STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 1 SITE EVALUATION 2 3 DAY 50 4 October 23 2017 - 1:21 p.m. 49 Donovan Street AFTERNOON Session ONLY 5 Concord, New Hampshire {Electronically filed with SEC on 11-6-17} 6 7 IN RE: SEC DOCKET NO. 2015-06 8 Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission, LLC, and 9 Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy for a Certificate 10 of Site and Facility. 11 (Hearing on the merits) 12 PRESENT FOR SUBCOMMITTEE/SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE: Chrmn. Martin P. Honigberg Public Utilities Comm. 13 (Presiding as Presiding Officer) 14 Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey Public Utilities Comm. Dir. Craig Wright, Designee Dept. of Environ. Serv. 15 Christopher Way, Designee Dept. of Resources & 16 Economic Development William Oldenburg, Designee Dept. of Transportation 17 Patricia Weathersby Public Member 18 19 ALSO PRESENT FOR THE SEC: Iryna Dore, Esq., Counsel to the SEC 20 (Brennan, Caron, Lenehan & Iacopino) Pamela G. Monroe, SEC Administrator 21 22 (No Appearances Taken) 23 Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44 COURT REPORTER: 24

 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

			2
1		INDEX	
2			
3	WITNESS PANEL:	EARLE "RUSTY" BASCOM, ADAM ZYSK	III
4		ADAM ZISK DAVID TAYLOR, JR. BRENDEN ALEXANDER	
5		BRENDEN ALEXANDER	
6			
7	EXAMINATION		PAGE
8	Cross-examina	ation by Ms. Pastoriza	3
9	Cross-examina	ation by Ms. Manzelli	39
10	Cross-examina	ation by Mr. Thompson	74
11	Cross-examina	ation by Mr. Cunningham	121
12	Cross-examina	ation by Mr. Ahern	144
13			
14			
15	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
16	JT MUNI 305	Documents presented by Ms. Pastoriza for	3
17		cross-examination	
18	DNA 61	"Advanced Analysis of HVDC Electrodes	132
19		Interference on Neighboring Pipelines"	
20		analysis	
21	DNA 62	"Criteria for Pipelines Co-Existing with Electric	132
22		Power Lines" analysis	
23	DNA 64	Applicants' Co-location study	140
24			
_	$\{SEC \ 2015 - 06\}$	v 50 AFTERNOON Session ONL	v1{10-23-17}

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Resumed at 1:21 p.m.) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 3 Ms. Pastoriza, whenever you're ready. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PASTORIZA: 6 7 So, I'm Kris Pastoriza, Eastern Conservation 0. Commission. 8 (Pause in proceedings) 9 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may 11 proceed. MS. PASTORIZA: 12 Thanks. BY MS. PASTORIZA: 13 So, Mr. Bascom, this is part of your prefiled 14 Q. 15 testimony, dated 12/30/2016. You state here, 16 "Based on materials provided for review, it 17 appears that the Applicants have not yet fully evaluated the details of the many 18 19 underground project work areas, so the 20 viability of construction in some areas is 21 uncertain." 22 Would you agree that the new materials 23 you have seen in the last six months, since 24 mid-April, indicates that the viability of $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1		construction in some areas is still
2		uncertain?
3	А.	(Bascom) Some areas do have designations that
4		don't fully document, for example, the limits
5		of disturbance or temporary work areas. To
6		the extent that the material that was
7		evaluated at that time, there was a lot of
8		information that was still missing at the
9		time that I prepared this document.
10	Q.	So having seen what you saw in the last six
11		months, would you state that there is still
12		material missing?
13	Α.	(Bascom) Yes.
14	Q.	You also state, "Applicants' drawings show
15		conceptual traffic control plans for only
16		some areas, some of which include completely
17		closing portions of the road. Given the
18		complexity and longer duration construction
19		activities associated with horizontal
20		directional drilling, the traffic control
21		plans for each of these areas should be
22		defined and explained to fully assess the
23		impact."
24		Now, I want to focus on the statement
	ι	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		"fully assess the impacts."
2		MS. PASTORIZA: No. 2, Steve.
3	BY I	MS. PASTORIZA:
4	Q.	So, on October 13th of this year, DOT issued
5		a memorandum that states, Northern Pass must
6		complete a traffic control plan because the
7		proposed project, if approved, would create
8		significant traffic impacts. So a traffic
9		control plan would help the SEC and the
10		public fully assess the impact of the
11		<pre>problem project; would it not?</pre>
12	A.	(Bascom) In my opinion it would, yes.
13	Q.	And this is partly because a traffic control
14		plan would include an assessment of the
15		length of delays that travelers would be
16		subject to and the number of travelers
17		delayed in any given location; is that right?
18	A.	(Bascom) That's generally consistent with a
19		traffic control plan, yes.
20	Q.	And are there other helpful things that it
21		might include?
22	A.	(Bascom) It could include information such as
23		the frequency and activity of construction
24		vehicles arriving and departing from the
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		site, for example.
2	Q.	So could you give a broad estimate of how
3		long it would take to research and write a
4		traffic control plan for this project?
5	A.	(Bascom) I cannot. I'm not a traffic control
6		plan specialist.
7	Q.	Do anybody on the panel have an estimate they
8		could give within several months?
9	A.	(Taylor) I do not.
10	Q.	A year? Six months?
11	A.	[No verbal response]
12	Q.	Okay. Exhibit sorry. Page 3. And this
13		is Joint Muni 305. So this shows two things:
14		It shows DOT guidelines for work safety and
15		mobility. That's the title page and then a
16		snip from the document. And then below that
17		is part of a Northern Pass and Quanta
18		presentation to DOT.
19		Would you concur with DOT that
20		significant projects should be identified as
21		early as feasible in the project development,
22		prior to the development of alternatives?
23		Any one of you can answer that.
24	A.	(Bascom) Could you just restate the question?
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		Exactly what
2	Q.	Would you concur with DOT in that statement,
3		the first paragraph, that, quote,
4		"Significant projects should be identified as
5		early as feasible in the project development,
6		prior to the development of alternatives"?
7	Α.	(Zysk) I think it's a reasonable statement.
8	Q.	Are you aware that the DOT only identified
9		this project as "significant" within the past
10		two weeks?
11	A.	(Bascom) I was not aware of that.
12	A.	(Zysk) I don't think we were, no.
13	Q.	And is it typical in your experience that a
14		project would be identified as "significant"
15		this late in permitting proceedings?
16	A.	(Zysk) It does seem a bit late to identify it
17		as such, yes.
18	Q.	So are you aware that the Applicant was asked
19		by DOT to confirm the right-of-way boundaries
20		in April of 2016; they submitted right-of-way
21		width surveys to DOT in April of 2017, and
22		these surveys were rejected by DOT in August
23		of this year?
24	Α.	(Zysk) We were aware of that, yes.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	Can a traffic control plan be made without
2		knowledge of the width of the right-of-way on
3		the proposed route?
4	А.	(Zysk) In this case I would say probably not.
5		Parts of it could, but not fully.
6	А.	(Bascom) If the limit of disturbance is
7		confined to the available right-of-way, then
8		you would need to know the boundaries of the
9		right-of-way to develop the traffic control
10		plan.
11	Q.	So the Applicant's construction witnesses
12		estimated that it will take until November
13		for the second survey to be completed. The
14		first survey took DOT three months to reject.
15		So, for the purposes of my question, assume
16		that it would take DOT at least three months
17		to assess the second, more detailed survey.
18		If the SEC and intervenors do not see a
19		complete and accepted survey until January,
20		or even February of 2018, could you give an
21		estimate of when the traffic control plan
22		would be completed, approved by DOT and
23		available to the SEC and intervenors?
24	A.	(Taylor) I don't believe we'd be able to give
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

you an answer on how long it would take them 1 2 to do that. So, Northern Pass indicates in their 3 Q. presentation from Quanta, in part, to DOT, 4 5 which is the second snip on the screen there, that they plan to submit the Traffic 6 7 Management Plan to DOT prior to the start of the Project. Would you agree that for the 8 intervenors and SEC to be able to thoroughly 9 assess the effects of traffic delays, 10 11 closures and detours in the affected areas, the traffic control plan needs to be 12 available to us all well before the end of 13 14 the hearings? 15 (Taylor) That's a reasonable request. Α. 16 MS. PASTORIZA: All right. So, 17 No. 4, Steve. BY MS. PASTORIZA: 18 19 0. So on the screen is Page 4 from the same 20 presentation made by the Applicant, PAR and Quanta, to DOT this May. 21 In this 22 presentation to the DOT, the Applicants' 23 subcontractors, PAR and Quanta, give a short list of project experience, but do not show 24 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1		how long each of these projects took.
2		My research shows that the Tehachapi
3		is that the correct pronunciation? The
4		Tehachapi Renewable Transmission Project took
5		7-1/2 years to construct 3 miles of
6		underground. The SDG&E took a year and a
7		half for 14 miles for the whole project. I
8		could find a breakdown on the underground.
9		PPL Hershey Project, I could not find
10		anything about this except as a withdrawn
11		project due to public resistance. I could
12		not find the Oakland Transmission Project.
13		And the Jefferson-Martin Project came up as
14		done by Black & Veatch with Quanta.
15		So, are you familiar with any of these
16		underground trenching projects and how long
17		they took?
18	A.	(Taylor) No.
19	A.	(Bascom) I am familiar with some of these
20		projects.
21	Q.	Do you know how long they took?
22	A.	(Bascom) I believe your estimate from the
23		start of planning to completion for the
24		Tehachapi Renewable Project is approximately
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		accurate. The construction on that project
2		was started entirely overhead, and the
3		community along part of the route objected to
4		a portion of the route, and it was
5		constructed underground as an alternative.
6		And that redesigned process, including the
7		installation, I believe took approximately
8		seven years as you described.
9	Q.	And that's the one that you're familiar with?
10	A.	(Bascom) Of that first group, yes.
11	Q.	Okay. So do you know how long the
12		underground portion itself took once it was
13		designed, permitted?
14	Α.	(Bascom) I do not know precisely, no.
15	Q.	So can you explain why the Applicant did not
16		state on this list how long it took them to
17		do each of the jobs?
18		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
19		Calls for speculation.
20		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
21		Pastoriza.
22	BY N	IS. PASTORIZA:
23	Q.	As construction people who might be
24		presenting a project to a client, can you
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		explain why a client would not say how long
2		it took them to do a job that they're
3		presenting as experience?
4		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't
5		know that that improves the question.
6	BY N	IS. PASTORIZA:
7	Q.	If you were going to make a resume of your
8		work experience, would you place on it how
9		long those jobs took you to complete?
10	A.	(Taylor) Typically we do not.
11	Α.	(Bascom) I typically would not either unless
12		specifically requested to do so.
13	Q.	So, for the HDD, they give a list of five
14		projects. And are you familiar with any of
15		these?
16	Α.	(Taylor) I am not.
17	А.	(Alexander) No.
18	Α.	(Bascom) I am familiar with two of the
19		projects.
20	Q.	Which two of those?
21	Α.	(Bascom) The Hudson Transmission Project and
22		Florida Power & Light's Miami reconstruction
23		project.
24	Q.	So when I was trying to research these, I
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		found the Platte River and Hudson
2		Transmission and the Dominion Project appear
3		to have been done by Mears, which is a
4		subsidiary of Quanta. And do you know who
5		did the Florida Power & Light?
6	Α.	(Bascom) I believe Mears was also the
7		contractor that did the HDD on that project
8		as well.
9		MS. PASTORIZA: No. 5, Steve.
10	BY M	IS. PASTORIZA:
11	Q.	So this is from Quanta's web site. It shows
12		their contractors for HDD, and PAR is not
13		listed among these. So, do any of you know
14		who is proposed to be doing the HDD for this
15		project?
16	Α.	(Taylor) Nothing beyond what's been already
17		stated by the Applicant.
18	Q.	Do you consider experience to be important in
19		HDD work and safety?
20	Α.	(Bascom) Yes, generally with any project.
21	Q.	Would you consider that whoever PAR Electric
22		is using to give correct engineering
23		decisions for each HDD is important to the
24		safety and quality of the work?
	Jarc	2015-06 [Day 50 AFTERNOON Section ONLY] $10-23-17$

{SEC 2015-06} [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr.
2	Chair. This is all general information
3	regarding HDD that could have and should have
4	been included in their testimony.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
6	Pastoriza.
7	MS. PASTORIZA: It's related to
8	this recent, to us, proposal from Quanta to
9	DOT, where they do not say who is doing their
10	HDD, though they're listing on their resume
11	their HDD experience.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
13	You can answer, if you remember the question.
14	A. (Bascom) If you can repeat the question?
15	BY MS. PASTORIZA:
16	Q. Would you consider whoever PAR Electric is
17	using to give the correct engineering
18	decisions for each HDD is important to the
19	safety and quality of the work?
20	A. (Bascom) I think generally that would be
21	acknowledged, yes.
22	Q. Would you consider that the geotechnical
23	engineer overseeing the HDD and making
24	decisions before and during the HDD is
ļ	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		critical to limiting the risk of frac-out and
2		maintaining the safety of the work?
3	Α.	(Zysk) Yes, I would agree with that.
4	Q.	So how can the SEC assess the Project or
5		control the standards for HDD work crew
6		experience if they don't know anything about
7		the HDD contractor?
8	Α.	(Bascom) I'm not aware to what extent the SEC
9		has knowledge about the contractors going to
10		be used or not used for the Project, so I
11		can't answer personally on that question.
12	Q.	So would you consider that them knowing who
13		the contractor is could be helpful in their
14		assessment of the Project?
15	А.	(Zysk) Yes.
16	Q.	So do you know if DOT has any standards for
17		HDD contractor work experience, New Hampshire
18		DOT?
19	А.	(Zysk) I'm not sure what their requirements
20		for the contractors are. I know they have
21		design guides, a design guide for HDD work.
22	Q.	And you know that that design guide is
23		entirely voluntary?
24	Α.	(Zysk) I personally have not seen that guide.
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1 I am aware that it exists, but I have not been able to get a copy of it. 2 I have seen it. It's recommendations. 3 Q. MS. PASTORIZA: So, No. 6, 4 5 Steve. You can start at the top portion of the 6 map. 7 BY MS. PASTORIZA: 8 0. So this is Exception Request No. 125. And 9 can you see the streams on this map? (Taylor) I believe there's one. 10 А. 11 MS. PASTORIZA: So, Steve, can you scroll down to the next map? 12 BY MS. PASTORIZA: 13 14 So this is the map where I drew the streams Q. 15 in, to the best of my ability, knowing them 16 fairly well. 17 Α. (Taylor) Okay. So assume that the conditions on the ground 18 Q. here are: No. 1, the right-of-way width is 19 20 unknown; No. 2, the right-of-way will be set 21 at a prescriptive width, most likely 25 feet, 22 the existing pavement width at the most 23 constrained sections, and it will be up to 24 30 feet at some locations where the steep {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	slope on the west side ends and the stream on
2	the east side curves away from the road; and
3	Condition No. 3 is the Gibson Road entry,
4	which is shown here at the very bottom right
5	of the screen has been rebuilt further south,
6	which is also to the right onto 116. So that
7	entry is no longer there.

And the legend on the map, the pavement 8 edge is the faint solid gray line; the 9 10 proposed new splice vault location is a green 11 rectangle; the proposed new HDD bore hole and conduit locations are dashed red lines; the 12 13 gray dashed and dotted line is the claimed right-of-way of 66 feet; and there is a 14 15 utility pole between the proposed splice 16 vault location and the HDD exit locations.

17 So, given these conditions, could you describe how the proposed splice vault would 18 be installed and the proposed HDD done in 19 20 less than a 20-foot-wide workspace with a 21 steep slope down to a stream abutting the 22 proposed HDD exit pits, a fairly steep grade 23 on the road at the proposed exit pit 24 location, and a very steep slope immediately $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

		_
1		abutting the road on the west side with
2		utility poles?
3	A.	(Taylor) There was a lot to that question.
4	Q.	I can repeat things one by one if you need
5		that.
6	A.	(Taylor) Please.
7	Q.	So the right-of-way width is unknown.
8		Because of this, the right-of-way will be set
9		at a prescriptive width. Given that the
10		existing pavement is 25 feet wide, the
11		prescriptive right-of-way would be mostly 25,
12		expanding to 30 feet, and some locations
13		where the stream isn't present on the east
14		side of the steep slope, isn't present on
15		west side.
16		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chair, are
17		these meant to be assumptions or facts?
18		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think she
19		introduced it with assumptions.
20		MS. PASTORIZA: Yes.
21	BY M	IS. PASTORIZA:
22	Q.	Are you following this?
23	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
24	Q.	Do you need me to repeat something?
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		There is the Gibson Road entry which you
2		see in the lower right is no longer there;
3		it's further south on the road. Do you get
4		that?
5	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
6	Q.	And there is a utility pole between the
7		proposed HDD pits and the proposed splice
8		vault location. Can you see that?
9	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
10	Q.	And you can see what the conditions indicated
11		by the various lines and colors are there?
12	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
13	Q.	So, given these conditions, could you
14		describe how the proposed splice vault would
15		be installed and the proposed HDD be done
16		with less than a 20-foot-wide workspace; with
17		a steep slope down to the stream, abutting
18		the proposed HDD exit pits; a fairly steep
19		grade on the road at the HDD exit pit
20		proposed locations, and a very steep slope
21		immediately abutting the road on the west
22		side with utility poles?
23	A.	(Zysk) Let's start with the splice vault.
24		There would have to be, I'm guessing, some
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		temporary relocation of that utility pole to
2		get the overhead line out of the way for both
3		the splice pit and the HDD activity.
4		Obviously, there's going to be some conflict.
5		The splice vault looks like it's in a
6		fairly level area. While I don't disagree
7		there's a steep slope, there looks to be some
8		20-plus feet of a level area, reasonably
9		level area if you're going across the road,
10		not accounting for the longitudinal grade of
11		the road.
12		Given that now, your assumption that the
13		right-of-way is going to be basically at the
14		edge of the road, so that would put everybody
15		outside the right-of-way. So I'm not sure
16		that requires easements or some temporary
17		arrangement with the landowner, assuming that
18		the landowner's property would then come up
19		to the edge of the road.
20	Q.	So, without an easement from the abutting
21		landowner, could this be done here at this
22		corner?
23	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
24	Q.	So how would it be done without any easement
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

 within the width of the pavement? A. (Taylor) Well, I think it would have to be within the pavement, under the assumptions that you have put forward. Q. So everything would be under the pavement? A. (Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? A. (Taylor) Somewhere between 20 and 30 feet of 			
 within the pavement, under the assumptions that you have put forward. Q. So everything would be under the pavement? A. (Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	1		within the width of the pavement?
 that you have put forward. Q. So everything would be under the pavement? A. (Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	2	Α.	(Taylor) Well, I think it would have to be
 Q. So everything would be under the pavement? A. (Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	3		within the pavement, under the assumptions
 A. (Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	4		that you have put forward.
 out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which is essentially equal to the pavement width or slightly larger. If the splice pit could not be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	5	Q.	So everything would be under the pavement?
 8 is essentially equal to the pavement width or 9 slightly larger. If the splice pit could not 10 be outside the right-of-way, then that would 11 push it into the pavement area. That's how 12 it could be done under this scenario. 13 Q. So if it were done that way, would it require 14 a road closure? 15 A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you 16 were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice 18 vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	6	A.	(Taylor) Under the assumptions you've laid
 9 slightly larger. If the splice pit could not 10 be outside the right-of-way, then that would 11 push it into the pavement area. That's how 12 it could be done under this scenario. 13 Q. So if it were done that way, would it require 14 a road closure? 15 A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you 16 were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice 18 vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	7		out, if it's a narrower right-of-way, which
 be outside the right-of-way, then that would push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	8		is essentially equal to the pavement width or
 push it into the pavement area. That's how it could be done under this scenario. Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	9		slightly larger. If the splice pit could not
12 it could be done under this scenario. 13 Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? 14 a road closure? 15 A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet?	10		be outside the right-of-way, then that would
 Q. So if it were done that way, would it require a road closure? A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	11		push it into the pavement area. That's how
 14 a road closure? 15 A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? 18 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. 20 An estimate if it was on the larger side? 21 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	12		it could be done under this scenario.
 15 A. (Taylor) I think by default it would if you were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice vault take up with the outriggers? 18 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on the equipment that the contractor was using. 20 An estimate if it was on the larger side? 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	13	Q.	So if it were done that way, would it require
16 were constructing in the middle of the road. 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice 18 vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet?	14		a road closure?
 17 Q. And what area would the crane for the splice 18 vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	15	A.	(Taylor) I think by default it would if you
18 vault take up with the outriggers? 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet?	16		were constructing in the middle of the road.
 19 A. (Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on 20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	17	Q.	And what area would the crane for the splice
20 the equipment that the contractor was using. 21 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? 22 Twenty feet, 30 feet?	18		vault take up with the outriggers?
 Q. An estimate if it was on the larger side? Twenty feet, 30 feet? 	19	A.	(Taylor) I couldn't say. It would depend on
22 Twenty feet, 30 feet?	20		the equipment that the contractor was using.
	21	Q.	An estimate if it was on the larger side?
23 A. (Taylor) Somewhere between 20 and 30 feet of	22		Twenty feet, 30 feet?
1. (IWITOI, SOMEWHELE SECREEN 20 AND JULEEL OI	23	A.	(Taylor) Somewhere between 20 and 30 feet of
24 width, sure.	24		width, sure.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	What's your estimate of increase in
2		construction time if the right-of-way width
3		at this HDD location is two rods pavement
4		width?
5	A.	(Zysk) An increase in construction time from
6		the current situation?
7	Q.	From the 66-foot right-of-way plan.
8	A.	(Zysk) There would be a requirement to repave
9		the road. So there would be some additional
10		time.
11	Q.	But you don't think there would be an
12		increase in actual construction time with the
13		width constraint?
14	A.	(Zysk) With the road closed? There may be
15		some, not it wouldn't double it or
16		something along those lines. There might be
17		some small increase.
18		MS. PASTORIZA: So, Steve,
19		Page 7.
20	BY M	S. PASTORIZA:
21	Q.	So this shows the underground profile of the
22		same location. The bore hole notation says
23		rock depth, 43.5.
24		Given that the surface altitude is 1145
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		and the boring goes down to 1080, is it
2		reasonable to assume the two 18-inch bore
3		holes would be going through bedrock?
4	Α.	(Zysk) I would say so, yes.
5	Q.	Would this increase the drilling time?
6	Α.	(Zysk) I believe it would go into rock,
7		regardless of whether the splice pit was
8		or the location of the HDD operation was,
9		where it is shown on the plan or if it was
10		moved 20 feet into the roadway. You're going
11		to hit rock regardless, based on this
12		information. So it would not increase the
13		time as it is projected right now.
14	Q.	But my question is, rock conditions in HDD,
15		does that slow down the boring speed?
16	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
17	Q.	And does the rock make it more difficult to
18		keep to the proposed bore path?
19	Α.	Not necessarily, no. It's just a harder
20		it takes longer for them to drill through it.
21	Q.	So are the 60-foot geotechnical borings at
22		the beginning and end of this HDD enough
23		information to fully assess the issues with
24		the HDD, in terms of underground conditions?
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Taylor) The two bores or the two cores that
2		I think you're referencing are typical of
3		what we see for this type of design. So it
4		could be, subject to what is actually
5		encountered during the drill.
6	Q.	So, for a reasonable safety level, or if you
7		wanted to do a frac-out assessment, how many
8		borings might be done for HDD of this length?
9	A.	(Taylor) Again, as I indicated, we typically
10		would see a geotechnical boring at the entry
11		area and exit area. So this would be in line
12		with that for an HDD drill.
13	Q.	And are there any cases where you would do
14		more borings?
15	Α.	(Bascom) From my experience on some other
16		projects, usually an initial set of
17		geotechnical borings might be done near the
18		entry and exit pit, and then additional
19		borings might be warranted once the drilling
20		contractor has been selected and a more
21		detailed plan has been developed for that
22		particular site.
23	A.	(Taylor) I'd add one thing to that, to
24		Rusty's statement. Doing additional bores
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			4.
1		along the length of an HDD actually creates a	
2		potential area for a return.	
3	Q.	So if you had a geotechnical boring which led	
4		to a frac-out, what would be your next step	
5		in assessment?	
6		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chair,	
7		objection. This sounds to me like this is	
8		generic testimony.	
9		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.	
10		Pastoriza.	
11		MS. PASTORIZA: I was just	
12		generally curious. I can move on.	
13	BY M	IS. PASTORIZA:	
14	Q.	So, does the road grade here look problematic	
15		for setting up an HDD exit pit and pulling	
16		conduit?	
17	A.	(Zysk) I would say no.	
18	Q.	So when they say they need a flat, level	
19		surface to work, that's a flat, level	
20		surface? Or are they simply going to make it	
21		into a flat, level surface?	
22	A.	(Zysk) I believe when they're referring to	
23		"flat" and "level," it's more across the road	
24		than longitudinally along the road.	
	J e tro	2015-06 [Day 50 AFTERMOON Second on ONIV1/10-22-15	7 l

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	And what shoring, if any, is needed around an
2		exit or entrance pit for HDD?
3	A.	(Zysk) I think that would depend on the
4		material that they're exiting into.
5	A.	(Bascom) Generally the area around the entry
6		and exit pit are contained to capture and
7		recover the drilling mud that's used as part
8		of the drilling process. But typically
9		sheeting and shoring where you would normally
10		be having a worker enter into the pit is not
11		common for that type of installation.
12	Q.	So the red lettering in the upper right-hand
13		corner says, "Actual proposed depth of
14		utilities to be updated in final drawings."
15		So, deeper trenches than those shown
16		would require greater construction times;
17		would they not?
18	А.	(Zysk) Generally, yes.
19	Q.	And this would be due to material excavation,
20		more trucking, more fill, more water pumping
21		and spoils dumping. Any other considerations
22		that would lead to a longer construction
23		time?
24	A.	(Bascom) As the trench depth becomes deeper,
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

	there wight he a measurity to introduce
	there might be a necessity to introduce
	sheeting and shoring, where it might not
	otherwise be necessary for a shallower
	trench, and that can add construction time as
	well.
Q.	And as the trenches get deeper, will the
	thermal dissipation slow down, and will this
	require more fluidized thermal concrete?
A.	(Bascom) The volume of material introduced
	back in the trench would include FTB, most
	likely, and it's possible additional material
	might be used, depending upon the specifics
	of the soil parameters and the native
	conditions at that location.
Q.	So the amount of FTB would be the same,
	regardless of the depth?
A.	(Bascom) It would be site-specific.
Q.	And what depth does OSHA require trench
	sheeting and shoring?
A.	(Zysk) I believe it's not more than 5 feet.
Q.	And how much space do the noise barriers for
	the HDD take up?
A.	(Taylor) What do you mean, "noise barriers"?
Q.	Well, HDD is known to be very loud, and I'm
{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}
	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.

1		assuming there would be noise barriers set up
2		probably on at least two sides of the HDD
3		rig.
4	Α.	(Zysk) We haven't seen anything relative to
5		this.
6	Q.	So you know of no plans by the Applicant for
7		noise mitigation at HDD sites?
8	Α.	(Taylor) Not that I'm aware of.
9		MS. PASTORIZA: No. 8, Steve.
10	BY M	IS. PASTORIZA:
11	Q.	So, this shows Exception Request No. 125, the
12		same one farther north. The red dashed lines
13		show the proposed 18-inch-diameter bore holes
14		and cable locations; the faint gray lines are
15		the existing pavement, and the dashed gray
16		lines are the right-of-way boundaries that
17		the Applicant drew in at 66 feet. I measure
18		900 feet of bore holes and lines outside the
19		traveled way just in this screenshot. In the
20		entire exception request there is 1500 feet
21		of 18-inch bore holes outside the pavement
22		that would be filled with conductor and
23		bentonite slurry, I think.
24		If the right-of-way here being unknown
-	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		is set at the prescriptive width of the
2		travel way, the HDD bore hole paths as shown
3		would be on private property for a
4		considerable distance; would they not?
5	A.	(Taylor) It would appear so.
6	Q.	Excuse me?
7	A.	(Taylor) It would appear so.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9		If the Northern Pass were given the
10		travel way to work within, would you agree
11		that the need for a 20-foot separation of the
12		cables at depth, the foot-and-a-half diameter
13		of the bore holes, and the only 5-foot
14		accuracy of the tracking of the boring would
15		make it highly improbable that Northern Pass
16		could guarantee no trespass on private
17		property by its bore holes and conduit?
18		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
19		Again, all generic information that could have
20		been included.
21		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
22		Pastoriza.
23		MS. PASTORIZA: This is an
24		exception request that was recently posted, and
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

the survey rejection was also recently posted. 1 2 MR. NEEDLEMAN: The exception request is recent, but the design is the same 3 issue. 4 5 MS. PASTORIZA: The survey --MR. NEEDLEMAN: To the extent 6 7 the witnesses had concerns about these sorts of issues, they could have raised them, and in 8 fact did raise them with respect to temporary 9 easement needs in places. 10 11 MS. PASTORIZA: The survey is The rejection of the survey 12 new information. now calls into question every width drawn and 13 14 permit plans. 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. 16 You can continue. 17 BY MS. PASTORIZA: Do you want me to repeat the question? 18 Q. 19 Α. (Taylor) Yes, please. 20 If Northern Pass were given the travel way to 0. 21 work within, which is roughly 25 feet wide, 22 would you agree that the need for 20-foot 23 separation of the cables at depth, the 24 foot-and-a-half diameter of the bore holes, $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1		and only the 5-foot accuracy of the tracking
2		for the HDD would make it highly improbable
3		that the Northern Pass could guarantee no
4		trespass on private property by its bore
5		holes and conduit at this location?
6	A.	(Taylor) Based on those assumptions, it would
7		definitely be tight. But I would defer to
8		see an actual alignment and layout for how
9		that might be proposed to give you a
10		definitive answer, yes or no.
11		MS. PASTORIZA: No. 9, Steve.
12	BY M	IS. PASTORIZA:
13	Q.	So this is a map of hydrocarbons and
14		groundwater at the corner of 116 and 112 in
15		Easton. So if you accept this map as
16		accurate, how might the remediation necessary
17		at this former gas station site delay
18		construction?
19		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. This
20		is information that could have been included
21		and wasn't. Nothing new here.
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
23		Pastoriza.
24		MS. PASTORIZA: Well, there's an
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

		32
1		exception request that comes up for this corner
2		and it's related to this question.
3		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
4		You can continue.
5	A.	(Taylor) Could you repeat your question?
6	BY N	IS. PASTORIZA:
7	Q.	How much time might the remediation necessary
8		at this former gas station site delay
9		construction?
10	A.	(Taylor) I wouldn't be able to speak to that.
11		I'm not familiar with the remediation
12		necessary for this site.
13	Q.	So you would not know if it is typical
14		practice to notify the Department of
15		Environmental Services when you're on a
16		geotechnical boring crew and you encounter
17		hydrocarbons?
18	A.	(Zysk) You would certainly notify your
19		client, who I would then expect to run that
20		up to the appropriate agencies, yes. The
21		drilling contractor would not make
22		necessarily a direct report.
23	Q.	And No. 10. This is the same location. The
24		exception request for this location does not
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		include moving the trench to the other side
2		of the road to attempt to avoid the
3		hydrocarbons. Would normal practice be to
4		survey the site for contamination and decide
5		on the location of the trenching that was
6		least likely to spread the contamination?
7	Α.	(Zysk) If a remediation were not in process,
8		I would say yes. Sounds like there is
9		something in process, based on what you've
10		described.
11	Q.	I don't know of anything in process. I only
12		know that hydrocarbons were encountered
13		there.
14	Α.	(Zysk) Okay. You've just mentioned a
15		remediation of a gas station.
16	Q.	I only assume that if the Northern Pass were
17		to build here, they would have to do
18		remediation before construction.
19	Α.	(Bascom) As a general strategy in terms of
20		selecting an alignment, one would want to
21		avoid potentially contaminated soils. And so
22		designing the route to avoid those areas
23		might be advantageous, but I don't know that
24		we can speak to this specific location.
	[and	2015 OGLEDAR EO AETERNOON CORRIGE ONIVI(10 22 17)

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

 Q. So if the right-of-way width on 116 from Franconia, south of the town center to the Easton border, roughly 7 miles, is three rods rather than the four rods shown on Northern Pass's DOT permit packages, how much might that increase construction times? A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer that without seeing an alignment that would be proposed under those right-of-way conditions. Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario that you're mentioning. 			
 Baston border, roughly 7 miles, is three rods rather than the four rods shown on Northern Pass's DOT permit packages, how much might that increase construction times? A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer that without seeing an alignment that would be proposed under those right-of-way conditions. Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	1	Q.	So if the right-of-way width on 116 from
 4 rather than the four rods shown on Northern 5 Pass's DOT permit packages, how much might 6 that increase construction times? 7 A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer 8 that without seeing an alignment that would 9 be proposed under those right-of-way 10 conditions. 11 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because 12 there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow 15 down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, 17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario 	2		Franconia, south of the town center to the
 Pass's DOT permit packages, how much might that increase construction times? A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer that without seeing an alignment that would be proposed under those right-of-way conditions. Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	3		Easton border, roughly 7 miles, is three rods
 6 that increase construction times? 7 A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer 8 that without seeing an alignment that would 9 be proposed under those right-of-way 10 conditions. 11 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because 12 there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow 15 down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, 17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario 	4		rather than the four rods shown on Northern
 A. (Taylor) I don't know that we could answer that without seeing an alignment that would be proposed under those right-of-way conditions. Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	5		Pass's DOT permit packages, how much might
 8 that without seeing an alignment that would 9 be proposed under those right-of-way 10 conditions. 11 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because 12 there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow 15 down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, 17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario 	6		that increase construction times?
 9 be proposed under those right-of-way 10 conditions. 11 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because 12 there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow 15 down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, 17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario 	7	A.	(Taylor) I don't know that we could answer
 10 conditions. 11 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	8		that without seeing an alignment that would
 Q. Can we assume it would take longer because there is a narrower area to work within? A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	9		be proposed under those right-of-way
 12 there is a narrower area to work within? 13 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	10		conditions.
 A. (Taylor) Not necessarily. Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	11	Q.	Can we assume it would take longer because
 14 Q. So how could an area be narrower yet not slow down the process? 16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	12		there is a narrower area to work within?
 down the process? A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, whether it's off to one side of the road, in one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	13	A.	(Taylor) Not necessarily.
16 A. (Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment, 17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario	14	Q.	So how could an area be narrower yet not slow
17 whether it's off to one side of the road, in 18 one continuous straight run going back and 19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario	15		down the process?
 one continuous straight run going back and forth across the road, whether there was one or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	16	A.	(Taylor) Again, without seeing the alignment,
19 forth across the road, whether there was one 20 or many trenchless versus open trench, 21 there's a lot of variables there. So those 22 variables could in fact be in play currently, 23 and they could be similar under the scenario	17		whether it's off to one side of the road, in
 or many trenchless versus open trench, there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	18		one continuous straight run going back and
 there's a lot of variables there. So those variables could in fact be in play currently, and they could be similar under the scenario 	19		forth across the road, whether there was one
variables could in fact be in play currently,and they could be similar under the scenario	20		or many trenchless versus open trench,
23 and they could be similar under the scenario	21		there's a lot of variables there. So those
	22		variables could in fact be in play currently,
24 that you're mentioning.	23		and they could be similar under the scenario
	24		that you're mentioning.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	Has the Applicant provided any documentation
2		that they have acquired the upland locations
3		required by DOT and DES for dumping the water
4		that would be pumped for the trenches?
5		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
6		Again, calls for generic testimony.
7		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
8		Pastoriza.
9		MS. PASTORIZA: We're dealing
10		with new information coming in, and I'm
11		wondering if there's new information that has
12		come in since April that I simply haven't seen
13		and that these men know about.
14		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.
15		You can answer, if you know.
16	A.	(Taylor) I'm not aware of any, no.
17	Q.	Without such locations, how would
18		construction proceed?
19	A.	(Taylor) Let me step back. Repeat your
20		question, the first question, and then I'll
21		jump to this one.
22	Q.	If the Northern Pass has no locations, upland
23		locations that they've acquired to dump the
24		water from their trenches, which is required
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		by DOT and DES, how would they proceed with
2		construction?
3	A.	(Taylor) If that's a requirement, then they
4		would have to get those approvals.
5	Q.	Has the Applicant provided any documentation
6		that you have seen since April that they have
7		secured any laydown or staging areas in the
8		southern 52 miles of the route?
9	Α.	(Taylor) I'm not aware of any.
10	Q.	And without such locations, how would
11		construction proceed?
12	A.	(Taylor) Well, if they don't get additional
13		laydown and staging areas, they would have to
14		stage the job from what they have.
15	Q.	And that would increase construction times?
16	Α.	(Taylor) I would think so.
17	Q.	And that would increase traffic?
18	A.	(Taylor) I think it would be the same amount
19		of traffic, but traffic extending over much
20		larger areas of the Project corridor.
21	Q.	Given that they are not based on a legal
22		survey of road width, would you say the
23		Applicant's statement that their construction
24		plans are at 60 percent is accurate?
	Jara	2015-06 Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY \$10-23-17

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Taylor) There's a yes and no to that.
2		Sixty-percent plans can be using best
3		available data, which I believe is what they
4		have indicated that they're working with and
5		through. But the no is that, historically on
6		projects that I have seen, by the time we're
7		at 60 percent, property rights and boundaries
8		are fairly firm in most instances.
9	Q.	So the Applicant has said that there will
10		always be a lane open at all construction
11		sites on the 52-mile buried section of the
12		route. Have you seen any contract since you
13		filed your testimonies that ensures this?
14	Α.	(Taylor) I'm not aware of any contracts.
15	Α.	(Zysk) Contracts? No.
16	Q.	So, to summarize, we have a project without a
17		legal project boundary survey, without
18		accepted plans based on the survey, without a
19		traffic control plan, without staging areas,
20		without spoils storage areas, without
21		concrete batch plans, and without dewatering
22		areas. What potential problems do you see
23		arising if a decision is made regarding
24		permitting this project without this
	[and	201E 0 C C D = E 0 D = E 0 D = E 0 D = C C D =

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

information? 1 2 MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. Again, calls for general testimony. And I 3 don't know whether those are assumptions or 4 stated facts. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, you 7 were layering speculation on speculation on 8 speculation. BY MS. PASTORIZA: 9 If we assume those to be true based on new 10 0. 11 information that we have and have not received, what potential problems might be 12 happening? 13 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm going 15 to sustain the objection. 16 BY MS. PASTORIZA: 17 0. What potential problems do you see with construction beginning in the spring of 2018 18 if the Project were permitted? 19 20 MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. This 21 is calling for basic generic testimony. That's 22 everything that's already been included in the 23 testimony. 24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, I

 $\{SEC 2015-06\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

don't -- what is this related to? 1 2 MS. PASTORIZA: All right. I'm done. Thank you. 3 That's good. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next on the 4 list is Ms. Saffo, but I know she's not here. 5 Ms. Manzelli, that puts you 6 7 up. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MANZELLI: 9 10 Good afternoon. My name is Amy Manzelli, 0. 11 representing the Forest Society. So I want to start with one of the rules that governs 12 this proceeding. Have you guys had occasion 13 to review this rule? That's Administrative 14 15 Rule Site 301.08(d)(5). Well, I'm going to 16 get to (d)(5.) 301.08? 17 Α. (Zysk) Not specifically, no. Okay. So let's walk through it. 18 This Q. particular rule is about the effects on 19 20 public health and safety. I've highlighted 21 the pertinent part here. Applications have 22 to include the following information 23 regarding the effects of and plans for 24 avoiding, minimizing or mitigating the

SEC 2015-06[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]10-23-17

1		potential adverse effects of the proposed
2		energy facility on public health and
3		safety
4		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Slow down
5		when you read, please.
6	BY M	S. MANZELLI:
7	Q.	So, just walking through here, you can see
8		Section D applies to all energy facilities;
9		right?
10	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
11	Q.	And then, finally, getting to Section 5, you
12		can see that that requires a description of
13		any additional measures taken or planned to
14		avoid, minimize or mitigate the public health
15		and safety impacts that would result. And
16		here what I'm talking with you about, of
17		course, is that first part from the
18		construction, not from the operation, but
19		from the construction of the proposed
20		facility, and the alternative measures
21		considered, but rejected by the Applicant.
22		Do you see that provision?
23	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
24	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
	6	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	Okay. So, based on what you've seen so far,
2		new and old, have you seen anything from the
3		Applicant that is a description of any
4		additional measures taken or planned to
5		avoid, minimize or mitigate, et cetera, as
6		this rule discusses?
7	Α.	(Zysk) I know they put out and we did review
8		some of their alternative route analysis that
9		they did early on and rejected for various
10		reasons to come up with the route they have
11		now.
12	Q.	And do you consider those to be public
13		health-related reasons?
14	A.	(Zysk) In part, yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Anyone else?
16	A.	(Taylor) I have nothing further to add.
17	Q.	Okay. Now, I understand that some or perhaps
18		all of you have you had an opportunity to
19		review what I'll call the co-location study.
20		Is that correct?
21	A.	(Zysk) I have not reviewed that.
22	Q.	And what I was going to do is further
23		identify the report that I'm talking about.
24		This is it's in the record in this case
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}
	-	-

		74
1		as Applicant's Exhibit 179. And it is from a
2		company called Corrpro, and it's a
3		Preliminary Interference Assessment.
4		So now that I have more specifically
5		identified that, have any of you had a chance
6		to review this?
7	A.	(Alexander) Should we be seeing something on
8		the screen?
9	Q.	I don't have a hard copy with me.
10		MS. MANZELLI: I don't know,
11		Dawn, if you're able to put Applicant's 179 on
12		the screen. I can certainly try to put my own
13		with the ELMO on my laptop. Thank you, Dawn.
14	BY M	IS. MANZELLI:
15	Q.	So this is just the first page of what's
16		you know, it has a few pages following. Is
17		it on your screen yet? There we go.
18	A.	(Taylor) Just came up.
19	Q.	Okay. So let me give you a moment to at
20		least scan the first page here.
21		(Pause)
22	Q.	Now, this was provided in this case under a
23		cover letter dated June 30th, 2017, from Tom
24		Getz, from the legal team from McLane
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		Middleton. And let me just back up.
2		I see you guys studying this intently.
3		Does this look familiar to any of you? Do
4		any of you have any recollection of having
5		seen this before?
6	Α.	(Taylor) I have not reviewed this document,
7		no.
8	Α.	(Bascom) I have not seen it.
9	Α.	(Zysk) Not this one, no.
10	Q.	Okay. Have any of you reviewed any
11		information about the gas pipeline that, if
12		this project were to go through, this project
13		would be co-located with that gas pipeline?
14	Α.	(Zysk) Any information? Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Could you identify what that
16		information is?
17	Α.	(Zysk) I have a couple of different ones. I
18		have one that's "Criteria for Pipelines
19		Co-Existing with Electric Power Lines," dated
20		October 2015, by the INGAA Foundation. And I
21		have another one by the Canadian Association
22		of Petroleum Producers. That is their guide
23		for the influence of high-voltage DC power
24		lines on metallic pipelines.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	Thank you for that. And are these materials
2		that you yourself researched and obtained?
3		Or how did you get these materials?
4	A.	(Zysk) I researched and obtained them myself.
5	Q.	Okay. And why did you do that?
6	Α.	(Zysk) It was discussed at some point along
7		the line.
8	Q.	Okay. When you say "it," what do you mean?
9	А.	(Zysk) The potential for co-location, it was
10		brought up as a concern. And this was after
11		we had submitted our testimony.
12	Q.	Understood. It was probably about the time
13		that this study was produced because there
14		were some pleadings that led to the
15		production of this study.
16		So I had some questions about whether
17		this study was a preliminary study or whether
18		this was a full and complete evaluation of
19		all issues associated with such potential
20		co-location. But what I'm hearing is you
21		have not reviewed this study sufficiently to
22		answer that type of question; is that
23		correct?
24	А.	(Taylor) That's correct.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

(Zysk) Yes. 1 Α. So do you have concerns about the 2 Q. Okay. potential co-location of the proposed project 3 with the Portland Natural Gas pipeline? 4 5 MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. Location of the line was well known when they 6 7 did their work. To the extent they had 8 concerns, that could have and should have been raised. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. 11 Manzelli. MS. MANZELLI: My understanding 12 is that the Application did not contain any 13 information about the Portland Natural Gas 14 15 pipeline and that it was only through the 16 course of this calendar year that this study was produced and further information was known. 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's well 18 known where the Portland Natural Gas line is 19 20 and that this was going to be co-located. 21 That's been known from the beginning. 22 MS. MANZELLI: My understanding 23 is that was not depicted on the original set of 24 project maps.

{SEC 2015-06} [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's
2	sustained.
3	MS. MANZELLI: Mr. Chair, could
4	you please explain the rationale for why that
5	is sustained?
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Everyone
7	knows where the Portland Natural Gas
8	transmission line is. Everybody knows that the
9	existing corridor is co-located with it and
10	this is going in the same corridor. That's
11	been known from the beginning.
12	MS. MANZELLI: And so we're not
13	allowed I'm not allowed to ask the witnesses
14	questions about it because it is not, quote,
15	unquote, "new information"?
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If they had
17	opinions about it and concerns about it, they
18	were free to express them in their prefiled
19	testimony. I'm not going to expand the scope
20	of their prefiled testimony.
21	MS. MANZELLI: Are you making a
22	ruling that the Counsel for the Public's
23	witnesses, what they may think about the
24	Portland Natural Gas pipeline co-location is
	<pre>{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}</pre>

irrelevant or immaterial or unduly repetitious? 1 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't think I'm ruling any of those. I sustained the 3 objection to your question on the grounds that 4 5 if they had opinions about it, they needed to be expressed in their prefiled testimony. 6 And as we've gone over numerous times in the 7 cross-examination of witnesses other than the 8 Applicant's witnesses, because they are 9 different in this context, we're not going 10 11 beyond the scope of their prefiled testimony. 12 If there's new information that has come in, and there's been plenty of new information, 13 14 then intervenors are free to ask about that. 15 And there was another exception, the true 16 clarification. There was the "I don't 17 understand something" question when a legitimate "I don't understand." And there's 18 been a few of those as well. 19 20 MS. MANZELLI: Yeah. And let me 21 just clarify a word that you just said. An 22 "exception" to what? You just said there was 23 another exception, and then you stated what 24 that was. An exception --

 $\{SEC 2015-06\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're not
2	going to play games, Ms. Manzelli.
3	MS. MANZELLI: I'm not
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The
5	objection's sustained. If you want to have a
6	conversation with some of the other intervenors
7	about prior rulings that have happened orally
8	when others have been questioning, I encourage
9	you to confer with Mr. Pappas, Mr. Aslin or any
10	of the other lawyers who have been here.
11	MS. MANZELLI: Mr. Chair, let me
12	assure you that I have had such conferences,
13	and I have reviewed the
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't
15	you ask your next question, Ms. Manzelli.
16	MS. MANZELLI: transcripts at
17	length.
18	I'd like to make an oral
19	objection to the ruling on the question that
20	I just tried to ask and to the manner in
21	which cross-examination has been handled for
22	Track 3. So, my understanding
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Track 3?
24	MS. MANZELLI: Sorry. My
	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	understanding of Track 3 is the Counsel for the
2	Public's witnesses and the intervenors'
3	witnesses.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Everybody
5	but the Applicant.
6	MS. MANZELLI: Yes. Track 1 was
7	the first part of the Applicant; Track 2, the
8	second part of the Applicant; Track 3, Counsel
9	for the Public and Intervenors. That's what I
10	meant when I said Track 3.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So you want
12	to take time right now to make a motion of some
13	sort or a request for reconsideration or
14	what exactly are we doing here instead of
15	asking questions of the witnesses who are in
16	front of you?
17	MS. MANZELLI: And I am prepared
18	to ask questions. I don't agree with the
19	limitations put on the questions I'm attempting
20	to ask, and so I'd like to make a statement on
21	the record about the Forest Society's position
22	on those limitations. And I'd like to make
23	this on the record so that I don't have to do
24	it again, so that we can just state our

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	objection and then move on so the rest of the
2	many witnesses we have for what I refer to as
3	Track 3, we don't have to keep doing this.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
5	Whatcha got?
6	MS. MANZELLI: All right. I
7	want to first touch on the procedural history
8	of how I understand this issue has come to the
9	floor today.
10	On March 7th, 2017, Applicants
11	made a motion to clarify the use of "friendly
12	cross-examination." The Forest Society
13	objected to that. On March 31st, 2017, the
14	Chair issued an order. It recited the
15	correct standards, RSA 541-A:33, IV and
16	Administrative Rule Site 202.11, and it
17	denied the motion. In particular, it said,
18	quote, "The Presiding Officer cannot, as
19	requested by the Applicant, make a prehearing
20	determination that all friendly
21	cross-examination will impede the prompt and
22	orderly conduct of the proceeding. Such a
23	determination must be made during the course
24	of the proceeding." That was at Pages 3 and
	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

4. So that was March of this year. 1 2 Next up, more recently in August, the Applicants filed another motion 3 to determine the extent of friendly cross. 4 5 That requested an order, quote, "requiring an offer of proof at a prehearing conference..." 6 7 I'll skip rest of that. The Forest Society 8 again objected. The written order came out on 9 September 12th, and it denied the specific 10 11 request. It denied the request for a prehearing conference; but otherwise, it 12 granted the motion. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I would 15 disagree with that statement. 16 MS. MANZELLI: I have a quote. 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Go ahead. MS. MANZELLI: 18 It ordered, 19 quote, "On or [sic] before September 22, 2017, 20 each intervenor shall file a list identifying 21 each witness that the intervenor seeks to 22 cross-examine (excluding the remaining 23 Applicant witnesses). Regarding each witness 24 or witness panel, the list shall include the $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	following information: Whether the examining
2	party believes that its position is adverse to
3	the witness, including all reasons; and if the
4	examining party is not adverse to the witness,
5	the examining party must identify the areas of
6	cross-examination and why cross-examination is
7	necessary to a full and true disclosure of the
8	facts." And that was part of the order at
9	Pages 3 and 4.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And where
11	was the word "granted" in that order?
12	MS. MANZELLI: I don't know if
13	the word "granted" was in that order. So I am
14	happy
15	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good call.
16	MS. MANZELLI: striking that
17	characterization. But I do think that I have
18	accurately quoted the order.
19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And has
20	anyone been prevented from asking questions of
21	a witness categorically? You're not adverse
22	and you haven't adequately identified the
23	reasons. Answer, no. It has all been done on
24	a question-by-question basis, as stated in the
	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	March 31st Order. So we are proceeding
2	consistently with that. No one who filed
3	either good-faith or not good-faith responses
4	to the Order you were talking about has been
5	told, no, you may not ask questions because you
6	didn't adequately identify anything. Because
7	many people did not take that effort seriously
8	other than putting a lot of time into
9	preserving every possible angle, every possible
10	reason they might ask a witness a question.
11	But no one was stopped. No order has been
12	entered orally or otherwise preventing any
13	intervenor from questioning witnesses.
14	Specific questions have drawn objections. Many
15	of those objections have been overruled. Many
16	objections have been sustained.
17	MS. MANZELLI: Following that
18	order, the Forest Society moved for rehearing,
19	many other parties have joined, and that motion
20	is now pending.
21	The next part of the
22	procedural history is that on October 6th
23	there were a series of rulings that you've
24	just described specific to questions that
	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

arose at the first time -- or the first day 1 that cross-examination of non-Northern Pass 2 witnesses occurred; and in this case, it was 3 a witness for the Counsel for the Public. 4 Over the course of 5 October 6th, the Chair made several rulings 6 contained throughout the hundreds of pages of 7 transcripts that day. And I would submit 8 that these rulings were not entirely clear 9 when they were made and have not become clear 10 11 over the course of time. There is no written 12 order, they are not consistent with each other, and they're not implemented 13 14 consistently among all witnesses. Tn 15 particular, it seems that the most stringent 16 approach is with represented intervenors, the 17 medium stringent is for Counsel for the Public, and the least stringent is with the 18 Now, we have tried to 19 pro se intervenors. 20 understand what these limits are, and the 21 gist seems to be that all witnesses for all 22 intervenors, and Counsel for the Public, are 23 friendly to each other; in other words, they are non-adverse; and further, this so-called 24

SEC 2015-06[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]10-23-17

1	"friendly cross" is not allowed unless, and
2	there are a couple of exceptions, and that's
3	why I asked earlier when you were making an
4	exception, to what were you making an
5	exception.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
7	Manzelli, do you have any further questions for
8	this panel?
9	MS. MANZELLI: Yes, I do.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Whatever
11	you need to say further beyond what you've said
12	you need to put in writing.
13	MS. MANZELLI: Mr. Chair, we are
14	in the process of writing a motion for
15	rehearing based on the October 6th rulings from
16	the Bench. But I would point out that that
17	motion is not due for 30 days from the time of
18	those rulings; yet, the proceedings are under
19	way. I certainly don't want to put the
20	proceedings on hold.
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes,
22	actually, you do. But you're not going to make
23	that request because it would be outrageous.
24	So what is your next question
ļ	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

for the witnesses who are in front of you 1 2 waiting to be asked another question? MS. MANZELLI: With all due 3 respect, the Forest Society does not wish to 4 delay these proceedings. 5 What is the CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 6 7 next question you have for the witnesses in 8 front you? If you do not one ask one, I will 9 assume you have no more. MS. MANZELLI: I just want to 10 11 make sure that I'm clear with what's happening 12 now, is that I am not allowed to state an objection on the record to a question that I 13 14 tried to --15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: An objection to what? 16 17 MS. MANZELLI: To the limitation 18 that has been placed upon the Forest Society in 19 undertaking cross-examination of the Counsel 20 for the Public's witnesses. If I understand 21 that correctly, I'm ready to move on to my next 22 questions. 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And you are free to make offers of proof regarding 24 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	questions to which objections are sustained.
2	If you choose to take advantage of that, that's
3	fine. If you have concerns about what you
4	perceive to be inconsistent rulings, you need
5	to put that in writing. And whether you think
6	you have 30 days if you have a problem, you
7	should alert the tribunal in writing when you
8	can. It's not going to get addressed orally.
9	MS. MANZELLI: Understood.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do you want
11	to make an offer of proof on the question, the
12	objection to which was sustained
13	MS. MANZELLI: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: if they
15	were allowed to testify.
16	MS. MANZELLI: Yes. I have some
17	concrete illustrations from today of how I
18	believe that a different standard is being used
19	for witnesses that appear to be friendly. But
20	I'm working through the determination to not
21	raise that any further right now and make my
22	offer of proof.
23	So I would like I would
24	have discussed with this panel the fact that
ļ	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1		the co-location study that was done by the
2		Applicant was preliminary in nature and was
3		not a full analysis of the issues associated
4		with the prospective co-location. All right.
5		Next topic.
6		MS. MANZELLI: Dawn, could you
7		please turn on the ELMO?
8	BY M	S. MANZELLI:
9	Q.	All right. This is one of the project maps.
10		I believe this is Applicant's Exhibit 2. Is
11		that correct? It's Bates stamped APP 67741.
12		And you can see here that this depicts a
13		portion of the proposed route in Clarksville.
14		Is it up on your screen?
15	A.	(Taylor) It is.
16	Α.	(Alexander) Yes.
17		MS. MANZELLI: Now, I do want to
18		state for the record, I understand that this is
19		the August version of the plan set and that a
20		more recent plan set is available. I don't
21		have that with me today. But I don't think
22		that it affects my questions here.
23	BY M	S. MANZELLI:
24	Q.	So are you aware generally well, first of

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		all, are you aware that the Forest Society
2		has some property ownership on this side of
3		the river?
4	A.	(Taylor) I am not aware of that, no.
5	Q.	Are you aware of a parking lot maintained in
6		this vicinity here, right near to the right
7		of the symbol of Route 3?
8	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
9	Q.	That's the Forest Society's parking lot that
10		they maintain for fishing access to the
11		river. Based on the testimony that you
12		rendered earlier today and your understanding
13		of the exception requests, what do you think
14		will be the impact to that parking lot if
15		this project were to be constructed?
16	A.	(Taylor) If I have the area correctly,
17		there's I recall an HDD entry pit just south
18		of that area, with the work area extending to
19		the south. So, impacts would be during
20		construction with the one lane of traffic,
21		presumably next to the HDD entry pit work
22		area, getting through that work zone. And in
23		this case, it would be a northbound
24		right-hand turn in; or conversely, if you
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		were exiting and taking a right-hand going
2		north, there would be interplay with the
3		traffic stacking.
4	Q.	Understood. And do I understand from your
5		testimony this morning that that impact would
6		exist for at least six weeks?
7	A.	(Taylor) I'd have to go back and look at that
8		exception request for what they were
9		indicating. But that sounds correct.
10	Q.	And generally, that would be if things go
11		according to plan. So it could be longer,
12		but we don't know how it's going to unfold.
13	Α.	(Taylor) Correct.
14	Q.	This is from the same exhibit. This is Bates
15		stamped APP 67843. And this shows some of
16		the Kauffmann Forest, which is owned by the
17		Forest Society. I think it's going to be
18		very faint on your screen. But can you see
19		the label "Kauffmann tract," "Kauffmann
20		tract"
21	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. So you agree that in some locations,
23		and this is one example, that the height of
24		the poles is planned to be greater than the
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	distance from the base of the pole to the
2	edge of the right-of-way; right?
3	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
4	There's nothing new here at all. This pertains
5	to testimony that was previously filed.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
7	Manzelli.
8	MS. MANZELLI: Same response,
9	that there should be no prohibition to
10	addressing information that is relevant,
11	material and not unduly repetitious.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sustained.
13	MS. MANZELLI: So I'd like to
14	make an offer of proof, that I would have
15	discussed with this panel their opinion, if
16	they had any, about the risk of infrastructure,
17	Northern Pass infrastructure falling outside of
18	the right-of-way; and if it were to be designed
19	to not fall in a tipping-over fashion, but to
20	fall in more of a crumbling fashion, whether
21	they would have concerns with large towers
22	crumbling in a narrow right-of-way where there
23	is also co-located an underground gas pipeline.
24	BY MS. MANZELLI:

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Q.	All right. Given the revisions to the
2		project maps that have occurred this year
3		and let me back up for a second and strike
4		that question.
5		My understanding is that there were
6		something like a 188 exception requests made
7		to DOT and that all of the exception requests
8		that have not yet been granted have been
9		withdrawn. Are you aware of that?
10	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. So I want to ask you a question that
12		includes an assumption that the exception
13		requests were granted. And so I'm asking you
14		to assume that all of the exception requests
15		that were not withdrawn or excuse me
16		that were withdrawn, were actually not
17		withdrawn and that they were granted. Okay?
18		So in other words, if the Project were to be
19		built as described in the exception requests
20		that have been approved and the exception
21		requests that have been withdrawn, if that
22		was the Project that was built, do you have
23		an opinion on how long the construction
24		period would be?
	~	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Taylor) A specific time frame, no. However,
2		of the exception requests that I have
3		reviewed where the open trench is crossing
4		the road and then crossing back, it's my
5		opinion that I would expect some level of
6		increased project time if additional crews
7		were not put on the overall job.
8	Q.	Are you able to quantify that addition,
9		either by order of magnitude or percentage?
10	Α.	(Taylor) Not as I sit here. But as I stated,
11		I believe earlier this morning, the fact that
12		it's a longer route inherently because you're
13		crossing the road then crossing back, and
14		there are multiple traffic control set-ups,
15		that leads me to the conclusion that it
16		likely would be longer.
17	Q.	And does the duration depend on what time of
18		the year construction would begin? In other
19		words, does it matter if it was started in
20		April of 2018 or October of 2018?
21	A.	(Taylor) I'm not sure I fully understand your
22		question.
23	Q.	Let me try to explain it without just saying
24		it again. You know, sometimes seasonality,
L	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		the season of the year that a construction
2		project commences, would have construction
3		benefits, in that things could move a little
4		bit more quickly, and sometimes it would be
5		an impediment, such that things would move a
6		little bit more slowly. So I'm asking if
7		you're generally stating that construction
8		would take longer if all the exception
9		requests were granted. So I'm asking you
10		would it take even longer if it started at
11		certain times of the year? Or does that not
12		even matter? No matter what time of the year
13		it starts, it's going to take whatever it
14		takes?
15	A.	(Taylor) Assuming a full work year, it's
16		logical to project they get the same number
17		of work days whether they start at one time
18		or another. You may have a slower rate if
19		you start at a different time of year for
20		example, if you had a long string of
21		inclement weather, if that was typical for
22		that time of year versus a time period where
23		that is not the case. But from a yearly
24		standpoint, the number of yearly work days I
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		would assume to even out.
2	A.	(Bascom) To add to what David said, I would
3		make the additional comment that, if the work
4		were to start in the middle of a construction
5		season, there might be an additional
6		mobilization because it spans three years as
7		opposed to two calendar years.
8	Q.	Okay. I want to talk with you about
9		starting with looking at this letter. This
10		letter was referenced earlier today, I
11		believe. This is the August 11, 2017 letter,
12		which is Applicant's Exhibit 220 and also
13		Counsel for the Public Exhibit 493. Have you
14		guys seen this letter? And this is the
15		letter that encloses the comments from DOT
16		finding the proposed survey to be not
17		acceptable.
18	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
19	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
20	Q.	And just to show you the whole thing here, it
21		encloses just a brief one-page memo. Are you
22		familiar with this?
23	A.	(Taylor) Yes.
24	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
	(

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

(Bascom) Yes. 1 Α. Now, according to this, DOT is looking for, 2 Q. quote, "accurate locations defined by ground 3 survey," end quote, for purposes of defining 4 5 the right-of-way; right? (Zysk) Hmm-hmm. 6 Α. 7 (Taylor) Correct. Α. 8 Q. I think you agreed to this earlier, but let me just -- earlier today, but let me just 9 clarify. 10 11 Do you agree that you cannot accurately determine whether the Project as proposed 12 would go into privately-owned property 13 14 because the right-of-way has not been defined 15 by a ground survey showing accurate 16 locations? 17 Α. (Taylor) That's correct. And would it be helpful in this case for DOT 18 Q. 19 to exercise its statutory authority to survey 20 the right-of-way itself? 21 Α. (Taylor) I would defer to DOT on that matter. 22 Would it be helpful to you in your analysis 0. 23 of whether this project did or did not go 24 into private property rights if had you a {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			0
1		survey from DOT?	
2	A.	(Zysk) A survey approved by DOT, whether they	
3		did it or somebody else did it.	
4	Q.	Can you help me understand, is there a	
5		distinction between a boundary line survey	
6		and something less than a boundary line	
7		survey?	
8	A.	(Taylor) Short answer is yes. I'll speak to	
9		this at a high level. That's a pretty broad	
10		of set of variables that you gave.	
11		A boundary survey implies that it has	
12		been surveyed and certified by a licensed	
13		surveyor using deeds and field monumentation	
14		and whatever readily-available documents.	
15		The other term I think you used, boundary	
16		determination	
17	Q.	Just something less.	
18	A.	(Taylor) There's many things that are less	
19		than that, both from a deed and plotted. It	
20		could be readily-available GIS information	
21		that you couldn't define the source and the	
22		accuracy of. Sure.	
23	Q.	In your opinion, do we need a boundary line	
24		survey to define the right-of-way adequately	
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17	7}

	enough to determine if the Project does or
	does not go onto private property?
A.	(Taylor) I wouldn't I can't say a boundary
	line survey. But I think what would helpful
	is if there were a survey and a right-of-way
	line that were represented and backed by a
	licensed surveyor that took into account the
	various deeds and plats and field
	monumentation so that an accurate
	representation could be put forward. Even if
	it uncovered uncertainties, there would be no
	uncertainties at that point.
Q.	So, with respect to any uncertainties that
	you just identified might exist, are you
	aware that for areas where the boundary of
	the right-of-way cannot be ascertained with
	certainty, that the Applicant plans to
	establish the boundary with DOT through
	prescriptive rights?
Α.	(Taylor) I'm generally aware that's being
	considered.
Q.	And do you have any concerns about that?
Α.	(Taylor) I wouldn't say I have concerns, no.
Q.	Are you familiar with such a process?
{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}
	Q. Q. A. Q.

1	A.	(Taylor) I have been on projects where that
2		has come into play in the past, yes.
3	Q.	Can you describe that for me?
4	A.	(Taylor) Sure. So, from a prescriptive
5		standpoint, if an area of land has been used
6		for a certain time period, per regulatory
7		requirements it could be construed as that
8		entity or person's property, or they have a
9		right to it. And that could influence the
10		ultimate boundary or easement or right-of-way
11		location, sure.
12	Q.	And are you talking the process that
13		you're talking about, does that involve the
14		determination by a court about who owns what
15		or who has what property rights to what land?
16	A.	(Taylor) I haven't been involved with a
17		project to that level. My experience has
18		been at the agency level at the state or
19		county where the parties gather all available
20		information and in the end come to an
21		agreement as to what the land rights are.
22	Q.	And in that case, when you say "the parties,"
23		do you include in that the abutting private
24		property owners?
	_	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	A.	(Taylor) For the examples that I've been in,
2		it was the property owner in question, and
3		the agency in this case I believe it was the
4		state highway. I don't recall abutting,
5		adjacent property owners who were not
6		proximal or immediate to the area in
7		question.
8	Q.	I just got a little bit confused by the last
9		part of what you said there. Did you say
10		that the process did not include abutting
11		property owners who were not nearby?
12	A.	(Taylor) That's correct. In the examples
13		that I have been a part of, the area in
14		question was wholly within a tract boundary.
15		So, adjacent owners were not necessarily
16		close to the area in question; therefore, I
17		believe that's why they weren't included.
18	Q.	All right. Are you aware that, even though
19		the exception requests have been withdrawn,
20		my understanding is still that the Project
21		plan is for all of the HDD to go under
22		pavement or under gravel, under the traveled
23		way of the road? Are you aware of that?
24	A.	(Taylor) I haven't reviewed all of the
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		exemption requests, but I'll accept that as
2		accurate.
3	Q.	Is that your general understanding, that
4		where there's HDD, it's going to be under the
5		traveled way?
6	A.	(Taylor) I wouldn't say in all cases.
7	A.	(Zysk) It's going to be within the
8		right-of-way, but not necessarily under the
9		traveled way.
10	Q.	Okay. When you design a project and you
11		apprise yourself of what the requirements
12		would be from a document like the Utility
13		Accommodation Manual, and you decide for
14		whatever reason that you need to deviate from
15		the requirements in that Utility
16		Accommodation Manual, or analogue publication
17		for a different state, would you file your
18		special exception requests at the time you
19		submit your application excuse me your
20		exception requests at the time you submit
21		your application, or would you file them a
22		couple years later?
23	A.	(Taylor) It depends on the agency
24		requirements and the staging of what I'll
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		call the "entitlement process." Although, I
2		will say, generally speaking, exceptions to
3		the rules that you need to follow, they're
4		generally identified. The level of
5		specificity is often longer in duration, I
6		would say, as opposed to doing none.
7	Q.	When you say that they're generally
8		identified, at what time would you generally
9		identify those?
10	A.	(Taylor) For linear projects such as this,
11		typically in the 30-percent range. As a
12		design team, we're aware of those types of
13		issues.
14	Q.	Have you had the opportunity, or are you
15		aware of the Massachusetts Request for
16		Proposals process, the Mass. RFP?
17	A.	(Taylor) I'm not personally familiar with it.
18	A.	(Bascom) I am generally aware of it.
19	A.	(Alexander) Yes.
20	Q.	Have either of you had an opportunity to
21		review the Northern Pass bids into the
22		Massachusetts RFP?
23	Α.	(Bascom) I have not.
24	Α.	(Zysk) I have not.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	A.	(Alexander) I have not.
2	Q.	Are you generally aware that one of the bids
3		is for a hundred percent hydropower and one
4		of the bids is for a combination of
5		hydropower and wind power?
6		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
7		Relevance, and how does it bear on the
8		testimony of this panel?
9		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
10		Manzelli.
11		MS. MANZELLI: I would like to
12		ask them if they have studied anything about
13		the wind aspect of one of those bids.
14		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why is it
15		relevant?
16		MS. MANZELLI: Because it
17		appears that, while it may not be clear in this
18		docket, it appears that in other that in
19		connection with the Massachusetts RFP, the
20		Applicant is holding out this process as the
21		process through which the combination
22		wind-hydropower project would be approved. So
23		I want to know if they have seen anything in
24		this docket about a wind part of this proposal.
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'11 1 2 sustain the objection on relevance grounds, among others, I think, but at least relevance. 3 MS. MANZELLI: Thank you. Ι 4 5 have no further questions. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 6 All right. 7 Let's take a 10-minute break. 8 (Recess was taken at 2:50 p.m. and the hearing resumed at 3:12 p.m..) 9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 10 11 Next up is Mr. Thompson. Are you ready to go? CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. THOMPSON: 13 Are you ready, gentleman? My name is Brad 14 0. 15 Thompson. I'm spokesman for the intervenor 16 group of abutters and non-abutters of 17 Pittsburg, Clarksville and Stewartstown. I've been allotted about three hours. 18 And 19 I'm certain, just so you can plan, that I 20 won't be using that much time, if that's 21 okay. 22 First of all, just to hit on a couple 23 quick subjects and just kind of for 24 clarification. We've heard guite a bit about $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1		the exception requests. I'm a little bit
2		confused because I'm not being in the
3		construction business for many years, I'm not
4		used to this leniency. Is this a typical
5		technique used in the energy construction
6		field, or is it a technique that you
7		gentlemen are exposed to often? Whoever
8		wants to answer any of these questions can.
9	Α.	(Taylor) Are you referring to the fact that
10		there is an exception request?
11	Q.	I'm not aiming at anyone. Just in general,
12		it's the technique that I didn't expect to
13		find and that's being used. Is it common in
14		this industry?
15	A.	(Taylor) Are you referring to filing the
16		exemption request?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	(Taylor) If there's a rule or a regulation
19		that's not being followed, or you would like
20		it to be considered in another manner, then
21		some version of an exemption, or another name
22		it may be called, yes.
23	Q.	My first opinion when I started seeing these
24		come out was that it kind of takes away from
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

the competitive bidding process. 1 Any 2 thoughts on that? I mean, how do you bid on a project of this size, \$1.6 billion, knowing 3 you can ask for exception requests and 4 5 changes, depending on a number of reasons? Maybe there's a better way to do it or a more 6 7 convenient way or a cheaper way or less 8 destructive way, part of what's available. (Bowes) I guess I would respond that 9 Α. 10 typically in a competitive bidding process, 11 the selected supplier or contractor -- a determination is made about the selected 12 supplier or contractor to provide the system 13 14 that's being purchased, and at that point is 15 when exemption requests might come into play, 16 with the understanding that at the bidding 17 process usually there's an equal, or intended to be equal playing field for all the 18 19 potential suppliers. 20 Is it possible to equate it at all to a **Q**. 21 change order? And is there money involved 22 when one of these requests are permitted? 23 Α. (Zysk) These are requests regarding the If they're denied or granted, 24 design. {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			,,
1		whatever gets set is what goes into the bid	
2		documents.	
3	Q.	And then the next step down the road would be	
4		to evaluate if it's more costly or less	
5		costly, or not?	
6	Α.	(Zysk) That would be reflected in the	
7		contractors' bids.	
8	Q.	Haven't they already bid? We have a general	
9		contractor, PAR Electric.	
10	Α.	(Zysk) I don't think they have any	
11		construction contracts bid.	
12	Α.	(Bascom) I think the situation with the	
13		Applicant's project is that they've assembled	
14		a team and are trying to do a project, in	
15		comparison to a competitive bidding process	
16		which is normally associated with a public	
17		sector-type project or a private-sector	
18		project where more than one supplier of a	
19		system could be offering services or	
20		equipment. So I think it's a different	
21		scenario. And in any case, as David	
22		mentioned, the deviation from accepted rules,	
23		laws or practices to either make a project	
24		less costly or easier to construct would be	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	7}

1		put forth in some type of a request. And in
2		the context of this forum, that's
3		determined that is an exemption request.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		Moving along, another aspect that I'm
6		used to, and I guess I'll ask any one of you,
7		in projects like this, is it typical that
8		each town, if they so desire, would be
9		represented by a clerk of the works? Is that
10		common?
11	A.	(Bascom) From my experience, the jurisdiction
12		where a project is going to be installed
13		would have an interest in the project and
14		therefore be a stakeholder and probably have
15		their own representation, either collectively
16		with others or individually. If I understood
17		your question correctly, that's my general
18		experience.
19	Q.	There would be some logic in the possibility
20		that our three towns could be represented by
21		one clerk of the works. And their job would
22		be, I assume, and do you agree, to protect
23		the town, represent them in being sure that
24		proper technique is performed?
	[and	2015 06 [Dev. 50 NEWEDWOON descion ONLY] [10 22 17]

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Bascom) I mean, you know, any agreement
2		among agreeing parties is I think acceptable
3		among the parties.
4	Q.	Yeah. If our towns decided to have a clerk
5		of the works representative, who would you
6		say should pay for it?
7		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
8		Relevance and beyond the scope of the
9		testimony.
10		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
11		Thompson. It does seem a little out there in
12		terms of relevance. Why does it matter?
13		MR. THOMPSON: Well, it matters
14		because I'm a taxpayer.
15		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If you had
16		such a thing, it would matter if it were
17		happening. But in terms of what we have in
18		front of us, what part of this case is that
19		relevant to?
20		MR. THOMPSON: I guess I'm just
21		trying to get a feel for the overall game plan
22		as we possibly could move forward.
23		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I mean, I
24		think you got their sense of the overall game
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		planning. I think you've probably run this as
2		far as is appropriate. I'll sustain the
3		objection and ask you to move on.
4		MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
5	BY N	IR. THOMPSON:
6	Q.	Will you accept my statement that there's at
7		least some confusion as to whether direct
8		burial is actually under the gravel and
9		pavement roads or in the ditches of the
10		municipal roads in Clarksville and
11		Stewartstown?
12	A.	(Taylor) I think either the plans or
13		exemption requests combined would outline
14		whether they're under the road or outside.
15	Q.	So as far as you know, there haven't been any
16		changes from the original documents, as far
17		as where the
18	A.	(Taylor) Beyond the exemption requests, I'm
19		not aware of anything else.
20	Q.	All right. Thank you.
21		In that case, we can expect that burial
22		in and under the dirt and paved roads of our
23		towns will be built according to the plans
24		and will include typical excavation around
I	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		5 feet and setting the conduit, the fluidized
2		fill, concrete, and more fluidized fill.
3		That is the planned approach.
4	Α.	(Taylor) That's my understanding.
5	Q.	Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Bowes stated,
6		when the Northern Pass construction crew
7		gathered here three weeks ago, called back
8		for more questioning, stated that he'd like
9		to see the cables, when they intersect or
10		come in contact with other utilities crossing
11		perpendicular on the road, would like to go
12		over those utilities rather than under?
13	Α.	(Taylor) I recall.
14	Q.	You do?
15	Α.	(Taylor) Yeah.
16	Q.	Would you say that having the lines above
17		utilities presents a serious obstacle and
18		cost to future development of property owners
19		on these town roads?
20	Α.	(Taylor) It could, and I would say it would
21		vary or a case-by-case basis.
22	Q.	Could you also agree that this could very
23		well add devaluation to the private property
24		owners' property along our roads?
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
2		Beyond the scope of their testimony.
3		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
4		Thompson, this is the construction panel.
5		MR. THOMPSON: All right. I'll
6		move on. Thank you.
7	BY M	R. THOMPSON:
8	Q.	You agree that if a property owner on one
9		side of the street needs to get electric
10		across to the other side of the road to a
11		power line and wants to do it underground,
12		which is logical, there'll be extra effort
13		and cost involved if he has to go under the
14		5-foot typical direct burial?
15	Α.	(Taylor) I'll accept that.
16	Q.	And then the long and short of it, that has
17		to be looked at as extra costs to whatever
18		project he may be doing.
19	Α.	(Taylor) Correct.
20	Q.	Thank you.
21		And this could be defined, the extra
22		efforts involved could be defined as "unduly
23		interference with the orderly development of
24		the region."

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. 1 Calls for legal conclusion. 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 3 Mr. Thompson. 4 5 MR. THOMPSON: Seems like there's a lot of logic in that question. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Again, this 8 is the construction panel talking about how to build and what the ramifications of it are, not 9 necessarily about the long-term economic or 10 11 even short- or immediate-term economic aspects 12 regarding development. 13 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. BY MR. THOMPSON: 14 Okay. CFP 002991, which we saw earlier 15 ο. 16 today --17 MS. MERRIGAN: Dawn, could you please switch to my system? 18 BY MR. THOMPSON: 19 20 This is Google Earth coming up of the **Q**. 21 intersection of Creampoke Road, North Hill 22 and Old County. If you look from the top of 23 the page coming down, that's Creampoke Road 24 coming up gradual uphill from Route 145. {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			84
1		Does this make sense, look familiar?	
2	A.	(Taylor) Yes.	
3	А.	(Bascom) Yes.	
4	Q.	Actually want to concentrate on a bridge down	
5		Creampoke Road about 300 feet.	
6		MR. THOMPSON: Okay, Pam.	
7	BY M	IR. THOMPSON:	
8	Q.	Are you familiar with the	
9		MS. MONROE: Need the ELMO.	
10		MR. THOMPSON: I'm sorry.	
11	BY M	IR. THOMPSON:	
12	Q.	Are you familiar with the crossing of one of	
13		the tributaries into Bishop Brook roughly	
14		300 feet down the road from the intersections	
15		of those three roads?	
16	Α.	(Bascom) I'm familiar with it because I went	
17		down that road by mistake.	
18	Q.	Not good this year.	
19		Creampoke Road, for those who need	
20		direction, is an access off of Route 145,	
21		state highway which goes Colebrook to	
22		Pittsburg to go up to the many homes up in	
23		that area of Old County Road, North Hill and	
24		Creampoke.	
	6		

1		Looking at the picture of my pickup,
2		would you call this a single-lane bridge?
3	A.	(Taylor) I think we would agree, yeah.
4	Q.	Would you agree that this Creampoke Road
5		and Mr. Bascom, since you recognize it and
6		seen it, this is a major, or will have to be,
7		clearly, a major access road for construction
8		vehicles working on the south end of Old
9		County Road or the north side of North Hill
10		Road.
11	A.	(Bascom) I would agree with that
12		characterization, yes.
13	Q.	Thank you.
14		Would you care to speculate, on an
15		average day of construction, the number of
16		vehicles that probably or definitely would
17		have to cross this bridge going up to the job
18		site? And I know I'm speculating but
19	A.	(Bascom) I could not speculate without
20		knowing a construction plan in more detail.
21	Q.	Correct. At some point in time there's going
22		to be a lot of vehicles crossing that bridge
23		in the next two-year span that would involve
24		construction; correct?

			86
1	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
2	Q.	Would you think that a traffic control	
3		person or lights would be necessary at	
4		this bridge to control any possible	
5		conflicts?	
6	A.	(Taylor) I would reiterate Mr. Bascom's	
7		comment, that without a traffic control plan	
8		in more detail as to how the vehicles are	
9		going to be routed, it's difficult to say.	
10	Α.	(Zysk) Hard to say.	
11	A.	(Taylor) Yeah.	
12	Q.	Thank you. Will you accept my comment that	
13		two and a half, three weeks ago when the	
14		construction panel was here, Mr. Bowes	
15		referred to the possible use of trench	
16		plates, and he used as reasoning, among	
17		others, to speed up the construction process?	
18		Would you accept that?	
19	Α.	(Taylor) I do.	
20	Q.	Thank you. Would one of you explain to	
21		everyone here describe first the trench	
22		plate and then some of the uses that it	
23		provides.	
24	Α.	(Zysk) A trench plate is a piece of steel	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17	7}

1		plate varying in size from 4 by 8 or smaller
2		or bigger, typically 4 by 8, and they're used
3		to temporarily cover a trench to allow access
4		over it.
5	Q.	Probably an inch thick would you say?
6	A.	(Zysk) Probably an inch thick or heavier,
7		yes.
8	Q.	Yeah, 4 by 8 or conceivably much larger than
9		that; would you say?
10	Α.	(Zysk) If available and they can get them to
11		the site, yes.
12	Q.	Yeah. In past testimony there's been
13		reference to what they called the
14		"1600-foot-long moving construction site."
15		Have you read that or seen that referred to?
16	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
17	Q.	And am I correct that a typical 1600-foot
18		moving construction site would probably
19		exist, where at the front end would be saw
20		cutting, or perhaps even before that some
21		layout, and at the tail end, 1600 feet back,
22		would be probably temporary paving, with
23		permanent paving at a later date? Would
24		that

1	Α.	(Zysk) If they were moving in a chain, linear
2		fashion, yes.
3	Q.	Let's concentrate for a minute on part of
4		that 1600 feet, and that's the area of
5		excavation. And that could vary depending on
6		the conditions.
7	Α.	(Zysk) Absolutely.
8	Q.	You might get 20 feet done if you're on the
9		Main Street in Plymouth, or you might get
10		200 feet done if you're on North Hill in good
11		digging; correct?
12	Α.	(Zysk) Correct. Yes.
13	Q.	I'd like to go through a day's work of what
14		would happen at that 200-foot of area and
15		concentrate a little bit on timing that the
16		road and for simplicity, let's think about
17		the town roads in Clarksville and
18		Stewartstown.
19		Correct that you'd start off in the
20		morning first thing by removing the plates of
21		the 200 feet that you did from the day before
22		in order to get the job going for the day?
23		That probably logically be one of the first
24		things you do?
	[and	201E OCLEAR ED ARMEDNOON GARGIAN ON VILLA 22 17

Γ

			89
1	A.	(Zysk) Sure.	
2	Q.	Or at some point you'd have to do it.	
3	A.	(Zysk) Early on, yes, if that was your next	
4		step in your process.	
5	Q.	Then excavate the 200 feet, the next 200	
6		feet, as you're probably finishing up on the	
7		back 200 feet from yesterday.	
8	A.	(Zysk) Sure.	
9	Q.	You'd excavate the new 200 feet and place the	
10		conduit.	
11	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
12	Q.	And bring in a Ready Mix truck?	
13	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
14	Q.	Pour flowable fill?	
15		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chair,	
16		objection. Sounds like we're just walking	
17		through general testimony.	
18		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.	
19		Thompson.	
20		MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Bowes made	
21		the comment that he was going to make use of	
22		trench plates and the reasons for it. And I'm	
23		going to argue, and it won't take me long, that	
24		there are reasons why you wouldn't want to do	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17	}

1		it.
2		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
3		I'll overrule the objection. You can continue.
4	BY M	IR. THOMPSON:
5	Q.	So a typical day, we've spent some time
6		taking the plates off. That requires, am I
7		correct, that a machine would have to come in
8		and pick the plates up, take them and store
9		them someplace nearby? Closer the better;
10		right?
11	Α.	(Zysk) Yes. If they can put it right next to
12		the trench, that's ideal.
13	Q.	That's ideal.
14	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
15	Q.	But the fact is that it's 200 feet. Let's
16		say we're using 8-by-12 plates.
17	Α.	(Zysk) Okay.
18	Q.	That's going to require 17 or 16 plates. How
19		long do you think it would take to remove
20		those plates? Thirty, 40 minutes sound good?
21	Α.	(Zysk) Yeah, between that, up to an hour.
22	Q.	All right. Let's say 45 minutes.
23		Then, later on, after you've dug the
24		ditch, set the conduit, you're going to pour
ı	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			9.
1		the flowable fill.	
2	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
3	Q.	That has to be poured out of a Ready Mix	
4		truck.	
5	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
6	Q.	We've seen photos here of a Ready Mix truck	
7		pouring, and he's clearly in the next lane to	
8		where the ditch is; correct?	
9	A.	(Zysk) That would be the fastest way to do	
10		it. If there were other constraints, they	
11		could transfer the material to an	
12		intermediate vehicle to bring it to the	
13		trench to deposit it. But yes, pouring it	
14		straight out of the mixer would be the best	
15		way to do it.	
16	Q.	But even if there was another transport, it	
17		would still eat up and use both lanes to	
18		place the concrete in the ditch.	
19	A.	(Zysk) If they were working in a linear	
20		fashion, not necessarily.	
21	Q.	Define "lineal fashion."	
22	Α.	(Zysk) Based on one of the graphics that was	
23		shown earlier, where everything is within the	
24		one travel lane. And it would extend the	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	7}

1		time because vehicles would have to swap
2		places, potentially.
3	Q.	The Ready Mix truck's going to be behind the
4		excavator that's going to bucket material
5		into the ditch, and the Ready Mix truck is
6		straddling the ditch as he's backing down?
7	Α.	(Zysk) Could be.
8	Q.	Really? Thank you.
9		So we're not going to close that second
10		lane or pour in the concrete?
11	A.	(Bascom) I would say typical construction
12		practice would be to dispatch concrete from
13		an adjacent lane directly from a concrete
14		truck, although it's possible it could be
15		done in a linear fashion.
16	A.	(Zysk) Right. Again, depends on the criteria
17		that's set.
18	Q.	That would probably
19	Α.	(Zysk) in that specific location.
20	Q.	cut into the production time definitely.
21	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
22	Q.	And then there's two more steps with
23		concrete. The protection layer, the 6-inch
24		protection layer, a second pouring. And then
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		state highways apparently are not going to
2		have fluidized thermal backfill above the
3		protection, but town roads still do. That
4		would be a third pouring that we'd be facing.
5	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
6	Q.	So if we take a worst-case scenario, or a
7		best case, depending how you define it, and
8		do have the concrete out of the second lane,
9		which it's hard to wouldn't you say it's
10		hard to believe that that could occur for
11		60 miles of not using the second lane? Be
12		pretty cumbersome.
13	А.	(Zysk) Yes, that would be difficult.
14	Q.	Yeah. Would you project 10 minutes to dump a
15		9-yard load of concrete? Not going to take
16		long to pour it in a ditch.
17	А.	(Zysk) Probably not, no. Yeah, that's not
18		unreasonable.
19	Q.	So in that 200 feet, if my figures are
20		correct, would you agree that 29 yards of
21		flowable fill, 10 yards of protective
22		concrete, and 51 yards of flowable fill above
23		the protective concrete, representing 8 loads
24		of concrete at 10 minutes apiece, that road,
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		if poured out of the other lane, will take an
2		hour and 20 minutes a day? Would you accept
3		that?
4	A.	(Zysk) In total, yes.
5	Q.	Thank you. And then the last step, because
6		we got to remember we got concrete on
7		concrete that's got one pour's got to set
8		up at least somewhat before the second one
9		can be poured and then the third. But at the
10		end of the day the plates go back on.
11	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
12	Q.	Took us 45 minutes to take the plates off.
13		How long do you it'll take to put the plates
14		on?
15	A.	(Zysk) Probably longer.
16	Q.	Say an hour?
17	A.	(Zysk) Okay. Yes.
18	Q.	Okay. I haven't kept track of the time.
19		Maybe somebody else has. But we're talking
20		three to four hours of time where that second
21		lane more than likely will be obstructed and
22		closed. Do you agree?
23	A.	(Zysk) In total, yes.
24	Q.	When you set the plates at the end of the

1		day, is the idea that the plates are
2		replacing the roadway as the traveled way in
3		one lane, or wherever the construction's
4		occurring?
5	A.	(Zysk) In this instance, I would say yes.
6	Q.	That's really what the whole point of the
7		plate is, so you can get back to where the
8		road can be used.
9	A.	(Zysk) Correct.
10	Q.	And setting the plates, you need to be
11		somewhat careful to be using a fairly flat
12		surface which typically an asphalt road
13		offers; correct?
14	A.	(Zysk) Yes.
15	Q.	In other words, you don't want the plate
16		dipping and wiggling around and teetering.
17	A.	(Zysk) Correct.
18	Q.	How does it work on a dirt road, a typical
19		dirt road? I mean, ideally the road would be
20		level and flat. You could put the plates
21		down and it sets there. But there's going to
22		be extra effort involved to try to get the
23		plates settled in.
24	A.	(Zysk) I guess it depends on the extent of
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		the disturbance to the road. But there is
2		they may have to take a little bit of time to
3		level them out. But yes, it would be
4		slightly longer than just placing them on top
5		of a paved road.
6	Q.	Thank you.
7		Splice pits. Would you accept my
8		statement that the weight of one half of a
9		splice pit per the plan documents which is
10		34 feet long, 10 feet wide, and each half
11		4 feet high, reinforced with 8-inch-thick
12		walls and an 8-inch top and an 8-inch
13		bottom that one half of that weighs just
14		over 25 ton, almost 51,000 pounds?
15	A.	(Taylor) I'll accept that.
16	Q.	Are you aware that at the construction
17		meeting reunion three weeks ago, when Mr.
18		Bowes and Mr. Johnson were asked what size
19		crane was needed to lift one of these 25-ton
20		manholes and set it in place, they looked at
21		each other and responded "probably 15- to 20-
22		ton crane"? Are you aware of that? Would
23		you accept that?
24	Α.	(Taylor) I don't recall that statement.

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	A.	(Alexander) Do you know when that statement
2		was made?
3	Q.	It was made at the construction hearing three
4		weeks ago. Would you accept it as having
5		occurred?
6	Α.	(Alexander) Do you have a document or
7		testimony that we could look at to verify, or
8		a day that
9	Q.	It exists, but I do not have it.
10	A.	(Taylor) We'll accept that it was said. Just
11		don't recall it specifically.
12	Q.	Yeah, thank you.
13		Here's off a web site for Cote Crane in
14		Lewiston, Maine, and 15- to 20-ton, the
15		second one down, is the most typical of that.
16		That would be what they would propose to pick
17		up the crane or pick up half of a manhole.
18		Would you accept the fact that, based on
19		the conditions, typical site conditions and
20		the weight of the manhole, that in fact a
21		130-pound 130,000-pound crane with another
22		110,000 pounds of counterweight is necessary
23		to safely set a 25-ton, one-half splice pit?
24	Α.	(Alexander) There are a large number of
I	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		variables that go into the selection of the
2		crane, including the swing distance, pick
3		height
4	Q.	Right.
5	Α.	(Alexander) distance it has to travel. So
6		without knowing those factors, we can't speak
7		to any specific type of crane that may or may
8		not be used. Also, there are variations in
9		the types of cranes that may be used, from
10		hydraulic to boom variations. So, without
11		selecting
12	Q.	And I will
13		(Court Reporter interrupts.)
14	Α.	Without selecting a specific location or
15		type, it's hard for us to say what exact
16		crane would be used in a specific location.
17	Q.	Have any of you ever seen the setting of a
18		splice pit with a crane?
19	-	
ТЭ	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
20	А. А.	(Taylor) Yes. (Bascom) Yes.
20	Α.	(Bascom) Yes.
20 21	A. Q.	(Bascom) Yes. How about a 25-ton splice pit?
20 21 22	А. Q. А.	(Bascom) Yes. How about a 25-ton splice pit? (Taylor) Yes.

			99
1		needed?	
2	A.	(Bascom) I don't know the size	
3	Q.	Any idea what it would look like?	
4	А.	(Bascom) but know the typical	
5		characteristics.	
6	Q.	Is either a trench box or steel sheathing	
7		necessary for protection against the side	
8		walls of an excavated pit when you're going	
9		to set a splice pit?	
10	A.	(Taylor) Typically that's the case, yes.	
11	Q.	Otherwise, there's one heck of a lot of room;	
12		correct?	
13	Α.	(Taylor) That's correct.	
14	Α.	(Bascom) And due to the depth of the	
15		excavation for safety reasons.	
16	Q.	Sure.	
17		Okay. Let's go to CS135 [sic], the	
18		crane.	
19		MS. MONROE: 136 you mean?	
20		MR. THOMPSON: No, 135.	
21		MS. MONROE: I have okay.	
22		Got it.	
23	BY M	R. THOMPSON:	
24	Q.	There you go. Mr. Bascom, does this vehicle	
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17	'}

1		look something like a crane that probably set
2		a 25-ton manhole?
3	Α.	(Bascom) I can't specifically say, other than
4		it did have outriggers to support the crane.
5	Q.	Would you accept the fact that this crane is
6		typical of the ones at the bottom of the page
7		on CS127?
8		MR. THOMPSON: The bottom
9		yeah, go back to that, Pam, for a minute.
10	BY M	R. THOMPSON:
11	Q.	You can see the yellow ones at the bottom,
12		and they're if you look over to the right,
13		they're all base weight of the vehicle is
14		right at or around the 130,000-pound, and
15		then it talks about the counterweight
16		necessary. Would you accept my statement
17		that the counterweight gets you to where you
18		need to be in order to counterweight picking
19		up about a 25-ton splice pit?
20	Α.	(Alexander) The amount of counterweight for
21		any specific type of crane, again, is
22		dependent on the swing, boom and distance
23		that the weight has to travel and weight of
24		the vehicle itself.

1	Q.	Right. I have some sketches now. They're a
2		little crude, but I think they're
3		descriptive.
4		MR. THOMPSON: Let's try
5		let's go to CS136, Pam.
6	BY I	MR. THOMPSON:
7	Q.	If you can bear with me for my rather rough
8		sketches, but descriptive, this is showing
9		the key ingredient here, Mr. Alexander. The
10		key number from the right-hand side is the
11		splice pit inside a sheathing-created hole,
12		with 5 feet of protection which is safety
13		around the 10-by-34 pit. And the line going
14		vertical down the page is the center line of
15		the pit. The other line cutting down the
16		middle is the center of the boom where it
17		hooks to the truck. My numbers, if you add
18		them up, half the pit is 17 feet; the hole is
19		5 feet to the sheathing; then the truck to
20		the outriggers is 15; the outriggers are
21		30 and this is per specifications, 30 feet
22		by 30 feet, the four round holes for the
23		outriggers. So, center line to outriggers
24		toward the pit is 15 feet, half of it. And
L	{SEC	2015-06 [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] {10-23-17 }

1	then there's a somewhat unknown of how close
2	you can get the truck to the sheathing. It's
3	usually in the typical 5 to 15, so I averaged
4	it at 10. And that bring us to 47 feet.
5	And Mr. Alexander, would you accept that
6	is a lot of the important information that
7	dictates how big a crane and how much
8	counterweight you need to pick the 25-ton
9	manhole off a flatbed and into the hole?
10	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chairman,
11	I'm going to object. First of all, it's
12	testimony. Second of all, to the extent that
13	it's meant to be a hypothetical, I suppose
14	that's fine. But I believe that the record
15	reflects that Mr. Bowes said it was going to be
16	a 30- to 40-ton, over-the-road crane that they
17	would be using.
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
19	Thompson.
20	MR. THOMPSON: My recollection's
21	15 to 20. And I'm certain I read it a couple
22	days ago.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, you
24	can ask them to assume that's what he said.
ļ	${SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}$

		10.
1		The record will reflect whatever it reflects.
2		And if you want to ask them a hypothetical
3		based on that assumption, you can do that.
4		Although, you were moving toward testifying.
5		MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, yeah. I'm
6		sorry.
7		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Don't
8		apologize.
9		MR. THOMPSON: Try to stay away
10		from that, sir.
11		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Don't
12		apologize.
13	BY N	AR. THOMPSON:
14	Q.	Hypothetically speaking, do you accept the
15		numbers that I have just gone through and
16		come up with 47 feet center to center, that
17		these are the types of numbers that are
18		necessary to know what size crane is needed?
19	A.	(Alexander) For the layout you provided on
20		the sketch, given your assumptions, yes,
21		those are dimensions that would be required
22		to help select the crane.
22	Q.	Hmm-hmm. And would you accept the fact that
23		
23 24		the center line to center line of the

1		stabilizers at the end of the outriggers,	
2		30 feet by 30 feet, from a safety point	
3		there's need for another 5 feet wider than	
4		the 30 by 30, which will make it a 40-by-40	
5		landing area for the crane? Would you accept	
6		that?	
7	A.	(Alexander) I can't speak to the 5 feet	
8		safety around the outriggers.	
9	Q.	Seems somewhat logical that you'd need some	
10		buildup on the landing beyond the center line	
11		of the outriggers.	
12	A.	(Alexander) It is likely some additional	
13		space would be needed.	
14	Q.	Yeah. Okay. Sketch 138. No, let's look at	
15		137 for just a bit.	
16		This simply takes it one step further.	
17		This is looking sideways at it. You come up	
18		with 47 feet at the corner. It's not quite	
19		as far, depending on how close you can get	
20		the tractor trailer delivering the tank. But	
21		the point being, and you'll accept my sketch,	
22		that you do have a considerable reach. And	
23		then one way or another, you got to turn	
24		and go to the third sketch, 138 you got to	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	L7}

1		make the 180-degree turn. Accept that it's
2		been determined that there are areas on
3		especially Bear Rock Road where it's a
4		three-rod-wide road, which is roughly
5		50 feet. Would you accept that?
6	A.	(Taylor) Yes.
7	Q.	As a matter of fact, where the Project comes
8		down North Hill and turns up Bear Rock Road,
9		which is a right there is a HDD drilling.
10		Just beyond the HDD drilling there's a
11		manhole at Grid No. 249+00 in the
12		right-of-way. Would you accept, according to
13		Steve Nicks, who testified last week, in his
14		supplemental prefiled testimony, that in fact
15		the Application scales to 50 feet at that
16		manhole? You weren't here, but I'm offering
17		that that is the case. And would you accept
18		it?
19	A.	(Taylor) While I haven't measured it, I'll
20		accept that.
21	Q.	That leads to the important issue, going back
22		to page CS or stay with 138.
23		If you would make the assumption that
24		you have a landing pad that's 40 by 40, as
I	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		the one we see on CS138, the box square
2		encompassing the crane, and if you would make
3		the assumption at the bottom of the page it's
4		directly on the right-of-way, as close as it
5		could possibly be, and at that manhole on
6		Bear Rock Road and as a matter of fact,
7		the next one 1800 feet up the road is the
8		same thing, 50 feet if that landing area
9		is 40 by 40, how much right-of-way is left in
10		the 50 feet?
11	A.	(Taylor) Ten feet.
12	Q.	Ten feet. Would you accept the fact that Ms.
13		Farrington, who was here as part of the
14		construction crew, testified that the width
15		of a lane to get through a construction site
16		needs to be a minimum of 11 feet, even if
17		it's off the side of the road in the gravel
18		or something
19	A.	(Taylor) correct.
20	Q.	11 feet is the number?
21		Based on my sketch, CS138, would you say
22		the road's going to be closed during this
23		whole process?
24	A.	(Taylor) Yes.
	[ara	2015-06 Dove 50 AFTERNOON Googian ONLY $1/10-22-17$

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			107
1	Q.	Okay. Now I'd like to get a better	
2		understanding of the splice pits and the	
3		splicing process. CS135. Mr. Bascom	
4		MS. MERRIGAN: Hold on, Brad.	
5		Dawn, can you switch back to me, please?	
6	BY M	IR. THOMPSON:	
7	Q.	Okay. Have you ever witnessed the splicing	
8		of a 320 kV cable?	
9	A.	(Bascom) I've witnessed the splicing of a	
10		345 kV AC cable, yes.	
11	Q.	And I'm not overly familiar with this, but is	
12		320 they keep talking about 320 kV cable.	
13		Is that a popular, used often size cable?	
14	Α.	(Bascom) For an HVDC project, it's not	
15		uncommon.	
16	Q.	Looking at part of your technical report	
17		which is part of your prefiled testimony, it	
18		kind of hit it fairly quick, but quickly	
19		describe I would say the splicing process	
20		very quickly.	
21		First of all, the photo that we see here	
22		on Page 20 of what looks like a heavy-duty	
23		trailer, specialized trailer that hauls the	
24		reel, is that strike that. No, let's go	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	L7}

			108
1		back a couple steps.	
2		ABB is the provider of the cable;	
3		correct?	
4	A.	(Bascom) That's my understanding.	
5	Q.	And they're the manufacturer also?	
6	A.	(Bascom) The terms are synonymous, unless I'm	
7		missing a subtlety that you're trying to	
8		make.	
9	Q.	No.	
10	A.	(Bascom) The supplier and provider are the	
11		person or the company that manufactures	
12		the cable is ABB.	
13	Q.	Right. Do you have any idea where they do	
14		this, where they're located to actually	
15		manufacture the cable?	
16	A.	(Bascom) I suspect they're located in Europe.	
17	Q.	So this is the process would probably be	
18		to ship it by ship to someplace like Boston	
19		or Portland or someplace and then haul it	
20		over the road to the job site.	
21		Would it be hauled would you know if	
22		it would be hauled on a trailer and spool	
23		like this, or would they get delivered on a	
24		flatbed and then transferred?	
	{SEC	2015-06 [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] {10-23-1	7}

 A. (Bascom) Normally the cable would be transported on a larger flatbed to a stag area. And then this particular piece of 	
3 area. And then this particular piece of	
	s a
4 equipment is what I would characterize as	
5 reel cart. It would pick up the reel and	đ
6 bring it to the installation site, normal	lly
7 more locally to where the installation is	S
8 going to take place. This type of a train	iler
9 is not intended for long-haul purposes on	n
10 highways.	
11 Q. All right. I suspected that. Thank you	•
12 So the tractor trailer would show up	p.
13 Might have two of those spools?	
14 A. (Bascom) Potentially two or three, depend	ding
15 on the length of the cable being installe	ed,
16 yes.	
17 Q. Would you accept my calculations that	
18 typically one of these spools is going to	0
19 have in the vicinity of 2,000 feet, rough	hly a
20 third of a mile, a little more a little	less?
21 A. (Bascom) I would accept that, yes.	
22 Q. And according to some documentation I rea	ad,
23 the weight of that is 20.9 pounds per lin	near
24 foot. Will you accept that?	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			тт,
1	A.	(Bascom) That's in the range of what it could	
2		be, yes, depending on the construction of the	
3		cable.	
4	Q.	Add that spool. Wooden or steel?	
5	A.	(Bascom) Almost definitely would be a steel	
6		reel.	
7	Q.	Yup. Weighs another estimated two to three	
8		ton. Heavy. We are again looking at	
9		something in the vicinity of 23 to 25 tons.	
10		Will you accept that?	
11	A.	(Bascom) Yes, I would say approximately	
12		40,000 to 50,000 pounds for	
13	Q.	And a crane would be required at the staging	
14		site to take it off the truck at least once.	
15		If you had three on a trailer, you're going	
16		to put two on the ground and then need it	
17		again to load up, adding to the process;	
18		correct?	
19	Α.	(Bascom) The crane would be necessary to	
20		unload the reels from a tractor-trailer.	
21		This particular piece of equipment that is in	
22		my report is actually capable of picking up a	
23		reel off the ground, so a crane is not	
24		required to load the reel onto the cart.	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	7}

1	Q.	Got some kind of hydraulics
2	A.	(Bascom) Something like that.
3	Q.	to lift it?
4	A.	(Bascom) It picks up the arbor on the reel.
5	Q.	I see that now.
6		The 320 kV cable's got enough
7		flexibility to be rolled up on the spool. So
8		it must have enough flexibility to go down if
9		it's a manhole application with a chimney,
10		make the turn inside the manhole and then go
11		into the conduit where it can get pulled.
12		This cable apparently does have that much
13		flexibility; correct?
14	A.	(Bascom) It does. And if you'd like, I could
15		refer you to a photograph in the Applicant's
16		material, if we want to look at that. It's
17		Applicant's Exhibit 227, Page APP 833386.
18		Looks to be 37th page of that reference.
19		MS. MERRIGAN: Can you repeat
20		the number again?
21		WITNESS BASCOM: Sure. It's
22		Applicant's Exhibit 227.
23		MS. MERRIGAN: And the APP
24		number, please?
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			112
1		WITNESS BASCOM: Is 833386.	
2		(Discussion among witnesses.)	
3		WITNESS BASCOM: Sorry. My	
4		mistake. It's 83386. I added an extra 3.	
5	BY M	MR. THOMPSON:	
6	Q.	That's good. That is very descriptive.	
7		Thank you.	
8		(Pause)	
9	Q.	So, here we see this is a 3-inch at minimum	
10		diameter, and certainly it's showing good	
11		flexibility in being able to run it down into	
12		the manhole.	
13	Α.	(Bascom) Approximate minimum radius of bend	
14		is about 20 times the outer diameter. And	
15		for a cable this size, it's probably on the	
16		order of 80 inches, 6 to 7 feet.	
17	Q.	Yup. Thank you.	
18		Confusion started to set in on the	
19		difference between a splice pit and a	
20		manhole. You're using in your description of	
21		splicing, you're using the word "manhole."	
22		Care to try to define the difference between	
23		the two?	
24	A.	(Bascom) I would say typically a manhole is a	
	Jerc	2015-06 Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY $1/10-23-1$	71

1		characteristic area where connection of
2		underground utilities might take place, and
3		the free space within the manhole is left
4		open so that a worker or workers could
5		re-enter that space on relatively short
6		notice. A splice pit, in my
7		characterization, may be filled with a
8		thermal sand or it may be left open. And the
9		terms, depending on the circumstance, might
10		be synonymous.
11	Q.	And certainly you could fill a manhole down
12		the chimney with thermal backfill if you so
13		wanted to.
14	A.	(Bascom) Typically be a granular sand if that
15		were the case. I'm not aware that that would
16		be necessary or done in this particular
17		situation.
18	Q.	Getting back to your Page 20, back where we
19		were, CFP135 again. You talk about, and I
20		assume it's a local expression of a let me
21		find it here. I think it's called the "bread
22		truck." Is that what you use to describe the
23		unit that keeps all the tools and stuff you
24		set on top of the manhole, Mr. Bascom?
	(

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Bascom) Yes. It's somewhat of a
2		colloquialism for a box, an oversized box
3		panel truck in which splicing equipment and
4		other tools are contained. And they may also
5		have environmental control that directly
6		connects to the manhole chimney so that
7		access to the manhole is also in a confined
8		or controlled environment to minimize
9		contaminants to the manhole during the
10		splicing operation.
11	Q.	Which is important?
12	A.	(Bascom) It is.
13	Q.	Yeah. Now, you also made the point, and so
14		did ABB in their technical report which we're
15		going to look at next, that in fact
16		specialized splicers, finely trained,
17		oftentimes provided by the manufacturer are
18		used.
19	Α.	(Bascom) That's correct.
20	Q.	You need somebody that really knows what
21		they're doing.
22		Now it's CFP Ex 76. As comparison, now
23		we're going to look at ABB, the manufacturer
24		and supplier of the cable's definition of
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		splicing. Page 10, I believe. And beginning
2		on the second paragraph on Page 10 of 24, it
3		refers to custom splicing containers. That's
4		more than likely the equivalent of your
5		container that you work out of, would you
6		say?
7	Α.	(Bascom) Yes.
8	Q.	If you go to the next page, 11, the upper
9		left shows a good-size container setting on
10		the top of the manhole.
11		By the way, have you noticed that this
12		ABB manual, technical proposal, is earmarked
13		directly for Northern Pass? If you look in
14		the upper left corner, it's a Northern Pass
15		technical proposal?
16	Α.	(Bascom) I see that, yes.
17	Q.	So it would appear that this manual was
18		produced for this project and not just a
19		generic manual?
20		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. It
21		was also produced in discovery. It's the same
22		issue we talked about this morning with Mr.
23		Pappas. And it could have and should have been
24		included.

		116
1		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
2		Thompson, it seems like information that this
3		panel could have included in its testimony if
4		Counsel for the Public had wanted to. No? Do
5		you agree or disagree?
6		MR. THOMPSON: Well, it sounds
7		logical. Thank you.
8		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think Mr.
9		Pappas concluded that, too, ultimately. So I'm
10		going to sustain the objection and ask you to
11		move on.
12		MR. THOMPSON: Yup.
13	BY M	R. THOMPSON:
14	Q.	So I guess my big question is: If this
15		manual how would you respond to my
16		statement that, if this manual seemed to be
17		designed for the Northern Pass project, would
18		logic say that it should be followed?
19	A.	(Bascom) I would say generally, yes, it
20		should be followed.
21	Q.	Yeah. And it's certainly got a lot more
22		detail, which is not a criticism, but a lot
23		more detail than you put in. But it must be
24		for a reason.
	~	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	A.	(Bascom) Yes. In terms of my report, I was
2		trying to characterize for the general
3		audience the circumstances and steps in
4		installing a cable system without
5		specifically addressing one manufacturer's
6		methods and means.
7	Q.	They go into great length on Pages 10 and 11
8		of showing the custom splicing container and
9		what it does. It's even showing, if you
10		notice in the would you accept in the
11		lower left corner of the Page 11 they use the
12		words "AC," indicating to me that it must be
13		air-conditioned, which, again, talks about
14		the importance of clean environment?
15		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr.
16		Chair. We're still on the same document.
17		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
18		Thompson.
19		MR. THOMPSON: All right. I'm
20		going to move on.
21		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
22	BY N	IR. THOMPSON:
23	Q.	Page 12, on top of everything else on Page 10
24		and 11, talks about the possibility of an
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

alternate manhole versus --1 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Thompson, you should be moving off of this 3 document because this document --4 5 MR. THOMPSON: Oh, okay. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 6 That's sort 7 of the fundamental problem with this. 8 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. All right. 9 Guess we've made the point, or tried to. Thank 10 you. 11 BY MR. THOMPSON: Last subject. Would you accept my saying 12 Q. that a couple weeks ago, when Mr. Bowes was 13 14 here, he made the comment that there are no transmission lines buried in the state of New 15 16 Hampshire at this time? (Taylor) I don't recall him saying that, no. 17 Α. Page 59, Line 17 of the transcript will show 18 Q. 19 that, if you'd like to take it out. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You want 21 him to assume that that's what it said for the 22 purpose of this next question, or do you 23 actually want to show him the page? 24 MR. THOMPSON: No.

 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

			119
1	BY N	MR. THOMPSON:	
2	Q.	Would you accept the fact that on Page 59,	
3		Line 17 of the transcript two and a half	
4		weeks ago, that was the statement by Mr.	
5		Bowes?	
6	A.	(Taylor) I'll accept that.	
7	Q.	Thank you.	
8		Mr. Bascom, at technical sessions we had	
9		a few months ago, I asked you if you knew of	
10		any kV lines buried in the dirt road in a	
11		geographical area typical of northern New	
12		Hampshire. Do you recall that?	
13	Α.	(Bascom) I do recall it, yes.	
14	Q.	And how many states would you say you're	
15		familiar and have worked in that are somewhat	
16		typical to the geographical area of northern	
17		New Hampshire? I'm thinking of, for	
18		instance, northern New York state. Have you	
19		had projects	
20	A.	(Bascom) Yes, I'm aware of projects in	
21		northern New York state and Connecticut, for	
22		that matter, if you consider that similar.	
23	Q.	Well, Connecticut, it's kind of out of the	
24		weather-wise. How about Michigan?	
	J a R a	2015-06 LDay 50 AFTERNOON Section ONLY 1/10-22-1	ין די

	Wisconsin?	
Α.	(Bascom) Michigan, Minneapolis or Minnesota.	
Q.	You've worked in areas like that.	
	As we ended the day, I asked you the	
	same question, if you remembered any buried	
	line in this type of geographical area. And	
	as the end of the day came of our talk, I	
	asked you to maybe look into it more or think	
	about it. Since that technical session, have	
	you found any dirt roads typical of northern	
	New Hampshire with buried electric	
	transmission lines?	
Α.	(Bascom) I did not in the context of what you	
	had asked. At the time, my response was that	
	the installation of cables like that	
	underneath dirt or gravel were typically	
	confined to substation areas, or conventional	
	utility projects would otherwise be	
	underneath public roadways, asphalt-covered	
	public roadways. So in that context, there's	
	a limited basis for my experience in a dirt	
	road situation.	
Q.	It's possible that none exist?	
A.	(Bascom) It's possible.	
	Q. A.	 A. (Bascom) Michigan, Minneapolis or Minnesota. Q. You've worked in areas like that. As we ended the day, I asked you the same question, if you remembered any buried line in this type of geographical area. And as the end of the day came of our talk, I asked you to maybe look into it more or think about it. Since that technical session, have you found any dirt roads typical of northern New Hampshire with buried electric transmission lines? A. (Bascom) I did not in the context of what you had asked. At the time, my response was that the installation of cables like that underneath dirt or gravel were typically confined to substation areas, or conventional utility projects would otherwise be underneath public roadways, asphalt-covered public roadways. So in that context, there's a limited basis for my experience in a dirt road situation. Q. It's possible that none exist?

121

1	Q.	There might be a reason why they don't exist;
2		isn't that true?
3	А.	(Bascom) I think it's likely that some do
4		exist, but I'm just not aware of them.
5	Q.	Thank you.
6		MR. THOMPSON: That's it. Thank
7		you, Mr. Chairman.
8		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next on my
9		list is Mr. Cunningham.
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY M	R. CUNNINGHAM:
12	Q.	You guys have been working hard. Thank you.
13		I'm not going to take very long.
14		My name is Art Cunningham. I'm an
15		attorney, and I represent Kevin Spencer and
16		Mark Legasse, who own or construct and own
17		the Percy Lodge and Campground in Stark, New
18		Hampshire. I'm also here as a representative
19		of the Dummer, Stark, Northumberland Group.
20		And are you familiar with that area of the
21		Project?
22	Α.	(Taylor) Yes.
23	Α.	(Alexander) Yes.
24	Α.	(Zysk) In general, yes.
	_	

		122
1	Q.	And have you been there?
2	A.	(Taylor) Yes.
3	Q.	And are you familiar with the Project at the
4		Percy Lodge and Campground? That's the
5		overhead portion of the Project.
6	A.	(Taylor) I'm not familiar with the
7		campground, no.
8		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Taylor,
9		no one can hear you at this point.
10	A.	(Taylor) I'm not familiar with the
11		campground.
12	BY M	IR. CUNNINGHAM:
13	Q.	Okay. That's fair enough.
14	A.	(Alexander) Is this campground active or is
15		it closed?
16	Q.	Just about finished. It's active now, and
17		the lodge is just about done. And I can tell
18		you this, that the back of the lodge is
19		somewhere between 3- and 400 feet from the
20		overhead portion of the line.
21		Okay. And I don't have many questions,
22		but I did a pretty extensive
23		cross-examination of the construction and
24		environmental panel, the Applicant's
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

construction and environmental panel. 1 And a 2 big concern my clients have, and the folks in Dummer, Stark and Northumberland have, are 3 One is what blasting will have to be two: 4 5 done in the Dummer, stark, Northumberland stretch of the Project; and two, what impact 6 7 the co-location of these two high-voltage 8 electric lines, both the DC line and the AC line, will have in terms of the safety of the 9 Project. 10

11 So my first questions are: When you did 12 your analysis and your testimony and prefiled testimony, what did you have in front of you? 13 14 (Taylor) I would refer to our response to one Α. 15 of the Applicant's data requests for the list 16 of documents that we had. But I would say 17 substantially for the overhead line what comes to mind are the various maps and plans 18 which would show the alignment and the 19 20 context of the line. 21 Q. Okay. And I'm not so much interested in the 22 I'm interested in the plans. maps. What 23 plans did you have?

24 A. (Taylor) Relative to the overhead?

 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	Q.	Yes, to this particular section, the Dummer,
2		Stark and Northumberland section.
3	Α.	(Taylor) Sure. I'm just drawing off of top
4		my head. Appendix 47 comes to mind, which
5		are in fact aerial maps, but it does show the
6		location of the lines, the work pad areas, or
7		crane pads, where the sediment and erosion
8		control and other items, to the extent they
9		were shown.
10	Q.	Would you call those preliminary plans?
11	A.	(Taylor) I wouldn't use the term
12		"preliminary." But they definitely show the
13		intent of where the line is to be located,
14		where the work pads are to be placed. I
15		wouldn't call them construction documents. I
16		wouldn't go that far.
17	Q.	That's my point. Those were not the plans
18		that you looked at were not build plans, were
19		they?
20	A.	(Taylor) No.
21	Q.	And in terms of percentage, I know in
22		response to some questions you had earlier
23		today from one of the cross-examiners, they
24		weren't even 30-percent plans, were they?
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	Α.	(Taylor) I wouldn't say that. No, I wouldn't
2		characterize it that way. Because 30-percent
3		plans generally show alignment and where
4		you're getting into type, size and location
5		information. And it's beyond that point
6		where you get into what I would call the
7		construction, more detailed level.
8	Q.	And were they 10-percent plans?
9	A.	(Taylor) No, they're more than 10 percent.
10		I'm going to say they're closer to the
11		30 percent because they're showing type, size
12		and location. But I wouldn't characterize
13		that appendix as more than that.
14	Q.	All right. And in terms of my client's
15		concern and the folks in that stretch of
16		Dummer, Stark and Northumberland, in the
17		somewhere between 10- and 30-percent plans,
18		were you able to, with engineering precision,
19		establish how many foundations would have to
20		be built?
21	Α.	(Taylor) I don't recall that number, off the
22		top of my head. But there are that could
23		be calculated from the plans.
24	Α.	(Alexander) Yes. The plans that we had been
L	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		provided showed locations of proposed
2		foundations. So the ability to quantify and
3		document those I believe were available.
4	Q.	And according to the record, and this is
5		already in the record, there will be,
6		according to those plans, 161 HVDC
7		foundations. Would you agree with that?
8	Α.	(Taylor) I'll accept it. I don't have a
9		reason to confirm or not at this point.
10	Q.	And this is in the record as well. There
11		will be 159, 115 kV AC foundations.
12	Α.	(Taylor) I'll accept that.
13	Q.	So the total, by my count, is 320
14		foundations, HVDC foundations and AC
15		foundations through that stretch, the Dummer,
16		Stark, Northumberland stretch.
17	Α.	(Taylor) I'll accept that.
18	Q.	And based on the information you had to
19		develop your testimony and your reports, do
20		you have the dimensions of those foundations?
21		For example, for the DC foundations, the
22		depth, the size, do you have that
23		information?
24	Α.	(Alexander) The Applicant provided

1		preliminary estimate size, type of
2		foundation. But those were estimates only.
3		Exact depth, functionality of each location,
4		was to be determined based on geotechnical
5		information yet to be provided.
6	Q.	And I assume the same is true with respect to
7		the AC foundations; it's estimates?
8	A.	(Alexander) Correct.
9	Q.	And when you did your study and prepared your
10		testimony and did your reports, did you have
11		in front of you any geotechnical information?
12	Α.	(Alexander) I believe there was some limited
13		geotechnical information. I believe that was
14		mostly focused on the underground portions,
15		though, not the overhead as much.
16	Q.	Right. So how would you characterize the
17		geotechnical information you had in front of
18		you with respect to the overhead portion?
19	Α.	(Alexander) Those would be preliminary. I
20		believe they were mostly based on soil maps
21		by the USGS.
22	Q.	And did you have any specific information
23		with respect to, say geotechnical boring
24		information, to determine the quality of the
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			120
1		terrain? Did you have that kind of	
2		information in front of you?	
3	Α.	(Alexander) For the overhead?	
4	Q.	Yes, I'm talking about the overhead.	
5	A.	(Taylor) I don't recall that information at	
6		that time.	
7	A.	(Alexander) No, I don't believe so.	
8	Q.	So, referring again specifically to the	
9		Dummer, Stark and Northumberland stretch of	
10		the overhead project, we don't know whether	
11		it was ledge or sand or a combination of	
12		ledge and sand. We just don't know, do we?	
13	Α.	(Taylor) Correct.	
14	Q.	So we don't know, based on the information	
15		that's in the Application, nor the	
16		information that you had in your possession	
17		when you prepared your report, whether or not	
18		blasting would be required. You don't know	
19		that, do you?	
20	A.	(Taylor) That's correct. Not at specific	
21		locations.	
22	Q.	All right. And so we don't know the size of	
23		the foundations. We don't know whether	
24		blasting would be required. So we don't have	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	.7}

		12	•
1		any information with respect to the	
2		hydrogeology of those 320 foundation sites in	
3		the Dummer, Stark, Northumberland stretch.	
4	А.	(Taylor) That's correct. Not that I'm aware	
5		of.	
6	Q.	And so you can't tell this Committee whether	
7		or not any blasting whatsoever will be	
8		required to construct the foundation in that	
9		stretch, can you?	
10	A.	(Taylor) That is correct.	
11	Q.	And are you familiar with blasting?	
12	A.	(Taylor) Generally aware of blasting, yes.	
13	Q.	And I remember specifically, much to my great	
14		shock, that I asked Mr. Keiser if he'd ever	
15		heard of the blasting compound called ANFO.	
16		Do any of you know what ANFO is?	
17	Α.	(Taylor) I do not.	
18	Α.	(Bascom) I'm aware of it, but not in the	
19		context of building power lines.	
20	Q.	And if blasting is required, and if ANFO is	
21		used, do you know what the components of ANFO	
22		are, for example, in the hydrogeology of this	
23		project?	
24		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr.	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}	

Chair. This is all generic material that could 1 2 have and should have been included in the testimony. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. 4 5 Cunningham. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, it was 6 7 not included, so I'm making that point, Mr. 8 Chair. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Point made. 9 10 Objection sustained. 11 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: And if I told you that ANFO includes the 12 ο. compound nitrate, are you aware of that? 13 14 Same objection. MR. NEEDLEMAN: 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sustained. 16 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 17 0. Now, the other area that is of great concern to my client, of course, and Ms. Manzelli 18 raised the issue, is the co-location of these 19 20 high-voltage power lines with the Portland 21 Natural Gas transmission system. And I think 22 you told us that you looked at a couple 23 documents with respect to co-location of 24 high-voltage electric lines with

 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

		13.
1		high-pressure gas pipelines?
2	A.	(Zysk) With gas pipelines, yes.
3	Q.	Yes. And what do you know about this
4		Portland Natural Gas pipeline?
5		MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr.
6		Chair. No. 1, this was already covered. No.
7		2, since that point we've identified four
8		different data requests that covered this
9		topic, including one from Mr. Cunningham's
10		client and one from Counsel for the Public,
11		showing that this was an issue that these folks
12		were aware of in August of 2016.
13		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
14		Cunningham.
15		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Both these
16		gentlemen identified two documents, Mr. Chair,
17		that they looked at. And I happen to have
18		those previously marked as exhibits in the
19		Dummer, Stark, Northumberland exhibit list.
20		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
21		MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I want to
22		show them to make sure they're the documents
23		that they identified that they looked at.
24		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. If
I	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		that's what you want to do, that's okay.
2		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Thank
3		you.
4	BY M	IR. CUNNINGHAM:
5	Q.	I'm showing you a document that's entitled
6		"Advanced Analysis of HVDC Electrodes
7		Interference on Neighboring Pipelines." Is
8		that one of the documents?
9	Α.	(Zysk) It is not.
10	Q.	It is not?
11	A.	(Zysk) Correct.
12	Q.	For the record, that's DNA Exhibit 61.
13		And now I show you a document entitled,
14		"Criteria for Pipelines Co-Existing with
15		Electric Power Lines." Is that one of the
16		documents that you looked at?
17	Α.	(Zysk) It is.
18	Q.	And could you tell me when you were asked to
19		look at that or when you did look at that
20		particular document?
21	Α.	(Zysk) I would say I first looked at it
22		probably in the spring.
23	Q.	Of 2017?
24	Α.	(Zysk) Of this year, yes.

1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And let the
2	record reflect that this is DNA Exhibit No. 62
3	in the ShareFile.
4	BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
5	Q. And you read that document; did you not?
6	A. (Zysk) I did.
7	Q. And did that document raise concerns about
8	the co-location of the pipeline, the Portland
9	Natural Gas pipeline, with respect to the
10	high-voltage electric
11	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. Same
12	basis.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.
14	Cunningham.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, they
16	opened that door, Mr. Chair, and I certainly
17	think I can ask them about the existence of
18	that document and their impression of that
19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What door
20	are you talking about?
21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The door that
22	they identified documents.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Who's the
24	"they" in that sentence?
	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This panel. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No. Sorry. That's not what happened here. The question is 3 the scope of the testimony that they submitted 4 at Counsel for the Public's request, or on 5 behalf of Counsel for the Public. 6 The questions you're asking are beyond the scope of 7 8 that testimony. I'm going to sustain the 9 objection. Well, let me 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: 11 make one more point with respect to the scope of their testimony issue. If -- and here's an 12 argument I think has not been advanced, that I 13 think I must advance for the record. 14 Your 15 premise, Mr. Chair, is that this is friendly 16 cross-examination and this is beyond the scope 17 of that examination. I would suggest, Mr. 18 Chair, since they did not cover this critical 19 subject in their testimony, knowing about the 20 existence of the pipeline, that it's not 21 friendly cross-examination, it's 22 cross-examination. 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Explain to me how your position on the line in the area 24 {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

you're talking about differs from Counsel for 1 the Public's? 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: It doesn't 3 differ. It's just something I think that the 4 5 Counsel for the Public's experts should have covered because of the critical nature of the 6 7 issue. 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And they didn't. And that was their decision. 9 That's the witness -- that's the scope of the witness 10 11 testimony. 12 The scope of cross-examination, speaking broadly, is 13 14 limited to the scope of what the witnesses 15 testified to on direct. Now, there are certainly plenty of times when you can go 16 17 beyond that, but that's where you start. You start with the scope of their direct 18 19 testimony. In this context, because this is 20 friendly, meaning that you are on the same 21 side of this and don't disagree on any 22 significant issue with respect to what you're 23 talking about with these witnesses, that is the basis for the ruling. 24

MR. CUNNINGHAM: But the point, 1 2 Mr. Chair, is it is not friendly because they did not cover this very important issue. 3 So it's cross-examination, not friendly 4 5 cross-examination. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You think 6 that these witnesses are not credible because 7 they didn't do certain work, so you want to 8 impeach Counsel for the Public's witnesses and 9 undercut their credibility in the eyes of the 10 11 Committee? MR. CUNNINGHAM: 12 Yes. I think it's very, very important that the fact that 13 they did not cover this critical co-location 14 15 issue in their direct testimony allows me to cross-examine them on the lack of coverage of 16 17 that particular issue, this co-location issue. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 18 Mr. 19 Needleman. 20 MR. NEEDLEMAN: Two things: 21 First of all, if Mr. Cunningham is going to 22 stand by that statement and allow it to stand 23 on the record, that he doesn't find these witnesses credible, I may entertain allowing 24 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

him to go forward if it's my choice. 1 But second of all, just so the 2 record's clear, it was unequivocal in August 3 of last year, six months before their 4 testimony was due, that they knew about this 5 issue, and they chose not to include it. 6 And to the extent that this repeats itself as we 7 8 go forward with other parties, and they want 9 to make same claim as Mr. Cunningham, I think they're going to need take the same position 10 11 as him. 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas, you have any thoughts on Mr. Cunningham 13 attacking your experts' credibility? 14 15 MR. PAPPAS: Couple thoughts. 16 One, certainly any party is entitled to attack 17 any other party's witness's credibility. And they're capable of defending their credibility 18 19 as a general proposition. 20 The second point is that these 21 gentlemen were given charges by Counsel for 22 the Public as to what to look at and what not 23 to look at, so it was Counsel for Public's choice what they should look at and not look 24 {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	at. He certainly can inquire about what they
2	looked at what and what they didn't look at
3	and what they investigated and didn't
4	investigate, but ultimately their charge came
5	from Counsel for the Public as to what they
6	should look at and study and analyze on
7	behalf of Counsel for the Public.
8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And Mr.
9	Cunningham, you have asked the witnesses what
10	it is they looked at. You may not have closed
11	the loop on everything they looked at or didn't
12	look at. But if you want to run that down, you
13	certainly can. But I'm not persuaded that you
14	should go beyond that at this point.
15	Mr. Pappas.
16	MR. PAPPAS: Let me just make
17	one final point, that Counsel for the Public is
18	not aligned with any party. We're an
19	independent party. So we're not friendly or
20	unfriendly with any particular party. We have
21	a statutory role, and I'm reminded that we're
22	an independent party, not aligned with any of
23	the parties.
24	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Understood

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

as a legal matter. But the positions you 1 2 articulate and your witnesses articulate are agreeable to the folks who are opposed to this 3 project. 4 5 MR. PAPPAS: Well, on some issues they may be, but on other issues they're 6 7 not. 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I acknowledge that. That is clearly true. 9 There are areas where I think burial versus 10 11 non-burial is one where there are places where 12 some people say bury the line and others say don't, and that's an area where the parties are 13 14 actually adverse to each other. The 15 intervenors who are generally opposed to the 16 Project, there are areas where on specific 17 issues they are not aligned. This does not appear to be one of those issues, however. 18 19 Mr. Cunningham. 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I would proceed 21 to ask them about my Exhibit DNA 64, which is 22 the letter from Tom Getz that included the 23 preliminary co-location study, the Applicant's co-location study, and ask them about that 24 {SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

document. 1 2 MR. NEEDLEMAN: This is now a different issue, Mr. Chair. They testified 3 earlier they never saw it. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What True. would your question be about it? 6 7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to 8 repeat the question that Mr. Needleman just asked. 9 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 10 Did you see the Applicant's co-location study 11 0. that I've got identified as DNA Exhibit No. 12 64? And the exhibit itself is the so-called 13 14 Corrpro Preliminary Interference Assessment 15 for the Burns & McConnell/Northern Pass HVDC 16 project. Have you seen that document? 17 Α. (Taylor) I've seen the document today, but it's not a document that I have reviewed. 18 And you did not conduct any independent 19 0. examination of the co-location issue that is 20 21 between HVDC lines, high-voltage HVDC lines 22 and high-voltage AC lines. You did not do an 23 independent study of my client's concern about the dangers posed by that co-location 24

SEC 2015-06 [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

			141
1		issue.	
2	A.	(Taylor) No, we have not.	
3	Q.	And you're aware, are you not, that, say for	
4		example, the 320 HVDC is a variable voltage	
5		in other words, it's not a steady-state	
6		voltage are you aware of the dangers	
7		caused by let's put it in numbers. 320	
8		kV, DC line is 320,000 volts; is it not?	
9	A.	(Bascom) Yes, it's 320,000 volts.	
10	Q.	And it's 320 plus or minus. So it can be as	
11		much as 340,000 volts of electricity running	
12		through that DC line; can it not be?	
13	A.	(Bascom) I'm not certain of the basis for	
14		that, no.	
15	Q.	In other words, you don't know.	
16	A.	(Bascom) I do not.	
17	Q.	And it can also be steady state; can it not?	
18		It can be 320	
19	A.	(Bascom) Yes.	
20	Q.	thousand volts. And are you aware, for	
21		example, and these are pointed out in the	
22		so-called Corrpro study, that there is faults	
23		that can come from the 640,000-volt DC line	
24		that pose danger when co-located with	
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	17}

1		pipelines?
2	A.	(Bascom) As we've testified, I haven't
3		reviewed this particular report to make a
4		comment on the statement that was made in
5		that report.
6	Q.	And you have not investigated or looked at
7		other experts or other aspects other than the
8		one document that you have identified for us.
9	Α.	(DeWan) My focus as a underground cable
10		engineer was to focus on the sections that
11		were to be buried underground. And this
12		co-location is a section that as I understand
13		is overhead, so I did not study that at all.
14	Q.	How about any of the rest of the members of
15		this panel?
16	Α.	(Taylor) No, I have not.
17	Q.	Can you help us understand the dangers of
18		this co-location issue and the overhead
19		portion of this project?
20	Α.	(Taylor) This isn't something that I have
21		reviewed.
22	Q.	Any of you?
23	Α.	(Alexander) This is not my area of expertise,
24		sir.
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1	A.	(Zysk) Again, as I mentioned this morning, I
2		read through the two referenced documents
3		that I have, and which you have one, and what
4		I found appears to be the damage would be
5		potentially to the coating on the pipeline,
6		which would over time potentially cause
7		long-term corrosion. The two documents seem
8		to indicate that effects on other things,
9		persons, whatnot, outside of the actual
10		construction of the line were reasonably
11		within the realm of safety.
12	Q.	And is that your testimony? Based on what?
13	A.	(Zysk) Based on reading those two documents.
14	Q.	And you have not read the Corrpro document?
15	A.	(Zysk) I think that's been made clear.
16		MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's all I
17		have.
18		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go
19		off the record for a minute.
20		(Discussion off the record)
21		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Ahern,
22		you may proceed.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY M	R. AHERN:
l	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

1	Q.	Gentlemen, I'm Bruce Ahern. I'm one of the
2		intervenors from Bethlehem to Bridgewater
3		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the
4		record.
5		(Discussion off the record)
6	BY N	IR. AHERN:
7	Q.	I'm Bruce Ahern, one of the intervenors in
8		the group from Bethlehem to Plymouth. And
9		I'm the only intervenor from the Plymouth
10		area, and that's the area that I'm going to
11		talk about because that's the area I'm
12		familiar with, mainly Route 3 South in
13		Plymouth.
14		The first thing I want to start off with
15		is, Mr. Taylor or excuse me Mr. Bascom,
16		in your prefiled testimony, you talked about
17		the Applicants having an unreasonable rate of
18		construction estimation. I've heard
19		different figures and seen different figures
20		in the testimony. I'm assuming that's
21		referring to the 300 feet per day that they
22		originally talked about? Or is that I've
23		seen 10 to 100 feet per day figures recently.
24	A.	(Bascom) It was in reference to 300 feet per
L	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		day.
2	Q.	So you think that the 10 to 100 feet per day
3		is a more realistic number for the current,
4		or do you think that's even an
5		over-estimation?
6	Α.	(Bascom) I think that's generally acceptable
7		or expected per crew, yes.
8	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
9		And Mr. Taylor, in your prefiled
10		testimony, which is up on the screen there,
11		you mentioned the figure of 19,653 concrete
12		and dump truck trips for the open trench
13		construction. And am I correct in assuming
14		that those figures I think they also came
15		from the Applicant that was for the 4-foot
16		depth on the trenches; is that correct?
17	Α.	(Taylor) Yes. The 19,653 is our calculation,
18		not from the Applicant. But it is based on
19		the Applicant's typical detailed
20		cross-section.
21	Q.	But that was when they were planning on a
22		4-foot depth; is that correct?
23	Α.	(Taylor) That's correct.
24	Q.	Okay. Now that they're having to go down

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			T4(
1		7 feet, what do you figure that number is	
2		going to be?	
3	А.	(Taylor) I don't know specifically. But if	
4		the average trench depth increases, then that	
5		number would increase as well.	
6	Q.	Can you basically assume it's going to, I	
7		mean, increase by at least three quarters	
8		since we're going from 4 feet to 7 feet?	
9	А.	(Taylor) No, I can't make that statement.	
10		But I will say definitively that if the line	
11		is deeper, they're going to need more dump	
12		trucks to bring in. In this case, that was	
13		relating to I believe concrete was our	
14		calculation for that, related to the flowable	
15		fill from our report.	
16	Q.	Okay. Your testimony talks about it says	
17		concrete and dump truck deliveries.	
18	А.	(Taylor) That's correct.	
19	Q.	So I assumed that you were talking about	
20		trucking out the stuff that they've dug out	
21		and then hauling it back, whatever they need	
22		to after they put their concrete in, to fill	
23		over the top. And I'm talking about the	
24		areas outside the pavement. Is that	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	.7}

1	A.	(Taylor) Correct. If I understand your
2		question, it does refer to both concrete
3		trucks and dump trucks in this calculation.
4		But our estimated volume for a concrete
5		truck, I believe we ran at 8 cubic yards and
6		10 cubic yards for a dump truck. So that
7		while those numbers aren't the same, I would
8		really have to run the numbers with the new
9		depth to tell you what the increase would be.
10	Q.	Okay. But you would as far as the fill
11		that they're taking or the dirt they're
12		taking out and bringing back in, it's going
13		to be a significant increase in the number of
14		trucks since you're going 3 feet deeper into
15		the hole.
16	Α.	(Taylor) I would assume that to be the case,
17		yes.
18	Q.	And I'm correct, and just the way you
19		understand it, they're going to take dirt
20		out, haul it to a holding location, and then
21		once they're finished with their
22		installation, they're going to bring some of
23		that fill back and put it back in the hole.
24	Α.	(Taylor) That could be the case if it's
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		suitable material. The DOT's recent finding
2		of a definition of the structural box of the
3		road and the extent that flowable fill could
4		be brought up from within the trench, I
5		haven't run those specific numbers. But that
6		has a factor on those too have a factor on
7		how much would be hauled away and/or brought
8		back of native material.
9	Q.	But the way I understand it, a lot of their
10		plans have the ditch outside of the actual
11		structure box of the road. The DOT's
12		requiring them to be outside the pavement,
13		outside that structure box that they're
14		talking about.
15	A.	(Taylor) They could be. I haven't reviewed
16		the exemption request at that level.
17	Q.	Okay. Well, the exception request is you get
18		into the road. The DOT wants them outside
19		the road.
20	Α.	(Taylor) That's a true statement. However,
21		on the exemption request, they do show
22		alignments that are in green in some cases
23		where they are realigning outside of the
24		pavement, but still within the right-of-way.
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			143
1		That's what I'm referring to.	
2	Q.	I'm not talking about the right-of-way. I'm	
3		talking about the structural box on the road.	
4	A.	(Taylor) That's correct. If I recall the	
5		definition from DOT, the structural box was a	
6		distance below the pavement, and it extended	
7		horizontally outwards to the terminus of the	
8		slope, which could be whatever the slope is	
9		outside of the road edge.	
10	Q.	Okay. I'll agree with that. But some of the	
11		ditches that they talked about, to me, from	
12		what I've seen from the diagrams, have been	
13		actually outside of the structure box.	
14	A.	(Taylor) That could be the case, yeah.	
15	Q.	Okay. Next thing I want to refer to, and	
16		I'll bring it up in a minute, is Exception	
17		Request No. 3 dealing with an area around	
18		Glove Hollow Brook. Are you gentlemen	
19		generally familiar with that area?	
20	A.	(Taylor) Generally from the maps, but	
21	Q.	The building on the left at the bottom of the	
22		picture is the Italian Farmhouse. The road	
23		to the right near the top is Cummings Hill	
24		Road. The blue lines there show the area	
	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17	7}

			13
1		that bounds the brook itself.	
2	A.	(Taylor) Okay.	
3	Q.	Are you familiar with that? As I said, this	
4		is Exception Request No. 3, Revision No. 3.	
5		And it shows there an estimated time of	
6		installation of three to five weeks. Do you	
7		see that?	
8	A.	(Taylor) I do.	
9	Q.	Okay. I don't know how clear that is for	
10		you, but it's the exception request. And I	
11		heard you testify earlier today that on	
12		actually, I'm sorry. I meant to	
13		The area that I'm concerned about is	
14		this top picture. Do you remember that view?	
15		That's Route 3 looking north towards the	
16		Italian Farmhouse. I think basically you're	
17		down almost to the brook, the Glove Hollow	
18		Brook, looking north. That's uphill.	
19	A.	(Taylor) Okay.	
20	Q.	And you couldn't tell from the picture. But	
21		if you look at this diagram, and I'll try	
22		and okay. If you look at the really light	
23		lines that go across the road, do you know	
24		what those are? I mean, can you explain to	
I	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-3	17}

			15
1		the Committee what those lines are?	
2	A.	(Taylor) Are you referring to the contour	
3		lines?	
4	Q.	Yes, that's what I'm getting at.	
5	A.	(Taylor) Okay.	
6	Q.	Okay. And also down at the bottom, the	
7		diagram at the bottom, you see there is a	
8		fair slope to this area. And I understand	
9		from your testimony, or from the testimony	
10		this morning, that the HDD drilling can drill	
11		on a slope like that. It doesn't have to	
12		be the machine doesn't have to be in a	
13		flat area? Because I understood from	
14		previous testimony from the construction	
15		panel that it needed to have a flat area.	
16	A.	(Zysk) A flat area is ideal, but they can	
17		work with the grades that are out there.	
18	Q.	Okay. So they wouldn't have to dig into the	
19		road to make a flat area for the drilling	
20		machine to drill the holes?	
21	A.	(Zysk) In general, no.	
22	Q.	Okay. I don't know if you can see the	
23		topographic lines on the left-hand side of	
24		the picture just above the road. Can you see	
L	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-3	L7}

			152
1		those lines?	
2	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
3	Q.	Yeah. And what does it mean when they're	
4		real close together like that?	
5	Α.	(Zysk) A steep slope.	
6	Q.	Steep slope?	
7	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.	
8	Q.	Within the right-of-way; correct?	
9	Α.	(Zysk) Yes, in this case.	
10	Q.	Okay. And this is the area we were just	
11		this is another drawing depicting the work	
12		area in that section. Can you see that, or	
13		do you need me to rotate it?	
14	A.	(Zysk) No, that's fine.	
15	Q.	Okay. My first question is: Do you see that	
16		square that's on the left-hand side of the	
17		road? That is a house. Is it normal for the	
18		work area to go through a house?	
19	A.	(Taylor) No.	
20	Q.	Okay. This morning they were making a big	
21		thing about being within 6 feet of somebody's	
22		house; yet, in this example, it's going	
23		through somebody's house. Do you find it	
24		unusual that they would have that pictured	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	L7}

1		that way even on the third revision to their	
2		exception request?	
3	Α.	(Taylor) Yes. It's not something I'm used to	
4		seeing on plans.	
5	Q.	Okay. The other thing on this, you notice	
6		that the work area actually extends out to	
7		the very edge of the right-of-way.	
8	Α.	(Taylor) That's correct.	
9	Q.	One of you testified this morning they	
10		needed, across the road, they needed a flat	
11		area to work. Does that mean they're going	
12		to have to dig into that bank that had up to	
13		8 feet of slope within the right-of-way? Are	
14		they going to have to excavate all that	
15		material so that they have a flat area to	
16		work?	
17	Α.	(Zysk) I don't know that the entire work area	
18		has to be flat.	
19	Q.	But I mean where there's because I'm	
20		talking about mainly that area where the	
21		entry holes are and the drilling rig has to	
22		sit.	
23	A.	(Zysk) Yes.	
24	Q.	We talked earlier that they needed 30 feet of	

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

			15
1		flat area, a flat area for the rig	
2	A.	(Zysk) Correct.	
3	Q.	which they depict as going out to the edge	
4		of the right-of-way, which means they're	
5		going to have to excavate a good portion of	
6		that bank to get a flat area.	
7	А.	(Zysk) They may have to do some there, yes.	
8	Q.	Okay. The other thing that I'm concerned	
9		about here, I submitted when I was talking to	
10		the construction panel, that the right-of-way	
11		in this area is depicted as being four rods	
12		wide. I told them that the actual width of	
13		this road is only three rods wide. So	
14		they're going to have to adjust this work	
15		area inward, and they're going to have a	
16		limited amount of space. And to maintain a	
17		single lane of traffic for passage of	
18		vehicles, they're going to have to stay on	
19		the, well, the lower side of that picture,	
20		which is actually the west side of the road.	
21		And if they have to bring their work area in,	
22		which they said the minimum they need is	
23		30 feet, then they're going to have to	
24		actually go off the pavement on the west side	
	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	.7}

1		of the road. And do you see the contour
2		lines there on both sides of Cummings Hill
3		Road?
4	Α.	(Taylor) I do.
5	Q.	Okay. Fairly steep?
6	Α.	(Taylor) Correct.
7	Α.	(Zysk) Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. In a situation like that, how do
9		they are you familiar with how they would
10		handle because they're actually going to
11		have to be to the edge of the right-of-way
12		where some of those steep slopes are, are
13		they going to cut into Cummings Hill Road?
14		Because it slopes down at that point, and
15		then it doesn't show across on the left side
16		of Cummings Hill Road here. It doesn't go as
17		far as the house that's there. But it's a
18		fairly steep slope up to that house. So
19		you're going to have a difficult time keeping
20		the cars going along that area. And I was
21		just wondering if you see any problem with
22		this, as far as maintaining a lane for
23		traffic in that area.
24	Α.	(Taylor) Given the current configuration,

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		they can likely get a lane of traffic, from
2		what I've seen on the plans. Your
3		hypothetical, where it's I believe one rod
4		narrower, it's likely that they couldn't do
5		that.
6	Q.	Okay. And that's what I'm concerned about
7		because that's my access to town, and the
8		traffic on that road is fairly heavy.
9		I just wanted to point out one other
10		thing. Again, that's the same area. And if
11		you look at the building that's on the
12		right-hand side of the road there, you notice
13		it's right at the edge of their depicted
14		right-of-way. And if they have to move their
15		work area closer to the center of the road,
16		or even across the center of the road to stay
17		out of the house that's on the left side, the
18		travel lane is going to have to be very close
19		to the house that's there on the right.
20		Would you agree?
21	A.	(Taylor) That could be the case, depending on
22		how they configure the work area.
23	Q.	Okay. Do you see I mean, do you see
24		enough room there to do a work area plus have
ļ	{SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		a lane of traffic between the you got a
2		maximum of 66 feet as depicted by the
3		right-of-way lines that they depict, which is
4		four rods. Do you see enough room there to
5		have a work area plus a travel lane, plus
6		room for the you notice that the gentleman
7		on the right-hand side, his driveway goes to
8		the front of the building, so that's where he
9		parks his cars.
10	Α.	(Taylor) Yeah, there's no doubt that would be
11		a challenge. I suspect that the
12		configuration of the work area as shown,
13		which is more of a complete rectangle, would
14		likely not be able to be maintained. And
15		if depending what the ultimate
16		right-of-way is, you may not be able to get
17		one lane of traffic through there without
18		widening the road, so to speak, temporarily.
19		But there's a number of hypotheticals there
20		that would need to be look at.
21	Q.	But I mean just looking at the two buildings
22		only 66 feet apart, do you think that they
23		would be able to maintain a lane of traffic
24		through there, even if they had the
	[and	2015 OGLEDAR EO AETERNOON Coggion ONIVI(10 22 17)

{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		right-of-way?
2	A.	(Taylor) It's possible. And I'll reiterate
3		my statement. If the work area is able to
4		and they choose to reconfigure it, by way of
5		example, perhaps the work area in front of
6		the square building that's shown on the
7		left-hand side of this image, it may very
8		well only be enough just to traverse the work
9		vehicles back and forth. Or the work
10		vehicles could go out into the single lane of
11		controlled traffic.
12	Q.	But that would slow down any traffic that was
13		trying to pass by there.
14	A.	(Taylor) Oh, for sure, that would be the
15		case.
16	Q.	Okay. The last question I have is: Are you
17		gentlemen familiar with Japanese knotwood
18		[sic]?
19	A.	(Taylor) I can't say I'm particularly
20		familiar with that.
21	A.	(Zysk) It's an invasive species, if I
22		remember correctly.
23	Q.	That's correct. And some of the changes that
24		have occurred to the plans that the
l	SEC	2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-17}

1		Applicants have submitted now have them
2		digging in areas where there is Japanese
3		knotwood. You said earlier that they're
4		going to haul material out and probably haul
5		material back in to these areas. How are
6		they going to be sure they aren't spreading
7		Japanese knotwood to areas where it isn't at
8		the present time? Do you have any idea as to
9		how they do that with other invasive species
10		if you're not familiar with Japanese
11		knotwood?
12	A.	(Zysk) Offhand, no. I assume it's something
13		the environmental folks would cover in
14		requirements on treating or not treating.
15	Q.	Okay. That wouldn't be part of the
16		construction consideration?
17	A.	(Zysk) Not specifically.
18	Q.	Okay. That's all the questions I have, Mr.
19		Chairman.
20		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
21		That brings us to the end of the day today.
22		When we come back tomorrow morning, Mr. Lakes
23		will have the microphone, and we'll continue
24		with the list from there. Thank you all.
	Jerc	2015-06 LDay 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY 1/10-23-17

 $\{\text{SEC 2015-06}\}$ [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

		160
1	(Whereupon Day 50 Afternoon	
2	Session was adjourned at 5:11	
3	p.m., with the Day 51 hearing to resume	
4	on October 24, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
l	{SEC 2015-06}[Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY]{10-23-1	.7}

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
3	Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
4	of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of my stenographic
7	notes of these proceedings taken at the
8	place and on the date hereinbefore set
9	forth, to the best of my skill and ability
10	under the conditions present at the time.
11	I further certify that I am neither
12	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by any of the parties to the
14	action; and further, that I am not a
15	relative or employee of any attorney or
16	counsel employed in this case, nor am I
17	financially interested in this action.
18	
19	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
20	Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
21	N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)
22	
23	
24	
	{SEC 2015-06} [Day 50 AFTERNOON Session ONLY] $\{10-23-17\}$

	105:12;109:22;	70:5,15;92:13	143:21,24;144:1,6,	71:16
\$	126:4,6	adjourned (1)	7.	analysis (5)
	account (1)	160:2	aiming (1)	41:8;58:3;66:22;
\$1.6 (1)	68:7	adjust (1)	75:11	123:12;132:6
76:3	accounting (1)	154:14	air-conditioned (1)	analyze (1)
	- 20:10	Administrative (2)	117:13	138:6
[accuracy (3)	39:14;50:16	alert (1)	and/or (1)
F	- 29:14;31:1;67:22	advance (1)	57:7	148:7
[No (1)	accurate (7)	134:14	Alexander (25)	ANFO (5)
6:11	11:1;31:16;36:24;	Advanced (2)	12:17;42:7;58:16;	129:15,16,20,21;
[sic] (3)	66:3,15;68:9;71:2	132:6;134:13	72:19;73:1;97:1,6,	130:12
51:19;99:17;	accurately (2)	advantage (1)	24;98:5;100:20;	angle (1)
158:18	52:18;66:11	57:2	101:9;102:5;103:19;	53:9
	acknowledge (1)	advantageous (1)	104:7,12;121:23;	apart (1)
Α	139:9	33:23	122:14;125:24;	157:22
	acknowledged (1)	adverse (5)	126:24;127:8,12,19;	apiece (1)
ABB (5)	14:21	40:1;52:2,4,21;	128:3,7;142:23	93:24
108:2,12;114:14,	acquired (2)	139:14	aligned (3)	apologize (2)
23;115:12	35:2,23	aerial (1)	138:18,22;139:17	103:8,12
ability (2)	across (8)	124:5	alignment (6)	APP (4)
16:15;126:2	20:9;25:23;34:19;	affected (1)	31:8;33:20;34:8,	58:11;60:15;
able (12)	82:10;150:23;	9:11	16;123:19;125:3	111:17,23
8:24;9:9;16:2;	153:10;155:15;	affects (1)	alignments (1)	apparently (2)
32:10;42:11;63:8;	156:16	58:22	148:22	93:1;111:12
112:11;125:18;	active (2)	afternoon (2)	allotted (1)	appear (6)
157:14,16,23;158:3	122:14,16	39:10;160:1	74:18	13:2;29:5,7;57:19;
above (4)	activities (1)	Again (19)	allow (2)	115:17;139:18
81:16;93:2,22;	4:19	24:9;29:19;34:16;	87:3;136:22	appears (4)
151:24	activity (2)	35:6;38:3;49:24;	allowed (5)	3:17;73:17,18;
Absolutely (1)	5:23;20:3	51:8;63:24;83:7;	46:13,13;55:1;	143:4
88:7	actual (6)	92:16;100:21;110:8,	56:12;57:15	Appendix (2)
abutters (1)	22:12;26:13;31:8;	17;111:20;113:19;	allowing (1)	124:4;125:13
74:16	143:9;148:10;154:12	117:13;128:8;143:1;	136:24	Applicant (22)
abutting (8)	actually (16)	156:10	allows (1)	7:18;9:20;11:15;
17:21;18:1;19:17,	24:4;25:1;55:22;	against (1)	136:15	13:17;28:6,17;35:1;
21;20:20;69:23;70:4,	62:16;80:8;84:4;	99:7	almost (3)	36:5;37:9;40:21;
10	108:14;110:22;	agencies (1)	96:14;110:5;	41:3;49:5,7,8;50:19;
AC (7)	118:23;139:14;	32:20	150:17	51:23;58:2;68:17;
107:10;117:12;	149:13;150:12;	agency (3)	along (8)	73:20;126:24;
123:8;126:11,14;	153:6;154:20,24;	69:18;70:3;71:23	11:3;22:16;25:1,	145:15,18
127:7;140:22	155:10	ago (8)	24;44:6;78:5;81:24;	Applicants (5)
accept (37)	add (7)	81:7;86:13;96:17;	155:20	3:17;50:10;51:3;
31:15;71:1;80:6;	24:23;27:4;41:16;	97:4;102:22;118:13;	alternate (1)	144:17;159:1
82:15;86:12,18;94:2;	65:2;81:23;101:17;	119:4,9	118:1	Applicants' (2)
96:7,15,23;97:4,10,	110:4	agree (20)	alternative (3)	4:14;9:22
18;100:5,16;102:5;	added (1)	3:22;9:8;15:3;	11:5;40:20;41:8	Applicant's (16)
103:14,23;104:5,21;	112:4	29:10;30:22;49:18;	alternatives (2)	8:11;36:23;42:1,
105:1,5,12,17,20;	adding (1)	60:22;66:11;78:22;	6:22;7:6	11;47:9;58:10;65:12;
105.1,5,12,17,20, 106:12;109:17,21,24;	110:17	81:22;82:8;85:3,4,	Although (3)	77:13;111:15,17,22;
110:10;117:10;	addition (1)	11;93:20;94:22;	72:1;92:14;103:4	122:24;123:15;
118:12;119:2,6;	63:8	116:5;126:7;149:10;	altitude (1)	139:23;140:11;
126:8,12,17	additional (11)	156:20	22:24	145:19
acceptable (3)	22:9;24:18,24;	agreeable (1)	always (1)	Application (6)
	27:11;36:12;40:13;	139:3	37:10	45:13;71:19,21;
65:17;79:2;145:6 accepted (3)	41:4;63:6;65:3,5;	agreed (1)	among (7)	105:15;111:9;128:15
8:19;37:18;77:22	104:12	66:8	13:13;54:14;74:3;	Applications (1)
	addressed (1)	agreeing (1)	79:2,3;86:16;112:2	39:21
access (6)	57:8	79:2	amount (4)	applies (1)
59:10;84:20;85:7;	addressing (2)	agreement (2)	27:15;36:18;	40:8
87:3;114:7;156:7	61:10;117:5	69:21;79:1	100:20;154:16	apprise (1)
Accommodation (2)	adequately (3)	ahead (1)	Amy (1)	71:11
71:13,16	52:22;53:6;67:24	51:17	39:10	approach (2)
according (7)	adjacent (3)	Ahern (5)	analogue (1)	54:16;81:3
60:11;66:2;80:23;	J			

appropriate (2) 32:20:80:2 approvals (1) 36:4 approved (5) 5:7;8:22;62:20; 67:2;73:22 Approximate (1) 112:13 approximately (3) 10:24;11:7;110:11 April (5) 7:20,21;35:12; 36:6;63:20 arbor (1) 111:4 area (70) 20:6,8,9;21:11,17; 24:11,11;25:2;26:5; 34:12,14;59:16,18, 18,22;69:5;70:6,13, 16:84:23:88:4.14: 104:5;106:8;109:3; 113:1;119:11,16; 120:6;121:20; 130:17;134:24; 139:13;142:23; 144:10,10,11;149:17, 19,24;150:13;151:8, 13,15,16,19;152:10, 12,18;153:6,11,15, 17.20:154:1.1.6.11. 15,21;155:20,23; 156:10,15,22,24; 157:5,12;158:3,5 areas (27) 3:19,20;4:1,3,5,16, 21;9:11;33:22;36:7, 13,20;37:19,20,22; 52:5:68:15:105:2: 120:3.17:124:6: 139:10,16;146:24; 159:2,5,7 argue (1) 89:23 argument (1) 134:13 arising (1) 37:23 arose (1) 54:1 around (8) 26:1,5;80:24; 95:16;100:14; 101:13;104:8;149:17 arrangement (1) 20:17 arriving (1) 5:24 Art (1) 121:14 articulate (2) 139:2,2

ascertained (1) 68:16 Aslin (1) 48:9 aspect (2) 73:13;78:5 aspects (2) 83:11:142:7 asphalt (1) 95:12 asphalt-covered (1) 120:19 assembled (1) 77:13 assess (7) 4:22;5:1,10;8:17; 9:10;15:4;23:23 assessment (6) 5:14;15:14;24:7; 25:5;42:3;140:14 associated (4) 4:19:44:19:58:3: 77:16 Association (1) 43:21 assume (17) 8:15;16:18;23:2; 33:16;34:11;38:10; 56:9;62:14;65:1; 78:22:102:24; 113:20:118:21; 127:6:146:6:147:16: 159:12 assumed (1) 146:19 assuming (5) 20:17;28:1;64:15; 144:20:145:13 assumption (5) 20:12:62:12:103:3; 105:23;106:3 assumptions (7) 18:17,19;21:3,6; 31:6;38:4;103:20 assure (1) 48:12 attack (1) 137:16 attacking (1) 137:14 attempt (1) 33:2 attempting (1) 49:19 attorney (1) 121:15 audience (1) 117:3 August (6) 7:22:51:3:58:19: 65:11;131:12;137:3 authority (1) 66:19

available (9) 8:7.23:9:13:37:3: 58:20:69:19:76:8: 87:10:126:3 average (2) 85:15;146:4 averaged (1) 102:3 avoid (5) 33:2,21,22;40:14; 41:5 avoiding (1) 39:24 aware (38) 7:8,11,18,24;15:8; 16:1;28:8;35:16; 36:9;37:14;58:24; 59:1,4,5;62:9;68:15, 20;70:18,23;72:12, 15,18;73:2;80:19; 81:5;96:16,22; 113:15;119:20; 121:4;129:4,12,18; 130:13;131:12; 141:3,6,20 away (4) 17:2;75:24;103:9; 148:7 B back (28) 27:10;34:18;35:19; 43:1;60:7;62:3;63:4, 13;81:7;87:21;89:7; 94:10;95:7;100:9; 105:21;107:5;108:1; 113:18,18;122:18; 146:21:147:12,23,23; 148:8:158:9:159:5. 22 backed (1) 68:6 backfill (2) 93:2:113:12 backing (1) 92:6 bank (2) 153:12;154:6 barriers (3) 27:21.23:28:1 Bascom (96) 3:14;4:3,13;5:12, 18,22;6:5,24;7:11; 8:6;10:19,22;11:10, 14;12:11,18,21;13:6, 20;14:14,20;15:8; 24:15;26:5,24;27:9, 17:33:19:43:8:65:2; 66:1;72:18,23;77:12; 78:11;79:1;84:3,16; 85:5,11,19;92:11;

24;100:3;107:3,9,14; 108:4.6.10.16:109:1. 14,21;110:1,5,11,19; 111:2.4.14.21:112:1. 3,13,24;113:14,24; 114:1,12,19;115:7, 16;116:19;117:1; 119:8,13,20;120:2, 13,24;121:3;129:18; 141:9,13,16,19; 142:2;144:15,24; 145:6 Bascom's (1) 86:6 base (2) 61:1;100:13 Based (21) 3:16;23:11;31:6; 33:9;36:21;37:18; 38:10;41:1;55:15; 59:11;91:22;97:18; 103:3:106:21: 126:18;127:4,20; 128:14;143:12,13; 145:18 basic (1) 38:21 basically (3) 20:13;146:6; 150:16 basis (6) 52:24:81:21: 120:21:133:12; 135:24:141:13 batch (1) 37:21 Bates (2) 58:11;60:14 bear (5) 73:7:101:7:105:3. 8;106:6 become (1) 54:10 becomes (1) 26:24 bedrock (1) 23:3 begin (1) 63:18 beginning (5) 23:22;38:18;45:21; 46:11:115:1 behalf (2) 134:6;138:7 behind (1) 92:3 believes (1) 52:2 below (2) 6:16:149:6 Bench (1) 55:16 98:20,23;99:2,4,14, bend (1)

112:13 benefits (1) 64:3 bentonite (1) 28:23 best (4) 16:15;37:2;91:14; 93:7 Bethlehem (2) 144:2,8 better (3) 76:6:90:9:107:1 beyond (13) 13:16;47:11;55:11; 79:8;80:18;82:2; 104:10;105:10; 125:5;134:7,16; 135:17;138:14 bid (4) 76:2;77:1,8,11 bidding (4) 76:1,10,16;77:15 bids (5) 72:21;73:2,4,13; 77:7 big (4) 102:7;116:14; 123:2;152:20 bigger (1) 87:2 billion (1) 76:3 **Bishop** (1) 84:13 bit (9) 7:16;64:4,6;70:8; 74:24;75:1;88:15; 96:2:104:15 Black (1) 10:14 blasting (8) 123:4;128:18,24; 129:7,11,12,15,20 blue (1) 149:24 **boom** (3) 98:10;100:22; 101:16 border (1) 34:3 bore (12) 17:11:22:22:23:2. 18;28:13,18,21;29:2, 13,17;30:24;31:4 bores (2) 24:1,24 boring (7) 23:1,15;24:10; 25:3;29:14;32:16; 127:23 borings (5) 23:21;24:8,14,17, 19

				000001 23, 201
Boston (1)	92:4	120:7;138:4;145:14	certain (6)	113:1
108:18	build (3)	Campground (5)	64:11;69:6;74:19;	characteristics (1)
both (7)	33:17;83:9;124:18	121:17;122:4,7,11,	102:21;136:8;141:13	99:5
20:2;67:19;91:17;	building (5)	14	certainly (11)	characterization (3)
123:8;131:15;147:2;	129:19;149:21;	can (71)	32:18;42:12;55:19;	52:17;85:12;113:7
155:2	156:11;157:8;158:6	6:23;8:1;11:15,24;	112:10;113:11;	characterize (5)
bottom (9)	buildings (1)	14:13,14;15:4;16:5,9,	116:21;133:16;	109:4;117:2;125:2,
17:4;96:13;100:6,	157:21	11;18:4;19:8,10;	135:16;137:16;	12;127:16
8,11;106:3;149:21;	buildup (1) 104:10	25:12;27:4;30:16;	138:1,13 certainty (1)	charge (1) 138:4
151:6,7 boundaries (4)	built (4)	32:4;33:24;34:11; 35:15;37:2;40:7,12;	68:17	charges (1)
7:19;8:8;28:16;	62:19,22;80:23;	42:12;49:24;57:8;	certified (1)	137:21
37:7	125:20	58:12;60:18;67:4;	67:12	cheaper (1)
boundary (11)	burial (4)	69:3;74:19;75:8;	cetera (1)	76:7
37:17;67:5,6,11,15,	80:8,21;82:14;	76:4;80:21;87:10;	41:5	chimney (3)
23;68:3,15,18;69:10;	139:10	90:3,11;94:9;95:7,8;	CFP (2)	111:9;113:12;
70:14	buried (6)	100:11;101:7;102:2,	83:15;114:22	114:6
bounds (1)	37:11;118:15;	24;103:3;104:19;	CFP135 (1)	choice (2)
150:1	119:10;120:5,11;	107:5;111:11,19;	113:19	137:1,24
Bowes (8)	142:11	122:9,17;129:9;	chain (1)	choose (2)
76:9;81:5;86:14;	Burns (1)	133:17;135:16;	88:1	57:2;158:4
89:20;96:18;102:15;	140:15	138:1,13;141:10,12,	Chair (19)	chose (1)
118:13;119:5	bury (1)	17,17,18,23;142:17;	14:2;18:16;25:6;	137:6
box (10)	139:12	146:6;150:24;	46:3;48:11;50:14;	circumstance (1)
99:6;106:1;114:2,	business (1)	151:10,16,22,24;	54:6;55:13;89:15;	113:9
2;148:2,11,13;149:3,	75:3	152:12;156:1	117:16;130:1,8;	circumstances (1)
5,13		Canadian (1)	131:6,16;133:16;	117:3
Brad (2)	С	43:21	134:15,18;136:2;	claim (1)
74:14;107:4		capable (2)	140:3	137:9
bread (1)	cable (15)	110:22;137:18	CHAIRMAN (99)	claimed (1)
113:21	28:14;107:8,10,12,	capture (1)	3:3,10;11:20;12:4;	17:13
break (1)	13;108:2,12,15;	26:6	14:5,12;18:18;25:9;	clarification (2)
74:7	109:1,15;110:3;	care (2)	29:21;30:15;31:22;	47:16;74:24
breakdown (1)	111:12;112:15;	85:14;112:22	32:3;35:7,14;38:6,14,	clarify (3)
10:8	117:4;142:9	careful (1)	24;39:4;40:4;45:10,	47:21;50:11;66:10
bridge (5)	cables (4)	95:11	18;46:1,6,16;47:2;	Clarksville (4)
84:4;85:2,17,22;	29:12;30:23;81:9;	cars (2)	48:1,4,14,23;49:4,11;	58:13;74:17;80:10;
86:4	120:15	155:20;157:9	50:4;51:14,17;52:10,	88:17
Bridgewater (1)	cable's (2)	cart(2)	15,19;55:6,10,21;	clean (1) 117:14
144:2	111:6;114:24	109:5;110:24	56:6,15,23;57:10,14;	
brief (1) 65:21	calculated (1)	case (23) 8:4;41:24;42:22;	61:6,12;73:9,14;74:1,	clear (7) $54.0, 10.56.11$
bring (8)	125:23		6,10;79:10,15,23;	54:9,10;56:11; 73:17;137:3;143:15;
	calculation (3)	54:3;59:23;64:23; 66:18;69:22;70:3;	82:3;83:3,7;89:18;	
89:12;91:12;102:4; 109:6;146:12;	145:17;146:14; 147:3	77:21;79:18;80:21;	90:2;102:10,18,23; 103:7,11;116:1,8;	150:9 clearly (3)
109:0;140:12; 147:22;149:16;	calculations (1)	93:7;99:10;105:17;	117:17,21;118:2,6,	85:7;91:7;139:9
147:22,149:10; 154:21	109:17	113:15;146:12;	20;121:7,8;122:8;	clerk (3)
bringing (1)	calendar (2)	147:16,24;149:14;	130:4,9,15;131:13,	78:9,21;79:4
147:12	45:16;65:7	152:9;156:21;158:15	20,24;133:13,19,23;	client (5)
brings (1)	call (7)	case-by-case (1)	134:2,23;135:15,19,25,	11:24;12:1;32:19;
159:21	41:19;52:15;72:1;	81:21	136:6,18;137:12;	130:18;131:10
broad (2)	85:2;124:10,15;	cases (3)	138:8,24;139:8;	clients (1)
6:2;67:9	125:6	24:13;71:6;148:22	140:5;143:18,21;	123:2
broadly (1)	called (6)	categorically (1)	144:3;159:19,20	client's (2)
135:13	42:2;75:22;81:7;	52:21	challenge (1)	125:14;140:23
Brook (5)	87:13;113:21;129:15	cause (1)	157:11	close (7)
84:13;149:18;	calling (1)	143:6	chance (1)	70:16;92:9;102:1;
150:1,17,18	38:21	caused (1)	42:5	104:19;106:4;152:4;
	Calls (5)	141:7	change (1)	156:18
brought (3)				
	11:19;30:13;35:6;	center (11)	76:21	closed (5)
brought (3)		center (11) 34:2;101:14,16,23;	76:21 changes (3)	22:14;94:22;
brought (3) 44:10;148:4,7	11:19;30:13;35:6;			

Closer (3) 90:9:125:10: 156:15 closing (1) 4:17closure (1) 21:14 closures (1) 9:11 coating (1) 143:5 **Co-Existing** (2) 43:19;132:14 Colebrook (1) 84:21 collectively (1) 78:15 colloquialism (1) 114:2 co-located (5) 43:13:45:20:46:9; 61:23:141:24 co-location (20) 41:19;44:9,20; 45:3;46:24;58:1,4; 123:7;130:19,23; 133:8;136:14,17; 139:23,24;140:11,20, 24;142:12,18 colors (1) 19:11 combination (3) 73:4.21:128:11 combined (1) 80:13 coming (4) 35:10:83:20,23,24 commences (1) 64:2 comment (6) 65:3;86:7,12; 89:21:118:14:142:4 comments (1) 65:15 **Commission** (1) 3:8 **Committee (3)** 129:6;136:11; 151:1 common (3) 26:11:75:13:78:10 community (1) 11:3 company (2) 42:2;108:11 comparison (2) 77:15;114:22 competitive (3) 76:1,10;77:15 complete (5) 5:6;8:19;12:9; 44:18:157:13 completed (2)

8:13.22 completely (1) 4:16completion (1) 10:23 complexity (1) 4:18components (1) 129:21 compound (2) 129:15;130:13 conceivably (1) 87:8 concentrate (3) 84:4;88:3,15 conceptual (1) 4:15 concern (5) 44:10;123:2; 125:15;130:17; 140:23 concerned (3) 150:13;154:8; 156:6 concerns (9) 30:7;45:2,8;46:17; 57:3;61:21;68:22,23; 133:7 concluded (1) 116:9 conclusion (2) 63:15:83:2 concrete (22) 27:8:37:21:57:17: 81:2;91:18;92:10,12, 13,23;93:8,15,22,23, 24:94:6,7:145:11; 146:13,17,22;147:2,4 concur (2) 6:19:7:2 Condition (1) 17:3 conditions (11) 16:18;17:17;19:10, 13;23:14,24;27:14; 34:10;88:6;97:19,19 conduct (2) 50:22;140:19 conductor (1) 28:22 conduit (8) 17:12;25:16;29:17; 31:5;81:1;89:10; 90:24;111:11 confer (1) 48:9 conference (2) 51:6,12 conferences (1) 48:12 configuration (2) 155:24;157:12 configure (1)

156:22 confined (3) 8:7;114:7;120:17 confirm (2) 7:19:126:9 conflict (1) 20:4conflicts (1) 86:5 confused (2) 70:8;75:2 confusion (2) 80:7;112:18 **Connecticut (2)** 119:21,23 connection (2) 73:19;113:1 connects (1) 114:6 **Conservation** (1) 3:7 consider (7) 13:18,21;14:16,22; 15:12;41:12;119:22 considerable (2) 29:4;104:22 consideration (1) 159:16 considerations (1) 26:21 considered (3) 40:21:68:21:75:20 consistent (2) 5:18:54:12 consistently (2) 53:2;54:14 constrained (1) 16:23 constraint (1) 22:13 constraints (1) 91:10 construct (4) 10:5;77:24;121:16; 129:8 constructed (2) 11:5;59:15 constructing (1) 21:16 construction (63) 3:20;4:1,18;5:23; 8:11:11:1.23:22:2.5. 12;26:16,22;27:4; 31:18;32:9;33:18; 34:6;35:18;36:2,11, 15,23;37:10;38:18; 40:18,19;59:20; 62:23:63:18:64:1.2. 7;65:4;75:3,5;77:11; 81:6:82:4:83:8:85:7. 15.20.24:86:14.17: 87:14,18;92:11; 96:16;97:3;106:14,

15;110:2;122:23; 123:1:124:15:125:7: 143:10:144:18: 145:13:151:14: 154:10;159:16 construction's (1) 95:3 construed (1) 69:7 contact (1) 81:10 contain (1) 45:13 contained (3) 26:6;54:7;114:4 container (3) 115:5,9;117:8 containers (1) 115:3 contaminants (1) 114:9 contaminated (1) 33:21 contamination (2) 33:4,6 context (7) 47:10;78:2;120:13, 20;123:20;129:19; 135:19 continue (4) 30:16:32:4:90:3; 159:23 continuous (1) 34:18 contour (2) 151:2;155:1 contract (1) 37:12 contractor (10) 13:7:15:7.13.17: 21:20:24:20:32:21: 76:11,13:77:9 contractors (3) 13:12;15:9,20 contractors' (1) 77:7 contracts (3) 37:14,15;77:11 control (20) 4:15,20;5:6,9,13, 19:6:4.5:8:1.9.21; 9:12;15:5;37:19; 63:14:86:2,4,7; 114:5;124:8 controlled (2) 114:8;158:11 convenient (1) 76:7 conventional (1) 120:17 conversation (1) 48:6 conversely (1)

59:24 copy (2) 16:2:42:9 cores (1) 24:1 corner (7) 20:22;26:13;31:14; 32:1;104:18;115:14; 117:11 correctly (4) 56:21;59:16;78:17; 158:22 corridor (3) 36:20:46:9,10 corrosion (1) 143:7 Corrpro (4) 42:2;140:14; 141:22;143:14 cost (2) 81:18:82:13 costly (3) 77:4,5,24 costs (1) 82:17 Cote (1) 97:13 Counsel (20) 46:22;49:1,8;54:4, 17,22;56:19;65:13; 116:4:131:10:134:5, 6:135:1.5:136:9: 137:21,23;138:5,7,17 count (1) 126:13 counterweight (6) 97:22:100:15,17, 18.20:102:8 county (4) 69:19:83:22:84:23: 85:9 couple (9) 43:17;55:2;71:22; 74:22;102:21;108:1; 118:13;130:22; 137:15 course (6) 40:17;45:16;50:23; 54:5,11;130:18 court (2) 69:14:98:13 cover (6) 42:23;87:3;134:18; 136:3,14;159:13 coverage (1) 136:16 covered (3) 131:6,8:135:6 crane (26) 21:17:96:19,22; 97:13,17,21;98:2,7, 16,18,24;99:18; 100:1,4,5,21;102:7,

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING	1	1	October 23, 2017
16.102.18 22.104.5.	147:5,6	dava (5)	76:24	detailed (4)
16;103:18,22;104:5;	,	days (5)		
106:2;110:13,19,23;	cumbersome (1)	55:17;57:6;64:17,	departing (1)	8:17;24:21;125:7;
124:7	93:12	24;102:22	5:24	145:19
cranes (1)	Cummings (4)	day's (1)	Department (1)	details (1)
98:9	149:23;155:2,13,	88:13	32:14	3:18
Creampoke (6)	16	DC (6)	depend (3)	determination (6)
83:21,23;84:5,19,	Cunningham (32)	43:23;123:8;	21:19;26:3;63:17	50:20,23;57:20;
24;85:4	121:9,11,14;	126:21;141:8,12,23	dependent (1)	67:16;69:14;76:12
create (1)	122:12;130:5,6,11,	dealing (2)	100:22	determine (4)
5:7	16;131:14,15,21;	35:9;149:17	depending (10)	51:4;66:12;68:1;
creates (1)	132:2,4;133:1,4,14,	decide (2)	27:12;76:5;88:5;	127:24
25:1	15,21;134:1,10;	33:4;71:13	93:7;104:19;109:14;	determined (3)
credibility (4)	135:3;136:1,12,21;	decided (1)	110:2;113:9;156:21;	78:3;105:2;127:4
136:10;137:14,17,	137:9,13;138:9;	79:4	157:15	detours (1)
18	139:19,20;140:7,10;	decision (2)	depends (3)	9:11
credible (2)	143:16	37:23;135:9	71:23;92:16;95:24	devaluation (1)
136:7,24	Cunningham's (1)	decisions (3)	depict (2)	81:23
crew (5)	131:9	13:23;14:18,24	154:3;157:3	develop (2)
15:5;32:16;81:6;	curious (1)	deed (1)	depicted (4)	8:9;126:19
106:14;145:7	25:12	67:19	45:23;154:11;	developed (1)
crews (1)	current (3)	deeds (2)	156:13;157:2	24:21
63:6	22:6;145:3;155:24	67:13;68:8	depicting (1)	development (7)
Criteria (3)	currently (1)	deeper (5)	152:11	6:21,22;7:5,6;
43:18;92:16;	34:22	26:15,24;27:6;	depicts (1)	81:18;82:23;83:12
132:14	curves (1)	146:11;147:14	58:12	deviate (1)
critical (4)	17:2	default (1)	deposit (1)	71:14
15:1;134:18;135:6;	custom (2)	21:15	91:13	deviation (1)
136:14	115:3;117:8	defending (1)	depth (14)	77:22
criticism (1)	cut (2)	137:18	22:23;26:13,24;	DeWan (1)
116:22	92:20;155:13	defer (2)	27:16,18;29:12;	142:9
cross (3)	cutting (2)	31:7;66:21	30:23;99:14;126:22;	dewatering (1)
51:4;55:1;85:17	87:20;101:15	define (5)	127:3;145:16,22;	37:21
CROSS-EXAMINATION (20)		67:21,24;91:21;	146:4;147:9	diagram (2)
3:5;39:8;47:8;	D	93:7;112:22	DES (2)	150:21;151:7
48:21;50:12,21;52:6,		defined (5)	35:3;36:1	diagrams (1)
6;54:2;56:19;74:12;	d5 (1)	4:22;66:3,14;	describe (6)	149:12
121:10;122:23;	39:16	82:21,22	17:18;19:14;69:3;	diameter (4)
134:16,21,22;135:13;	damage (1)	defining (1)	86:21;107:19;113:22	29:12;30:24;
136:4,5;143:23	143:4	66:4	described (4)	112:10,14
cross-examine (2)	danger (1)	definitely (5)	11:8;33:10;53:24;	dictates (1)
51:22;136:16	141:24	31:7;85:16;92:20;	62:19	102:7
cross-examiners (1)	dangers (3)	110:5;124:12	description (3)	differ (1)
124:23	140:24;141:6;	definition (3)	40:12;41:3;112:20	135:4
crossing (7)	142:17	114:24;148:2;	descriptive (3)	difference (2)
63:3,4,13,13;	dashed (4)	149:5	101:3,8;112:6	112:19,22
81:10;84:12;85:22	17:12,13;28:12,15	definitive (1)	design (8)	different (10)
cross-section (1)	data (3)	31:10	15:21,21,22;24:3;	43:17;47:10;57:18;
145:20	37:3;123:15;131:8	definitively (1)	30:3;71:10;72:12;	64:19;71:17;77:20;
crude (1)	date (1)	146:10	76:24	131:8;140:3;144:19,
101:2	87:23	delay (3)	designations (1)	19
crumbling (2)	dated (3)	31:17;32:8;56:5	4:3	differs (1)
61:20,22	3:15;42:23;43:19	delayed (1)	designed (3)	135:1
CS (1)	David (2)	5:17	11:13;61:18;	difficult (4)
105:22	65:2;77:21	delays (2)	116:17	23:17;86:9;93:13;
CS127 (1)	Dawn (5)	5:15;9:10	designing (1)	155:19
100:7	42:11,13;58:6;	delivered (1)	33:22	dig (2)
CS135 (2)	83:17;107:5	108:23	desire (1)	151:18;153:12
99:17;107:3	day (19)	deliveries (1)	78:8	digging (2)
CS136 (1)	54:1,8;85:15;	146:17	destructive (1)	88:11;159:2
101:5	88:21,22;90:5;94:2,	delivering (1)	76:8	dimensions (2)
CS138 (2)	10;95:1;97:8;120:4,	104:20	detail (4)	103:21;126:20
106:1,21	7;144:21,23;145:1,2;	denied (4)	85:20;86:8;116:22,	dipping (1)
cubic (2)	159:21;160:1,3	50:17;51:10,11;	23	95:16
	· · · · · · · ·	, , ,		1

ADJUDICATORY HEA	AKING	1	Т	October 23, 2017
direct (6)	143:2,7,13	124:1;125:16;	123:8;130:24;	18;26:5;59:17,21;
		124.1,125.10, 126:15;128:9;129:3;		153:21
32:22;80:7;82:14;	Dominion (1)		132:15;133:10	
135:15,18;136:15	13:2	131:19	electricity (1)	environment (2)
direction (1)	done (19)	dump (7)	141:11	114:8;117:14
84:20	10:14;13:3;17:19;	35:23;93:14;	Electrodes (1)	Environmental (5)
directional (1)	19:15;20:21,24;	145:12;146:11,17;	132:6	32:15;114:5;
4:20	21:12,13;24:8,17;	147:3,6	ELMO (3)	122:24;123:1;159:13
directly (4)	39:3;52:23;58:1;	dumping (2)	42:13;58:7;84:9	equal (3)
92:13;106:4;114:5;	88:8,10;92:15;	26:21;35:3	else (5)	21:8;76:17,18
115:13	113:16;122:17;123:5	duration (3)	41:15;67:3;80:19;	equate (1)
dirt (9)	door (3)	4:18;63:17;72:5	94:19;117:23	76:20
80:22;95:18,19;	133:16,19,21	during (6)	encloses (2)	equipment (5)
119:10;120:10,16,21;	DOT (32)	14:24;24:5;50:23;	65:15,21	21:20;77:20;109:4;
147:11,19	5:4;6:14,18,19;7:2,	59:19;106:22;114:9	encompassing (1)	110:21;114:3
disagree (4)	8,19,21,22;8:14,16,		106:2	equivalent (1)
20:6;51:15;116:5;	22;9:4,7,21,22;14:9;	Ε	encounter (1)	115:4
135:21	15:16,18;34:5;35:3;		32:16	erosion (1)
disclosure (1)	36:1;62:7;65:15;	earlier (13)	encountered (2)	124:7
52:7	66:2,18,21;67:1,2;	55:3;59:12;63:11;	24:5;33:12	especially (1)
discovery (1)	68:18;148:18;149:5	65:10;66:8,9;83:15;	encourage (1)	105:3
115:21	DOT's (2)	91:23;124:22;140:4;	48:8	essentially (1)
discussed (3)	148:1,11	150:11;153:24;159:3	end (12)	21:8
44:6;57:24;61:15	dotted (1)	early (4)	9:13;23:22;66:4;	establish (2)
	17:13			
discusses (1) 41:6		6:21;7:5;41:9;89:3	69:20;85:8;87:19,21;	68:18;125:19
	double (1)	earmarked (1)	94:10,24;104:1;	estimate (7)
Discussion (3)	22:15	115:12	120:7;159:21	6:2,7;8:21;10:22;
112:2;143:20;	doubt (1)	Earth (1)	ended (1)	21:21;22:1;127:1
144:5	157:10	83:20	120:4	estimated (4)
dispatch (1)	down (28)	easement (4)	ends (1)	8:12;110:7;147:4;
92:12	16:12;17:21;19:17;	20:20,24;30:10;	17:1	150:5
dissipation (1)	23:1,15;27:7;34:15;	69:10	energy (3)	estimates (2)
27:7	40:4;77:3;83:23;	easements (1)	40:2,8;75:5	127:2,7
distance (6)	84:4,14,17;92:6;	20:16	engineer (2)	estimation (1)
29:4;61:1;98:2,5;	95:21;97:15;101:14,	easier (1)	14:23;142:10	144:18
100:22;149:6	15;105:8;111:8;	77:24	engineering (3)	et (1)
distinction (1)	112:11;113:11;	east (2)	13:22;14:17;	41:5
67:5	138:12;145:24;	17:2;18:13	125:18	Europe (1)
disturbance (3)	150:17;151:6;	Eastern (1)	enough (8)	108:16
4:5;8:6;96:1	155:14;158:12	3:7	23:22;68:1;111:6,	evaluate (1)
ditch (7)	drawing (2)	Easton (2)	8;122:13;156:24;	77:4
90:24;91:8,18;	124:3;152:11	31:15;34:3	157:4;158:8	evaluated (2)
92:5,6;93:16;148:10	drawings (2)	eat (1)	ensures (1)	3:18;4:7
ditches (2)	4:14:26:14	91:17	37:13	evaluation (1)
80:9;149:11	drawn (2)	economic (2)	enter (1)	44:18
DNA (4)	30:13;53:14	83:10,11	26:10	even (16)
132:12;133:2;	drew (2)	edge (9)	entered (1)	8:20;64:10,12;
132:12,135:2, 139:21;140:12	16:14;28:17	17:9;20:14,19;	53:12	65:1;68:10;70:18;
	drill (5)		entertain (1)	
docket (2)		61:2;149:9;153:7;		83:11;87:20;91:16;
73:18,24	23:20;24:5,12;	154:3;155:11;156:13	136:24	106:16;117:9;
document (22)	151:10,20	effects (5)	entire (2)	124:24;145:4;153:1;
4:4,9;6:16;43:6;	drilling (11)	9:10;39:19,23;	28:20;153:17	156:16;157:24
71:12;97:6;117:16;	4:20;23:5;24:19;	40:1;143:8	entirely (3)	everybody (3)
118:4,4;126:3;132:5,	26:7,8;32:21;105:9,	effort (3)	11:2;15:23;54:9	20:14;46:8;49:4
13,20;133:5,7,18;	10;151:10,19;153:21	53:7;82:12;95:22	entitled (3)	Everyone (2)
140:1,16,17,18;	driveway (1)	efforts (1)	132:5,13;137:16	46:6;86:21
142:8;143:14	157:7	82:22	entitlement (1)	Ex (1)
documentation (3)	due (6)	either (8)	72:1	114:22
35:1;36:5;109:22	10:11;26:19;55:17;	12:11;53:3;63:9;	entity (1)	exact (2)
documents (15)	56:3;99:14;137:5	72:20;77:23;78:15;	69:8	98:15;127:3
67:14;77:2;80:16;	dug (2)	80:12;99:6	entrance (1)	Exactly (2)
96:9;123:16;124:15;	90:23;146:20	Electric (10)	26:2	7:1;49:14
130:23;131:16,22;	Dummer (9)	13:21;14:16;43:19;	entry (9)	examination (2)
132:8,16;133:22;	121:19;123:3,5;	77:9;82:9;120:11;	17:3,7;19:1;24:10,	134:17;140:20
	121.17,123.3,5,	77.7,02.7,120.11,	17.3,7,17.1,27.10,	15 1.17,1 10.20

examining (3) 52:1.4.5 example (10) 4:4:6:1:60:23: 64:20;126:21; 129:22;141:4,21; 152:22;158:5 examples (2) 70:1,12 excavate (4) 89:5,9;153:14; 154:5 excavated (1) 99:8 excavation (4) 26:19;80:24;88:5; 99:15 excavator (1) 92:4 except (1) 10:10 Exception (34) 16:8;28:11,20; 29:24;30:2;32:1,24; 47:15,22,23,24;55:4, 5;59:13;60:8;62:6,7, 12,14,19,20;63:2; 64:8;70:19;71:18,20; 75:1,10;76:4;148:17; 149:16:150:4,10: 153:2 exceptions (2) 55:2:72:2 excluding (1) 51:22 Excuse (4) 29:6:62:15:71:19: 144:15 exemption (9) 71:1:75:16.21; 76:15;78:3;80:13,18; 148:16,21 exercise (1) 66:19 Exhibit (14) 6:12;42:1;58:10; 60:14;65:12,13; 111:17,22;131:19; 132:12;133:2; 139:21;140:12,13 exhibits (1) 131:18 exist (6) 60:6;68:14;87:19; 120:23;121:1,4 existence (2) 133:17;134:20 existing (4) 16:22;18:10;28:15; 46:9 exists (2) 16:1:97:9 exit (10)

17:16,22,23;19:18, 19:24:11.18:25:15: 26:2.6 exiting (2) 26:4;60:1 expand (1) 46:19 expanding (1) 18:12 expect (4) 32:19;63:5;75:12; 80:21 expected (1) 145:7 experience (13) 7:13;9:24;12:3,8; 13:18;14:11;15:6,17; 24:15;69:17;78:11, 18;120:21 expertise (1) 142:23 experts (2) 135:5;142:7 experts' (1) 137:14 explain (7) 11:15;12:1;46:4; 63:23;86:20;134:23; 150:24 explained (1) 4:22exposed (1) 75:7 express (1) 46:18 expressed (1) 47:6 expression (1) 113:20 extend (1) 91:24 extended (1) 149:6 extending (2) 36:19;59:18 extends (1) 153:6 extensive (1) 122:22 extent (10) 4:6:15:8:30:6; 45:7:51:4:95:24: 102:12;124:8;137:7; 148:3 extra (5) 82:12,17,21;95:22; 112:4 eyes (1) 136:10 F facilities (1)

40:8 facility (2) 40:2,20 facing (1) 93:4 fact (18) 30:9;34:22;57:24; 63:11:75:9:90:15: 97:18,20;100:5; 103:23:105:7.14: 106:6,12;114:15; 119:2;124:5;136:13 factor (2) 148:6,6 factors (1) 98:6 facts (3) 18:17;38:5;52:8 faint (3) 17:9;28:14;60:18 fair (2) 122:13:151:8 fairly (10) 16:16;17:22;19:18; 20:6;37:8;95:11; 107:18;155:5,18; 156:8 fall (2) 61:19,20 falling (1) 61:17 familiar (28) 10:15,19:11:9; 12:14,18;32:11;43:3; 65:22;68:24;72:17; 84:1,8,12,16;107:11; 119:15:121:20: 122:3,6,10;129:11; 144:12;149:19; 150:3:155:9:158:17. 20;159:10 far (9) 41:1;80:2,15,16; 104:19;124:16; 147:10;155:17,22 Farmhouse (2) 149:22;150:16 Farrington (1) 106:13 farther (1) 28:12 fashion (6) 61:19,20;88:2; 91:20,21;92:15 fastest (1) 91:9 faults (1) 141:22 feasible (2) 6:21:7:5 February (1) 8:20 feel (1)

79:21 feet (71) 16:21,24;17:14; 18:10,12;20:8;21:22, 22,23;23:10;27:20; 28:17,18,20;30:21; 81:1;84:5,14;87:21; 88:4,8,10,21;89:5,6, 7,9;90:15;93:19; 96:10,10,11:101:12, 18,19,21,22,24; 102:4;103:16;104:2, 2,3,7,18;105:5,15; 106:7,8,10,11,12,16, 20;109:19;112:16; 122:19;144:21,23,24; 145:2;146:1,8,8; 147:14;152:21; 153:13,24;154:23; 157:2,22 few (3) 42:16;47:19;119:9 field (4) 67:13;68:8;75:6; 76:18 figure (2) 145:11;146:1 figures (5) 93:19;144:19,19. 23:145:14 file (3) 51:20:71:17.21 filed (4) 37:13;51:3;53:2; 61:5 filing (1) 75:15 fill (13) 26:20;81:2,2; 89:14;91:1;93:21,22; 113:11:146:15.22: 147:10,23;148:3 filled (2) 28:22;113:7 final (2) 26:14;138:17 finally (1) 40:11 find (7) 10:8,9,12;75:13; 113:21:136:23; 152:23 finding (2) 65:16;148:1 fine (3) 57:3;102:14; 152:14 finely (1) 114:16 finished (2) 122:16:147:21 finishing (1) 89:6

firm (1) 37:8 first (24) 7:3;8:14;11:10; 35:20:40:17:42:15, 20;49:7;50:7;54:1,1; 58:24;74:22;75:23; 86:21:88:20,23; 102:11;107:21; 123:11:132:21; 136:21;144:14; 152:15 fishing (1) 59:10 five (2) 12:13;150:6 flat (16) 25:18,19,21,23; 95:11,20;151:13,15, 16,19;153:10,15,18; 154:1,1,6 flatbed (3) 102:9;108:24; 109:2 flexibility (4) 111:7,8,13;112:11 floor (1) 50:9 Florida (2) 12:22:13:5 flowable (6) 89:14:91:1:93:21. 22;146:14;148:3 fluidized (4) 27:8;81:1,2;93:2 focus (3) 4:24;142:9,10 focused (1) 127:14 folks (5) 123:2;125:15; 131:11;139:3;159:13 follow (1) 72:3 followed (3) 75:19;116:18,20 following (5) 18:22;39:22;42:16; 52:1;53:17 foot (1) 109:24 foot-and-a-half (2) 29:12:30:24 Forest (11) 39:11;49:21;50:12; 51:7;53:18;56:4,18; 59:1,9;60:16,17 former (2) 31:17;32:8 forth (3) 34:19;78:1;158:9 forum (1) 78:2

Min-U-Script®

(7) examining - forum

ADJUDICATORI IIEA	
	21
forward (5)	31:
21:4;68:10;79:22;	43:
137:1,8	46:
found (3)	130
13:1;120:10;143:4	133
Foundation (4)	gathe
43:20;127:2;129:2,	69:
8	gathe
foundations (11)	81:
125:19;126:2,7,11,	gave (
14,14,15,20,21;	67:
127:7;128:23	gener
	0
four (6)	14:2
34:4;94:20;101:22;	71:3
131:7;154:11;157:4	78:
frac-out (3)	121
15:1;24:7;25:4	151
frame (1)	gener
63:1	5:18
Franconia (1)	25:1
34:2	60:
free (4)	72:2
46:18;47:14;56:24;	116
113:3	129
frequency (1)	145
5:23	gener
friendly (12)	25:8
50:11,20;51:4;	38:2
54:23;55:1;57:19;	gentle
134:15,21;135:20;	74:
136:2,4;138:19	gentle
front (11)	75:
49:16;56:1,8;	137
79:18;87:19;123:13;	149
127:11,17;128:2;	geogr
157:8;158:5	119
FTB (2)	geoteo
27:10,15	14:2
full (4)	17;2
44:18;52:7;58:3;	11,1
64:15	gets (2
fully (8)	77:
3:18;4:4,22;5:1,10;	Getz (
8:5;23:23;63:21	42:2
functionality (1)	Gibso
127:3	17:
fundamental (1)	GIS (
118:7	67:2
further (11)	gist (1
17:5;19:3;41:16,	54:2
22;45:17;54:24;55:7,	Given
11;57:21;74:5;	4:17
104:16	18:9
future (1)	22:2
81:18	36:2
	137
G	
<u> </u>	Glove
	149
game (2)	goes (
79:21,24	23:
games (1)	157
48:2	good
gas (16)	39:3
5 ··· (10)	57

1:17;32:8;33:15;	84:18;8
3:11,13;45:4,14,19;	112:6,1
6:7,24;61:23;	good-fait
30:21;131:1,2,4;	53:3,3
33:9	good-size
ner (1)	115:9
9:19	Google (1
nered (1)	83:20
1:7	governs (
e (1)	39:12
7:10	grade (4)
eral (12)	17:22;1
4:2;33:19;38:3;	25:14
1:3;75:11;77:8;	grades (1
8:17;89:17;117:2;	151:17
21:24;137:19;	gradual (
51:21	83:24
erally (23)	granted (
:18;13:20;14:20;	51:13;5
5:12;26:5,18;58:24;	62:8,13
0:10;64:7;68:20;	76:24
2:2,4,7,8,18;73:2;	granular
16:19;125:3;	113:14
29:12;139:15;	graphics
45:6;149:19,20	91:22
eric (6)	gravel (4
5:8;29:19;35:6;	70:22;8
8:21;115:19;130:1	120:16
tleman (2)	gray (4)
4:14;157:6	17:9,13
tlemen (6)	great (3)
5:7;131:16;	117:7;1
37:21;144:1;	130:17
49:18;158:17	greater (2
graphical (3)	26:16;6
19:11,16;120:6	green (2)
technical (11)	17:10;1
4:22;23:21;24:10,	Grid (1)
7;25:3;32:16;127:4,	105:11
1,13,17,23	ground (
(2)	16:18;6
7:1;100:17	110:16
z (2)	grounds
2:24;139:22	
	47:4;74
son (2)	groundw
7:3;19:1	31:14
(1)	group (4)
7:20	11:10;7
(1)	121:19
4:21	guarante
en (14)	29:16;3
:17;5:17;17:17;	guess (6)
8:9;19:13;20:12;	76:9;78
2:24;29:9;30:20;	95:24;1
6:21;62:1;103:20;	guessing
37:21;155:24	19:24
ve (2)	guide (4)
49:18;150:17	15:21,2
s (4)	guideline
3:1;77:1;84:21;	6:14
57:7	guides (1
d (9)	15:21
9:3,10;52:15;	guys (4)

18;88:10;90:20;	39:13;4
2:6,10;154:5 faith (2)	121:12
3,3 size (1)	
5:9	half (9)
le (1) 20	10:7;86 10,13;9
rns (1)	24;119
12 e (4)	Hampshi 15:17;1
22;19:19;20:10;	119:12
14 es (1)	121:18 handle (1
:17	155:10
1al (1) 24	handled 48:21
ed (8)	happen (
13;52:11,13; 8,13,17;64:9;	88:14;1 happenee
24	48:7;13
ılar (1) 3:14	happenin 38:13;5
nics (1)	happy (1
22 • I (4)	52:14 hard (6)
22;80:8;106:17;):16	42:9;86
(4)	10;98:1 harder (1
9,13;28:14,15 (3)	23:19 haul (4)
7:7;129:13;	108:19
):17 er (2)	159:4,4 hauled (3
16;60:24	108:21
1 (2) 10;148:22	hauling (146:21
(1)	hauls (1)
5:11 nd (5)	107:23 HDD (48
18;66:3,15;	12:13;1
):16,23 nds (2)	19,23;1 23,24;1
4;74:2	17:11,1
ndwater (1) 14	15,18,1 23:8,14
o (4)	12;25:1
10;74:16; 1:19;144:8	27:22,2 29:2;31
ntee (2)	70:21;7
16;31:3 (6)	151:10 head (2)
9;78:6;79:20;	124:4;1 health (3
24;116:14;118:9 ing (1)	39:20;4
24 (4)	health-re 41:13
21,22,24;43:22	hear (1)
lines (1) 4	122:9 heard (4)
s (1)	74:24;1
21 (4)	144:18 hearing (
< -/	

43:2:65:14: Η 6:13:96:8, 97:17;101:18,):3 ire (6) 118:16; 2,17;120:11; 1) (1) 2) 131:17 ed (2) 34:3 ng (3) 56:11;79:17) 6:10;93:9, 15;121:12 1) 9;147:20; 4 3) ,22;148:7 (1) 3) 13:7,12,14, 14:3.10.11.18. 15:5,7,17,21; 16,19,22;19:7, 19;20:3;22:3; 4,22,24;24:8, 1,15;26:2; 24;28:2,7; 1:2;59:17,21; 71:4;105:9,10; 125:22 ;) 40:2,14 elated (1) 129:15: 8;150:11 hearing (4)

44:20;74:9;97:3; 160:3 hearings (1) 9:14 heavier (1) 87:6 Heavy (2) 110:8;156:8 heavy-duty (1) 107:22 heck (1) 99:11 height (2) 60:23;98:3 help (4) 5:9;67:4;103:22; 142:17 helpful (5) 5:20;15:13;66:18, 22;68:4 Here's (2) 97:13;134:12 Hershey (1) 10:9 high (2) 67:9;96:11 highlighted (1) 39:20 highly (2) 29:15:31:2 high-pressure (1) 131:1 high-voltage (7) 43:23;123:7; 130:20,24;133:10; 140:21,22 highway (2) 70:4;84:21 highways (2) 93:1:109:10 Hill (9) 83:21:84:23:85:9; 88:10;105:8;149:23; 155:2,13,16 historically (1) 37:5 history (2) 50:7;53:22 hit (3) 23:11;74:22; 107:18 Hmm-hmm (2) 66:6;103:23 hold (2) 55:20;107:4 holding (2) 73:20;147:20 hole (8) 17:11;22:22;29:2; 101:11,18;102:9; 147:15,23 holes (11) 23:3;28:13,18,21;

indediction indi	
29:13,17;30:24;31:5;	
101:22;151:20; 153:21	h
Hollow (2)	h
149:18;150:17 homes (1)	
84:22	H
HONIGBERG (96) 3:3,10;11:20;12:4;	h
14:5,12;18:18;25:9; 29:21;30:15;31:22;	
32:3;35:7,14;38:6,14,	
24;39:4;40:4;45:10, 18;46:1,6,16;47:2;	ic
48:1,4,14,23;49:4,11;	n
50:4;51:14,17;52:10, 15,19;55:6,10,21;	ic
56:6,15,23;57:10,14;	
61:6,12;73:9,14;74:1, 6,10;79:10,15,23;	ic
82:3;83:3,7;89:18;	ic
90:2;102:18,23; 103:7,11;116:1,8;	
117:17,21;118:2,6,	
20;121:8;122:8; 130:4,9,15;131:13,	ic
20,24;133:13,19,23; 134:2,23;135:8;	
136:6,18;137:12;	ic
138:8,24;139:8; 140:5;143:18,21;	il
144:3;159:20	
hooks (1) 101:17	ir
horizontal (1)	ir
4:19 horizontally (1)	ir
149:7 hour (3)	ir
90:21;94:2,16	11
hours (2) 74:18;94:20	ir
house (8)	ir
152:17,18,22,23; 155:17,18;156:17,19	
Hudson (2) 12:21;13:1	ir
hundred (1)	ir
73:3 hundreds (1)	ir
54:7	
HVDC (8) 107:14;126:6,14;	ir
132:6;140:15,21,21; 141:4	ir
hydraulic (1)	ir
98:10 hydraulics (1)	ir
111:1	
hydrocarbons (4) 31:13;32:17;33:3,	ir
12 hydrogeology (2)	
nyurugeonogy (2)	

129:2,22	i
ydropower (2) 73:3,5	i
1ypothetical (3) 102:13;103:2;	i
156:3 Hypothetically (1)	i
103:14 103:14 (1)	i
157:19	i
Ι	i
dea (4)	-
95:1;99:3;108:13; 159:8	
deal (3) 90:12,13;151:16	i
deally (1)	
95:19 dentified (15)	
6:20;7:4,8,14;42:5;	i
52:22;68:14;72:4,8; 131:7,16,23;133:22;	1
140:12;142:8 dentify (6)	i
7:16;41:23;43:15;	
52:5;53:6;72:9 dentifying (1)	i
51:20	i
llustrations (1) 57:17	
mage (1) 158:7	i
mmaterial (1) 47:1	i
mmediate (1)	
70:6 mmediately (2)	i
17:24;19:21	
mmediate-term (1) 83:11	i
mpact (5) 4:23;5:10;59:14;	i
60:5;123:6	i
mpacts (4) 5:1,8;40:15;59:19	i
mpeach (1) 136:9	i
mpede (1) 50:21	i
mpediment (1)	
64:5 mplemented (1)	i
54:13	i
mplies (1) 67:11	
mportance (1) 117:14	
mportant (8)	
13:18,23;14:18; 102:6;105:21;	
114:11;136:3,13	

impression (1) 133:18 improbable (2) 29:15:31:2 improves (1) 12:5 inch (2) 87:5.6 inches (1) 112:16 inclement (1) 64:21 include (12) 4:16;5:14,21,22; 27:10;33:1;39:22; 51:24;69:23;70:10; 80:24;137:6 included (10) 14:4;29:20;31:20; 38:22;70:17;115:24; 116:3:130:2,7; 139:22 includes (2) 62:12;130:12 including (4) 11:6;52:3;98:2; 131:9 inconsistent (1) 57:4 increase (13) 22:1,5,12,17;23:5, 12:34:6:36:15.17: 146:5,7:147:9,13 increased (1) 63:6 increases (1) 146:4 independent (4) 138:19,22;140:19, 23 indicate (1) 143:8 indicated (3) 19:10;24:9;37:4 indicates (2) 3:24;9:3 indicating (2) 60:9;117:12 individually (1) 78:16 industry (1) 75:14 influence (2) 43:23:69:9 information (41) 4:8;5:22;14:2; 23:12,23;29:19; 30:12;31:20;35:10, 11;38:1,11;39:22; 43:11,14,16;45:14, 17;46:15;47:12,13; 52:1:61:10:67:20:

125:5;126:18,23; 127:5.11.13.17.22. 24;128:2,5,14,16; 129:1 infrastructure (2) 61:16,17 INGAA (1) 43:20 ingredient (1) 101:9 inherently (1) 63:12 initial (1) 24:16 inquire (1) 138:1 inside (2) 101:11;111:10 installation (7) 11:7;26:11;109:6, 7;120:15;147:22; 150:6 installed (4) 17:19;19:15;78:12; 109:15 installing (1) 117:4 instance (2) 95:5:119:18 instances (1) 37:8 instead (1) 49:14 intended (2) 76:17:109:9 intent (1) 124:13 intently (1) 43:2 interest (1) 78:13 interested (2) 123:21,22 **Interference** (4) 42:3;82:23;132:7; 140:14 intermediate (1) 91:12 interplay (1) 60:2 interrupts (1) 98:13 intersect (1) 81:9 intersection (1) 83:21 intersections (1) 84:14 intervenor (5) 51:20,21;53:13; 74:15:144:9 intervenors (12) 8:18,23;9:9;47:14;

48:6:49:9:54:16.19. 22:139:15:144:2.7 intervenors' (1) 49:2 into (32) 21:11;23:6,10; 25:21;26:4,10;30:13; 53:8;66:13,24;68:7; 69:2;72:21;76:15; 77:1;84:13;92:5,20; 98:1;102:9;111:11; 112:11;117:7;120:8; 125:4,6;147:14; 148:18:151:18; 153:12;155:13; 158:10 introduce (1) 27:1 introduced (2) 18:19;27:9 invasive (2) 158:21:159:9 investigate (1) 138:4 investigated (2) 138:3;142:6 involve (2) 69:13:85:23 involved (5) 69:16:76:21:82:13, 22:95:22 inward (1) 154:15 irrelevant (1) 47:1 issue (18) 30:4;50:8;105:21; 115:22;130:19; 131:11;134:12; 135:7,22:136:3,15, 17,17;137:6;140:3, 20;141:1;142:18 issued (2) 5:4;50:14 issues (9) 23:23;30:8;44:19; 58:3;72:13;139:6,6, 17,18 Italian (2) 149:22;150:16 items (1) 124:8 IV (1) 50:15 J January (1) 8:19 Japanese (4) 158:17;159:2,7,10 Jefferson-Martin (1) 10:13

69:20;102:6;116:2;

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING			October 23, 2017
• 1 (7)		25 2 44 14		00 10 00 14 16
job (7)	label (1)	25:3;44:14	limited (4)	92:19;98:14,16;
12:2;36:14;63:7;	60:19	ledge (2)	120:21;127:12;	124:6;125:4,12;
78:21;85:17;88:22;	lack (1)	128:11,12	135:14;154:16	127:3;147:20
108:20	136:16	left (9)	limiting (1)	locations (16)
jobs (2)	laid (1)	106:9;113:3,8;	15:1	16:24;17:12,16;
11:17;12:9	21:6	115:9,14;117:11;	limits (2)	18:12;19:20;28:14;
Johnson (1)	Lakes (1)	149:21;155:15;	4:4;54:20	35:2,17,22,23;36:10;
96:18	159:22	156:17	line (37)	60:22;66:3,16;126:1;
joined (1)	land (3)	left-hand (3)	17:9,13;20:2;	128:21
53:19	69:5,15,21	151:23;152:16;	24:11;44:7;45:6,19;	Lodge (4)
Joint (1)	landing (4)	158:7	46:8;67:5,6,23;68:4,	121:17;122:4,17,
6:13	104:5,10;105:24;	legal (5)	6;82:11;101:13,14,	18
jump (1)	106:8	36:21;37:17;42:24;	15,23;103:24,24;	logic (3)
35:21	landowner (2)	83:2;139:1	104:10;118:18;	78:19;83:6;116:18
June (1)	20:17,21	Legasse (1)	119:3;120:6;122:20;	logical (4)
42:23	landowner's (1)	121:16	123:8,9,17,20;	64:16;82:12;104:9;
	20:18		123.8,9,17,20, 124:13;134:24;	116:7
jurisdiction (1)		legend (1)		
78:11	lane (21)	17:8	139:12;141:8,12,23;	logically (1)
17	37:10;59:20;91:7,	legitimate (1)	143:10;146:10	88:23
K	24;92:10,13;93:8,11;	47:18	lineal (1)	long (18)
	94:1,21;95:3;106:15;	length (6)	91:21	6:3;9:1;10:1,16,21;
Kauffmann (3)	154:17;155:22;	5:15;24:8;25:1;	linear (5)	11:11,16;12:1,9;
60:16,19,19	156:1,18;157:1,5,17,	48:17;109:15;117:7	72:10;88:1;91:19;	62:23;64:20;82:16;
keep (3)	23;158:10	leniency (1)	92:15;109:23	89:23;90:19;93:16;
23:18;50:3;107:12	lanes (1)	75:4	lines (30)	94:13;96:10;121:13
keeping (1)	91:17	less (9)	17:12;19:11;22:16;	longer (14)
155:19	laptop (1)	17:20;19:16;67:6,	28:12,14,16,18;	4:18;17:7;19:2;
keeps (1)	42:13	17,18;76:7;77:4,24;	43:19,24;81:16;	23:20;26:22;34:11;
113:23	large (2)	109:20	118:15;119:10;	60:11;63:12,16;64:8,
Keiser (1)	61:21;97:24	letter (7)	120:12;123:8;124:6;	10;72:5;94:15;96:4
129:14	larger (5)	42:23;65:9,10,11,	129:19;130:20,24;	long-haul (1)
kept (1)	21:9,21;36:20;	14,15;139:22	132:15;140:21,21,22;	109:9
94:18	87:8;109:2	lettering (1)	149:24;150:23;	longitudinal (1)
Kevin (1)	last (8)	26:12	151:1,3,23;152:1;	20:10
121:15	3:23;4:10;70:8;	level (17)	155:2;157:3	longitudinally (1)
key (2)	94:5;105:13;118:12;	20:6,8,9;24:6;	list (10)	25:24
101:9,10	137:4;158:16	25:18,19,21,23;63:5;	9:24;11:16;12:13;	long-term (2)
kind (6)	late (2)	67:9;69:17,18;72:4;	39:5;51:20,24;121:9;	83:10;143:7
74:23;75:24;	7:15,16	95:20;96:3;125:7;	123:15;131:19;	look (28)
107:18;111:1;	later (3)	148:16	159:24	25:14;43:3;60:7;
119:23;128:1	71:22;87:23;90:23	Lewiston (1)	listed (1)	83:22;84:1;97:7;
knew (2)	laws (1)	97:14	13:13	99:3;100:1,12;
119:9;137:5	77:23	licensed (2)	listing (1)	104:14;111:16;
knotwood (4)	lawyers (1)	67:12;68:7	14:10	114:15,23;115:13;
158:17;159:3,7,11	48:10	lift (2)	little (10)	120:8;132:19,19;
knowing (6)	laydown (2)	96:19;111:3	64:3,6;70:8;75:1;	137:22,23,24,24;
15:12;16:15;76:3;	36:7,13	Light (2)	79:11;88:15;96:2;	138:2,6,12;150:21,
85:20;98:6;134:19	layer (2)	13:5;150:22	101:2;109:20,20	22;156:11;157:20
knowledge (2)	92:23,24	lights (1)	load (3)	looked (12)
8:2;15:9	layering (1)	86:3	93:15;110:17,24	82:17;96:20;
known (6)	38:7	Light's (1)	loads (1)	124:18;130:22;
27:24;45:6,17,19,	layout (3)	12:22	93:23	131:17,23;132:16,21;
21;46:11	31:8;87:21;103:19	likely (11)	local (1)	138:2,10,11;142:6
knows (3)		16:21;27:11;33:6;	113:20	looking (9)
46:7,8;114:20	lead (1) 26:22	63:16;94:21;104:12;	locally (1)	65:9;66:2;85:1;
	leads (2)	115:4;121:3;156:1,4;	109:7	104:17;107:16;
Kris (1) 3:7	63:15;105:21	115:4;121:5;156:1,4; 157:14	located (3)	110:8;150:15,18;
5:7 kV (7)	least (11)	limit (1)	108:14,16;124:13	157:21
KV (7) 107:8,10,12;111:6;	8:16;28:2;33:6;	8:6		looks (4)
	42:20;54:18;60:6;		location (24) 5:17;17:10,16,24;	20:5,7;107:22;
119:10;126:11;141:8	42:20;54:18;60:6; 74:3;80:7;94:8;	limitation (1) 56:17	19:8;22:3,22;23:8;	20:5,7,107:22; 111:18
\mathbf{L}	110:14;146:7	limitations (2)	27:14;31:5;32:23,24;	loop (1)
	led (2)	49:19,22	33:5,24;45:6;69:11;	138:11
	icu (2)	77.17,22	55.5,24,45.0,07.11,	130.11

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING			October 23, 2017
1.4 (14)	110.14.122.21.		88.8 0.100.12.112.2	(1.20.64.4.6.76.6.
lot (14)	119:14;122:21;	mean (14)	88:8,9;109:13;113:2,	61:20;64:4,6;76:6;
4:7;18:3;34:21;	125:19	27:23;44:8;76:2;	9;121:1	77:4,18;81:2,8;
53:8;59:5,9,14;83:6;	Manzelli (49)	79:1,23;95:19;99:19;	mile (1)	85:20;86:8;92:22;
85:22;99:11;102:6;	39:6,9,10;40:6;	146:7;150:24;152:3;	109:20	94:21;109:7,20;
116:21,22;148:9	42:10,14;45:11,12,	153:11,19;156:23;	miles (5)	115:4;116:21,23;
loud (1)	22;46:3,12,21;47:20;	157:21	10:5,7;34:3;36:8;	120:8;125:7,9,13;
27:24	48:2,3,11,15,16,24;	meaning (1)	93:11	134:11;145:3;
lower (3)	49:6,17;50:6;51:16,	135:20	mind (2)	146:11;157:13
19:2;117:11;	18;52:12,16;53:17;	means (2)	123:18;124:4	morning (9)
154:19	55:7,9,13;56:3,10,17;	117:6;154:4	minimize (3)	60:5;63:11;88:20;
	57:9,13,16;58:6,8,17,	meant (4)	40:14;41:5;114:8	115:22;143:1;
Μ	23;61:7,8,13,24;	18:17;49:10;	minimizing (1)	151:10;152:20;
	73:10,11,16;74:4;	102:13;150:12	39:24	153:9;159:22
machine (3)	130:18	Mears (2)	minimum (4)	most (6)
90:7;151:12,20	map (7)	13:3,6	106:16;112:9,13;	16:21,22;27:10;
magnitude (1)	16:6,9,12,14;17:8;	measure (1)	154:22	37:8;54:15;97:15
63:9	31:13,15	28:17	Minneapolis (1)	mostly (3)
Main (1)	maps (8)	measured (1)	120:2	18:11;127:14,20
		105:19	Minnesota (1)	motion (8)
88:9	45:24;58:9;62:2;			
Maine (1)	123:18,22;124:5;	measures (3)	120:2	49:12;50:11,17;
97:14	127:20;149:20	40:13,20;41:4	minus (1)	51:3,13;53:19;55:14,
mainly (2)	March (4)	medium (1)	141:10	17
144:12;153:20	50:10,13;51:1;53:1	54:17	minute (4)	move (11)
maintain (3)	Mark (1)	meeting (1)	88:3;100:9;143:19;	25:12;50:1;56:21;
59:10;154:16;	121:16	96:17	149:16	64:3,5;79:22;80:3;
157:23	marked (1)	members (1)	minutes (6)	82:6;116:11;117:20;
maintained (2)	131:18	142:14	90:20,22;93:14,24;	156:14
59:5;157:14	Mass (1)	memo (1)	94:2,12	moved (2)
maintaining (2)	72:16	65:21	missing (3)	23:10;53:18
15:2;155:22	Massachusetts (3)	memorandum (1)	4:8,12;108:7	moving (7)
major (2)	72:15,22;73:19	5:5	mistake (2)	33:1;78:5;87:14,
85:6,7	material (16)	men (1)	84:17;112:4	18;88:1;103:4;118:3
making (6)	4:6,12;26:4,19;	35:13	mitigate (2)	much (14)
14:23;46:21;55:3,	27:9,11;61:11;91:11;	mentioned (4)	40:14;41:5	27:21;32:7;34:5;
4;130:7;152:20	92:4;111:16;130:1;	33:14;77:22;143:1;	mitigating (1)	36:19;74:20;87:8;
Management (1)	148:1,8;153:15;	145:11	39:24	102:7;106:9;111:12;
9:7	159:4,5	mentioning (1)	mitigation (1)	123:21;127:15;
manhole (21)	materials (4)	34:24	28:7	129:13;141:11;148:7
97:17,20;100:2;	3:16,22;44:1,3	MERRIGAN (4)	Mix (5)	mud (1)
102:9;105:11,16;	matter (10)	83:17;107:4;	89:12;91:3,6;92:3,	26:7
106:5;111:9,10;	63:19;64:12,12;	111:19,23	5	multiple (1)
112:12,20,21,24;	66:21;79:12,16;	metallic (1)	mixer (1)	63:14
113:3,11,24;114:6,7,	105:7;106:6;119:22;	43:24	91:14	Muni (1)
9;115:10;118:1	139:1	methods (1)	mobility (1)	6:13
manholes (1)	matters (1)	117:6	6:15	municipal (1)
96:20	79:13	Miami (1)	mobilization (1)	80:10
manner (2)	maximum (1)	12:22	65:6	must (7)
48:20;75:20	157:2	Michigan (2)	moment (1)	5:5;50:23;52:5;
Manual (7)	may (23)	119:24;120:2	42:19	111:8;116:23;
71:13,16;115:12,	3:10;9:21;22:14;	microphone (1)	money (1)	117:12;134:14
17,19;116:15,16	46:23;53:5;64:18;	159:23	76:21	myself (1)
manufacture (1)	73:17;75:22;82:18;	mid-April (1)	MONROE (3)	44:4
108:15	96:2;98:7,7,9;113:7,	3:24	84:9;99:19,21	
manufacturer (3)	8;114:4;136:24;	middle (3)	months (8)	Ν
108:5;114:17,23	138:10;139:6;	21:16;65:4;101:16	3:23;4:11;6:8,10;	1
manufacturer's (1)	143:22;154:7;	Middleton (1) 43:1	8:14,16;119:9;137:4	name (4)
117:5	157:16;158:7		monumentation (2)	39:10;74:14;75:21;
manufactures (1)	Maybe (3)	might (25)	67:13;68:9	121:14
108:11	76:6;94:19;120:8	5:21;11:23;22:16;	more (39)	narrow (1)
many (14) 3:18;24:7;34:20;	McConnell/Northern (1)	24:8,17,19;27:1,2,12;	8:17;23:17;24:14,	61:22
5:18:24:7:54:20:	140.15	21.010.00000000000000000000000000000000		
	140:15	31:9,16;32:7;33:23;	20;25:23;26:20,20,	narrower (4)
50:2;53:7,14,15,19; 67:18;75:3;84:22;	140:15 McLane (1) 42:24	31:9,16;32:7;33:23; 34:5;38:12;53:10; 65:5;68:14;76:15;	20;25:23;26:20,20, 20;27:8,20;42:4; 51:2;56:9;58:20;	narrower (4) 21:7;34:12,14; 156:4

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING			October 23, 2017
native (2)	48:15;51:2;53:21;	145:3;146:1,5;	61:14	opinions (2)
27:13;148:8	55:24;56:7,21;58:5;	147:13;157:19	offering (2)	46:17;47:5
Natural (8)	59:21;74:11;77:3;	numbers (7)	77:19;105:16	opportunity (3)
45:4,14,19;46:7,	85:23;89:3,5;90:11;	101:17;103:15,17;	offers (2)	41:18;72:14,20
24;130:21;131:4;	91:7;106:7;114:15;	141:7;147:7,8;148:5	56:24;95:13	opposed (4)
133:9	115:8;118:22;121:8;	numerous (1)	Offhand (1)	65:7;72:6;139:3,15
nature (2)	149:15	47:7	159:12	oral (1)
58:2;135:6	Nicks (1)		Officer (1)	48:18
near (3)	105:13	0	50:18	orally (3)
24:17;59:6;149:23	nitrate (1)	•	often (3)	48:7;53:12;57:8
nearby (2)	130:13	Oakland (1)	72:5;75:7;107:13	order (17)
70:11;90:9	noise (4)	10:12	oftentimes (1)	50:14;51:5,9;52:8,
necessarily (7)	27:21,23;28:1,7	object (1)	114:17	11,13,18;53:1,4,11,
23:19;32:22;34:13;	non-abutters (1)	102:11	old (4)	18;54:12;63:9;76:21;
70:15;71:8;83:10;	74:16	objected (3)	41:2;83:22;84:23;	88:22;100:18;112:16
91:20	non-adverse (1)	11:3;50:13;51:8	85:8	ordered (1)
necessary (12)	54:24	Objection (34)	once (4)	51:18
27:3;31:16;32:7,	non-burial (1)	11:18;14:1;25:7;	11:12;24:19;	orderly (2)
12;52:7;86:3;97:22;	139:11	29:18;31:19;35:5;	110:14;147:21	50:22;82:23
99:7;100:16;103:18;	none (2)	38:2,15,20;45:5;	one (72)	original (2)
110:19;113:16	72:6;120:23	47:4;48:19;50:1;	6:23;11:9;16:10;	45:23;80:16
necessity (1)	non-Northern (1)	56:13,16;57:12;61:3;	18:4,4;24:23;28:12;	originally (1)
27:1	54:2	73:6;74:2;79:7;80:3;	33:20;34:17,18,19;	144:22
need (27)	nor (1)	82:1;83:1;89:16;	35:21;39:12;43:9,18,	OSHA (1)
8:8;18:4,24;25:18;	128:15	90:3;115:20;116:10;	21;53:2,11;56:8,8;	27:18
29:11;30:22;55:11,	normal (2)	117:15;129:24;	58:9;59:20;60:23;	others (5)
12;57:4;67:23;71:14;	33:3;152:17	130:10,14;131:5;	64:17;73:2,3,13;	48:8;74:3;78:16;
72:3;84:9,19;95:10;	normally (4)	133:11;134:9	76:22;77:18;78:6,21;	86:17;139:12
100:18;102:8;104:3,	26:9;77:16;109:1,6	objections (4)	82:8;84:12;86:20;	otherwise (5)
9;110:16;114:20;	north (10)	53:14,15,16;57:1	88:23;91:22,24;94:7,	27:3;51:12;53:12;
137:10;146:11,21;	28:12;60:2;83:21;	objection's (1)	8;95:3;96:8,13,19;	99:11;120:18
152:13;154:22; 157:20	84:23;85:9,9;88:10;	48:5	97:15;99:11;104:16,	out (29)
needed (10)	105:8;150:15,18 northbound (1)	obstacle (1) 81:17	23;106:1,7;109:18; 117:5;122:9;123:4,	20:2;21:7;41:7; 51:9;55:16;65:1;
26:1;47:5;96:19;	59:23		14;124:23;131:9,10;	73:20;75:24;79:11;
99:1;103:18;104:13;	Northern (21)	obstructed (1) 94:21	132:8,15;134:11;	91:3,14;93:8;94:1;
151:15;153:10,10,24	5:5;6:17;9:3;29:9,	obtained (2)	137:16;138:17;	96:3;115:5;118:19;
NEEDLEMAN (29)	15;30:20;31:3;33:16;	44:2,4	139:11,18;142:8;	119:23;141:21;
11:18;14:1;18:16;	34:4;35:22;61:17;	Obviously (1)	143:3;144:1,7;153:9;	146:20,20;147:12,20;
25:6;29:18;30:2,6;	72:21;81:6;115:13,	20:4	156:3,9;157:17	151:17;153:6;154:3;
31:19;35:5;38:2,20;	14;116:17;119:11,16,	occasion (1)	one-half (1)	156:9,17;158:10;
45:5;61:3;73:6;79:7;	18,21;120:10	39:13	97:23	159:4
82:1;83:1;89:15;	Northumberland (9)	occur (1)	one-page (1)	outer (1)
102:10;115:20;	121:19;123:3,5;	93:10	65:21	112:14
117:15;129:24;	124:2;125:16;	occurred (4)	ones (3)	outline (1)
130:14;131:5;	126:16;128:9;129:3;	54:3;62:2;97:5;	43:17;100:6,11	80:13
133:11;136:19,20;	131:19	158:24	only (12)	outrageous (1)
140:2,8	notation (1)	occurring (1)	4:15;7:8;29:13;	55:23
needs (4)	22:22	95:4	31:1;33:11,16;45:15;	outriggers (9)
9:12;30:10;82:9;	notice (5)	October (7)	127:2;144:9;154:13;	21:18;100:4;
106:16	113:6;117:10;	5:4;43:20;53:22;	157:22;158:8	101:20,20,23,23;
Neighboring (1)	153:5;156:12;157:6	54:6;55:15;63:20;	onto (3)	104:1,8,11
132:7	noticed (1)	160:4	17:6;68:2;110:24	outside (15)
new (23)	115:11	off (19)	open (6)	20:15;21:10;28:18,
3:22;15:17;17:10,	notify (2)	34:17;84:20;88:19;	34:20;37:10;63:3;	21;61:17;80:14;
11;30:12;31:21;	32:14,18	90:6;94:12;97:13;	113:4,8;145:12	143:9;146:24;
35:10,11;38:10;41:2;	November (1)	102:9;106:17;	opened (1)	148:10,12,13,18,23;
46:15;47:12,13;61:4;	8:12	110:14,23;118:3;	133:16	149:9,13
89:9;118:15;119:11,	number (16)	124:3;125:21;	operation (3)	outwards (1)
17,18,21;120:11;	5:16;64:16,24;	143:19,20;144:3,5,	23:8;40:18;114:10	149:7
121:17;147:8	76:5;85:15;97:24;	14;154:24	opinion (6)	over (11)
next (24) 16:12;25:4;39:4;	101:10;106:20; 111:20,24;125:21;	offer (4) 51:6;57:11,22;	5:12;61:15;62:23; 63:5;67:23;75:23	36:19;47:7;54:5, 11;81:12;87:4;96:14;
10.12,23.4,39.4,	111.20,24,123.21,	51.0,57.11,22,	05.5,07.25,75.25	11,01.12,07.4,90.14;

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING	T	1	October 25, 2017
100:12;108:20;	panel (17)	7:9;69:2;87:12	86:3;108:11	placed (2)
143:6;146:23	6:7;51:24;55:8;	Pastoriza (45)	personally (3)	56:18:124:14
overall (3)	57:24;61:15;73:8;	3:4,6,7,12,13;5:2,3;	15:11,24;72:17	places (3)
63:7;79:21,24	82:4;83:8;86:14;	9:16,18;11:21,22;	persons (1)	30:10;92:2;139:11
over-estimation (1)	114:3;116:3;122:24;	12:6;13:9,10;14:6,7,	143:9	placing (1)
145:5	123:1;134:1;142:15;	15;16:4,7,11,13;	person's (1)	96:4
overhead (13)	151:15;154:10	18:20,21;22:18,20;	69:8	plan (25)
11:2;20:2;122:5,	Pappas (8)	25:10,11,13;28:9,10;	persuaded (1)	5:6,9,14,19;6:4,6;
20;123:17,24;127:15,	48:9;115:23;116:9;	29:22,23;30:5,11,17;	138:13	8:1,10,21;9:6,7,12;
18;128:3,4,10;	137:12,15;138:15,16;	31:11,12,23,24;32:6;	pertains (1)	22:7;23:9;24:21;
142:13,18	139:5	35:8,9;38:9,16;39:2	61:4	37:19;58:19,20;
overly (1)	PAR (6)	path (1)	pertinent (1)	60:11;70:21;74:19;
107:11	9:20,23;13:12,21;	23:18	39:21	79:21;85:20;86:7; 96:9
overrule (1) 90:3	14:16;77:9 paragraph (2)	paths (1) 29:2	Petroleum (1) 43:22	96:9 planned (4)
Overruled (5)	7:3;115:2	Pause (3)	45.22 photo (1)	40:13;41:4;60:24;
14:12;30:15;32:3;	parameters (1)	3:9;42:21;112:8	107:21	81:3
35:14;53:15	27:13	paved (2)	photograph (1)	planning (3)
overseeing (1)	parking (3)	80:22;96:5	111:15	10:23;80:1;145:21
14:23	59:5,9,14	pavement (18)	photos (1)	plans (29)
oversized (1)	parks (1)	16:22;17:8;18:10;	91:6	4:15,21;28:6;
114:2	157:9	21:1,3,5,8,11;22:3;	pick (6)	30:14;36:24;37:2,18,
over-the-road (1)	part (22)	28:15,21;70:22;80:9;	90:8;97:16,17;	21;39:23;68:17;
102:16	3:14;6:17;9:4;	146:24;148:12,24;	98:2;102:8;109:5	80:12,23;123:18,22,
own (4)	11:3;26:7;39:21;	149:6;154:24	picking (2)	23;124:10,17,18,24;
42:12;78:15;	40:17;41:14;49:7,8;	paving (2)	100:18;110:22	125:3,8,17,23,24;
121:16,16	52:8;53:21;70:9,13;	87:22,23	picks (1)	126:6;148:10;153:4;
owned (1)	73:24;76:8;79:18;	pay (1)	111:4	156:2;158:24
60:16	88:3;106:13;107:16,	79:6	pickup (1)	plate (5)
owner (2)	17;159:15	pending (1)	85:1	86:22,24;87:1;
70:2;82:8	particular (12) 24:22;39:19;50:17;	53:20	picture (6) 85:1;149:22;	95:7,15
owners (5) 69:24;70:5,11,15;	54:15;109:3;110:21;	people (3) 11:23;53:7;139:12	150:14,20;151:24;	plates (16) 86:16;88:20;89:22;
81:18	113:16;124:1;	per (9)	150.14,20,151.24, 154:19	90:6,8,16,18,20;
owners' (1)	132:20;136:17;	69:6;96:9;101:21;	pictured (1)	94:10,12,13,24;95:1,
81:24	138:20;142:3	109:23;144:21,23,24;	152:24	10,20,23
ownership (1)	particularly (1)	145:2,7	piece (3)	plats (1)
59:2	158:19	perceive (1)	86:24;109:3;	68:8
owns (1)	parties (8)	57:4	110:21	Platte (1)
69:14	53:19;69:19,22;	percent (5)	pipeline (11)	13:1
	79:2,3;137:8;138:23;	36:24;37:7;73:3;	43:11,13;45:4,15;	play (4)
Р	139:13	125:9,11	46:24;61:23;131:4;	34:22;48:2;69:2;
	partly (1)	percentage (2)	133:8,9;134:20;	76:15
packages (1)	5:13	63:9;124:21	143:5	playing (1)
34:5	Parts (1)	Percy (2)	Pipelines (7)	76:18
pad (2) 105:24;124:6	8:5	121:17;122:4	43:18,24;131:1,2;	pleadings (1)
pads (2)	party (8) 52:2,4,5;137:16;	performed (1) 78:24	132:7,14;142:1 pit (26)	44:14 Please (8)
124:7,14	138:18,19,20,22	perhaps (3)	17:23;19:19;20:3;	18:6;30:19;40:5;
Page (24)	party's (1)	41:17;87:20;158:5	21:9;23:7;24:18;	46:4;58:7;83:18;
6:12,15;9:19;	137:17	period (3)	25:15;26:2,6,10;	107:5;111:24
22:19;42:15,20;	Pass (18)	62:24;64:22;69:6	59:17,21;96:9;97:23;	plenty (2)
83:23;100:6;101:14;	5:5;6:17;9:3;29:9,	permanent (1)	98:18,21;99:8,9;	47:13;135:16
105:22;106:3;	15;30:20;31:3;33:16;	87:23	100:19;101:11,13,15,	plotted (1)
107:22;111:17,18;	35:22;54:2;61:17;	permit (2)	18,24;112:19;113:6	67:19
113:18;115:1,2,8;	72:21;81:6;115:13,	30:14;34:5	pits (5)	plus (4)
117:11,23,23;118:18,	14;116:17;140:15;	permitted (3)	17:22;19:7,18;	141:10;156:24;
23;119:2	158:13	11:13;38:19;76:22	96:7;107:2	157:5,5
pages (5)	passage (1)	permitting (2)	Pittsburg (2)	Plymouth (4)
42:16;50:24;52:9;	154:17 Bass's (1)	7:15;37:24	74:17;84:22	88:9;144:8,9,13
54:7;117:7 Pom (3)	$\frac{\text{Pass's (1)}}{_{34:5}}$	perpendicular (1) 81:11	place (6)	pm (4) 3·2·74·8 0·160·3
Pam (3) 84:6;100:9;101:5	34:5 past (3)	81:11 person (2)	12:8;89:9;91:18; 96:20;109:8;113:2	3:2;74:8,9;160:3 point (25)
0+.0,100.7,101.3	pase (3)	per son (2)	90.20,109.0,115.2	point (23)

44:6:55:16:68:12; 76:14:85:21:89:2: 95:6:104:2.21: 114:13:118:9:122:9: 124:17;125:5;126:9; 130:7,9;131:7; 134:11;136:1; 137:20:138:14,17; 155:14;156:9 pointed (1) 141:21 pole (4) 17:15;19:6;20:1; 61:1 poles (3) 18:2;19:22;60:24 popular (1) 107:13 portion (9) 11:4,12;16:5; 58:13:122:5.20; 127:18;142:19;154:5 portions (2) 4:17;127:14 Portland (9) 45:4,14,19;46:7, 24;108:19;130:20; 131:4;133:8 pose (1) 141:24 posed (1) 140:24 position (4) 49:21;52:2;134:24; 137:10 positions (1) 139:1 possession (1) 128:16possibility (2) 78:19:117:24 possible (10) 27:11;53:9,9; 76:20;86:4,15;92:14; 120:23,24;158:2 possibly (2) 79:22;106:5 posted (2) 29:24;30:1 potential (9) 25:2:37:22:38:12, 17;40:1;44:9,19; 45:3;76:19 potentially (5) 33:21;92:2;109:14; 143:5,6 pounds (4) 96:14;97:22; 109:23;110:12 Pour (4) 89:14:90:24:92:10: 93:16 poured (3)

91:3:94:1,9 pouring (4) 91:7,13:92:24:93:4 pour's (1) 94:7 Power (9) 12:22;13:5;43:19, 23:73:5:82:11; 129:19;130:20; 132:15 **PPL (1)** 10:9 practice (3) 32:14:33:3:92:12 practices (1) 77:23 precisely (1) 11:14 precision (1) 125:18 prefiled (10) 3:14:46:18.20: 47:6,11;105:14; 107:17:123:12: 144:16;145:9 prehearing (3) 50:19;51:6,12 Preliminary (9) 42:3;44:17;58:2; 124:10,12;127:1,19; 139:23:140:14 premise (1) 134:15 prepared (4) 4:9;49:17;127:9; 128:17 prescriptive (6) 16:21:18:9.11: 29:1:68:19:69:4 present (3) 18:13,14;159:8 presentation (4) 6:18;9:4,20,22 presenting (2) 11:24;12:3 presents (1) 81:17 preserving (1) 53:9 Presiding (1) 50:18 presumably (1) 59:21 pretty (3) 67:9;93:12;122:22 prevented (1) 52:20 preventing (1) 53:12 previous (1) 151:14 previously (2) 61:5;131:18

prior (4) 6:22:7:6:9:7:48:7 private (7) 29:3.16:31:4: 66:24;68:2;69:23; 81:23 privately-owned (1) 66:13 private-sector (1) 77:17 pro (1) 54:19 probably (21) 8:4;28:2;44:12; 78:14:80:1:85:16: 87:5,6,18,22;88:23; 89:6;92:18;93:17; 94:15;96:21;100:1; 108:17;112:15; 132:22;159:4 problem (4) 5:11;57:6;118:7; 155:21 problematic (1) 25:14 problems (3) 37:22;38:12,17 procedural (2) 50:7;53:22 proceed (6) 3:11:35:18:36:1. 11:139:20:143:22 proceeding (4) 39:13;50:22,24; 53:1 proceedings (5) 3:9;7:15;55:18,20; 56:5 process (25) 11:6:26:8:33:7.9, 11;34:15;55:14; 68:24:69:12:70:10; 72:1,16;73:20,21; 76:1,10,17;77:15; 86:17;89:4;106:23; 107:3,19;108:17; 110:17 produced (4) 44:13;45:17; 115:18,21 Producers (1) 43:22 production (2) 44:15;92:20 profile (1) 22:21 prohibition (1) 61:9 project (77) 3:19:5:7.11:6:4.21; 7:5,9,14;9:8,24;10:4, 7,9,11,12,13,24;11:1, 24;12:21,23;13:2,7,

15,20:15:4,10,14: 36:20:37:16.17.24: 38:19;43:12,12;45:3, 24:58:9:59:15:62:2. 18,22;63:6;64:2,16; 66:12,23;68:1;69:17; 70:20;71:10;73:22; 76:3;77:13,14,17,18, 23;78:12,13;82:18; 93:14:105:7:107:14; 115:18;116:17; 121:21;122:3,5; 123:6,10;128:10; 129:23;139:4,16; 140:16:142:19 projected (1) 23:13 projects (15) 6:20;7:4;10:1,16, 20;12:14,19;24:16; 37:6:69:1:72:10; 78:7;119:19.20; 120:18 prompt (1) 50:21 pronunciation (1) 10:3 proof (5) 51:6;56:24;57:11, 22;61:14 proper (1) 78:24 property (19) 20:18:29:3.17: 31:4;37:7;59:2; 66:13,24;68:2;69:8, 15,24;70:2,5,11; 81:18,23,24;82:8 proposal (4) 14:8;73:24;115:12, 15 Proposals (1) 72:16 propose (1) 97:16 proposed (28) 5:7;8:3;13:14; 17:10,11,15,18,19,22, 23;19:7,7,14,15,18, 20;23:18;26:13; 28:13;31:9;34:9; 40:1,19;45:3;58:13; 65:16:66:12:126:1 proposition (1) 137:19 prospective (1) 58:4 protect (1) 78:22 protection (5) 92:23,24;93:3; 99:7:101:12 protective (2)

93:21.23 provide (1) 76:13 provided (9) 3:16:35:1:36:5; 42:22;103:19; 114:17;126:1,24; 127:5 provider (2) 108:2,10 provides (1) 86:23 provision (1) 40:22 proximal (1) 70:6 public (21) 5:10;10:11;39:20; 40:2,14;41:12;49:9; 54:4,18,22;65:13; 77:16;116:4;120:19, 20:131:10:134:6: 137:22;138:5,7,17 publication (1) 71:16 Public's (8) 46:22;49:2;56:20; 134:5;135:2,5;136:9; 137:23 pulled (1) 111:11 pulling (1) 25:15 pumped (1) 35:4 pumping (1) 26:20 purchased (1) 76:14 purpose (1) 118:22 purposes (3) 8:15;66:4;109:9 push (1) 21:11 put (20) 20:14;21:4;41:7; 42:11,12;49:19; 55:12,19;57:5;63:7; 68:10;78:1;90:11; 94:13:95:20:110:16; 116:23;141:7; 146:22;147:23 puts (1) 39:6 putting (1) 53:8 0 quality (3) 13:24;14:19; 127:24

ADJUDICATORY HEA				October 23, 2017
Quanta (7)	Really (5)	red (3)	146:13	representing (2)
Quanta (7) 6:17;9:4,21,23;	92:8;95:6;114:20;	17:12;26:12;28:12	relative (2)	39:11;93:23
	147:8;150:22	redesigned (1)	28:4;123:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10:14;13:4;14:8		11:6		request (26) 9:15;16:8;28:11,
Quanta's (1) 13:11	realm (1) 143:11	reel (7)	relatively (1) 113:5	20;29:24;30:3;32:1,
quantify (2) 63:8;126:2	reason (5)	107:24;109:5,5;	Relevance (5) 73:7;74:2,3;79:8,	24;49:13;51:11,11;
·	53:10;71:14;	110:6,23,24;111:4	12	55:23;60:8;72:15;
quarters (1)	116:24;121:1;126:9	reels (1) 110:20		75:10,16;78:1,3;
146:7	reasonable (4)		relevant (3)	134:5;148:16,17,21;
question-by-question (1)		re-enter (1)	61:10;73:15;79:19	149:17;150:4,10;
52:24	reasonably (2)	113:5	relocation (1)	153:2
quick (2)	20:8;143:10	refer (5)	20:1	requested (3)
74:23;107:18	reasoning (1)	50:2;111:15;	remaining (1)	12:12;50:19;51:5
quickly (3)	86:16	123:14;147:2;149:15	51:22	requests (22)
64:4;107:18,20	reasons (8)	reference (3)	remediation (6)	59:13;62:6,7,13,14,
quite (2)	41:10,13;52:3,23;	87:13;111:18;	31:16;32:7,11;	19,21;63:2;64:9;
74:24;104:18	76:5;89:22,24;99:15	144:24	33:7,15,18	70:19;71:1,18,20;
quote (8)	rebuilt (1)	referenced (2)	remember (6)	75:1;76:4,15,22,23;
7:3;46:14;50:18;	17:5	65:10;143:2	14:13;94:6;98:24;	80:13,18;123:15;
51:5,16,19;66:3,4	recall (11)	referencing (1)	129:13;150:14;	131:8
quoted (1)	59:17;70:4;81:13;	24:2	158:22	require (5)
52:18	96:24;97:11;118:17;	referred (2)	remembered (1)	21:13;26:16;27:8,
	119:12,13;125:21;	86:15;87:15	120:5	18;90:18
R	128:5;149:4	referring (7)	reminded (1)	required (9)
	received (1)	25:22;75:9,15;	138:21	35:3,24;103:21;
radius (1)	38:12	128:8;144:21;149:1;	remove (1)	110:13,24;128:18,24;
112:13	recent (4)	151:2	90:19	129:8,20
raise (3)	14:8;30:3;58:20;	refers (1)	removing (1)	requirement (2)
30:9;57:21;133:7	148:1	115:3	88:20	22:8;36:3
raised (3)	recently (4)	reflect (2)	rendered (1)	requirements (6)
30:8;45:9;130:19	29:24;30:1;51:2;	103:1;133:2	59:12	15:19;69:7;71:11,
romifications (1)	144:23	reflected (1)	Renewable (2)	15,24;159:14
ramifications (1)				
83:9	Recess (1)	77:6	10:4,24	requires (3)
83:9 ran (1)	Recess (1) 74:8	77:6 reflects (2)	10:4,24 repave (1)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6
83:9 ran (1) 147:5	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23;	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23;	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13;
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1;
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9;
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21;	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2,	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5;	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23;	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14;	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4) 61:8;120:14;
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14; 103:1;126:4,5,10;	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11,	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14; 103:1;126:4,5,10; 132:12;133:2;	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6;	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14; 103:1;126:4,5,10; 132:12;133:2; 134:14;136:23;	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{Recess (1)} \\ 74:8 \\ \textbf{recited (1)} \\ 50:14 \\ \textbf{recognize (1)} \\ 85:5 \\ \textbf{recollection (1)} \\ 43:4 \\ \textbf{recollection's (1)} \\ 102:20 \\ \textbf{recommendations (1)} \\ 16:3 \\ \textbf{reconfigure (1)} \\ 158:4 \\ \textbf{reconsideration (1)} \\ 49:13 \\ \textbf{reconstruction (1)} \\ 12:22 \\ \textbf{record (18)} \\ 41:24;49:21,23; \\ 56:13;58:18;102:14; \\ 103:1;126:4,5,10; \\ 132:12;133:2; \\ 134:14;136:23; \\ 143:19,20;144:4,5 \end{array}$	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5 real (1)	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{Recess (1)} \\ 74:8 \\ \textbf{recited (1)} \\ 50:14 \\ \textbf{recognize (1)} \\ 85:5 \\ \textbf{recollection (1)} \\ 43:4 \\ \textbf{recollection's (1)} \\ 102:20 \\ \textbf{recommendations (1)} \\ 16:3 \\ \textbf{reconfigure (1)} \\ 158:4 \\ \textbf{reconsideration (1)} \\ 49:13 \\ \textbf{reconstruction (1)} \\ 12:22 \\ \textbf{record (18)} \\ 41:24;49:21,23; \\ 56:13;58:18;102:14; \\ 103:1;126:4,5,10; \\ 132:12;133:2; \\ 134:14;136:23; \\ 143:19,20;144:4,5 \\ \textbf{record's (1)} \end{array}$	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9 rejection (2)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15 representation (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 responded (1) 96:21 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1) 53:3
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5 real (1) 152:4	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{Recess (1)} \\ 74:8 \\ \textbf{recited (1)} \\ 50:14 \\ \textbf{recognize (1)} \\ 85:5 \\ \textbf{recollection (1)} \\ 43:4 \\ \textbf{recollection's (1)} \\ 102:20 \\ \textbf{recommendations (1)} \\ 16:3 \\ \textbf{reconfigure (1)} \\ 158:4 \\ \textbf{reconsideration (1)} \\ 49:13 \\ \textbf{reconstruction (1)} \\ 12:22 \\ \textbf{record (18)} \\ 41:24;49:21,23; \\ 56:13;58:18;102:14; \\ 103:1;126:4,5,10; \\ 132:12;133:2; \\ 134:14;136:23; \\ 143:19,20;144:4,5 \\ \textbf{record's (1)} \\ 137:3 \\ \end{array}$	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9 rejection (2) 30:1,12	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15 representation (2) 68:10;78:15	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1) 53:3 rest (3)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5 real (1) 152:4 realigning (1)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14; 103:1;126:4,5,10; 132:12;133:2; 134:14;136:23; 143:19,20;144:4,5 record's (1) 137:3 recover (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9 rejection (2) 30:1,12 related (4)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15 representation (2) 68:10;78:15 representative (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1) 53:3 rest (3) 50:1;51:7;142:14
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5 real (1) 152:4 realigning (1) 148:23	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{Recess (1)} \\ 74:8 \\ \textbf{recited (1)} \\ 50:14 \\ \textbf{recognize (1)} \\ 85:5 \\ \textbf{recollection (1)} \\ 43:4 \\ \textbf{recollection's (1)} \\ 102:20 \\ \textbf{recommendations (1)} \\ 16:3 \\ \textbf{reconfigure (1)} \\ 158:4 \\ \textbf{reconsideration (1)} \\ 49:13 \\ \textbf{reconstruction (1)} \\ 12:22 \\ \textbf{record (18)} \\ 41:24;49:21,23; \\ 56:13;58:18;102:14; \\ 103:1;126:4,5,10; \\ 132:12;133:2; \\ 134:14;136:23; \\ 143:19,20;144:4,5 \\ \textbf{record's (1)} \\ 137:3 \\ \textbf{recover (1)} \\ 26:7 \end{array}$	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9 rejection (2) 30:1,12 related (4) 14:7;32:2;39:1;	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15 representation (2) 68:10;78:15 representative (2) 79:5;121:18	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1) 53:3 rest (3) 50:1;51:7;142:14 restate (1)
83:9 ran (1) 147:5 range (2) 72:11;110:1 rate (2) 64:18;144:17 rather (3) 34:4;81:12;101:7 rationale (1) 46:4 reach (1) 104:22 read (7) 40:5;87:15;102:21; 109:22;133:5;143:2, 14 readily-available (2) 67:14,20 reading (1) 143:13 ready (9) 3:4;56:21;74:11, 14;89:12;91:3,6; 92:3,5 real (1) 152:4 realigning (1)	Recess (1) 74:8 recited (1) 50:14 recognize (1) 85:5 recollection (1) 43:4 recollection's (1) 102:20 recommendations (1) 16:3 reconfigure (1) 158:4 reconsideration (1) 49:13 reconstruction (1) 12:22 record (18) 41:24;49:21,23; 56:13;58:18;102:14; 103:1;126:4,5,10; 132:12;133:2; 134:14;136:23; 143:19,20;144:4,5 record's (1) 137:3 recover (1)	77:6 reflects (2) 102:15;103:1 regarding (7) 14:3;37:23;39:23; 51:23;56:24;76:23; 83:12 regardless (3) 23:7,11;27:16 region (1) 82:24 regulation (1) 75:18 regulatory (1) 69:6 rehearing (2) 53:18;55:15 reinforced (1) 96:11 reiterate (2) 86:6;158:2 reject (1) 8:14 rejected (3) 7:22;40:21;41:9 rejection (2) 30:1,12 related (4)	10:4,24 repave (1) 22:8 repeat (8) 14:14;18:4,24; 30:18;32:5;35:19; 111:19;140:8 repeats (1) 137:7 repetitious (2) 47:1;61:11 replacing (1) 95:2 report (10) 32:22;41:23; 107:16;110:22; 114:14;117:1; 128:17;142:3,5; 146:15 Reporter (1) 98:13 reports (2) 126:19;127:10 represent (2) 78:23;121:15 representation (2) 68:10;78:15 representative (2)	requires (3) 20:16;40:12;90:6 requiring (2) 51:5;148:12 research (3) 6:3;10:2;12:24 researched (2) 44:2,4 resistance (1) 10:11 respect (11) 30:9;56:4;68:13; 127:6,18,23;129:1; 130:23;133:9; 134:11;135:22 respond (2) 76:9;116:15 response (4) 61:8;120:14; 123:14;124:22 response] (1) 6:11 responses (1) 53:3 rest (3) 50:1;51:7;142:14

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING	I		October 23, 2017
40:15	road (84)	RSA (1)	screen (9)	September (2)
resume (3)	4:17;17:2,3,23;	50:15	9:5,19;17:5;42:8,	51:10,19
12:7;14:10;160:3	18:1;19:1,3,19,21;	rule (6)	12,17;58:14;60:18;	series (1)
Resumed (2)	20:9,11,14,19;21:14,	39:14,15,19;41:6;	145:10	53:23
3:2;74:9	16;22:9,14;25:14,23,	50:16;75:18	screenshot (1)	serious (1)
return (1)	24;33:2;34:17,19;	rules (3)	28:19	81:17
25:2	36:22;63:4,13;70:23;	39:12;72:3;77:22	scroll (1)	seriously (1)
reunion (1)	77:3;80:14;81:11;	ruling (4)	16:12	53:7
96:17	82:10;83:21,23;84:5,	46:22;47:3;48:19;	SDG&E (1)	Services (2)
review (6)	14,17,19,23;85:4,7,9,	135:24	10:6	32:15;77:19
3:16;39:14;41:7,	10;88:16;93:24;95:8,	rulings (7)	se (1)	session (2)
19;42:6;72:21	12,18,19,19;96:1,5;	48:7;53:23;54:6,9;	54:19	120:9;160:2
reviewed (11)	105:3,4,8;106:6,7,17;	55:15,18;57:4	season (2)	sessions (1)
41:21;43:6,10;	108:20;119:10;	run (7)	64:1;65:5	119:8
44:21;48:13;63:3;	120:22;148:3,11,18,	32:19;34:18;80:1;	seasonality (1)	set (20)
70:24;140:18;142:3,	19;149:3,9,22,24;	112:11;138:12;	63:24	16:20;18:8;24:16;
21;148:15	150:23;151:19,24;	147:8;148:5	SEC (6)	28:1;29:1;45:23;
Revision (2)	152:17;153:10;	running (1)	5:9;8:18,23;9:9;	58:19,20;67:10;77:1;
150:4;153:1	154:13,20;155:1,3,	141:11	15:4,8	90:24;92:17;94:7,24;
revisions (1)	13,16;156:8,12,15,	Rusty's (1)	second (16)	96:20;97:23;99:9;
62:1 DED (3)	16;157:18	24:24	8:13,17;9:5;49:8;	100:1;112:18;113:24
RFP (3) 72:16,22;73:19	roads (9) 80:9,10,22;81:19,	S	62:3;92:9,24;93:8, 11;94:8,20;97:15;	sets (1) 95:21
rig (3)	24;84:15;88:17;93:3;	G	102:12;115:2;137:2,	setting (5)
28:3;153:21;154:1	120:10	safely (1)	20	25:15;81:1;95:10;
right (45)	road's (1)	97:23	section (7)	98:17;115:9
5:17;9:16;17:4,6;	106:22	safety (15)	37:11;40:8,11;	settled (1)
19:2;23:13;39:2;	roadway (2)	6:14;13:19,24;	124:1,2;142:12;	95:23
40:9;49:12;50:6;	23:10;95:2	14:19;15:2;24:6;	152:12	set-ups (1)
57:21;58:4,9;59:6,6;	roadways (2)	39:20;40:3,15;99:15;	sections (2)	63:14
61:2;62:1;66:5;69:9;	120:19,20	101:12;104:2,8;	16:23;142:10	seven (1)
70:18;74:6,10;80:20;	rock (8)	123:9;143:11	sector-type (1)	11:8
82:5;90:2,10,11,22;	22:23;23:6,11,14,	Saffo (1)	77:17	several (2)
92:16;98:4;100:12,	17;105:3,8;106:6	39:5	secured (1)	6:8;54:6
14;101:1;105:9;	rod (1)	same (23)	36:7	shall (2)
108:13;109:11;	156:3	9:19;22:22;27:15;	sediment (1)	51:20,24
117:19;118:8;	rods (6)	28:12;30:3;32:23;	124:7	shallower (1)
125:14;127:16;	22:3;34:3,4;	36:18;46:10;60:14;	seeing (5)	27:3
128:22;149:23; 156:13,19;159:20	154:11,13;157:4	61:8;64:16;106:8;	34:8,16;42:7;	ShareFile (1)
right-hand (6)	role (1) 138:21	115:21;117:16; 120:5;127:6;130:14;	75:23;153:4 seeks (1)	133:3 sheathing (3)
26:12;59:24;60:1;	rolled (1)	120:3;127:6;130:14; 133:11;135:20;	51:21	99:6;101:19;102:2
101:10;156:12;157:7	111:7	137:9,10;147:7;	seem (3)	sheathing-created (1)
right-of-way (47)	room (4)	157.9,10,147.7,	7:16;79:11;143:7	101:11
7:19,20;8:2,7,9;	99:11;156:24;	sand (4)	seemed (1)	sheeting (3)
16:19,20;17:14;18:7,	157:4,6	113:8,14;128:11,	116:16	26:9;27:2,19
8,11;20:13,15;21:7,	rotate (1)	12	seems (5)	ship (2)
10;22:2,7;28:16,24;	152:13	saw (4)	54:15,21;83:5;	108:18,18
34:1,9;61:2,18,22;	rough (1)	4:10;83:15;87:19;	104:9;116:2	shock (1)
66:5,14,20;67:24;	101:7	140:4	select (1)	129:14
68:5,16;69:10;71:8;	roughly (5)	saying (3)	103:22	shoring (4)
105:12;106:4,9;	30:21;34:3;84:13;	63:23;118:12,17	selected (3)	26:1,9;27:2,19
148:24;149:2;152:8;	105:4;109:19	scales (1)	24:20;76:11,12	short (4)
153:7,13;154:4,10;	round (1)	105:15	selecting (3)	9:23;67:8;82:16;
155:11;156:14;	101:22	scan (1)	33:20;98:11,14	113:5
157:3,16;158:1	route (15)	42:20	selection (1)	short- (1)
rights (5)	8:3;11:3,4;33:22;	scenario (4)	98:1	83:11 show (16)
37:7;66:24;68:19;	36:8;37:12;41:8,10; 58:13:50:7:63:12:	21:12;34:23;77:21;	sense (2) 70:24:84:1	show (16)
69:15,21 risk (2)	58:13;59:7;63:12; 83:24;84:20;144:12;	93:6 scope (12)	79:24;84:1 sentence (1)	4:14;9:24;28:13; 65:20;109:12;
15:1;61:16	150:15	scope (12) 46:19;47:11;79:8;	133:24	118:18,23;123:19;
River (3)	routed (1)	82:2;134:4,7,11,16;	separation (2)	124:5,12;125:3;
13:1;59:3,11	86:9	135:10,12,14,18	29:11;30:23	131:22;132:13;
		,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,		,,,,

148:21;149:24; 155:15 showed (1) 126:1 showing (8) 66:15;101:8; 112:10;117:8,9; 125:11:131:11:132:5 shown (9) 17:4:23:9:26:15: 29:2;34:4;91:23; 124:9;157:12;158:6 shows (9) 6:13,14:10:2; 13:11:22:21:28:11; 60:15;115:9;150:5 side (27) 17:1,2;18:1,14,15; 19:22;21:21;33:1; 34:17;59:2;82:9,10; 85:9:99:7:101:10: 106:17:135:21: 151:23;152:16; 154:19,20,24;155:15; 156:12,17;157:7; 158:7 sides (2) 28:2;155:2 sideways (1) 104:17 significant (7) 5:8:6:20:7:4.9.14: 135:22:147:13 similar (2) 34:23;119:22 simplicity (1) 88:16 simply (3) 25:20;35:12; 104:16 single (2) 154:17:158:10 single-lane (1) 85:2 sit (2) 63:10;153:22 site (19) 6:1;13:11;24:22; 31:17;32:8,12;33:4; 39:15;50:16;85:18; 87:11,14,18:97:13, 19:106:15:108:20: 109:6;110:14 sites (3) 28:7;37:11;129:2 site-specific (1) 27:17 situation (5) 22:6;77:12;113:17; 120:22:155:8 six (5) 3:23;4:10;6:10; 60:6:137:4

Sixty-percent (1) 37:2 size (13) 76:3;87:1;96:18; 98:24;99:2;103:18; 107:13:112:15: 125:4,11;126:22; 127:1;128:22 sketch (5) 103:20;104:14,21, 24;106:21 sketches (2) 101:1,8 skip (1) 51.7 slightly (2) 21:9;96:4 slope (15) 17:1,21,24;18:14; 19:17,20;20:7;149:8, 8;151:8,11;152:5,6; 153:13;155:18 slopes (2) 155:12,14 slow (5) 23:15;27:7;34:14; 40:4;158:12 slower (1) 64:18 slowly (1) 64:6 slurry (1) 28:23small (1) 22:17 smaller (1) 87:1 snip(2)6:16:9:5 so-called (3) 54:24;140:13; 141:22 Society (8) 39:11;50:12;51:7; 53:18;56:4,18;59:1; 60:17 Society's (2) 49:21;59:9 soil (2) 27:13;127:20 soils (1) 33:21 solid (1) 17:9 somebody (3) 67:3;94:19;114:20 somebody's (2) 152:21,23 someplace (3) 90:9;108:18,19 sometimes (2) 63:24:64:4 somewhat (6)

94:8;95:11:102:1; 104:9:114:1:119:15 Somewhere (3) 21:23:122:19: 125:17 sorry (7) 6:12;48:24;84:10; 103:6;112:3;134:2; 150:12 sort (2) 49:13;118:6 sorts (1) 30:7 sound (1) 90:20 sounds (5) 25:7;33:8;60:9; 89:16;116:6 source (1) 67:21 south (7) 17:5;19:3;34:2; 59:17,19;85:8; 144:12 southern (1) 36:8 space (5) 27:21;104:13; 113:3,5;154:16 span(1)85:23 spans (1) 65:6 speak (6) 32:10;33:24;67:8; 98:6;104:7;157:18 speaking (3) 72:2;103:14; 135:13 special (1) 71:18 specialist (1) 6:6 specialized (2) 107:23;114:16 species (2) 158:21;159:9 specific (14) 33:24;51:10;53:14, 24;63:1;92:19;98:7, 14,16;100:21; 127:22:128:20: 139:16:148:5 specifically (10) 12:12;39:17;42:4; 97:11;100:3;117:5; 128:8;129:13;146:3; 159:17 specifications (1) 101:21 specificity (1) 72:5 specifics (1)

27:12 speculate (2) 85:14.19 speculating (1) 85:18 speculation (4) 11:19;38:7,7,8 speed (2) 23:15;86:17 Spencer (1) 121:15 spent (1) 90:5 splice (22) 17:10,15,18;19:7, 14,23;20:3,5;21:9,17; 23:7;96:7,9;97:23; 98:18,21;99:9; 100:19;101:11; 107:2;112:19;113:6 splicers (1) 114:16 splicing (10) 107:3,7,9,19; 112:21;114:3,10; 115:1,3:117:8 spoils (2) 26:21:37:20 spokesman (1) 74:15 spool (3) 108:22:110:4: 111:7 spools (2) 109:13.18 spread (1) 33:6 spreading (1) 159:6 spring (2) 38:18;132:22 square (3) 106:1;152:16; 158:6 stabilizers (1) 104:1 stacking (1) 60:3 stage (1) 36:14 staging (6) 36:7.13:37:19: 71:24:109:2:110:13 stakeholder (1) 78:14 stamped (2) 58:11;60:15 stand (2) 136:22,22 standard (1) 57:18 standards (3) 15:5,16;50:15

standpoint (2) 64:24:69:5 Stark (10) 121:17,19;123:3,5; 124:2;125:16; 126:16:128:9:129:3: 131:19 start (12) 9:7;10:23;16:5; 19:23:39:12:64:17. 19;65:4;88:19; 135:17,18;144:14 started (5) 11:2:63:19:64:10; 75:23;112:18 starting (1) 65:9 starts (1) 64:13 state (16) 3:15;4:11,14; 11:16;49:24;56:12; 58:18;69:18;70:4; 71:17;84:21;93:1; 118:15;119:18,21; 141:17 stated (7) 13:17;38:5;47:23; 52:24;63:10;81:5,8 statement (19) 4:24;7:2,7;24:24; 36:23:49:20:51:15: 80:6;96:8,24;97:1; 100:16:116:16: 119:4;136:22;142:4; 146:9;148:20;158:3 states (2) 5:5;119:14 stating (1) 64:7 station (3) 31:17:32:8:33:15 statutory (2) 66:19;138:21 stay (4) 103:9;105:22; 154:18;156:16 steady (1) 141:17 steady-state (1) 141:5 steel (4) 86:24;99:6;110:4,5 steep (14) 16:24;17:21,22,24; 18:14;19:17,18,20; 20:7;152:5,6;155:5, 12,18 step (6) 25:4:35:19:77:3; 89:4:94:5:104:16 steps (3) 92:22;108:1;117:3

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING	Γ	[October 23, 2017
Steve (9)	74:23	46:2,5;47:3;48:5;	86:6,11,19;96:15,24;	11;59:11;60:5;61:5;
5:2;9:17;13:9;16:5,	submit (4)	53:16;57:1,12;61:12;	97:10;98:19,22;	73:8;79:9;82:2;
11;22:18;28:9;31:11;	9:6;54:8;71:19,20	130:10,15	99:10,13;105:6,19;	87:12;89:17;97:7;
105:13	submitted (5)			
	7:20;44:11;134:4;	swap (1) 92:1	106:11,19,24;118:17;	102:12;105:14; 107:17;116:3;
Stewartstown (3)			119:6;121:22;122:2,	
74:17;80:11;88:18	154:9;159:1	swing (2)	6,8,10;123:14,24;	123:12,13;126:19;
still (8)	subsidiary (1) 13:4	98:2;100:22	124:3,11,20;125:1,9,	127:10;130:3;134:4,
4:1,8,11;70:20;		switch (2)	21;126:8,12,17;	8,12,19;135:11,19; 136:15;137:5;
91:17;93:3;117:16; 148:24	substantially (1) 123:17	83:18;107:5	128:5,13,20;129:4,	
		symbol (1)	10,12,17;140:17;	143:12;144:16,20;
stopped (1)	substation (1) 120:17	59:7	141:2;142:16,20;	145:10;146:16;
53:11	subtlety (1)	synonymous (2) 108:6;113:10	144:15;145:9,17,23;	151:9,9,14
storage (1) 37:20	108:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	146:3,9,18;147:1,16,	Thanks (1) 3:12
store (1)	sufficiently (1)	system (5) 76:13;77:19;83:18;	24;148:15,20;149:4, 14,20;150:2,8,19;	therefore (2)
90:8	44:21			
		117:4;130:21	151:2,5;152:19;	70:16;78:14
straddling (1)	suggest (1)	Т	153:3,8;155:4,6,24;	there'll (1) 82:12
92:6	134:17 suitable (1)	1	156:21;157:10; 158:2,14,19	thermal (5)
straight (2) 34:18;91:14	148:1	4-9 (1)	team (3)	27:7,8;93:2;113:8,
,		tail (1) 87:21		
strategy (1)	summarize (1)		42:24;72:12;77:14	12 (1)
33:19 stream (4)	37:16 supplemental (1)	talk (4)	technical (6) 107:16;114:14;	thick (2) 87:5,6
	105:14	65:8;113:19;120:7; 144:11		
17:1,21;18:13; 19:17	supplier (5)		115:12,15;119:8; 120:9	thinking (1) 119:17
streams (2)	76:11,13;77:18;	talked (5)	technique (4)	third (5)
16:9,14	108:10;114:24	115:22;144:16,22;	75:5,6,12;78:24	93:4;94:9;104:24;
street (2)	suppliers (1)	149:11;153:24	teetering (1)	109:20;153:1
82:9;88:9	76:19	talking (19) 40:16;41:23;53:4;	95:16	Thirty (1)
stretch (7)	support (1)	40.10,41.23,53.4, 69:12,13;83:8;94:19;	Tehachapi (3)	90:20
123:6;125:15;	100:4	107:12;128:4;	10:2,4,24	Thompson (50)
126:15,16;128:9;	suppose (1)	133:20;135:1,23;	temporarily (2)	74:11,13,15;79:11,
129:3,9	102:13	146:19,23;148:14;	87:3;157:18	13,20;80:4,5;82:4,5,
strike (2)	sure (17)	149:2,3;153:20;	temporary (5)	7;83:4,5,13,14,19;
62:3;107:24	15:19;20:15;21:24;	149.2,5,155.20, 154:9	4:5;20:1,16;30:9;	84:6,7,10,11;89:19,
striking (1)	56:11;63:21;67:22;	talks (4)	87:22	20;90:4;99:20,23;
52:16	69:4,11;78:23;89:1,	100:15;117:13,24;	Ten (2)	100:8,10;101:4,6;
string (1)	8;99:16;111:21;	146:16	106:11,12	102:19,20;103:5,9,
64:20	124:3;131:22;	tank (1)	term (2)	13;107:6;112:5;
stringent (3)	158:14;159:6	104:20	67:15;124:11	116:2,6,12,13;
54:15,17,18	surface (5)	taxpayer (1)	terminus (1)	117:18,19,22;118:3,
structural (3)	22:24;25:19,20,21;	79:14	149:7	5,8,11,24;119:1;
148:2;149:3,5	95:12	Taylor (164)	terms (10)	121:6
structure (3)	survey (24)	6:9;8:24;9:15;	23:24;33:19;79:12,	thoroughly (1)
148:11,13;149:13	8:13,14,17,19;30:1,	10:18;12:10,16;	17;108:6;113:9;	9:9
studied (1)	5,11,12;33:4;36:22;	13:16;16:10,17;18:3,	117:1;123:9;124:21;	though (3)
73:12	37:17,18;65:16;66:4,	6,23;21:2,6,15,19,23;	125:14	14:10;70:18;
study (16)	15,19;67:1,2,5,7,11,	24:1,9,23;27:23;	terrain (1)	127:15
41:19;44:13,15,17,	24;68:4,5	28:8;29:5,7;30:19;	128:1	thoughts (3)
17,21;45:16;58:1;	surveyed (1)	31:6;32:5,10;34:7,13,	testified (6)	76:2;137:13,15
127:9;138:6;139:23,	67:12	16;35:16,19;36:3,9,	105:13;106:14;	thousand (1)
24;140:11,23;	surveyor (2)	12,16,18;37:1,14;	135:15;140:3;142:2;	141:20
141:22;142:13	67:13;68:7	40:23;41:16;42:18;	153:19,140:3,142:2,	three (18)
studying (1)	surveys (2)	43:6;44:24;58:15;	testify (2)	8:14,16;34:3;65:6;
43:2	7:21,22	59:4,16;60:7,13,21;	57:15;150:11	74:18;78:20;81:7;
stuff (2)	suspect (2)	62:10;63:1,10,21;	testifying (1)	84:15;86:13;94:20;
113:23;146:20	108:16;157:11	64:15;65:18,23;66:7,	103:4	96:17;97:3;109:14;
subcontractors (1)	suspected (1)	17,21;67:8,18;68:3,	testimonies (1)	110:7,15;146:7;
9:23	109:11	20,23;69:1,4,16;70:1,	37:13	150:6;154:13
subject (4)	sustain (5)	12,24;71:6,23;72:10,	testimony (46)	three-rod-wide (1)
5:16;24:4;118:12;	38:15;74:2;80:2;	17;75:9,15,18;80:12,	3:15;14:4;25:8;	105:4
134:19	116:10;134:8	18;81:4,13,15,20;	35:6;38:3,21,23;	throughout (1)
subjects (1)	sustained (10)	22.15 10.24.2.25.2	44.11.46.10 20.47.6	54.7

subjects (1)

sustained (10)

82:15,19;84:2;85:3;

54:7

44:11;46:19,20;47:6,

ADJUDICATORY HEA	ARING		[October 23, 2017
tight (1)	Track (9)	26:15;27:6;35:4,	types (3)	102:1
31:7	48:22,23;49:1,6,7,	24;145:16	72:12;98:9;103:17	unless (3)
times (7)	8,10;50:3;94:18	trenching (2)	typical (21)	12:11;55:1;108:6
26:16;34:6;36:15;	tracking (2)	10:16;33:5	7:13;24:2;32:13;	unload (1)
47:7;64:11;112:14;	29:14;31:1	trenchless (1)	64:21;75:4;78:7;	110:20
135:16	tract (3)	34:20	80:24;82:14;87:17;	unquote (1)
timing (1)	60:19,20;70:14	trespass (2)	90:5;92:11;95:18;	46:15
88:15	tractor (2)	29:16;31:4	97:15,19;99:4;100:6;	unreasonable (2)
tipping-over (1)	104:20;109:12	tribunal (1)	102:3;119:11,16;	93:18;144:17
61:19	tractor-trailer (1)	57:7	120:10;145:19	unusual (1)
title (1)	110:20	tributaries (1)	Typically (13)	152:24
6:15	traffic (33)	84:13	12:10,11;24:9;	up (48)
today (11)	4:15,20;5:6,8,8,13,	tried (4)	26:8;72:11;76:10;	10:13;16:23;20:18;
50:9;57:17;58:21;	19;6:4,5;8:1,9,21;9:6,	48:20;54:19;56:14;	87:2;95:12;99:10;	21:18;25:15;27:22;
59:12;65:10;66:9;	10,12;36:17,19,19;	118:9	109:18;112:24;	28:1;32:1,20;39:7;
83:16;124:23;	37:19;59:20;60:3;	trips (1)	113:14;120:16	41:10;42:18;43:1;
140:17;150:11;	63:14;86:2,7;154:17;	145:12		44:10;51:2;58:14;
159:21	155:23;156:1,8;	truck (15)	U	62:3;74:11;83:20,24;
together (1)	157:1,17,23;158:11,	89:12;91:4,6;92:5,		84:22,22;85:17;
152:4	12	14;101:17,19;102:2;	ultimate (2)	86:17;89:6;90:8,21;
told (4)	trailer (7)	110:14;113:22;	69:10;157:15	91:17;94:8;97:17,17;
53:5;130:12,22;	104:20;107:23,23;	114:3;145:12;	ultimately (2)	100:19;101:18;
154:12	108:22;109:8,12;	146:17;147:5,6	116:9;138:4	103:16;104:17;
Tom (2)	110:15	trucking (2)	uncertain (2)	105:8;106:7;109:5,
42:23;139:22	trained (1)	26:20;146:20	3:21;4:2	12;110:17,22;111:4,
tomorrow (1) 159:22	114:16	trucks (4)	uncertainties (3)	7;145:10;148:4;
ton (3)	transcript (2) 118:18;119:3	146:12;147:3,3,14 truck's (1)	68:11,12,13	149:16;153:12; 155:18
96:14,22;110:8	transcripts (2)	92:3	uncommon (1) 107:15	updated (1)
tons (1)	48:16;54:8	52.5 true (8)	uncovered (1)	26:14
110:9	transfer (1)	38:10;47:15;52:7;	68:11	uphill (2)
took (13)	91:11	121:2;127:6;139:9;	under (18)	83:24;150:18
8:14;10:1,4,6,17,	transferred (1)	140:5;148:20	21:3,5,6,12;34:9,	upland (2)
21;11:7,12,16;12:2,9;	108:24	try (7)	23;42:22;55:18;	35:2,22
68:7;94:12	Transmission (8)	42:12;63:23;95:22;	70:21,22,22;71:4,8;	upon (2)
tools (2)	10:4,12;12:21;	101:4;103:9;112:22;	80:8,14,22;81:12;	27:12;56:18
113:23;114:4	13:2;46:8;118:15;	150:21	82:13	upper (3)
top (12)	120:12;130:21	trying (6)	undercut (1)	26:12;115:8,14
16:5;83:22;96:4,	transport (1)	12:24;77:14;79:21;	136:10	use (7)
12;113:24;115:10;	91:16	108:7;117:2;158:13	underground (14)	50:11;86:15;89:21;
117:23;124:3;	transported (1)	turn (5)	3:19;10:6,8,16;	91:17;113:22;
125:22;146:23;	109:2	58:7;59:24;104:23;	11:5,12;22:21;23:24;	117:11;124:11
149:23;150:14	travel (8)	105:1;111:10	61:23;82:11;113:2;	used (21)
topic (2)	29:2,10;30:20;	turns (1)	127:14;142:9,11	15:10,10;26:7;
58:5;131:9	91:24;98:5;100:23;	105:8	underneath (2)	27:12;57:18;67:15;
topographic (1)	156:18;157:5	Twenty (1)	120:16,19	69:5;75:4,5,13;78:6;
151:23	traveled (5)	21:22	Understood (6)	86:16;87:2;95:8;
total (3)	28:19;70:22;71:5,	two (27)	44:12;57:9;60:4;	98:8,9,16;107:13;
94:4,23;126:13	9;95:2	6:13;7:10;12:18,	78:16;138:24;151:13	114:18;129:21;153:3
touch (1)	travelers (2)	20;22:3;23:2;24:1,1;	undertaking (1)	uses (1)
50:7	5:15,16	28:2;65:7;86:13;	56:19	86:22
toward (2)	traverse (1)	92:22;109:13,14;	unduly (3)	USGS (1)
101:24;103:4	158:8	110:7,16;112:23;	47:1;61:11;82:22	127:21
towards (1) 150:15	treating (2)	119:3;123:4,6,7;	unequivocal (1) 137:3	using (12)
towers (1)	159:14,14 trench (18)	131:16;136:20; 143:2,7,13;157:21	unfold (1)	13:22;14:17;21:20; 37:2;67:13;74:20;
61:21	26:24;27:4,10,18;	two-year (1)	60:12	90:16;93:11;95:11;
town (7)	33:1;34:20;63:3;	85:23	unfriendly (1)	102:17;112:20,21
34:2;78:8,23;	86:15,21,24;87:3;	type (12)	138:20	usually (3)
81:19;88:17;93:3;	89:22;90:12;91:13;	24:3;26:11;44:22;	unit (1)	24:16;76:17;102:3
156:7	99:6;145:12;146:4;	78:1;98:7,15;100:21;	113:23	utilities (5)
towns (3)	148:4	109:8;120:6;125:4,	unknown (4)	26:14;81:10,12,17;
78:20;79:4;80:23	trenches (5)	11;127:1	16:20;18:7;28:24;	113:2
/0.20,//.1,00.25			10.20,10.7,20.21,	110.2

				October 23, 2017
utility (8)	walk (1)	Who's (1)	47:21;52:11,13;	119:18,21
17:15;18:2;19:6,	39:18	133:23	112:21	Yup (3)
22;20:1;71:12,15;	walking (2)	wide (5)	words (7)	110:7;112:17;
120:18	40:7;89:16	18:10;30:21;96:10;	54:23;62:18;63:19;	116:12
120.10	walls (2)	154:12,13	95:15;117:12;141:5,	
\mathbf{V}	96:12;99:8	widening (1)	15	Z
•	wants (3)	157:18	work (47)	
variable (1)	75:8;82:11;148:18	wider (1)	3:19;4:5;6:14;	zone (1)
141:4	warranted (1)	104:3	12:8;13:19,24;14:19;	59:22
	24:19	width (19)		
variables (4)			15:2,5,17,21;25:19;	Zysk (119)
34:21,22;67:10;	water (3)	7:21;8:2;16:19,21,	29:10;30:21;34:12;	7:7,12,16,24;8:4;
98:1	26:20;35:3,24	22;18:7,9;21:1,8,24;	45:7;59:18,21,22;	15:3,15,19,24;19:5,9,
variations (2)	way (24)	22:2,4,13;29:1;	64:15,17,24;65:3;	12,23;20:23;22:5,8,
98:8,10	20:2;21:13;28:19;	30:13;34:1;36:22;	88:13;95:18;115:5;	14;23:4,6,16;25:17,
various (4)	29:2,10;30:20;55:19;	106:14;154:12	124:6,14;136:8;	22;26:3,18;27:20;
19:11;41:9;68:8;	70:23;71:5,9;76:6,7,	wiggling (1)	151:17;152:11,18;	28:4;32:18;33:7,14;
123:18	7,8;91:9,15;95:2;	95:16	153:6,11,16,17;	37:15;39:17;40:10,
vary (2)	104:23;115:11;	wind (3)	154:14,21;156:15,22,	24;41:7,14,21;43:9,
81:21;88:5	125:2;147:18;148:9;	73:5,13,24	24;157:5,12;158:3,5,	14,17;44:4,6,9;45:1;
varying (1)	153:1;158:4	wind-hydropower (1)	8,9	59:8;65:19,24;66:6;
87:1	weather (1)	73:22	worked (2)	67:2;71:7;72:24;
vault (8)	64:21	Wisconsin (1)	119:15;120:3	76:23;77:6,10;86:1,
17:10,16,18;19:8,	weather-wise (1)	120:1	worker (2)	10,24;87:6,10,16;
14,23;20:5;21:18	119:24	wish (1)	26:10;113:4	88:1,7,12;89:1,3,8,
Veatch (1)	web (2)	56:4	workers (1)	11,13;90:11,14,17,
10:14	13:11;97:13	withdrawn (7)	113:4	21;91:2,5,9,19,22;
vehicle (4)	week (1)	10:10;62:9,15,16,	working (5)	92:7,16,19,21;93:5,
91:12;99:24;	105:13	17,21;70:19	37:4;57:20;85:8;	13,17;94:4,11,15,17,
100:13,24	weeks (9)	within (17)	91:19;121:12	23;95:5,9,14,17,24;
vehicles (9)	7:10;60:6;81:7;	6:8;7:9;21:1,3;	works (3)	121:24;131:2;132:9,
5:24;85:8,16,22;	86:13;96:17;97:4;	29:10;30:21;34:12;	78:9,21;79:5	11,17,21,24;133:6;
86:8;92:1;154:18;	118:13;119:4;150:6	70:14;71:7;91:23;	workspace (2)	143:1,13,15;151:16,
158:9,10	weighs (2)	113:3;143:11;148:4,	17:20;19:16	21;152:2,5,7,9,14;
verbal (1)	96:13;110:7	24;152:8,21;153:13	worst-case (1)	153:17,23;154:2,7;
6:11	weight (6)	without (23)	93:6	155:7;158:21;
verify (1)	96:8;97:20;100:13,	8:1;20:20,24;34:8,	write (1)	159:12,17
97:7	23,23;109:23	16;35:17;36:10;	6:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
version (2)	weren't (3)	37:16,17,18,19,20,20,	writing (4)	0
58:19;75:21	70:17;105:16;	21,24;63:23;85:19;	55:12,14;57:5,7	
versus (4)	124:24	86:7;98:6,10,14;	written (2)	002991 (1)
34:20;64:22;118:1;	west (6)	117:4;157:17	51:9;54:11	83:15
139:10	17:1;18:1,15;	witness (13)		
vertical (1)	19:21;154:20,24	51:21,23,24;52:3,4,	Y	1
101:14	Whatcha (1)	21;53:10;54:4;		-
viability (2)	50:5	111:21;112:1,3;	yards (5)	1 (3)
3:20,24	whatnot (1)	135:10,10	93:20,21,22;147:5,	16:19;49:6;131:6
vicinity (3)	143:9	witnessed (2)	6	1:21 (1)
59:6;109:19;110:9	what's (5)	107:7,9	year (17)	3:2
view (1)	13:16;22:1;42:15;	witnesses (27)	5:4;6:10;7:23;	10 (14)
150:14	56:11;76:8	8:11;30:7;46:13,	10:6;45:16;51:1;	32:23;93:14,21,24;
voltage (2)	whatsoever (1)	23;47:8,9;49:2,3,15;	62:2;63:18;64:1,11,	96:10;102:4;115:1,2;
141:4,6	129:7	50:2;51:23;53:13;	12,15,19,22;84:18;	117:7,23;125:9;
volts (4)	whenever (1)	54:3,14,21;56:1,7,20;	132:24;137:4	
				144:23;145:2;147:6
141:8,9,11,20	3:4 Whenever (1)	57:19;112:2;135:14,	yearly (2)	10-(1)
volume (2)	Whereupon (1)	23;136:7,9,24;138:9;	64:23,24	125:17
27:9;147:4	160:1	139:2	years (6)	100 (2)
voluntary (1)	wherever (1)	witness's (1)	10:5;11:8;65:6,7;	144:23;145:2
15:23	95:3	137:17	71:22;75:3	1080 (1)
TT 7	whole (4)	wondering (2)	yellow (1)	23:1
W	10:7;65:20;95:6;	35:11;155:21	100:11	10-by-34 (1)
•.•	106:23	Wooden (1)	yesterday (1)	101:13
waiting (1)	wholly (1)	110:4	89:7	10-minute (1)
56:2	70:14	word (4)	York (2)	74:7
50.2				

ADJUDICATORY HE	ARING			October 23, 2017
10 noncont (1)	170 (2)	111.17.22	107.10	
10-percent (1)	179 (2)	111:17,22	107:10	
125:8	42:1,11	23 (1)	37th (1)	6
11 (7)	1800 (1)	110:9	111:18	
65:11;106:16,20;	106:7	24 (2)	3-inch (1)	6 (3)
115:8;117:7,11,24	180-degree (1)	115:2;160:4	112:9	16:4;112:16;
110,000 (1)	105:1	249+00 (1)		152:21
97:22	188 (1)	105:11	4	60 (3)
112 (1)	62:6	25 (6)		36:24;37:7;93:11
31:14	18-inch (2)	16:21;18:10,11;	4 (9)	60-foot (1)
1145 (1)	23:2;28:21	30:21;96:14;110:9	9:17,19;51:1;52:9;	
22:24	18-inch-diameter (1)	25-ton (6)	87:1,2,8;96:11;146:8	23:21
115 (1)	28:13	96:19;97:23;98:21;	40 (5)	61 (1)
				132:12
126:11	19,653 (2)	100:2,19;102:8	90:20;105:24,24;	62 (1)
116 (3)	145:11,17	29 (1)	106:9,9	133:2
17:6;31:14;34:1		93:20	40,000 (1)	64 (2)
12 (1)	2		110:12	139:21;140:13
117:23		3	400 (1)	640,000-volt (1)
12/30/2016 (1)	2 (5)		122:19	141:23
3:15	5:2;16:20;49:7;	3 (19)	40-by-40 (1)	66 (4)
125 (2)	58:10;131:7	6:12;10:5;17:3;	104:4	
16:8;28:11	2,000 (1)	48:22,23;49:1,8,10;	40-ton (1)	17:14;28:17;157:2,
	109:19		102:16	22
12th (1)		50:3,24;52:9;59:7;		66-foot (1)
51:10	2:50 (1)	112:4;144:12;	43.5 (1)	22:7
130,000-pound (2)	74:8	147:14;149:17;	22:23	67741 (1)
97:21;100:14	20 (8)	150:4,4,15	45 (2)	58:11
130-pound (1)	21:23;23:10;88:8;	3- (1)	90:22;94:12	67843 (1)
97:21	94:2;102:21;107:22;	122:19	47 (4)	60:15
135 (1)	112:14;113:18	3:12 (1)	102:4;103:16;	6-inch (1)
99:20	20- (1)	74:9	104:18;124:4	92:23
136 (1)	96:21	30 (16)	493 (1)	
99:19	20.9 (1)	16:24;18:12;21:22,	65:13	6th (3)
137 (1)	109:23	23;55:17;57:6;	4-foot (2)	53:22;54:6;55:15
				_
104:15	200 (8)	101:21,21,22;104:2,	145:15,22	7
138 (3)	88:10,21;89:5,5,7,	2,4,4;125:11;153:24;	_	
104:14,24;105:22	9;90:15;93:19	154:23	5	7 (5)
13th (1)	200-foot (1)	30- (1)		22:19;34:3;112:16;
5:4	88:14	102:16	5 (9)	146:1,8
14 (1)	2015 (1)	300 (4)	13:9;27:20;40:11;	7-1/2 (1)
10:7	43:20	84:5,14;144:21,24	81:1;101:12,19;	
145 (2)	2016 (2)	301.08 (1)	102:3;104:3,7	10:5
83:24;84:20	7:20;131:12	39:16	5:11 (1)	76 (1)
				114:22
15 (4)	2017 (8)	301.08d5 (1)	160:2	7th (1)
101:20,24;102:3,	7:21;42:23;50:10,	39:15	50 (5)	50:10
21	13;51:19;65:11;	305 (1)	105:5,15;106:8,10;	
15- (2)	132:23;160:4	6:13	160:1	8
96:21;97:14	2018 (4)	30-percent (4)	50,000 (1)	
1500 (1)	8:20;38:18;63:20,	72:11;124:24;	110:12	8 (7)
28:20	20	125:2,17	51 (2)	
159 (1)	202.11 (1)	30th (1)	93:22;160:3	28:9;87:1,2,8;
126:11	50:16	42:23	51,000 (1)	93:23;147:5;153:13
				80 (1)
16 (1)	20-foot (2)	31st (2)	96:14	112:16
90:18	29:11;30:22	50:13;53:1	52 (1)	833386 (2)
1600 (2)	20-foot-wide (2)	320 (10)	36:8	111:17;112:1
87:21;88:4	17:20;19:16	107:8,12,12;111:6;	52-mile (1)	83386 (1)
1600-foot (1)	20-plus (1)	126:13;129:2;141:4,	37:11	112:4
87:17	20:8	7,10,18	541-A33 (1)	8-by-12 (1)
1600-foot-long (1)	20-ton (1)	320,000 (2)	50:15	90:16
87:14	97:14	141:8,9	59 (2)	
161 (1)	22 (1)	34 (1)	118:18;119:2	8-inch (2)
			-	96:12,12
126:6	51:19	96:10	5-foot (3)	8-inch-thick (1)
17 (4)	220 (1)	340,000 (1)	29:13;31:1;82:14	96:11
90:18;101:18;	65:12	141:11		
118:18;119:3	227 (2)	345 (1)		

9			
9 (1)			
31:11			
9:00 (1) 160:4			
900 (1)			
28:18			
9-yard (1) 93:15			
95.15			