends (1) 150:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 ; 15: 5,13,23 ; 16: 1,6, \\ & 13: 17: 1,6,21,24: 18: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 12 ; 127: 1,6,14, \\ & 22 ; 128: 6,12 ; 129: 4, \end{aligned}$ | distinction (1) $54: 16$ |
| daily (1) | depiction (1) | $15,24 ; 19: 4 ; 20: 12,17,$ | 14;130:11,14,18,20; | distraction (1) |
| 142:13 | $153: 23$ | 20;21:6,11,17,19; | 131:2,9,15;132:8; | $96: 17$ |
| dangerous (1) | depictions (2) | 22:2,15,20;23:1,7,12, | 133:5,20,24;134:8, | distribution (26) |
| 97:24 | 152:15;153:13 | 17;24:9;25:1,5,18; | 24;135:9,20;136:2,7; | 76:20;80:1;82:9, |
| Danielle (1) | describe (7) | 26:3,10;27:9;28:7,13, | 137:1,5,11;138:2,5, | 24;83:4,7,13;84:9; |
| 4:1 | 8:5;53:14;145:1,5; | 23;29:5,11;30:5,20; | 11,18;139:4,9; | 85:9,12,16,21;86:8, |
| data (10) | 146:4,17;147:1 | 31:6,11,19;32:6,10, | 141:22,24;142:6; | 20,24;87:2,15;89:5, |
| 11:8;60:3;86:17; | described (2) | 23;33:9,17,22;34:3, | 145:3,7,17;146:8,21; | 10,15;90:24;91:10, |
| 100:5;125:7;148:6, | 46:22;146:8 | 15,21;35:2,15,18,24; | 147:2,7,21;149:14; | 16,19,21;92:9 |
| 22,24;149:2;151:2 | description (4) | 36:10,21;37:5,11,19; | 150:19;151:9 | District (11) |
| databases (1) | 6:21;29:7;53:16 | 38:1,5,12,22;39:4,13, | diagrams (1) | 126:22,23;127:9, |
| 12:4 | 147:3 | 19,23;40:3,8,11,18, | 87:23 | 18;128:8,9;129:2; |
| databses (1) | design (4) | 22;41:5,7,16;42:1,14, | dictated (1) | 133:3,4,6,10 |
| 11:8 | 94:4;96:9;123:3 | 16;43:4,7,13,20,24; | 152:24 | docket (2) |
| date (2) | 124:5 | 44:5,11;45:10;46:15; | difference (4) | 88:12,14 |
| 5:16;126:5 | designated (14) | 47:3,13,16,20;48:3, | 43:9;69:15;85:11; | document (7) |
| dates (1) | 12:24;13:5,9,13,14, | 11;49:2,9,11,13,15, | 137:21 | 13:11;65:7;77:20, |
| 19:2 | 24;14:15,24;15:3; | 19,22;50:1,6,9,20; | different (29) | 24;91:12;125:20; |
| day (10) | 35:22;36:1;38:10; | 51:4;52:4,10,19,24; | 11:8;21:2;27:24; | 146:22 |
| 3:9;47:21;78:24; | 53:24;54:8 | $53: 6,10,19,22 ; 54: 2,4$ | $33: 11,14 ; 44: 14 ; 46: 6$ | documents (2) |
| 114:18;134:5; | $\underset{36.7}{\text { designation (1) }}$ | 10,15,24;55:12,20, | 48:7;50:14;55:10; | 11:13,14 |
| 151:24;155:2,16,19, | 36:7 | 22;56:1,9,13,22;57:2, | 64:7,19;84:17;85:7, | dominance (2) |
| 21 | designing (1) | 10,12,15,18;58:6,10, | 8;86:2;94:4;95:1; | 51:17;59:17 |
| days (3) | 57:13 | 18,20;59:7,15,23; | 96:9;97:11;98:1; | done (13) |
| 10:20;11:2;18:3 | destination (1) | 60:21;61:3,9;62:1,14, | 101:5;106:12;107:5, | 18:17,18;59:5; |
| DC (1) | 35:17 | 19,22;63:1,5,9,17,22; | 8,21;119:21;122:2; | 60:16,22;61:7;101:8; |
| 152:21 | detail (2) | 64:15,18,21;65:8,21; | 148:13 | 121:18,22;122:15; |
| deadline (2) | 74:12;146:12 | 66:12;67:2,14,17,20, | digital (1) | 138:9;148:24;153:20 |
| 98:4,11 | detailed (1) | 24;68:7,15,19,21; | 149:4 | door (1) |
| deal (4) | $90: 16$ | 69:7,14,23;70:17; | diminish (1) | 115:14 |
| 43:14;144:1;155:6, | determination (5) | $71: 1,4,10,15,19 ; 72: 2$ | 93:15 | Dore (3) |
| 7 | $5: 14 ; 21: 23 ; 22: 1$ | $6,14,17,21 ; 73: 5,12$ | directed (1) | 56:3;143:9,15 |


| $\operatorname{dot}(5)$ | 55:22;112:8;114:20; |
| :---: | :---: |
| 38:18,20;39:2; | 118:1 |
| 80:20;118:5 | easement (4) |
| dots (1) | 142:16;147:19; |
| 38:4 | 148:1,2 |
| doubling (1) | easier (1) |
| 41:24 | 42:6 |
| doubt (1) | east (7) |
| 131:9 | 4:10;7:14,18; |
| down (16) | 27:20;74:1;102:13; |
| 46:5;57:20;77:10; | 125:2 |
| 88:9;90:14,18;92:23; | eastern (1) |
| 99:22;110:16; | 6:13 |
| 114:17;115:3; | edge (3) |
| 128:14,23;141:11,12; | 9:22;40:17;97:22 |
| 150:10 | Edgewood (1) |
| downtown (1) | 113:7 |
| 126:23 | effect (27) |
| draft (5) | 16:8,13;17:12,19; |
| 63:23;66:14,15; | 18:8;41:17;43:14; |
| 67:5;119:16 | 45:15;49:14;50:19; |
| drafted (1) | 51:9,12;69:4,10,17; |
| 64:4 | 93:3,8,24;95:21; |
| dramatically (1) | 108:2;124:17;127:9, |
| 45:20 | 12,15,23;128:3; |
| drawing (1) | 151:18 |
| 150:18 | effective (1) |
| drawings (1) | 69:20 |
| 154:6 | effectiveness (2) |
| drew (1) | 68:24;131:10 |
| 41:20 | effects (5) |
| drive (3) | 15:8;92:15;93:10; |
| 35:8;45:18;115:16 | 94:20;97:12 |
| driven (2) | efforts (1) |
| 33:23;147:7 | 139:12 |
| driving (6) | either (4) |
| 12:2;33:22;36:19; | 102:3,4;109:9; |
| 111:19;114:13; | 142:1 |
| 142:15 | electric (1) |
| drop (1) | 62:10 |
| 77:17 | elements (2) |
| DSM (1) | 51:13;93:14 |
| 149:4 | elevate (1) |
| due (2) | 52:15 |
| 51:15;94:3 | elevation (3) |
| Dummer (2) | 55:18;74:10,17 |
| 140:18;143:20 | elevations (1) |
| Duration (4) | 152:23 |
| 34:6;49:20;51:18; | eliminates (1) |
| 52:22 | 69:3 |
| During (5) | Eliot (1) |
| 8:22;38:17;79:1; | 57:9 |
| 134:5;142:15 | ELMO (2) |
| E | else (6) |
|  | 21:20;80:12;82 |
| earlier (12) | 129:24;151:24; |
| $14: 12 ; 23: 5 ; 37: 17$ | 155:12 |
| 53:1;66:14;78:4,18; | elsewhere (1) |
| 81:14;99:12;103:21; | 60:1 |
| 107:19;151:14 | emphasis (1) |
| Earth (7) | 36:12 |
| 22:18;23:17;25:20; | encompasses (1) |


|  |
| :--- |
| 12:6 |
| encountered (1) |
| $97: 23$ |
| encountering (1) |
| $33: 5$ |
| encourage (1) |
| $36: 1$ |
| end (4) |
| $29: 12 ; 47: 6 ; 151: 23 ;$ |
| $152: 6$ |
| ending (1) |
| $3: 9$ |
| endpoints (1) |
| $36: 12$ |

4:21;5:24;17:11;
23:14;24:12;25:8;
61:23;63:8;85:19;
93:24;95:19;114:3;
119:18;121:17;
135:17;139:2,11,13,
22;140:3;149:16
even (8)
38:20;69:11;80:9;
100:1;120:18;
128:21,21,22
event (1)
3:12
Eversource (5)
20:11,16;25:4;
26:5;142:13
everybody (2)
62:6;93:22
exact (4)
104:24;112:23;
113:20;149:19
exactly (2)
136:15;154:5
examine (1)
150:17
example (9)
58:1;66:22,23;
67:10;68:3,4;71:13;
133:17;138:21
examples (3)
66:4;67:17;71:11
Excellent (2)
14:22;132:20
exception (1)
6:1
excerpt (2)
44:7;61:15
excerpts (1) 8:16
Excuse (2)
143:9;149:14
Exhibit (16)
4:7;8:15,18;19:8; 28:21;31:23;72:19; 75:2;99:13;116:3; 132:4;143:11,20,23; 148:11;152:8
exhibits (12)
8:17;55:24;131:17; 152:4,6,15;153:3,10; 154:3,10,11;155:3
existence (1) 153:18
Existing (44)
7:11,13;8:3,7;
26:18;41:13,15,17;
42:10;44:21;60:3;
69:3,9,16,21;73:7;
76:1,4;79:19,24;
85:12;87:15,19;89:9;
91:13,14;94:18,18;
95:6,10;102:11;
103:4,17;104:11;

109:4,23;118:11;
124:24;125:13,16;
132:6;147:13;
152:20;154:24
expanse (1) 79:16
expect (1) 97:2
Expectation (2) 49:17;68:21
Expectations (2) 32:12,17
expected (1) 153:14
experience (2) 35:11;59:24
experienced (1) 66:19
expert (7) 71:13,17,24;92:17; 93:7;111:5;112:1
expertise (1) 111:2
experts (1) 71:9
expert's (1) 110:10
explain (3) 142:23;143:8; 148:4
explained (3) 11:5,6;149:24
explaining (1) 110:19
explanation (2) 13:17;14:4
explored (1) 63:10
extensive (1) 11:24
Extent (3) 34:5;49:20;97:23
eye (3) 84:5;135:21; 150:12

| $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :---: |
| F139-175 (1) |
| $31: 15$ |
| fabric (1) |
| $59: 1$ |
| face (2) |
| $52: 14 ; 130: 20$ |
| facilities (2) |
| $68: 8,16$ |
| facing (5) |
| $76: 3 ; 105: 22 ; 106: 2$, |
| 6,11 |
| fact $(\mathbf{2 5})$ |
| $14: 14 ; 28: 7 ; 34: 7 ;$ |
| $35: 7 ; 46: 19 ; 57: 24 ;$ |
| $58: 4,11 ; 59: 9 ; 61: 16$, |


| 18;64:4,23;65:15; | 76:16;97:22 | five- (1) | 10:9;58:7;78:2,11 | government (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66:1;69:2;70:20; | fences (2) | 131:11 | frame (1) | 11:11 |
| 78:19;79:24;81:2; | 70:22;78:20 | flat (1) | 98:3 | grade (2) |
| 94:1;95:10;128:12, | Ferrin (3) | 17:20 | Friday (1) | 86:1;87:4 |
| 22;138:8 | 75:21;99:16; | floor (1) | 3:14 | granted (1) |
| factor (2) | 101:17 | 129:10 | front (20) | 116:9 |
| 52:18,19 | few (4) | floors (1) | 21:7;40:23;41:8; | graph (2) |
| factors (3) | 57:3;70:4;111:14, | 129:7 | 52:2;54:5;60:5;67:4; | 150:2,9 |
| 51:19;59:18;74:23 | 20 | flowers (1) | 87:5;104:16,20; | graphs (4) |
| fair (11) | field (2) | 48:16 | 113:21;125:9; | 148:21;150:12,13, |
| 7:7;17:24;18:6; | 12:1;112: | fly (2) | 130:10;137:15,19; | $21$ |
| 28:10;45:9;72:6; | fields (1) | 16:20;17:4 | 141:19,23;144:9; | gray (1) |
| 74:20,21;95:8;96:4; | 53:17 | focal (2) | 150:16;151:8 | 93:2 |
| 126:4 | figure (1) | 48:17;94:10 | frozen (1) | great (3) |
| falls (1) | 135:20 | focus (5) | 30:9 | 132:15;136:17; |
| 15:15 | file (1) | 36:24;46:2;47:3 | full (2) | $152: 17$ |
| familiar (8) | 143:11 | 51:23;110:21 | 152:8;154:11 | greater (2) |
| 13:5;56:7;57:8,10; | filed (4) | focused (1) | further (11) | 52:13;94:3 |
| 110:6,7;123:3; | 88:13;90:13,17; | 10:21 | 15:7;16:3,6;18:13, | green (2) |
| 136:22 | 121:19 | foliage (1) | 15;64:10;133:9,11, | 29:22;149:3 |
| famous (1) | files (1) | 70:23 | 11;139:11;140:9 | greenish-yellow (1) |
| 57:11 | 139:18 | folks (1) | future (1) | 7:1 |
| far (4) | filing (4) | 3:4 | 19:12 | green-line (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 22 ; 86: 10 ; 101: 6 ; \\ & 108: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 9,12,15 ; 98: 24 \\ & \text { filled (1) } \end{aligned}$ | following (20) $73: 6 ; 104: 14 ; 105: 1$, | G | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 1 \\ & \text { ground (5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Farm (9) | 121:12 | 15;109:2;113:23; |  | 22:13;25:11;79:2; |
| 72:8,16,20,23; | Fillmore (3) | 114:19;117:11,24; | gas (2) | 98:13;130:9 |
| 91:7;92:15;97:13; | 11:15;83:23 | 118:9,17;119:1,4,20; | 152:24;153:18 | Group (2) |
| 136:13;138:16 | 129:24 | 120:5;121:2,9; | gateway (4) | 4:4;140:19 |
| farther (3) | final (3) | 122:17;136:15; | 33:3,10,10,14 | grows (1) |
| 74:19;102:20; | 44:7;66:15;153:20 | 149:15 | gave (12) | 48:1 |
| 121:4 | find (3) | footage (1) | 13:1;14:23;16:22; | guess (3) |
| feasible (4) | 3:4;78:13;147:23 | 8:23 | 37:1,3;40:9;42:17; | $38: 12 ; 63: 17 ; 121: 1$ |
| 70:22;71:2;78:21, | Finding (2) | Footnote (1) | 48:23;50:4;66:22; | guidance (1) |
| 22 | 92:22;93:2 | 61:17 | 68:4,4 | $139: 10$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { feature (1) } \\ 48: 14 \end{gathered}$ | fine (1) $131: 14$ | force (1) | general (9) $17: 6 ; 69: 24$ | H |
| Features (3) | fingertips (2) | foreground (7) | 95:5;112:1;114:7; |  |
| 7:13;51:22;71:3 | 85:18;87:16 | 59:2;70:24;76:22; | 116:12,14;133:5 | half (1) |
| February (1) | firm (1) | 82:9,14;101:6; | generally (5) | 100:10 |
| 99:1 | 111:8 | 128:17 | 34:15;52:4;107:7; | Hampshire (6) |
| feel (2) | first (33) | Forest (1) | 116:17;152:5 | 12:24;13:13;15:1; |
| 17:3;60:1 | 6:17;7:12;9:20; | 147:4 | geo (1) | 36:19;104:1;141:17 |
| feeling (1) | 11:7;13:8;32:14; | forested (1) | 11:8 | handwritten (1) |
| 93:16 | 33:4,20;34:1,3;42:7, | 137:23 | gets (3) | 153:13 |
| feet (44) | 20;45:21;46:7;49:18; | Form (4) | 68:21;87:23;152:7 | hanging (1) |
| 8:9,24;9:10,21; | 50:3;57:19,23;58:3; | 56:15;57:4;59:16; | Giaimo (1) | 23:24 |
| 10:2;30:24;31:2,4; | 61:5;65:3;77:6; | 67:7 | 3:11 | happen (1) |
| 39:22;40:1,1,20;41:6, | 92:11;100:17; | forms (2) | given (3) | 36:4 |
| 15;48:5,6;60:11; | 103:20;104:7,8; | 66:2;67:14 | 80:17;85:14; | happening (1) |
| 73:11,14;74:16;78:5; | 105:16,20;116:21; | forth (1) | 154:12 | 25:11 |
| 89:16;90:3;104:22; | 117:12;135:18; | 86:12 | goal (1) | hard (8) |
| 105:10;108:11; | 145:21 | forward (1) | 71:2 | 50:13;58:21;59:15; |
| 111:21;113:15,17,19; | fish (2) | 22:9 | goes (6) | 82:12;83:8;86:15; |
| 116:20;117:1;119:6, | 43:1;44:4 | found (5) | 4:12;7:10;46:24; | 102:9,23 |
| 6;123:10,21;124:6,7, | fishing (2) | 13:22;56:17;93:7; | 60:18;76:9;93:18 | heading (2) |
| 8,9;147:16,18; | 43:10;47:5 | 97:5;136:20 | Good (5) | 105:20;134:18 |
| 151:15,16 | fits (1) | four (5) | 4:1;56:4;57:3; | headlights (1) |
| felt (7) | 24:18 | 75:16,24;77:9,18; | 95:11;117:10 | 137:6 |
| $48: 13 ; 79: 5,14$ | five (7) | 98:21 | Google (8) | hear (2) |
| 80:13;81:2;108:1; | 34:10,24;40:24; | four-page (1) | 22:18;23:17;24:17; | 146:14;152:13 |
| 122:11 | 41:2;102:4;140:11; | 138:4 | 25:20;55:22;112:8; | heard (2) |
| fence (2) | 142:12 | fourth (4) | 114:20;117:24 | 35:16,18 |

Hearing (5)
3:2;88:24;135:18; 152:7;155:21
heavily (1) 112:4
heavy (1) 142:14
height (32)
9:1;40:19;41:13, 24;48:4,8;73:21; 78:8;82:1,3;83:21; 86:23;87:15,17,17, 19;89:16;94:3;96:2; 104:21;105:11; 107:14;108:15; 123:9,14,23;125:5, 24;149:10;152:15, 23;153:17
Heights (24) 20:2;22:4;24:7; 39:7,24;41:18,19,19; 73:9,14;79:17;85:15, 21;87:24;89:8,12; 117:5;118:24;119:5; 123:20;124:9; 125:14;137:22;151:1
helped (1) 63:23
helpful (3) 139:8,9,15
H-frame (3) 81:10;104:17; 118:21
H-frames (1) 42:9
high (12) 18:10;49:21;50:2, 17;52:7,16;55:4; 59:11;67:22;78:5; 120:23;147:16
higher (6) 55:18;74:10,17; 81:20;124:9;125:24
highlighted (3) 31:23;64:23; 111:13
highly (3) 17:9;111:9;131:9
Highway (3) 4:10;27:21;33:24
highways (2) 66:6,24
hiking (3) 28:4,5;53:3
Hill (5) 45:18;48:20;55:19; 74:3;82:6
hills (1)
45:23
hilly (2) 17:23;102:17
Historic (16)
54:1;56:16;57:1;

60:24;71:16;92:17;
93:6;94:7,23;95:22;
126:22,22;127:9,17; 133:3,10
history (2)
13:18;14:20
hit (1)
13:8
Hoit (8)
28:17,22,24;30:2, 17;33:2,6;34:17
Hold (3) 30:10;106:7; 154:10
home (1) 10:5
homes (3) 114:13;137:7; 142:12
HONIGBERG (43) 3:3,20;84:1;87:8, 20;88:7,19;89:1,20; 90:1,19;95:3,13; 130:4;131:22;132:1; 140:10,17,21;141:4, 11;142:19,24; 143:24;144:11,17,22; 146:24;148:12,17; 150:6,14;151:4,22; 152:5,11;153:2,7,24; 154:9,19;155:11,15
hoping (1) 49:3
hour (3) 34:9,13,23
house (16) 9:24;38:16,19,23; 39:2;76:8,9;78:3; 80:24;81:6,19; 114:14;129:19; 130:10,12,15
human (4) 66:2,10,23;67:7
hundred (1) 111:21
husband (1) 141:14

| $\mathbf{I}$ |
| :---: |

Iacopino (1) 56:2
idea (2) 71:4;153:16
ideally (1) 38:12
identification (2) 11:1;25:8
identified (10) 10:11,15;11:6; 12:2,10;38:17;48:22; 143:1,14;155:4
identify (3)
$138: 9 ; 139: 6 ;$
$143: 16$
identifying (3)
$38: 4,19 ; 55: 7$
illuminated (1)
125:6
illustration (1)
142:8
image (4)
55:22;60:7;75:8;
117:17
images (1)
122:10
immediate (3)
6:12;82:14;128:17
immediately (1)
47:17
impact (46)
4:17;6:20;10:12,
18;16:18,23;18:10,
12;29:12;32:3,4;
35:6;40:7,9;42:18;
43:11;44:8;46:13;
49:23;52:16;53:7;
55:5;59:11,13;60:17;
61:21;62:9;64:13,16;
66:7;70:16;86:22;
95:2;98:14,20,22;
101:17;112:3;
123:17,21;124:10;
126:10,14,18;128:11; 146:13
impacted (1)
45:8
impacts (18)
17:5;18:4;42:4; 43:6;44:15;59:19;
64:2;69:12;95:7,12;
109:12;110:10;
123:13;137:9,11; 138:10,11;139:7
implies (1) 33:10
important (5)
12:14;24:11;25:7;
32:17;41:10
impossible (1)
79:22
inadequate (1) 94:19
inch (1) 55:2
include (6)
79:21,22;82:13; 99:2;116:11;122:1
included (7)
66:15;89:8,12;
90:17;91:16;99:4; 108:22
includes (1) 104:15
including (1) 106:23
incorrect (1) 34:24
increase (7)
10:2;65:15,16,22;
94:2;110:1;123:9
increased (8)
31:1;73:10;94:4;
123:14,20;125:15;
126:5,6
increasing (1) 96:2
incremental (1) 65:22
indented (1) 58:3
independently (1) 101:8
indicate (2) 9:23;90:9
indicated (1) 14:10
indicates (2) 45:1;110:2
indication (1) 38:22
individual (5) 38:6;52:8;58:22, 23;135:23
individuals (1) 46:10
information (24) 6:4;18:12;21:6; 27:5;40:22;41:8,22; 46:9,15;54:3,4;75:3;
85:18,20;87:5,9,12;
88:5;90:16;99:22;
123:12;145:19; 150:24;153:19
infrastructure (3)
96:4,16;97:3
inherently (1) 36:5
initial (2) 23:14;67:5 initially (1) 21:13
in-kind (1) 89:17
instead (1) 122:4
integrity (2) 93:16;94:12
intensity (1) 65:16
intent (2) 63:18,20
interested (2) 148:6;153:8
interesting (1) 48:14
Intermap (1) 148:23
Intermaps (1)

148:4
Internet (3)

## 11:22;13:8,23

interpretation (2) 63:10;147:13
interpreted (1) 62:1
interrupt (2) 143:10,10
intersection (11) 28:16,21;30:14,17; 31:3,22;34:16; 112:11,18;114:16; 115:18
interstate (2) 33:24;123:6
intervening (1) 74:22
intervenor (1) 140:11
into (8) 15:18;22:2;27:22; 62:4;63:24;64:9; 70:3;146:12
introduce (1) 93:14
introduction (1) 75:2
intrude (1) 94:8
intrusive (1) 97:7
involved (1) 26:7
Iron (1) 136:12
issue (4) 11:3;101:9;152:19; 154:4
issued (1) 14:6
issues (1) 153:23
Item (1) 143:7
items (1) 138:22

| $\mathbf{J}$ |
| ---: |
| January (1) |

January (1) 98:16
Jessica (1) 149:23
Joint (32) 4:6;6:16;8:18;10:9, 23;12:17;14:23; 18:19;22:10;26:12; 28:15;37:7;39:6; 56:11;57:6;61:12,14; 64:12;72:10;91:5; 92:14;93:20;97:10; 99:13;104:2;109:3;

| 112:7;123:1;124:20; |  | le | 154:5 | Lodge (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6;130:8;137:17 | L | 36:7 | lines (29) | 11:10,16,20 |
| urney (3) |  | left (12) | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 16 ; 66: 5,17 \\ & 73: 19 ; 76: 20 ; 78: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 2,18 ; 148: 1,2 \text {; } \\ & 151: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:17,22;36:8 | labels (1) | 7:1;19:14;27:1,13 |  |  |
| judging (1) |  | 34:18;74:6;78:2; <br> 81:18;83:9;103:8,8, | 80:1;82:24;83:4; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { long (3) } \\ & 10: 14 ; 46: 24 ; 53: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:23 | Lagasse (3) |  | 84:9;85:9,12,16,22; |  |
| judgment (2) | 140:19;141:9,1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:3;89:10;92:10; } \\ & \text { 128:4;129:18;135:6; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { longer (2) } \\ & 62: 11 ; 84: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17:4;152:16 | Lake (1) | left-hand (1) |  |  |
| ne | $142: 11$ | 08:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:14,15;151:14,16; } \\ & \text { 152:22;153:14,16,21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { longitude (1) } \\ 80: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| ;14:16 | ```land (6) 20:7,9;21:23; 73:21;117:3;137:22 landmark (1)``` | legal (1) |  |  |
|  |  | 62: | - | look (36) |
|  |  | legibl | list (10) | 6:10;7:11;1 |
| Karen (4) |  | length (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:12;56:18,20,24; } \\ & 93: 9,11 ; 122: 1 ; 145: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 20:11,18;21:12;29:3; |
| 140:16; | landmarks | 9:2;122: | 6;146:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 10 ; 43: 14 ; 49: 5 ; \\ & 56: 6 ; 63: 19,20 ; 71: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 145:12;15 | 32:18 | less (4) | listed (3) |  |
| Karen's (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { landscape (12) } \\ & 35: 21 ; 48: 18 ; 51: 18 \\ & 21,23 ; 53: 9 ; 57: 11 ; \\ & 67: 7,9 ; 68: 11 ; 69: 6 \\ & 80: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 3 ; 34: 10 ; 40: 4 \\ & 69: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 14:9;42:15;126:16 | 74:24;86:21,23;88:4 |
| 152:4 |  |  | lit (1) | 18;95:20;96:19; |
| keep (4) |  | lessens | 124:2 | 101:18;116:19; |
| 103:8,10 |  | 94:13 | little (22) | 123:19;125:18; |
| 138:21 |  | letters (1) | 13:6;15:18;18:1 | 126:2;137:16; |
| Keeping ( | language (4) <br> 62:7:63:20;66:13. | $\begin{gathered} 12: 9 \\ \text { level (9) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 19 ; 32: 13 ; 42: 6 ; \\ & 44: 14 ; 45: 23 ; 49: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 138:13,20;139:18; |
| 84:5 |  |  |  | 142:10;149:21; |
| Kevin (1) | 67:3 | $11 ; 52: 16 ; 59: 11,13 ;$ | 50:12;75:10;78:6; | 151:10 |
| 141 | large (3) |  | 80:7;83:6;92:24; | looked (17) |
| kicking | 45:2;110 | 130:9 | 93:22;102:9,23; | 11:7;12:7;22:18, |
| 130:2 | largely (2) | light (3) | 116:11;132:12; | 23;25:18;41:9,16,17, |
| Kimball | 127:16;130:22 | 128:17;135:23,24 | 133:21;141:12 | 18;54:3;103:20; |
| 4:14;5:17;23:20; | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{larger~(3)} \\ & 42: 1 ; 82: 10 ; 114: 20 \end{aligned}$ | lighted (4) | live (4) | 105:16;109:20; |
| 24:1,15;25:13;26:17; |  | 132:23 | $115: 16 ; 143: 2$ | 113:2;126:5,13; |
| 27:3,6,8,14,19;28:3; | last (13) |  |  | 138:14 |
| 71:22;75:23;76:3,7, | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 3 ; 10: 20 ; 11: 2 ; \\ & 35: 4,7 ; 42: 19,24 ; \\ & 44: 2 ; 93: 13 ; 127: 7 \\ & 142: 13 ; 145: 4 ; 151: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lighting (4) } \\ & 125: 1,10 ; 127: 8,17 \\ & \text { lights }(\mathbf{1 5 )} \end{aligned}$ | lived (1) | looking (47) |
| 11,13;78:1,7,13; |  |  | 111:1 | 6:6;11:11;12:1,2; |
| 84:11,17;85:1,11,17, |  |  | living (2) | 14:15;23:5;24:1,4 |
| 24;86:10,12,15;87:2, |  | 13,18;129:15;132:6; | 111:10;113:1 | 16;29:14;30:13;47:7; |
| 10,14;89:24;90:3; | late (2) |  | LLC (2) | 55:20,21,22;60:2,3,4, |
| 91:3,13,21;92:4,18 | 58:1;155:1 | 134:10;135:11,13; | 141:9,1 | 4,6,13;65:22;79:12, |
| 93:9;97:14;98:17,21 | Later (1) | 137:2,6,6, | located (13) | 14;82:6,16;85:1,5; |
| 99:4;101:3,20,23; | 22:20 | Likely (6) | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 17 ; 32: 19 ; 37: 9 ; \\ & 61: 18 ; 62: 12,16 \end{aligned}$ | 86:15;91:17;92:18; |
| 102:2,15;103:16; | latest (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 8 ; 45: 2 ; 78: 14 ; \\ & 110: 4 ; 126: 6,7 \end{aligned}$ |  | 102:13;111:20; |
| 104:5,18;107:14; | 24:17 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:18;62:12,16; } \\ & \text { 69:18;72:16;75:4; } \end{aligned}$ | 113:21,24;114:2; |
| 108:20;109:1,6,9; | latitude (1) | limit (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:18;72:16;75:4; } \\ & \text { 86:3;91:11;141:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 115:8;116:3;117:1; |
| 118:6,13,20;119:9 | 80:17 | 113:18 | $142: 18$ | 119:3;128:14;136:4, |
| 24;121:7,22;123:7 | launch (3) |  | location (48)10:4;15:9:16:23; | 17;138:5,23;145:18; |
| 11,15,23;124:12; | 46:4;68:6 | $51: 22 ; 140: 5$ |  | 146:3 |
| 125:7,16,21;126:2,7, | launching (1) | line (71)$4: 11: 7: 4.9: 24: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 4 ; 15: 9 ; 16: 23 ; \\ & 23: 19 ; 26: 8 ; 27: 6,21 \end{aligned}$ | looks (8) |
| 19;132:13,16;133:1 | 47:5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 9 ; 33: 2 ; 36: 22,23 ; \\ & 30 \cdot 7 \cdot 40 \cdot 6 \cdot 57 \cdot 0 \cdot 56 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:23;28:23;60:11; } \\ & \text { 97:14,20;108:12; } \\ & 118: 6 ; 124: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9,12,14;134:7,17; | laying (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 11 ; 7: 4,9 ; 24: 21 \\ & 39: 11,21 ; 44: 21 ; \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 135:2,8;136:15,19, | 25:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 21 ; 58: 7 ; 59: 16 ; \\ & 62: 11,12,15 ; 65: 5,10 \end{aligned}$ | 59:6;74:16,18;78:17; |  |
| 21;137:4,24;138:4,7, | leaps (1) |  | 80:18;81:3;84:23; | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lose (1) } \\ 135: 1 \end{array}$ |
| 24;139:17,21,24; | 16:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 15,18 ; 66: 7 ; 69: 5,10 \\ & 11,15,16,17 ; 73: 8,13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 6,8,13 ; 86: 2 \\ & 89: 15 ; 90: 5 ; 91: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 140:5;145:14,23; | least (22) |  |  | lot (31) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:3,6,11,16,18; } \\ & 148: 6,22 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 3 ; 13: 22 ; 39: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 9 ; 77: 2 ; 79: 12 \\ & \text { 83:12;84:6;87:15; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 17 ; 95: 9,16,20 \\ & 97: 4 ; 100: 22 ; 101: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:19;29:16;30:13; } \\ & 38: 5 ; 42: 1 ; 47: 17,21 \end{aligned}$ |
| kind (3) | 62:24;72:4;73:7; |  | 11;106:12;107:8; | 48:6,14;50:14;51:8;63:9:68:9;79:4;80:6; |
| 21:3;27:11;106:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 24 ; 78: 10 ; 82: 8 ; \\ & \text { 86:7;94:16;97:4,11; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:5,15,17;91:21,22 } \\ & \text { 92:1,2,3,4,6;93:7; } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| knowing (3) |  | 94:8,17,18;101:16, <br> 22;102:4,6,7;103:14, | 133:5;147:22; | 82:20;84:14;96:3,16; |
| 13:4;58:24;60:6 known (3) | 103:3;110:9;111:12;$120: 17 ; 122: 19$ |  | 149:10;150:17; | 112:12;113:13; |
| known (3) 15:2;141:9, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22;102:4,6,7;103:14, } \\ & \text { 17;106:3,7;109:22; } \end{aligned}$ | 151:1,6;153:21 locations (7) | 114:8,10,17;120:9; |
| 15:2;141:9,17 | leave (3) | 111:21;113:23; | locations (7) | 129:15;133:16,22; |
| kV (2) | 63:12;64:11;99:9leaves (2) | 134:3;146:7;147:14, 17;149:3,3,6;151:15; 152:16,21,21;153:1; | ```37:21;38:16;39:20; 84:17;97:11;126:21; 127:5``` | 134:12;147:7,8 <br> Loudon (18) <br> 103:24;104:3; |
| 41:3;152:20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4:12;56:2 |  |  |  |


| 105:17,20;110:11,18; | 132:3;133:2,19; | Member (1) | 35:12;40:14;48:20; | name's (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111:10,14,18,19,21; | 137:17;144:2,2; | 18:23 | 53:13;55:15;63:16; | 4:1 |
| 112:18;114:17; | 155:4 | members (1) | 100:21;106:15; | National (3) |
| 115:1,4,6,9,18 | material (4) | 149:17 | 134:21;136:9 | 54:1;56:15;60:24 |
| low (10) | 12:8;36:11;38:14; | memory (1) | monopole (3) | naturalistic (1) |
| 13:2;14:8,23; | 123:16 | 143:20 | 41:3,13;108:21 | 58:2 |
| 32:12;34:6;40:10; | materials (1) | mentioned (3) | month (1) | Nature (2) |
| 42:18;50:18;51:6; | 11:12 | 90:12,23;95:16 | 142:13 | 34:6;49:20 |
| 54:7 | math (1) | Merrimack (1) | months (2) | near (9) |
| lower (2) | 98:18 | 58:14 | 98:19,21 | 28:6;31:15;81:19; |
| 32:18;92:24 | matter (1) | methodology (3) | more (17) | 91:7;97:12;104:11; |
| low-medium (4) | 79:24 | 11:5;16:21;60:18 | 9:1;18:16;35:3; | 108:10;136:17,21 |
| 32:4;35:6;49:1; | may (38) | microphone (1) | 36:2;50:14;52:2 | nearby (1) |
| 50:5 | 10:6;12:3,11,11; | 152:12 | 56:11;70:3;84:9; | 115:17 |
| lunch (1) | 14:2;15:17;17:13,14, | mid-ground (2) | 90:16;92:8;93:15; | nearly (1) |
| 46:20 | 16,16;23:2;29:5; | 52:3;59:3 | 101:1;120:9;125:11; | 84:22 |
| M | 35:3;45:5,10;51: | might (16) | 31:17;132:12 | necessarily (2) |
|  | 73:23;78:1;83:13; | 28:10;41:10;46:16 | 29:21 | need (9) |
| Main (5) | 85:2,5;87:12,18; | 52:12;53:3;58:15; | most (6) | 18:13;68:13;69:3; |
| $127: 2 ; 128: 1 ; 129: 2$ | $93: 10 ; 95: 21 ; 108: 15$ | 59:13;69:11;82:10; | $7: 5 ; 47: 13 ; 60: 9$ | $70: 12 ; 143: 1 ; 144: 1$ |
| majority (1) | 127:4;141:4;144:22; | 135:11;136:2 | motorists (1) | needed (2) |
| 114:4 | 154:24;155:1 | mile (1) | 34:8 | 61:1;117:6 |
| makes (1) | maybe (4) | 62:11 | Mount (2) | Needleman (14) |
| 144:13 | 48:4;65:12;120:4; | miles (39) | 67:18,21 | 88:2,3,8,17;89:2,4, |
| making (4) | 136:2 | 7:10,14,17,20; | Mountain (9) | 22;90:7,23;94:22; |
| 17:4;60:2;83:8; | McKenna's (8) | 9:18;14:3;15:14; | 28:17,22;30:3,18, | 143:22;148:10; |
| 135:22 | 113:3;114:1;115: | 16:7;17:7;18:7; | 18;31:13;33:2,7;53:5 | 154:1,2 |
| Management (2) | 21;116:13,15; | 32:19,22;34:8,13,23; | mouse (4) | Needleman's (1) |
| 13:1;15:1 | 117:15;121:24 | 54:18;58:18,20;61:4, | 31:17;103:8,9; | 153:6 |
| many (9) | McKenna's' (1) | 21;62:11,18;64:7; | 112:14 | needs (5) |
| 8:10;40:20;41:6, | 116:2 | 99:18;100:1,11; | move (2) | 5:2;30:8;52:7; |
| 14;45:17;66:1; | mean (7) | 101:1,15,18,19,21, | 66:20;79:7 | 63:10;134:9 |
| 111:17;113:15; | 23:16;50:20;51:2 | 24;102:2,3,4,6,7; | moved (4) | negative (1) |
| 114:22 | 53:22;85:1;100:8; | 128:19;134:11 | 89:15;90:3,4,4 | 151:18 |
| $\boldsymbol{m a p}(30)$ | 135:16 | minimal (3) | much (4) | neighborhood (3) |
| $4: 7,24 ; 5: 10,12 ; 6: 7$ | means (6) | 16:8;17:11;18:7 | 64:7;84:23;96:1 | 27:22;33:12;53:18 |
| $10 ; 28: 19 ; 30: 5 ; 37: 15$ | 51:11,13;55:1,1 | minor (2) | 114:21 | New (32) |
| 18,22;38:9,11;91:6, | 74:18;125:24 | 37:20;48:17 | Muni (30) | 12:24;13:13;15:1, |
| 17,18;92:9;105:13; | measure (1) | minute (1) | 4:6;6:16;8:18 | 11;36:19;39:16,24; |
| 124:13;125:8; | 69:21 | minutes | 10:10,23;12:17; | 41:12;69:4,5,10,11, |
| 132:16,17,22;133:2, | measurement (1) | minutes (3) | 14:23;18:19;22:10; | 15,16,17,17;73:13; |
| 15;137:16;138:5,6; $140 \cdot 7 \cdot 147: 22$ | 63:2 | 70:4;88:22;146:21 | 26:12;28:16;37:7; | 85:21;86:22,23; |
| 140:7;147:22 | measures | misread (1) | 39:6;56:11;57:6; | 87:22;94:5,17;96:3; |
| Maple (7) | 68:24 | 65:12 | 61:12;64:13;72:10; | 97:5;104:1;126:8; |
| 72:8,15,19,23; | measuring (2) | missed (3) | 91:5;92:14;93:21; | 129:10;141:17; |
| 91:7;92:15;97:12 | 62:20;63:14 | 13:10;14:5;38:3 | 97:10;104:2;109:3; | 152:16,21;153:21 |
| mapping (1) | medium (24) | missing (2) | 112:7;123:1;124:20; | next (47) |
| 60:5 | 15:4,6;18:11;29:9; | 38:18;77:23 | 126:16;130:8;137:17 | 8:13;9:8;10:14; |
| maps (12) | 37:2,3;48:23;49:8,10, | mitigate (3) | Municipal (1) | 11:10;13:12,15;19:5; |
| 27:10;38:2,13; | 12,14,17,23;50:7,17; | 94:19;95:7,11 | 4:4 | 22:6;24:5;29:6;30:7, |
| 39:23;60:4;89:7,10; | 51:8,9,12,24;53:7; | mitigation (6) | municipalities (2) | 23;31:7;34:5;36:22; |
| 90:9;91:1;138:9,14; | 54:7;56:19;59:13; | 42:3,5,12;68:24; | 64:20;96:9 | 37:6,12;39:5;40:5, |
| 150:22 | 145:9 | 69:21;95:6 | Must (1) | 24;42:3;44:6;48:19; |
| March (1) | medium-high (1) | model (1) | 83:24 | 49:16;56:4,10,14; |
| 18:21 | 146:1 | 149:5 |  | 62:2;64:12;70:10; |
| Mark (1) | mediums (1) | moderate (2) | N | 72:18;73:17;76:14; |
| 141:14 | 50:2 | 51:20;53:8 |  | 77:1;80:16;81:11,13; |
| marked (18) | meet (2) | moderate' (1) | naked (1) | 82:18;84:7,21; |
| 4:6;6:15;7:23;8:14, | 98:4;110:19 | 44:22 | 150:12 | 102:22;104:10; |
| 17,18;10:9;12:19; | meeting (1) | moment (13) | name (1) | 110:14;111:3;112:6; |
| 72:10;77:7;80:16; | 121:23 | 22:8;28:18;29:14; | 141:18 | 117:4;124:2 |

night (3)
134:5;135:7;137:3
nine (1) 98:19
Nobody (1)
151:9
nobody's (1) 123:12
Nomination (2) 56:15;57:4
non- (1) 137:22
Nor (1) 109:8
normal (2) 53:20,22
normally (1)
97:2
north (8) 4:11;19:11;91:23; 133:9,11;134:18,21; 140:18
northeast (1) 106:2
northerly (1) 74:7
Northern (9) 39:17;92:3;108:19; 137:20;142:4,17; 145:16;147:4;148:3
north-south (2) 7:14,18
northwestern (1) 26:20
noticed (1) 47:21
notion (1) 34:22
number (19) 41:23;74:5;77:8; 81:4;94:2,4;100:1,4, 12,12,18;104:24; 111:9;112:23; 113:21;116:22; 122:10;143:16; 145:18
$\mathbf{0}$

Oak (2) 45:18;48:20
object (5)
94:23;143:23; 148:11;153:6,12
objection (1) 154:2
objections (1) 152:6
objects (1) 70:24
observation (6) 46:4;70:14;79:10, 13;80:15;112:5
observations (1)
111:19
observe (3)
43:1;44:4;46:11
observed (1)
131:1
observer (2)
45:3;110:5
obstructions (1) 70:24
obtained (1) 5:12
obviously (3)
11:1;106:3;108:7
occur (7) 8:7;30:22;31:9; 44:13;73:1;96:22; 123:13
occurred (1) 19:16
occurring (2) 19:10;25:14
October (6) 6:21;10:12;19:17; 64:17;98:15,23
off (16) 34:16;45:18;46:1; 84:1,3;110:18; 120:22;130:2,4,6; 131:20,24;141:3; 148:17,19;154:10
offend (2) 65:1;66:8
offending (1) 67:11
office (6)
107:11,12,16; 131:2;141:21;150:23
offices (4) 130:20,22,23; 131:8
officials (1) 11:17
old (2) 87:21;141:20
older (1) 26:13
omitted (1) 12:11
once (4) 35:18;45:20;68:22; 77:17
one (95) 3:8;6:1,2;12:18; 16:11;24:17;25:2,21, 22;26:3;27:8;29:23; 30:3;31:12;38:16; 39:11,13;40:3;41:11; 42:7,8,9;43:7,17,20; 46:7,8,21;50:2,10,15; 52:18,19,19;55:8; 60:12;65:2;67:2; 69:16,20;74:15;

75:16;77:23;78:1,2,4,
11,11;81:11,24;83:8, 14,22;84:5,13,15;
86:2,3,8,10;87:22;
93:10;97:2;103:8,9;
104:17,20;105:20;
106:1,6;108:1,15;
111:4;116:21;
117:21;118:14,20;
120:8,17;121:10,24;
122:3;124:8;126:21;
129:10;138:2,14,19;
145:11;148:14,15;
149:17;150:3;
151:13;152:2
ones (7)
41:15;42:15;70:6;
108:16,18;119:12;
126:4
one's (1)
119:22
only (7)
34:23;61:21;68:3;
77:22;96:1;134:4;
151:16
onto (1)
147:9
open (2)
45:19;130:13
opinion (8)
16:22;18:4;42:24;
61:24;63:21;64:24;
66:11;69:8
opportunity (2)
142:20;143:3
opposed (1)
150:18
opposite (6)
27:3,15;47:1; 106:2,7;131:11
order (2)
52:5;68:13
orderly (2) 71:24;110:24
organizational (1) 11:12
original (3) 6:19;38:2;137:21
originally (4) 10:11,15;21:21; 99:2
others (2) 40:3;139:15
out (33)
4:9;11:16;18:1; 20:18,23;22:11,12; 47:7;55:9,9;58:23; 61:21;62:11;74:12; 79:3;83:8;94:6;97:6, 18;99:7;101:18; 102:13;103:5; 115:14;123:18; 135:11,22;136:3;

145:2,6;146:4;
147:23;149:21
outside (4)
15:17;102:5;
127:19;131:5
over (13)
9:1;11:2;29:20;
30:15;38:13;42:19;
50:17,23;89:16;
112:15,21;122:21;
142:15
overall (7)
32:2;35:6;40:9;
41:16;42:18;48:17; 49:23
overhead (6) 4:7;37:8;56:5; 112:8;114:20;122:18
overlook (2) 47:7;68:8
overlooked (1) 12:12
Overruled (1) 95:13
overview (1)
4:5
own (1) 79:6
owned (2) 21:23;25:3
owns (2) 20:6;25:5

| $\mathbf{P}$ |
| ---: |
| Pacik (37) |

Pacik (37)
3:6,15,17,22,24; 4:1;19:18,21;44:16, 19;75:9,12;77:11,13; 84:4;87:6,13;89:2; 90:17,20,21,22; 92:23;93:1;95:3,4; 103:7,11;129:21; 130:7;131:20;132:2, 11,14,19,21;140:8
packet (1)
137:20
pads (2) 74:8;91:8
page (151) 6:17;8:13,14;9:8, 20;10:9,14,23;11:10; 12:16,16;13:12,16, 16;14:22;18:19;19:5, 7;22:6,10;24:5; 26:12;28:15,20;29:6, 19;30:7,8,23;31:7,22; 32:2,2,11;36:22;37:6, 7,12,12;39:5,5;40:5; 42:4;44:6,7;48:19; 49:4,16;50:3,12; 54:11;56:4,10,10,14, 14;57:6;61:12,15;

62:2,3;64:12,12;
70:10,11;72:9,18; 73:1,6,6,17;75:1,19; 76:14;77:1,6,7,10,21; 80:16;81:13;82:18; 83:1;84:7,7,18,21; 91:4,5;92:13,14,21; 93:20;97:9,10;99:13; 102:9;103:20;104:1, 7,8,10,14;105:1,15, 16;106:22;107:1;
109:2,3,16;110:14, 15;111:3;112:6,7; 114:19;116:4,7,14; 117:4,11,12,24;
118:9,9,17,17;119:1, 4,4,12,19,21;120:5; 121:2,3,9;122:17,24; 124:2,20;126:16;
127:11;129:17;
130:8;132:4,15; 146:9,11,16
pages (3)
10:13;122:24;
146:24
Pam (1) 144:20
panel (3) 3:6;140:13;154:7
Panoramic (3) 105:22;121:3,5
paragraph (10)
11:7;14:14,19; 41:1;42:21;58:3; 64:22;68:23;69:1; 75:20
parallel (2)
27:21;85:3
Park (28) 53:13,17,20,21,23; 54:14,23;55:11,15, 16;56:6,18;57:14; 58:1,2,4,19;61:13,17, 18;63:3;99:9;133:17, 23;134:2,16;138:15, 21
parking (4) 47:17;68:9;80:12; 112:12
part (29) 5:14,16,20;6:3,9, 19;12:14;14:10,13; 19:1;20:4;21:9,11; 23:20;34:3,5;36:6; 43:13,17;50:24; 69:17;79:23;80:1; 98:24;102:16;110:9; 111:14;120:16; 140:18
partially (2) 41:21;47:22
participate (1) 122:6

| particular (39) | Permit (2) | pick (3) | 109:5;116:20; | prefiled (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:3;15:9;16:11; | 73:18;87:21 | 24:6;135:11;136:3 | 124:21;129:12; | 4:15 |
| 25:15;26:8;29:15; | perpendicular (1) | picked (1) | 47 | preparation (1) |
| 30:14;31:21;33:1; | 85:5 | 50:11 | Pond (21) | 38:13 |
| 36:6;45:8;46:8;49:5; | person (4) | picks (1) | 36:24;37:9;39:7; | prepare (2) |
| 50:24;53:4;59:6; | 65:2;66:9;67:12; | 89:2 | 42:24;44:10,13; | 100:6;149:22 |
| 74:2,16,18;78:9,22; | 75:5 | picture (4) | 45:16,17,23;46:2,11, | prepared (8) |
| 80:11;83:22;85:4; | personal (3) | 26:13;90:5;135:1,2 | 20,23;47:4,8;48:2; | 29:24;46:10;104:3; |
| 94:17;95:9;97:4; | 79:6;111:19;142:6 | Pines (1) | 68:5,10,13;136:17; | 106:19;107:10; |
| 106:15;107:17,20,23; | personally (1) | 22:4 | 142:15 | 149:15;150:22; |
| 109:12;113:11,15; | 20:18 | pipeline (4) | populated (2) | 151:11 |
| 114:16;120:14; | perspectiv | 152:19;153:18,22; | 7:5;9:24 | preparing (1) |
| 146:9;147:6;149:8 | 37:8;81:21,23 | 154:24 | population (3) | $112: 3$ |
| particularly (1) | 118:1 | place (5) | 5:3;6:24;7:2 | present (1) |
| 32:16 | pertinent | 60:8;80:8,13;97:1 | Porta (1) | 56:3 |
| parties (3) | 151:2 | 131:4 | 68:18 | presented (1) |
| 11:4;139:14,17 | photo (63) | places (5) | portion (14) | $45: 13$ |
| partner (1) | 41:20;45:13;46:8; | 12:3;56:16;57:1 | 6:11,13;15:2 | presently (2) |
| 141:15 | 70:8,13,18;71:5,14 | 59:23;60:24 | 33:17,20;34:1,3; | 3:5;66:19 |
| parts (5) | 18;72:1,7;74:24; | plain (2) | 38:1;44:20;45:15; | presumably (1) |
| 4:17;15:13;17:21, | 75:3,20;76:3,6,7; | 62:6;63:1 | 57:19;63:24;114:21; | 129:9 |
| 22,22 | 77:16,22;78:14; | plan (4) | 141:19 | pretty (1) |
| Pass (5) | 81:18;83:1,2;84:10, | 22:3;24:21;25:18 | portions (8) | 84:23 |
| 39:17;92:3;108:19; | 15,20;85:15;86:7,18, | 149:9 | 5:7;16:1,2;54:22 | previous (1) |
| 137:20;142:4 | 24;99:5,14;100:3,5, | planner (1) | 55:17;62:24;63:1 | 85:3 |
| passing (1) | 13,15,20;101:4,7; | 22:12 | 120:13 | primarily (4) |
| 114:15 | 104:2;105:19;106:1, | planning (2) | Portsmouth (4) | 64:8;111:22; |
| patches (2) | 14,18,23;107:4,6,9, | 3:13;26:1 | 19:11,23;20:19; | 115:11;127:15 |
| 8:4,6 | 17;113:11;115:22, | plans (4) | 21:15 | primary (1) |
| path (1) | 24;117:12,23;118:10, | 11:13;20:8;41:9 | posed (1) | 51:23 |
| 79:3 | 18;119:10,14,16; | 73:2 | 28:11 | print (1) |
| Pause (6) | 120:5;121:9,16; | Please (9) | possibility (1) | 140:6 |
| 30:11;57:22;130:3; | $122: 1$ | 9:3;100:16;103:5 | $10: 6$ | prior (1) |
| 131:19;138:3;144:21 | photograph (35) | 145:1,3,5;146:4; | Possible (8) | 119:12 |
| paved (1) | 21:19;22:16;24:16; | 150:3;151:13 | 15:9,11;54:14 | pristine (1) |
| 27:23 | 27:12;28:9;75:5,6,7; | plowed (1) | 88:15;97:1;98:9; | 68:10 |
| paying (1) | 76:7,15;77:9;78:18; | 80:9 | 124:12,14 | private (17) |
| $51: 1$ | 79:23;80:14,19;81:3; | pm (4) | possibly (2) | 70:8,13;71:6,19, |
| Pembroke (6) | 82:15;84:16;98:16; | 3:2;88:23,24 | 80:23;152:22 | 21;79:10,11,13; |
| 4:13;5:20;6:2,11 | 100:24;102:22; | 155:21 | Post (1) | 80:14;99:5,14;101:3; |
| 62:23;63:4 | 105:6;107:19; | point (15) | 141:20 | 130:22,23;131:2,8; |
| pending (1) | 108:17;113:9; | 4:9;14:11;23:24; | potential (17) | 147:9 |
| $152: 19$ | 117:20,21;119:21; | 47:10;48:17;79:13; | 16:8;17:12;18:7 | probably (18) |
| people (17) | 120:2,14,22,24; | 82:7;93:10;94:10; | 59:19;61:20;62:9 | 26:10;54:18;60:11; |
| 5:4;35:8;36:2; | 122:19;147:23; | 103:5,19;134:11; | 64:2;70:15;77:2; | 67:22;81:6;82:3; |
| 42:23;47:13;66:19 | 149:22 | 152:18;153:15; | 123:13;134:7,15,19; | 89:23;104:23; |
| 111:9,14,17;113:14; | photographed (2) | 154:11 | 136:8;137:11; | 105:12;128:16; |
| 114:13,17;115:13,15; | 23:22;142:2 | pointed (2) | 138:11;149:12 | 135:22;136:10; |
| 128:22;136:23; | photographing (1) | 20:22;55:8 | Potentially (7) | 137:19;144:13; |
| 152:12 | 82:12 | points (3) | 76:11,13;78:3,14; | 146:21;151:23; |
| people's (2) | photographs (13) | 70:15;79:10;80: | 123:23;133:1;137:15 | 154:15;155:7 |
| 68:21;137:7 | 24:3;70:21;75:14, | pole (10) | Potty (1) | proceed (3) |
| per (2) | 17,24;81:22;97:17, | 37:20;39:7, | 68:18 | 3:21;141:5;144:23 |
| 29:24;34:9 | 19;98:2,4,6,8,10 | 82:9;86:20;108:10; | power (8) | proceeding (1) |
| Percy (14) | photos (4) | 109:8;113:16; | $147: 15 ; 151: 14,15$ | 154:21 |
| 141:9,16,18,20,22, | 105:18,19;114:7; | 116:24;117:5 | 152:16,24;153:14,16, | proceedings (1) |
| 23;142:12,18; | 121:20 | poles (24) | 21. | 56:2 |
| 143:17;147:24; | phrase (2) | 37:14;40:15,20; | precisely (1) | process (1) |
| 149:18;150:11,20,22 | 35:16;43:20 | 41:12,23;70:22; | 152:20 | 152:7 |
| perfectly (1) | Physical (1) | 74:15;82:20;86:8,24; | preconstruction (1) | produce (1) |
| 150:15 | 7:12 | 87:22,24;90:24; | 8:22 | 98:4 |
| perhaps (1) | physically (4) | 91:10,20;104:17; | prefer (1) | produced (3) |
| 74:21 | 23:6,8,10,18 | 107:13;108:13,19; | 36:16 | 5:15;137:16; |


| 139:16 | 135:17;139:2 | radius (5) | reasons (1) | regarding (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program (5) | pro | 2:17;63:4;101:1 | 65:3 | 3:8;88:10 |
| 13:1;14:11,13 | 139:10;14 | 02 | rebuilt (1) | region (1) |
| 15:2;29:17 | provision (1) | raise | 84:11 | 73:4 |
| Project (21) | 98:5 | 52:1 | recall ( | Register (4) |
| 9:2;42:22;44:24; | proximity (5) | raised (4) | 18:22;21:5;23: | 54:1;56:15;57:1; |
| 51:13,20;52:7,11 | 51:16;52:17;82:1 | 11:4;22:22;67: | :5,6;51:4 | 60:24 |
| 59:24;65:1;79:17 | 21;86:21 | 9:17 | receive (5) | regularly (1) |
| 89:10;90:8;93:14; | Public (8) | ramp (1) | 52:6,6;93:9;107:2 | 87:23 |
| 94:2,20;108:22; | 3:18;16:16;34:6 | 47:11 | 139:23 | regulatio |
| 111:8;122:7,8,13 | 49:20;111:6;121:23; | range (8) | received (11) | 63:11 |
| 149:1 | 130:13;154:21 | 8:8;9:21;40:1,1 | 15:3,6;19:2,9;23 | regulation |
| Project's | publication (1) | 1:10;101:5;105:12 | 6:23;56:19;123:16; | 63:23 |
| 52:1 | 11:23 | 40:14 | 139:18;140:7;145:23 | relate (2) |
| promine | pu | rate (5) | Recess (1) | 153:4,9 |
| 120:9 | 95:18;131:3 | 145:2,6,7,17;146 | 88:23 | related (1) |
| prominently | Public's (1) | rated (1) | recognition (1) | 19:12 |
| $65: 6,10,18 ; 66:$ | 110:10 | 4:8 | 4:4 | relates (3) |
| 67:8 | pull (2) | rates (1) | recollection (1) | 26:21;94:2 |
| promotion | 132:1 | 7:22 | 149:14 | 153:11 |
| 36:11 | Purchase (9) | rather (1) | recommend (1) | relationship (2) |
| properties | 113:3;114:1;115 | 45:20 | 30:2 | 79:19;154:23 |
| 70:8;111:20; | 21;116:2,13,15 | rating | Recommended (2) | relative (3) |
| 112:17;114:23;115:8 | 117:15;121:24 | 16:10;32:3;44:22 | 92:22;93:2 | 10:5;79:17;98: |
| property (22) | purchased | 24;50:19;51:10,12 | record (23) | relied (3) |
| 21:1;23:13;25 | 148:24 | 52:7;54:6;109:20,23; | 5:16;8:20;19:7 | 11:22;46:8;72 |
| 70:13;71:6,19,21; | purple | 110:1;145:24 | 21:9,11;38:24;55:24; | relocated (14) |
| 76:15;79:10,11,13 | 91:22,24;92 | ratio (1) | 84:2,3;88:5;130:5,6; | 39:9,16,21;40:16; |
| 80:15;94:10,11,13; | 133:22;134:1 | 48:8 | 131:21,24;134:13; | 68:18;73:8;84:12; |
| 95:18;99:5,14;101:4; | 136:14 | rationale | 139:24;140:2;141:3; | 85:23;86:6;89:9 |
| 115:5;118:19;147:9 | Purpose (4) | 93:12 | 148:18,19;154:4,17; | 90:24;91:11;147:18; |
| property's (1) | 29:20;36:14, | read (17) | 155:5 | 152:20 |
| 94:12 | 96:6 | 7:24;12:22;29:21 | recreational (1) | relocating (1) |
| proposal | purpos | 32:13;36:14;42:7 | 151:19 | 94:18 |
| 67:6;79:14;123 | 3;72:4,5 | 44:12,17,20;50:13 | red (29) | rely (1) |
| 11,24;124:7 | pursuits (2) | 51:5;53:15;62:14; | 7:23;12:19;26:1 | $112: 4$ |
| proposals (1) | 35:10;43:3 | 77:12;93:22;146:18, | 29:2;31:23;38:15 | remain (3) |
| 42:12 | put (8) | 22 | $40: 14 ; 56: 5 ; 57: 7$ | 35:11;40:16;51:23 |
| proposed (36) | $40: 14 ; 57: 4 ; 137: 18$ | reading | 62:17;64:23;70:19 | remember (3) |
| 9:1;15:11;39:16 | 147:10;148:8;150:2; | 58:9 | 72:11;73:2;80:20; | 54:24;79:3;130:9 |
| 41:18;44:23;48:9 | 151:12;155:5 | read | 83:20,21;84:5,6,13; | remembering (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:16;73:13;77:2,1’ } \\ & 79: 20 ; 83: 2 ; 85: 12 \end{aligned}$ | Q | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 15,21 ; \\ & \text { real (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 13,21 ; 99: 23 ; \\ & 116: 3,8,16 ; 118: 5,5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:15 } \\ & \text { reminder (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 86:4,22;87:18;89:9; |  | 50:17 | 119:12 | 155:17 |
| 91:24;92:2,5;93:7; |  | reality (1) | reduce (1) | removed (5) |
| 94:2,5,8,20;102:23; | 69:14 | 111:13 | 120:1 | 31:16;91:1 |
| 104:15;105:9; | qualities | real | re-evaluate (1) | 125:18,22,23 |
| 108:18,24;116 | 56:20 | 2;1 | . | repeat (3) |
| 119:7;124:9;132:5 | quality (5) | realzed | 113 | 50:21;51:2; |
| 17;134:3 | 37:1;48:23;49 | 60:2 | 113:10;146 | rephrase (1) |
| provide (12) | 145:20;146: | really (9) | reference | 39:10 |
| 24:12;36:17;42:12 | quarter (1) | 35:21;36 | 24:15 | replaced (4) |
| 46:17;67:10;107:17, | 140:11 | 76:1;110:20;128:11; | referenced (2) | 86:5;125:19,22, |
| 23;119:17;121:11, | quick (2) | 134:9;138:22;143:10 | 22:8;31:12 | replacement (1) |
| 16;145:19;153:22 | 3:8;13:2 | Realty (1) | referencing (1) | 89:17 |
| provided (22) | quickly (2) | 141 | 22:14 | report (8) |
| 5:10;6:20;18:20; | 49:7;51:7 | reason (9) | referring (6) | 14:7;144:7;146:8, |
| 24:17,22;40:6;85:20; | quite (7) | 30:9;35:24;42:17 | 22:10;108:16 | 10,11,16,19;147:1 |
| 86:8;92:16;97:12 | 17:21,2 | 57:7;99:11;127:11 | 145:8,9,12;147:21 | reports (1) |
| 98:14;99:15;105:19 | 57:10;83:14;132:7 | 152:9 | fined (1) | 31:12 |
| 106:24;107:22; |  | reasonable (4) |  | represent (5) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:13;121:21; } \\ & \text { 123:4,12;134:14; } \end{aligned}$ | R | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:2;66:9;67:12; } \\ & 80: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { reflect (1) } \\ 88: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:1;72:22;74:15; } \\ & 116: 7 ; 149: 9 \end{aligned}$ |


| representation (1) | 24:12;88:13;99:6; | $76: 16,21 ; 77: 3,9$ | 9,16;101:17;103:24; | 97:6;133:15;138:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142:9 | 111:12;139:4 | 78:12,13,13;80:12; | 104:3;105:17,20; | 24;139:1,16,19;140:6 |
| representative (3) | response] (1) | 81:1,8,9,11,16,20,23, | 106:9;110:11,18 | scattered (1) |
| 79:15;108:2; | 155:14 | 24;83:11,17;84:16, | 111:10,15,18,20,22; | 127:5 |
| 122:11 | responses (1) | 20;86:10;87:1;88:1, | 112:18;114:17; | scenic (72) |
| represented (1) | 18:22 | 19;89:1;90:19;91:2, | 115:1,4,4,7,10,18; | 10:10,16,21,24; |
| 148:22 | responsible (1) | 20;92:3;93:17;94:21; | 136:12,13;138:16; | 12:3,18,19;16:11,23; |
| representing (1) | 57:13 | 96:10,17,23;97:7,13; | 141:22;142:12; | 18:10,11;25:9;29:8, |
| 149:5 | rest (1) | 98:2,16,17;99:16; | 143:18;148:1; | 9;32:8,16,21,23; |
| represents (2) | 46:23 | 100:3,14;101:19; | 149:18;150:11,20,22 | 34:11;35:8,13,23; |
| 149:4,7 | restroom (2) | 102:11,16,17,18; | roads (4) | 36:1,6,8;37:2;43:11, |
| request (3) | 68:8,16 | 103:13;104:4,22; | 12:2;67:1,13,14 | 15;48:14,21,24; |
| 23:8;106:22 | result (2) | 105:7,12,21,23; | roadway (3) | 49:12;50:4;51:14; |
| 119:19 | 42:22;122:12 | 106:9,19;107:6,13, | 97:22;114:1,3 | 52:6,16;53:11;54:8; |
| requested (1) | results (1) | 15,18,22;108:14,19, | room (1) | 55:7,10;56:20;59:19; |
| 106:18 | 146:7 | 21;109:5,7;110:12, | 130:1 | 61:22;63:7;65:4,9, |
| require (3) | resume (4) | 22;111:3;112:2,19, | rough (1) | 19;66:1;67:22;70:5; |
| 61:20;79:9;96:1 | 3:4,5,14;155:2 | 22;113:4,8;114:24; | 113:22 | 71:7;94:15;95:17; |
| research (16) | resumed (2) | 115:2,5,18,19,23; | roughly (1) | 109:11,17;110:20,21; |
| 12:6,13;13:7,23 | 3:2;88:24 | 116:4,16,17;117:13; | 45:22 | 123:17;126:13,15; |
| 14:5;18:17;22:2; | retail (1) | 118:4,6,12;119:8,23; | Route (3) | 129:8;131:6;137:11; |
| 24:10,11;25:8;55:7; | 111:11 | 120:11,24;121:13,21; | 30:19;34:18; | 138:10,11;139:7; |
| 56:17;60:22;111:16, | review (5) | 122:23;123:22; | 115:20 | 143:7;144:16;145:1, |
| 24;113:1 | 20:14;26:6;61:22; | 124:1;125:3,11; | routes (1) | 5,20,24 |
| residences (3) | 63:7;96:9 | 126:11,128:7,11; | 36:18 | scheduling (1) |
| 38:3,6,10 | reviewed (2) | 129:3,13,20;130:10, | Rule (4) | 3:8 |
| residential (8) | 20:12;94:15 | 12,14;131:5;132:1, | 62:3,7;69:24;70:11 | screen (3) |
| 19:12;33:12;53:17; | reviewing (1) | 24;133:4,8,13; | rules (14) | 28:20;60:7;137:18 |
| 114:10,22,22;115:5, | 22:21 | 134:16,23;135:8,19; | 4:22;5:24;43:21; | screens (2) |
| 10 | reviews (5) | 136:6,14;137:10; | 44:1;52:20;61:20; | 47:22;132:9 |
| residents (3) | 13:11;65:7;77:2 | 138:10,17;139:3,16; | 66:14;67:5;70:17; | scroll (9) |
| 7:2;121:23;122:7 | 91:12;125:20 | 140:8,10,21;143:16; | 78:19;79:9;95:1; | 42:19;75:10;92:23; |
| resolve (1) | revised (1) | 146:2,14,17;150:14; | 99:7;139:5 | 99:22;110:16; |
| 155:12 | 137:22 | 151:22;154:14; | run (3) | 116:18;132:7,22; |
| resource (27) | ridge (6) | 155:6,8,11,15 | 90:14;124:18; | 134:20 |
| 10:24;12:19;16:12; | 58:8,12,15;60:9 | Right-hand (1) | 125:8 | scrolled (1) |
| 32:8,21,23;35:13; | 78:5,7 | 118:22 | runs (3) | 112:15 |
| 36:9;43:16;48:21; | right (260) | right-of-way (1) | 7:14,17;27:20 | scrolling (2) |
| 51:14;52:6;53:12; | 3:3;4:18;5:4,21 | 9:22 | rural (5) | 31:18;88:11 |
| 60:21;61:22;63:7; | 6:6;9:11,15,22; | riparian (1) | 5:23;62:16,23; | searches (1) |
| 65:19;71:16;94:7,15, | 10:21;11:8,20;12:6, | 17:8 | 64:8;102:17 | 11:22 |
| 23;95:17;109:11,18; | 14;14:15;15:12,20, | rise (1) |  | seats (1) |
| 110:20;123:17;131:6 | 22,23;16:4,20,24; | 18:9 | S | 3:4 |
| resources (34) | 17:5;18:5;21:10,12; | Rising (1) |  | SEC (14) |
| 10:11,16,21;11:6; | 24:8;25:4,12,17;26:2, | 47:16 |  | 12:9;43:14,21; |
| 12:4,10,18;14:7; | 9,16;28:2,6,9,15,22, | River (23) | $79: 6 ; 153: 20$ | 52:20;61:20;66:14; |
| 25:9;34:11;54:1; | 23;29:10;30:4,12,19; | 12:20,23,24;13:6,9, | same (12) | 67:5;79:9;95:1;98:5, |
| 55:8,10;65:5,9;66:1; | 32:5,22;33:8,21; | 14,17,24,24;14:9,24; | 25:21;31:3;81:24; | 11;99:6;103:3;139:5 |
| 67:23;70:5;71:7; | 34:20,21;35:1,14,23; | 15:1,3,14,21;17:5,7; | 82:1,3,19;84:8,23; | second (17) |
| 92:17;93:6;95:22,22, | 36:9,20;39:13,22; | 18:5;145:14,23; | 85:6;107:7;128:7; | 6:18;9:4;14:14,19; |
| 23;110:21;126:13, | 40:2,10;42:2,13;43:3, | 146:6,13;147:3 | 137:5 | 23:3;32:11;42:21; |
| 15;129:8;137:12; | 4,12,19;44:4,5,10; | Rivers (3) | sample (2) | 57:21;65:24;69:1,1; |
| 138:10,12;139:7; | 46:1;47:2,12;49:8,10, | 13:1,5,13 | 79:9;80:14 | 84:6;93:24;106:1; |
| 143:7;144:16 | 14,15,24;52:2,8,13, | $\mathbf{R o a d}$ (73) | sat (1) | 129:7,9,20 |
| respect (6) | 22,23;53:9,18;54:5, | 28:17,17,22,22,24 | 63:22 | seconds (2) |
| 89:5,18;92:9; | 14,23;55:19,20,24; | 30:2,3,17,18,18; | saw (9) | 34:10,24 |
| 109:17,18;153:22 | 57:11;59:4,22;60:7, | 31:13;33:2,2,6,7; | 14:12;21:15;22:15, | secretary/treasurer (1) |
| responded (2) | 19;61:2,8;62:21; | 34:17;45:19;47:6; | 16,24;23:12,13,23; | 141:8 |
| 21:3;110:8 | 63:8;64:6,14,18; | 66:6,10;67:18,20; | 25:19 | section (1) |
| response (17) | 65:6;67:1,2,3;68:1,6, | 68:9;72:9,12;73:21, | saying (1) | 110:17 |
| 9:3;18:19;19:15, | 14,15,18;69:6,13,22, | 24;74:6,11;75:21,22, | 69:20 | sections (4) |
| 19;20:11,22,24;21:4, | 23;70:16,24;71:3,9; | 23;76:17;79:8;80:3, | scale (11) | 32:15;149:7,11,13 |
| 22;22:7,21;23:4; | 73:4,11,15;74:3,8,11; | 8;82:13;97:24;99:3, | 51:18;59:17;94:6; | seeing (12) |


| $21: 5 ; 47: 14 ; 54: 19$ | 70:21; | $3$ | 52:11 | sources (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:14;92:11; | shape (3) | 2,6,11,23; | six-story (1) | 128:17;135:23,24 |
| 8:16;129:14; | 24:18-25 | 6,9,13,16. | 131:12 | South (8) |
| 134:10;137:5;1 | Shaw | 4:12, | skip (6) | 4:4;91:23;113:6 |
| em (1) | 10 | signals | 8:3;22: | 33:7,11,13;136: |
| 82: | 22 |  | 57:3,20;122:23 | 147:19 |
| seems (3) | sheet (3) | significance (1 | skipping (1) | southeast (3) |
| 1,10; | 16:10: | 29:8,10:36:18 | 77:5 | 27:14;102:1 |
| select (1) | shop (1) | 37:3;48:24;49:12 | sky (5) | 142:11 |
| 121:24 | 115:16 | :4;57:24;145:20, | 105:2 | outhern (1) |
| selecte | s |  | 15 | 91:22 |
| 122:10 | 108:5,7,10 | significa | skyline | southwest (3) |
| sens | short (2) | 17:19;33:13;5 | 103:18;118:22 | 26:18;105:22 |
| 64:3;81:4;144: | 83:12;1 | 114:2 | 121:1 | space (1) |
| sens | sh | Sil | slight (1) | 96:4 |
| 6 |  | 136:13 | 07: | pacial (1) |
| sensitive | shoulder (3) | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i m }}$ (1) | hty | 51:16 |
| 110:3 | 79:8;80:9, | 8:2 | 6:2 | pacing ( |
| sensitivit | show (10) | similar | ( | 42:10 |
| 45:4 | 79.16 | 41:12;42 | 134:1 | Sparse (2) |
| sentence | 91:4,9;97:10;105:14 | simula | low | 8:6;9:14 |
| 7:12;9 | 120:24;132:3 | 45:14;46:8;72 | 141:11, | speak (1) |
| 42:21 | sh | 74:2 | all | 24:2 |
| 93:13;94:1;127 |  | 1:18;83:1,2;84:10, | 6:2;96:4 | specific |
| sentenc | ;1 | 15,20;86:7,18;87 | Smile (1) | 12:10;38:7 |
| 8:4 | showing | 90:6;99:15;100:3, | 129:11 | 1:11; |
| separat | 70:22;84:14; | 14,15,20;101:8; | snapsho | 122:16;139:7 |
| 43:12,22 | 122:2;142 | 104:3;107:4,10, | 45:15 | specifically (1) |
| separate | shown | 113:12;11 | snow (2) | 137:1 |
| 60:10 | 23:2;54:22;75 | 117:12,23;118:10, | 79:2;98: | specified (1) |
| separately | 82:24;87:23; | 119:10,14;120:6 | somebod | 8:23 |
| 135:3 | 113:9;149:8 | 121:10,17 | 0:14;33:22; | speech (1) |
| separates | shows | simul | :16,20;52:5;53:3; | 135:20 |
| 114:2 | 4:8;10 | 41:20;70:8,13, | 91:17;129 | SPENCER (27) |
| separating | 22;39:6;7 | 71:5,14,18,20,21 | somebo | 140:16,16,19,20; |
| 113:24 | 7;75:13;77:1;87:23 | 72:1;85:15;99:6 | 33:4 | 41:7,8,13,14 |
| September | 91:8;104:11,15; | 101:4;106:18,23 | someon | 42:20,22;143:5, |
| 19:17;155:22 | 107:12;109:3 | 115:24;119:17;122:2 | 87:11;135 | 7;144:6,10,24; |
| Services (1) | 114:20,21;11 | sit (7) | somepl | 45:13,15;147: |
| 13 | 119:5,21;120:5 | :4;15 | 28:8 | 2;148:8,15,20; |
| Sessi | 122:17;129:5;130:9; | 17:3;18:5,13;53 | sometim | 150:1,9;151:12 |
| 155:20 | 132:22;134:4;135:6, | Site (35) | 3:9 | spend (2) |
| settin | , | $4: 21 ; 5: 23 ; 13$ | some | 29:14;30: |
| 45:4;93: | shru | $18: 1,20 ; 19: 1 ; 22$ | 80:12;82: | spent (2) |
| 12 | 79:21 | 20;23:6,9,14,21; | Sorry | 23:21;92: |
| settings | shrubs (3) | 24:12,20;25:18 | :19;30:10;70:20; | ookesperson (1) |
| 110:4 | 70:23;76:18 | 33:13;61:23;62:3 | 3:19,20,23;87:17; | 4:3 |
| seven (1) | side (28) | 63:7;70:12;85:1 | 9:21;102:2;143:9, | orts (1) |
| 76:21 | 7:14,18 | 88:11;119:18; | 10;153:3 | 53:17 |
| several (2) | 26:18,20;27:3,13,15 | 121:17;122:6; | sort (16) | spot (1) |
| 32:19,22 | 15;28:9;39:13;74:7; | 135:17;139:2,13,22 | 16:14,16;31:17 | 53:4 |
| severe' (1) | 78:2;91:22;101:21; | 140:3;149:6,15,16, | 36:18;43:22;46:1 | spreadsheet (2) |
| 110:2 | 02:3,4,7;108:17; | 20,22 | 49:6;53:20;59:5; | 9:4,7 |
| shaded | 9:7;115:4;118:22; | sites (2) | ):16;63:2;64:19 | square (5) |
| 93:2 | 1:11;133:22; | 11:14; | 7:11;95:18;108:7; | 8:23;12:19;28:2 |
| Shaker | 142:1,17;147:19 | siting (1) | 133:7 | 116:16;119:13 |
| 28:18; | 148:3 | 69:24 | sound (1) | stand (6) |
| 30:3;32:9 | side-by |  | 41:3 | 4:23;81:22;82:1 |
| 34:19;35: | 86:16 | -87 |  | 150:11,16,20 |
| 36:2;72:9,12;73:21 | sides (2) | situation (4) | 41:5;92:7;98:17 | anding (8) |
| 4;74:6,11;75:22,23 | 76:17; | :2;64:7;97 | 107:15 | 75:13;80:1 |
| 80:3;97:24;99:3 | sign (25) | 4:8 | source (1) | 117:19;118: |
| shall (2) | 19:23,24;20:19,24; | situations (1) | 142:14 | 122:18;150:21;151:8 |


| standpoint (1) | 145:22 | 22 | 113:11;114:5; | textures (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:7 | strong (2) | Sugar (3) | 16:19;127:14; | 48:15 |
| star (3) | 45:9;46:14 | 23:21;28:1 | 134:1;138: | Thanks (1) |
| 38:15,22,24 | strong' (2) | suggest (1) | talking (19) | 19:20 |
| Stark (8) | 45:1;109:24 | 42:5 | 18:2;23:18;24:7, | theoretical (2) |
| 140:18;141:17,19; | struck (1) | suggesting (1) | 14;26:15;27:18;28:1; | 17:15;54:16 |
| 143:7;144:16;145:2, | 13:4 | 24:20 | 44:2,3;47:24;51:8; | heoretically (4) |
| 6;147:4 | structure (19) | summary (3) | 54:15;60:19;61:1 | 46:24;55:2;97:1; |
| start (3) | 30:21;31:15;41:17 | 17:1;64:13,19 | 64:5;68:17;95:15; | 129:6 |
| 3:19;4:5;110:18 | 18;55:3;60:12;62:20; | summer (1) | 113:14;126:23 | therefore (3) |
| starting (1) | 63:15;78:9;81:10,19; | 97:17 | talks (20) | 15:14;61:19,21 |
| 109:22 | 82:8;83:13;85:4,7; | summit (1) | 8:2,4;11:10;35:7; | third (7) |
| starts (1) | 105:9;108:20,21; | 58:12 | 57:7,24;58:3,7,11,14; | 19:22;34:7;44:21 |
| 110:17 | 119:5 | supplement (1) | 61:17;63:13;64:23; | 49:8;106:6;109:22; |
| state (8) | structures (79) | 38:21 | 65:24;70:11,12,20; | 129:10 |
| 11:19;53:16;69:7; | 15:12;17:10,17; | supplemental (12) | 75:20;93:23;94:1 | though (7) |
| 70:17;129:19; | 30:24;31:3;39:9; | 4:15,16;14:7; | tall (6) | 45:12;47:10;58:22; |
| 130:10,12,15 | 41:3,13;42:8,11; | 37:15;38:9,11;61:14; | 48:5,6;60:1 | 66:6;121:20;127:7; |
| stated (2) | 45:24;48:7,9,12; | 98:24;110:11,15; | 74:16;83:15;84:22 | 137:9 |
| 99:12;111:8 | 54:19;58:23;59:8 | 121:20;126:17 | taller (11) | thought (4) |
| statement (9) | 60:8,14;65:23;73:3; | suppose (1) | 41:12;84:14;85:10, | 23:1;28:13;126:14; |
| $17: 6,24 ; 18: 6$ | 77:8,18;79:18;81:5,7, | 96:24 | 23;86:1,5,9,20;87:1, | 144:3 |
| 36:15;44:8;57:23; | 9;82:14,16;83:3,7; | supposed | 3;124:8 | three (16) |
| 72:6;74:21;86:14 | 86:22,23;91:13,14, | 41:1;70:14;75:21 | team (3) | 42:11;67:17;75:16; |
| statements (1) | 15,16;94:3,5,6;96:2, | sure (12) | 20:15;37:24;87:11 | 76:22;77:22;78:10; |
| 31:24 | 3,16;97:5;100:2,13; | 15:15;28:13;45:17; | Technical (1) | 81:15;83:4;100:10; |
| States (3) | 103:1,4,13;104:21; | 61:3;91:15;103:6; | 99:22 | 104:17;105:18; |
| 5:13;19:22;93:13 | 108:24;114:4,5; | 117:7;124:3;125:16; | technically (1) | 108:23,23;109:5; |
| station (2) | 118:14,19,20;119:1, | 146:23;148:22; | 58:21 | 117:22;118:19 |
| 25:3,6 | 2,7,9,13;120:8; | 152:14 | Ten (2) | three-pole (3) |
| statute (2) | 123:10;125:2,5,11, | surface (1) | 101:18;102:3 | 104:19,20;108:20 |
| 36:15,17 | 14,17,21;126:1,8; | 149:4 | terms (65) | throughout (1) |
| steel (1) | 127:4,16;129:1; | surprised (1) | $7: 4,9,22 ; 9: 13$ | $9: 17$ |
| 42:8 | 130:17;132:6,23; | 13:7 | 10:18;12:8;15:8; | thus (1) |
| steep (4) | 151:1,2 | surrounded (2) | 16:2,10,17;18:11; | 93:15 |
| 58:8,12,15;134:1 | stuff (1) | 17:8;135:24 | 20:5,15;21:22;24:10; | times (2) |
| still (9) | 48:1 | surrounding (3) | 26:11;28:4;29:8; | 16:19;45:18 |
| 35:10;38:19;43:2; | Sub (3) | 51:17;66:21;69:5 | 30:21;31:9;33:1; | tiny (1) |
| 44:3;53:8;79:22; | 64:13,14,20 | suspicion (1) | 34:5;36:19;37:1,2; | 128:20 |
| 80:24;90:14;132:8 | Subcommittee (3) | 124:16 | 38:3;39:20,24;42:4, | tip (1) |
| stood (1) | 26:24;28:12; | swampy (1) | 17;44:14;48:8,22,24; | 46:16 |
| 141:22 | 132:19 | $48: 1$ | 50:12,18;51:9;52:17; | tired (1) |
| stop (4) | Subcommittee's (1) | sympathize (1) | 53:3,7,11;54:6; | 83:20 |
| 34:12,14,19; | 25:24 | 142:7 | 57:19;60:17;67:22; | title (1) |
| 155:17 | subdivision (6) |  | 68:23;70:5,18;72:24; | 120:2 |
| stoplight (1) | 20:8;22:5;24:19 | T | 73:13;75:4;76:20; | TJ (2) |
| 108:23 | 21,21;25:16 |  | 81:21;85:15;92:8; | 111:4,5 |
| straight (1) | subject (2) | table (6) | 93:12;100:22; | today (9) |
| 45:19 | 61:22;63:7 | 92:15;97:12;143: | 109:11;118:24 | 6:5;11:15;16:5; |
| Street (32) | submit (1) | 144:6,8,15 | 119:16;120:9; | 18:5,13;37:17;53:2; |
| 19:11,23;20:19; | 21:13 | talk (25) | 125:13;134:13; | 57:16;152:18 |
| 21:16;22:15,18,23; | submitted (18) | 11:16,19;18:16; | 139:6,13 | together (2) |
| 23:2,11,12,16,17; | 12:8;20:10,15,20 | 28:18;31:20;34:7; | Terrain (7) | 44:17;122:10 |
| 27:4,15,16;60:3; | 21;21:4,22;23:4; | 35:5;36:23;42:20; | 73:18;87:4,21; | tomorrow (3) |
| 105:8;115:11; | 26:6;50:9;64:17; | 48:20;53:13;60:9; | 91:1,6,18;149:7 | 3:9,12;155:17 |
| 116:24;127:2,24; | 89:11;98:19,22,23; | 61:5,10,13,16;69:2; | testified (1) | tongue (1) |
| 128:1,10,14,129:2; | 110:16;124:5;126:19 | 70:7;72:7;89:13; | 94:24 | 46:16 |
| 130:21;131:11; | submitting (1) | 106:14;113:13; | testimony (8) | tonight (1) |
| 133:7;136:12,23; $137: 6 ; 147: 24$ | 18:22 | 115:21;123:1;126:15 | 4:16;38:7;61:14 | 3:12 |
| 137:6;147:24 | substantial (1) | talked (17) | 15;71:15;110:12,15; | took (13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{rip}(2) \\ & 111: 22 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | substati | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 7 ; 35: 12 ; 4 \\ & 46: 19 ; 53: 1,2 \end{aligned}$ | 154: | 21:19;75:4;76:15; |
| stripe (1) | 24:24;25:2;26:13, | 78:4,18;81:14;95:5; | 59:16 | 97:16;98:10;100:23; |


| 101:4;117:19; | 30:3;34:12;115:20 | typo (2) | upon (9) | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122:19;150:10 | tra | 6;75:21 | 10:4;20:24;22:2; | nue (1) |
| op | 33:5,11,18;34:8; |  | 21;45:12;59:7,24; | 151:19 |
| 53:5;55:2; | 4:1;115:13;136:23 | $\mathbf{U}$ | :22;150:23 | verbal (1) |
| 99.9.105 | tree (16) |  | Upper (6) | 155:14 |
| 112:12;148:16,21 | 20:6;21:24;26:11 | ultimately (2) | 145:14,23;146: | verify (3) |
| topographic (3) | 14,15,17;81:20;82:1, | $32 \cdot 1 \cdot 12$ | 13;147:3;149:3 | 101:14; |
| 60: | , $18 \cdot 84 \cdot 22$. | Umberland (1) | stairs | 50:17 |
| topography (1) | 103:14;113:23; | 140:18 | 130:15 | version (1) |
| 55:16 | 47:17 | umbrella ( | urban (27) | 151:5 |
| tops (2) | tree-clearing | 11:13 | 4:18,20,21,2 | versus (2) |
| 17:17;5 | 9:9 | u | 7,11,20;6:9;15:16,22; | 43:11;48 |
| total (5) | tree-cut | 35:2 | 55:13;59:1;61:4,7 | vertical (1) |
| 8:23;9:9 | 8:24 | uncertain | 19;62:13;64:2,5,6 | 83:12 |
| 51:9 | trees | $152 \cdot 17$ | 101:9,12 | VIA (3) |
| totally | 8:24;9:10;48 | unchang | 102:5;127:13,19 | 98:23;99:3;114:7 |
| 21:2 | 58:13;60:10, | 35:12 | 128:13 | vicinity (9) |
| touched | 70:23;78:15;82:1 | under (28) | use (23) | 6:12;23:15;31:18; |
| 39:12;40:2 | 113:24;128:16,21 | 4:21;6:24 | 33:14;34:6;42:7,8, | 37:13;73:3;80:24; |
| ur (1) | 149:5 | :12;12:23;13 | ;43:5,10,15,17,18, | 91:7;115:17;138:20 |
| 149:17 | trick (1) | :9:35:5,6;44 | 20;44:3;49:20;51:18; | view (31) |
| urism | 41:1 | 50:4;53:16;62:10,16, | 65:17;68:13;69:2; | 22:16,19,23;23:2, |
| 71:13 | trip (4) | 17;68:23;70:19;75:8; | 95:6;96:24;106:19; | 2,16,17;45:13,22; |
| towards | 33:15,17,20;34 | 77:7;93:23;95:1; | 129:21;135:20; | 46:3,23;52:22;60:3; |
| 47:8;79:14 | true (1) | 98:3;99:21;103: | 136:22 | 72:8,15,19,23;79:16; |
| towers (1) | 52:10 | 108:8;109:24; | used (16) | 82:5,20;89:23;91:7; |
| 147:19 | try (8) | 117:17;124:2 | 5:13;11:5;20: | 92:15;97:12;105:22; |
| town (5) | 7:24;78:19,20,20 | underlined (1) | 38:14;67:17;68:15 | 07:21,22,23;108:1; |
| 9:1;11:1 | 99:8;130:15;138:2 | 70:19 | 71:8,12,13,17,24; | 121:5;150:18 |
| 141:18 | 151 | understo | 6:18;100:6;125:7; | viewed (2) |
| track (2) | trying | 28:14 | 148:5,7 | 72:4;137:21 |
| 88:9;90 | 70:1;90:14;139: | United | User (3) | viewer (3) |
| traffic (3) | Turkey (1) | 5:13 | 2:11,17;49:1 | 1:16;52:1 |
| 79:4;80: | 36: | unknow | using (4) | viewing (3) |
| trail (20) | turn (12) | 0:9 | 69:9,16,21;95:10 | 47:5;52:9;10 |
| 19:15;2 | 8:13;18 | unless (2) | Utilities (1) | viewpoint (2) |
| 27:1,2,3,6,9,12 | 14;70:7,10;75:1 | 41:8;59 | 154:22 | 76:11;109:19 |
| 23,24;28:1,3,4,5 | 91:4;92:20;93:20 | unlikel | ility (4) | viewpoints (1) |
| 145:16,16;147:4 | 106:21;146:9 | 17:9 | $70: 22 ; 78: 21 ; 82: 8,$ | 149:8 |
| trailhead (2) | Turtl | unreason | $13$ | views (8) |
| 27:14,17 | , | $45: 5,11$ |  | 5:19;58:13;94:9, |
| transmission (61) | 44:10,13;45:22;46:2, | unreason | V | 12;127:16; |
| $4: 8 ; 7: 11,13 ; 10: 5$ | 11,19;47:4;68:4 | 10:5 |  | $128: 13,15$ |
| 34:9;42:10;45:24,24 | T | $\mathbf{u p}$ | valid (1) $150: 15$ | $4: 1$ |
| 46:21;47:1,15;52:12; | twice (1) | :19;23:3,24;24:6; | Valley (1) | 9:12;123:21 |
| 58:16;62:10,12,15; | 35:19 | 29:12;30:2,8;32:13; | 58:14 | 24:10,13;125:8 |
| 63:15;65:5,10,14,18, | two (22) | 34:18;35:5;40:13; | value (6) | 31:15;132:5,16,17 |
| 23;66:5,7,16,21; | 8:4;10: | 42:6;44:15,17;45:20 | 13:2;14:24;16:23 | 34:14;135:5,16; |
| 69:24;72:12;76:2,4 | 17:7;32:14;34:11 | 46:12;50:11;52:8; | 49:7;54:9;145:1 | 136:5,18;137:8,20; |
| 77:2,8;79:12;80:23; | 43:11,22;75:16;81:9; | 53:15;54:3;55:18; | variety (1) | 38:9;148:5, |
| 85:2;92:2;94:8;96:6 | 83:7;115:24;116:20; | 67:18;74:3;75:10; | 122:2 | 150:24;153:15,23 |
| 21;97:3;99:10,19; | 117:21;118:20; | 77:11;78:5;82:6; | various (1) | Village (15) |
| 100:2,13;101:2,16, | 122:21;123:9;124:2 | 83:16;89:2;90:8; | 75:17 | 28:19;29:2 |
| 22;102:3,24;103:12; | 126:15;148:21; | 93:22;113:20; | Varney | 0:4;32:9;33:8,19; |
| 112:9;122:9;125:1; | 149:13 | 116:23:120:10 | 71:23 | 34:19;35:9,11,14; |
| 128:3;129:18; | type (4) | 129:23;131:16, | vegetation (13) | 36:3,3;141:18,20 |
| 130:16;134:3 | 10:1;16:22;111:16 | 132:13;136:11 | 17:9;45:21;47:16, | visibility (34) |
| transmission/distribution (2) 92.16 | 24 types | 137:18,24;144:18, | 22,24;48:3,9,12,13; | 10:2,7;15:10,11; |
| 92:1,6 | types | 147:10;148:9,14; | 74:22;79:19,20; | 17:14 |
| Transportation (3) | 71:3;95:23 | 151:3, | 103:18 | 54:14,16,17,22,24; |
| 30:1;123:5;124:6 | typically (2) | updated (2) | vegetative (4) | 59:8;62:8;64:1,24; |
| travel (3) | 17:8,17 | 88:9;123:15 | 19:14;26:24;31:10, | 65:16,23;127:3; |


| 128:9,23;129:5; | Washington (2) | wintertime (1) | 77:14 | 119:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:3,5,7,9,15,19,23; | 67:18,21 | 79:1 | Yvonne (3) | 119 (1) |
| 135:6,9;136:7,8; | water (2) | wires (5) | 118:6;122:3,22 | 119:19 |
| 149:12 | 142:13,14 | 82:17;83:10; |  | 12 (2) |
| visible (43) | waterfront (1) | 120:10,16;121:12 | Z | 89:16;90:3 |
| 14:2;51:14;52:13; | 68:2 | wise (1) |  | 120 (2) |
| 58:19,21;60:9;65:6, | way (19) | 79:7 | zone (1) | 40:1,3 |
| 11,19;66:3,17;67:6,8; | 4:20;25:22;26:3,5; | within (20) | 102:5 | 122 (1) |
| 73:4;76:10,13;77:8; | 30:3;33:12;34:10; | 8:2,7;15:15,22; | zoom (7) | 121:3 |
| 81:15;84:10;93:15; | 42:23;45:9;53:14; | 48:15,17;55:13;59:1; | 75:10;83:5;103:2; | 125 (5) |
| 94:3;100:2,13;111:9; | 68:15;94:19;95:7,11; | 61:4;62:12;63:3,11; | 116:18;133:21; | 104:22;105:10; |
| 114:6;115:6,9; | 105:5;107:2;115:3; | 64:2,20;69:19;70:15; | 138:19;139:14 | 108:11;116:20;117:1 |
| 119:10,14;120:12; | 124:13;149:21 | 94:9;101:12;111:20; | zooming (2) | 125-foot (2) |
| 121:15;127:4; | ways (4) | 113:17 | 103:13;138:21 | 107:12;109:8 |
| 128:20;129:1,19; | 29:23;33:24;36:4 | without (4) |  | 127 (1) |
| 130:17,24;131:7; | 134:21 | 3:19;18:1;86:15; | 1 | 122:24 |
| 132:23;133:1;136:6; | weathering (1) | 124:16 |  | 13 (4) |
| 137:3;149:18 | 42:7 | Witness (9) | 1 (5) | 18:19;98:16; |
| visit (5) | web (2) | 13:11;65:7;77:24; | 55:2;76:6,7; | 137:16;155:22 |
| 19:1;55:11,15; | 11:14;13:19 | 87:14;91:12;125:20; | 117:18,21 | 132 (2) |
| 88:11;149:15 | wedge (1) | 147:2;150:19;151:9 | 1.6 (1) | 30:19;34:18 |
| visited (1) | 117:3 | witnesses (2) | 144:16 | 135 (1) |
| 108:8 | weren't (2) | 30:15;94:24 | 1:50 (1) | 124:20 |
| visits (1) | 24:1;110:24 | witnesses' (1) | 3:2 | 137 (1) |
| 18:21 | west (4) | 144:7 | 10 (9) | 126:16 |
| vistas (1) | 105:20;106:6,11; | wooded (1) | 8:9;61:17;62:18; | 139 (2) |
| 102:19 | 133:18 | 28:8 | 88:21;101:19;102:2, | 129:17;130:8 |
| Visual (54) | westerly (1) | Woods (2) | 6,7;146:21 | 14 (1) |
| 6:20;10:12,18; | 133:22 | 112:19;114:2 | 100 (3) | 19:7 |
| 17:19;29:12;32:2; | western (1) | word (2) | 31:2,4;73:14 | 142 (1) |
| 35:6;37:1;40:7,9; | 134:17 | 14:18;33:14 | 100-foot-tall (1) | 132:4 |
| $42: 4,18 ; 43: 6 ; 45: 1$ | wetland (1) | work (10) | 48:7 | 14th (1) |
| 48:23;49:10,14,23; | 48:3 | 12:1,14;18:2,17; | 101 (2) | 18:21 |
| 50:18;51:9,12;55:5; | what's (15) | 66:20;96:8;97:14,15; | 78:5;106:22 | 15 (4) |
| 59:11;60:17;61:21; | 4:6;6:8,15;8:14; | 112:1;141:2 | 101.5 (1) | 88:21;125:1,5,13 |
| 64:2,16;69:4,10; | 10:9;72:10;91:5,19; | works (2) | 74:16 | 15- (1) |
| 70:15;94:20;95:2; | 115:9;118:5;123:23; | 131:23;136:12 | 104 (1) | $140: 14$ |
| 96:12,15,20,22; | 131:6;132:3;133:2; | write (1) | 109:3 | 150-foot (2) |
| 98:14,19,22;101:16, | 152:17 | 32:14 | 105 (1) | 142:16;148:2 |
| 17;109:12;110:2; | Whereupon (1) | writing (1) | 124:7 | 1-6 (1) |
| 112:3;123:13; | 155:19 | 46:12 | 106 (2) | 143:7 |
| 126:18;127:9,12,15; | Whispering (4) | written (1) | 73:10;109:16 | 165 (3) |
| 128:2,11;143:8; | 20:1;22:4,4;24:7 | 66:16 | 107 (1) | 123:10,21;124:6 |
| 145:19;146:12 | White (23) | wrote (3) | 110:15 | 16th (1) |
|  | 53:13,20;54:14,23; | 32:12;66:12;111:3 | 109 (1) | 18:21 |
| W | 55:11,15,16;56:6,17; 57:14,24;58:19; | Y | $112: 7$ 10-mile (1) | 180-degree (2) |
| waiting (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:14,24;58:19; } \\ & \text { 61:13,17,18;63:3; } \end{aligned}$ | Y | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \text { 10-mile (1) } \\ 63: 3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 45: 22 ; 4 \\ \mathbf{1 8 3 8 ( 1 )} \end{gathered}$ |
| 132:12 | 83:12;133:17,22; | yard (1) | 11 (3) | 141:19 |
| walk (9) | 134:2,15;138:15,20 | 142:5 | 9:9,15;13:16 | 1-93 (3) |
| 79:3,7;80:8;97:21; | whole (1) | year (3) | 110 (3) | 146:11,16;147:2 |
| 115:14,17;122:6; | 32:6 | 14:8;98:1;126:20 | 116:4,5,7 | 1991 (3) |
| 147:8;149:20 | who's (1) | year-round (1) | 111 (1) | 13:15;14:13,16 |
| walked (2) | 33:22 | 147:20 | 116:14 | 19th (1) |
| 142:1;147:6 | wide (1) | yelled (1) | 113 (1) | 58:1 |
| walking (2) | 101:4 | 64:10 | 117:11 |  |
| 122:8;128:23 | Widell (3) | yellow (10) | 115 (8) | 2 |
| walls (1) $70: 23$ | 71:17;92:16;97:5 | 7:23;26:14;38:4, | 30:23;39:11,21; |  |
| 70:23 | width (2) | 18,20;39:2;83:15,18; | 41:2;73:7;118:10; |  |
| warning (1) | 60:12;96:1 | 145:10,22 | 124:7;152:20 | 8:14;14:3;15:14; |
| 125:1 | wildlife (4) | yesterday (1) | 116 (1) | 16:7;18:7;61:4,21; |
| warrant (2) | $43: 2 ; 44: 4 ; 47: 4$ | 51:6 | 118:17 | $62: 11,17 ; 64: 7 ; 76: 3$ |
| 17:10;55:4 | $48: 15$ | Yup (1) | 118 (1) | 101:1;106:1;143:8 |
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| 2,500 (1) | 99:18 | 41 (1) | 70:11 | 99:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:3 | 3.13 (1) | 39:5 | 68 (1) | 93 (3) |
| 2.6 (1) | 100:1 | 42 (1) | 72:9 | 4:10;34:17;136:21 |
| 128:19 | 3.4 (2) | 12:20 | 69 (1) | 94 (1) |
| 2.7 (1) | 99:18;100:1 | 42,590 (1) | 72:18 | 102:9 |
| 14:3 | 3:00 (2) | 7:2 |  | 96 (1) |
| 20 (4) | 3:10;155:18 | 43 (3) | 7 | 104:2 |
| 8:24;9:10;22:10; | 3:20 (1) | 30:24;39:22;73:9 |  | 97 (3) |
| 101:24 | 80:3 | 44 (1) | 7 (7) | 40:20;41:6,15 |
| 2014 (1) | 3:21 (1) | 44:7 | 44:23;70:19;76:24; |  |
| 19:17 | 88:23 | 45 (2) | 109:24;132:7;133:3, |  |
| 2015 (5) | 3:30 (2) | 147:16;151:15 | 19 |  |
| 6:21;10:12;19:17; | 3:10;155:18 | 45-minute (1) | 70 (1) |  |
| 98:23;99:7 | 3:48 (1) | 140:14 | 73:1 |  |
| 2016 (5) | 88:24 | 46 (1) | 71 (2) |  |
| 18:21;64:17;98:15, | 30 (4) | 49:4 | 61:15;73:6 |  |
| 16;99:1 | 9:21;10:1;60:11; | 47.5 (1) | 72 (1) |  |
| 2017 (5) | 122:1 | 73:9 | 91:5 |  |
| 37:15;38:9,20; | 300 (3) | 48 (2) | 73 (3) |  |
| 137:17;155:22 | 112:21;113:17,19 | 10:15;50:12 | 75:1;77:7,7 |  |
| 202 (1) | 301.05 (2) | 49 (1) | 75 (2) |  |
| 107:1 | 62:4;70:12 | 132:15 | 77:21;119:6 |  |
| 2-1/2 (1) $128 \cdot 18$ | 301.05b8a (1) |  | 76 (1) |  |
| 128:18 24-inch (1) | 70:20 | 5 | 80:17 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 24-inch (1) } \\ \text { 153:18 } \end{gathered}$ | 31 (2) $125: 11 ; 126: 8$ | 5 (8) | $40: 1 ; 73: 10 ; 83: 1$ |  |
| 25 (2) | 3132-135 (1) | 48:4,6;64:14,14,20, |  |  |
| 26:12;58:5 | 124:4 | 22;101:15,21 | 8 |  |
| 26 (3) | 3132-136 (1) | 5- (1) |  |  |
| 142:17;148:3; | 124:4 | 63:3 | 8 (3) |  |
| 151:16 | 3132-139 (1) | 5:07 (1) | 7:14,17;9:17 |  |
| 271 (1) | 117:2 | 155:20 | 8.1 (4) |  |
| 20:2 | 3132-140 (1) | 50 (2) | 7:10,15,20;9:18 |  |
| 274 (32) | 116:21 | 54:11;124:9 | 80 (1) |  |
| 6:16;8:15;10:10, | 32 (2) | 50,000 (1) | 84:7 |  |
| 24;12:17;14:23; | 29:19;155:19 | 5:4 | 81 (2) |  |
| 18:19;19:8;22:10; | 33 (2) | 51 (1) | 92:13,14 |  |
| 26:12;28:16;31:23; | 30:8;155:21 | 56:10 | 82 (1) |  |
| 37:7;39:6;56:11; | 338 (2) | 52 (2) | 92:21 |  |
| 57:7;61:12;64:13; | 143:17;147:24 | 56:14;147:18 | 84 (2) |  |
| 72:10;91:5;92:14; | 35 (3) | 56.5 (2) | 40:20;93:20 |  |
| 93:21;97:10;99:13; | 34:8,13,23 | 30:24;147:18 | 85 (1) |  |
| 104:2;109:3;112:7; | 36 (1) | 58 (1) | 73:14 |  |
| 123:1;124:21; | 32:2 | 57:6 | 87 (2) |  |
| 126:17;130:8;132:4 | 37 (1) |  | 97:9,10 |  |
| 275 (1) | 31:22 | 6 | 87-lot (1) |  |
| 4:7 | 38 (1) |  | 19:24 |  |
| 276 (1) | 36:22 | 6 (9) | 88 (1) |  |
| 137:17 | 39 (1) | 10:23;13:13;48:5, | 119:6 |  |
| 28 (3) | 37:7 | 6;68:23;132:7;133:2, | 8th (1) |  |
| 13:15;28:15,20 | 393 (3) | 12,13 | 18:21 |  |
| $29 \text { (3) }$ | 123:2,6,17 | 60 (1) | 9 |  |
| 2-mile (2) | 4 | $61 \text { (1) }$ | 9 |  |
| 61:10;127:19 |  | 39:22 | 9 (3) |  |
|  | 4 (7) | 64 (1) | 12:16,16;14:22 |  |
| 3 | 48:4,6;75:20,20; | 61:12 | 9:00 (2) |  |
|  | 137:16;138:5,6 | 65 (1) | 155:16,23 |  |
| 3 (7) | 40 (5) | 62:3 | 90 (2) |  |
| 4:4;54:18;58:18, | 8:9;9:21;10:2; | 66 (3) | 31:2,4 |  |
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