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PROCEEDING
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. It's Day 57. And we are continuing with Counsel for the Public's witness panel here.

Mr. Walker, you ready to go?
MR. WALKER: I am.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You may
continue.
(Continuation of the
cross-examination of the Witness
Panel of Michael Lew-Smith,
Jeff Parsons, Michael Amaral, and Scott Reynolds.)

MR. WALKER: Good morning, gentlemen.
WITNESS PARSONS: Good morning.
WITNESS LEW-SMITH: Good morning.
WITNESS AMARAL: Good morning.
WITNESS REYNOLDS: Good morning.
BY MR. WALKER:
Q I want to start today by going through a bit the Biological Opinion that was issued by U.S. Fish \& Wildife Service. Had you seen that before?
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Okay. Now, the Fish \& Wildife Service is another one of the agencies, a federal agency in this case, for which this Committee has to consider its determinations and conclusions when rendering its decision, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q And the Biological Opinion in this case, which is Applicants Exhibit $124 a$, and it begins on Page 85584. And I apologize. We're having technical issues. So, when we're showing some of these exhibits, it may not be as easy and as clean as we were able to do it yesterday, but hopefully you'll be able to read when I'm referring to it.

And I suppose this question is for you
Mr. Lew-Smith. Have you seen biological opinions before on other projects?

A (Lew-Smith) I've seen them, but not on a project that I've been a consultant on. Q Do you know generally what a biological opinion is that's issued by the Fish \& Wildlife Service?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]

Q Essentially, it is the document by which the Fish \& Wildife Service renders its opinions with regard to federally threatened and endangered species, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
And, for this Project, and we can talk about -we can look at individual pages, but the focus of the Fish \& Wildife Service was on six either federally threatened or endangered species, right?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
And, ultimately, the Department of Energy, before we get to the Fish \& Wildife Service opinion, but the Department of Energy determined that the Project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, five species: The small whorled pogonia, the Canada lynx, the northern long-eared bat, the dwarf wedge mussel, and the Indiana bat. Is that right?

A (Lew-Smith) That's correct.
Q And the Fish \& Wildife Service agreed in the Biological Opinion that the Project is not likely to adversely affect these five species, correct?
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A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q Do you or anyone on the panel disagree with that finding of Fish \& Wildlife Service with regard to those five species?

A (Reynolds) I don't disagree with the finding. I would like to clarify, though, that the Indiana bat was not initially part of the scope of study for the Applicant.

Q Okay. And I appreciate that. I just want to make sure, on these five species, I'll get to that, --

A (Reynolds) Well,the Indiana is one of those five species, though.

Q Right. But, as far as those five species, you don't disagree with the findings of the Fish \& Wildlife Service, including the Indiana bat?

A (Reynolds) That is correct.
Q Okay. Thank you. With regard to the sixth species -- I'm sorry?

A (Lew-Smith) Well, I should also point out, though, that they have their -- the parameters under which they consider effect, and may adversely affect or may not adversely affect. And we didn't conduct that analysis under those
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parameters.

Q No, I understand. But $I$ guess my only question is, do you have any reason to disagree with the findings of the Fish \& Wildlife Service on those five species? And I think your answer has been "no"?

A (Lew-Smith) No.
Q Let me ask you about the sixth species, which is the Karner blue butterfly, KBB. And I
suppose that's best directed to you,
Mr. Amaral. I want to ask you, I take it
you've read the analysis in the Biological
Opinion with regard to the $K B B$ ?
A (Amaral) Yes, I have.
Q And there's a fairly extensive analysis with regard to the $K B B$. But $I$ want to point you to the conclusions of Fish \& Wildlife Service on Page 22 of the Biological Opinion.

So, Dawn has pulled that up. Can you see that on the screen, Mr. Amaral?

A (Amaral) Yes, I can.
Q And I'm going to ask you briefly on some of the comments by the Fish \& Wildlife Service. In the first paragraph, ultimately, the conclusion
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of Fish \& Wildlife is that "the Project, as proposed, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the Karner blue butterfly."

MR. WAY: We're not seeing it.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record. [Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Dawn. All right, Jeremy. You're back up and running. MR. WALKER: Thank you.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q All right. Mr. Amaral, so that gave you a little bit more time to review the Page 22 and the conclusions. And, just very quickly, on some of these conclusions, I was asking you about the very first paragraph, "that the Project, as proposed, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the KBB." Do you see that?

A (Amaral) Yes.
Q Do you agree with that finding by the Fish \& Wildiffe?

A (Amaral) Yes, certainly. The jeopardy standard
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within the Fish \& Wildlife's Biological Opinion is a determination of whether the Project that they're reviewing is likely to cause the extinction of the species across its range.

Since the Karner blue butterfly occurs in small remnant populations from New Hampshire to Wisconsin, it's certainly very reasonable to conclude that an impact to part of the concord, New Hampshire population is not going to cause the extinction of the species across its range. Okay. Thank you. So, but if you look at the next numbered paragraph, Number 1, the agency concludes that "the Project would cause a minor, temporary reduction in the species' reproduction and numbers in the area of effect."

So, now it's discussing this particular project, correct?

A (Amaral) Yes.
Q And it lists a number of reasons supporting its conclusion below it. And the first one is that "the Project will implement measures to avoid and minimize adverse effects on the $K B B$ and its habitat". I suppose you would agree with that?
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A (Amaral) Well, that's been a -- that's been sort of a moving target. But, yes, at this point in time, $I$ do believe that efforts have been made to minimize, avoid and minimize. Okay. And, looking at the Paragraph (d), the Fish \& Wildife Service says that "the Project could affect up to 1,043 square feet of $K B B^{\prime \prime}$-"known KBB habitat." And, it goes on to say that "this area is only 3.7 percent of the potential habitat for the species in the action area, and 0.016 percent of the occupied habitat in the Concord metapopulation, and insignificant percentage of the species' habitat rangewide."

Again, do you agree with that, Mr. Amaral? (Amaral) Yes. But it's also important to point out that, since our supplementary prefiled testimony in April, Project effects, in terms of the square footage of lupine that is going to be affected, has been greatly reduced through a very positive project design modification, by moving a set of towers out of an important lupine patch.

So, yes. This current description of
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impacts I think is correct. I was perhaps a little bit troubled when $I$ reviewed the Biological Opinion, in that nowhere in the Opinion is it apparent that the author of the document has ever visited the Concord Pine Barrens, has any personal familiarity with the species or its habitat there, and sought any independent or third party review of all of the Project description -- or, Project impact analysis provided by either Eversource or the DOE.

Q I understand. But do you know that to be the case?

A (Amaral) I don't see it in the consultation history or in the literature cited section.

Q But -- and $I$ understand that. But, as far as the conclusion, and $I$ think $I$ just heard you say that this reduction of impact, now down to 1,043 square feet, is a positive -- a positive?

A (Amaral) It's a very positive Project improvement.

Q And you're aware that that is temporary impact,
the 1,043 square feet? It's a temporary impact?
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A (Amaral) Yes. But temporary -- "how temporary is temporary?" I think is the question.

Q Well, but, I mean, even using the words of the Fish \& Wildlife Service, it's a reference to "temporary impact" to the 1,043 feet. You would agree with that? That's what the Fish \& Wildlife Service --

A (Amaral) Provided affirmative time of year and other construction methods are used to minimize those impacts.

Q And one thing I didn't hear you talk about yesterday, $I$ don't believe, but $I$ can -- we can talk about today, if you look at the bottom, Paragraph 2, there's a reference to the mitigation parcel. And it notes, if you see that, "we expect the conservation of approximately 7 acres of undeveloped habitat in the Concord metapopulation to have long-term benefits to the Karner blue butterfly."

Again, $I$ assume that you would find that you would agree with that conclusion with regard to the mitigation parcel?

A (Amaral) Yes. As noted in that prefiled --
supplemental prefiled testimony, I think that's
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an excellent parcel to restore to benefit the Karner blue butterfly and lupine. Fish \& Game will not have the resources to undertake habitat restoration unless they are funded to do so. So, that is the commitment to do that, to restore that partially -- currently partially developed property, from which much of the topsoil has been removed, needs to be committed to.

But then, yes. I think it is ideally located, and it has the right soil characteristics. It's between existing populations of the Karner blue. I think they're going to use it if it's restored. Q Good. One last question, going back to Page 21, which is the last page prior to this, Dawn. At the first full paragraph, starting with "The parcel". Right. If you could look at the very last sentence, and you can read the paragraph, but, if you look at the very last sentence, Fish \& Wildlife Service concludes that "The benefits of conserving this parcel", and they're referring to the mitigation parcel, "outweigh the costs of the 1,043 square feet of
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temporary habitat loss". Do you agree with
that?
A (Amaral) I do. I concur with that.
Q So, in light of this, and in light of the Project now only impacting a 1,043 square feet temporary impact, do you still believe that the Project will have an unreasonable adverse effect on the KBB?

A (Amaral) In anticipation of this question, I've prepared some notes. So, if you'll --

Q Well, but just -- it is sort of a "yes" or "no" question. So, I'm wondering, do you still take the position that it will have an unreasonable adverse effect on $K B B$ ?

A (Amaral) The answer is, no, provided that -And that's fine.
(Amaral) Okay.
I wanted to just get that and understand your position.

A (Amaral) All right. So, my original conclusion --

MS. CONNOR: Objection. I think the witness should have an opportunity to explain his answer.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I actually don't think Mr. Walker was going to stop him, but -MS. CONNOR: Okay.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A (Amaral) Yes. My April 2017 finding that construction and operation of the Project is likely or was likely to have an unreasonable effect was based on what $I$ thought at the time to be an apparent lack of rigorous effort to avoid impacts through modest project design changes. A commitment to a time-of-year restriction that would affirm construction during winter, preferably when there is snow and frozen ground conditions. And, three, commitment to a right-of-way management plan, any habitat restoration plan for the $Z 1$ parcel that would identify how long and at what level of have funding restoration efforts would take place.

Since that testimony in April, the Applicant has significantly reduced the amount of lupine that will be affected by construction by moving that set of structures. This will result in a proportionally reduced impact on
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Karner blue butterflies. Even though I had a problem with the way the methods that were used to assess the number of Karner blue eggs that were likely to be taken, habitat is an acceptable surrogate. So, we don't know how many eggs may be present on the temporarily affected lupine. But, proportionally, the impact on the Karner blue is going to be greatly reduced now.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q And I just want to ask you, because $I$ know you're reading from your notes, but many of those things that you just described, including the AMMs with regard to -- all of that is referenced by the Fish \& Wildife Service as supporting its conclusions?

A (Amaral) Yes.
Q Right?
A (Amaral) Yes.
Q And I know you have a lot of experience, you were with the Fish \& Wildlife Service for 33 years, I believe, based on your resumé. Based on all of that, it sounds like you are agreeing with the findings of Fish \& Wildife Service of
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no adverse effect with regard to the $K B B$ ?
A (Amaral) No. I'm agreeing with their non-jeopardy stance.

Q Okay. I'm sorry. I meant that. I misspoke.
A (Amaral) And I'm near the end, so indulge me.
Q I know Mr. Parsons has to leave here, and so I'm trying to move this along.

A (Amaral) Again, since April, those Project modifications have occurred. And you've also made a commitment to a non-growing season time of the year restriction, and the use of timber matting whenever construction occurred.

So, I can now find that the Project does not -- is not likely to have an unreasonable adverse effect on the Karner blue butterfly, provided that the terms and conditions stipulated in the Fish \& Wildlife Service's Biological Opinion on Page 25 are affirmatively implemented. And those speak to proactive lupine restoration, --

Q All the things you've been talking about. Correct.

A (Amaral) -- right-of-way management, time-of-year restrictions.
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Q Okay. Thank you, Mr. Amaral.
MS. PACIK: Could I just put something on the record? My apologies to interrupt. I found out yesterday that new site plans were submitted to Counsel for the Public in August, and the City of Concord has not received those.

They are confidential documents, we weren't notified until yesterday that they had even made changes to that parcel. So, we are trying to get a copy of the site plan. We do have an expert --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Have you spoken with counsel for the Applicant?

MS. PACIK: I have. I sent them an e-mail yesterday. I'm waiting to see if $I$ can get it. But $I$ just want to put on the record that $I$ was -- I haven't seen them, so I haven't had an opportunity to ask this witness about the changes. So, I just wanted to get on that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Thank you
for noting that. I would recommend strongly that you speak with counsel, who is two tables in front of you, about the situation at the
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first possible opportunity, and see if you need relief of some sort.

MS. PACIK: I have spoken to them,
and I have e-mailed them. So, yes. We have been in communication about trying to get me a copy.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
MS. PACIK: I just --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Walker.
BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q I'm going to circle back to our discussion from yesterday. And I'll direct these to Mr. Lew-Smith, because $I$ was asking you yesterday these questions or something related. But, if any of you wants to answer, instead of Mr. Lew-Smith, please do.

I want to circle back to our discussions when $I$ showed you yesterday the Site Evaluation Committee rule, and the fact that, when the Committee is considering whether the Project has an unreasonable adverse effect on water quality and the natural environment, this Committee has to consider the findings and determinations of the various agencies.
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And you are aware, Mr. Lew-Smith, of the ongoing interactions and communications that the Project has been having with the various state agencies?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, I am.
Q Including New Hampshire Fish \& Game, Natural Heritage Bureau, DES, and also Fish \& Wildlife Service?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Okay. I think you said you reviewed some of these communications, but not all of them. Is that accurate?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q And yesterday you explained your role and your company's role was to review the proposed Project, the Applicants' assessment of environmental impact, and to provide your analysis of that assessment. Correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q But you've not had the same level of involvement or ongoing interaction with the Project as these different agencies has, right? (Lew-Smith) Not the same level. We have interacted with those agencies regarding the
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project. But not to the same level, correct.
Q Fair to say that the agencies probably have more information than you do with regard to the Project, the design, some of the changes that have been made?

A (Lew-Smith) Well, I hope not. I mean, we were supposed to get all the information about the Project as well.

Q Okay. But you haven't had -- you haven't reviewed all of those communications between the agencies and the Project?

A (Lew-Smith) Right. But you were asking me if we -- if they had the same information about the Project design that we have.

Q Right. Fair enough. You know that the DES has approved the different permits, including the Wetlands Application permit?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q And you know that the Fish \& Game has had considerable input into the proposed AMMs for wildife on the Project?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q And you know that the Natural Heritage Bureau has had input and has approved the AMMs with
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regard to plant protection?

A (Lew-Smith) I understand they have input. I wasn't aware of an official approval status. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that the Natural Heritage Bureau has any disagreement with the AMMs that have been proposed for the plant protection?

A (Lew-Smith) Since I haven't been to any of those meetings, $I$ can't say either way. And you know that the DES permit approval requires all work to follow the various AMMs and the time-of-year restrictions, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q And yesterday, when $I$ asked you about this, you noted that there were particular findings or conclusions of the agencies that you had some disagreements with, right?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q But, when this Committee has to consider the determinations and findings of these various agencies in making its determination as to whether there's an unreasonable adverse or adverse effect on water quality and the natural environment, are you suggesting that this
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Committee should disregard the findings and conclusions of those agencies and supplant it with yours where there's disagreement?

A (Lew-Smith) No. Even if I suggested that, I think they wouldn't listen to me. I'm suggesting that they take in all the information that they have. And that our professional opinion is another piece of that information.

Q And if this Committee were to condition the issuance of any certificate for this Project on the Project's compliance with the various AMMs that have been ultimately, if they're approved by those agencies, or the different conditions imposed by the DES, is it your position that the Project would still have an unreasonable adverse effect on certain species?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q So, I think what you're essentially saying is that these agencies have issued permits that are so defective, that even with the Applicants' compliance with all these permit conditions, it still will result in an unreasonable adverse effect to different
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]
species?

A (Lew-Smith) I'm not saying that they're defective in any way. They have their regulatory framework that they issue permits for, and the $S E C$ has its own regulatory framework that it issues permits and conditions for. And the language is different and the process is different.

Q But, even these different agencies that have required certain conditions, your position that, even if those conditions are followed, the Project will still have an unreasonable adverse effect on certain species?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
A (Reynolds) If $I$ can add a clarification, too. My understanding of the SEC job is they have seven criteria for determining unreasonable adverse impact. And review and analysis of the recommendations of agencies is point four of those seven. There are six other criteria that are part of their decision that are not part of whether or not they're permitted for this Project. And we're looking at those other six criteria as well.
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Q So, then, Mr. Reynolds, following up on that, is it your position, do you have a different position than Mr. Lew-Smith with regard to the permits issued by these agencies, and, if the Project were to follow all the conditions required by the agencies and the AMMs, that the Project would still have an unreasonable adverse effect on certain species?

A (Reynolds) Well, $I$ have the luxury of having a very narrow focus on three species. In terms of -- in terms of the approval of the relevant agencies, U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service spoke on the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat. My original testimony said it was -- this Project was unlikely to have an unreasonable adverse impact on the northern myotis. I did not address Indiana myotis, because it was not a species of issue at that time. But I affirm the Fish \& Wildife Service's conclusion on that.

It did not deal with the state-listed species, the small-footed bat, which becomes an issue for the New Hampshire Fish \& Game. But you're agreeing with the Fish \& Wildife
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Service --
A (Reynolds) So, Fish \& Wildlife Service, for the two species, yes.

Q Okay.
A (Reynolds) But, for the Fish \& Game and the New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau, they do not have a single person on either staff that studies or is knowledgeable about bats. So, although I respect the ability of those agencies, $I$ also am fully aware of the limitations of those two agencies to make an opinion on this group of animals.

Q And I'll get back to you after with regard to the AMMs recommended by the Project and sent to the Fish \& Game. We'll talk about that with regard to the bats.

But let me turn back to you, Mr. Lew-Smith, or whomever may be appropriate, because $I$ want to ask some questions about the wild lupine and the habitat for the KBB. So Mr. Amaral, you may weigh in as well. But you are aware that the total area of wild lupine patches in the Northern Pass right-of-way in Concord is approximately 28,000 square feet?
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A (Lew-Smith) I don't recall that number, but that sounds reasonable.

Q And, for the record, that is in the Appendix 35 of the Applicants' exhibit, and it's Table 2la. Mr. Amaral, I see you shaking your head. Does that figure sound right to you?

A (Amaral) I remember seeing that figure in the early Wildlife Technical Reports.

Q Okay. Do you have any reason to disagree with that figure of 28,000 square feet?

A (Amaral) I didn't independently corroborate it. But, no, $I$ have no reason to distrust it for any reason.

Q And now we have -- we know that the impact has been reduced to 1,043 square feet of the wild lupine area, which $I$ will represent to you is less than four percent of the area of lupine in Concord, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) That is correct. If I could -- we haven't really talked about the wild lupine in Pembroke. And I think it illustrates -Well, let me just finish my questions with regard to Concord.

A (Lew-Smith) Okay. Yes.
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Q Because my question is, what $I$ wanted to ask you, is yesterday, Mr. Lew-Smith, I believe you testified that additional impact reductions were still possible beyond the 1,043 square feet. And $I$ think you said based -- you believe that an access road could be relocated in that area in Concord?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct. I did state that.
Q And I'm wondering, when you made that statement or you have that contention, are you considering other constraints related to the equipment length, the heighth, the turning radii relative to different structures, all of those considerations? Are you taking that into account when you make that contention?

A (Lew-Smith) I'm certainly aware of all of the engineering constraints that come with relocating structures and roads and all that. I'm not an engineer. And, so, I don't have the plans to make that call. Looking at -- knowing the site, and looking at the lupine populations and the impacts, I've made an assessment that says "Hey, look. It looks like things can be moved." And this is -- it's the same technique
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we used at the very beginning when we were involved, and we said "hey, it sure looks like you could move some structures and some roads here". And the response was "well, we've done everything we can." And now it turns out that actually they were able to move some structures and roads.

Q But, Mr. Lew-Smith, my question was pretty simple. Did you consider all of those different factors when you made your assertion that "further impact beyond the 1,043 square feet could be made by moving the access road"? Did you have any of those considerations in mind?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Okay. What particular information did you consider?

A (Lew-Smith) As I mentioned before, I'm not an engineer. But, knowing the site, and looking at the distribution of the impacts, roads, the lupine, the topography on the site, from a non-engineering point of view, it looked to me like further reductions would be possible. All right. But I'm talking about design
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constraints. You did not have any particular design constraints in mind when you made that determination?

A (Lew-Smith) I did not.
Q Okay. Let me turn to licorice goldenrod. And, Mr. Lew-Smith, I think yesterday you testified, or maybe it wasn't yesterday, I'm sorry, it may have been in your prefiled testimony, that the Project should minimize impacts to a population of licorice goldenrod by shifting the
construction access route across the
right-of-way to where part of the population was recently eliminated. Do you recall that?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q And this is in Pembroke, correct?
A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q If the Northern Pass -- if the Project was able to make an agreement with the landowner to shift the access road to that portion of the right-of-way, would you consider this sufficient avoidance and minimization?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Turning now to vernal pools, Mr. Lew-Smith. I heard you say yesterday you do not have any
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criticism of the data collection methodology
that Normandeau used for identification of the vernal pools, is that right?

A (Lew-Smith) That's correct.
Q But you criticized the methodology that
Normandeau used to rank the quality of the vernal pools?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q But it's true that neither federal or state regulators required the Project to use a particular methodology, is that right?

A (Lew-Smith) That's my understanding, yes.
Q And are you aware that neither the EPA, nor any other agency, have taken issue with the method used by the Project to rank the quality of vernal pools?

A (Lew-Smith) That was stated by I think Ms. Carbonneau in her testimony, yes.

Q Do you have any reason to disagree with that?
A (Lew-Smith) No.
Q Okay. In fact, that the DES approved the Wetlands Permit application, which included vernal pool identification and impact assessment details, right?
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A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
MR. WALKER: Dawn, if you could pull up that Exhibit 75 please. And this is the DES permit, and particularly $I$ want to look at Page 10, which is Bates 44455. It may be best to blow up Finding Number 9 please.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q You see where it says "Overall temporary wetland impacts were reduced by 76,009 square feet, which includes avoiding two high value vernal pools, and permanent wetland impacts were reduced by 732 square feet", and then it goes on.

Do you have any reason to disagree with that, Mr. Lew-Smith?

A (Lew-Smith) No. No.
MR. WALKER: Also on that same page, Dawn, if you could go to Finding Number 14 please.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q I'll let you read that statement with regard to vernal pools. Do you have any reason to disagree with the DES's findings? This particular finding?
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A (Lew-Smith) Well, again, I don't necessarily agree with the high value ranking that was used. I know, when $I$ looked at the data, using their methodology, I'd find vernal pools that were categorized as "high value", but the data didn't support it. And then other pools where the data did support it and they weren't categorized as "high value". And, so, that would be my only concern about this.

In terms of your question about
questioning the amount of impacts, $I$ don't have any reason to not believe that.

Q Okay. Just to be sure I understand that. You do not disagree with the DES's findings on the impacts?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
I want to turn to bats now, and $I$ suppose this is for you, Mr. Reynolds. And much of your findings are in Appendix $B$ of the report that was submitted with the prefiled testimony.

MR. WALKER: Dawn, if you could pull
up Exhibit 136 please, and particularly
Appendix B. And if you could go to Page Bates 3643, which is the first page of that report.
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At 3643, right?
This is where I explained it won't be as pretty as yesterday. So, bear with me please. Thank you.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q If I can direct your attention to the last paragraph that starts with "ultimately". And the second sentence, and you note "Despite the general absence of site-specific data, it's your opinion that there is relatively little risk that development of the Project would have a detrimental impact on any of the state species of concerns." And I want to ask you about that. This relates to the northern long-eared bat, for one, correct?

A (Reynolds) No, it does not. No, it does not. Why not?
(Reynolds) These are the species of concern. These are the tree-roosting bats that were, according to the SEC rules, considered significant wildlife resources, but were never addressed by any of the pre-construction analyses.

Q All right. Let me go on then in the next
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sentence. "I'm also confident that, in the context of appropriate construction and post-construction monitoring plans, there will be no unreasonable adverse effect of the Project on the federally threatened northern myotis." That's the northern long-eared bat, correct?

A (Reynolds) Correct.
Q Do you have a concern that the AMMs being negotiated with Fish \& Game, I think you explained this yesterday, they don't provide a time-of-year restriction for those segments of the route where the acoustic survey results were inclusive? Is that how $I$ understood your concern yesterday?

A (Reynolds) I have a concern that the AMMs have gone from a BMP to an AMM. And the three versions I've seen have gotten more vague as each version has been released. So, I don't know what they -- what limitations they have right now.

MR. WALKER: Dawn, if you could pull
that up please, the AMM. It's Exhibit $124 a$.
And it's Bates 85620 .
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BY MR. WALKER:
Q I want to ask you, because you did go through this a bit yesterday in questions from Ms.

Connor. And $I$ believe you said that one of the problems that you had was that they removed the time-of-year restruction -- or, restriction on this particular AMM?

A (Reynolds) No. That's for the eastern small-footed bat.

Q All right. So, you don't have that same concern here?

A (Reynolds) No. The time -- these are the original time restrictions. And I've said in my supplemental testimony and at other occasions that those are reasonable time restrictions.

Q Okay.
A (Reynolds) They are missing the recommendation for a time restriction and a buffer zone for the known hibernacula for this species that was put in the Biological Opinion, not the formal -- put in at the -- was requested by New Hampshire Fish \& Game. So, there should be a restriction on activities near known
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hibernacula for this species, both geographic buffer zones and time restrictions.

Q Okay. Let me ask you then on the Indiana bat, Mr. Reynolds. The Fish \& Wildlife Service also concluded that the Project is not likely to have --

```
                                    [Court reporter interruption.]
```

                                MR. WALKER: Sorry.
    BY MR. WALKER:
Q The Fish \& Wildife Service concluded that the Project is not likely to have an adverse effect on the Indiana bat, correct?

A (Reynolds) Yes, it did.
Q And I'm curious about your views with regard to the Indiana bat. Do you believe it to be a resident of New Hampshire?

A (Reynolds) I do not. I would caution, though, it's still unclear what information the U.S. Fish \& Wildife Service had with regard to the Indiana bat, because of the reanalysis of the 2015 data, which incorporated Indiana bats.

Q No, I understand. And you explained that yesterday.

A (Reynolds) Well, but what $I$ didn't explain
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yesterday was that the 2017 data, which $I$ had just received Sunday night, did not look for the Indiana bat. So, it's unclear whether, had they used the same methodology as they used in the revised 2016 reanalysis, they would have found more occurrences, potential occurrences of Indiana bats. And whether that information, had it been supplied in the Biological Opinion, may have altered the U.S. Fish \& Wildife's opinion of that project.

But my opinion is that, if they follow the AMMs for the northern long-eared bat, it's unlikely this Project would have unreasonable adverse impact.

Q Great. Let me turn now to the small-footed bat, the last bat on my list.

MR. WALKER: And, Dawn, on the AMMs, if you could pull up 85621 please.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q And I heard you say that this is the area where you were concerned about the time-of-year restrictions being removed for this particular species, is that right?

A (Reynolds) Yes. I have several issues with
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this AMM, yes.
Q But that was one. That you mentioned yesterday that the AMMs now have removed the time-of-year restriction?

A (Reynolds) The original -- the original time year of restrictions were not biologically appropriate. And, so, rather than put reasonable biological -- or, reasonable time restrictions, they just removed the time restrictions.

Q But didn't I hear you say yesterday that these bats may be in rocky crevices all year round?

A (Reynolds) Yes, they can.
Q So, it's better to not limit the different restrictions based on time of year, correct, and rather have those restrictions all year?

A (Reynolds) That they need to coordinate when they're going to do surveys around when they're going to do construction. And here, there's no allowance for that. This basically says that they can do surveys. And, if those surveys find that they're not there, they can do construction. But they could survey in the winter, when they're not going to find the
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bats, and then clear them for construction in the summer, when the bats could be there.

There's no -- there's no conditions on this that the surveys have to be temporally appropriate for the time that the construction is going to occur, --

Q Okay.
A (Reynolds) -- and how to do those surveys.
Q But you're addressing something a little different. I mean, the issue that you raised yesterday was "they removed the time-of-year restriction". But wouldn't you agree with me now that these different restrictions that are included, they apply all year long, and that's actually more protective?

A (Reynolds) I'm not sure what restrictions that are in this $A M M$ for time of year.

Q Okay. Well, do you see any restriction here limited to a time of year?

A (Reynolds) No. That's my point.
Q Right. And that's the point we're making or I'm making as well, is now you've removed any time-of-year restriction. This is actually more protective.
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A (Reynolds) I'm not following that logic. If they shouldn't be doing construction in certain times of the year, then not having any time-of-year restrictions is not intrinsically more protective than not doing construction. Q Well, I'm looking at, for instance, the last bullet: "If no bats are present, work can proceed." That applies all year, that restriction. If you have bats, work cannot proceed.

A (Reynolds) What does the term "if no bats are present" mean?

All right. I understand. We're going to disagree on your position and my position on this. But $I$ was focusing specifically on your comment yesterday with regard to the removal of the time-of-year restrictions.

And the concern for the small-footed bat is construction activity affecting the rocky crevices that are used to roost and hibernate, right?
(Reynolds) I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
The concern for the small-footed bat is construction activity affecting the rocky
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crevices that are used to roost and hibernate. Is that right?

A (Reynolds) For the most part. I mean, there could be small habitat ongoing issues, particularly, if they're going to be doing vegetation management in some of this habitat post-construction. But, yes. The primary issue that $I$ was addressing was the construction issue.

Q And the first AMM states that the real concern is direct construction impact to these rocky areas, correct?

A (Reynolds) Correct.
Q And, if the structures are not built on these exact spots, then there will be no direct impact to the areas where they roost and hibernate?

A (Reynolds) Not necessarily, because of the nature of our geology, there's obviously construction blasting on a large slab of granite near a contiguous piece of granite that has roosting structures in it could have an effect. That's why there are BMPs out there to look at the impact of sound and vibration on a
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buffer zone around these sites.
Q Are you aware of any known small-footed bat hibernacula in the right-of-way?

A (Reynolds) No, but no one's ever looked for them.

Q But you're not aware of any in the right-of-way for this Project, are you?

A (Reynolds) You can't see what no one looks for.
Q Well, let me ask you a few questions -- or, actually, this wouldn't be directed to you, Mr. Reynolds, on herons. And, Mr. Parsons, let me turn to herons. And you suggested yesterday your concern with the AMMs related to the 330 -foot buffer. Did $I$ hear you correctly?

A (Parsons) Yes. And also the method of survey for the --

Well, let me just ask you about the buffer at this point.

MR. WALKER: And, Dawn, if you could pull up that 85620 please.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q It's the second bullet item with regard to 330 feet.

A (Parsons) Yes.
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Q And I realize you haven't seen this particular guide, Mr. Parsons. But, just looking at this, and you see that that guide, which I will represent to you is the guide that was recommended by Fish \& Game, references a "330-foot" buffer, correct? (Parsons) This document certainly does, yes. And did you say yesterday that that's a buffer that's often used in Vermont as well? (Parsons) Yes, it is. Let me ask you, Mr. Parsons, I want to turn my direction to the marten. I think you said yesterday that you had some general concerns about the Project not avoiding habitat as much as you would like to see for purposes of the marten. Is that fair?

A (Parsons) Yes.
Q And your area of interest on the marten is particularly the 32 miles of new right-of-way in the north?

A (Parsons) That's correct.
Q Did you -- did you conduct any analysis of the marten habitat in that area?

A (Parsons) I visited the area, and $I$ spent
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probably an hour in the woods in what would have been marten habitat, but no specific assessment.

Q Okay. Do you know what extent the Project route considered avoidance of the highest ranked habitat designated by the Fish \& Game as part of its Wildife Action Plan?

A (Parsons) I know that they did some avoidance of the highest ranked habitat.

But are you aware that that was one of the Project routing design criteria right from the outset of the siting work for the Project?

A (Parsons) No. I don't think I was.
Q You also said yesterday that you had some concern about snowmobile use in the right-of-way, correct?

A (Parsons) That's correct.
Q And this is the new right-of-way up in the North Country?

A (Parsons) That's correct.
Q Are you suggesting that one way to reduce impact to marten habitat would be to prohibit snowmobiling in the right-of-way?

A (Parsons) Yes, I am.
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Q What about all the other snowmobile trails up in the northern part of New Hampshire, are they impacting marten habitat?

A (Parsons) Potentially, they could be.
MR. WALKER: Dawn, if you could pull
up the AMMs on -- the wildife AMMs again please, Exhibit 124 a. And it's Bates 85617 .

Nothing on my screen, Dawn. Oh, it's coming.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q I want to focus you on the AMMs related to
"High Elevation Areas" at the bottom of that page. And high elevation habitat or areas, that's good marten habitat, correct?

A (Parsons) Correct.
Q Would you agree that the AMMs dealing with high elevation areas are helpful to protecting marten habitat?

A (Parsons) Yes.
Q Mr. Parsons, just a few questions on the Canada lynx. And I don't want to go through
necessarily the Biological Opinion from the Fish \& Wildlife Service. But the conclusion by Fish \& Wildlife is that it concurred with the
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]

Department of Energy that the Project is not likely to adversely affect the Canada lynx. Do you agree with that conclusion?

A (Parsons) I would agree, if $I$ felt confident that the survey methodologies could identify lynx, in particular, in the areas where potential denning habitat was identified within the proposed right-of-way. I believe it is in the northern 32 -mile section. So, if appropriate methodologies would be developed to identify potential lynx that wouldn't disturb them within their denning areas, yes, I would agree with that.

Q Well, but the AMMs do address denning habitat, correct?

A (Parsons) Yes, they do.
Q Do you know how many Canada lynx dens have been found in New Hampshire in recent years?

A (Parsons) No, I do not.
Q Are you aware of any Canada lynx dens that have been found in New Hampshire in recent years?

A (Parsons) I don't believe anyone's looked for Canada lynx dens in New Hampshire in the previous few years.
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Q And you're not aware of any den out there, correct?

A (Parsons) No, I'm not.
Q All right. The last line of questioning is with regard to some comments that were made yesterday relating to Environmental Monitors. And I suppose, Mr. Lew-Smith, I think you were talking about this most yesterday. So, I'll direct my questions to you, but, obviously, if anyone else wants to weigh in on that. Going back to the DES approval --

MR. WALKER: And, Dawn, that's
Exhibit 75 .
BY MR. WALKER:
Q And Condition 2, on Page 2, which is 44447, which $I$ think you have. And this is the condition that requires all the work to follow the different AMMs and the different plan note sheets and such, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q And you're aware that some of those plans and note sheets deal with Environmental Monitors?

A (Lew-Smith) The plan sheets deal with
Environmental Monitors? I'm not sure about
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that.
Q Okay. Well, we can reference some of those and have you look at those.

A (Lew-Smith) Okay.
Q With regard to --
MR. WALKER: Dawn, if you could also turn to Condition 35 please.

BY MR. WALKER:
Q You see this requirement with regard to the Applicant "notifying the Wetlands Program in writing of the certified wetlands scientist or qualified professional, as applicable", and then it goes on, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) I can see that, yes.
Q I'm sorry. If you can go to the next page.
And I'll ask you to also take a look at 36 please. Bear with me.

Have you had a chance to review those two different conditions, 35 and 36?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q And, again, $I$ won't go through the different plant AMMs. But $I$ will represent to you that, on those plan sheets, there is a requirement that "locations of known rare plants will be
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surveyed and flagged with coded flagging by a qualified botanist prior to clearing and site preparation."

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, I have reviewed that.
Q Okay. And also that "the Environmental Monitor will discuss threatened and endangered plant issues at the morning tailboard meetings with contractors for all clearing, site preparation, and construction work taking place in sensitive areas." Have you seen that?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, I have.
Lastly, the wildlife AMMs also say that "any additional reporting and environmental
qualification requirements" -- "Environmental Monitor qualification requirements specified by the Fish \& Game as a condition of issuing the collection permit shall be adhered to." You've seen that?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Lastly, $I$ want to pull up that one plan sheet that $I$ was referring to, which is --

MR. WALKER: Dawn, it's Applicants Exhibit 199, and it's Bates 67293 .

BY MR. WALKER:
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Q And on the far right side of that plan sheet, you'll see a variety of requirements relating to the construction monitoring. And I'm not going to ask you about all of these. But have you seen these, Mr. Lew-Smith, and the various requirements?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, I have.
Q Okay. With all of these conditions regarding Environmental Monitors, it's fair to say that the Project is required to have a number of qualified monitors with various reporting requirements to the different agencies, correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q And, in your supplemental prefiled testimony, yes, and then we can get the cite if you would like, but you suggest that, if the Project goes forward, an independent monitor should be required. And you assert that it should be "an independent firm with sufficient resources", correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes. An independent monitor with sufficient resources.

Q And I want to ask you about that. I mean, what
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do you mean by that? Would your firm be able to serve in that role?

A (Lew-Smith) Someone who is -- right, who is independent, and has the authority to stop work if some permit conditions are not being met. And who is not only -- answerable solely to the Applicant, but also to either the SEC or a state regulatory agency.

Q Okay. And I appreciate that. But your
supplemental testimony, you mention "an
independent firm with sufficient resources and budgets should be required".

A (Lew-Smith) Right.
Q Sounds like you're saying it should be a large enough outfit that could perform this service?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct.
Q Okay. Is your firm an outfit like that?
A (Lew-Smith) No.
Q How about Normandeau? Do you have any reason to believe that Normandeau couldn't handle that function?

A (Lew-Smith) Just in terms of staffing?
Q Right.
A (Lew-Smith) I have no reason to believe they
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couldn't, in terms of staffing.

Q And when you talk about "independent reporting" to the different agencies, --

A (Lew-Smith) Uh-huh.
Q -- are you suggesting that these different
Environmental Monitors should not be hired by
the Applicants?
A (Lew-Smith) Not necessarily, no.
Q I mean, you're not suggesting that, if they are hired by the Applicants, these Environmental Monitors would not be independent or impartial in their judgment, are you?

A (Lew-Smith) No.
Q And you've served as an environmental monitor, right?

A (Lew-Smith) Personally, I have not done -served that role. Hold on a second. [Witnesses conferring.]

## BY THE WITNESS:

A (Lew-Smith) Sorry. He reminded me of one.
Yes, I have. Yes.
BY MR. WALKER:
Q And I take it you would not operate any
differently, if you were hired by the Applicant
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or by another entity, in making your determinations or conclusions?

A (Lew-Smith) No. I mean, it's not necessarily who's writing the check. It's the chain of command and who you're actually answering to. Right. But, as an independent monitor, as an environmental monitor, you're going to come to the same professional conclusions regardless?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
MR. WALKER: Thank you. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Members of the Committee, who has questions for the panel?

Mr. Wright.
DIR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, are we going to start -- does Mr. Parsons need to leave or not?

WITNESS PARSONS: I would stay for 20 minutes, if you think -- thought you could complete your questions to me by then.

DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. I'll start with
you then. But $I$ don't know if other members of the Committee may have questions as well.

WITNESS PARSONS: Okay.
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DIR. WRIGHT: So, I'll try to start with you and focus in on you.

BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q When I look at an earlier version of the AMMs, compared to what was submitted over this past weekend, there seems to be, in some areas, some changes, obviously, that we've been talking about today. And, in particular, I wanted to talk about the deer winter areas --

A (Parsons) Uh-huh.
Q -- and the great blue heron nesting.
A (Parsons) I don't have the old --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The microphone.
WITNESS PARSONS: Oh, I'm sorry.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A (Parsons) I don't have the previous version of the AMMs.

BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q Well, I would represent that the previous version of the AMM, which was dated February 28, 2017 for great blue heron suggested a quarter mile buffer zone versus the 330 feet. I assume you'd be more comfortable with that, based on what you've said today?
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A (Parsons) Yes.
Q You've mentioned -- Mr. Walker just mentioned
that the "330 feet" comes out of a New
Hampshire Forestry Guide. And you were not
familiar with that New Hampshire Forestry
Guide?
A (Parsons) That's correct.
    Q You mentioned other documents that you've
        reviewed?
    A (Parsons) Yes.
    Are those documents part of this record, do you
    know?
    A (Parsons) They're not. And I must -- yes,
        they're not.
    Q Should they be part of this record for us to
        consider?
        (Parsons) I can provide them.
                            CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do you want to
        make that request, Mr. Wright?
                            DIR. WRIGHT: Yes, I would.
                            CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Connor?
                            MS. CONNOR: We'll certainly provide
        them.
    BY DIR. WRIGHT:
```
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Q Did you have concerns also on the great blue herons with respect to the survey method of finding active nests?

A (Parsons) Well, the indeterminate method of survey. There's no provision for the type of survey that will be conducted or whether or not that will consider areas outside of the right-of-way itself. And it seems to me the only way to accurately or -- we'll say accurately review the entire distance lateral to the right-of-way would be using a helicopter. It seems as if, if someone's trying to look three, four hundred feet lateral to the right-of-way while walking in that narrow right-of-way, the line-of-sight may be, you know, corrupted and they may not see nests lateral to the right-of-way. So -- unless they're up in the air.

Q So, you made that same recommendation with respect to active raptor nests, I believe, that it should be an aerial survey and not a foot survey?

A (Parsons) Yes. And great blue heron.
Q Okay.
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A (Parsons) I mean, and bald eagle. Sorry.
Q Okay. And that's not covered in the AMMs, as far as you're concerned?

A (Parsons) The specifics aren't provided, no. Okay. With respect to the deer wintering areas, the previous AMAs [AMMs?] that I saw had a snow depth limitation, versus the current AMA -- AMMs, which seemed to allow clearing at any time of the year. Is that accurate? (Parsons) Well, I believe the snow depth limitation was related to construction activities, not to clearing activities. Q Okay.

A (Parsons) And that remains, you know, my major concern is the construction activities. Okay. So, you're not concerned with the clearing activities during the winter months at all?

A (Parsons) I think I mentioned that I believe that there will be some displacement effect of the deer away from active chainsawing and silvicultural activity. I don't believe that would be, you know, unreasonable, in terms of the distance, that deer may stay away from
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chainsawing and logging activities. And, in fact, you often see deer move in to take advantage of the brush that's on the ground when, you know, the loggers go home at night, so it can benefit by providing some food during the winter. Although, there's not a lot of nutrition or energy within cut brows that, you know, is left on the ground during the winter.

Q So, are deer readily adept at finding new wintering grounds? If, for example, the cutting went through a wintering ground, could they readily find another wintering ground?

A (Parsons) Well, not without some impact. Deer that are displaced into an already saturated, in terms of deer numbers, deer yard, can overbrowse their habitat, can, you know, aid the spread of disease. There can be social interactions that become violent. So, there are effects of pushing deer out of, you know, deer yards into neighboring deer yards that are negative.

Okay. But the deer can readily find other wintering yards you think?

A (Parsons) Not if they're already full of deer.
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Q Okay.
A (Parsons) Not without effects.
Q So, are you satisfied with the AMMs for the deer wintering yards at this point?

A (Parsons) I'm satisfied with them to the effect that they protect from direct impacts. But absolutely not in terms of indirect impacts, and in terms of -- the AMMs only provide protection for currently identified deer wintering areas. And, as we know, New

Hampshire Fish \& Game, they actually map these deer yards going back to the 1970 s, when $I$ spoke to the Project Manager, '70s, '80s, and '90s. So, they wouldn't have mapped appropriate deer wintering areas for a structure that was too short at that time, too small in stature. But, in some cases, 35 years have elapsed since that mapping. And, in speaking with New Hampshire Fish \& Game, they have not updated the boundaries. They have gone out, since 1990 s or early 2000 s, to see if a deer wintering area is actually being used or not, but have not adjusted those boundaries.

So, New Hampshire Fish \& Game recommended to
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Normandeau that they -- that they actively map the habitat that they see in the field, just don't use the existing deer yard maps, deer wintering area maps, and they did not do that. So, I have concerns that, especially south of the northern 40, that there may be some deer yards or deer wintering areas, they're used interchangeably, that are there and occupied by deer, and are not currently one of the, like, 18 deer yards that were identified.

Q Okay.
A (Parsons) So, I do have concerns.
Q Okay. I want to move on to the Canada lynx. I think you just indicated that you're okay with the dates in the AMM. I think your concern lies with the methodology of identifying the denning areas, is that correct?

A (Parsons) Yes. And that may be very difficult, if there's no snow on the ground, or the presence of lynx, when there's no snow on the ground, which is going to happen during, you know, late March, April, May, when the deer are moving -- excuse me -- the lynx are moving into denning areas.
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If they have to go and physically shake the brush to see if there are lynx in there, it's not good. You know, disturbs the lynx that may be denning. And, secondly, in my experience with lynx, and I've taught a course in the subartic for 20 years, so I've been up in lynx country a lot. You don't see them. They hear you coming and they're gone. And, if there's no snow on the ground, you're not going to know that there was a lynx present. So, -So -- I don't mean to cut you short. So, your basic message, your thought is, the only way you can actively appropriately identify the denning areas is the surveying needs to be done with snow on the ground. Is that an accurate summary?

A (Parsons) Yes, it's just that it's difficult. They may not have moved to denning areas while there's still snow on the ground. So, it's a problem with, you know, there being insufficient methodologies to do this work in the first place.

There's not very many lynx sighted in New Hampshire every year, that's correct?
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A (Parsons) No.
Q We're at the southern end of their range?
A (Parsons) Yes. That's true.
Q Okay. I assume, if you believe in climate change, that won't improve any time soon? You don't have to answer that.

Sorry to jump. The common nighthawk, you had mentioned that there's no buffer zone identified in the current AMMs for the nesting sites, is that correct?

A (Parsons) That's correct.
But I understand that will be negotiated with Fish \& Game as part of the AMM?

A (Parsons) Yes. And I can't offer an appropriate buffer zone as an expert on this species.

Okay. That was going to be my question.
A (Parsons) Yes.
Q Did you have a recommendation?
A (Parsons) No.
Q And the answer to that is "no". Okay. I'll trying to go and jump here. Sorry about this. I only really received a copy of these current AMMs this morning. So, I don't know if there's
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other, you're fur --
ADMIN. MONROE: Fur and feathers.
BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q Fur and Feathers.
A (Parsons) Fur, feathers, and insects.
Q Okay. Oh, insects. Thank you. The frosted elfin and the duskywing skipper, are they state or federally endangered or threatened?

A (Parsons) State, endangered, I believe both.
Q So, should there be a separate AMM for them or should there -- or, is there a -- is the AMM for the Karner blue butterfly sufficient for those species as well?

A (Parsons) Well, if the work had been done, the sensing survey to determine the presence of the species, if there were individuals present that are going to be harmed by this project, the AMMs would have been appropriate. But that work was never done, and we've been using the Karner blue as a sort of surrogate for these species.

## DIR. WRIGHT: I think my other

questions could probably be answered by the other panelists.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Do other members of the Committee have questions for Mr. Parsons?

Mr. Way.

BY MR. WAY:

Q So, Mr. Parsons, just one question. Back to that last point you mentioned, about the frosted elfin sort of taking a backseat to the Karner blue. Are the AMMs for the Karner blue pretty much, if those are observed, will those address the issues of the frosted elfin? Or do you think there is more that has to be done?

A (Parsons) It's a roll of the dice with respect to the frosted elfin, because we have no idea which patch, subpopulation of the wild lupine they are going to choose the year construction moves through their habitat. So, there is a risk, although that risk has probably been reduced as the impact area for wild lupine has been reduced, but it hasn't been eliminated.

I guess that's your decision in the end, as to whether or not, you know, the risk is something that is still outstanding and shouldn't be.
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Q And, so, just so $I$ understand, the elfin may have a different interaction with the lupine, we just don't know? Is that what you are saying? Or, at least that you don't know at what time?

A (Parsons) Yes. There's insufficient
information about the elfin to make a
determination that there is not an
unreasonable, undue effect on it. We just don't know. And we don't know how it differs from the Karner blue in terms of choosing which subpopulation of wild lupine to lay its eggs on. So, we're really kind of in the dark with this species.

MR. WAY: All right. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Any other
questions for Mr. Parsons?
Mr. Oldenburg.
MR. OLDENBURG: Just a clarification.
BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q Are you turtles and snakes as well?
A (Parsons) No, I'm not.
MR. OLDENBURG: Okay. I have no questions.
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DIR. WRIGHT: I have one more.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Wright.
DIR. WRIGHT: I just -- sorry, I just want to follow up on one more different area, the American marten.

BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q So, am I to understand that the high elevation area AMMs are meant to address the American marten or are they just complementary to what is needed to address the American marten?

A (Parsons) They don't mention the American marten. So $I$ don't know the intention behind the high elevation AMMs. They could certainly help preserve habitat or preserve the American marten.

Q So, they would be helpful and effective towards preserving them. That's what you just said? That would be true?

A (Parsons) Yes. Yes.
Q Okay.
A (Parsons) That eliminates one of my major concerns. The other would be recreational use in winter.

Q Well, $I$ was going to ask that question again.
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And I just want to understand. I think the concern there is, if there's snowmobile trails in the winter, it creates a pathway for other predators that would be competitive to the American marten. Is that the concern?

A (Parsons) Yes. There are species that either compete directly for food or they actually predate upon the American marten. They're differentiated from the American marten, because the American marten, for a small animal, has very large feet, and as such, can live and probably escapes to habitats that have deep, powdery snow, that things like the eastern coyote and the red fox and the fisher, maybe a little less the fisher, can move through during the deep, you know, snowy periods during midwinter. And, once you pack the trail down, it gives these species access into these higher elevations, where they actually use this packed snow. And, in the case of one of the wind projects in New Hampshire, they actually found predation on the marten by fisher that utilized packed snow relating or associated with a wind project,
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wind power project.
Q I have to admit, I wasn't able to dig this back up this morning when $I$ was thinking about this.

But $I$ recall, in the northern tier, where
there's new right-of-way, the Applicant has committed to not allowing ATV usage. I don't recall if that was also applicable to snowmobile usage during the winter.

I suspect your recommendation, it should be applicable to snowmobile usage in the winter?

A (Parsons) Yes. I believe it should. We have not seen that in writing.

DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. And I have to admit, I'm not 100 percent clear. I would need to go back and look at the record myself. I just couldn't find it first thing this morning. But, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anyone else from the Committee with questions for Mr. Parsons? [No verbal response.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Connor, do you have questions for Mr. Parsons, redirect? Because we're going to circle back to the rest
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of the panel from the Committee. But this would be a good shot for you, if you have something for him. No?

MS. CONNOR: No.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Parsons. It seems like you can go teach your class.

We'll take a ten-minute break, and then come back with further Committee questions for the rest of the panel.
(Recess taken 10:29 a.m. and the hearing resumed at 10:45 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Further Committee questions? Mr. Wright, I understand you have some questions for the panel.

> DIR. WRIGHT: Good morning again.
> WITNESS LEW-SMITH: Good morning.

BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q I want to turn again to the AMMs and the time-of-year restrictions. And I know you guys just got this over this past weekend. So, I'm sure you haven't totally digested it at this point. But $I$ was kind of curious, if, as the
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Applicant is implementing the AMMs and the time-of-year restrictions, and a conflict arises between the Applicant and Fish \& Game, ultimately, who's the arbiter?

A (Lew-Smith) That's a good question. I don't know who the arbiter is. I think there was some language in here that, in the event that NPT and New Hampshire Fish \& Game were unable to reach an agreement, the final decision will be the responsibility of NH DES.

Q I read that as well.
A (Lew-Smith) So, if either of you can --
Q So, okay. I had found that kind of interesting.

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Because I don't know necessarily that DES would always be the expert in all of these various things. So, --

A (Lew-Smith) Right. I agree.
Q Okay. Sorry, I'm going to jump around here, because this is how my notes are arranged at this point. I think, Mr. Reynolds, you're the mussel guy or is that --

A (Lew-Smith) No. That's me.
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Q Oh. I'm sorry, Mr. Lew-Smith. So, I know you made a specific reference to some mussel species in the Soucook River in the Concord area, is that correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes. Normandeau had done an inventory or had an inventory done, and found a couple rare and threatened species there.

Q And you seem to have some concerns with how the Applicant was going to approach best management practices in and around the construction site around those species?

A (Lew-Smith) I guess my concerns -- my concerns were that $I$ hadn't seen them, and so couldn't really come to a definitive conclusion on whether or not they would be sufficient to, you know, prevent sedimentation, which would negatively affect the mussels.

Q But I assume, ultimately, it's DES's responsibility to review the sediment control plans and the erosion control plans?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, it is.
Q Do you have faith in DES that they'll do a good job?

A (Lew-Smith) In that circumstance, yes.
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Q Okay. So, is there any additional conditions we need to consider or is the conditions of the DES permit sufficient to ensure that there would be no harm to those mussel species?

A (Lew-Smith) It's likely sufficient.
Q Okay. Mr. Amaral, I had some questions on the Karner blue, but they were largely answered this morning based on your responses to Mr. Walker. But $I$ did have a couple follow-up questions.

Are you satisfied with the time-of-year restrictions in the current AMMs?

A (Amaral) They're going in the right direction, but there appears to be some ambiguity. In the best case, construction would only occur in the winter, which means -- to me means December 21 to toward the end of March, where you're most likely to have frozen and -- frozen ground conditions and snow cover. Adding the phrase "to the extent practicable" brings uncertainty into whether there's a real commitment to doing construction then.

Who gets to make that decision, "to the extent practicable"?
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A (Amaral) I think -- I don't know who makes that decision.

Q Is it Fish \& Game or is it the Applicant?
A (Amaral) No. I think it's either the Applicant or the Applicant in consultation with DES perhaps.

Q Okay. And it may be the Applicant in consultation with Fish \& Game, would be my guess.

A (Witness Amaral nodding in the affirmative). Okay. The mitigation package, $I$ think you've already agreed to the 6.9 acres is more than sufficient, in terms of the impacts potential on the Karner blue butterfly?

A (Amaral) Yes.
Q I think you had one caveat, though. There needs to be some sort of firm commitment from the Applicant to fund the transformation of that site into suitable habitat? (Amaral) Yes. The mitigation parcel in its present condition does not have the biological features on it that are going to support the butterfly. So, it needs to be -- those features need to be restored.
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Q I assume those features are wild lupine?
A (Amaral) Well, wild lupine is the obligate larval host for Karner blue butterfly caterpillars, and it is on or very near wild lupine that female Karner blues will lay their eggs. But adult butterflies, nectar requirement are on a whole suite of flowering plants over a longer period in the summer than lupine blooms. So, that's been one of my concerns during the discussions this morning, is that we've collapsed the whole discussion about Karner blues, and frosted elfin for that matter, into a discussion about impacts to lupine. But their habitat needs are much -are much more broader, are much broader than just wild lupine.

Yes. Absolutely. I can understand that. But you feel that this parcel can be put into a condition sufficient --

A (Amaral) Oh, yes. Yes. And I remember, when I -- when I worked for the U.S. Fish \& Wildife Service, before this parcel was -- before the topsoil was removed and that foundation was built, it had -- it had wild lupine on it.
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And, so, I have every confidence that it can be restored there.

Q Is that -- I assume that's a multiyear process to restore that site?

A (Amaral) Yes.
Q Okay.
A (Amaral) That's correct.
Q And I assume you'd be, if we grant a certificate, a condition that they fund those activities would be appropriate, in your opinion?

A (Amaral) I don't think they would be able to occur otherwise.

Q Okay. Shifting gears to Environmental Monitors. There's been a lot of discussion about that throughout these proceedings. I don't know if you guys were here during the Construction panel or when the Applicants' Environmental panel were here. But there's been a lot of discussion about the

Environmental Monitors and the roles. And we've had some of those discussions this week as well.

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
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Q So, my understanding is that there will be -there currently is envisioned two sets of Environmental Monitors. There would be the Environmental Monitors that are hired by the Applicant, who would have the overall responsibility of reviewing the sites. But there would also be Environmental Monitors hired by the contractors. Is that your understanding as well?

A (Lew-Smith) I'm not aware of that, no. Okay. That was my understanding, is that there would be two sets of Environmental Monitors. I mean, this is a pretty big project geographically, 192 miles. What would your expectations be of how frequently an

Environmental Monitor would be on a construction site?

A (Lew-Smith) Certainly setting up, before pre-construction flagging resources. In some cases, like with the turtles, you know, perhaps daily on a construction site. So, they would have to have a significant presence.

So, this is not one person, obviously?
(Lew-Smith) Oh. God, no.
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Q This is multiple people. And I think this question has been asked, "how many is the right number?" I don't know that anybody has been able to give us an estimate. Do you have any thoughts on that?

A (Lew-Smith) I think a lot of it's going to depend on how construction would proceed. If they really are taking their time, and they have one construction that they're just working down the line, then it would take a lot fewer, than if they have construction going on in multiple places, you know. So, it's really going to depend a lot on the activity of construction.

Q And you still believe at this point, based on everything I've heard, that you think a third independent Environmental Monitor should be hired to oversee this Project?

A (Lew-Smith) I don't -- that wasn't my intention -Q okay. (Lew-Smith) -- to give that impression. Okay. MR. WAY: Mr. Wright?
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DIR. WRIGHT: Sure.
BY MR. WAY:
Q So, a question on the Environmental Monitors. Is it, and looking back at some of the testimony of the past day, the Environmental Monitor, one of their tasks would be to sweep the area, the construction area, before the day begins?

A (Lew-Smith) Correct. Yes.
Q How long and how involved is a process like that?

A (Lew-Smith) Well, I think it would depend on how big the work pad area is. If, for example, the work pad area was, say, the size of this room or twice the size of this room, you could basically walk back and forth and sweep it in, you know, however long that would take, maybe less than an hour, and then be done with that. Q So, a room about this size, probably about an hour?

A (Lew-Smith) Less than that.
Q And, so, when we look at multiple construction zones, let's say, looking at 116, Route 116, where you're going to have several zones, that
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would seem to say you're going to need a monitor for each of those construction zones, or you'd never be able to get started down the line for that day. Wouldn't that be correct?

A (Lew-Smith) Potentially. I think the other option they had with the -- at least for the reptiles, was that they, instead of sweeping daily, they would have kind of a door that they close after construction, and then open it up. I can't remember the specifics of it.

Again, you know, I'm not sure I'm the one to ask about --

Q But you don't think that, if the proper precautions are put in place, there's not a need to sweep daily prior to construction?

A (Lew-Smith) I think that's true. Yes.
MR. WAY: All right. Thank you.
BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q Just one other area here. You weren't here when Ms. Carbonneau was testifying as part of the Environmental panel?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes, we were.
Q You were here? Okay.
A (Lew-Smith) We weren't here for the
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Construction panel.
Q Okay. I asked her a question, because she had made a couple statements, that if she felt it was in the Applicant's best interest to comply with environmental regulations. And she -- she expressed her opinions that it was in their best interest to comply with environmental regulations. Do you agree with that, her assessment?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q Why would you agree with that? I mean, what are the risks to the Applicant for failing to comply with the environmental regulations?

A (Lew-Smith) So, just in terms of protecting the resource, $I$ would say that's one of the reasons they should comply with the environmental regulations.

Beyond that, you know, there are consequences to not complying with environmental regulations, in terms of, you know, enforcement of conditions of permits and things like that. So, there are financial and bureaucratic ramifications.

Q And, certainly, potentials in shutting -- the
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state agency shutting down the Project, I
assume, --

A (Lew-Smith) Absolutely.
Q -- would be a significant consideration on behalf of the Applicant?

A (Lew-Smith) Absolutely.
Q During Mr. Walker's questioning regarding the findings of DES and Fish \& Game, I don't think I heard you say this, so I don't want to put words in your mouth. But you're not -- you're not indicating that those agencies made an error in finding of either fact or law with respect to their regulatory responsibility, are you?

A (Lew-Smith) No.
Q Okay. I didn't think so, and I didn't want to put words in your mouth.

I guess my last question is,
Mr. Lew-Smith, I think this morning you made reference to one issue that hasn't been talked about, and if $I$ misunderstood you, please clarify, that you still some concerns with the wild lupine in the Pembroke area. We had spent a lot of time talking about Concord. Did I
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hear you correctly?
A (Lew-Smith) Yes, you did.
Q And what are those concerns in particular?
A (Lew-Smith) So, the Pembroke lupine population, it's on an Army National Guard property and is managed by them. There's hundreds of plants. It sits in one side of the -- it's confined to just one side of the right-of-way, maybe a quarter of the way across the right-of-way. Their proposed road goes right through that population.

We had suggested that they consider rerouting the road to avoid impacts. In their next iteration of plans, they reduced impacts by a very small amount. So, small that $I$ think, when it was brought up, the Committee couldn't see really the difference between the before and after. The most recent plan set that $I$ viewed still has the road going through the Pembroke population.

Again, my concern is that they're -- I don't feel like the Applicant has made -- has met their burden of proof that they have done anything reasonable to avoid those impacts. If
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they have, because of some design consideration, they haven't shared that with anyone.

> And, so, as a resource specialist, I'm
looking at the plan and seeing a direct impact, when there is three-quarters of the right-of-way that has no significant resources. So, from my perspective, it looks like it could be avoided.

Q So, more work could be done in that area?
A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Oldenburg, do you have questions?

MR. OLDENBURG: I do. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q A few questions about Arrowwood as a company.
I noticed in like your resume and the experience with Arrowwood, it seems to do a lot of assessment and inventories and things like
that. How much of the -- how much work does the Company do in like construction monitoring or, you know, working on construction projects,
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either in a design aspect or actually in the field?

A (Lew-Smith) Probably 70 to 80 percent of our work is done -- is development-related work. And, so, anything from a homeowner wanting to build another garage and there's wetland impacts, up to large-scale utility wind projects or transmission line projects. And, so, it really kind of runs the gamut of that.

Q Because a lot of the testimony I heard was "in literature", "in exist" -- it was a lot of theoretical, it seemed to me like a lot of theoretical input that was being offered. And I wanted to make sure that you have hands-on construction experience as well?

A (Lew-Smith) Absolutely. Right. And, so, what we didn't want to have happen is, come to a conclusion that wasn't based on the science. And, so, that's what you hear when we say "well, there's literature that says $X, Y$, and Z."

You know, we just wanted to be sure that it was based on the best science that we could find.
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Q All right. I'm going to sort of beat the Environmental Monitors again. So, the way I understand it, the Project is like split into the four sections. There's an overhead, an underground, there's transition stations, and there's substations. And each one of those, according to the org chart we've seen, is going to have an Environmental Project Manager, if you will, assigned by the contractor -- the contractor is going to have.

A (Lew-Smith) Uh-huh.
And they're going to interact with the monitors themselves. But "environmental monitor" seems to be a pretty broad term. I mean, we're going to have monitors that are going to monitor reptiles and turtles, and we're going to have monitors that are going to monitor best management practices, from erosion control and things like that. So, you'll have -- I don't know if they're also called "environmental monitors", but historical and archeological monitors, if you will.

So, it seems like we concentrate a lot on the animals monitoring. But some of the other
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folks that are also doing work in the field, erosion control, the folks that are putting up erosion control, could be sort of a first line of defense. They may not be trained, but they know what a turtle and snake are. Is that true?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes. That's true. Q Okay.

A (Lew-Smith) And I think even the things they were mentioning about actually doing contractor training is an important piece of that, yes. Because I think, at least with my experience, you know, folks that actually are the contractors coming in and doing construction, they have got their job, and it's like this. But, if you train them and like, "hey, you know, snakes and turtles and things", you know, it makes a big difference.

Q There is the environmental version of "see something, say something", right? "If you see a snake or a turtle, say something." (Lew-Smith) Yes, say something. Right. Right. Because you have no idea what it is, but you say something, and somebody comes and looks at
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it and says "yes".
A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q So, on the turtle and snake aspect of it, I work for the DOT, and some of our projects we get involved, especially on the Seacoast, with Blanding's turtles. And one of the mitigation efforts that we have used or has been employed that we've used, to keep turtles off the road is we use larger rocks. So, you line the edge of the road with, you know, 6-inch stone.

A (Lew-Smith) Uh-huh.
Turtles don't like that. They don't like climbing on stones, so they avoid it. And it sort of keeps them away.

One of the things in the overhead section is the access roads and the crane pads are going to be built with gravel or stone as a bedding. Well, one, do you -- have you heard or used stone to keep turtles out of areas? And will that, if that works, will those access roads and crane pads and that that are built out of gravel sort of deter the turtles from going there?

A (Lew-Smith) So, I haven't personally used the
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stone barriers for turtles or been involved in a project that has. I would suspect that the construction of an access road with gravel would not necessarily deter them. You would need kind of a semi-vertical barrier, as it were. And, in many cases, I would suspect that's not going to be the natural result of a road-building effort.

Q Okay. So, one of the other things, when they -- if they started to build this, they would be opening up the earth. And I have to believe, as part of their environmental permit, their AOT permit or whatever, they're going to have to do erosion control measures. And one of the first things that we always see, is you encapsulate the site in a silt fence or a silt sock or something, and that's toed into the ground, it should be toed into the ground. So, doesn't that sort of silt fence create that barrier that are going to keep turtles and snakes out of the work zone as well?

A (Lew-Smith) Yes. That would be sufficient. And I think that that's -- that $I$ think was one of the things they recommended using to, you
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know, kind of cordon off work areas is a silt fence.

Q So, that will help --
A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q -- alleviate the intensive need every day of having to go in and survey the site for reptiles?

A (Lew-Smith) As long as there's that kind of door or gate that you can close, yes.

Okay. So, this is more of wetlands, but we'll call it "vernal pools", --

A (Lew-Smith) Okay.
Q -- because they sort of go together. One of the things that was stated during the Construction panel months and months ago was that the access roads and the crane pads and the work areas were sort of overestimated or overpermitted, if you will.

A (Lew-Smith) Uh-huh.
Q So, the access roads, if $I$ remember it right, were estimated as 20 feet wide everywhere.

They don't need to be 20 feet wide. But they were made that way so the contractor has some leeway in moving or adjusting the actual
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construction, and the pads may not necessarily have to be those perfect 100 by 100 squares or whatever they're shown. So, there's some leeway.

In your review of the impacts to like vernal pools and anything like that, did you see where the intention was to avoid them or did it just seem to be that the access roads or the pads were placed without trying to avoid vernal pools?

A (Lew-Smith) I think there was both circumstances. There were a couple of places where it looked like, you know, there was a vernal pool, and the road went around it. There were a number of other places where it looked like there was a vernal pool, and there's a road that goes right through it. So, I think the report outlined a number of places where both those situations occurred.

Q Okay. Because I struggle with the fact of, you're permitted for this sort of overestimation, if you will, --

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
-- so that the contractor is given some
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latitude to do what makes sense in the field. But that doesn't mean that -- I mean, doesn't that really give them the ability to impact all those wetlands?

A (Lew-Smith) Well, but we, because they overestimated, our assessment was based on that overestimate.

Q Right.
A (Lew-Smith) And, so, you know, if there are places where we said, you know, "this really should be avoided", maybe that's one place where they should have gone back and said "okay, we're not going to overestimate. We're going to give you the actual location of the road." I haven't seen that, but that certainly could be a stepwise process.

Okay. The Karner blue butterfly impacts: Now, we talked a lot about when the work should be done to meet the AMA [AMM?]. So, if it's snow covered, the ground's frozen, the timber mats are used, but not in place for more than so many days. I never really heard a -- I heard a "don't put them there for more than, you know, for two months, because that's too long." What
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is -- I didn't read the AMMs about the timber mat usage. But, in the Karner blue butterfly area, if you didn't want to do damage to the lupines under the ground, would -- is there a recommendation for how long you would leave the timber mat in place?

A (Lew-Smith) You know, if they're there during the winter, it's not going to matter how long they're there. During the growing season, I mean, $I$ guess I'd have to look into it. I'm not prepared to say "it's got to be X number of days". But we can certainly provide you with a recommendation at a later date, if that's what you're looking for.

Q I mean, if they had it, that would probably be good. Because I have a feeling that -- I don't know, my gut feeling is that there might be conditions put upon the certificate, if there is in that area, so that might be helpful.

I wish I had more time to look at the plans versus where the Karner blue butterfly areas are. But there's a number of sideroads that you can get into the corridor in that area. So, you know, there's Regional Drive,
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there's Chenell Drive, there's Industrial
Drive. There's a number of roads, and the way you could actually get into the transmission right-of-way to build these.

In your review, was the impacts minimized from the standpoint of whether -- everywhere else, the access road went straight through. And there weren't access roads that were "I can get to this tower from this side, and to this tower from that side, and I'm not going to do any in the middle." Did you find that there were -- that the Applicant actually reviewed the impacts and minimized the impacts to those areas?

A (Lew-Smith) So, from our review, you know, like you say, most of the impacts are kind of within the right-of-way. And you have the tower here, a tower here, work pads, access road in between. I believe that -- well, we've been told that the access in between the towers is necessary for construction and maintenance, right?

Again, $I ' m$ not an engineer. Could you access this one here and then actually
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construct and get around? Maybe.

Q But you didn't actually review that from a constructability standpoint of how to --

A (Lew-Smith) Yes.
Q -- how to access the towers. You used their plans and --

A (Lew-Smith) Right. Yes. That's kind of beyond our wheelhouse, as it were.

Q Okay. All right. So, I think -- I think it was Mr. Reynolds, who, on cross from Ms. Connor, mentioned the restrictions on blasting due to the bats. And, if there's blasting just in, you know, for foundations for towers. So, if the ledge is -- they dig for the tower, and they get through 5 feet of dirt, and then there's a knob of ledge that has to be blasted for five feet, you know, to put the foundation in, is the impact to the bats, is it the vibration? Because, $I$ mean, the bats aren't living underground, they're living, I have a feeling, in crevices or caves. Or is it the vibration of the blasting that would impact them?

A (Reynolds) The bats could be living
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Q Oh.
A (Reynolds) So, I mean, they're underground. They're under surface. They may be aboveground, but in crevices within the rock. How far they penetrate is depending on time of year. You know, in a summer roost, they may only be six inches into a crevice. In winter, they may be a foot or two, even farther. We don't know that much about the structure of those roosts, because they're somewhat difficult to sample.

In terms of the blasting impacts, it really, you know, and again I'm not a geological engineer, but, if a piece of geology that they're blasting, you know, if the ledge that they're blasting is contiguous with an exposed outcrop, so that, if they're going down five feet topsoil, run into ledge, and when it blasts that, if that piece of ledge is contiguous with an outcrop 50 feet away that the bats are using, $I$ have a pretty strong feeling that there's going to be a vibration that's going to transmit through that rock that
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would never have been observed or documented, because that outcrop is -- there's no buffer zones. There's an outcrop maybe 25 feet off the right-of-way, so it won't ever be observed to look whether or not there's an impact.

So, yes. The original AMM talked about the unlikelihood that blasting would seal all available crevices. So, the original proposal looked at the likelihood, it was unlikely that all of the spaces that the bats were using would be collapsed. That's partly vibration, that's partly just loss of roost habitat. So, it's vibrations, a measurement -- I don't think the vibration itself is the issue. The vibration would be an indicator of the likelihood of collapse. But it's not a cave structure, it's crevice structures, which, by design or by geology, are fairly unstable.

Q Thank you. That's interesting. The underground section: Now, there was -- as you know, the plans have changed. And we haven't quite seen what the final plans are going to be in the underground section. But is it safe to say that most of the -- so, if the plan was
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originally to put the line under the pavement, and now it's, say, 10 feet off the edge of pavement, is it safe to say that's outside of most animal habitat, that that would affect animal habitat?

A (Lew-Smith) So, our real animal habitat guy is gone.

Q Sorry.
A (Lew-Smith) But we are talking -- we are talking, basically, in a road right-of-way, just off the shoulder of the road.

Q Correct.
A (Lew-Smith) Right. It's habitat for some species, right? But $I$ would agree that it's -agree with that.

Q But, mostly, the animals that we've been talking about, the Canada lynx and the marten, they don't live that -- they don't tend to live that close to the road?

A (Lew-Smith) It's certainly not significant habitat for them, correct.

Q Okay. And is that, generally, would you find a lot of vernal pools that close to the road?

A (Lew-Smith) Vernal pools you can find close to
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the road. Especially if a road was built through one, you would just find it off to the side.

Q So, from a final plan stage, there's 50 some odd miles of the plan that we really don't know what the final vernal pool impacts would be --

A (Lew-Smith) Right.
Q -- or environmental impacts would be?
A (Lew-Smith) Right.
One of the things that you had talked about was the SEC process for issuing a certificate versus the environmental regulations. And it seemed to be that you said that the SEC requirements were more stringent than some of the environmental processes or requirements, is that true?

A (Lew-Smith) No, I didn't mean to imply that. It's a different process. You know, you have your list of criteria, things you consider, and those are not the same as what the DES is issuing a Wetland Permit for, for example. I mean, if they were exactly the same, then you wouldn't have any need to put any conditions on any permits at all. I mean, why are they even
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]
here? Right. So, you'd consider a much broader issue.

MR. OLDENBURG: Okay. All right.
Thank you. I believe that's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you.
BY CMSR. BAILEY:
Q Mr. Lew-Smith, I think this question is for you. You mentioned that you had something to say about the lupine in Pembroke. And then we talked about the licorice goldenrod in Pembroke. And, if the Project is shifted, if the Project shifts an access road, that would be an appropriate $A M M$ for the licorice goldenrod. Does it also help your concern about the lupine or was that something different?

A (Lew-Smith) No. That's the same thing. If they can shift that road to avoid impacts, then I would be satisfied with that. Yes.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Dandeneau.
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MS. DANDENEAU: Good morning, gentlemen.

WITNESS LEW-SMITH: Good morning.
WITNESS AMARAL: Good morning.
WITNESS REYNOLDS: Good morning.
MS. DANDENEAU: My name is Rachel
Dandeneau. I'm the alternate public member for the Committee. I apologize for not being here yesterday. I was proctoring a tree and shrub identification file.

Some of this stuff that $I$ ask you guys about might be repeat from yesterday, and I apologize for that. But I'm going to be selfish and just ask the questions anyways.

BY MS. DANDENEAU:
Q In a lot of your prefiled testimony, you commented that there didn't seem to be enough detail available to you to evaluate different processes that you were being asked about. What level of detail would you want to see to feel comfortable about the construction approach for this Project?

A (Lew-Smith) Maybe I'll start, and see if they want to add anything.
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For the most part, a lot of those details have come out. And, in our supplemental testimony and during testimony, we've kind of said "okay, this is how we feel about it, based on these AMMs."

And, so, for my resources in general, I feel like there is enough information for me to say "unreasonable adverse" or "not". So, I'm okay.

A (Amaral) Relative to the Karner blue butterfly, I think they're pretty straightforward. We'd just like to see a very firm commitment of a time of year when construction is going to occur, and pretty much the measures that have already been identified, such as flagging or fencing off all of the known locations of rare plants that are important to the Karner in those locations and avoiding them.

You know, and then following that up, that's during construction, following that up with how the right-of-way will be maintained after construction. Some sort of agreement that is -- that is completed in consultation with the Heritage Bureau and New Hampshire Fish
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\& Game that takes those rare features into consideration.

And, then, again, you know, details on the time and level of funding for restoration of the mitigation parcel, Zl, on Regional Drive.

A (Reynolds) For the bats, you know, they did the minimum sampling effort for the northern long-eared. And, so, I'm comfortable about the potential impact for that species. But, you know, really, there's virtually no effort done to look at the small-footed bat. And, so, we don't know really where they are along the Project site. And the AMM for that is really qualitative. It just says, you know, they will do some surveys, in consultation with Fish \& Game. So, we don't know where they are. We don't know what they're qualifying as known for any of the species. But it's just too vague to give a strong opinion. So, I was trying to be conservative. We just need more information, particularly with the small-footed bat.

Q Okay. Thank you.
A (Lew-Smith) Can I -- I'm sorry, can I add one more thing?
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Q Please.
A (Lew-Smith) The one issue where $I$ feel like there is not enough information is the small whorled pogonia. Again, I had stated yesterday that $I$ felt like the inventory methodology wasn't sufficient to detect all potential populations. And, so, for that one, I don't feel comfortable saying there would be no unreasonable adverse impact.

All right. Thank you. In your supplemental testimony, you expressed some lingering concern about the spotted and Blanding's turtles. What are some of the things that Northern Pass could do, if granted a certificate, to help minimize these concerns? I think you specifically said that the best management practices don't go far enough to protect these species. So, what are some additional things that they could do to fully protect them in your eyes? (Lew-Smith) So, the most recent acceptable management practices/BMPs that came out improved that situation quite a bit. There was a couple of issues that $I$ had mentioned yesterday. One is that they're doing it in --
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they're employing these -- the fencing of the area as excluding turtles from mapped turtle habitat. I haven't seen the map. So, I don't know where that encompasses.

The other issue $I$ had was turtle nests. I don't think there's a really good way to not -well, let me rephrase. There's potential for impacting turtle nests during construction. And, so, I suggested that adding some nest creation mitigation sites would -- could mitigate for those potential impacts.

So, if those -- if those steps are taken,
then $I$ don't feel there would be an unreasonable adverse impact on the Blanding's or spotted.

BY MR. WAY:
Q And I've got a quick question on the Blanding's turtles. I would imagine, if you're creating those nest sites, then, and $I$ think I saw this, that you're physically picking up the turtles? Did I see that? That that was one method, picking up the turtles and moving them to the site?

A (Lew-Smith) Not really. The picking up of the
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turtles and moving them was, $I$ think, to remove them from the construction zone. And, so, then you create the nests, you know, the nest habitat, and then just let them find it. You let them find it. But you're physically taking them from the construction zone?

A (Lew-Smith) Just to avoid direct impact.
Q And, so, how do Blanding turtles respond to being picked up and moved? Is there a high mortality rate? Does it bother them at all? Are they fine?

A (Lew-Smith) I mean, without being inside of one's head, it's hard to know. But I don't think there's any like lasting impact on them. It's a lot better than being run over by a truck, so --
MR. WAY: I guess I'd agree with
that. Thank you.
BY MS. DANDENEAU:
Q Mr. Amaral, I think my next question is for you. It's in regards to the Karner blue. You had mentioned that some of your concerns regarding impacts to their habitat could be mitigated by doing construction in the winter.
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Do you have any concerns about a mild winter? And I only ask that because of some of the changes that we've seen, lack of snow cover. And I'm from up north. So, we see some of those changes up north. And $I$ know that some of those changes are more severe down here in this area of the state. So, do you have any concerns with work being done in winter, in a mild winter?

A (Amaral) Well, yes. I think the reason why I'm fairly adamant about constructing only in winter is because $I$ think we -- we used to consider the non-growing season to begin perhaps in September, early September. And now I think we've seen an extension of our growing season. So, by restricting construction, in
this really small area, to winter, I think -- I think those concerns are going to be -- are going to be addressed.

In addition, the former utility, PSNH, has
done work in that location in the past. I
think they were upgrading some of the poles.
And they used the timber mats. And, so, I got
to observe sort of what the habitat looked like
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before that winter construction season, and then in the following summers. And $I$ was happy with the results.

Q Excellent. Thank you. In general, do any of you have any concerns about invasive species? Either the movement of them within the corridor or introduction of new invasive species?

A (Lew-Smith) Well, you know, certainly $I$ think utility power transmission lines can often be kind of highways for invasive species, and they can spread from there. That being said, we didn't really assess their plans for control or anything like that.

So, I don't feel ready to kind of comment on that.

Q We've heard a lot from the public about wanting the line to be buried if a certificate was granted. Do you feel that burial of the line would be less environmentally harmful in general?

A (Amaral) $\operatorname{c}$ can only speak for the short section of the right-of-way in Concord. And, unless it were directional drilling and burial, I think open trench burial, through the right-of-way
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south of Pembroke Road, would have greater environmental effects than overhead.

A (Reynolds) In the context of the small-footed bat, if the burial is going to involve blasting along the whole route, then, if that's one of the primary or potential impacts, then that would probably increase as well.

Q Mr. Lew-Smith, any comment?
A (Lew-Smith) You know, again, depending on what the technique for burying the line is, it could be, in terms of rare plants, it certainly could be more impactful during construction. It's hard to say, you know. Without actually having looked at plans and seeing what the impact is, you know, it's theoretical.
[Witnesses conferring.]

## BY THE WITNESS:

A (Lew-Smith) Mr. Amaral mentioned that also the route, depends if it's following existing roads or it goes through undisturbed habitat. So, -BY MS. DANDENEAU:

Q Sure. Thank you.
A (Lew-Smith) Uh-huh.
Q I had one last question about temperature and
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sedimentation impacts on small streams that cross the right-of-way. Either in areas that are already currently maintained as right-of-way, and maybe even more specifically in the several miles of new right-of-way that will be created in the Wagner Forest. Do you guys have any concerns regarding thermal impacts or sedimentation impacts? I'm thinking specifically in terms of fish, eastern brook trout, but in general also.

A (Lew-Smith) Yes. Unfortunately, that's not something that we really dug into on this Project. So, I don't really feel comfortable making a determination.

MS. DANDENEAU: All right. Thank you very much.

WITNESS LEW-SMITH: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way. MR. WAY: Good morning again. WITNESS LEW-SMITH: Good morning. BY MR. WAY:

Q Mr. Reynolds, a few questions for you about the bats. And I think I heard yesterday that the long-eared bat had been identified in ten
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communities, is that correct? And was it the Indiana bat that was identified I think in an additional 12 communities?

A (Reynolds) So, yes. So, Normandeau reanalyzed their data from 2015, and released a supplement or a reanalysis in December of 2016 . And in those data they had 11 sites that would be identified as potential -- potential capture sites for the northern long-eared. That was in Table 3 of that release. And then 23 sites for Indiana myotis, and that would be across 12 towns.

Q And, when you have that data there in front of you, those towns -- well, let me strike that. Do the bat species we're talking about, do they tend to overlap? In other words, are you going to find it -- are those 10 separate towns, 12 separate towns, do those species tend to coexist?

A (Reynolds) Yes. I don't think you can add them up to be whatever that would be, 23 separate towns.

Q Right.
A (Reynolds) I think the sampling -- the sampling
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overlaps.

Q But the bats do tend to coexist with one another?

A (Reynolds) Yes.
Q All right. And have you been an environmental monitor before?

A (Reynolds) I have not.
Q And I'm just trying to get a sense of, with regards to bat issues, what would be the duties do you anticipate for an Environmental Monitor on an ongoing basis?

A (Reynolds) If you go to the AMMs for the two or three species of bats that would be relevant, for the northern long-eared bat, someone needs to determine what is known, in terms of known locations. So, that would not need to be done on-site by an Environmental Monitor, but that needs to be clarified.

For the small-footed bat, the
Environmental Monitor would have to do survey work. But, again, the AMM does not identify what the survey work would be. So, at a minimum, it would be acoustic monitoring. But, if they're going to follow the U.S. Fish \&
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Wildife guidance documents, then the documentation of presence, if transferred to the small-footed bat, they would have to actually then do mist netting. So, they would need state permitting, but not federal permitting.

If their goal was to find out where these bats were roosting, then they would actually need to radio tag the bats, which means they need expertise in how to attach and track bats. And then some type of roost emergence. It's a fairly narrow skill set.

Q Is it fair to say that these are all pre-construction activities? During the actual construction phase, you've pretty much completed what you need to do with regards to identification and taken the appropriate measures?

A (Reynolds) It's hard to say. I mean, some of these could be done well in advance of construction. But the AMMs, as they're written, it's not clear when -- you would not want to be doing this, it's not like the turtle sweeps, you would not want to be doing surveys
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]
the day before intended blasting. That would be done -- they would have to be seasonally appropriate for the time of blasting, and they would have to be analyzed, because the results are interpretive, however they classify these calls, because these calls have significant overlaps between other species. Someone would have to make that analysis. That analysis would have to be vetted by a regulatory agency. That result would then have to go through consultation, informal, probably not U.S. Fish \& Wildife, unless some of the calls were identified as Indiana myotis. And then the permission to proceed would then be given, and that could take months.

Q And, so, I guess what I'm trying to get to is, different than fur and feathers, once you've identified the areas, and you've taken the measures for the bat -- excuse me -- you've taken the measures, during the construction phrase you seem to suggest that there might be some things that would happen during the construction phase? Or, likely is that -- is that all done with regards to bats?
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 57/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-07-17\}
[WITNESS: Lew-Smith|Parsons|Amaral|Reynolds]

A (Reynolds) There are best management practices for monitoring sound and vibration levels that would have to be done at the time of construction. But those are not implied in the AMM that they would be doing any of those. So, there's sound/vibration requirements that should be observed in those areas during the construction phase?

A (Reynolds) If you're trying to do best management practices, yes.

Q And is that something the Environmental Monitor has to be present to do or is that something that can be taught to the field crew?

A (Reynolds) That's not my field of expertise. I assume the equipment records. So, I don't know as you have to have somebody sitting there and looking -- watching the little needle go up and down. But, you know, the most appropriate timing for that and ensuring that the sound levels and vibration levels don't meet a threshold that's pretty much real-time, I don't know what protocols would be put in place if those sound levels or those vibration
thresholds are exceeded.
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But the places where I've seen them used, and $I$ can reference one. So, in some places, the coal industry is required to use subsurface geophones to look at vibration within 500 feet of a project site, monitoring vibrations up to 0.2 inches per second. And those are being done while they're doing coal mining.

Another site recommends sound concussion of less than 150 dB and shock waves less than 15 psi with a 2 -kilometer setback.

So, these were -- some of these were being monitored during the actual blasting operation. And, if the sound or the pressure or the vibration exceeds the threshold, then they stop activity, until they can confirm no presence of the bat.

Q Until they confirm no presence?
A (Reynolds) They would have to stop. Either have to find a way to get the activity below those thresholds, or they have to not do that activity until the bats are no longer --

Q I was going to say that --
A (Reynolds) Sorry?
So, in terms of confirming no presence of the
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bat, that would be kind of difficult to do, would it not?

A (Reynolds) Not with planning. I mean, if you know you're going to construct this site in the Summer of 2019, then doing appropriate levels of survey in the Summer of 2018 , to make sure they're not summer roosting in those sites. I mean, you're making an assumption, if they're there one year, they might be there the next year. But it's a lot better assumption than going out in the Spring of 2018, and using those data to assume presence or absence in 2000 -- Summer of 2018.

And, likewise, for the winter surveys in particular, or the winter -- any winter construction, you can't sample them in the winter or you can't be confident that sampling in the winter is going to show you presence, because they're sleeping, they're hibernating. But, if you did full winter surveys in the winter prior to any construction, $I$ have a paper that just came out that counsel has and can make available on overwintering activity of the species. If you do long-term monitoring at
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potential roost sites, wintering sites, you will find them coming out on warm days. And you can document their presence at an exposed area, which will give you some confidence that they're probably going to be there the next winter as well.

But you can't go into a site in the Winter of 2018, put up some monitors, hear no bats, and have any confidence that there are no bats there, because they're hibernating. So, it just takes planning.

Thank you, Mr. Reynolds. And I think, for the others, $I$ know this is shocking, but I'm going to ask questions about Environmental Monitors.

Mr. Amaral, have you been an environmental monitor?

A (Amaral) No, I have not.
Q Mr. Lew-Smith, you drew the short straw, because you've done it once.

A (Lew-Smith) Okay.
Q So, I think one of the things that we're all asking the same question about is, when we take this from the desktop, when we take it from the written word, and we actually take it out to
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the field, and we have environmental monitors, we have wetland scientists, we have, as was mentioned earlier, compliance specialists, we have a whole team of people that are going to be on the ground. And they're going to have to be there at certain times to make sure that construction can commence, or they're going to have to survey wide swaths.

In your experience from that one time you've done it, or in the experience that you've talked about with others that have been involved in the experience, how does that actually work out in the field? Does it -- I mean, do you have the authority to stop? Does that actually work? Or what is your real-world impression of the life of an environmental monitor in these types of activities?

A (Lew-Smith) You know, organizationally, making that whole beast kind of function will be a task. And $I$ think it's possible to make it work, but it will take some work.

You know, in terms of my experience being an environmental monitor, it's not easy, in part, because you kind of sometimes have to be
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a jerk, right? You have to be willing to challenge the people that are doing the construction. And, if you don't have stop work authority, you're basically, to their eyes, you're just blowing a lot of hot air, right?

And, so, you -- they need to know that you have stop work authority, because then you can actually get something done and you can protect the resources that you're there to protect. So, from my experience, that's very important. How that works up through the organizational chain of, you know, the compliance monitors and who answers to it all, and who over sees it? It's complicated. And I don't feel like I've given it quite enough kind of thought to really give you the answer right now. But $I$ do believe that it -- they should ultimately be answerable to someone other than the Applicant.

Q And, so, -- oh, Mr. Reynolds?
A (Reynolds) Yes. If I can modify or clarify, I've never been an environmental monitor. But I have worked several years as an environmental and safety corridor within an industry. And
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it's some of the same issues. So, I was responsible for making sure the corporation $I$ was working for met environmental and safety regulations. And it's sort of that "jerk" mentality. It can work really well, but really depends on the organizational structure, it depends on support from management. And it really gets not to who's paying your check, but sort of who you're accountable for. And, at one of the places $I$ worked, I worked within facilities. And they are the problem. They are the people who most of the safety regulations and environmental regulations are geared towards. And it was very difficult working, when my boss was the person most likely to be violating safety or environmental rules.

In another situation, $I$ worked for the upper management. And $I$ was accountable for -I was accountable only to the upper management. And, so, I was parallel to the facilities manager, and it worked really well.

So, it's not -- it's a skill set, but it's also an organizational structure of how they
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are reporting, and how -- you know, stop work authority, and what is the ethos of the people above them, and do they truly have the ability to independently stop work and cost somebody thousands, tens of thousands of dollars.

Q And it's also the ethos of the people that are there on site as well. Because, while you're the jerk on site, not to imply that, but when you're having to take that position on site, this is a team effort, $I$ would have to imagine. If, as Mr. Oldenburg said, "if you see something, you say something." But, having that rapport with the environmental monitor, having that rapport with a compliance officer, wetlands, all those people, does it get to a point where it's difficult for construction to commence? Or, are we talking about a very small portion of a job day?

A (Lew-Smith) Difficult for construction to commence, because of all of the conditions on the permits you mean or -Right.
(Lew-Smith) I don't think it has to be. I think -- I mean, there's nothing that I've seen
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so far in the AMMs that, to me, seem outrageous and unreasonable for a construction site.

Q So, you're not seeing something that would cause unreasonable delays?

A (Lew-Smith) I don't think so, no. Mr. Reynolds?
(Reynolds) Just understaffing environmental monitors. If there's only two, two of them along a 192-mile route, and you need -- you have three ongoing construction sites, that could be an issue. I mean, making sure that there's adequate environmental monitors would be the only potential -- or, would be one potential for delays.

MR. WAY: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have no questions for the panel that haven't already been asked.

Is there anything else?
[No verbal response.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Connor, do you have redirect for the panel?

MS. CONNOR: Just a couple questions.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
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BY MS. CONNOR:
Q Mr. Amaral, you were asked, actually, the whole panel was asked by Ms. Dandeneau whether or not your concerns regarding detail, both in terms of the identification and the impact on various species had been addressed, and you mentioned the Karner blues. But you didn't address the frosted elfin, the dusky skipper and the pine minion. Can you address whether, in fact, the Applicant has both identified those populations and addressed the impact of this project on those populations?

A (Amaral) Okay. Well, yes. My scope of work was to really focus in on impacts to Karner blue butterflies and wild lupine. And sort of on the coattails of wild lupine, you also pick up the ecological requirements of some of those other state-listed moths and butterflies that co-occur in the same habitat. You know, unfortunately, those, unlike the Karner blues, those species have no readily accessible species experts. And, because they do not enjoy federal listed status, there's been virtually no federal money available to state
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wildlife agencies to conduct research, needed research on those species.

So, there wasn't a lot of existing information that the Applicant was able to tap into in order to assess how the Project would affect them. And $I$ think perhaps a missed -there was a missed opportunity, where maybe some of that missing information could have been collected that would have allowed a more informative impact analysis.

Q Well, certainly, an inventory could have been done with regard to those species, could it not?

A (Amaral) Yes. I think, you know, any naturalist, anyone with biological training, could be trained to make a species identification for them.

Q And, Dr. Reynolds, you mentioned this morning that you still -- that you believe the Project will have an unreasonable impact upon the small-footed bat, and $I$ believe that is also tied back to the lack of identification and inventory with regard to the bat, is that correct?
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A (Reynolds) Listening to Mr. Amaral's response about the butterflies was the exact -- he worded it better than $I$ would have, but it's the same issue for the small-footed, because they're not granted the protection and the funding of a federally listed species. We know virtually nothing about them. And this was a missed opportunity. If they basically only looked at three sites, they made the argument in the Wildlife Report that the effort that they did for the northern bat was complementary to the small-footed bat. But, if you look at the actual small-footed bat report, they found more documentation of small-footed bats at the three sites that they sampled at than they found across the 208 sites that they sampled at with the northern. So, the surveying effort for the northerns does not complement that.

And, actually, in their Wildlife Report, they also stated that the distribution, and this was sort of pre-survey work, "the distribution of the small-footed bat species is as much a function of the distribution of survey efforts as a reflection of the
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distribution of the species." So, they knew you got to look for them, and they really didn't.

So, part of my concern and inability to say there would be no unreasonable adverse impact is because we just don't know enough about impact on this species, because they weren't looked at.

Q And am $I$ correct that in your report you noted that, although they found -- they are characterizing three locations as known locations, they erroneously eliminated up to 21 locations?

A (Reynolds) I wouldn't say "erroneously", they just -- it wasn't clear. That in the Wildife Report it says they were given 24 potential locations by the Heritage Bureau, and 21 were eliminated because they didn't -- they didn't meet both the summer and winter criteria for roost habitat. And why they lumped the two or what they used to distinguish those, short of -- I think there's a statement about "deep fissures", but none of the fissures were measured. So, 21 were eliminated qualitatively
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or visually, and then three were monitored.
Q And am I correct that, unlike perhaps the lynx, which apparently are quite rare in New Hampshire, are small-footed bats rare in New Hampshire?

A (Reynolds) Not once you start looking for them. I have a project that I've been doing in New Boston, New Hampshire, that we've sampled small-footed bats almost every year we've been there.

I've been on other projects, particularly development projects, throughout the Eastern U.S. And, when we come across habitat that looks like it's potential small-footed habitat, and we set up monitors, we found them and captured them. And I have colleagues in southern New Hampshire who can find them fairly easily, if they go to the right habitat.

So, yes. It's not the same scenario as the lynx, where this is a really rare bat, and the reason we're not finding them is because there just not abundant. This is probably the most abundant myotis bat -- I mean, I wouldn't say "probably", it is our most abundant myotis
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bat in the state at this moment. But, if you don't look where they live, you're not going to see them.

Q And what would need to be done in order to look for and sufficiently confirm where the small-footed bats may be along the length of this corridor?

A (Reynolds) You can do what the Fish \& Wildife recommended in 2013, which would be to do some JS-modeling for the habitat, which is work that Arrowwood did, and we submitted $I$ believe in our supplemental testimony, to identify rock features that are likely or a potential habitat, and then you go and monitor those sites. I would argue acoustically is better than just visually looking at it. And then, in the places where you're getting potential small-footed activity, you pursue those sites. And, if that survey location is not done, and small-footed bats are not searched for along the route, would you expect this Project to have direct mortality on the small-footed bats?

A (Reynolds) It's very possible, yes.
MS. CONNOR: Thank you. I have
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1
nothing further.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Thank you, gentlemen. I think you are excused. We appreciate your time.

Given the hour, I think we'll take an early lunch break, come back at one o'clock. Off the record.
(Lunch recess taken at 11:54
a.m. and concludes the Day 57

Morning Session. The hearing
continues under separate cover
in the transcript noted as
Day 57 Afternoon Session ONLY.)
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| 60/6 66/18 128/10 | ambiguity [1] | 7/12 7/15 11/10 |
| always [2] 72/17 | 74/14 | 20/18 24/18 45/22 |
| 90/15 | American [9] 68/5 | 115/8 115/8 126/10 |
| am [10] 3/7 20/5 | 68/8 68/10 68/11 | analyzed [1] 115/4 |
| 26/10 46/24 68/7 | 68/14 69/5 69/8 <br> 69/9 69/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { animal [4] 69/11 } \\ & 99 / 499 / 599 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 128/9 129/2 132/10 | AMM [17] 35/17 | 99/4 99/5 99/6 animals [3] 26/12 |
| 132/12 132/14 | 35/23 36/7 39/1 | 87/24 99/16 |
| $\underset{\text { AMA [2] 59/8 }}{\text { 93/19 }}$ | 40/17 42/10 56/20 | another [8] 4/3 |
| AMARAL [57] 2/5 | 62/15 64/13 65/10 | 23/8 55/1 60/12 |
| 3/13 7/11 7/14 7/20 | 65/11 93/19 98/6 | 86/6 113/3 117/8 |
| 7/21 8/13 8/21 8/24 | 101/16 104/13 | 122/18 |
| 9/19 10/1 10/15 | 113/21 116/5 | answer [7] 7/5 |


| A | 116/5 118/15 | 72/1 72/3 73/9 75/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| answer... [6] 14/15 | 118/21 119/9 | 75/4 75/5 75/7 |
| 14/24 19/15 64/6 | 126/14 132/11 | 75/18 78/5 82/12 |
| 64/21 121/16 | 132/13 | 83/5 84/22 95/12 |
| answerable [2] | anybody [1] 79/3 | 121/19 125/10 |
| 53/6 121/18 | anyone [5] 6/2 | 126/4 |
| answered [2] 65/23 | 49/10 70/19 85/3 | Applicant's [1] |
| 74/7 | 126/15 | 82/4 |
| answering [1] 55/5 | anyone's [1] 48/22 | Applicants [5] 4/9 |
| answers [1] 121/13 | anything [6] 84/24 | 44/14 51/22 54/7 |
| anticipate [1] | 86/5 92/6 102/24 | 54/10 |
| 113/10 | 109/13 124/19 | Applicants' [4] |
| anticipation [1] | anyways [1] 102/14 | 20/16 23/22 27/4 |
| 14/9 | AOT [1] 90/13 | 77/18 |
| any [57] 7/3 11/6 | apologies [1] 18/3 | application [3] |
| 11/7 15/16 19/15 | apologize [3] 4/10 | 21/17 31/22 |
| 22/4 22/5 22/8 | 102/8 102/13 | applies [1] 41/8 |
| 23/11 24/3 27/9 | APP [1] 2/22 | apply [1] 40/14 |
| 27/13 29/13 30/1 | apparent [2] 11/4 | appreciate [3] 6/9 |
| 30/24 31/13 31/19 | 15/9 | 53/9 131/4 |
| 32/14 32/22 33/12 | apparently [1] | approach [2] 73/9 |
| 34/12 34/22 40/18 | 129/3 | 102/22 |
| 40/22 41/3 43/2 | Appearances [1] | appropriate [14] |
| 43/6 45/22 48/20 | 1/23 | 26/18 35/2 39/7 |
| 49/1 51/12 53/19 | appears [1] 74/14 | 40/5 48/10 61/15 |
| 54/23 59/9 64/5 | Appendix [3] 27/3 | 64/15 65/18 77/10 |
| 67/16 74/1 79/4 | 33/19 33/23 | 101/16 114/17 |
| 95/11 100/23 | applicable [3] | 115/3 116/18 118/5 |
| 100/23 100/24 | 50/12 70/7 70/10 | appropriately [1] |
| 104/18 107/14 | Applicant [23] 6/8 | 63/13 |
| 108/1 108/7 109/4 | 15/21 18/14 50/10 | approval [4] 22/3 |
| 109/5 110/8 111/7 | 53/7 54/24 70/5 | 22/10 25/11 49/11 |

approved [4] 21/16 21/24 23/13 31/21 approximately [2] 12/17 26/24
April [5] 10/18 15/5 argument [1] 127/9 15/20 17/8 62/22 April 2017 [1] 15/5 arbiter [2] 72/4 72/6 archeological [1] 87/21
are [137]
area [31] 9/15 10/9 10/11 26/22 27/16 27/17 28/7 38/20 45/18 45/23 45/24 61/22 62/4 66/19 68/4 68/8 73/4 80/7 80/7 80/13 80/14 81/19 83/23 85/10 94/3 94/19 94/24 106/2 108/7 108/17 119/4
areas [27] 42/12 42/16 47/12 47/13 47/17 48/6 48/12 51/10 56/6 56/9 58/7 59/6 61/10 61/15 62/7 62/17 62/24 63/14 63/18 89/19 91/1 91/17

94/22 95/14 111/2 115/18 116/7 aren't [2] 59/4 96/19
argue [1] 130/15 arises [1] 72/3 Army [1] 84/5 around [7] 39/18 43/1 72/20 73/10 73/11 92/14 96/1 arranged [1] 72/21 Arrowwood [3] 85/18 85/20 130/11 as [84] 1/13 4/12 4/12 4/13 6/14 6/14 8/1 8/18 11/16
11/16 12/23 16/15 20/22 21/8 22/21
24/24 26/21 29/18 33/5 33/8 34/3 34/3 35/18 38/4 40/22 45/9 45/14 45/15 46/6 50/12 51/16 54/14 55/6 55/6 55/23 58/12 59/2 59/3 61/10 64/13 64/15 65/13 65/20 66/19 66/22 67/21 69/11 71/24 72/11 77/23 78/9 81/20 85/4 85/18 86/15

89/17 90/5 90/12 90/21 91/8 91/8 91/21 96/8 98/20 100/20 103/15 104/17 106/2 110/7 111/3 112/8 114/21 115/13 116/16
119/6 120/2 121/23
123/7 123/11
127/23 127/24
128/11 129/19

## 131/12

ask [22] 7/8 7/11
7/22 16/11 18/19
26/19 28/1 34/13
36/2 37/3 43/9
43/17 45/11 50/16
52/4 52/24 68/24
81/12 102/11
102/14 108/2
119/14
asked [7] 22/14
79/2 82/2 102/19
124/18 125/2 125/3
asking [4] 8/16
19/13 21/12 119/22
aspect [2] 86/1 89/3 assert [1] 52/19
assertion [1] 29/10 assess [3] 16/3 109/12 126/5 assessment [8]

| A | 46/5 46/8 | 79/15 86/18 86/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assessment... [8] | avoided [2] 85/9 | 93 |
| 20/16 20/18 28/22 | 93/11 | basic [1] 63/12 |
| 31/24 46/3 82/9 | avoiding [3] 32/10 | basically [5] 39/20 |
| 85/21 93/6 | 45/14 103/18 | 80/16 99/10 121/4 |
| assigned [1] 87/9 | aware [16] 11/22 | 127/8 |
| associated [1] | 20/1 22/3 26/10 | basis [1] 113/11 |
| 69/24 | 26/22 28/16 31/13 | bat [43] 5/18 5/19 |
| assume [10] 12/20 | 43/2 43/6 44/7 | 6/7 6/16 25/13 |
| 56/23 64/4 73/18 | 44/10 46/10 48/20 | 25/14 25/22 34/15 |
| 76/1 77/3 77/8 83/2 | 49/1 49/21 78/10 | 35/6 36/9 37/3 |
| 116/15 118/12 | away [4] 59/21 | 37/12 37/15 37/20 |
| assumption [2] | 59/24 89/14 97/21 | 38/3 38/12 38/16 |
| 118/8 118/10 | B | 38/16 41/18 41/23 <br> 43/2 104/11 104/21 |
| attach [1] 114/10 | back [18] 8/10 | 110/4 111/24 112/2 |
| attention [1] 34/6 | 13/15 19/11 19/17 | 112/15 113/9 |
| attorney [2] 132/10 | 26/13 26/17 49/11 | 113/14 113/19 |
| 132/13 | 61/12 66/6 70/2 | 114/3 115/19 |
| ATV [1] 70/6 | 70/16 70/24 71/9 | 117/16 118/1 |
| August [1] 18/6 | 80/4 80/16 93/12 | 126/21 126/23 |
| author [1] 11/4 | 126/22 131/6 | 127/11 127/12 |
| authority [5] 53/4 | backseat [1] 66/8 | 127/13 127/22 |
| 120/14 121/4 121/7 | Bailey [3] 1/14 2/14 | 129/20 129/23 |
| 123/2 | 101/7 | 130/1 |
| available [5] 44/19 | bald [1] 59/1 | Bates [5] 32/5 |
| 98/8 102/18 118/23 | Barrens [1] 11/6 | 33/23 35/24 47/7 |
| 125/24 | barrier [2] 90/5 | 51/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { avoid }[10] 9 / 22 \\ & 10 / 415 / 1084 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | 90/20 | bats [35] 26/8 26/16 |
| 84/24 89/13 92/7 |  | 33/17 34/19 37/21 |
| 92/9 101/21 107/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { based [12] 15/8 } \\ & 16 / 22 ~ 16 / 22 ~ 28 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | 38/7 39/12 40/1 |
| avoidance [3] 30/21 | 16/22 16/22 28/5 | 40/2 41/7 41/9 |


| B | becomes [1] 25/22 | being [16] 35/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bats... [24] 41/11 | bedding [1] 89/18 | 38/22 53/5 61/22 |
| 96/12 96/18 96/19 | been [54] 4/20 7/6 | 63/20 86/13 102/8 |
| 96/24 97/22 98/10 | 10/1 10/1 10/4 | 102/19 107/9 |
| 104/6 111/23 113/2 | 10/20 13/8 17/21 | 107/12 107/15 |
| 113/13 114/8 114/9 | 19/5 20/3 21/5 22/6 | 108/8 109/11 117/6 |
| 114/10 115/24 | 22/8 23/13 27/15 | 117/11 120/22 |
| 117/21 119/8 119/9 | 30/8 35/19 38/8 | believe [29] 10/3 |
| 127/14 129/4 129/9 | 46/2 48/17 48/21 | 12/12 14/6 16/22 |
| 130/6 130/20 | 56/7 63/6 65/14 | 22/4 28/2 28/6 |
| 130/22 | 65/18 65/19 66/18 | 33/12 36/4 37/15 |
| be [195] | 66/20 66/20 76/9 | 48/8 48/22 53/20 |
| bear [2] 34/3 50/17 | 77/15 77/20 79/2 | 53/24 58/20 59/10 |
| beast [1] 120/19 | 79/3 83/20 89/7 | 59/19 59/22 64/4 |
| beat [1] 87/1 | 90/1 95/19 98/1 | 65/9 70/12 79/15 |
| because [43] 16/11 | 99/16 103/15 | 90/12 95/19 101/4 |
| 19/13 25/17 26/19 | 111/24 113/5 | 121/17 126/19 |
| 28/1 36/2 37/20 | 119/15 120/11 | 126/21 130/11 |
| 42/18 66/14 69/10 | 121/22 124/18 | below [2] 9/21 |
| 70/24 72/16 72/21 | 125/6 125/23 126/9 | 117/19 |
| 82/2 85/1 86/10 | 126/11 129/7 129/9 | benefit [2] 13/1 |
| 88/12 88/23 91/13 | 129/11 | 60/5 |
| 92/20 93/5 93/24 | before [12] 3/24 | benefits [2] 12/19 |
| 94/16 96/19 97/11 | 4/18 5/13 29/18 | 13/22 |
| 98/2 108/2 108/12 | 76/22 76/22 78/18 | best [12] 7/10 32/5 |
| 115/4 115/6 118/19 | 80/7 84/18 109/1 | 73/9 74/15 82/4 |
| 119/10 119/19 | 113/6 115/1 | 82/7 86/23 87/17 |
| 120/24 121/7 123/7 | begin [1] 108/13 | 105/16 116/1 116/9 |
| 123/20 125/22 | beginning [1] 29/1 | 132/7 |
| 127/4 128/6 128/7 | begins [2] 4/9 80/8 | better [5] 39/14 |
| 128/18 129/21 | behalf [1] 83/5 | 107/15 118/10 |
| become [1] 60/18 | behind [1] 68/12 | 127/3 130/15 |

between [7] 13/12 21/10 72/3 84/17 95/19 95/20 115/7 beyond [4] 28/4 29/11 82/18 96/7 big [3] 78/13 80/13 88/18
biological [16] 3/22 4/8 4/17 4/21 5/22 7/12 7/18 9/1 11/3
17/18 36/21 38/8 39/8 47/22 75/21 126/15
biologically [1] 39/6
bit [5] 3/21 8/14
11/2 36/3 105/22
Blanding [1] 107/8
Blanding's [4] 89/6 105/12 106/14 106/17
blasted [1] 96/16 blasting [12] 42/20 96/11 96/12 96/22 97/13 97/16 97/17 98/7 110/4 115/1 115/3 117/12
blasts [1] 97/20
blooms [1] 76/9
blow [1] 32/6
blowing [1] 121/5
blue [28] 7/9 8/3
9/5 12/19 13/2
13/13 16/1 16/3
16/8 17/15 56/11
56/21 58/1 58/23
65/12 65/20 66/9
66/9 67/11 74/7
75/14 76/3 93/17
94/2 94/21 103/10
107/21 125/15
blues [4] 76/5 76/12 125/7 125/20
BMP [1] 35/17
BMPs [2] 42/23 105/21
boss [1] 122/15
Boston [1] 129/8
botanist [1] 51/2
both [7] 37/1 65/9
92/11 92/19 125/4 125/10 128/19
bother [1] 107/10
bottom [2] 12/13 47/12
boundaries [2]
61/20 61/23
break [2] 71/8 131/6
Brennan [1] 1/20
Brief [1] 8/7
briefly [1] 7/22
brings [1] 74/20
broad [1] 87/14 broader [3] 76/15 76/15 101/2
brook [1] 111/9
brought [1] 84/16 brows [1] 60/7 brush [2] 60/3 63/2 budgets [1] 53/12 buffer [11] 36/19 37/2 43/1 43/14
43/17 45/6 45/8
56/22 64/8 64/15
98/2
build [3] 86/6 90/10 95/4
building [1] 90/8 built [5] 42/14
76/24 89/17 89/21 100/1
bullet [2] 41/7 43/22
burden [1] 84/23
Bureau [6] 20/7
21/23 22/5 26/6 103/24 128/17
bureaucratic [1]
82/23
burial [4] 109/18 109/23 109/24 110/4
buried [1] 109/17
burying [1] 110/10

| B | 101/21 104/23 | cause [4] 9/3 9/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business [1] 1/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104/23 109/9 } \\ & \text { 109/11 109/21 } \end{aligned}$ | 9/13 124/4 <br> caution [1] 37/17 |
| butterflies [5] 16/1 | 109/11 109/21 | cave [1] 98/16 |
| 76/6 125/15 125/18 | 112/20 116/13 | cave [1] 98/16 caveat [1] 75/16 |
| 127/2 | 117/2 117/15 | caveat [1] 75/16 |
| butterfly [14] 7/9 | 118/23 119/3 120/7 | caves [1] 96/21 |
| 8/4 9/5 12/19 13/2 | 121/7 121/8 121/21 | certain [6] 23/17 |
| 17/15 65/12 75/14 | 122/5 125/9 129/17 | 24/10 24/13 25/8 |
| 75/23 76/3 93/17 | 130/8 | 41/2 120/6 |
| 94/2 94/21 103/10 | can't [7] 22/9 43/8 | certainly [14] 8/24 |
| C | 64/14 81/10 118/16 | 9/7 28/16 45/7 |
| call [2] 28/20 91/11 | Canada [8] 5/17 | 82/24 93/15 94/12 |
| called [1] 87/20 | 47/20 48/2 48/17 | 99/20 109/8 110/11 |
| calls [3] 115/6 | 48/20 48/23 62/13 | 126/11 |
| 115/6 115/12 | 99/17 | certificate [7] 1/10 |
| came [2] 105/21 | cannot [1] 41/9 | 23/11 77/9 94/18 |
| 118/22 | capture [1] 112/8 | 100/11 105/14 |
| can [61] 5/6 5/7 | captured [1] | 109/17 |
| 7/19 7/21 12/12 | 129/16 | certified [1] 50/11 |
| 12/12 13/19 17/13 | Carbonneau [2] | certify [2] 132/4 |
| 18/16 24/15 28/23 | 31/18 81/20 | 132/10 |
| 29/5 34/6 39/13 | Caron [1] 1/20 | chain [2] 55/4 |
| 39/21 39/22 41/7 | case [7] 4/4 4/8 | 121/12 |
| 41/22 50/2 50/14 | 11/13 44/7 69/21 | chainsawing [2] |
| 50/15 52/16 57/17 | 74/15 132/14 | 59/21 60/1 |
| 60/5 60/15 60/16 | cases [3] 61/17 | Chairman [2] |
| 60/17 60/22 63/13 | 78/20 90/6 | 55/15 85/16 |
| 69/11 69/15 71/6 | categorized [2] | challenge [1] 121/2 |
| 72/12 76/17 76/18 | 33/5 33/8 | chance [1] 50/18 |
| 77/1 91/9 94/12 | caterpillars [1] | change [1] 64/5 |
| 94/23 95/8 99/24 | 76/4 | changed [1] 98/21 |


| C | 1/7 | 88/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| changes [8] 15/11 | classify [1] 115/5 | ortabl |
| 18/10 18/20 21/4 | clean [1] 4/13 | 56/23 102/21 10 |
| 56/7 108/3 108/5 | clear [4] 40/1 70/15 | 105/8 111/13 |
| 108/6 | 114/22 128/15 | coming [4] 47/9 |
| characteristics [1] | clearing [5] 51/2 | 63/8 88/14 119/2 |
| 13/12 | 51/8 59/8 59/12 | Comm [2] 1/13 |
| characterizing | 59/17 | 1/1 |
| 128/11 | climate [1] 64/4 | command [1] 55/5 |
| chart [1] 87/7 | climbing [1] 89/13 | commence [3] |
| check [2] 55/4 | close [5] 81/9 91/9 | 120/7 123/17 |
| 122/8 | 99/19 99/23 99/24 | 123/20 |
| Chenell [1] 95/1 | Cmsr [2] 1/14 2/14 | comment [3] 4 |
| choose [1] 66/16 | co [1] 125/19 | 109/14 110/8 |
| choosing [1] 67/11 | co-occur [1] 12 | comment |
| Christopher [1] | coal [2] 117/3 11 | 102/17 |
| 1/15 | coattails [1] 125/1 | comments [2] |
| Chrmn [1] 1/13 | coded [1] 51/1 | 49/5 |
| circle [3] 19/11 | coexist [2] 112/19 | Commissioner [1] |
| 19/17 70/24 | 113/2 | 101/6 |
| circumstance [1] | collapse [1] 98/16 | commitment [7] |
| 73/24 | collapsed [2] 76/11 | 13/5 15/11 15/15 |
| circumstances | 98/11 | 17/10 74/21 75/17 |
| 92/12 | colleagues [1] | 103/12 |
| cite [1] 52/16 | 129/16 | committed [2] 13/9 |
| cited [1] 11/15 | collected [1] 126/9 | 70/6 |
|  | collection [2] 31/1 | COMMITTEE |
| clarification [2] | 51/17 | 1/2 1/12 4/4 19/19 |
| 24/15 67/19 | come [8] 28/17 55/7 | 19/20 19/23 22/19 |
| clarified [1] 113/18 | 71/9 73/14 86/17 | 23/1 23/10 55/13 |
| clarify [3] 6/6 83/22 | 103/2 129/13 131/6 | 55/23 66/2 70/20 |
| $121 / 21$ | comes [2] 57/3 | 71/1 71/9 71/14 |



| C | consideration [3] | 82/1 85/23 85/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| confidence... [2] | 83/4 85/2 104/2 | 86/15 88/14 90/3 |
| 119/4 119/9 | considerations [2] | 91/15 92/1 95/21 |
| confident [3] 35/1 | 28/14 29/13 | 102/21 103/13 |
| 48/4 118/17 | considered [2] | 103/20 103/22 |
| confidential [1] | 34/20 46/5 | 106/8 107/2 107/6 |
| 18/8 | considering [2] | 107/24 108/16 |
| confined [1] 84/7 | 19/20 28/11 | 109/1 110/12 |
| confirm [3] 117/15 | constraints [4] | 114/14 114/15 |
| 117/17 130/5 | 28/11 28/17 30/1 | 114/21 115/20 |
| confirming [1] | 30/2 | 115/23 116/4 116/8 |
| 117/24 | construct [2] 96/1 | 118/16 118/21 |
| conflict [1] 72/2 | 118/4 | 120/7 121/3 123/16 |
| Connor [6] 2/8 36 | constructability [1] | 123/19 124/2 |
| 57/21 70/22 96/11 | 96/3 | 124/10 |
| 124/21 | constructing [1] | consultant [1] 4/20 |
| consequences [1] | 108/11 | consultation [6] |
| 82/19 | construction [77] | 11/14 75/5 75/8 |
| conservation [1] | 12/9 15/6 15/12 | 103/23 104/15 |
| 12/16 | 15/22 17/12 30/11 | 115/11 |
| conservative [1] | 34/22 35/2 35/3 | contention [2] |
| 104/20 | 39/19 39/23 40/1 | 28/10 28/15 |
| conserving [1] | 40/5 41/2 41/5 | context [2] 35/2 |
| 13/22 | 41/19 41/24 42/7 | 110/3 |
| consider [14] 4/5 | 42/9 42/11 42/20 | contiguous [3] |
| 6/22 19/23 22/19 | 51/9 52/3 59/11 | 42/21 97/17 97/21 |
| 29/9 29/17 30/20 | 59/15 66/16 73/10 | Continuation [1] |
| 57/16 58/7 74/2 | 74/15 74/22 77/18 | 3/10 |
| 84/12 100/19 101/1 | 78/17 78/19 78/21 | continue [1] 3/9 |
| 108/13 | 79/7 79/9 79/11 | continued [3] 2/7 |
| considerable [2] | 79/14 80/7 80/22 81/2 81/9 81/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8/3 8/19 } \\ & \text { continues [1] } \end{aligned}$ |


| C | 47/15 48/15 49/2 | 107/23 110/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| continues... [1] | 49/19 50/13 52/13 | 110/11 114/20 |
| 131/11 | 52/14 52/21 53/16 | 115/15 124/11 |
| continuing [1] 3/3 | 57/7 62/17 63/24 | 126/8 126/11 |
| contractor [5] 87/9 | 64/10 64/11 73/4 | 126/12 126/16 |
| 87/10 88/10 91/23 | 77/7 80/9 81/4 | couldn't [5] 53/20 |
| 92/24 | 99/12 99/21 112/1 | 54/1 70/17 73/13 |
| contractors [3] | 126/24 128/9 129/2 | 84/17 |
| 51/8 78/8 88/14 | correctly [2] 43/14 | counsel [8] 1/20 3/4 |
| control [7] 73/19 | 84/1 | 18/5 18/14 18/23 |
| 73/20 87/18 88/2 | corridor [4] 94/23 | 118/22 132/11 |
| 88/3 90/14 109/12 | 109/6 121/24 130/7 | 132/14 |
| coordinate [1] | corroborate [1] | country [2] 46/19 |
| 39/17 | 27/11 | 63/7 |
| copy [3] 18/11 19/6 | corrupted [1] 58 | couple [6] 73/7 74/9 |
| 64/23 | cost [1] 123/4 | 82/3 92/12 105/23 |
| cordon [1] 91/1 | costs [1] 13/24 | 124/23 |
| corporation [1] | could [52] 10/7 | course [1] 63/5 |
| 122/2 | 13/18 18/2 27/19 | COURT [4] 1/24 |
| correct [64] 4/6 4/7 | 28/6 29/3 29/12 | 37/7 132/4 132/1 |
| 5/4 5/5 5/11 5/20 | 32/2 32/18 33/21 | cover [3] 74/19 |
| 5/24 6/1 6/17 9/18 | 33/23 35/22 38/18 | 108/3 131/11 |
| 11/1 17/22 20/18 | 39/23 40/2 42/4 | covered [2] 59/2 |
| 20/19 21/1 21/18 | 42/22 43/19 44/12 | 93/20 |
| 22/12 22/13 22/18 | 44/16 44/22 47/4 | coyote [1] 69/14 |
| 27/18 27/19 28/8 | 47/5 48/5 50/6 | Craig [1] 1/15 |
| 30/15 31/4 31/8 | 53/15 55/19 60/11 | crane [3] 89/16 |
| 32/1 33/16 34/15 | 65/23 68/13 80/15 | 89/21 91/16 |
| 35/7 35/8 37/12 | 85/8 85/10 86/23 | create [2] 90/19 |
| 39/15 42/12 42/13 | 88/3 93/16 95/3 | 107/3 |
| 45/6 45/21 46/16 | 95/23 96/24 105/13 | created [1] 111/6 |
| 46/17 46/20 47/14 | 105/18 106/10 | creates [1] 69/3 |


creating [1] 106/18 creation [1] 106/10 crevice [2] 97/8 98/17
crevices [6] 39/12 41/20 42/1 96/21 97/5 98/8
crew [1] 116/13
criteria [6] 24/17 24/20 24/24 46/11 100/19 128/19
criticism [1] 31/1 criticized [1] 31/5 cross [4] 2/7 3/11 96/10 111/2
cross-examination
[2] 2/7 3/11
curious [2] 37/14 71/24
current [5] 10/24 59/7 64/9 64/23 74/12
currently [5] 13/6 61/9 62/9 78/2 111/3
cut [2] 60/7 63/11 cutting [1] 60/11

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 81 / 15 \\ \text { damage [1] 94/3 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { December [2] } \\ 74 / 16112 / 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dandeneau [5] | December 21 [1] |
| 1/17 2/15 101/24 | 74/16 |
| 2/7 125/3 | decision [6] 4/6 |
| dark [1] 67/13 | 24/21 66/21 72/9 |
| data [11] 31/1 33/3 | 74/23 75/2 |
| 33/5 33/7 34/9 | deep [3] 69/13 |
| 37/21 38/1 112/ | 69/16 128/22 |
| 112/7 112/13 | deer [26] 56/9 59/5 |
| 118/12 | 59/21 59/24 60/2 |
| date [2] 94/13 | 60/9 60/13 60/15 |
| 132/7 | 60/15 60/19 60/20 |
| dated [1] 56/20 | 60/20 60/22 60/24 |
| dates [1] 62/15 | 61/4 61/9 61/12 |
| Dawn [17] 7/19 8/9 | 61/15 61/22 62/3 |
| 13/16 32/2 32/18 | 62/3 62/6 62/7 62/9 |
| 33/21 35/22 38/17 | 62/10 62/22 |
| 43/19 44/12 44/15 | defective [2] 23/21 |
| 44/22 47/5 47/8 | 24/3 |
| 49/12 50/6 51/22 | defense [1] 88/4 |
| day [10] 1/3 3/3 | definitive [1] 73/ |
| 80/5 80/7 81/4 91/5 | delays [2] 124/4 |
| 115/1 123/18 131/9 | 124/14 |
| 131/13 | den [1] 49/1 |
| days [3] 93/22 | denning [8] 48/7 |
| 94/12 119/2 | 48/12 48/14 62/17 |
| dB [1] 117/9 | 62/24 63/4 63/14 |
| deal [3] 25/21 49/22 | 63/18 |
| 49/23 | dens [3] 48/17 |
| dealing [1] 4 | 48/20 48 |
| deals [1] 44/16 | Department [3] |

Department... [3] 5/12 5/14 48/1 depend [3] 79/7 79/13 80/12 depending [2] 97/6 110/9
depends [3] 110/19 122/6 122/7
Dept [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16
depth [2] 59/7 59/10
DES [14] 20/7
21/15 22/10 23/15
31/21 32/3 49/11
72/10 72/16 73/22
74/3 75/5 83/8 100/20
DES's [3] 32/23 33/14 73/18
described [1] 16/13 description [2] 10/24 11/9
design [10] 10/21
15/10 21/4 21/14 29/24 30/2 46/11 85/1 86/1 98/18 designated [1] 46/6 Designee [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16 desktop [1] 119/23

Despite [1] 34/8 detail [3] 102/18 102/20 125/4 details [3] 31/24 103/1 104/3 detect [1] 105/6 deter [2] 89/22 90/4 determination [5] 9/2 22/21 30/3 67/8 111/14
determinations [4] 4/5 19/24 22/20 55/2
determine [2]
65/15 113/15
determined [1]
5/15
determining [1]
24/17
detrimental [1]
34/12
developed [2] 13/7 48/10
development [3]
34/11 86/4 129/12
development-relate
d [1] 86/4
dice [1] 66/13
did [31] 25/16
25/21 28/8 29/9
29/13 29/16 30/1
30/4 33/7 36/2

37/13 38/2 43/14 45/8 45/22 45/22 46/8 58/1 62/4 64/19 74/9 83/24 84/2 92/6 92/8
95/11 104/6 106/21 118/20 127/11 130/11
didn't [19] 6/24
12/11 27/11 33/6
37/24 39/11 83/16
83/16 86/17 94/1
94/3 96/2 100/17
102/17 109/12
125/7 128/3 128/18
128/18
difference [2] 84/17 88/18
different [26] 20/22
21/16 23/14 23/24
24/7 24/8 24/9 25/2
28/13 29/10 39/14 40/10 40/13 49/18
49/18 50/19 50/21
52/12 54/3 54/5
67/2 68/4 100/18
101/19 102/18
115/17
differentiated [1] 69/9
differently [1] 54/24
differs [1] 67/10 difficult [7] 62/18 63/17 97/12 118/1 122/14 123/16 123/19
dig [2] 70/2 96/14 digested [1] 71/23 Dir [2] 1/15 2/11 direct [9] 19/12 34/6 42/11 42/15 49/9 61/6 85/5 107/7 130/22
directed [2] 7/10 43/10
direction [2] 45/12 74/13
directional [1] 109/23
directly [1] 69/7 dirt [1] 96/15
disagree [10] 6/2 6/5 6/15 7/3 27/9
31/19 32/14 32/23
33/14 41/14
disagreement [2]
22/6 23/3
disagreements [1]

## 22/17

discuss [1] 51/6
discussing [1] 9/17 discussion [6] 8/7

19/11 76/11 76/13 77/15 77/20
discussions [3]
19/17 76/10 77/22
disease [1] 60/17 displaced [1] 60/14 displacement [1] 59/20
disregard [1] 23/1 distance [3] 44/3
58/10 59/24
distinguish [1]
128/21
distribution [5]
29/20 127/20
127/22 127/23
128/1
distrust [1] 27/12
disturb [1] 48/11
disturbs [1] 63/3
do [97] 4/13 4/21
6/2 7/3 8/20 8/22
10/3 10/15 11/12
13/5 13/5 14/1 14/3
14/6 14/12 18/11
19/16 21/3 22/4
25/2 26/6 27/9
30/13 30/24 31/19
32/14 32/22 33/14
35/9 37/15 37/17
39/18 39/19 39/21
39/22 40/8 40/18

44/18 46/4 48/2 48/14 48/16 48/17 48/19 53/1 53/19 57/11 57/18 62/4 62/12 63/21 66/1 66/11 70/22 73/22 73/22 79/4 82/8
85/14 85/15 85/20
85/23 89/18 90/14
93/1 94/3 95/10
104/15 105/14
105/18 107/8 108/1
108/7 109/4 109/18
111/6 112/15
112/15 112/18
113/2 113/10
113/20 114/4
114/16 116/9
116/12 117/20
118/1 118/24
120/14 121/17
123/3 124/21
125/22 130/8 130/9
132/4
DOCKET [1] 1/7
document [5] 2/22 5/1 11/5 45/7 119/3
documentation [2] 114/2 127/14
documented [1] 98/1
documents [5] 2/17

| D | 67/4 67/10 67/10 | door [2] 81/8 91/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| documents... [4] | 68/11 68/12 70/6 | DOT [1] 89/4 |
| 18/8 57/8 57/11 | 72/5 72/16 75/1 | down [9] 11/18 |
| 114/1 | 77/12 77/17 79/3 | 44/22 69/18 79/10 |
| DOE [1] 11/11 | 79/19 81/13 83/8 | 81/3 83/1 97/18 |
| does [17] 17/13 | 83/9 84/22 87/19 | 108/6 116/18 |
| 27/5 34/16 34/16 | 89/12 89/12 91/22 | Dr. [1] 126/18 |
| 41/11 45/7 55/16 | 93/23 94/16 97/10 | Dr. Reynolds [1] |
| 75/21 85/22 101/17 | 98/14 99/18 99/18 | 126/18 |
| 107/10 113/21 | 100/5 104/12 | drew [1] 119/18 |
| 120/12 120/13 | 104/16 104/17 | drilling [1] 109/23 |
| 120/14 123/15 | 105/7 105/16 106/3 | Drive [4] 94/24 |
| 127/18 | 106/6 106/13 | 95/1 95/2 104/5 |
| doesn't [3] 90/19 | 107/13 109/14 | due [1] 96/12 |
| 93/2 93/2 | 111/13 112/20 | dug [1] 111/12 |
| doing [16] 41/2 | 116/15 116/20 | during [23] 15/13 |
| 41/5 42/5 74/21 | 116/21 121/3 | 59/17 60/5 60/8 |
| 88/1 88/10 88/14 | 121/15 123/23 | 62/21 69/16 69/17 |
| 105/24 107/24 | 124/5 128/6 130/2 | 70/8 76/10 77/17 |
| 114/23 114/24 | done [29] 29/4 44/1 | 83/7 91/14 94/7 |
| 116/5 117/7 118/5 | 54/16 63/14 65/14 | 94/9 103/3 103/20 |
| 121/2 129/7 | 65/19 66/12 73/5 | 106/8 110/12 |
| dollars [1] 123/5 | 73/6 80/18 84/23 | 114/14 115/20 |
| don't [75] 6/5 6/15 | 85/10 86/4 93/19 | 115/22 116/7 |
| 11/14 12/12 15/1 | 104/10 108/8 | 117/12 |
| 16/5 27/1 28/19 | 108/21 113/16 | dusky [1] 125/8 |
| 33/1 33/11 35/11 | 114/20 115/2 | duskywing [1] 65/7 |
| 35/19 36/10 46/13 | 115/24 116/3 117/7 | duties [1] 113/9 |
| 47/21 48/22 55/22 | 119/19 120/10 | dwarf [1] 5/18 |
| 56/12 56/16 59/22 | 121/8 126/12 130/4 | E |
| $62 / 363 / 763 / 11$ 64/6 64/24 67/3 | 130/19 Donovan [1] 1/4 | e-mail [1] 18/16 |


| $\mathbf{E}$ | 110/2 | 114/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| each [3] 35/19 81/2 | effort [7] 15/9 90/8 | employed [3] 89 |
| 87/6 | 104/7 104/10 | 132/11 132/14 |
| eagle [1] 59/1 | 123/10 127/10 | employee [1] |
| eared [9] 5/18 | 127/17 | 132/13 |
| 25/13 34/15 35/6 | efforts [4] 10/3 | employing [1] |
| 38/12 104/8 111/24 | 15/18 89/7 127/2 | 106/1 |
| 112/9 113/14 | eggs [4] 16/3 16/6 | encapsulate [1] |
| earlier [2] 56/4 | 67/12 76/6 | 90/16 |
| 120/3 | either [13] 5/9 | encompasses [1] |
| early [4] 27/8 61/21 | 11/10 22/9 26/7 | 106/4 |
| 108/14 131/6 | 53/7 69/6 72/12 | end [4] 17/5 64/2 |
| earth [1] 90/11 | 75/4 83/12 86/1 | 66/21 74/17 |
| easily [1] 129/18 | 109/6 111/2 117/18 | endangered [5] 5/4 |
| eastern [4] 36/8 | elapsed [1] 61/18 | 5/9 51/6 65/8 65 |
| 69/14 111/9 129/12 | Electronically [1] | energy [5] 1/10 |
| easy [2] 4/12 | 1/6 | 5/12 5/14 48/1 60/7 |
| 120/23 | elevation [5] 47/12 | enforcement [1] |
| ecological [1] | 47/13 47/17 68/7 | 82/21 |
| 125/17 | 68/13 | engineer [4] 28/19 |
| Economic [1] | elevations [1] 69/19 | 29/19 95/23 97/15 |
| edge [2] 89/9 99/2 | elfin [8] 65/7 66/8 | engineering [2] |
| effect [19] 6/22 | 66/11 66/14 67/1 | 28/17 29/22 |
| 9/16 14/8 14/14 | 67/7 76/12 125/8 | enjoy [1] 125/23 |
| 15/8 17/1 17/15 | eliminated [5] | enough [8] 21/15 |
| 19/21 22/23 23/17 | 30/13 66/20 128/12 | 53/15 102/17 103/7 |
| 23/24 24/13 25/8 | 128/18 128/24 | 105/3 105/17 |
| 35/4 37/11 42/23 | eliminates [1] | 121/15 128/6 |
| 59/20 61/5 67/9 | 68/21 | ensued [1] 8/8 |
| effective [1] 68/16 | else [4] 49/10 70/19 | ensure [1] 74/3 |
| effects [5] 9/23 <br> 10/18 60/19 61/2 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 / 7124 / 19 \\ & \text { emergence [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ensuring [1] } 116 / 19$ entire [1] 58/10 |


| $\mathbf{E}$ | equipment [2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entity [1] 55/1 | 28/12 116/15 | everything [2] 29/5 |
| Environ [1] 1/15 | erosion [5] 73/20 87/18 88/2 88/3 | 79/16 |
| environment [2] 19/22 22/24 | 90/14 | 91/21 95 |
| environmental [57] | erroneously [2] | exact [2] 42/1 |
| 20/17 49/6 49/22 | 128/12 128/14 | 127/2 |
| 51/24 51/5 51/13 | error [1] 83/12 | actly |
| 51/14 52/9 54/6 | escapes [1] 69/12 | examination [4] |
| 54/10 54/14 55/7 | especially [3] 62/5 | 2/8 3/11 12 |
| 77/14 77/19 77/2 | 89/5 100/1 | example [3] 60/10 |
| 78 | Esq [1] 1/20 | 80/13 100/21 |
| 78/12 78/16 79/17 | essentially [2] 5/1 | exceeded [1] |
| 80/3 80/5 81/21 | 23/19 | 116/24 |
| 82/5 82/7 82/13 | estimate [1] 79/4 | exceeds [1] 117/14 |
| 82/16 82/20 87/2 | estimated [1] 91/21 | excellent [2] 13/1 |
| 87/8 87/13 87/20 | ethos [2] 123/2 | 109/4 |
| 88/19 90/12 100/8 | 123/6 | excluding [1] 106 |
| 100/12 100/15 | evaluate [1] 102/18 | excuse [2] 62/23 |
| 113/5 113/ | EVALUATION [3] | 115/19 |
| $7113 / 20$ | 1/2 1/12 19/18 | excused [1] 131/3 |
| 19/14 | even [11] 12/3 16/1 | exhibit [10] 2/21 |
| 119/15 120/1 | 18/10 23/4 23/21 | 4/9 27/4 32/3 33/2 |
| 120/16 120/23 | 24/9 24/11 88/9 | 35/23 44/14 47/ |
| 121/22 121/23 | 97/9 100/24 111 | 49/13 |
| 122/3 122/3 | event [1] 72/7 | Exhibit 124a [1] |
| 122/16 123/1 | ever [3] 11/5 43/4 | 47/7 |
| 24/12 | 98/4 | Exhibit 199 [1] |
| environment | Eversource [2] 1/9 | 51/23 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 109/19 } \\ & \text { envisioned [1] 78/2 }\end{aligned}\right.$ | 11/10 <br> every [4] 63/24 <br> 77/1 91/5 129/9 | Exhibit 75 [2] 32/3 49/13 |


| E | 74/20 74/23 | federal [5] 4/3 31/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exist [1] 86/11 | extinction [2] 9/4 $9 / 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 5125 / 23 \\ & 25 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8/19 | eyes [2] 105/19 | federally [5] 5/3 5/9 |
| existi | 121/4 | 35/5 65/8 127/6 |
| 2/3 110/19 126/3 | F | feel [12] 76/18 |
| expect [2] 12/16 | facilities [2] 122/11 | 84/22 102/21 103/4 |
| 130/21 | 122/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103/7 105/2 105/8 } \\ & 106 / 13109 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| expectations [1] | Facility [1] 1/10 | 109/18 111/13 |
| 78/15 | fact [6] 19/19 31/21 | 121/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { experience [10] } \\ & 16 / 2063 / 585 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 60/2 83/12 92/20 | feeling [4] 94/16 |
| 16/20 83/15 88/12 120/9 | 125/9 | 94/17 96/21 97/23 |
| 120/10 120/12 | failing [1] 82/12 | feet [30] 10/7 11/19 |
| 120/22 121/10 | fair [5] 21/2 21/15 | 11/23 12/5 13/24 |
| expert [3] 18/12 | 45/16 52/9 114/13 | 14/5 26/24 27/10 |
| 64/15 72/17 | fairly [5] 7/15 | 27/15 28/5 29/12 |
| expertise [2] | 98/18 108/11 | 32/10 32/12 43/23 |
| 114/10 116/14 | 114/12 129/17 | 44/1 44/3 44/5 <br> 56/22 57/3 58/13 |
| experts [1] 125/22 | faith [1] 73/22 | 69/11 91/21 91/22 |
| explain [2] 14/23 | familiar [1] 57/5 | 96/15 96/17 97/19 |
| 37/24 | familiarity [1] 11/6 | 97/21 98/3 99/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { explained [4] 20/14 } \\ & \mathbf{3 4 / 2 3 5 / 1 1} 37 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | far [7] 6/14 11/16 | 117/4 |
| exposed [2] 97/18 | 105/16 124/1 | felt [3] 48/4 82/3 |
| 119/3 | farther [1] 97/9 | $105 / 5$ <br> female [1] 76/5 |
| expressed [3] 44/2 | feathers [4] 65/2 | fence [3] 90/16 |
| 82/6 105/11 | 65/4 65/5 115/17 | 90/19 91/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 108 / 15 \\ & \text { extensive [1] } 7 / 15 \\ & \text { extent [3] 46/4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { features [5] 75/22 } \\ 75 / 2476 / 1104 / 1 \\ 130 / 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fencing [2] 103/16 } \\ & 106 / 1 \\ & \text { few [5] 43/9 47/20 } \end{aligned}$ |

few... [3] 48/24
85/18 111/22
fewer [1] 79/10 field [8] 62/2 86/2 88/1 93/1 116/13 116/14 120/1 120/13
figure [3] 27/6 27/7 27/10
file [1] 102/10
filed [1] 1/6
final [4] 72/9 98/22
100/4 100/6
financial [1] 82/22 financially [1]
132/14
find [20] 12/20
17/13 33/4 39/22
39/24 60/12 60/22
70/17 86/24 95/11
99/22 99/24 100/2 107/4 107/5 112/17 114/7 117/19 119/2 129/17
find it [1] 112/17 finding [11] 6/3 6/5 8/22 15/5 32/6 32/18 32/24 58/3 60/9 83/12 129/21 findings [11] 6/15 7/4 16/24 19/23

22/15 22/20 23/1
32/23 33/14 33/19
83/8
111/9 113/24

## 115/11 130/8

fisher [3] 69/14 69/15 69/23
fissures [2] 128/23 128/23
firm [6] 52/20 53/1
53/11 53/17 75/17 103/12
first [12] 7/24 8/17
9/21 13/17 19/1
33/24 42/10 44/15
63/22 70/17 88/3
90/15
fish [62] 3/23 4/2
4/22 5/2 5/8 5/13
5/21 6/3 6/15 7/4
7/17 7/23 8/1 8/22
9/1 10/6 12/4 12/6
13/2 13/21 16/15
16/21 16/24 17/17
20/6 20/7 21/19
25/12 25/19 25/23
25/24 26/2 26/5
26/15 35/10 36/23
37/4 37/10 37/19 38/9 44/7 45/5 46/6 47/23 47/24 51/16 61/11 61/19 61/24 64/13 72/3 72/8 75/3 75/8 76/21 83/8 103/24 104/15
five [9] 5/165/23
6/4 6/10 6/13 6/14
$7 / 596 / 1797 / 19$
five feet $[2] 96 / 17$ 97/19
flagged [1] 51/1
flagging [3] 51/1 78/19 103/15
flowering [1] 76/7
focus [5] 5/7 25/10 47/11 56/2 125/14
focusing [1] 41/15 folks [3] 88/1 88/2 88/13
follow [7] 22/11 25/5 38/11 49/17 68/4 74/9 113/24 follow-up [1] 74/9 followed [1] 24/11 following [6] 25/1 41/1 103/19 103/20 109/2 110/19
food [2] 60/5 69/7
foot [4] 43/14 45/6 58/21 97/9
footage [1] 10/19

| F | 27/17 58/13 87/4 | 26/5 26/15 35/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| footed [24] 25/22 | fox [1] 69/14 | 36/23 44/8 45/5 |
| 36/9 38/15 41/18 | framework [2] 24/4 | 46/6 51/16 61/11 |
| 41/23 43/2 104/11 | 24/6 | 61/19 61/24 64/13 |
| 104/21 110/3 | frequently [1] | 72/3 72/8 75/3 75/8 |
| 113/19 114/3 | 78/15 | 83/8 104/1 104/16 |
| 126/21 127/4 | front [2] 18/24 | gamut [1] 86/9 |
| 127/12 127/13 | 112/13 | garage [1] 86/6 |
| 127/14 127/22 | frosted [6] 65/6 | gate [1] 91/9 |
| 129/4 129/9 129/14 | 66/8 66/11 66/14 | gave [1] 8/13 |
| 130/6 130/18 | 76/12 125/8 | geared [1] 122/14 |
| 130/20 130/22 | frozen [4] 15/14 | gears [1] 77/14 |
| foregoing [1] 132/4 | 74/18 74/18 93/20 | general [6] 34/9 |
| Forest [2] 2/23 | full [3] 13/17 60/24 | 45/13 103/6 109/4 |
| 111/6 | 118/20 | 109/20 111/10 |
| Forestry [4] 2/22 | fully [2] 26/10 | generally [2] 4/21 |
| 44/9 57/4 57/5 | 105/19 | 99/22 |
| formal [1] 36/21 | function [3] 53/21 | gentlemen [3] 3/15 |
| former [1] 108/20 | 120/19 127/23 | 102/2 131/3 |
| forth [2] 80/16 | fund [2] 75/18 77/9 | geographic [1] 37/1 |
| 132/7 | funded [1] 13/4 | geographically [1] |
| forward [1] 52/18 | funding [3] 15/18 | 78/14 |
| found [11] 18/4 | 104/4 127/6 | geological [1] 97/15 |
| 38/6 48/18 48/21 | fur [5] 65/1 65/2 | geology [3] 42/19 |
| 69/22 72/13 73/6 | 65/4 65/5 115/17 | 97/15 98/18 |
| 127/13 127/16 | further [8] 29/11 | geophones [1] |
| 128/10 129/15 | 29/23 55/10 71/9 | 117/4 |
| foundation [2] | 71/14 131/1 132/10 | get [22] 5/13 6/10 |
| 76/23 96/17 | 132/12 | 14/18 18/11 18/17 |
| foundations [1] | G | $2019 / 52$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Game [23] } & 13 / 2 \\ 20 / 6 & 21 / 19 \\ 25 / 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26/13 52/16 81/3 } \\ & 89 / 5 \text { 95/23 } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\mathbf{G}$ | 39/19 39/24 40/6 | 3/19 13/15 44/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| get... [8] 95/9 96/1 | 41/13 42/5 49/11 | 47/14 63/3 71/2 |
| 96/15 113/8 115/16 | 52/4 55/7 55/16 | 71/17 71/18 72/5 |
| 117/19 121/8 | 61/12 62/21 63/9 | 73/22 94/16 102/1 |
| 123/15 | 64/17 65/17 66/16 | 102/3 102/4 102/5 |
| gets [2] 74/23 122/8 | 68/24 70/24 72/20 | 106/6 111/19 |
| getting [1] 130/17 | 73/9 74/13 75/22 | 111/20 |
| give [7] 79/4 79/22 | 79/6 79/11 79/13 | got [6] 71/22 88/15 |
| 93/3 93/14 104/19 | 80/24 81/1 84/19 | 94/11 106/17 |
| 119/4 121/16 | 87/1 87/7 87/10 | 108/23 128/2 |
| given [5] 92/24 | 87/12 87/14 87/15 | gotten [1] 35/18 |
| 115/14 121/15 | 87/16 87/17 89/17 | granite [4] 2/22 |
| 128/16 131/5 | 89/23 90/7 90/13 | 42/21 42/21 44/9 |
| gives [1] 69/18 | 90/20 93/13 93/14 | grant [1] 77/8 |
| go [23] 3/6 32/18 | 94/8 95/10 97/18 | granted [3] 105/14 |
| 33/23 34/24 36/2 | 97/23 97/24 98/22 | 109/18 127/5 |
| 44/22 47/21 50/15 | 102/13 103/13 | gravel [3] 89/17 |
| 50/21 60/4 63/1 | 108/18 108/19 | 89/22 90/3 |
| 64/22 70/16 71/6 | 110/4 112/16 | great [5] 38/15 |
| 91/6 91/13 105/16 | 113/24 117/22 | 56/11 56/21 58/1 |
| 113/12 115/10 | 118/4 118/11 | 58/23 |
| 116/17 119/7 | 118/18 119/5 | greater [1] 110/1 |
| 129/18 130/14 | 119/13 120/4 120/5 | greatly [2] 10/20 |
| goal [1] 114/7 | 120/7 130/2 | 16/9 |
| God [1] 78/24 | goldenrod [4] 30/5 | ground [15] 15/14 |
| goes [7] 10/8 32/13 | 30/10 101/13 | 60/3 60/8 60/11 |
| 50/13 52/17 84/10 | 101/17 | 60/12 62/19 62/21 |
| 92/17 110/20 | gone [5] 35/17 | 63/9 63/15 63/19 |
| going [75] 3/21 | 61/21 63/8 93/12 | 74/18 90/18 90/18 |
| 7/22 9/9 10/19 | 99/7 | 94/4 120/5 |
| 13/14 13/15 15/2 | good [24] 2/22 3/2 | ground's [1] 93/20 |
| 16/8 19/11 39/18 | 3/15 3/16 3/17 3/18 | grounds [1] 60/10 |


| G | 99/21 106/3 107/4 | $129 / 4129 / 5129 / 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group [1] 26/12 | 107/23 108/24 | $9 / 1$ |
| growing [4] 17/10 | 110/20 125/19 | handle [1] 53/20 |
| 94/9 108/13 108/15 | 128/20 129/13 | hands [1] 86/14 |
| Guard [1] 84/5 | 129/14 129/18 | hands-on [1] 86/14 |
| guess [8] 7/2 66/21 | 130/10 130/14 | happen [3] 62/21 |
| 73/12 75/9 83/18 | habitats [1] 69/12 | 86/17 115/22 |
| 94/10 107/17 | had [45] 3/23 16/1 | happy [1] 109/2 |
| 115/16 | 18/9 18/19 20/20 | hard [3] 107/13 |
| guidance [1] 114/1 | 21/9 21/13 21/19 | 110/13 114/19 |
| guide [7] 44/9 | 21/24 22/16 36/5 | harm [1] 74/4 |
| 44/13 45/2 45/3 | 37/19 38/1 38/3 | harmed [1] 65/17 |
| 45/4 57/4 57/6 | 38/8 45/13 46/14 | harmful [1] 109/19 |
| gut [1] 94/17 | 50/18 59/6 64/8 | has [47] 4/4 7/6 |
| guy [2] 72/23 99/6 | 65/14 72/13 73/5 | 7/19 10/20 11/5 |
| guys [4] 71/21 | 73/6 74/6 75/16 | 11/6 13/8 13/11 |
| 77/17 102/12 111/7 | 76/24 76/24 77/22 | 15/21 17/6 18/6 |
| H | 81/6 82/2 83/23 | 19/21 19/23 20/3 |
|  | 84/12 94/15 94/20 | 20/22 21/15 21/19 |
| habitat [50] 9/24 | 100/10 101/11 | 21/24 21/24 22/5 |
| 10/8 10/10 10/11 | 105/4 105/23 106/5 | 22/19 24/5 27/14 |
| 10/14 11/7 12/17 | 107/22 110/24 | 35/19 42/22 53/4 |
| 13/4 14/1 15/16 | 111/24 112/7 125/6 | 55/13 66/12 66/18 |
| 16/4 26/20 42/4 | hadn't [1] 73/13 | 66/19 69/11 70/5 |
| 42/6 45/14 45/23 | HAMPSHIRE [28] | 79/2 79/3 84/19 |
| 46/2 46/6 46/9 | 1/1 1/4 1/9 2/23 9/6 | 84/22 84/22 85/7 |
| 46/22 47/3 47/13 | 9/9 20/6 25/23 26/6 | 89/7 90/2 91/23 |
| 47/14 47/18 48/7 | 36/23 37/16 47/2 | 96/16 108/20 |
| 48/14 60/16 62/2 | 48/18 48/21 48/23 | 116/12 118/22 |
| 66/17 68/14 75/19 | 57/4 57/5 61/11 | 123/23 125/10 |
| 76/14 98/12 99/4 | 61/19 61/24 63/24 | hasn't [2] 66/20 |
| 99/5 99/6 99/13 | 69/22 72/8 103/24 | 83/20 |


| H | 82/6 82/8 | highest [2] 46/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have [216] | here [21] 3/5 17/6 | 46 |
| haven't [14] 18/18 | 29/4 36/11 39/19 | highways [1] |
| 18/18 21/9 21/9 | 40/18 64/22 72/7 | 109/10 |
| 22/8 27/20 45/1 | 72/20 77/17 77/19 | him [2] 15/2 71/3 |
| 71/23 85/2 89/24 | 81/19 81/19 81/23 | hired [6] 54/6 54/10 |
| 93/15 98/21 106/3 | 81/24 95/17 95/18 | 54/24 78/4 78/8 |
| 124/17 | 95/24 101/1 102/8 | 79/18 |
| having [9] 4/10 | 108/6 | his [1] 14/24 |
| 20/3 25/9 41/3 91/6 | hereby [1] 132/4 | historical [1] 87/21 |
| 110/13 123/9 | hereinbefore [1] | history [1] 11/15 |
| 23/12 123/14 | 132/7 | Hold [1] 54/17 |
| he [2] 54/20 127/2 | Heritage [6] 20/7 | home [1] 60/4 |
| head [2] $27 / 5$ | 21/23 22/5 26/6 | homeowner [1] |
| 107/13 | 103/24 128/17 | 86/5 |
| hear [7] 12/11 | heron [3] 56/11 | Honigberg [1] 1/1 |
| 39/11 43/14 63/8 | 56/21 58/23 | hope [1] 21/6 |
| 84/1 86/19 119/8 | herons [4] 43/11 | hopefully [1] 4/14 |
| heard [11] 11/17 | 43/12 44/16 58/2 | host [1] 76/3 |
| 30/24 38/20 79/16 | hey [3] 28/23 29/2 | hot [1] 121/5 |
| 83/9 86/10 89/18 | 88/16 | hour [4] 46/1 80/18 |
| 93/22 93/22 109/16 | hibernacula [3] | 80/20 131/5 |
| 111/23 | 36/20 37/1 43/3 | how [32] 12/1 |
| hearing [3] 1/11 | hibernate [3] 41/20 | 15/17 16/5 35/14 |
| 71/12 131/10 | 42/1 42/17 | 40/8 48/17 53/19 |
| heighth [1] 28/12 | hibernating [2] | 67/10 72/21 73/8 |
| helicopter [1] 58/12 | 118/19 119/10 | 78/15 79/2 79/7 |
| help [4] 68/14 91/3 | high [10] 32/10 | 80/10 80/10 80/13 |
| 101/17 105/14 | 33/2 33/5 33/8 | 85/22 85/22 94/5 |
| helpful [3] 47/17 | 47/12 47/13 47/16 | 94/8 96/3 96/5 97/6 |
| 68/16 94/19 | 68/7 68/13 107/9 | 103/4 103/21 107/8 |
| her [4] 31/18 82/2 | higher [1] 69/19 | 114/10 120/12 |


| H | 94/10 95/10 95/23 | imagine [2] 106/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| how... [4] 121/11 | 97/14 102/7 102/13 | 123/10 |
| 122/24 123/1 126/5 | 103/8 104/8 104/23 | impact [41] 9/8 |
| however [2] 80/17 | 108/4 108/10 111/8 | 11/9 11/18 11/22 |
| 115/5 | 113/8 115/16 | 11/24 12/5 14/6 |
| huh [6] 54/4 56/10 | 119/13 | 15/24 16/8 20/17 |
| 87/11 89/11 91/19 | I've [16] 4/19 4/20 | 24/18 25/16 27/14 |
| 110/23 | 14/9 28/22 35/18 | 28/3 29/11 31/23 |
| hundred [1] 58/13 | 36/13 63/5 63/6 | 34/12 38/14 42/11 |
| hundreds [1] 84/6 | 79/16 106/17 117/1 | 42/16 42/24 46/22 |
| I | 121/15 121/22 | 60/13 66/19 85/5 |
| I'd [3] 33/4 94/10 | 129/11 | 98/5 104/9 105/9 |
| 107/17 | Iacopino [2] 1/20 | 106/14 107/7 |
| I'll [10] 6/10 19/12 | 1/20 | 107/14 110/14 |
| 26/13 32/21 49/8 | idea [2] 66/14 88/23 | 125/5 125/11 |
| 50/16 55/21 56/1 | ideally [1] 13/10 | 126/10 126/20 |
| 64/21 102/23 | identification [7] | 128/6 128/7 |
| I'm [56] 4/14 6/19 | 31/2 31/23 102/10 | impactful [1] |
| 7/22 14/12 17/2 | 114/17 125/5 | 110/12 |
| 17/4 17/5 17/7 | 126/17 126/22 | impacting [3] 14/5 |
| 18/16 19/11 23/5 | identified [11] 48/7 | 47/3 106/8 |
| 24/2 28/9 28/16 | 61/9 62/10 64/9 | impacts [35] 11/1 |
| 28/19 29/18 29/24 | 103/15 111/24 | 12/10 15/10 28/22 |
| 30/7 35/1 37/14 | 112/2 112/8 115/13 | 29/20 30/9 32/9 |
| 40/16 40/22 41/1 | 115/18 125/10 | 32/11 33/11 33/15 |
| 41/6 41/22 49/3 | identify [6] 15/17 | 61/6 61/7 75/13 |
| 49/24 50/15 52/3 | 48/5 48/11 63/13 | 76/13 84/13 84/14 |
| 56/14 61/5 67/22 | 113/21 130/12 | 84/24 86/7 92/5 |
| 70/15 71/22 72/20 | identifying [1] | 93/17 95/5 95/13 |
| 73/1 78/10 81/11 | 62/16 | 95/13 95/16 97/13 |
| 81/11 85/4 87/1 | illustrates [1] 27/21 | 100/6 100/8 101/21 |

I
106/11 107/23
110/6 111/1 111/8
111/8 125/14
impartial [1] 54/11 implement [1] 9/22 implemented [1] 17/19
implementing [1]
72/1
implied [1] 116/4 imply [2] 100/17 123/8
important [5]
10/16 10/23 88/11 103/17 121/10 imposed [1] 23/15 impression [2]
79/22 120/16 improve [1] 64/5 improved [1] 105/22
improvement [1] 11/21
in at [1] 36/22 inability [1] 128/4 inch [1] 89/10 inches [2] 97/8 117/6
included [2] 31/22 40/14
includes [1] 32/10 including [4] 6/16 16/13 20/6 21/16 inclusive [1] 35/14 incorporated [1] 37/21
increase [1] 110/7 independent [10]
11/8 52/18 52/20
52/22 53/4 53/11
54/2 54/11 55/6 79/17
independently [2] 27/11 123/4 indeterminate [1] 58/4
Indiana [16] 5/19
6/7 6/12 6/16 25/13
25/17 37/3 37/12
37/15 37/20 37/21
38/3 38/7 112/2 112/11 115/13 indicated [1] 62/14 indicating [1] 83/11 indicator [1] 98/15 indirect [1] 61/7 individual [1] 5/7 individuals [1] 65/16 indulge [1] 17/5 Industrial [1] 95/1 industry [2] 117/3

## 121/24

informal [1] 115/11
information [14]
21/3 21/7 21/13
23/7 23/9 29/16
37/18 38/7 67/7
103/7 104/20 105/3
126/4 126/8
informative [1]
126/10
initially [1] 6/7
input [4] 21/20
21/24 22/2 86/13
insects [2] 65/5
65/6
inside [1] 107/12
insignificant [1]
10/13
instance [1] 41/6
instead [2] 19/15
81/7
insufficient [3] 44/6 63/21 67/6
intended [1] 115/1 intensive [1] 91/5 intention [3] 68/12 79/20 92/7
interact [1] 87/12
interacted [1] 20/24
interaction [2] 20/21 67/2

| I | 20 | 94/8 94/11 98/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interactions [2] | is [234] | 98/16 98/17 |
| 20/2 60/18 | issuance [1] 23/11 | 99/13 99/14 99 |
| interchangeably [1] | issue [14] 24/4 | 100/18 104/18 |
| 62/8 | 25/18 25/23 31/14 | 107/13 107/15 |
| interest [3] 45/18 | 40/10 42/8 42/9 | 107/21 110/12 |
| 82/4 82/7 | 83/20 98/14 101/2 | 110/15 110/19 |
| interested [1] | 105/2 106/5 124/11 | 114/11 114/19 |
| 132/15 | 127/4 | 114/22 114/23 |
| interest | issued [4] 3/22 4/22 | 118/10 120/20 |
| 72/14 98/19 | 23/20 25/4 | 120/23 121/14 |
| interpretive | issues [9] 4/11 24/6 | 122/1 122/4 122 |
| 5/5 | 38/24 42/4 51/7 | 122/23 122/23 |
| interrup | 66/111 105/23 113/9 | 123/6 123/16 1 |
| interruption [1] | 122/1 | 129/14 129/19 |
| 37/7 | issuing [3] 51/16 | 130/23 |
| intrinsi | 100/11 100/21 | item [1] 43/22 |
| 41/4 | it [170] | iteration [1] 84/14 |
| introduction [1] | it's [77] 3/3 9/7 | its [14] 4/5 4/6 5/2 |
| 109/7 | 9/17 10/16 11/20 | 9/4 9/10 9/20 9/23 |
| invasive [3] 109/5 | 11/23 12/4 13/12 | 11/7 16/16 22/21 |
| /7 109/10 | 13/14 27/4 28/24 | 24/5 46/7 67/12 |
| inventories [1] | 31 | 75/20 |
| 85/21 | 35/24 37/1 | itself [2] 58/8 98/ |
| inventory [5] 73/6 | 38/12 39/14 43/2 | J |
| 73/6 105/5 126/11 | 47/7 47/851/22 |  |
| 23 | 51/23 52/9 55/3 |  |
| olve [1] 110/4 | 55/4 63/3 63/17 |  |
| involved [5] 29/2 | 19 66/1 | jeopardy [2] 8/24 |
| 80/10 89/5 90/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 120/12 | 84/7 88/15 93/ | Jeremy [1] 8/10 |


| J |
| :--- |
| jerk... [2] 122/4 |
| 123/8 |
| job [4] 24/16 73/23 |
| 83/15 123/18 |
| Joint [1] 1/8 |
| JS [1] 130/10 |
| JS-modeling [1] |
| 130/10 |

judgment [1] 54/12 jump [3] 64/7 64/22 72/20
just [63] 6/9 8/15
11/17 14/11 14/18 16/11 16/13 18/2 18/17 18/20 19/8 27/22 33/13 38/2 39/9 43/17 45/2 47/20 53/22 57/2 62/2 62/14 63/17 66/6 67/1 67/3 67/9 67/19 68/3 68/3 68/9 68/17 69/1 70/17 71/22 76/16 79/9 81/19 82/14 84/8 86/22 92/8 96/12 98/12 99/11 100/2 102/14
103/12 104/14 104/18 104/20
107/4 107/7 113/8 118/22 119/11

121/5 124/7 124/23 knew [1] 128/1 128/6 128/15
129/22 130/16
K
Karner [29] 7/9 8/3 9/5 12/19 13/2 13/13 16/1 16/3 16/8 17/15 65/12 65/20 66/9 66/9
67/11 74/7 75/14
76/3 76/5 76/12
93/17 94/2 94/21 103/10 103/17
107/21 125/7
125/14 125/20
Kathryn [1] 1/14 KBB [11] 7/9 7/13
7/16 8/19 9/23 10/7
10/8 14/8 14/14
17/1 26/20
keep [3] 89/8 89/19 90/20
keeps [1] 89/14
kilometer [1]

## 117/10

kind [17] 67/13
71/24 72/13 81/8
86/9 90/5 91/1 91/8
95/16 96/7 103/3
109/10 109/14
118/1 120/19
120/24 121/15
knob [1] 96/16 know [108] 4/21 11/12 16/5 16/11 16/20 17/6 21/15 21/19 21/23 22/10 27/14 33/3 35/20 46/4 46/8 48/17 55/22 57/12 58/16 59/14 59/23 60/4 60/8 60/16 60/19 61/10 62/22 63/3 63/10 63/20 64/24 66/22 67/3 67/4 67/10 67/10 68/12 69/16 71/21 72/6 72/16 73/1 73/16 75/1 77/17 78/20 79/3 79/12 80/17 81/11 82/18 82/21 85/24 86/22 87/20 88/5 88/13 88/17 88/17 89/10 91/1
92/13 93/9 93/10 93/23 94/7 94/17 94/24 95/15 96/13 96/17 97/7 97/10 97/14 97/16 98/21 100/5 100/18
103/19 104/3 104/6 104/10 104/12 104/14 104/16

| K | largely [1] 74/7 | 130/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [23] 104/17 | larger [1] 89/9 | less [7] 27/17 69/15 |
| 106/4 107/3 107/13 | larval [1] 76/3 | 80/18 80/21 109/19 |
| 108/5 109/8 110/9 | last [11] 13/15 | 117/9 117/9 |
| 110/13 110/15 | 13/16 13/19 13/20 | let [16] 7/8 26/17 |
| 116/15 116/18 | 34/6 38/16 41/6 | 27/22 30/5 32/21 |
| 116/22 118/4 | 49/4 66/7 83/18 | 34/24 37/3 38/15 |
| 119/13 120/18 | 110/24 | 43/9 43/11 43/17 |
| 120/22 121/6 | lasting [1] 107/14 | 45/11 106/7 107/4 |
| 121/12 123/1 | Lastly [2] 51/12 | 107/5 112/14 |
| 125/19 126/14 | 51/20 | let's [1] 80/23 |
| 127/6 128/6 | late [1] 62/22 | level [6] 15/17 |
| knowing [2] 28/20 | later [1] 94/13 | 20/20 20/23 21/1 |
| 29/19 | lateral [3] 58/10 | 102/20 104/4 |
| knowledgeable [1] | 58/13 58/17 | levels [5] 116/2 |
| 26/8 | latitude [1] 93/1 | 116/20 116/20 |
| known [10] 10/8 | law [1] 83/12 | 116/23 118/5 |
| 36/20 36/24 43/2 | lay [2] 67/12 76/5 | LEW [171] |
| 50/24 103/16 | LCR [3] 1/24 | LEW-SMITH [155] |
| 104/17 113/15 | 132/17 132/18 |  |
| 113/15 128/11 | least [3] 67/4 81/6 | Licensed [2] 132/3 |
| L |  |  |
| lack [3] 15/9 108/3 | 94/5 | 30/10 101/13 |
| 126/22 | ledge [5] 96/14 | 101/16 |
| landowner [1] | 96/16 97/16 97/19 | lies [1] 62/16 |
| 30/18 | 97/20 | life [1] 120/16 |
| language [2] 24/7 | leeway [2] 91/24 | light [2] 14/4 14/4 |
| 72/7 | 92/4 | like [43] 6/6 16/23 |
| large [4] 42/20 | left [1] 60/8 | 28/23 29/2 29/23 |
| 53/14 69/11 86/7 | Lenehan [1] 1/20 | 45/15 52/17 53/14 |
| large-scale [1] 86/7 | length [2] 28/12 | 53/17 62/9 69/13 |


| L | 88/3 | \| $128 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| like... [32] 71/6 | 109/17 109/1 | loggers [1] 60/4 |
| 78/20 80/10 82/22 | 110/10 | logging [1] 60/1 |
| 84/22 85/8 85/19 | line-of-sight [1] | logic [1] 41/1 |
| 85/21 85/23 86/12 | 58/15 | long [19] 5/18 |
| 87/23 | lines [1] 109/9 | 12/18 15/17 25/13 |
| 88/15 88/16 89/12 | lingering [1] | 34/15 35/6 38/ |
| 89/12 92/5 92/6 | 105/11 | 40/14 80/10 80/1 |
| 92/13 92/16 95/15 | list [2] 38/16 100/1 | 91/8 93/24 94/5 |
| 103/7 103/12 105/2 | listed [4] 25/21 | 94/8 104/8 111 |
| 105/5 107/14 | 125/18 125/23 | 112/9 113/1 |
| 108/24 109/13 | 127/6 | 118/24 |
| 114/23 121/15 | listen [1] 23/5 | long-eared [9] 5/18 |
| 129/14 | Listening [1] 127/1 | 25/13 34/15 35/6 |
| likelihood [2] 98/9 | lists [1] 9/20 | 38/12 104/8 111/ |
| 98/16 | literature [4] 11/15 | 112/9 113/14 |
| likely [17] 5/16 | 44/4 86/11 86/20 | long-term [2] 12 |
| 5/23 8/2 8/18 9/3 | little [6] 8/14 11/2 | 118/24 |
| 15/7 15/7 16/4 | 34/10 40/9 69/15 | longer [2] 76/8 |
| 17/14 37/5 37/11 | 16/17 | 117/21 |
| 48/2 74/5 74/18 | live [4] 69/12 99/18 | look [24] |
| 115/23 122/16 | 99/18 130/2 | 12/13 13/18 13/2 |
| 130/13 | living [3] 96/20 | $332 / 4$ |
| likewise [1] 118/14 | 96/20 96/24 | 42/24 50/3 50/16 |
| limit [1] 39/14 | LLC [1] 1/8 | 56/4 58/13 70/16 |
| limitation [2] 59/7 | located [1] 13/11 | 80/22 94/10 94/20 |
| 59/11 | location [3] 93/14 | 98/5 104/11 117 |
| limitations [2] | 108/21 130/19 | 127/12 128/2 13 |
| 26/11 35/20 | locations [8] 50/24 | 13 |
| limited [1] 40/19 | 103/16 103/18 | looked [11] 29/22 |
| line [11] 49/4 58/15 | 113/16 128/11 | 33/3 43/4 48/22 |
| 79/10 81/4 86/8 | 128/12 128/13 | 92/13 92/16 98/9 |

looked... [4] 108/24
110/14 127/9 128/8 looking [14] 10/5
24/23 28/20 28/21 29/19 41/6 45/2 80/4 80/23 85/5
94/14 116/17 129/6 130/16
looks [6] 28/23 29/2 43/8 85/8 88/24 129/14
loss [2] 14/1 98/12
lot [23] 16/20 60/6 63/7 77/15 77/20 79/6 79/10 79/13
83/24 85/20 86/10 86/11 86/12 87/23
93/18 99/23 102/16
103/1 107/15
109/16 118/10
121/5 126/3
lumped [1] 128/20
lunch [2] 131/6 131/8
lupine [30] 10/19 10/23 13/2 15/22 16/7 17/20 26/20
26/22 27/16 27/17 27/20 28/21 29/21 66/15 66/19 67/2 67/12 76/1 76/2

76/5 76/9 76/14
76/16 76/24 83/23
84/4 101/12 101/18 125/15 125/16 lupines [1] 94/4 luxury [1] 25/9 lynx [20] 5/17
47/21 48/2 48/6 48/11 48/17 48/20
48/23 62/13 62/20 62/23 63/2 63/3
63/5 63/7 63/10
63/23 99/17 129/2 129/20

M
made [18] 10/4
17/10 18/10 21/5
28/9 28/22 29/10
29/12 30/2 49/5
58/19 73/2 82/3
83/11 83/19 84/22
91/23 127/9
mail [1] 18/16
mailed [1] 19/4
maintained [2]
103/21 111/3
maintenance [1]
95/21
major [2] 59/14 68/21
make [15] 6/10
26/11 28/15 28/20

30/18 57/19 67/7 74/23 86/14 115/8 118/6 118/23 120/6 120/20 126/16 makes [3] 75/1 88/18 93/1
making [9] 22/21 40/21 40/22 55/1 111/14 118/8 120/18 122/2 124/11
managed [1] 84/6 management [13] 2/23 15/15 17/23 42/6 73/9 87/18 105/16 105/21
116/1 116/10 122/7
122/19 122/20
manager [3] 61/13
87/8 122/22
many [7] 16/6
16/12 48/17 63/23
79/2 90/6 93/22
map [3] 61/11 62/1 106/3
mapped [2] 61/14 106/2
mapping [1] 61/18
maps [2] 62/3 62/4
March [2] 62/22
74/17
marten [20] 45/12

| M | 26/17 27/22 29/22 | 115/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| marten... [19] | 30 | meet [3] 93/ |
| 45/16 45/18 45/23 | 37/3 38/15 40/12 | 116/20 128/ |
| 46/2 46/22 47/3 | 43/9 43/12 43/17 | meetings [2] 22/9 |
| 47/14 47/18 68/5 | 45/11 50/17 54/20 | 51/7 |
| 68/9 68/10 68/12 | 55/20 58/8 62/23 | member [2] 1/17 |
| 68/15 69/5 69/8 | 72/24 74/16 86/12 | 102/7 |
| 69/9 69/10 69/23 | 103/7 106/7 112/1 | members [4] 2/10 |
| 99/17 | 115/19 124/1 | 55/12 55/22 66/2 |
| Martin [1] 1/13 | mean [32] 12/3 | mentality [1] 122/5 |
| mat [2] 94/2 94/6 | 21/6 40/10 41/12 | mention [2] 53/10 |
| mats [2] 93/20 | 42/3 52/24 53/1 | 68/11 |
| 108/23 | 54/9 55/3 59/1 | mentioned [16] |
| matter [2] 76/13 | 63/11 78/13 82/11 | 29/18 39/2 57/2 |
| 94/8 | 87/14 93/2 93/2 | 57/2 57/8 59/19 |
| matting [1] 17/12 | 94/10 94/15 96/19 | 64/8 66/7 96/11 |
| may [30] 3/8 4/12 | 97/3 100/17 100/22 | 101/11 105/23 |
| 5/15 6/22 6/23 16/6 | 100/24 107/12 | 107/22 110/18 |
| 26/18 26/21 30/7 | 114/19 118/3 118/8 | 120/3 125/6 126/18 |
| 32/5 38/9 39/12 | 120/14 123/21 | mentioning [1] |
| 44/5 55/23 58/15 | 123/24 124/11 | 88/10 |
| 58/16 59/24 62/6 | 129/23 | merits [1] 1/11 |
| 62/18 62/22 63/4 | means [3] 74/16 | message [1] 63/1 |
| 63/18 67/1 75/7 | 74/16 114/9 | met [3] 53/5 84/23 |
| 88/4 92/1 97/4 97/7 | meant [2] 17/4 68/8 | 122/3 |
| 97/9 130/6 | measured [1] | metapopulation [2] |
| maybe [10] 30/7 | 128/24 | 10/12 12/18 |
| 69/15 80/17 84/8 | measurement [1] | method [5] 31/14 |
| 93/11 96/1 98/3 | 98/13 | 43/15 58/2 58/4 |
| 102/23 111/4 126/7 | measures [6] 9/22 | 106/21 |
| me [31] 7/8 17/5 | 90/14 103/14 | methodologies [3] |
| 19/5 21/12 23/5 | 114/18 115/19 | 48/5 48/10 63/21 |


| M |  | 51/15 52/18 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| methodology [7] | mining [1] 117/7 | 54/14 55/6 55/7 |
| 31/1 31/5 31/11 | minion [1] 125/9 | 78/16 79/17 80 |
| 33/4 38/4 62/16 | minor [1] 9/14 | 81/2 87/13 87/15 |
| 105/5 | minute [1] 71/8 | 87/17 113/6 113/10 |
| thods [2] 12/9 | minutes [1] 55/19 | 3/17 113/20 |
| 16/2 | missed [3] 126/6 | 6/11 119/16 |
| Michael [5] 1/20 | 126/7 127/8 | 120/17 120/23 |
| 2/5 3/12 3/13 | missing [2] 36/18 | 121/22 123/13 |
| crophone [1] | 126/8 | 130 |
| 6/13 | misspoke [1] 17 | monitored [2] |
| dle [1] | mist [1] 114/4 | 117/12 129/1 |
| idwinter | misunderstood | monitoring [8] 3 |
| 69/17 | 83/21 | 52/3 85/23 87/24 |
| might [5] | mitigate [1] 106/11 | 113/23 116/2 11 |
| 94/19 102/12 | mitigated [1] | 8/24 |
| 15/21 118/9 | 107/2 | monitors [27] 49 |
| mild [2] 108/1 | mitigation [8] | 49/24 |
| 108/9 | 12/15 12/22 13/23 | 52/11 54/6 54/11 |
| mile [3] 48/9 56/22 | 75/11 75/20 89/6 | /15 77/21 78/3 |
| 24/9 | 104/5 106/10 | 748/7 78/12 |
| miles [4] | modeling [1] | 87/2 8 |
| 8/14 100/5 111/5 | 130/10 | 87/15 87/17 87/2 |
| mind [2] 29/14 30/2 | modest [1] 15/10 | 87/22 119/8 119/14 |
| minimization [1] | modification [1] | 0/1 121/13 124 |
|  | 10/22 | 124/12 129/15 |
| nimize [6] 9/23 | modifications [1] | Monroe [1] 1/21 |
| /410/4 12/9 30/9 | 17/9 | months [5] 59/17 |
| /14 | modify [1] 121/21 | 1/15 91/15 93/24 |
| minimized [2] 95/5 | moment [1] 130/1 | 15/1 |
| 95/13 | money [1] 125/24 | more [26] 8/14 21/3 |


| M | 29/6 60/2 62/13 | 85/13 96/10 101/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| more... [21] 40/24 | 69 | 107/20 110/8 |
| 41/5 56/23 66/12 | moved [3] 28 | 110/18 111/22 |
| 68/1 68/4 75/12 | 63/18 107/9 | 119/12 119/15 |
| 76/15 85/10 91/10 | movement [1] | 119/18 121/20 |
| 93/21 93/23 94/20 | 109/6 | 123/11 124/6 125/2 |
| 100/14 104/20 | moves [1] 66/17 | 127/1 |
| 104/24 108/6 | moving [9] 10/2 | Mr. Amaral [10] |
| 110/12 111/4 126/9 | 10/22 15/23 29/12 | 7/11 7/20 8/13 |
| 127/14 | 62/23 62/23 91/24 | 10/15 18/1 74/6 |
| morning [24] 1/4 | 106/22 107/1 | 107/20 110/18 |
| 3/2 3/15 3/16 3/17 | Mr [16] 2/7 2/12 | 119/15 125/2 |
| 3/18 3/19 51/7 | 2/13 2/17 26/21 | Mr. Amaral's [ |
| 64/24 70/3 70/17 | 27/5 29/8 32/15 | 127/1 |
| 71/17 71/18 74/8 | 43/11 55/15 70/23 | Mr. Lew-Smith |
| 76/10 83/19 102/1 | 71/6 72/22 79/24 | [16] 4/17 19/13 |
| 102/3 102/4 102/5 | 85/15 111/18 | 19/16 20/1 25/3 |
| 111/19 111/20 | Mr. [59] 3/6 4/17 | 26/18 28/2 30/6 |
| 126/18 131/10 | 7/11 7/20 8/13 | 30/23 49/7 52/5 |
| mortality [2] | 10/15 15/2 17/6 | 73/1 83/19 101/10 |
| 107/10 130/22 | 18/1 19/9 19/13 | 110/8 119/18 |
| most [14] 42/3 49/8 | 19/16 20/1 25/1 | Mr. Oldenburg [3] |
| 74/17 84/18 95/16 | $\begin{array}{ll}25 / 3 & 26 / 18 \\ 30 / 6 & 38 / 2 \\ \end{array}$ | 67/18 85/13 123/11 |
| 98/24 99/4 103/1 | 30/6 30/23 33/18 | Mr. Parsons [9] |
| 105/20 116/18 | 37/4 43/11 45/2 | 17/6 45/2 45/11 |
| 122/12 122/15 | 45/11 47/20 49/7 | 47/20 55/16 66/3 |
| 129/23 129/24 | 52/5 55/14 55/16 | 66/6 67/17 70/20 |
| mostly [1] 99/16 | 57/2 57/19 66/3 | Mr. Reynolds [9] |
| moths [1] 125/18 | 66/4 66/6 67/17 67/18 68/2 70/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25/1 33/18 37/4 } \\ & 43 / 11 ~ 96 / 10 ~ 111 / 22 ~ \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { mouth [2] 83/10 } \\ & 83 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67/18 68/2 70/20 } \\ & 71 / 1473 / 174 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43/11 96/10 111/22 } \\ & 119 / 12121 / 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| move [6] 17/7 29/3 | 74/9 83/7 83/19 | 124/6 |


| M | 15/5 18/3 24/16 | 6/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr. Walker [5] 3/6 | 25/14 27/22 28/1 | nature [1] 42/19 |
| 15/2 19/9 57/2 74/9 | 29/8 31/12 33/9 | near [4] 17/5 36/24 |
| Mr. Walker's [1] | 36/14 38/11 38/16 | 42/21 76/4 |
| 83/7 | 40/20 41/14 45/11 | necessarily [8] 33/1 |
| Mr. Way [1] 66/4 | 47/8 49/9 59/14 | 42/18 47/22 54/8 |
| Mr. Wright [4] | 63/4 64/17 65/22 | 55/3 72/16 90/4 |
| 55/14 57/19 68/2 | 68/21 72/21 73/1 | 92/1 |
| 71/14 | 73/12 75/8 76/9 | necessary [1] 95/21 |
| Ms [9] 2/8 2/15 | 78/1 78/11 79/19 | nectar [1] 76/6 |
| 31/17 36/3 57/21 | 83/18 84/21 85/8 | need [21] 19/1 |
| 70/22 81/20 96/10 | 88/12 94/17 102/6 | 39/17 55/16 70/15 |
| 124/21 | 103/6 107/20 | 74/2 75/24 81/1 |
| Ms. [2] 101/24 | 116/14 120/22 | 81/15 90/5 91/5 |
| 125/3 | 121/10 122/15 | 91/22 100/23 |
| Ms. Dandeneau [2] | 125/13 128/4 132/5 | 104/20 113/16 |
| 101/24 125/3 | 132/7 | 114/5 114/9 114/10 |
| much [16] 13/7 | myotis [7] 25/16 | 114/16 121/6 124/9 |
| 33/18 45/14 66/10 | 25/17 35/6 112/11 |  |
| 76/14 76/15 76/15 | 115/13 129/23 | needed [2] 68/10 |
| 85/22 85/22 97/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 129 / 24 \\ & \text { myself [1] } 70 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126/1 } \\ & \text { needle [1] } 116 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 111/16 114/15 | N | needs [7] 13/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116/21 127/23 } \\ & \text { multiple [3] 79/1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { N.H [1] } & 132 / 18 \\ \text { name [1] } & 102 / 6 \end{array}$ | 63/14 75/17 75/23 <br> $76 / 14113 / 14$ <br> $113 / 18$ |
| 79/12 80/22 <br> multiyear [1] 77/3 <br> mussel [4] 5/19 | narrow [3] 25/10 <br> 58/15 114/12 <br> National [1] 84/5 | negative [1] 60/21 <br> negatively [1] |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 72 / 23 \\ \text { mussels [1] } \\ \text { must [1] } \\ \text { 74/13 } \\ \text { my [48] } \\ 7 / 2 \\ 14 / 20 \end{array}$ | natural [7] 19/22 <br> 20/6 21/23 22/5 <br> 22/23 26/6 90/7 <br> naturalist [1] | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { 73/17 } \\ \text { negotiated [2] } \\ \text { 35/10 64/12 } \\ \text { neighboring [1] } \end{array}$ |

## N

neighboring... [1] 60/20
neither [3] 31/9
31/13 132/10
nest [3] 106/9
106/19 107/3
nesting [2] 56/11 64/9
nests [6] 58/3 58/16 58/20 106/5 106/8 107/3
netting [1] 114/4 never [6] 34/21 65/19 81/3 93/22 98/1 121/22
new [36] 1/1 1/4 1/9 2/23 9/6 9/9 18/4 20/6 25/23 26/5 36/22 37/16 45/19 46/18 47/2 48/18 48/21 48/23 57/3 57/5 60/9 61/10 61/19 61/24 63/23 69/21 70/5 72/8 103/24 109/7 111/5 129/3 129/4 129/7 129/8 129/17
next [7] 9/12 34/24 50/15 84/14 107/20 118/9 119/5
NH [1] 72/10
night [2] 38/2 60/4 nighthawk [1] 64/7 no [89] 1/7 1/23 1/24 2/3 2/21 2/21 7/2 7/6 7/7 14/11 14/15 17/1 17/2 23/4 27/12 27/12
31/20 32/16 32/16 34/16 34/16 35/4 36/8 36/12 37/22 39/19 40/3 40/3 40/20 41/7 41/11 42/15 43/4 43/4 43/8 44/1 44/11 46/2 46/13 48/19 49/3 53/18 53/24 54/8 54/13 55/3 55/10 58/5 59/4 62/19 62/20 63/9 64/1 64/8 64/20 64/21 66/14 67/22 67/23 70/21 71/3
71/4 72/24 74/4
75/4 78/10 78/24 83/15 85/7 88/23 98/2 100/17 101/20 104/10 105/8
117/15 117/17
117/21 117/24 119/8 119/9 119/17 124/5 124/16
124/20 125/21

125/24 128/5 132/18
nodding [1] 75/10 non [4] 17/3 17/10 29/22 108/13
non-engineering [1] 29/22
non-growing [2]
17/10 108/13
non-jeopardy [1] 17/3
none [1] 128/23
Normandeau [7]
31/2 31/6 53/19
53/20 62/1 73/5
112/4
north [4] 45/20 46/19 108/4 108/5 northern [20] $1 / 8$ 5/18 25/13 25/16 26/23 30/17 34/14 35/5 35/6 38/12 47/2 48/9 62/6 70/4 104/7 105/13 112/9 113/14 127/11 127/17
northerns [1]
127/18
not [163]
note [3] 34/8 49/18 49/22
noted [4] 12/23

| N | 0 | 3] 45/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| noted... [3] 22/15 | O'clock [1] 131/6 |  |
| 128/9 131/12 | Objection [1] 14/22 | oh [8] 47/8 56/14 |
| notes [5] 12/15 | obligate [1] 76/2 | 65/6 73/1 76/20 |
| 14/10 16/12 72/21 | observe [1] 108/24 |  |
| 132/6 | observed [4] 66/10 |  |
| nothing [4] 47/8 | 98/1 98/4 116/7 |  |
| 123/24 127/7 131/1 | obviously [4] 42/19 |  |
| noticed [1] 85/19 | 49/9 56/7 78/23 |  |
| notified [1] 18/9 | occasions [1] 36/15 | 20/10 21/9 22/4 |
| notifying [1] 50/10 | occupied [2] 10/11 | \| 26/4 27/9 27/24 |
| noting [1] 18/22 | 62/8 | 29/16 $30 / 531 / 21$ |
| November [1] 1/3 | occur [5] 40/6 | 33/13 36/17 37/3 |
| now [20] 4/2 9/17 | 74/15 77/13 103/14 |  |
| 11/18 14/5 16/9 | 125/19 |  |
| 17/13 27/14 29/5 | occurred [3] 17/9 |  |
| 30/23 33/17 35/21 | 17/12 92/19 | 55/24 58/24 59/2 |
| 38/15 39/3 40/13 | occurrences [2] | 59/5 59/13 59/16 |
| 922 93/17 98/20 | 38/6 38/6 | 60/22 61/1 62/1 |
| 99/2 108/14 121/17 | occurs [1] 9/5 | 62/13 62/14 64/4 |
| nowhere [1] 11/3 | odd [1] 100/5 | 64/17 64/21 |
| NPT [1] 72/8 | off [10] 8/6 8/7 | 67/23 68/20 70/14 |
| number [13] 9/12 | 91/1 98/3 99/2 | 71/5 72/13 72/20 |
| 9/20 16/3 27/1 32/6 | 99/11 100/2 103/16 | 74/174/6 75/7 |
| 32/18 52/10 79/3 | 131/7 | 75/11 77/6 77/ |
| 92/15 92/18 94/11 | off-the-record [1] | 78/11 79/21 79/23 |
| 94/22 95/2 | 8/7 | 82/2 83/1 |
| numbered [1] 9/12 | offer [1] 64/14 | 85/12 88/8 90/9 $\mathbf{9 1 / 1 0} 91 / 12920$ |
| numbers [2] 9/15 | offered [1] 86/13 | 91/10 91/12 92/20 |
| 60/15 | officer [2] 1/13 | 93/13 93/17 96/9 |
| nutrition [1] 60/7 | $123 / 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99/22 101/3 101/23 } \\ & 103 / 4 \text { 103/9 104/22 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 0 | one's [2] 43/4 | order [2] 126/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| okay... [2] 119/20 | 107/13 | 130/4 |
| 125/13 | ongoing [5] 20/2 | org [1] 87/7 |
| old [1] 56/12 | 20/21 42/4 113/11 | organizational [3] |
| Oldenburg [5] 1/16 | 124/10 | 121/12 122/6 |
| 2/13 67/18 85/13 | only [20] 1/4 7/2 | 122/24 |
| 123/11 | 10/9 14/5 33/9 53/6 | organizationally [1] |
| on-site [1] 113/17 | 58/9 61/8 63/12 | 120/18 |
| once [4] 69/17 | 64/23 74/15 97/8 | original [7] 14/20 |
| 115/17 119/19 | 108/2 108/11 | 25/14 36/13 39/5 |
| 129/6 | 109/21 122/20 | 39/5 98/6 98/8 |
| one [57] 4/3 6/12 | 124/8 124/13 127/8 | originally [1] 99/1 |
| 9/21 12/11 13/15 | 131/13 | other [31] 2/17 4/1 |
| 34/15 36/4 39/2 | open [2] 81/9 | 12/9 24/20 24/23 |
| 43/8 46/10 46/21 | 109/24 | 28/11 31/14 33/6 |
| 51/20 54/20 62/9 | opening [1] 90/11 | 36/14 47/1 55/22 |
| 66/6 68/1 68/4 | operate [1] 54/23 | 57/8 60/22 65/1 |
| 68/21 69/21 75/16 | operation [2] 15/6 | 65/22 65/24 66/2 |
| 76/9 78/23 79/9 | 117/12 | 67/16 68/22 69/3 |
| 80/6 81/11 81/19 | opinion [21] 3/22 | 81/5 81/19 87/24 |
| 82/15 83/20 84/7 | 4/8 4/21 5/14 5/22 | 90/9 92/15 106/5 |
| 84/8 87/6 89/6 | 7/13 7/18 9/1 11/3 | 112/16 115/7 |
| 89/15 89/18 90/9 | 11/4 17/18 23/8 | 121/18 125/18 |
| 90/14 90/23 91/13 | 26/12 34/10 36/21 | 129/11 |
| 93/11 95/24 100/2 | 38/8 38/10 38/11 | others [2] 119/13 |
| 100/10 104/23 | 47/22 77/11 104/19 | 120/11 |
| 105/2 105/7 105/24 | opinions [3] 4/18 | otherwise [1] 77/13 |
| 106/21 110/5 | 5/2 82/6 | our [15] 10/17 |
| 110/24 113/2 117/2 | opportunity [5] | 19/11 19/17 23/7 |
| 118/9 119/21 120/9 | 14/23 18/19 19/1 | 42/19 86/3 89/4 |
| 122/10 124/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126/7 127/8 } \\ & \text { option [1] 81/6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 93 / 6 & 95 / 15 \\ 96 / 8 \\ 99 / 6 & 103 / 2 \\ 108 / 15 \end{array}$ |


| 0 | 93/7 93/13 | Page 142 [1] 44/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| our... [2] 129/24 | overestimated [2] | Page 145 [1] 44/1 |
| 130/12 | 91/17 93/6 | Page 22 [1] 8/14 |
| out [21] 6/20 10/17 | overestimation [1] | pages [1] 5/7 |
| 10/22 18/4 29/5 | 92/22 | Pamela [1] 1/21 |
| 42/23 49/1 57/3 | overhead [3] 87/4 | panel [16] 2/4 3/4 |
| 60/19 61/21 89/19 | 89/15 110/2 | 3/12 6/2 55/13 71/1 |
| 89/22 90/21 103/2 | overlap [1] 112/16 | 71/10 71/16 77/18 |
| 105/21 114/7 | overlaps [2] 113/1 | 77/19 81/21 82/1 |
| 118/11 118/22 | 115/7 | 91/15 124/17 |
| 119/2 119/24 | overpermitted [1] | 124/22 125/3 |
| 120/13 | 91/18 | panelists [1] 65/24 |
| outcrop [4] 97/18 | oversee [1] 79/18 | paper [1] 118/22 |
| 97/21 98/2 98/3 | overwintering [1] | paragraph [8] 7/24 |
| outfit [2] 53/15 | 118/23 | 8/17 9/12 10/5 |
| 53/17 | own [1] 24/5 | 12/14 13/17 13/19 |
| outlined [1] 92/18 | P | 34/7 |
| outrageous [1] | pack [1] 69/17 | parallel [1] 122/2 parameters [2] |
| 124/1 | package [1] 75/11 | $6 / 217 / 1$ |
| outset [1] 46/12 outside [2] 58/7 | packed [2] 69/20 | parcel [12] 12/15 |
| $99 / 3$ | 69/23 | 12/22 13/1 13/18 |
| outstand | pad [2] 80/13 80/14 | 13/22 13/23 15/16 |
| 66/23 | pads [6] 89/16 | 18/10 75/20 76/18 |
| outweigh [1] 13/24 | 89/21 91/16 92/1 | 76/22 104/5 |
| over [5] 56/5 71/22 |  | PARSONS [76] 2/4 |
| 76/8 107/15 121/14 | 4/10 7/18 8/1 | 2/17 3/13 17/6 |
| overall [2] 32/8 | 4/10 7/18 8/14 | 43/11 43/15 43/24 |
| 78/5 | 32/4 32 | 44/4 44/10 45/2 |
| overbrow | 32/4 32/17 33/23 | 45/7 45/10 45/11 |
| 60/16 | 33/24 44/15 44/16 | 45/17 45/21 45/24 |
| overestimate [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 44 / 1844 / 1944 / 23 \\ & 47 / 13 \\ & 49 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 46/8 46/13 46/17 |


| P | 36/7 38/22 44/13 | per [1] 117/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARSONS... [57] | 45/1 48/6 56/8 84/3 | percent [5] 10/ |
| 46/20 46/24 47/4 | 118/15 | 10/11 27/17 70/15 |
| 47/15 47/19 47/20 | particularly [6] | 86/3 |
| 48/4 48/16 48/19 | 32/4 33/22 42/5 | percentage [1] |
| 48/22 49/3 55/16 | 45/19 104/2 | 10/1 |
| 56/10 56/12 56/16 |  |  |
| 57/1 57/7 57/10 | parties [1] 132/12 | perform [1] 53/15 |
| 57/13 57/17 58/4 | partly [2] 98/11 | perhaps [6] 11/1 |
| 58/23 59/1 59/4 | 98/12 <br> party [1] 11/8 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 / 678 / 20108 / 14 \\ & 126 / 6129 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 59/10 59/14 59/19 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { party [1] 11/8 } \\ \text { Pass [4] 1/8 26/23 } \end{array}$ | period [1] 76/8 |
| 60/13 60/24 61/2 $61 / 562 / 1262 / 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass [4] 1/8 } 26 \\ & \mathbf{3 0 / 1 7} 105 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | periods [1] 69/17 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 61/5 62/12 62/18 } \\ 63 / 17 \text { 64/1 64/3 } \end{array}$ | past [4] 56/5 71/22 | permanent [1] |
| 64/11 64/14 64/18 | 80/5 108/21 | 32/11 |
| 64/20 65/5 65/9 | patch [2] 10/23 | permission [1] |
| 65/14 66/3 66/6 | 66/15 | 115/14 |
| 66/13 67/6 67/17 | patches [1] 26/23 | permit [11] 21/17 |
| 67/22 68/11 68/19 | pathway [1] 69/3 | 22/10 23/22 31/22 |
| 68/21 69/6 70/12 | Patnaude [3] 1/24 | 32/4 51/17 53/5 |
| 70/20 70/23 71/6 | 132/3 132/17 | 74/3 90/12 90/13 |
| part [16] 6/7 9/8 | pavement [2] 99/1 | 100/21 |
| 24/21 24/21 30/12 | 99/3 | permits [8] 21/16 |
| 42/3 46/7 47/2 | paying [1] 122/8 | 23/20 24/4 24/6 |
| 57/11 57/15 64/13 | Pembroke [8] | 25/4 82/21 100/24 |
| 81/20 90/12 103/1 | 27/21 30/15 83/23 | 123/21 |
| 120/24 128/4 | 84/4 84/20 101/12 | permitted [2] 24/22 |
| partially [2] 13/6 | 101/14 110/1 | 92/21 |
| 13/7 | penetrate [1] 97/6 | permitting [2] |
| particular [14] | people [7] 79/1 | 114/5 114/6 |
| 9/17 22/15 29/16 | 120/4 121/2 122/12 | person [3] 26/7 |
| 30/1 31/11 32/24 | 123/2 123/6 123/15 | 78/23 122/15 |

$\mathbf{P}$
personal [1] 11/6 personally [2]
54/16 89/24
perspective [1] 85/8
phase [3] 114/15
115/23 116/8
phrase [2] 74/19 115/21
physically [3] 63/1 106/20 107/5 pick [1] 125/16 picked [1] 107/9 picking [3] 106/20 106/22 106/24 piece [5] 23/8 42/21 88/11 97/15 97/20 pine [2] 11/5 125/8 place [9] 15/19 51/9 63/22 81/14 93/11 93/21 94/6 116/22 132/6
placed [1] 92/9 places [9] 79/12 92/12 92/15 92/18 93/10 117/1 117/2 122/10 130/17 plan [14] 15/15 15/16 18/11 46/7 49/18 49/23 50/23 51/20 52/1 84/18

85/5 98/24 100/4 100/5
planning [2] 118/3 119/11
plans [13] 18/5
28/20 35/3 49/21
73/20 73/20 84/14
94/21 96/6 98/21
98/22 109/12
110/14
plant [4] 22/1 22/7 50/22 51/6
plants [5] 50/24 76/8 84/6 103/17 110/11
please [17] 19/16 32/3 32/6 32/19
33/22 34/4 35/23 38/18 43/20 44/13 44/17 44/23 47/7 50/7 50/17 83/21 105/1
pogonia [2] 5/17 105/4
point [15] 6/20 7/16 post-construction
10/3 10/16 24/19 29/22 40/20 40/21 43/18 61/4 66/7
71/24 72/22 79/15 123/16
poles [1] 108/22
pool [4] 31/23

92/14 92/16 100/6 pools [13] 30/23 31/3 31/7 31/16 32/11 32/22 33/4 33/6 91/11 92/6 92/10 99/23 99/24 population [6] 9/9 30/9 30/12 84/4 84/11 84/20
populations [6] 9/6
13/13 28/21 105/7
125/10 125/12
portion [2] 30/19 123/18
position [9] 14/13
14/19 23/15 24/10
25/2 25/3 41/14
41/14 123/9
positive [4] 10/21 11/19 11/19 11/20 possible [5] 19/1 28/4 29/23 120/20 130/23
post [2] 35/3 42/7 [2] 35/3 42/7
potential [19] 10/10 38/6 48/7 48/11
75/13 104/9 105/6 106/7 106/11 110/6 112/8 112/8 119/1 124/13 124/14

| $\mathbf{P}$ | prefiled [7] 10/17 | 110/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| potential... [4] | 12/23 12/24 30 | prior [4] 13/16 51/2 |
| 128/16 129/14 | 33/20 52/15 102/16 | 81/15 118/21 |
| 130/13 130/17 | preparation [2] | proactive [1] 17/19 |
| Potentially [2] 47/4 | 51/3 51/8 | probably [13] 21/2 |
| 81/5 | prepared [2] 14/10 | 46/1 65/23 66/18 |
| potentials [1] 82/24 | 94/11 | 69/12 80/19 86/3 |
| powdery [1] 69/13 | presence [10] 62/20 | 94/15 110/7 115/11 |
| power [2] 70/1 | 65/15 78/22 114/2 | 119/5 129/22 |
| 109/9 | 117/15 117/17 | 129/24 |
| practicable [2] | 117/24 118/12 | problem [3] 16/2 |
| 74/20 74/24 | 118/18 119/3 | 63/20 122/11 |
| practices [8] 2/23 | present [10] 1/12 | problems [1] 36/5 |
| 44/23 73/10 87/18 | 1/19 16/6 41/7 | proceed [4] 41/8 |
| 105/16 105/21 | 41/12 63/10 65/16 | 41/10 79/7 115/14 |
| 116/1 116/10 | 75/21 116/12 132/8 | proceedings [2] |
| practices/BMPs [1] | preserve [2] 68/14 | 77/16 132/6 |
| 105/21 | 68/14 | process [6] 24/8 |
| pre [4] 34/22 78/19 | preserving [1] | 77/3 80/10 93/16 |
| 114/14 127/21 | 68/17 | 100/11 100/18 |
| pre-construction | Presiding [2] 1/13 | processes [2] |
| [3] 34/22 78/19 | 1/13 | 100/15 102/19 |
| 114/14 | pressure [1] 117/13 | proctoring [1] |
| pre-survey [1] | pretty [10] 29/8 | 102/9 |
| 127/21 | 34/3 66/10 78/13 | professional [3] |
| precautions [1] | 87/14 97/22 103/11 | 23/8 50/12 55/8 |
| 81/14 | 103/14 114/15 | Program [1] 50/10 |
| predate [1] 69/8 | 116/21 | prohibit [1] 46/22 |
| predation [1] 69/22 | prevent [1] 73/16 | project [80] 4/20 |
| predators [1] 69/4 | previous [4] 48/24 | 5/6 5/15 5/22 8/1 |
| preferably [1] | 56/16 56/19 59/6 | 8/18 9/2 9/13 9/18 |
| 15/13 | primary [2] 42/7 | 9/22 10/6 10/18 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 129/12 | provision [1] 58/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| project... [68] | proof [1] 84/23 | psi [1] 117/10 |
| 10/21 11/9 11/9 | proper [1] 81/13 | PSNH [1] 108/20 |
| 11/20 14/5 14/7 | property [2] 13/7 | public [7] 1/9 1/13 |
| 15/6 15/10 17/8 | 84/5 | 1/14 1/17 18/5 |
| 17/13 19/20 20/3 | proportionally [2] | 102/7 109/16 |
| 20/16 20/22 21/1 | 15/24 16/7 | Public's [1] 3/4 <br> pull [8] 32/2 33/21 |
| 21/4 21/8 21/11 | proposal [1] 98/8 proposed [7] 8/2 | pull [8] 32/2 33/21 |
| 21/14 21/21 23/11 | proposed [7] 8/2 <br> 8/18 20/15 21/20 | 35/22 38/18 43/20 <br> 44/12 47/5 51/20 |
| 23/16 24/12 24/23 | 22/7 48/8 84/10 |  |
| 25/5 25/7 25/15 | protect [5] 61/6 | purposes [1] 45/15 |
| 26/14 30/9 30/17 | $105 / 17 \text { 105/19 }$ | pursue [1] 130/18 |
| 31/10 31/15 34/11 | 105/17 105/19 | pursue [1] 130/18 <br> pushing [1] 60/19 |
| $35 / 537 / 5$ 37/11 $38 / 1038 / 13 ~ 43 / 7$ | protecting [2] | put [16] 18/2 18/17 |
| 38/10 38/13 43/7 | 47/17 82/14 | 36/21 36/22 39/7 |
| 44/8 45/14 46/4 | protection [4] 22/1 | 76/18 81/14 83/9 |
| 52/10 52/17 61/13 | 22/7 61/9 127/5 | 83/17 93/23 94/18 |
| 65/17 69/24 70/1 | protective [3] 40/15 | 96/17 99/1 100/23 |
| 78/13 79/18 83/1 | 40/24 41/5 | 116/22 119/8 |
| 87/3 87/8 90/2 | protocols [1] | putting [1] 88/2 |
| 101/14 101/15 | 116/22 | Q |
| 102/22 104/13 |  | qualification [2] |
| 111/13 117/5 | 35/11 57/17 57/22 <br> 61/8 94/12 | 51/14 51/15 |
| 125/11 126/5 | provided [5] 11/10 | qualified [3] 50/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126/19 129/7 } \\ & \text { 130/21 } \end{aligned}$ | 12/8 14/15 17/16 | $51 / 252 / 11$ |
| Project is [1] 37/5 | 59/4 | $104 / 17$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Project's [1] 23/12 } \\ \text { projects [8] 4/18 } \\ 69 / 2185 / 2486 / 8 \\ 86 / 8 ~ 89 / 4 ~ 129 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | Provided affirmative [1] <br> 12/8 <br> providing [1] 60/5 | qualitative [1] <br> 104/14 <br> qualitatively [1] <br> 128/24 |


| Q | 105/22 121/15 | 94/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quality [4] 19/22 | 12 | dily [4] 60/ |
| 22/23 31/6 31/15 | R | 60/12 60/22 125/21 |
| quarter [2] 56/22 | Rachel [2] 1/17 | ready [2] 3/6 |
| quarters [1] 85/6 | 102/6 | 109/14 |
| quarters [1] 85/ | radii [1] 28/13 | real [5] 42/10 74/21 |
| 7/2 12/2 13/15 14/9 | radio [1] 114/9 | 99/6 116/21 120/15 |
| 14/12 28/1 29/8 | raised [1] 40/10 | real-time [1] |
| 33/10 64/17 66/6 |  | 116/21 |
| 68/24 72/5 79/2 |  | real-world [1] |
| 80/3 82/2 83/18 |  | 120/15 |
| 101/10 106/17 | rangewid | alize |
| 107/20 110/24 | 10/14 | really [30] 27/20 |
| 119/22 | rank [2] 31/6 31/15 | 64/23 67/13 73/14 |
| questioning [3] | ranked [2] 46/6 | 79/8 79/12 84/17 |
| 33/11 49/4 83/7 | 46/9 | 86/9 93/3 93/10 |
| questions [30] 2/10 | ranking [1] 33/2 | 93/22 97/14 100/5 |
| 19/14 26/19 27/22 | rapport [2] 123/13 | 104/10 104/12 |
| 36/3 43/9 47/20 | 123/14 | 104/13 106/6 |
| 49/9 55/11 55/13 | raptor [1] 58/20 | 106/24 108/17 |
| 55/20 55/23 65/23 | rare [8] 50/24 73/7 | 109/12 111/12 |
| 66/2 67/17 67/24 | lare [8] 50/24 | 111/13 121/16 |
| 70/20 70/23 71/9 | 110/11 129/3 129/4 | 122/5 122/5 122/8 |
| 71/14 71/15 74/6 | 129/20 | 122/22 125/14 |
| 74/10 85/14 85/18 | rate [1] 10 | 128/2 129/20 |
| 102/14 111/22 | rather [2] 39/7 | reanalysis [3] 37/20 |
| 119/14 124/17 |  | 38/5 112/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124/23 } \\ & \text { quick [1] 106/17 } \\ & \text { quickly [1] 8/15 } \\ & \text { quite [4] 98/22 } \end{aligned}$ | RE [2] 1/7 2/17 <br> reach [1] 72/9 <br> read [6] 4/14 7/12 <br> 13/19 32/21 72/11 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { reanalyzed [1] } \\ 112 / 4 \\ \text { reason [13] } 7 / 3 \\ 22 / 427 / 927 / 12 \end{array}$ |

reason... [9] 27/13
31/19 32/14 32/22
33/12 53/19 53/24 108/10 129/21
reasonable [6] 9/7
27/2 36/15 39/8 39/8 84/24
reasons [2] 9/20 82/15
recall [4] 27/1 30/13 70/4 70/7 received [3] 18/7 38/2 64/23
recent [4] 48/18 48/21 84/18 105/20 recently [1] 30/13 recess [2] 71/11 131/8
recommend [1] 18/22
recommendation
[6] 36/18 58/19
64/19 70/9 94/5
94/13
recommendations
[1] 24/19
recommended [8] 2/22 26/14 44/8
44/23 45/5 61/24 90/24 130/9
recommends [1]

117/8
record [11] 2/17 8/6 8/7 18/3 18/17 27/3 44/14 57/11 57/15 70/16 131/7 records [1] 116/15 recreational [1] 68/22
red [1] 69/14
redirect [4] 2/8
70/23 124/22
124/24
reduce [1] 46/21 reduced [10] 10/20
15/21 15/24 16/9
27/15 32/9 32/12 66/19 66/20 84/14 reduction [2] 9/14 11/18
reductions [2] 28/3 29/23
reference [6] 12/4 12/14 50/2 73/2 83/20 117/2
referenced [1]

## 16/15

references [1] 45/5 referring [3] 4/15 13/23 51/21
reflection [1] 127/24
regard [24] 5/3 6/4

6/18 7/13 7/16
12/22 16/14 17/1
21/3 22/1 25/3
26/13 26/16 27/23
32/21 37/14 37/19
41/16 43/22 49/5
50/5 50/9 126/12 126/23
regard to [1] 16/14 regarding [6] 20/24
52/8 83/7 107/23
111/7 125/4
regardless [1] 55/8
regards [4] 107/21
113/9 114/16
115/24
Regional [2] 94/24 104/5
regulations [9] 82/5
82/8 82/13 82/17
82/20 100/12 122/4 122/13 122/13
regulators [1] 31/10
regulatory [5] 24/4 24/5 53/8 83/13

## 115/9

related [7] 19/14
28/11 43/13 47/11
59/11 86/4 132/11
relates [1] 34/14
relating [3] 49/6

## R

relating... [2] 52/2 69/24
relating or [1]
69/24
relative [3] 28/13
103/10 132/13
relatively [1] 34/10 release [1] 112/10 released [2] 35/19 112/5
relevant [2] 25/11
113/13
relief [1] 19/2
relocated [1] 28/6
relocating [1] 28/18 remains [1] 59/14 remember [4] 27/7 76/20 81/10 91/20 reminded [1] 54/20 remnant [1] 9/6 removal [1] 41/16 remove [1] 107/1 removed [8] 13/8 36/5 38/22 39/3 39/9 40/11 40/22 76/23
rendering [1] 4/6 renders [1] 5/2 repeat [1] 102/12 rephrase [1] 106/7 report [8] 33/19

33/24 92/18 127/10 127/13 127/19 128/9 128/16
reporter [4] 1/24 37/7 132/4 132/18
reporting [4] 51/13 52/11 54/2 123/1
Reports [1] 27/8 represent [4] 27/16 45/4 50/22 56/19 reproduction [1] 9/15
reptiles [3] 81/7
87/16 91/7
request [2] 2/17
57/19
requested [1] 36/22
required [7] 24/10
25/6 31/10 52/10
52/19 53/12 117/3
requirement [3] 50/9 50/23 76/7
requirements [9]
51/14 51/15 52/2
52/6 52/12 100/14
100/15 116/6 125/17
requires [2] 22/11 49/17
rerouting [1] 84/13
research [2] 126/1
126/2
resident [1] 37/16 resource [2] 82/15 85/4
resources [9] 13/3
34/21 52/20 52/23
53/11 78/19 85/7
103/6 121/9
respect [6] 26/9 58/2 58/20 59/5 66/13 83/13
respond [1] 107/8 response [4] 29/4
70/21 124/20 127/1
responses [1] 74/8
responsibility [4]
72/10 73/19 78/6
83/13
responsible [1]
122/2
rest [2] 70/24 71/10 restoration [5] 13/4 15/16 15/18 17/20 104/4
restore [3] 13/1 13/6 77/4
restored [3] 13/14
75/24 77/2
restricting [1] 108/16
restriction [11]
15/12 17/11 35/12 36/6 36/19 36/24

| R | REYNOLDS [69] | 29/24 30/12 30/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| riction... [5] | 2/5 3/14 6/5 6/12 | 31/3 31/11 |
| 39/4 40/12 40/18 | 6/17 24/15 25/1 | 34/1 34/24 35/21 |
| 40/23 41/9 | 25/9 26/2 | 36/10 38/23 40/2 |
| restrictions [19] | 33/18 34/16 34/18 | /13 41/21 42/2 |
| 17/24 22/12 36/13 | 35/8 35/16 36/8 | 1/3 43/6 44/21 |
| 36/16 37/2 38/22 | 36/12 36/18 37/4 | 45/19 46/11 46/ |
| 39/6 39/9 39/10 | 37/13 37/17 37/2 | 46/18 46/23 48/ |
| 39/15 39/16 40/13 | 38/24 39/5 39/13 | 49/4 52/1 53/3 |
| 40/16 41/4 41/17 | 39/17 40/8 40/16 | 53/13 53/23 54/1 |
| 71/21 72/2 74/12 | 40/20 41/1 41/11 | 55/6 58/8 58/11 |
| 96/11 | 41/22 42/3 42/13 | 58/14 58/15 58/1 |
| restruction [1] 3 | 42/18 43/4 43/8 | 66/1 67/15 70/5 |
| result [4] 15/24 | 43/11 72/22 96/10 | 1/13 72/19 74/13 |
| 23/23 90/7 115/10 | 96/24 97/3 104/6 | 79/2 81/17 84/8 |
| results [3] 35/13 | 110/3 111/22 112/4 | 84/9 84/10 85/7 |
| 109/3 115/4 | 112/20 112/24 | 86/16 87/1 88/2 |
| umed [2] 2 | 113/4 113/7 113/12 | 88/22 88/22 91/20 |
| 71/12 | 114/19 116/1 116/9 | 92/17 93/8 95/4 |
| resumé [2] 16/2 | 116/14 117/18 | 9/17 95/22 96/7 |
| 85/19 | 117/23 118/3 | /9 98/4 99/10 |
| review [11] 8/14 | 119/12 121/20 | 99/13 99/14 100/7 |
| 11/8 20/15 24/18 | 121/21 124/6 124/7 | 100/9 101/1 101/ |
| 50/18 58/10 73/19 | 126/18 127/1 | 103/21 105/10 |
| 92/5 95/5 95/15 | 128/14 129/6 130/8 | 109/22 109/2 |
| 96/2 | 130/23 | 111/2 111/4 11 |
| reviewed [7] 2/17 | right [103] 5/10 | 111/15 112/23 |
| 11/2 20/10 21/10 | 5/19 6/14 8/10 8/13 | 113/5 121/1 12 |
| 1/4 57/995/12 | 13/11 13/18 14/20 | 121/16 12 |
| ewing | 15/15 16/18 17/23 | 124/15 129/18 |
|  | 20/22 21/12 21/15 | 131/2 |
| revised [1] 38/5 | 22/17 26/23 27/6 | right-of-way [31] |

right-of-way... [31]
15/15 17/23 26/23
30/12 30/20 43/3 43/6 45/19 46/16 46/18 46/23 48/8 58/8 58/11 58/14 58/15 58/17 70/5 84/8 84/9 85/7 95/4 95/17 98/4 99/10 103/21 109/22
109/24 111/2 111/4 111/5
rigorous [1] 15/9 risk [4] 34/11 66/18 66/18 66/22 risks [1] 82/12
River [1] 73/3 road [24] 28/6 29/12 30/19 84/10 84/13 84/19 89/8 89/10 90/3 90/8
92/14 92/17 93/15
95/7 95/18 99/10 99/11 99/19 99/23 100/1 100/1 101/15 101/21 110/1 road-building [1] 90/8
roads [12] 28/18 29/3 29/7 29/20 89/16 89/21 91/16

91/20 92/8 95/2 95/8 110/19
rock [3] 97/5 97/24 130/12
rocks [1] 89/9
rocky [4] 39/12
41/19 41/24 42/11
role [4] 20/14 20/15 53/2 54/17
roles [1] 77/21
roll [1] 66/13
room [3] 80/15
80/15 80/19
roost [8] 41/20 42/1
42/16 97/7 98/12
114/11 119/1
128/20
roosting [4] 34/19
42/22 114/8 118/7
roosts [1] 97/11
round [1] 39/12
route [8] 30/11
35/13 46/5 80/23
110/5 110/19 124/9 130/21
routing [1] 46/11
RSA [1] 132/19
rule [1] 19/19
rules [2] 34/20
122/17
run [2] 97/19 107/15
running [1] 8/10 runs [1] 86/9
S
safe [2] 98/23 99/3
safety [4] 121/24
122/3 122/12
122/16
said [17] 20/10
25/14 28/5 29/2
36/4 36/13 45/12
46/14 56/24 68/17
93/10 93/12 100/13
103/4 105/15
109/11 123/11
same [18] 20/20
20/23 21/1 21/13
28/24 32/17 36/10
38/4 55/8 58/19
100/20 100/22
101/20 119/22
122/1 125/19 127/4 129/19
sample [2] 97/12

## 118/16

sampled [3] 127/15
127/16 129/8
sampling [4] 104/7
112/24 112/24
118/17
satisfied [4] 61/3
61/5 74/11 101/22
saturated [1] 60/14

| S | screen [2] 7/20 47/8 | 88/20 90/15 92/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| saw [2] 59/6 106/19 | Seacoast [1] 89/5 | 102/20 102/23 |
| say [37] 10/8 11/18 | seal [1] 98/7 | /12 106/21 |
| 21/2 22/9 30/24 | searched [1] 130/20 | 108/4 123/11 13 |
| 38/20 39/11 41/22 | season [5] 17/10 | seeing [5] 8/5 27/3 |
| 45/8 51/12 52/9 | 94/9 108/13 108/16 | 85/5 110/14 124/3 |
| 58/9 80/14 80/23 | 109/1 | seem [6] 73/8 8 |
| 81/1 82/15 83/9 | seasonally [1] | 92/8 102/17 115/2 |
| 86/19 88/20 88/21 | 115/2 | 124/1 |
| 88/22 88/24 94/11 | SEC [13] 1/6 1/7 | seemed [3] 59/8 |
| 9/16 98/24 99/2 | 1/19 1/20 1/21 2/10 | 86/12 100/13 |
| 99/3 101/12 103/8 | 2/17 24/5 24/16 | seems [7] 56/6 58 |
| 110/13 114/13 | 34/20 53/7 100/11 | 58/12 71/6 85/20 |
| 114/19 117/22 | 100/13 | 7/13 87/23 |
| 123/12 128/5 | second [4] 34/8 | seen [19] 3/23 |
| /14 129/24 | 43/22 54/17 117/6 | 4/19 18/18 35/18 |
| saying [5] 23/19 | secondly [1] 63/4 | 45/1 51/10 |
| 24/2 53/14 67/4 | section [6] 11/15 | 52/5 70/13 73 |
| /8 | 48/9 89/15 98/20 | 93/15 |
| says [8] 10/6 28/23 | 98/23 109/21 | 108/3 1 |
| 32/8 39/20 86/20 | sections [1] 87/4 | $7 / 1$ 123/2 |
| /104/14 128/16 | sediment [1] 73/19 | sees [1] 121/14 |
| scale [1] 86/7 | sedimentation [3] | segments [1] 35/1 |
| nario [1] 129/19 | 73/16 111/1 11 | selfish [1] 102/14 |
| science [2] 86/18 | see [33] 7/19 8/20 | semi [1] 90/5 |
| /23 | 11/14 12/15 18/16 | semi-vertical [1] |
| entist [1] 50/11 | 27/5 32/8 | 90/5 |
| scientists [1] 120/2 | 40 | Ise [2] |
| scope [2] 6/7 | 45/15 50/9 50/14 | sensing [1] 65/15 |
| 125/13 | 52/2 58/16 60/2 | asitive [1] 51 |
| SCOTT [2] 2/5 | 61/21 62/2 63/2 | [2] 18/15 |
|  | 63/7 84/17 88/19 | sentence [4] 13/19 |


| S | setting [1] 78/18 | 3/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sentence... [3] | seven [2] 24/17 | 7/15 58/21 |
| 13/20 34/8 35/1 | 24/20 | 65/11 70/9 70 |
| separate [5] 65/10 | several [4] 38/24 | 9/17 82/16 90 |
| 112/17 112/18 | 80/24 111/5 121/23 | 93/11 93/12 93/18 |
| 112/21 131/11 | severe [1] 108/6 | 116/7 121/17 |
| September [2] | shake [1] 63/1 | shoulder [1] 99/11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sepenner } 121 \\ & \mathbf{1 0 8 / 1 4 1 0 8 / 1 4} \end{aligned}$ | shaking [1] $27 / 5$ | shouldn't [2] 41/2 |
| Serv [1] 1/15 | shall [2] 44/1 51/17 | 66/24 |
| serve [1] 53/2 | shared [1] 85/2 | show [1] 118/18 |
| served [2] 54/14 | she [4] 82/2 82/3 | showed [1] 19/18 |
| 54/17 | 82/5 82/5 | showing [1] 4/11 |
| service [30] 1/9 | sheet [2] 51/20 52 | shown [1] 92/3 |
| 3/23 4/2 4/23 5/2 | sheets [4] 49/19 | shrub [1] 102/9 |
| 5/8 5/13 5/21 6/3 | 49/22 49/23 50/23 | shutting [2] 82/24 |
| 6/16 7/4 7/17 7/2 | shift [2] 30/19 | 83/1 |
| 10/6 12/4 12/7 | 101/21 | side [6] 52/1 84/7 |
| 13/21 16/15 16/21 | shifted [1] 101/14 | 84/8 95/9 95/10 |
| 16/24 20/8 25/12 | shifting [2] 30/10 | 100/3 |
| 26/1 26/2 37/4 | 77/14 | sideroads [1] 94 |
| 37/10 37/19 47/23 | shifts [1] 101/15 | sight [1] 58/15 |
| 53/15 76/22 | shock [1] 117/9 | sighted [1] 63/23 |
| Service's [2] 17/17 | shocking [1] 119/13 | significant [6] |
| 25/19 | short [5] 61/16 | 34/21 78/22 83/4 |
| Session [3] 1/4 | 63/11 109/21 | 85/7 99/20 115/6 |
| /10 131/13 | 18 128/21 | significantly [1] |
| [7] 10/22 15/23 | Shorthand [1] | 15/21 |
| /18 114/12 |  | t [4] 90/16 90/ |
| /23 129/15 | shot [1] 71/2 | 1/19 |
| 132/7 | should [24] 6/20 | silvicultural |
| setback [1] 117/10 | 14/23 23/1 30/9 | 59/22 |


| S | 3 97/8 | 127/14 127/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| since [7] 9/5 10/17 | sixth [2] 6/18 7/8 | $4129 / 912$ |
| 15/20 17/8 22/8 | size [3] 80/14 80/1 | 130/6 130/18 |
| 18 61/21 | 80/19 | 130/20 130/22 |
| single [1] 26/7 | 122/23 132/8 | TH [1 |
| site [30] $\mathbf{1 / 2} \mathbf{1 / 1 0}$ | 122/23 132/8 | nake [3] 88/5 |
| 1/12 18/4 18/11 | skipper [2] 65/7 | 88/21 89/3 |
| 19/18 28/21 29/1 | 125/8 | akes [3] |
| 29/21 34/9 51/2 | slab [1] 42/20 | 88/17 90/ |
| 51/8 73/10 75/19 | sleeping [1] 118/19 | snow [14] 15/ |
| 77/4 78/17 78/21 | small [35] 5/17 9/6 | 59/7 59/10 62/19 |
| 90/16 91/6 104/13 | 25/22 36/9 38/15 | 2/20 63/9 |
| 106/23 113/17 | 41/18 41/23 42/4 | 63/19 69/13 69/20 |
| 117/5 117/8 118/ | 43/2 61/17 69/1 | 69/23 74/19 93/19 |
| 119/7 123/7 123/8 | 84/15 84/15 104/1 | 108/3 |
| 123/9 124/2 | 104/21 105/3 | snowmobile [5] |
| site-specific [1] | 108/17 110/3 111/1 | 46/15 47/1 69/2 |
| 34/9 | 113/19 114/3 | /870/10 |
| sites [17] 43/1 | 123/18 126/21 | snowmobiling |
| 64/10 78/6 106/10 | /427/12 | 46/23 |
| 106/19 112/7 112/9 | 127/13 12 | snowy [1] 69/16 |
| 112/10 118/7 119/1 | 127/22 129/4 129/9 | so |
| 119/1 124/10 127/9 | 129/14 130/6 | social [1] 60/17 |
| 127/15 127/16 | 130/18 130/20 | 0/17 |
| /15 130/18 | 130/22 | 1] 13/11 |
| ing [1] 46/12 | small-footed [24] | solely [1] 53/6 |
| [1] 84/7 | 25/22 36/9 38/15 | some [74] 4/11 |
| ting [1] 116/16 | 41/18 41/23 | 16 14/10 1 |
| tuation [3] 18/24 | 104/11 104/21 | 20/10 21/4 22/16 |
| 05/22 122/18 | 110/3 113/19 114/3 | 26/19 29/3 29 |
| situations [1] 92/19 | 12 | 29/6 42/6 44/2 44/4 |
| six [4] 5/8 24/20 | 127/12 127/13 | 45/13 46/8 46/14 |


| S | 88/20 88/21 88/22 | sounds [3] 16/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| some... [56] 49/5 | 88/24 90/17 101/11 | 14 |
| 49/21 50/2 53/5 | 101/18 111/12 | 1 |
| 56/6 56/6 59/20 | 116/11 116/12 | 110/1 |
| 60/5 60/13 61/17 | 121/8 123/12 | southern [2] |
| 62/6 71/15 72/7 | 123/12 124/3 | 129/17 |
| 73/2 73/8 74/6 | sometimes [1] | spaces [1] 98/10 |
| 74/14 75/17 77/22 | 120/24 | speak [3] 17/19 |
| 78/19 80/4 83/22 | somewhat [1] 97/11 | 18/23 109/21 |
| 85/1 87/24 | soon [1] 64/5 | speaking [1] 61/19 |
| 91/23 92/3 92/24 | sorry [17] 6/19 | specialist [1] 85/4 |
| 99/13 100/4 100/14 | 30/7 37/8 41/22 | specialists [1] 120 |
| 102/11 103/22 | 50/15 54/20 56/14 | species [61] 5/4 |
| 105 | 59/1 64/7 64/22 | 5/10 5/16 5/23 |
| 105/13 105/18 | 68/3 72/20 73/1 | 6/10 6/13 6/1 |
| 106/9 107/22 108/2 | 99/8 104/23 117/23 | 7/5 7/89/4 |
| 108/4 108/5 108/22 | sort [20] 10/2 14/1 | 10/10 11/ |
| 114/11 114/19 | 19/2 65/20 66/8 | 24/1 |
| 115/12 115/22 | 75/17 87/1 88/3 | 25/10 25/18 |
| 117/2 117/11 119/4 | 89/14 89/22 90/19 | 26/3 34/13 34/18 |
| 119/8 120/21 122/1 | 91/13 91/17 92/21 | 36/20 37/1 38 |
| 125/17 126/8 130/9 | 103/22 108/24 | 64/16 65/13 65/1 |
| somebody [3] 88/24 | 122/4 122/9 125/15 | 65/21 67/14 69 |
| 116/16 123/4 | 127/21 | 69/18 73/3 73/7 |
| someone [4] 53/3 | Soucook [1] 73/3 | 73/11 74/4 99/14 |
| 113/14 115/7 | sought [1] 11/7 | 104/9 104/18 |
| 121/18 | sound [8] 27/6 | 109/5 1 |
| someone' | 42/24 116/2 116/6 | 9/10 112/15 |
|  | 116/19 116/23 | 8113 |
| something | 11 | 115/7 118/24 125/6 |
| $18 / 319 / 1440 / 9$ | sound/vibration [1] | 126/2 126/12 |

species... [5] 126/16 127/6 127/22 128/1 128/7
species' [2] 9/14 10/13
specific [3] 34/9 46/2 73/2
specifically [5]
41/15 44/10 105/15
111/4 111/9
specifics [2] 59/4 81/10
specified [1] 51/15
spent [2] 45/24
83/23
split [1] 87/3
spoke [2] 25/12 61/13
spoken [2] 18/13 19/3
spots [1] 42/15
spotted [2] 105/12 106/15
spread [2] 60/17 109/11
Spring [1] 118/11 square [13] 10/7 10/19 11/19 11/23
13/24 14/5 26/24
27/10 27/15 28/4
29/11 32/9 32/12
squares [1] 92/2 staff [1] 26/7 staffing [2] 53/22 54/1
stage [1] 100/4 stance [1] 17/3 standard [1] 8/24 standpoint [2] 95/6 96/3
start [6] 3/21 55/16 55/21 56/1 102/23 129/6
started [2] 81/3 90/10
starting [1] 13/17
starts [1] 34/7
state [17] 1/1 2/22
20/4 25/21 28/8
31/9 34/12 44/9
53/8 65/7 65/9 83/1
108/7 114/5 125/18
125/24 130/1
state-listed [2]
25/21 125/18
stated [4] 31/17
91/14 105/4 127/20
statement [3] 28/9
32/21 128/22
statements [1] 82/3
states [1] 42/10
stations [1] 87/5
stature [1] 61/17
status [2] 22/3 125/23
stay [2] 55/18 59/24
stenographic [1]
132/5
steps [1] 106/12
stepwise [1] 93/16
Steven [3] 1/24
132/3 132/17
still [14] 14/6 14/12
23/16 23/23 24/12
25/7 28/4 37/18
63/19 66/23 79/15
83/22 84/19 126/19
stipulated [1] 17/17
stone [4] 89/10
89/17 89/19 90/1
stones [1] 89/13
stop [9] 15/2 53/4
117/14 117/18
120/14 121/3 121/7
123/1 123/4
straight [1] 95/7
straightforward [1] 103/11
straw [1] 119/18 streams [1] 111/1
Street [1] 1/4
strike [1] 112/14 stringent [1] 100/14
strong [2] 97/22

| S | 76/19 90/22 105/6 | 33/7 75/22 122/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| strong... [1] 104/19 <br> strongly [1] 18/22 | sufficiently [1] $130 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supporting [2] 9/20 } \\ & \mathbf{1 6} / 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| structure [5] 61/16 | suggest [2] 52/17 | suppose [5] 4/16 |
| 97/10 98/17 122/6 | 115/21 | 7/10 9/24 33/17 |
| 122/24 | suggested [5] 23/4 | 49/7 |
| structures [8] | 43/12 56/21 84/12 | supposed [1] 21/7 |
| 15/23 28/13 28/18 | 106/9 | sure [15] 6/10 29/2 |
| 29/3 29/6 42/14 | suggesting [5] | 33/13 40/16 49/24 |
| 42/22 98/17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22/24 23/6 46/21 } \\ & \text { 54/5 54/9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 71/23 80/1 81/11 } \\ & 86 / 1486 / 22 \text { 110/2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| struggle [1] 92/20 | suggests [1] 44/5 | 118/6 120/6 |
| studies [1] 26/8 <br> study [1] 6/8 | suitable [1] 75/19 | 124/11 |
| stuff [1] 102/11 | suite [1] 76/7 | surface [1] 97/4 |
| subartic [1] 63/6 | summary [1] 63/16 | surrogate [2] 16 |
| SUBCOMMITTEE | summer [8] 40/2 | 65/20 |
| [3] 1/12 2/10 2/17 | 76/8 97/7 118/5 | survey [18] 35/13 |
| SUBCOMMITTEE | 118/6 118/7 118/13 | 39/23 43/15 48/5 |
| /SITE [1] 1/12 | 128/19 | 58/2 58/5 58/6 |
| submitted [4] 18/5 | summers [1] 109/2 | 58/21 58/22 65/15 |
| 33/20 56/5 130/11 | Sunday [1] 38/2 supplant [1] 23/2 | 91/6 113/20 113/22 <br> 118/6 120/8 127/21 |
| subpopulation [2] 66/15 67/12 | supplant [1] 23/2 <br> supplement [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118/6 120/8 127/21 } \\ & 127 / 24130 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| substations [1] 87/6 | 112/5 | surveyed [1] 51/1 |
| subsurface [1] | supplemen | surveying [2] 63/14 |
| 117/3 | 12/24 36/14 52/15 | 127/17 |
| such [3] 49/19 | 53/10 103/2 105/10 | surveys [9] 39/18 |
| 69/11 103/15 | 130/12 | 39/21 39/21 40/4 |
| sufficient [12] | supplementary [1] | 40/8 104/15 114/2 |
| 30/21 52/20 52/23 | 10/17 | 118/14 118/20 |
| 53/11 65/12 73/15 | supplied [1] 38/8 | suspect [3] 70/9 |
| 74/3 74/5 75/13 | support [4] 33/6 | 90/2 90/6 |


| S | 12/13 26/15 54/2 | 14/1 14/6 32/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| swaths [1] 120/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56/9 } \\ & \text { talked }[7] \end{aligned} 27 / 20$ | ten [2] 71/8 111/24 ten-minute [1] 71/8 |
| sweep [3] 80/6 | 83/20 93/18 98/6 | tend [4] 99/18 |
| 80/16 81/15 | 100/10 101/13 | 112/16 112/18 |
| sweeps [1] 114/24 | 120/11 | 113/2 |
| T | talking [10] 17/21 | tens [1] 123/5 |
|  | 29/24 49/8 56/7 | term [4] 12/18 |
| Table [2] 27/4 | 83/24 99/9 99/10 | 41/11 87/14 1 |
| Table 21a | 123/17 |  |
| tables [1] 18/23 | $\boldsymbol{t a p}[1] 126 / 4$ | 33/10 53/22 54/1 |
| $\boldsymbol{t a g}$ [1] 114/9 | target [1] 10/2 | 60/15 61/ |
| tailboard [1] 51/7 | task [1] 120/20 | 11 |
| take [17] 7/11 | tasks [1] 80/6 | 82/14 82/20 97/ |
| 14/12 15/18 23/6 | taught [2] 63/5 | 110/11 111/9 |
| 50/16 54/23 60/2 | 116/13 | 113/15 117/24 |
| 71/8 79/10 80/17 | teach [1] 71/7 | 120/22 125/4 |
| 115/15 119/22 | team [2] 120/4 | testified [2] 28/3 |
| 119/23 119/24 | 123/10 | 30/6 |
| 120/21 123/9 131/5 | technical [2] 4/11 | testifying [1] 81/20 |
| taken [10] 1/23 | 27/8 | testimony [17] |
| 16/4 31/14 71/11 | technique [2] 28/24 | 10/18 12/24 15/20 |
| 12 114/17 | 110/10 | 25/14 30/8 31/ |
| 115/18 115/20 | temperature [1] | 33/20 36/14 52/15 |
| 131/8 132/6 | 110/24 | 53/10 80/5 86/10 |
| takes [2] 104/1 | temporally [1] 40 | 102/16 103/3 |
| /11 | te | 105/11 130/12 |
| ing [5] | 16/6 | 21/3 |
| /9 66/8 79/8 | temporary [10] | /1739/7 41/5 |
| 107/6 | 9/14 11/22 11/23 | 1276 |
| talk [6] 5/6 12/11 | 12/1 12/1 12/2 12/5 | 79/11 80/18 80/21 |


| T | 68/17 72/5 72/24 | 106/22 107/1 107/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| than... [13] 93/21 | 76/9 77/3 77/7 | 107/4 107/5 107/6 |
| 93/23 100/14 | 81/16 82/15 86/19 | 107/10 107/14 |
| 107/15 110/2 | 88/7 90/7 90/17 | 109/6 112/20 117/1 |
| 15/17 117/9 117/9 | 90/23 93/11 93/2 | 118/16 119/2 123/3 |
| 11/10 121/18 | 94/13 96/7 97/24 | 124/8 126/6 126/17 |
| 127/3 127/15 | 98/11 98/12 98/1 | 127/7 128/2 129 |
| 30/16 | 99/3 101/4 101/2 | 129/15 129/16 |
| thank [30] 6/18 | 103/20 110/5 | 129/17 129/21 |
| 8/11 9/11 18/1 | 111/11 116/14 | 13 |
| 18/21 34/4 55/10 | 116/21 121/10 | themselves [1] |
| 65/6 67/15 70/18 | their [30] 6/21 17/2 | 87/13 |
| 71/5 81/17 85/12 | 24/3 24/21 33/4 | then [46] 13/10 |
| 85/15 98/19 101/4 | 48/12 54/12 60/16 | 25/1 32/12 33/6 |
| 101/4 101/8 101/23 | 64/2 66/17 76/5 | 4 37/3 40/1 |
| 104/22 105/10 | 76/14 79/8 80 | 1/3 42/15 50/1 |
| 18 109/4 | 82/6 83/13 8 | 52/16 55/20 5 |
| 110/22 111/15 | 84/13 84/23 88/15 | 71/9 74/22 79/10 |
| 119/12 124/15 | 90/12 90/13 96/5 | 80/18 81/9 95/2 |
| 0/24 131/3 | 107/23 109/12 | 96/15 100/22 |
| that [642] | 112/5 114/7 119 | 101/12 101/21 |
| that's [62] 4/22 | 121/4 127/19 | 103/19 104/3 |
| 5/20 7/10 10/1 10/1 | them [52] 4/19 | 106/13 106/19 |
| 12/6 12/24 14/16 | 18/15 18/18 19/3 | 107/2 107/4 109/2 |
| 31/4 31/12 35/6 | 19/4 20/11 40/1 | 0/5 110/6 112/1 |
| 36/8 40/14 40/20 | 43/5 48/12 57/17 | 114/1 114/4 114/8 |
| 40/21 42/23 44/15 | 57/23 61/5 63/7 | 114/11 115/10 |
| 44/18 45/8 45/9 | 65/10 68/17 73/13 | 115/13 115/14 |
| 45/21 46/17 46/20 | 84/6 88/16 89/14 | 7/14 118/5 121/7 |
| 47/14 49/12 57 | 90 | 13 |
| 59/2 | 93 | 130/16 |
| 64/3 64/11 66/21 | 103/18 | theoretical [3] |

theoretical... [3]
86/12 86/13 110/15 there [93] 11/7 15/13 22/15 24/20
34/10 35/3 36/23 39/22 40/2 42/3 42/15 42/23 42/23 44/4 49/1 50/23
56/6 59/20 60/17 60/18 62/6 62/8 63/2 63/2 63/10 63/20 65/10 65/11 65/11 65/16 66/12 66/17 67/8 69/2 69/6 72/6 73/7 74/1 74/3 74/14 75/16 77/2 78/1 78/2 78/3 78/7 78/11 82/18 82/22 85/6 88/19 89/23 92/11 92/12 92/13 92/15 92/16 93/9 93/23 94/4 94/7 94/9 94/17 94/18 95/8 95/11 98/20 102/17 103/7 105/3 105/8 105/22 106/13 107/9
109/11 112/13
115/21 116/1
116/16 118/9 118/9 119/5 119/9 119/10

120/6 121/9 123/7
124/19 126/3 126/7
128/5 129/10
129/22
there's [55] 7/15
12/14 22/22 23/3 39/19 40/3 40/3 42/19 58/5 60/6 62/19 62/20 63/9
63/19 63/23 64/8 64/24 67/6 69/2
70/5 74/21 77/15 77/19 81/14 84/6 86/6 86/20 87/4 87/5 87/6 91/8 92/3 92/17 94/22 94/24 95/1 95/1 95/2
96/12 96/16 97/23
98/2 98/3 98/5
100/4 104/10 106/6
106/7 107/14 116/6
123/24 124/8
124/12 125/23
128/22
thermal [1] 111/7 these [47] 4/12 5/23 6/10 8/16 19/12 19/14 20/11 20/22 22/20 23/20 23/22 24/9 25/4 34/18 34/19 36/12 39/11 40/13 42/11 42/14

43/1 52/4 52/5 52/8 54/5 54/10 61/11 64/23 65/20 69/18 69/19 72/17 77/16 95/4 103/5 105/15 105/17 106/1 114/7 114/13 114/20 115/5 115/6 117/11 117/11 120/17 132/6 they [165] they'll [1] 73/22 they're [50] 9/3
13/14 13/23 23/13
24/2 24/22 39/18
39/18 39/22 39/24
42/5 57/13 57/14
58/18 60/24 62/7
63/8 69/8 74/13
79/9 84/21 87/12
87/20 90/13 92/3
94/7 94/9 96/20
97/3 97/4 97/11
97/16 97/17 97/18
103/11 104/17
105/24 106/1
113/24 114/21
117/7 118/7 118/8
118/19 118/19
119/5 119/10 120/5
120/7 127/5
thing [4] 12/11

| T | 105/15 106/6 | 77/9 77/22 81/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thing... [3] 70/17 | 106/19 107/1 | 83/11 84/3 84/24 |
| 101/20 104/24 | 107/14 107/20 | 87/6 89/20 92/2 |
| things [21] 16/13 | 108/10 108/12 | 92/19 93/4 95/13 |
| 17/21 28/23 69/13 | 108/15 108/17 | 97/11 100/20 103/1 |
| 72/18 82/22 85/21 | 108/18 108/22 | 103/18 104/1 |
| 87/19 88/9 88/17 | 109/8 109/23 | 106/11 106/12 |
| 89/15 90/9 90/15 | 111/23 112/2 | 106/12 106/19 |
| 90/24 91/14 100/10 | 112/20 112/24 | 108/5 108/6 108/18 |
| 100/19 105/13 | 119/12 119/21 | 112/7 112/14 |
| 105/18 115/22 | 120/20 123/23 | 112/17 112/18 |
| 119/21 | 123/24 124/5 126/6 | 116/4 116/5 116/7 |
| think [87] 7/5 11/1 | 126/14 128/22 | 116/23 116/23 |
| 11/17 12/2 12/24 | 131/3 131/5 | 117/6 117/20 118/7 |
| 13/10 13/13 14/22 | thinking [2] 70/3 | 118/12 123/15 |
| 15/2 20/10 23/5 | 111/8 | 125/10 125/12 |
| 23/19 27/21 28/5 | third [2] 11/8 79/16 | 125/17 125/20 |
| 30/6 31/17 35/10 | this [135] | 125/21 126/2 |
| 45/12 46/13 49/7 | those [90] 6/4 6/12 | 126/12 128/21 |
| 49/16 55/19 59/19 | 6/14 6/24 7/5 12/10 | 130/14 130/18 |
| 60/23 62/14 62/15 | 16/13 17/8 17/19 | though [6] 6/6 6/13 |
| 65/22 66/12 69/1 | 18/7 20/24 21/10 | 6/21 16/1 37/17 |
| 72/6 72/22 75/1 | 22/9 23/2 23/14 | 75/16 |
| 75/4 75/11 75/16 | 24/11 24/20 24/23 | thought [4] 15/8 |
| 77/12 79/1 79/6 | 26/9 26/11 28/14 | 55/19 63/12 121/16 |
| 79/16 80/12 81/5 | 29/9 29/13 35/12 | thoughts [1] 79/5 |
| 81/13 81/16 83/8 | 36/15 39/16 39/21 | thousands [2] |
| 83/16 83/19 84/16 | 40/8 49/21 50/2 | 123/5 123/5 |
| 88/9 88/12 90/23 | 50/3 50/18 50/23 | threatened [6] 5/3 |
| 90/23 92/11 92/18 | 57/11 61/23 65/13 | 5/9 35/5 51/6 65/8 |
| 96/9 96/9 98/14 | 66/10 66/10 73/11 74/4 75/23 76/1 | 73/7 three [11] $15 / 14$ |
| 101/10 103/11 | 74/4 75/23 76/1 | three [11] 15/14 |

three... [10] 25/10 35/17 58/13 85/6
113/13 124/10
127/9 127/15
128/11 129/1
three-quarters [1] 85/6
threshold [2]
116/21 117/14 thresholds [2]
116/24 117/20
through [20] 3/21
10/21 15/10 36/2
47/21 50/21 60/11
66/17 69/16 84/10
84/19 92/17 95/7
96/15 97/24 100/2
109/24 110/20
115/10 121/11
throughout [2]
77/16 129/12
tied [1] 126/22
tier [1] 70/4
timber [5] 17/11
93/20 94/1 94/6 108/23
time [48] 8/14 10/3 12/8 15/8 15/11 17/10 17/24 22/12 25/18 35/12 36/6 36/12 36/13 36/15
$36 / 1937 / 238 / 21$
$39 / 3$ 39/5 39/8 39/9
$39 / 1540 / 540 / 11$ 40/17 40/19 40/23 41/4 41/17 59/9 61/16 64/5 67/5
71/21 72/2 74/11
79/8 83/24 94/20
97/6 103/13 104/4
115/3 116/3 116/21
120/9 131/4 132/9 time-of-year [14]
15/11 17/24 22/12 35/12 36/6 38/21 39/3 40/11 40/23 41/4 41/17 71/21 72/2 74/11 times [2] 41/3 120/6 timing [1] 116/19 today [4] 3/21
12/13 56/8 56/24 toed [2] 90/17 90/18
together [1] 91/13 told [1] 95/20 too [5] 24/15 61/16 61/16 93/24 104/18 topography [1] 29/21
topsoil [3] 13/8 76/23 97/19
total [1] 26/22
totally [1] 71/23 toward [1] 74/17 towards [2] 68/16 122/14 tower [5] 95/9
95/10 95/17 95/18 96/14
towers [4] 10/22
95/20 96/5 96/13
towns [5] 112/12
112/14 112/17
112/18 112/22
track [1] 114/10
trail [1] 69/18
trails [2] 47/1 69/2
train [1] 88/16
trained [2] 88/4
126/16
training [2] 88/11 126/15
transcript [2]
131/12 132/5
transferred [1]
114/2
transformation [1] 75/18
transition [1] 87/5 transmission [4]
1/8 86/8 95/3 109/9 transmit [1] 97/24 Transportation [1] 1/16
tree [2] 34/19 102/9 tree-roosting [1] 34/19
trench [1] 109/24 troubled [1] 11/2 trout [1] 111/10 truck [1] 107/16 true [8] 31/9 64/3 68/18 81/16 88/6 88/7 100/16 132/5 truly [1] 123/3 try [1] 56/1 trying [10] 17/7 18/11 19/5 58/13 64/22 92/9 104/19 113/8 115/16 116/9 turn [9] 26/17 30/5 33/17 38/15 43/12 44/16 45/11 50/7 71/20 turning [2] 28/12 30/23
turns [1] 29/5 turtle [7] 88/5 88/21 89/3 106/2 106/5 106/8 114/23 turtles [18] 67/21 78/20 87/16 88/17 89/6 89/8 89/12 89/19 89/22 90/1 90/20 105/12 106/2

106/18 106/20
106/22 107/1 107/8 twice [1] 80/15 two [13] 18/23 26/3 26/11 32/10 50/18 78/2 78/12 93/24 97/9 113/12 124/8 124/8 128/20 type [2] 58/5 114/11
types [1] 120/17

## U

U.S [8] 3/22 25/12 37/18 38/9 76/21 113/24 115/11 129/13
Uh [6] 54/4 56/10 87/11 89/11 91/19 110/23
Uh-huh [6] 54/4 56/10 87/11 89/11 91/19 110/23 ultimately [7] 5/12 7/24 23/13 34/7 72/4 73/18 121/18 unable [1] 72/8 uncertainty [1] 74/20
unclear [2] 37/18 38/3
under [7] 6/22 6/24 94/4 97/4 99/1

131/11 132/8
underground [6] 87/5 96/20 97/1 97/3 98/20 98/23 understaffing [1] 124/7
understand [15]
7/2 11/12 11/16
14/18 22/2 33/13
37/22 41/13 64/12
67/1 68/7 69/1
71/15 76/17 87/3
understanding [5]
24/16 31/12 78/1 78/9 78/11
understood [1]

## 35/14

undertake [1] 13/3
undeveloped [1] 12/17
undisturbed [1] 110/20
undue [1] 67/9
unfortunately [2]
111/11 125/20
unless [4] 13/4
58/17 109/22
115/12
unlike [2] 125/20
129/2
unlikelihood [1] 98/7
updated [1] 61/20 V
unlikely [3] 25/15 38/13 98/9
unreasonable [23]
14/7 14/13 15/7
17/14 19/21 22/22
23/16 23/24 24/12
24/17 25/7 25/15
35/4 38/13 59/23
67/9 103/8 105/9
106/14 124/2 124/4 126/20 128/5
unstable [1] 98/18 until [4] 18/9
117/15 117/17
117/21
up [42] 7/19 8/10
10/7 25/1 32/3 32/6
33/22 35/23 38/18
43/20 44/13 46/18
47/1 47/6 51/20
58/18 63/6 68/4
70/3 74/9 78/18
81/9 84/16 86/7
88/2 90/11 103/19
103/20 106/20
106/22 106/24
107/9 108/4 108/5
112/21 116/17
117/5 119/8 121/11
125/17 128/12
129/15
upgrading [1]
108/22
upon [3] 69/8 94/18
126/20
upper [2] 122/19
122/20
us [2] 57/15 79/4
usage [4] 70/6 70/8
70/10 94/2
use [10] 13/14
17/11 31/10 44/8
46/15 62/3 68/22
69/20 89/9 117/3
used [24] 12/9 16/2
29/1 31/2 31/6
31/15 33/3 38/4
38/4 41/20 42/1
45/9 61/22 62/7
89/7 89/8 89/19
89/24 93/21 96/5
108/12 108/23
117/1 128/21
using [8] 12/3 33/3
58/11 65/19 90/24
97/22 98/10 118/11
Utilities [2] 1/13
1/14
utility [3] 86/7
108/20 109/9
utilized [1] 69/23
vague [2] 35/18 104/18
value [4] 32/10 33/2
33/5 33/8
variety [1] 52/2
various [9] 19/24
20/3 22/11 22/20
23/12 52/5 52/11 72/17 125/5
vegetation [1] 42/6 verbal [2] 70/21 124/20
Vermont [1] 45/9 vernal [16] 30/23
31/3 31/7 31/16
31/23 32/11 32/22
33/4 91/11 92/6
92/10 92/14 92/16 99/23 99/24 100/6 version [5] 35/19 56/4 56/16 56/20 88/19
versions [1] 35/18 versus [4] 56/22 59/7 94/21 100/12 vertical [1] 90/5 very [20] 8/15 8/17 9/7 10/21 11/20 13/18 13/20 25/10 29/1 62/18 63/23 69/11 76/4 84/15

| V | 15/2 19/9 57/2 74/9 | 45/4 46/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very... [6] 103/12 | Walker's [1] 83/7 | 48/7 51/21 56/5 |
| 111/16 121/10 | walking [1] 58/14 | /20 59/11 |
| 122/14 123/17 | want [30] 3/21 6/9 | 63/10 64/17 65/19 |
| 130/23 | 7/11 7/16 16/11 | 68/24 70/3 70/7 |
| vetted [1] 115/9 | 18/17 19/17 26/19 | 71/24 72/6 73/9 |
| vibration [13] | 32/4 33/17 34/13 | 76/22 76/23 76/23 |
| 42/24 96/19 96/22 | 36/2 45/11 47/11 | 78/11 80/14 81/7 |
| 97/23 98/11 98/14 | 47/21 51/20 52/24 | 81/20 82/4 82/6 |
| 98/15 116/2 116/6 | 57/18 62/13 68/4 | 84/16 86/10 86/11 |
| 116/20 116/23 | 69/1 71/20 83/9 | 86/13 86/23 90/23 |
| 117/4 117/14 | 83/16 86/17 94/3 | 91/14 91/15 92/7 |
| vibrations [2] | 102/20 102/24 | 92/11 92/13 92/1 |
| 98/13 117/5 | 114/23 114/24 | 93/6 95/5 96/10 |
| ew [1] 29/22 | wanted [6] 14/18 | 98/9 98/20 98 |
| ewed [1] 84/19 | 18/20 28/1 56/8 | 100/1 100/10 |
| views [1] 37/14 | 86/14 86/22 | 1/18 102/9 |
| violating [1] 122/16 | wanting [2] 86/5 | 105/22 |
| violent [1] 60/18 | 109/16 | 6/5 106/21 10 |
| virtually [3] 104/10 | wants [2] 19/15 | 109 |
| 125/24 127/7 | 49/10 | 2/2 112/9 |
| visited [2] 11/5 | warm [1] 119/2 | 2 120/2 |
| 45/24 | was [107] 3/22 5/8 | 122 |
| visually [2] 129/1 | 6/7 8/16 11/1 15/2 | 122/15 122/19 |
| 130/16 | 15/7 15/8 18/18 | 122/20 122/21 |
| Voluntary [1] 2/23 | 19/13 20/15 25/14 | 125/3 125/14 126/4 |
| W | 25/15 25/17 29/4 | 126/7 127/2 127/7 |
| Wagner [1] 111/6 |  |  |
|  | 31/17 33/2 33/20 | [9] 22/3 |
| iting [1] 18/16 | 36/5 36/20 36/22 | 30/7 44/10 70/2 |
| walk [1] 80/16 | 38 | $986 / 18$ 105/6 |
| Walker [6] 2/7 3/6 | 41/15 42/8 42/8 | 126/3 128/15 |


| W | 66/14 67/3 67/9 | 129/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| watching [1] | 67 | we've [15] 29/4 |
| 116/17 | 77/8 80/22 81/22 | 56/7 65/19 76/11 |
| water [2] 19/21 | 81/24 83/23 84/12 | 77/22 87/7 89/8 |
| 22/23 | 86/17 86/19 86/22 | 95/19 99/16 103/3 |
| waves [1] 117/9 | 86/23 87/23 89/4 | 108/3 108/15 |
| way [47] 1/15 2/12 | 89/7 89/9 90/15 | 109/16 129/8 1 |
| 15/15 16/2 17/23 | 93/5 93/10 93/18 | wedge [1] 5/18 |
| 22/9 24/3 26/23 | 94/12 97/9 98/21 | week [1] 77/22 |
| 30/12 30/20 43/3 | 99/9 99/9 100/5 | weekend [2] 56/6 |
| 43/6 45/19 46/16 | 101/12 103/4 | 71/22 |
| 46/18 46/21 46/23 | 104/11 104/16 | weigh [2] 26/21 |
| 48/8 58/8 58/9 | 104/16 104/20 | 49/10 |
| 58/11 58/14 58/15 | 108/4 108/12 | welcome [1] 111/17 |
| 58/17 63/12 66/4 | 108/12 109/11 | well [53] 6/20 10/1 |
| 70/5 84/8 84/9 84/9 | 111/12 119/22 | 12/3 14/11 21/6 |
| 85/7 87/2 91/23 | 119/23 119/24 | 21/8 24/24 25/9 |
| 95/2 95/4 95/17 | 120/1 120/2 120/2 | 26/21 27/22 29/4 |
| 98/4 99/10 103/21 | 120/3 123/17 127/6 | 33/1 37/24 40/18 |
| 106/6 109/22 | 128/6 129/13 | 40/22 41/6 43/9 |
| 109/24 111/2 111/4 | 129/15 129/15 | 43/17 45/9 48/14 |
| 111/5 111/18 | 130/11 131/4 | 50/2 55/23 56/19 |
| 117/19 | We'd [1] 103/11 | 58/4 59/10 60/13 |
| we [84] 3/3 4/13 5/6 | we'll [6] 26/15 | 65/13 65/14 67/21 |
| 5/7 5/13 6/24 12/12 | 57/22 58/9 71/8 | 68/24 72/11 76/2 |
| 12/16 16/5 18/8 | 91/10 131/5 | 77/23 78/9 80/12 |
| 18/10 18/11 19/4 | we're [16] 4/10 | 86/15 86/20 89/18 |
| 20/23 21/6 21/13 | 4/11 8/5 24/23 | 90/21 93/5 95/19 |
| 21/14 27/14 27/14 | 40/21 41/13 64/2 | 106/7 108/10 109/8 |
| 27/19 29/1 29/1 | 67/13 70/24 87/14 | 110/7 112/14 |
| 29/2 29/5 50/2 | 87/16 93/13 93/13 | 114/20 119/6 122/5 |
| 52/16 55/15 61/10 | 112/15 119/21 | 122/22 123/7 |


| W | wetland [5] 32/9 | when [45] 4/6 4/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| well... [2] 125/13 | 32/11 86/6 100/21 | 4/14 11/2 15/13 |
| 126/11 | 120/2 | 19/18 19/19 22/14 |
| Well,the [1] 6/12 | wetlands [7] 21/17 | 22/19 28/9 28/15 |
| went [3] 60/11 | 31/22 50/10 50/11 | 29/1 29/10 30/2 |
| 92/14 95/7 | 91/10 93/4 123/15 | 33/3 39/17 39/18 |
| were [61] 4/13 16/2 | what [55] 4/21 12/6 | 39/24 40/2 54/2 |
| 16/4 16/21 18/5 | 15/8 15/17 23/19 | 56/4 60/4 61/12 |
| 21/6 21/12 22/15 | 28/1 29/16 35/20 | 62/20 62/22 70/3 |
| 23/10 25/5 28/4 | 35/20 37/18 37/24 | 76/20 76/21 77/18 |
| 29/1 29/6 32/9 | 40/16 41/11 43/8 | 80/22 81/20 84/16 |
| 32/12 33/5 34/19 | 46/1 46/4 47/1 | 85/6 86/19 90/9 |
| 34/21 35/14 38/21 | 52/24 56/5 56/24 | 93/18 97/19 103/13 |
| 39/6 49/5 49/7 | 67/3 67/5 68/9 | 112/13 114/22 |
| 54/24 57/4 62/10 | 68/17 78/14 82/11 | 119/22 119/23 |
| 65/16 72/8 73/13 | 84/3 86/16 86/19 | 122/15 123/8 |
| 74/7 77/17 77/19 | 88/5 88/23 93/1 | 129/13 |
| 81/22 81/23 88/10 | 93/24 94/13 98/22 | whenever [1] 17/12 |
| 90/6 91/17 91/21 | 100/6 100/20 | where [32] 23/3 |
| 91/23 92/9 92/12 | 102/20 104/17 | 30/12 32/8 33/6 |
| 92/15 95/8 95/12 | 105/12 105/17 | 34/2 35/13 38/20 |
| 96/8 98/10 100/14 | 108/24 110/9 | 42/16 48/6 69/19 |
| 100/22 102/19 | 110/14 113/9 | 70/4 74/17 80/24 |
| 108/22 109/23 | 113/15 113/22 | 92/7 92/13 92/15 |
| 114/8 115/12 | 114/16 115/16 | 92/19 93/10 93/12 |
| 117/11 117/11 | 116/22 120/15 | 94/21 104/12 |
| 125/2 128/16 | 123/2 128/21 130/4 | 104/16 105/2 106/4 |
| 128/17 128/23 | 130/8 | 114/7 117/1 123/16 |
| 128/24 129/1 | whatever [3] 90/13 | 126/7 129/20 130/2 |
| weren't [6] 18/9 | 92/3 112/21 | 130/5 130/17 |
| 33/7 81/19 81/24 | wheelhouse [1] | whether [14] 9/2 |
| 95/8 128/8 | 96/8 | 19/20 22/22 24/22 |

whether... [10] 38/3 105/4
38/7 58/6 66/22
73/15 74/21 95/6 98/5 125/3 125/9 which [33] 4/4 4/8 5/1 6/22 7/8 13/7 13/16 25/22 27/16 31/22 32/5 32/10 33/24 37/21 38/1 44/16 45/3 49/15 49/16 51/21 56/20 59/8 62/21 66/15 67/11 73/16 74/16 98/17 114/9 119/4 129/3 130/9 130/10 while [4] 58/14 63/18 117/7 123/7 who [16] 18/23
53/3 53/3 53/6 55/5 55/13 72/6 74/23 75/1 78/5 96/10 121/13 121/14 122/9 122/12 129/17
who's [3] 55/4 72/4 122/8
whole [6] 76/7
76/11 110/5 120/4 120/19 125/2
whomever [1] 26/18
whorled [2] 5/17 why [6] 34/17 42/23 82/11 100/24 108/10 128/20 wide [3] 91/21 91/22 120/8 wild [15] 26/20 26/22 27/15 27/20 66/15 66/19 67/12 76/1 76/2 76/4
76/16 76/24 83/23
125/15 125/16
wildlife [46] $3 / 23$ 4/2 4/22 5/2 5/8
5/13 5/21 6/3 6/16
7/4 7/17 7/23 8/1
8/23 10/6 12/4 12/7
13/21 16/15 16/21
16/24 17/17 20/7
21/21 25/12 25/19
25/24 26/2 27/8
34/21 37/4 37/10
37/19 46/7 47/6
47/23 47/24 51/12
76/21 114/1 115/12 126/1 127/10
127/19 128/15
130/8
Wildlife's [2] 9/1 38/9
will [38] 9/22 13/3

14/7 14/13 15/22
15/23 23/23 24/12
27/16 35/3 42/15
45/3 50/22 50/24
51/6 58/6 58/7
59/20 64/12 66/10
72/9 76/5 78/1 87/9
87/22 89/20 89/20
91/3 91/18 92/22
103/21 104/14
111/6 119/2 119/4
120/19 120/21
126/20
William [1] 1/16 willing [1] 121/1 wind [4] 69/21 69/24 70/1 86/7 winter [30] 15/13 39/24 56/9 59/17 60/6 60/8 68/23 69/3 70/8 70/11 74/16 94/8 97/8 107/24 108/1 108/8 108/9 108/12 108/17 109/1
118/14 118/15
118/15 118/17
118/18 118/20
118/21 119/6 119/7 128/19
wintering [12] 59/5 60/10 60/11 60/12

| W | 46/12 49/17 51/9 | 55/4 70/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wintering... [8] 60/23 61/4 61/10 | 53/4 63/21 65/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { written [2] 114/2 } \\ & 119 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 61/15 61/22 62/4 | 85/10 85/22 86/4 | Y |
| 62/7 119/1 |  | yard [2] 60/15 62/3 |
| Wisconsin [1] 9/7 | 90/21 91/1 91/17 $\mathbf{9 3 / 1 8} 95 / 18108 / 8$ | yards [7] 60/20 |
| wish [1] 94/20 | 93/18 95/18 108/8 <br> 108/21 113/21 | 60/20 60/23 61/4 |
| within [11] 9/1 44/1 | 108/21 113/21 | 61/12 62/7 62/10 |
| 48/7 48/12 60/7 | $113 / 22 ~ 120 / 13$ $120 / 15120 / 21$ | year [33] 12/8 |
| 95/16 97/5 109/6 | 120/15 120/21 | 15/11 17/11 17/24 |
| 117/4 121/24 | 122/5 123/1 123/4 | 22/12 35/12 36/6 |
| 122/10 | 125/13 127/21 | 38/21 39/3 39/6 |
| without [5] 60/13 | 130/10 | 39/12 39/15 39/16 |
| 61/2 92/9 107/12 |  | 40/11 40/14 40/17 |
| 110/13 ${ }^{\text {witness [10] }} 2 / 43 / 4$ | 121/23 122/10 | 40/19 40/23 41/3 |
| witness [10] 2/4 3/4 $3 / 1114 / 23 ~ 15 / 4$ | 122/10 122/18 | 41/4 41/8 41/17 |
| 3/11 14/23 15/4 18/19 54/19 56/15 | 122/22 | 59/9 63/24 66/16 |
| 18/19 54/19 56/15 $75 / 10$ 110/17 | working [4] 79/9 | 71/21 72/2 74/11 |
| 75/10 110/17 | 85/24 122/3 122/15 | 97/7 103/13 118/9 |
| Witnesses [2] 54/18 110/16 | works [2] 89/20 | 118/10 129/9 |
| 110/16 | 121/11 | years [7] 16/22 |
| won't [4] 34/2 $50 / 2164 / 598 / 4$ | world [1] 120/15 | 48/18 48/21 48/24 |
| 50/21 64/5 98/ | would [137] | 61/17 63/6 121/23 |
| 14/12 | wouldn't [9] 23/5 | yes [115] 4/1 4/24 |
| woods [1] 4 | 40/12 43/10 48/11 | 7/14 7/21 8/21 8/24 |
| word [1] 119/24 | 61/14 81/4 100/23 | 9/19 10/2 10/16 |
| worded [1] 127/3 | 128/14 129/23 | 13/10 14/11 15/5 |
| words [4] 12/3 | Wright [7] 1/15 | 16/17 16/19 19/4 |
| 83/10 83/17 112/16 | 2/11 55/14 57/19 | 20/5 20/9 20/13 |
| work [40] 22/11 | 68/2 71/14 79/24 | 21/22 23/18 24/14 |
| 41/7 41/9 44/1 | writing [3] 50/11 | 26/3 27/24 29/15 |




